



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**MODELLING HYDRO-MORPHO DYNAMICS OF RIVER JUNCTIONS
UNDER THE IMPACT OF UNSUBMERGED VANES**

HYDAR LAFTA ALI

FK 2019 108



**MODELLING HYDRO-MORPHO DYNAMICS OF RIVER JUNCTIONS
UNDER THE IMPACT OF UNSUBMERGED VANES**

By

HYDAR LAFTA ALI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

July 2019

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**MODELLING HYDRO-MORPHO DYNAMICS OF RIVER JUNCTIONS
UNDER THE IMPACT OF UNSUBMERGED VANES**

By

HYDAR LAFTA ALI

July 2019

Chairman : Associate Professor Badronnisa Binti Yusuf, PhD
Faculty : Engineering

Controlling the flow and river bed morphology in the confluence and branching junctions are important in rivers training and navigation works. The flow in a river junction is highly complex, due to rapid changes associated with flow dynamics, sediment transport, and geomorphology. Erosion and deposition zones are considered as the main critical features that appear at the river junction and causing many problems such as increasing the possibility of flood risks at the river confluence, on the other hand, a shortcoming of the inflow discharge at the river branching which effects different water supply projects. In this study, two cases have been selected owing to their suffering from hydro-morpho dynamics issues. The first case is a confluence of Kurau and Ara rivers located at tropical region Perak, Malaysia, while the second case is a branching of Tigris river located at temperate region Missan, Iraq. The aim of this study is to control the hydro-morpho dynamics features at the junction of a confluence and branching rivers. Measured and acquired data were used to investigate the scour hole that usually formed in the erosion zone and bar formed in the deposition zone. The data of the confluence junction was acquired while for the branching junction was measured. Garmin echoMap 50s device was used to measure the bathymetry survey, while Son Tek River Surveyor device M9 was used to collect discharge, velocity distribution, and cross-section geometry. In addition, Van Veen Grab sampler was used to collect bed material sampling of the Tigris branching junction. The results of data collection for the confluence of Kurau and Ara rivers show that the velocity was ranged between 0.8 and 1.1 m/s at the location of the maximum scour zone and between 0.1 and 0.3 m/s at the location of deposition zone, while for the branching of the Tigris river the velocity was around 0.5 m/s at the location of the scour zone and 0.1 m/s at the deposition zone. A 2D numerical model of Mflow_02 solver was used to build, calibrate and validate the numerical models using field data to simulate the selected river junctions before simulating various arrangements of unsubmerged vanes as control structures. This solver was able to calculate two-dimensional plane unsteady flow, river bed morphology and sediment

transport by unstructured meshes of finite element method. The error of the model output was found to be less than 20% in both cases. The simulations with different arrangements of unsubmerged vanes were proposed as control structures to mitigate scouring and deposition zones that usually occurred at natural river junctions. The results revealed that the most effective size and location of unsubmerged vanes mainly depend on their performance in deposition and scouring zones. For both cases, simulation results show that a single unsubmerged vane can successfully control the scouring and deposition zones. In the confluence junction, the third scenario of installing a single unsubmerged vane with 10 m length at an angle of 30° gave the best solution for diminishing the maximum scour zone and minimizing the deposition zone. In branching junction, the results of the fourth scenario by introducing a single unsubmerged vane with 50 m length, placed perpendicular to the flow direction of the main branching river, show that the deposition zone was reduced to 82% when compared with the simulation without vane. In summary, this study addresses the applicability of using the 2D numerical model in complex riverine system for predicting hydro-morpho-dynamics changes in junctions with and without vanes as training structures. The significance of this study is attributed to the simulation of various scenarios for controlling scour and deposition zones by using unsubmerged vanes and recommended the best solution that provides minimum scouring and deposition zones and thus may enhance river flow dynamics.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PEMODELAN HIDRO-MORFODINAMIK PERSIMPANGAN SUNGAI DI
BAWAH IMPAK STRUKTUR BILAH TIDAK TENGGELAM**

Oleh

HYDAR LAFTA ALI

Julai 2019

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Badronnisa Binti Yusuf, PhD
Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Pengawalan aliran dan morfologi dasar sungai dalam pertemuan dan persimpangan cabang adalah penting dalam pengawalan sungai dan kerja pelayaran. Aliran di persimpangan sungai adalah sangat kompleks, disebabkan oleh perubahan pantas yang berkaitan dengan dinamik aliran, pengangkutan sedimen, dan geomorfologi. Zon hakisan dan penganapan dianggap sebagai ciri kritikal utama yang terdapat di persimpangan sungai dan menyebabkan banyak masalah seperti meningkatkan risiko banjir di persimpangan sungai, sebaliknya, kelemahan aliran masuk di cabang sungai yang mana memberi kesan terhadap projek bekalan air yang berbeza. Dalam kajian ini, dua kes telah dipilih yang mana kes-kes ini mengalami masalah hidro-morfo dinamik. Kes pertama adalah pertemuan Sungai Kurau dan Sungai Ara yang terletak di rantau tropika Perak, Malaysia, manakala kes kedua adalah cabang Sungai Tigris yang terletak di rantau sederhana Missan, Iraq.

Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengawal ciri-ciri hidro-morfo dinamik di persimpangan pertemuan dan cabang sungai. Data yang diukur dan diperolehi telah digunakan untuk menyiasat lubang keruk yang biasanya terbentuk di zon hakisan dan beting yang terbentuk di zon pengendapan. Data persimpangan pertemuan telah diperolehi manakala data untuk persimpangan cabang telah diukur. Peranti Gechin echoMap 50s telah digunakan untuk mengukur ukur batimetri, sementara alat Pengukur Sungai Son Tek M9 telah digunakan untuk menceraap kadar alir, pengagihan halaju, dan geometri keratan rentas. Selain itu, pensampel Van Veen Grab telah digunakan untuk mengumpul sampel bahan dasar sungai di persimpangan cabang Tigris.

Hasil pengumpulan data untuk pertemuan Sungai Kurau dan Sungai Ara menunjukkan bahawa halaju adalah antara 0.8 dan 1.1 m/s di lokasi zon keruk maksimum dan antara

0.1 dan 0.3 m/s di lokasi zon pengendapan, sementara untuk cabang Sungai Tigris halaju adalah sekitar 0.5 m/s di lokasi zon keruk dan 0.1 m/ di zon pengendapan.

Model 2D berangka Mflow_02 solver telah digunakan untuk membina, menentukur dan mengesahkan model berangka dengan menggunakan data lapangan untuk mensimulasikan persimpangan sungai yang dipilih sebelum mensimulasikan pelbagai bentuk susunan struktur bilah sebagai struktur kawalan. Model ini dapat mengira aliran tidak stabil satah dua dimensi, morfologi dasar sungai dan pengangkutan sedimen oleh jejaring tidak terstruktur menggunakan kaedah unsur terhingga.

Ralat hasil model didapati kurang daripada 20% bagi kedua-dua kes. Simulasi dengan susunan struktur bilah tidak tenggelam yang berbeza telah dicadangkan sebagai struktur kawalan untuk mengurangkan zon kerukan dan pendedapan yang biasanya terjadi di persimpangan sungai semulajadi. Hasilnya menunjukkan bahawa saiz dan lokasi yang paling berkesan bagi struktur bilah tunggal tidak tenggelam adalah bergantung kepada prestasi stuktur bilah di zon pengendapan dan hakisan. Bagi kedua-dua kes, keputusan simulasi menunjukkan bahawa struktur bilah tunggal tidak tenggelam berjaya mengawal zon pengerukan dan pengendapan. Di pertemuan persimpangan, senario ketiga dengan memasang struktur bilah tunggal tidak tenggelam dengan panjang 10 m pada sudut 30° memberikan penyelesaian yang terbaik untuk mengurangkan zon keruk maksimum dan zon pengendapan. Pada persimpangan cabang, hasil keputusan bagi senario keempat dengan memperkenalkan struktur bilah tunggal tidak tenggelam dengan panjang 50 m yang diletakkan berserenjang dengan arah aliran cabang utama sungai, menunjukkan bahawa zon pengendapan telah dikurangkan kepada 82% jika dibandingkan dengan simulasi tanpa struktur bilah.

Kesimpulannya, kajian ini membahas kebolehpayaan penggunaan model berangka 2D bagi sistem sungai yang kompleks untuk meramal perubahan hidro-morfo dinamik di persimpangan dengan dan tanpa menggunakan struktur bilah sebagai struktur kawalan. Kepentingan kajian ini adalah dikaitkan dengan simulasi pelbagai senario untuk mengawal zon keruk dan zon pengendapan dengan menggunakan struktur bilah tidak tenggelam dan mengesyorkan penyelesaian terbaik yang dapat menyediakan zon pengendapan dan kerukan minimum dan seterusnya meningkatkan dinamik aliran sungai.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I praise ALLAH for his magnificent loving generosity, that has brought all of us to encourage and tell each other and who has pulled us from the darkness to the light.

I sincerely thank my supervisor Dr. Badronnisa Yusuf for providing a friendly research environment, encouragement and valuable suggestions. I would like to thank my external member Professor Thamer Mohammed Ahmed for his invaluable help, guidance, and constructive comments that enrich this research

My heartfelt thanks to Professor Yasuyuki Shimizu and his team at the Laboratory of Hydraulic Research, Hokkaido University, for providing the software with training and also for their hospitality

I acknowledge my committee Dr. Mohd Shahrizal Ab Razak and Dr. Balqis Mohamed Rehan for the valuable information provided and earnest suggestions. I am grateful for their cooperation.

I also express my sincere thanks to Dato' Aminuddin Ab. Ghani, Deputy Director at River Engineering and Urban Drainage Research Center (REDAC), Universiti Sains Malaysia, for permitting reuse the data of Kurau and Ara river confluence

I would like to express my sincere appreciation and deepest gratitude to my friends that helping me to collect the data and those are Ali Mutar Fanos, PhD-GIS and Geomatics Engineering, Professor Abdulzahra Alhello, University of Basrah Department of Environmental Chemistry, and engineer Falih Hareja for his hospitality and great arrangement to achieve the data collection at Missan Governorate. I also would extend my thanks to my General Director Ms Samira Abed and my colleagues Ali Abd Ameer, Surry Abd Hamed, and Kareem Aoda in Center for the Restoration of the Iraqi Marshlands and Wetlands (CRIMW) who are always willing to help me.

Finally I appreciate the unlimited support from my Father to achieve this study, and the moral support and understanding of my good wife Basma, and my children Asma and Hussein. I am sincerely thankful to my mother, mother in low, Father in low and other family members for their prayers, support, and encouragement.

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Hydar Lafta Ali, GS47961

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee: Associate Professor Dr. Badronnisa Yusuf

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee: Dr. Mohd Shahrizal Ab Razak

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee: Dr. Balqis Mohamed Rehan

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee: Professor Dr. Thamer Mohammed Ahmed

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee: Professor Dr. Yasuyuki Shimizu

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
ABSTRACT		i
ABSTRAK		iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		v
APPROVAL		vi
DECLARATION		viii
LIST OF TABLES		xiii
LIST OF FIGURES		xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		xix
CHAPTER		
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 General	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objectives	3
	1.4 Scope and Limitation of the Study	3
	1.5 The significance of the study	4
	1.6 Thesis Layout	4
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
	2.1 Introduction	6
	2.2 Hydro-dynamic Features of a Confluence	6
	2.2.1 Flow Separation Zone	7
	2.2.2 Flow Stagnation Zone	9
	2.2.3 Flow Deflection Zone	9
	2.2.4 Maximum Velocity Zone	10
	2.2.5 Shear Layer Zone	11
	2.2.6 Flow Recovery Zone	12
	2.3 Morpho-Dynamic Confluence Features	12
	2.3.1 Scour Hole Zone	13
	2.3.2 Deposition Bars in Separation and Stagnation Zones	17
	2.3.3 Mid-channel Bars	17
	2.4 Hydro-Dynamic of The Branching Channel	18
	2.4.1 Separation Zone	18
	2.4.2 Stagnation Zone	19
	2.4.3 Contraction Zone	19
	2.5 Morpho-Dynamic of The Branching Channel	19
	2.5.1 Branching Scour Zone	20
	2.5.2 Branching Deposition Zones	20
	2.6 Sediment Transport at River junctions	21
	2.7 Studies on Controlling Erosion and Deposition Zones in River junctions	22
	2.8 Recent Works in Laboratory, Field, and Numerical	25
	2.8.1 Laboratory Experiments in Rivers Confluences	25
	2.8.2 Field Studies in Rivers Confluences	28

2.8.3	Numerical Simulation in Rivers Confluences	28
2.8.4	Laboratory Experimental Studies in Branching Channels	30
2.8.5	Field Studies in Branching Channels	30
2.8.6	Numerical Studies in Branching Channels	31
2.9	River Training Structures	32
2.10	Numerical Model Background, Structure, and Characteristics	32
2.10.1	Flow Field Model	33
2.10.2	Riverbed Variation Model	38
2.11	Summary	42
3	METHODOLOGY	43
3.1	Introduction	43
3.2	Field Work and Data Acquisition	45
3.2.1	Confluence of Kurau and Ara Rivers	45
3.2.2	Branching of Tigris River	48
3.2.2.1	Water Discharge	50
3.2.2.2	Bed Material Sampling	54
3.3	Critical Velocity of Sediment Inception Motion	55
3.4	Application Procedures of the Numerical Model	57
3.5	Model Implementation and Boundary Conditions for the Confluence of Kurau and Ara Rivers	59
3.6	Model Implementation and Boundary Conditions for the Tigris Branching Junction	60
3.7	Unsubmerged Vanes as Control Structures	61
3.8	Statistical Indices	62
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	63
4.1	Introduction	63
4.2	Characteristics of Hydro-Morpho Dynamics in River junctions	63
4.2.1	Scouring zone in the confluence of Kurau and Ara Rivers	63
4.2.2	Deposition zone in the Confluence of Kurau and Ara Rivers	63
4.2.3	Scouring Zone in the Branching of Tigris River	66
4.2.4	Deposition Zone in the Branching of Tigris River	66
4.3	Model Calibration Results for the Confluence of Kurau and Ara Rivers	68
4.4	Model Validation Results for the Confluence of Kurau and Ara Rivers	73
4.5	Models Simulation of Kurau and Ara River Confluence with Vanes	76
4.5.1	First scenario: single row of the vanes with a length of 1 m	77
4.5.2	Second Scenario: single row of vanes with a length of 2 m	83
4.5.3	Third Scenario: single vane with a length of 10 m	84
4.6	Model Calibration Results for the Case of Branching River	85
4.7	Model Validation Results for the Branching of Tigris River	92

4.8	Models Simulation of Tigris Branching Junction with Vanes	94
4.8.1	First Scenario: Two vanes with 30-m-long	95
4.8.2	Second Scenario: Two Vanes with 30 m Long and Perpendicular to the Direction of the Flow in the Main River	99
4.8.3	Third Scenario: A Single Vane with 50 m Long and Inclination Angle of 30° from the Axis Perpendicular to the Flow in the Main River	99
4.8.4	Fourth Scenario: A Single Vane with 50 m Long and Perpendicular to the Direction of the Flow in the Main River	100
4.9	The Recommended Scenarios	100
5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	103
5.1	Conclusions	103
5.2	Novelty of the study	104
5.3	Recommendations for Future Studies	104
	REFERENCES	105
	APPENDICES	118
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	136
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	137

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Summary of The Studies That Used Submerged Vanes for Controlling Sediment Dynamics at The Branching Channel	24
3.1	Observed Measurements Used in Model Calibration	48
3.2	Observed Measurements Used in Model Validation	48
3.3	Hydraulic Field Data for the Tigris Branching Junction	54
4.1	Comparison Between Simulated and Measured Average Flow Velocity	70
4.2	Statistical Indices for Measured and Simulated Average Velocities	70
4.3	The Errors Between Measured and Simulated Water Level	71
4.4	Discharges and Water Levels of Kurau-Ara River Confluence Used for Model Validation	73
4.5	Comparisons Between Water Level and Average Flow Depth for (Q=15 m ³ /s)	74
4.6	Comparisons Between Water Level and Average Flow Depth for (Q=43 m ³ /s)	74
4.7	Comparison Between Measured and Simulated Average Flow Velocity	74
4.8	Comparison between simulated and measured average flow velocity	88
4.9	Statistical indices for measured and simulated average velocities	88
4.10	Discharge of Tigris branching river for model validation	92
4.11	Comparisons between water level and average flow depth	93
4.12	Comparisons between average flow velocity	93

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Characteristics of Flow Dynamics at Channel Confluences (Zhang et al., 2015)	7
2.2 Calculations of Separation Zone (A) showing the Relation Between Width and Discharge Ratio, and (B) Between Length and Confluence Angles	8
2.3 Variation of Separation Zone with Confluence Angle (Huang et al., 2002)	8
2.4 Maximum Velocity Zone (Acceleration Zone) Modified after Shaheed, (2016)	10
2.5 Hydrodynamic Features at Channel Confluences Developed by Guillén-ludeña (2015)	11
2.6 Typical Bed Morphology at Flow Confluences (Zhang et al., 2015)	12
2.7 Factors Controlling The Scour Hole Formation Resulting from Laboratory Experiments on Channel Confluence, Redrawn by Best & Rhoads (2008)	13
2.8 Scour Hole Position with Different Discharge Ratios: (A) High Discharge Ratio and (B) Low Discharge Ratio (Rhoads et al., 2009)	15
2.9 Scouring Depths at the Confluence of Rio Parana Rivers, Argentina, Observed at Two Different Times (Szupiany et al., 2009)	16
2.10 Location of Potential Mid-Channel Bar (Tancock, 2014)	17
2.11 Characteristics of Flow Dynamics at Branching Channel (Ramamurthy et al., 2007)	18
2.12 Deposition and Erosion Zones Observed by Herrero, (2013)	20
2.13 Handling of Grain Size Distribution	39
3.1 Methodological Frame Work for Modelling Hydro-Morpho Dynamics of River Junctions	44
3.2 Location of Kurau and Ara Rivers Confluence	45
3.3 Measured Bathymetry Profile of the Kurau and Ara Rivers Confluence	46

3.4	Geometry Cross Sections with Velocity Distribution at Each Reach of the Confluence Junction	47
3.5	Particle size distribution for the Kurau–Ara river confluence (a) at normal depths and (b) for the scouring zone	47
3.6	Branching of Tigris River	49
3.7	Measured Bathymetry Profile of the Tigris Branching River	50
3.8	River Surveyor Live Windows for the Q1 (MTR1) with Discharge (247.239 m ³ /sec), High Flow	51
3.9	River Surveyor Live Windows for the Q2 (BTR) with Discharge (127.477 m ³ /sec), High Flow	52
3.10	River Surveyor Live Windows for the Q3 (MTR2) with Discharge (120.746 m ³ /sec), High Flow	52
3.11	River Surveyor Live Windows for the Q1 (MTR1) with Discharge (119.298 m ³ /sec), Low Flow	53
3.12	River Surveyor Live Windows for the Q2 (BTR) with Discharge (78.877 m ³ /sec), Low Flow	53
3.13	River Surveyor Live Windows for the Q3 (MTR1) with Discharge (41.258 m ³ /sec), Low Flow	54
3.14	Particles Size Distribution of Tigris Branching Junction, (D ₅₀ =0.055 mm)	55
3.15	Shield's Diagram, (Vanoni, 1975)	56
3.16	Flowchart Summarizing Solver Procedures	58
3.17	Unstructured Grid for Kurau and Ara Rivers Confluence	60
3.18	Unstructured Grid of the Tigris Branching Channel	61
4.1	Velocity Distribution Across the Cross-Sections of the Main Kurau River	65
4.2	Velocity Distribution Along the Cross-Sections of the Branching of Tigris River	67
4.3	Simulated Velocity Magnitude and the Locations of the Cross Sections	68
4.4	Simulated average flow velocity magnitude (m/s) within one day at cross section CS1	69

4.5	Simulated average flow velocity magnitude (m/s) within one day at cross section CS2	69
4.6	Simulated average flow velocity magnitude (m/s) within one day at cross section CS3	69
4.7	Simulated average flow velocity magnitude (m/s) within one day at cross section CS4	69
4.8	Simulated average flow velocity magnitude (m/s) within one day at cross section CS5	69
4.9	Simulated average flow velocity magnitude (m/s) within one day at cross section CS6	69
4.10	Simulated of Water Surface Elevation and Measured Locations at Kurau and Ara Confluence	71
4.11	Depth simulation and the locations of measured cross-sections at the confluence of Kurau and Ara Rivers	72
4.12	Simulated Bed Elevation (m) within One Day at Cross-Sections CS 1, CS 2, CS 3, and CS 5	72
4.13	Comparison Between Measured and Simulated Bed Elevation at CS 1, CS 2, CS 3, and CS 5 with ($Q = 15 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$)	75
4.14	Comparison Between Measured and Simulated Bed Elevation at CS 1, CS 2, CS 3, and CS 5 with ($Q = 43 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$)	76
4.15	Simulated Flow Depth without and with Vanes at an angle of 15° for the Confluence Study Site (a) without Vanes; (b) First scenario; (c) Second scenario; (d) Third scenario	78
4.16	Simulated Flow Depth without and with Vanes at an angle of 30° for the Confluence Study Site (a) without Vanes; (b) First scenario; (c) Second scenario; (d) Third scenario	79
4.17	Simulated Velocity Distribution with its vectors without and with Vanes angle of 15° for the Confluence Study Site (a) without Vanes; (b) First scenario; (c) Second scenario; (d) Third scenario	80
4.18	Simulated Velocity Distribution with its vectors without and with Vanes angle of 30° for the Confluence Study Site (a) without Vanes; (b) First scenario; (c) Second scenario; (d) Third scenario	81
4.19	Simulated Sediment Transport Distribution without and with Vanes at an angle of 15° for the Confluence Study Site (a) without Vanes; (b) First scenario; (c) Second scenario; (d) Third scenario	82

4.20	Simulated Sediment Transport Distribution without and with Vanes at an angle of 30° for the Confluence Study Site (a) without Vanes; (b) First scenario; (c) Second scenario; (d) Third scenario	83
4.21	Impact of Using Vanes on the Reduction Area in the Maximum Scouring Zone for the Studied Scenarios	85
4.22	Simulation of velocity magnitude and the locations of measured cross sections	86
4.23	Simulated average flow velocity magnitude (m/s) within one day at cross section CS1	87
4.24	Simulated average flow velocity magnitude (m/s) within one day at cross section CS2	87
4.25	Simulated average flow velocity magnitude (m/s) within one day at cross section CS3	87
4.26	Simulated average flow velocity magnitude (m/s) within one day at cross section CS4	87
4.27	Simulated average flow velocity magnitude (m/s) within one day at cross section CS5	87
4.28	Simulated average flow velocity magnitude (m/s) within one day at cross section CS6	87
4.29	Simulation of water surface elevation at Tigris branching junction	89
4.30	Depth simulation and the location of the measured cross-sections at Tigris branching junction	90
4.31	Simulated bed elevation (m) within one day at cross section CS1	91
4.32	Simulated bed elevation (m) within one day at cross section CS2	91
4.33	Simulated bed elevation (m) within one day at cross section CS3	91
4.34	Simulated bed elevation (m) within one day at cross section CS4	91
4.35	Simulated bed elevation (m) within one day at cross section CS5	91
4.36	Simulated bed elevation (m) within one day at cross section CS6	91
4.37	Comparison between measured and simulated bed elevation at CS1	94
4.38	Comparison between measured and simulated bed elevation at CS2	94
4.39	Comparison between measured and simulated bed elevation at CS5	94

4.40	Comparison between measured and simulated bed elevation at CS6	94
4.41	Simulated Flow Depth for the Branching Study Site (a) without vanes structure; (b) First scenario; (c) Second scenario; (d) Third scenario; (e) Fourth scenario	96
4.42	Simulated Velocity Distribution with its Vectors for the Branching Study Site (a) without vanes structure; (b) First scenario; (c) Second scenario; (d) Third scenario; (e) Fourth scenario	97
4.43	Simulated Bed Sediment Transport for the Branching Study Site (a) without vanes structure; (b) First scenario; (c) Second scenario; (d) Third scenario; (e) Fourth scenario	98
4.44	Impact of using vanes on velocity and reduction area in the deposition zone for the studied scenarios	101
4.45	Simulation flow depth, velocity distribution with its vectors, and bed sediment transport during low flow condition with fourth scenario (a) and (b) simulated water depth without and with vane structure respectively; (c) and (d) simulated velocity distribution with its vectors without and with vane structure respectively; (e) and (f) simulated bed sediment transport without and with vane structure respectively	102

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DEM	Digital Elevation Model
Mr	Momentum Flux Ratio
CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamics
MAD	Mean Absolute Deviation
MSE	Mean Square Error
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
MAPE	Mean Absolute Percentage Error
a.m.s.l	Above Mean Sea Level

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

The flow in river junctions whether confluence or branching in irrigation and drainage systems are essential components, referring to the fluvial systems which produce a complex hydro-morpho dynamic environment (Al Omari et al., 2018; Riley et al., 2014). There are two important aspects associated with the flow in river junctions, and these are erosion and deposition zones. Erosion zone is a morphological process that usually occurs at the beds and outer banks of confluence and branching rivers and known as scouring hole, while the deposition zone usually occurs at the inner banks (opposite to the location of erosion) and recognized as points bars or islands caused by sediment deposition. The scour hole zone is a region formed in the bed sediment erosion and considered as one of the major morphological features of channel junction (Guillén-Ludeña et al., 2016; Alomari et al., 2018). The scour hole is associated with sediment transport caused by the increased flow turbulence and velocity intensities, which led to creating secondary vortexes. These vortexes play a significant role in changing the bed morphology at the junctions (Herrero et al., 2015; Leite Ribeiro et al., 2012; Rhoads et al., 2009). In contrast, the deposition can be recognized explicitly in the separation zone created under low pressure and flow recirculation. The separation zone at channel junctions exerts a direct influence on the flow dynamics and also morphological features (Birjukova et al., 2014; Thanh et al., 2010; Best and Rhoads, 2008; Ramamurthy et al., 2007). The separation zone has a direct effect on loss of capacity in irrigation channels or threatens mechanical elements of power plants water circuits.

The size and location of the scour hole and the separation zone mainly depend on the junction angle and discharge ratio (Goudarzizadeh et al., 2010; Rhoads et al., 2009; Best., 1988; Best and Reid., 1984). In which the recent experimental work shows that the minimum scour hole and separation zone occurred at a 30° of junction angle (Alomari et al., 2018). Early studies have been focused on hydrodynamics features with rigid boundaries means that their experimental works were without sediment transport and movable bed (Taylor, 1944; Grace and Priest, 1958). This approach still received attention for a vast explanation with different parameters and geometry forms (Ramamurthy et al., 2007; Mignot et al., 2013; Seyedian et al., 2014; Herrero et al., 2016). Also, there are studies under the natural conditions to assess the flow with the morphological process (Szupiany et al., 2009; Riley et al., 2014; Casas, 2013; Redolfi et al., 2016; Yuill et al., 2016). There are many examples of natural river junctions that suffering from hydro-morpho dynamic issues, and one of the published examples is the confluence of Wahei and Xianjiapu rivers in southwest China. This confluence is exposed to flooding due to the sedimentation issue that reduces the capacity of the main river (Wang et al., 2016).

Another published example is the branching channel of the Ohio River that supply water to the electric power project. The flow in this case clearly shows the effect of the sediment deposition that create a sand bar at the entrance of the branching channel, which reduce the water supply to a side project (Neary et al., 1999).

Flooding and sedimentation that recognized at the channels junctions may founded at many other locations worldwide, and as a summary from the related studies show that the topic is important due to having relevance to real life problems. More investigations are required to mitigate and control the problems that occurred in channel junctions.

1.2 Problem Statement

River junctions such as branching and confluence are usually exist in a natural river system. Scouring and deposition are the main natural processes that usually occur at river junctions. The above processes are considered as complex hydro-morphological dynamics phenomenon that occurs due to rapid changes in the mechanism of water and sediment flow. The erosion and deposition at the river junctions are considered as critical issues (Alomari et al., 2018; Moradinejad et al., 2017; Best, 1988; Mosley, 1976). The scouring and deposition zones located in river junctions are continuously reduce the hydraulic capacity of rivers. The main consequences of the scouring and deposition are restriction in navigation, flooding and the effect on safety of structures constructed near river banks.

On the other hand, the dimensions, arrangement and inclination angle of the rehabilitation structures that can be used to control the deposition and scouring zones at the river junctions were proposed by Odgaard and Wang (1991); Mirzaei et al. (2014); Wuppukondur and Chandra (2017a; b) and others. But there were lack of studies on the best effective dimensions, arrangement and inclination angle. Alternatively, rehabilitation works can be used, but these works are costly since dredging equipment should be used to remove and maintain sediment accumulation repeatedly from time to time. Thus, managing hydro-morpho dynamic at the channel junction is still considered as challenging (Kalathil et al., 2018; Wuppukondur and Chandra, 2017a).

The related studies were mainly parametric type for predicting, characterization and simulation of scouring and deposition zones. Only few studies on controlling and managing these morphological features were focused on using submerged vanes in different strategies (Odgaard and Wang, 1991; Neill et al., 1997; Michell et al., 2006; Beygipoor et al., 2013; Wuppukondur and Chandra, 2017a; b). Disadvantages of using submerged vanes are limited to discharge ratio, producing non-uniform of velocity distribution due to its numbers (Barkdoll et al., 1999), and affect the navigation in rivers.

The hydraulic performance of the rehabilitation structures depends mainly on its location, angles, dimensions, and morphological conditions (Karmaker and Dutta, 2016; USACE, 2012). For this reason, no specific criteria are used for designing an obstacle in a river system. Thus, in this study, unsubmerged vanes were used as a control structure in the confluence and branching junctions. Physical or numerical simulation was required to optimize the design of the unsubmerged vane. However, using physical models entails several limitations, such as high cost, steady flow (hydraulic similarity), and scale effect. By contrast, numerical models are low-cost and can be used efficiently for unsteady mobile bed conditions.

1.3 Objectives

The purpose of this research work was to study hydro-morpho dynamics of confluence and branching rivers with the consideration of introducing different arrangements of unsubmerged vanes at suitable location of these river junctions in order to minimize erosion and deposition zones. While the specific objectives are:

1. To characterize the features of hydro-morpho dynamics in confluence and branching rivers using data obtained from the field measurements
2. To simulate the hydro-morpho dynamics patterns at the confluence and branching rivers using the 2D Mflow_02 solver
3. To determine the best location, dimension, and inclination angle of the unsubmerged vanes to mitigate the erosion and deposition zones.

1.4 Scope and Limitation of the Study

The scope of the present study covers the examination of the hydro-morpho dynamics of river junctions based on field measurements and numerical simulation under various flow conditions with and without control structures, while the limitations of the study are

1. Two real cases of river junctions were numerically examined, and these cases include a river confluence at the tropical region and under uncontrolled flow condition (confluence of Kurau and Ara rivers, Perak, Malaysia) and a branching river at the temperate region and under controlled flow condition (branching of Tigris river at Missan, Iraq).
2. The confluence between Kurau and Ara rivers are with an angle of 135° , range of discharges between 9 to $43 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ and average median particle diameter (d_{50}) of 1.1 mm (Sirdari 2013; DID 2009; Teo et al., 2017)
3. The branching of the Tigris river is with an angle of 50° . The field data was measured during high and low flow seasons. The discharges were found to be $247.239 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ and $119.298 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ for high and low seasons respectively, and average median particle of bed material (d_{50}) was 0.055 mm
4. Available DEM data were used for mapping the scouring and deposition zones at river junctions

5. A 2D numerical solver of Mflow_02 for both hydrodynamics and morphology simulation was used in this study. The solver is limited to three equations for calculating sediment transport, and these equations are Meyer-Peter and Müller formula (1948), Ashida and Michiue formula (1972) and Engelund-Hansen formula (1974).
6. Also, the solver is limited to three methods for calculating the turbulence field (flow with large and small eddies) and these methods are zero-equation model, k- ϵ model, and direct input kinematic eddy viscosity
7. For accurate simulation, fine unstructured grids consisted of 6136 nodes were created to cover the area of the river confluence, and 4511 nodes to cover the area of branching river
8. The calibration of the solver is conducted by adjusting nodes number, time step, turbulence model, and Manning roughness. The nodes number, time step, and turbulence model are determined by trial and error while the initial Manning roughness is recommended by Sirdari (2013) and Teo et al. (2017) for the case of a river confluence and by the Ministry of Water Resources, Iraq and Ali (2016) for the branching river case.
9. The geometry, location, and angle of the vanes were arbitrarily selected and used in the numerical investigation

1.5 The significance of the study

A 2D of hydro-morpho dynamic model was used to simulate two different river junctions which include uncontrolled river confluence at a tropical region and controlled river branching at a temperate region. A combined field measurement and acquired data were used in the model calibration and validation before simulating the hydro-morpho dynamic at the selected river junctions with and without control structures. The simulation included various scenarios for controlling scour and deposition zones at the river junctions. The scenarios also included introducing vanes with different arrangements for minimizing scouring and deposition zones and enhancing river flow regime. The most significant solution which gives minimum scouring and deposition zones was included in the simulations of this study.

1.6 Thesis Layout

The thesis was composed of five chapters. Chapter One, presents a general description of the flow in river junctions with the main critical features that found in river junctions, the problem statement, the objectives of the study, the scope and limitations and the significance of the study.

In Chapter Two, relevant literatures of hydro-morpho dynamics features and sediment transport at the confluence and branching rivers are reviewed extensively. This chapter reviews recent works done in laboratories, field sites, and numerical simulations. Finally, a summary of the literature review including research gap was cleared and listed.

Chapter Three illustrates the methodology of this study, starting with the explanation of the selected case studies, which includes the demonstration of fieldwork and data acquisition for the first case, the confluence of Kurau and Ara rivers and the second case, the branching of the Tigris River. The data of water discharge, bed material samples, and calculations of the critical velocity of sediment inception motion are displayed. Model background, structure, characteristics and the governing equations are also illustrated. Model implementation and boundary conditions for the selected river junctions as well as the simulation procedures without and with vanes were explained, and then four statistical error indices were explained to assess the accuracy of the model prediction.

Chapter Four displays the results of the study, which characterized the main features for the confluence and branching cases based on the field measurements. Models calibration, validation and simulation results of different scenarios were presented as figures, tables and discussed based on each objective of the study individually. Finally, Chapter Five presents a conclusion and novelty of the study, as well as recommendations for future studies.

REFERENCES

- Abdel Haleem, F., Helal, Y., Ibrahim, S., & Sobeih, M. (2008). Sediment control at river intakes using a single row of vanes. *Ain Shams Journal of Civil Engineering*, 2, 395-401.
- Ali, A. (2016). Three Dimensional Hydro-Morphological Modeling of Tigris River (Doctoral dissertation, Luleå tekniska universitet).
- Allahyonesi, H., Omid, M. H., & Haghiabi, A. H. (2008). A study of the effects of the longitudinal arrangement sediment behavior near intake structures. *Journal of Hydraulic Research*, 46(6), 814-819.
- Alomari, N. K., Yusuf, B., Mohammad, T. A., & Ghazali, A. H. (2018). Experimental investigation of scour at a channel junctions of different diversion angles and bed width ratios. *CATENA*, 166, 10-20.
- Alsawaf, M., Ghazali, A. H., Mohammad, T. A., Ab Ghani, A., & Yusuf, B. (2019). Movable-Bed Experiments Using Spur Dike to Concentrate Flow in One Channel of Multithreaded Channel Model. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 145(5), 06019006.
- Ashmore, P. E., Ferguson, R. I., Prestegard, K. L., Ashworth, P. J., & Paola, C. (1992). Secondary flow in anabranch confluences of a braided, gravel-bed stream. *Earth Surf. Process. Landforms*, 17: 299–311. doi:10.1002/esp.3290170308
- Ashmore, P., & Gardner, and J. T. (2008). Unconfined Confluences in Braided Rivers. In S. P. Rice, B. Rhoads, L., & R. A. G. (Eds.), *River Confluences, Tributaries and the Fluvial Network*. Chichester: John Wiley and Sons.
- Ashida, K., & Michiue, M. (1972). Study on hydraulic resistance and bedload transport rate in alluvial streams. in *Transcripts of the Japan Society for Civil Engineers*, pp. 59–69.
- Ashida, K., & Michiue, M. (1971). Studies on bed load transportation for nonuniform sediment and river bed variation. *Disaster Prevention Research Institute Annuals*, 14.
- Baker, D. W., Bledsoe, B. P., Albano, C. M., & Poff, N. L. (2011). Downstream effects of diversion dams on sediment and hydraulic conditions of Rocky Mountain streams. *River research and applications*, 27(3), 388-401.
- Barkdoll, B. D., Ettema, R., & Odgaard, A. J. (1999). Sediment control at lateral diversions: limits and enhancements to vane use. *Journal of hydraulic engineering*, 125(8), 862-870.
- Beygipoor, G., Bajestan, M. S., Kaskuli, H. A., & Nazari, S. (2013). The effects of submerged vane angle on sediment entry to an intake from a 90 degree

converged bend. *Advances in Environmental Biology*, 7(9), 2283-2292

- Benda, L. E. E., Andras, K., Miller, D., & Bigelow, P. (2004). Confluence effects in rivers: interactions of basin scale, network geometry, and disturbance regimes. *Water Resources Research*, 40(5).
- Best, J. L. (1986). The Morphology of River Channel Confluences. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 10, 157–174.
- Best, J. L. (1987). Flow Dynamics At River Channel Confluences: Implications for Sediment Transport and Bed Morphology. *Recent Developments in Fluvial Sedimentology*, (pp. 27–35). SEPM (Society for Sedimentary Geology). <https://doi.org/10.2110/pec.87.39.0027>
- Best, J. L. (1988). Sediment transport and bed morphology at river channel confluences. *Sedimentology*, 35, 481–498. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3091.1988.tb00999.x>
- Best, & Reid. (1984). Separation Zone At Open-Channel Junctions. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 110(10), 1588–1594. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9429\(1984\)110:11\(1588\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(1984)110:11(1588))
- Best, J. L., & Ashworth, P. J. (1997). Scour in large braided rivers and the recognition of sequence stratigraphic boundaries. *Nature*, 387(6630), 275–277. <https://doi.org/10.1038/387275a0>
- Best, J. L., & Roy, A. G. (1991). Mixing-layer distortion at the confluence of channels of different depth. *Nature*, 350(6317), 411–413. <https://doi.org/10.1038/350411a0>
- Best, & Rhoads. (2008). Sediment Transport, Bed Morphology and the Sedimentology of River Channel Confluences. In *River Confluences, Tributaries and the Fluvial Network* (pp. 45–72). Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470760383.ch4>
- Bhuiyan, F., Hey, R. D., & Wormleaton, P. R. (2010). Bank-attached vanes for bank erosion control and restoration of river meanders. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 136(9), 583-596.
- Bhuiyan, F., Hey, R. D., & Wormleaton, P. R. (2010). Bank-attached vanes for bank erosion control and restoration of river meanders. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 136(9), 583-596.
- Birjukova, O., Guillen, S., Alegria, F., & Cardoso, A. (2014). Three dimensional flow field at confluent fixed-bed open channels. *Proc. River Flow*. Retrieved from <http://infoscience.epfl.ch/record/202026/files/2014-989>
- Biron, P., Best, J. L., & Roy, A. G. (1996). Effects of Bed Discordance on Flow Dynamics at Open Channel Confluences. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 122(12), 676–682. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-)

9429(1996)122:12(676)

- Biron, P. M., Ramamurthy, A. S., Asce, F., & Han, S. (2004). Three-Dimensional Numerical Modeling of Mixing at River Confluences, *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 130 (3), 243–253. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9429\(2004\)130:3\(243\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(2004)130:3(243))
- Biron, P. M., Richer, A., Kirkbride, A. D., Roy, A. G., & Han, S. (2002). Spatial patterns of water surface topography at a river confluence. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 27(9), 913–928. <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.359>
- Biron, P., Roy, A., Best, J. L., & Boyer, C. J. (1993). Bed morphology and sedimentology at the confluence of unequal depth channels. *Geomorphology*, 8(2–3), 115–129. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-555X\(93\)90032-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-555X(93)90032-W)
- Boyer, C., Roy, A. G., & Best, J. L. (2006). Dynamics of a river channel confluence with discordant beds: Flow turbulence, bed load sediment transport, and bed morphology. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 111(4), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JF000458>
- Bradbrook, K. F., Biron, P. M., Lane, S. N., Richards, K. S., & Roy, A. G. (1998). Investigation of controls on secondary circulation in a simple confluence geometry using a three dimensional numerical model. *Hydrological Processes Hydrol. Process*, 1396(November 1996), 1371–1396. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-1085\(19980630\)12:8<1371::AID-HYP620>3.0.CO;2-C](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(19980630)12:8<1371::AID-HYP620>3.0.CO;2-C)
- Bradbrook, K. F., Lane, S. N. & Richards, K. S. (2000). Numerical simulation of three-dimensional, time-averaged flow structure at river channel confluences, *Water Resour. Res.*, 36(9), 2731–2746, doi:10.1029/2000WR900011.
- Bryan, R. B., & Kuhn, N. J. (2002). Hydraulic conditions in experimental rill confluences and scour in erodible soils, *Water Resour. Res.*, 38(5), doi:10.1029/2000WR000140,
- Bulle, H., (1926). Untersuchungen über die geschiebeableitung bei der spaltung von Wasserläufen. *Forschungsarbeiten auf dem Gebiete des Ingenieurwesens* 282, 57–84 (in German).
- Casas, A. H. (2013). Experimental and theoretical analysis of flow and sediment transport in 90-degree fluvial diversions. (Doctoral dissertation). University Politecnica of Catalunya, Barcelona.
- Connor, J. J., & Brebbia, C.A. (1976). *Finite Element for Fluid Flow*. Newnes Butterworths, London and Boston, 32(6), 502.
- Constantinescu, G., Miyawaki, S., Rhoads, B., Sukhodolov A., & Kirkil, G. (2011). Structure of turbulent flow at a river confluence with momentum and velocity ratios close to 1: Insight provided by an eddy-resolving numerical simulation, *Water Resour. Res.*, 47, W05507, doi:10.1029/2010WR010018.

- Davoodi, L., & Bejestan, M. S. (2012). Control of sediment entry to intake on a trapezoidal channel by submerged vane. *Ecol Environ Conserv*, 18(1), 165-169.
- DID (2009) Department of irrigation and drainage Malaysia. Study on river sand mining capacity in Malaysia.
- Egiazaroff, I. V. (1965). Calculation of nonuniform sediment concentrations. *Journal of the Hydraulics Division*, 91(4), 225-247.
- Engelund, F. (1974). Flow and bed topography in channel bends. *Journal of the Hydraulics Division*, 100(Proc. Paper 10963).
- Ettema R. (2008). River Confluences, Tributaries and the Fluvial Network - Google Books. In R. SP, R. AG, & R. BL (Eds.), *Management of confluences* (pp. 93–118). John Wiley & Sons: Chichester.
- Fischer, Hugo B. (1973). Longitudinal dispersion and turbulent mixing in open-channel flow. *Annual review of fluid mechanics* 5, no. 1: 59-78.
- Gaudet, J. M., & Roy, A. G. (1995). Effect of bed morphology on flow mixing length at river confluences. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/373138a0>
- Ghostine, R., Vazquez, J., Terfous, A., Rivière, N., Ghenaim, A., & Mosé, R. (2013). A comparative study of 1D and 2D approaches for simulating flows at right angled dividing junctions. *Applied Mathematics and computation*, 219(10), 5070-5082.
- Guillén-Ludeña, S., Franca, M. J., Cardoso, A. H., & Schleiss, A. J. (2016). Evolution of the hydromorphodynamics of mountain river confluences for varying discharge ratios and junction angles. *Geomorphology*, 255, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.12.006>
- Guillén-Ludeña, S., Franca, M. J., Cardoso, A. H., & Schleiss, A. J. (2015). Hydro-morphodynamic evolution in a 90° movable bed discordant confluence with low discharge ratio. *Earth Surf. Process. Landforms*, 40: 1927–1938. doi: 10.1002/esp.3770.
- Goudarzizadeh, R., Hedayat, N., & Jahromi, S. M. (2010). Three-dimensional simulation of flow pattern at the lateral intake in straight path, using finite-volume method. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 47, 656-661.
- Grace, J. L., & Priest, M. S. (1958). *Division of flow in open channel junctions*. Engineering Experiment Station, Alabama Polytechnic Institute.
- Hasegawa, K., (1984). Hydraulic Study on Alluvial Meandering Channel Planes and Bedform Topography-affected Flows, Doctoral paper, Hokkaido University, pp. 1-184.

- Herrero, A., Bateman, A., & Medina, V. (2015). Water flow and sediment transport in a 90° channel diversion: an experimental study. *Journal of Hydraulic Research*, 53(2), 253-263.
- Herrero Casas, A. (2013). Experimental and theoretical analysis of flow and sediment transport in 90-degree fluvial diversions. (Doctoral dissertation, Universitat Politècnica De Catalunya)
- Herrero, H. S., García, C. M., Pedocchi, F., López, G., Szupiany, R. N., & Pozzi-Piacenza, C. E. (2016). Flow structure at a confluence: experimental data and the bluff body analogy. *Journal of Hydraulic Research*, 54(3), 263-274.
- Huang, J., Weber, L. J., & Lai, Y. G. (2002). Three-Dimensional Numerical Study of Flows in Open-Channel Junctions, *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 128 (3), 268–280. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9429\(2002\)128:3\(268\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(2002)128:3(268))
- iRIC (2014). Mflow_02 Solver Manual. Produced by Mineyuki Gamou, Released July 10, 2014, at <http://i-ric.org/en/software/?c=19>.
- Iwagaki, Y. (1956). Hydrodynamical Study on Critical Tractive Force, *Journal of Japan Society of Civil Engineering*, Vol. 41, pp. 1-21.
- Julien, P. Y., Ghani, A. A., Zakaria, N. A., Abdullah, R., & Chang, C. K. (2010). Case study: flood mitigation of the Muda river, Malaysia. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 136(4), 251-261.
- Kalathil, S. T., Wuppukondur, A., Balakrishnan, R. K., & Chandra, V. (2018). Control of Sediment Inflow into a Trapezoidal Intake Canal Using Submerged Vanes. *Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal, and Ocean Engineering*, 144(6), 04018020.
- Karmaker, T., & Dutta, S. (2016). Prediction of short-term morphological change in large braided river using 2D numerical model. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 142(10), 04016039.
- Kerssens, P. J., & Van Urk, A. (1986). Experimental studies on sedimentation due to water withdrawal. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 112(7), 641-656.
- Kleinhans, M. G., Ferguson, R. I., Lane, S. N., & Hardy, R. J. (2013). Splitting rivers at their seams: bifurcations and avulsion. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 38(1), 47-61.
- Kubit, O., & Ettema, R. (2001). Debris-and ice-skimming booms at riverside diversions. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 127(6), 489-498.
- Lane, S. N., Bradbrook, K. F., Richards, K. S., Biron, P. M., & Roy, A. G. (1999). Time-averaged flow structure in the central region of a stream confluence: A discussion. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 24(4), 361–367. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9837\(199904\)24:4<361::AID-ESP982>3.0.CO;2-5](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9837(199904)24:4<361::AID-ESP982>3.0.CO;2-5)

- Lane, S. N., Bradbrook, K. F., Richards, K. S., Biron, P. M., & Roy, A. G. (2000). Secondary circulation cells in river channel confluences: measurement artefacts or coherent flow structures? *Hydrological Processes*, 14(June 1998), 2047–2071. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-1085\(20000815/30\)14:11/12<2047::aid-hyp54>3.0.co;2-4](https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-1085(20000815/30)14:11/12<2047::aid-hyp54>3.0.co;2-4)
- Lama, S. K., Kuroki, M., & Hasegawa, K. (2002). Study of flow bifurcation at the 30° open channel junction when the width ratio of branch channel to main channel is large. *Annual Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, JSCE*, Vol.46, February, 583-588.
- Leite Ribeiro, M., Blanckaert, K., Roy, A. G., & Schleiss, A. J. (2012). Flow and sediment dynamics in channel confluences. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 117(1). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JF002171>
- Liu, T., Chen, L., & Fan, B. (2013). Experimental study on flow pattern and sediment transportation at a 90 ° open-channel confluence. *International Journal of Sediment Research*, 27(2), 178–187. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-6279\(12\)60026-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-6279(12)60026-2)
- Liu, T., Fan, B., & Lu, J. (2015). Sediment – flow interactions at channel confluences: A flume study. *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, 7(6), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1687814015590525>
- Martín-Vide, J. P. P., Plana-Casado, a., Sambola, a., & Capapé, S. (2015). Bedload transport in a river confluence. *Geomorphology*, 250, 15–28. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.07.050>
- McLelland, S., Ashworth, P., & Best, J. (1996). The origin and downstream development of coherent flow structures at channel junctions. Retrieved from <http://eprints.brighton.ac.uk/id/eprint/11588>
- Meyer-Peter, E., & Müller, R. (1948) Formulas for bed-load transport. Proceedings of the 2nd Meeting of the International Association for Hydraulic Structures Research, Stockholm, Sweden, 2(2), 39-64.
- Meselhe, E.A., Sadid, K.M., & Allison, M.A. (2016). Riverside morphological response to pulsed sediment diversions. *Geomorphology* 270, 184–202. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.07.050>
- Moghadam, M. K., & Keshavarzi, A. R. (2010). An optimised water intake with the presence of submerged vanes in irrigation canals. *Irrigation and drainage*, 59(4), 432-441.
- Mignot, E., Zeng, C., Dominguez, G., Li, C. W., Rivière, N., & Bazin, P. H. (2013). Impact of topographic obstacles on the discharge distribution in open-channel bifurcations. *Journal of Hydrology*, 494, 10-19.
- Mignot, E., Doppler, D., Riviere, N., Vinkovic, I., Gence, J. N., & Simoens, S. (2013). Analysis of flow separation using a local frame axis: Application to the open-

channel bifurcation. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 140(3), 280-290.

- Michell, F., Ettema, R., & Muste, M. (2006). Case study: Sediment control at water intake for large thermal-power station on a small river. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 132(5), 440-449.
- Mirzaei, S. H. S., Ayyoubzadeh, S. A., & Firoozfar, A. R. (2014). The effect of submerged-vanes on formation location of the saddle point in lateral intake from a straight channel. *American Journal of Civil Engineering and Architecture*, 2(1), 26-33
- Miller, G. (2004). Mississippi river-west bay sediment diversion. In *Critical transitions in water and environmental resources management* (pp. 1-7). ASCE
- Mohammed, T. A., Al-Hassoun, S., & Ghazali, A. H. (2011). Prediction of flood levels along a stretch of the Langat river with insufficient hydrological data. *Pertanika J. Sci. Technol*, 19(2), 237-248.
- Moradinejad, A., Haghiabi, A. H., Saneie, M., & Yonesi, H. (2017). Investigating the effect of a skimming wall on controlling the sediment entrance at lateral intakes. *Water Science and Technology: Water Supply*, 17(4), 1121-1132.
- Mosley, M. (1976). An experimental study of channel confluences. *The Journal of Geology*. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/30066212>
- Ministry of Water Resources – Iraq (MoWR), unpublished report.
- Nakato, T., & Ogden, F. L. (1998). Sediment control at water intakes along sand-bed rivers. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 124(6), 589-596.
- Nazari-giglou, A., & Jabbari-sahebari, A. (2014). An Experimental Study of Sediment Transport in Channel Confluences. *International Journal of Sediment Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsrc.2014.08.001>
- Neary, V. S., & Odgaard, A. J. (1993). Three-dimensional flow structure at open-channel diversions. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 119(11), 1223-1230.
- Neary, V., Sotiropoulos, F., & Odgaard, A. (1999). Three-dimensional numerical model of lateral-intake inflows. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 125(2), 126-140.
- Neill, C. R., Evans, B. J., Odgaard, A. J., & Wang, Y. (1997). Discussion and Closure: Sediment Control at Water Intakes. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 123(7), 670-671.
- Nelson, J. M., Shimizu, Y., Abe, T., Asahi, K., Gamou, M., Inoue, T., ... & Kyuka, T. (2016). The international river interface cooperative: Public domain flow and morphodynamics software for education and applications. *Advances in Water Resources*, 93, 62-74.

- Odgaard, A. J. (2009). River training and sediment management with submerged vanes. Virginia, US: ASCE Press.
- Odgaard, A. J., & Spoljaric, A. (1986). Sediment control by submerged vanes. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 112(12), 1164-1180.
- Odgaard, A. J., & Wang, Y. (1991). Sediment management with submerged vanes. I: Theory. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 117, 267–283.
- Ouyang, H. T., Lai, J. S., Yu, H., & Lu, C. H. (2008). Interaction between submerged vanes for sediment management. *Journal of Hydraulic Research*, 46(5), 620-627.
- Omidbeigi, M. A., Ayyoubzadeh, S. A., & Safarzadeh, A. (2009). Experimental and numerical investigations of velocity field and bed shear stresses in a channel with lateral intake. In *33rd IAHR Congress, Vancouver, Canada* (pp. 1284-1291).
- Parsons, D. R., Best, J. L., Lane, S. N., Kostaschuk, R. A., Hardy, R. J., & Orfeo, O. (2008). River Confluences, Tributaries and the Fluvial Network - Google Books. In R. SP, R. AG, & R. BL (Eds.), *Large river channel confluences* (pp. 73–91). John Wiley & Sons: Chichester. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470760383>
- Parsons, D. R., Best, J. L., & Stuart N. Lane, Oscar Orfeo, Richard J. Hardy, R. K. (2007). High spatial resolution data acquisition for the geosciences: kite aerial photography. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 34, 155–161. <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp>
- Papanicolaou, A. N., Elhakeem, M., Dermisis, D., & Young, N. (2011). Evaluation of the Missouri River shallow water habitat using a 2D-hydrodynamic model. *River Res. Appl.*, 27(2), 157–167.
- Papanicolaou, A. N., Elhakeem, M., & Wardman, B. (2010). Calibration and verification of a 2D-hydrodynamic model for simulating flow around bendway weir structures. *J. Hydr. Eng.*, 10.1061/(ASCE)HY.1943-7900.0000280, 75–89.
- Papanicolaou, A. T. N., Elhakeem, M., Krallis, G., Prakash, S., & Edinger, J. (2008). Sediment transport modeling review—current and future developments. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 134(1), 1-14.
- Pinto, L., Fortunato, A., & Freire, P. (2006). Sensitivity analysis of non-cohesive sediment transport formulae. *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 26(15), 1826–1839.
- Ramamurthy, A.S., Qu, J., & Vo, D. (2007). Numerical and experimental study of dividing open-channel flows. *J. Hydraul. Eng.* 133, 1135–1144. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9429\(2007\)133:10\(1135\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(2007)133:10(1135))

- Ramamurthy, A., Zhu, W., & Carballada, B. (1996). Dividing rectangular closed conduit flows. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 122(12), 687-691.
- Redolfi, M., Zolezzi, G., & Tubino, M. (2016). Free instability of channel bifurcations and morphodynamic influence. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 799, 476-504
- Rezapour, S., Moghadam, K. F., & Omid Naceni, S. T. (2009). Experimental study of flow and sedimentation at 90° openchannel diversion. *Proceedings of the 33rd IAHR Congress, Vancouver, 2009* (pp. 2979–2986).
- Rezaur, R. B., Jayawardena, A. W., & Hossain, M. M. (1999). Factors affecting confluence scour. In A. W. Jayawardena, J. H. W. Lee, & Z. Y. W. (Eds.) (Eds.), *River Sedimentation: theory and applications* (pp. 187–192). Balkema, Rotterdam.
- Rhoads B. L. (1996). Mean structure of transport-effective flows at an asymmetrical confluence when the main stream is dominant. In P. J. Ashworth, J. S. J. Bennett, L. Best, & S. J. McLelland (Eds.), *Coherent Flow Structures in Open Channels* (pp. 491–517). Chichester, UK: Wiley.
- Rhoads, B. L., Riley, J. D., & Mayer, D. R. (2009). Geomorphology Response of bed morphology and bed material texture to hydrological conditions at an asymmetrical stream confluence. *Geomorphology*, 109(3–4), 161–173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2009.02.029>
- Rhoads, B., & Kenworthy, S. (1995). Flow structure at an asymmetrical stream confluence. *Geomorphology*, 11(4), 273-293 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0169555X94000694>
- Rhoads, B. L., & Sukhodolov, A. N. (2004). Spatial and temporal structure of shear layer turbulence at a stream confluence, *Water Resour. Res.*, 40, 1–13.
- Rhoads, B. L., & Sukhodolov, A. N. (2008). Lateral momentum flux and the spatial evolution of flow within a confluence mixing interface, *Water Resour. Res.*, 44, W08440, doi:10.1029/2007WR006634.
- Rhoads, B. L., & Sukhodolov, N. (2001). Field investigation of three-dimensional flow structure. *Water Resources Research*, 37(9), 2393–2410. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001WR000316>
- Ribeiro, M. L., Blanckaert, K., Boillat, J., Schleiss, A. J., & Polytechnique, E. (2012). Hydromorphological implications of local tributary widening for river rehabilitation. *Water Resources Research*, 48(10), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011WR011296>
- Rice, S. P., Roy, A. G., & Rhoads, B. L. (2008). River Confluences, Tributaries and the Fluvial Network. *River Confluences, Tributaries and the Fluvial Network*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470760383>

- Riley J. D., Rhoads B. L., Parsons D. R. & Johnson K. K. (2014). Influence of junction angle on three-dimensional flow structure and bed morphology at confluent meander bends during different hydrological conditions, *Earth Surf. Process. Landforms*, 40, pages 252–271, doi: 10.1002/esp.3624.
- Roberts, M. (2004). Flow dynamics at open channel confluent-meander bends. Retrieved from <http://ethos.bl.uk/OrderDetails.do?uin=uk.bl.ethos.414170>
- Roy, A., & Bergeron, N. (1990). Flow and particle paths at a natural river confluence with coarse bed material. *Geomorphology*, 3(2), 99–112. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-555X\(90\)90039-S](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-555X(90)90039-S)
- Roy, A. G., Roy, R., & Bergeron, N. (1988). Hydraulic geometry and changes in flow velocity at a river confluence with coarse bed material. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 13, 583–598. <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3290130704>
- Schindfessel, L., Creëlle, S., & De Mulder, T. (2015). Flow patterns in an open channel confluence with increasingly dominant tributary inflow. *Water (Switzerland)*, 7(9), 4724–4751. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w7094724>
- Seyedian, S.M., Bajestan, M.S., & Farasati, M. (2014). Effect of bank slope on the flow patterns in river intakes. *J. Hydrodyn.* 26, 482–492. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1001-6058\(14\)60055-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1001-6058(14)60055-X).
- Serres, B. De, Roy, G., Biron, P. M., & Best, J. L. (1999). Three-dimensional structure of flow at a confluence of river channels with discordant beds. *Geomorphology*, 26(4), 313–335. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X\(98\)00064-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(98)00064-6)
- Shaheed, R. (2016). 3D Numerical Modelling of Secondary Current in Shallow River Bends and Confluences. Master thesis, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada.
- Shamloo, H., & Pirzadeh, B. (2007b). Numerical investigation of velocity field in dividing open-channel flow. *Proceedings of the 12th WSEAS International Conference on APPLIED MATHEMATICS*, Cairo, Egypt, Desember 29-31, 194-198.
- Shakibainia, A., Reza, M., Tabatabai, M., & Zarrati, A. R. (2010). Three-dimensional numerical study of flow structure in channel confluences. *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 37(5), 772–781. <https://doi.org/10.1139/L10-016>
- Simons, D. B., & Şentürk, F. (1992). *Sediment transport technology: water and sediment dynamics*. Water Resources Publication.
- Sinnakaudan, S. K., Ab Ghani, A., Ahmad, M. S. S., & Zakaria, N. A. (2003). Flood risk mapping for Pari River incorporating sediment transport. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 18(2), 119-130.

- Sirdari, Z. Z., (2013). Bedload Transport for Small Rivers in Malaysia, (May). Retrieved from https://www.academia.edu/4147500/Bed_Load_Transport_of_Small_Rivers_in_Malaysia
- Sirdari, Z. Z., Ab Ghani, A., & Hassan, Z. A. (2014). Bedload transport of small rivers in Malaysia. *International Journal of Sediment Research*, 29(4), 481–490. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-6279\(14\)60061-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-6279(14)60061-5)
- Song, C. G., Seo, I. W., & Kim, Y. Do. (2012). Analysis of secondary current effect in the modeling of shallow flow in open channels. *Advances in Water Resources*, 41, 29–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.02.003>
- Sukhodolov, A. N., & Rhoads, B. L. (2001). Field investigation of three-dimensional flow structure at stream confluences. *Water Resources*, 37(9), 2411–2424. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001WR000316>
- Sukhodolov, A. N., & Rhoads, B. L. (2001). Field investigation of three-dimensional flow structure at stream confluences: 2. Turbulence, *Water Resour. Res.*, 37(9), 2411–2424, doi:10.1029/2001WR000317.
- Szupiany, R. N., Amsler, M. L., Parsons, D. R., & Best J. L. (2009). Morphology, flow structure, and suspended bed sediment transport at two large braid-bar confluences, *Water Resour. Res.*, 45, W05415, doi:10.1029/2008WR007428.
- Tancock, M. J. (2014). The Dynamics of Upland River Confluences, Durham theses, Durham University. Available at Durham E-Theses Online: <http://etheses.dur.ac.uk/10527/>
- Taylor, E. H. (1944). Flow characteristics at rectangular open-channel junctions. *Transactions of the American Society of Civil Engineers*, 109(1), 893-902.
- Teo, F. Y., Noh, M. N. M., Ghani, A. A., & Zakaria, N. A. (2017). River Sand Mining Capacity In Malaysia. *Proceedings of the 37th IAHR World Congress*, August 13 – 18, 2017, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 538-546.
- Thanh, M., Kimura, I., Shimizu, Y., & Hosoda, T. (2010). Depth-averaged 2D models with effects of secondary currents for computation of flow at a channel confluence. *Proceedings of the River Flow 2010*, 137–44.
- Toombes, L., & Chanson, H. (2011). Numerical Limitations of Hydraulic Models. In *Proceedings of 10th Hydraulics Conference 34th IAHR World Congress - Balance and Uncertainty, 33rd Hydrology and Water Resources Symposium*. 26 June - 1 July, Brisbane, Australia.
- USACE (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers), (2012). Upper Mississippi river restoration environmental management program, environmental design handbook.
- Vanoni, V. A. (1975). *Sedimentation Engineering: American Society of Civil Engineers, Manuals and Reports on Engineering Practice. No. 54. P, 745.*

- Wallis, E., Mac Nally, R., & Lake, P. S. (2008). A Bayesian analysis of physical habitat changes at tributary confluences in cobble-bed upland streams of the Acheron River basin, Australia. *Water Resources Research*, 44(11), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008WR006831>
- Wang, Y., Odgaard, A. J., Melville, B. W., & Jain, S. C. (1996). Sediment control at water intakes. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 122(6), 353-356.
- Wang, X., Wang, H., Yan, X., Liu, X., & Duan, H. F. (2016). Experimental study on the hydrodynamic influence of river flow confluence to the open channel stage-discharge relationship. *Journal of Hydraulic Research–IAHR*.
- Watanabe A., Fukuoka, S., Yasutake, Y., & Kawaguchi, H. (2001). Method for Arranging Vegetation Groins at Bends for Control of Bed Variation, *Collection of Papers on River Engineering*, Vol. 7, pp. 285-290.
- Webel, G., & Schatzmann, M. (1984). Transverse mixing in open channel flow. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 110(4), 423-435.
- Wuppukondur, A., & Chandra, V. (2017a). Methods to control bed erosion at 90° river confluence: an experimental study. *International Journal of River Basin Management*, 15(3), 297-307.
- Weber, L. J., Schumate, E. D. & Mawer, N. (2001). Experiments on flow at a 90 open-channel confluence. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, Vol. 127, No. 5, pp. 340-350. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9429\(2001\)127:5\(340\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(2001)127:5(340))
- Wuppukondur, A., & Chandra, V. (2017b). Control of Bed Erosion at 60° River Confluence Using Vanes and Piles. *International Journal of Civil Engineering*, 1-9.
- Yang, Q. Y., Liu, T. H., Lu, W. Z., & Wang, X. K. (2013). Numerical Simulation of Confluence Flow in Open Channel with Dynamic Meshes Techniques, Volume 2013, Article ID 860431, 10 pages. *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/860431>
- Yang C. T. (1996). *Sediment Transport Theory and Practice*, the McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., New York. - *Google Scholar*. New York: Mc GrawHill Companies.
- Yousefi, S., Ghiassi, R., & Yousefi, S. (2011). Modelling and analyzing flow diversion in branching channels with symmetric geometry. *River Res. Appl.* 27, 805–813. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/rra.1393>.
- Yuan, S., Tang, H., Xiao, Y., Qiu, X., Zhang, H., & Yu, D. (2016). Turbulent flow structure at a 90-degree open channel confluence: Accounting for the distortion of the shear layer. *Journal of Hydro-Environment Research*, 12, 130–147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jher.2016.05.006>

Yuill, B. T., Khadka, A. K., Pereira, J., Allison, M. A., & Meselhe, E. A. (2016). Morphodynamics of the erosional phase of crevasse-splay evolution and implications for river sediment diversion function. *Geomorphology*, 259, 12-29.

Zhang, Y., Wang, P., Wu, B., & Hou, S. (2015). An experimental study of fluvial processes at asymmetrical river confluences with hyperconcentrated tributary flows. *Geomorphology*, 230, 26-36.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.11.001>



BIODATA OF STUDENT

Hydar Lafta Ali was born on 8 May 1983 in Baghdad, Iraq. He obtained his Bachelor's Degree (B.Sc. in Water Resources Engineering) from the department of Water Resources, college of Engineering, university of Baghdad, JUL 2006. After graduation, he employed at Ministry of Water Resources/Center for the Restoration of the Iraqi Marshlands and Wetlands (CRIMW) since August 2006.

He received his M.Sc. research in Water Resources Engineering, Faculty of Engineering. Universiti Putra Malaysia in August, 2015 with Thesis on "ASSESSMENT OF SEDIMENT TRANSPORT ESTIMATION METHODS IN SELECTED CHANNELS"

He started his PhD research in Water Resources Engineering, Faculty of Engineering. Universiti Putra Malaysia in September, 2016 and the result of this research is reported in this thesis.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Hydar L. Ali, Badronnisa Yusuf, Thamer Ahamed Mohammed, Mohd Shahrizal Ab Razak, Yasuyuki Shimizu, Balqis Mohamed Rehan. (2019). Improving the Hydro-Morpho Dynamics of A River Confluence by Using Vanes. *Resources*, 8(1), 9. indexed in ISI and Q1 in Scopus, open access
- Hydar L. Ali, Badronnisa Yusuf, Thamer Ahamed Mohammed, Yasuyuki Shimizu, Mohd Shahrizal Ab Razak, Balqis Mohamed Rehan. (2019). Assessment of Vanes Effectiveness in Controlling Erosion and Deposition Zones at a River Confluence using a 2D mode. *International Journal of Integrated Engineering*, 11(2), indexed in Scopus and ISI, open access
- Hydar L. Ali, Badronnisa Yusuf, Thamer Ahamed Mohammed, Yasuyuki Shimizu, Mohd Shahrizal Ab Razak, Balqis Mohamed Rehan. (2019). Enhancing Flow Characteristics in Branching Channel Based on 2D Depth Average Flow Model. Submitted to journal of *Water*, Q1 in Scopus and Q2 in ISI index with impact factor 2.524, open access
- Hydar L. Ali, Badronnisa Yusuf, Thamer Ahamed Mohammed, Mohd Shahrizal Ab Razak, Yasuyuki Shimizu, Balqis Mohamed Rehan. (2019). Hydro-Morpho-Dynamics and Sediment Transport in Channel Confluence: A Review. Under review with journal of *Current Sciences*, Q2 in Scopus index, Q3 in ISI with impact factor 0.88, open access
- Hydar L. Ali, Badronnisa Yusuf, Thamer Ahamed Mohammed, Mohd Shahrizal Ab Razak, Yasuyuki Shimizu, Balqis Mohamed Rehan. (2018). Modeling Hydro-Morpho-Dynamics of Channels Confluence Based on 2D Depth Average Flow. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium on Hydraulic Modelling and Measuring Technology Congress*, May 30 – June 1, 2018, Nanjing, China



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

ACADEMIC SESSION : Second Semester 2018/2019

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT :

MODELLING HYDRO-MORPHO DYNAMICS OF RIVER JUNCTIONS UNDER THE
IMPACT OF UNSUBMERGED VANES

NAME OF STUDENT: HYDAR LAFTA ALI

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

*Please tick (✓)

CONFIDENTIAL

(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

RESTRICTED

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

OPEN ACCESS

I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for :

PATENT

Embargo from _____ until _____
(date) (date)

Approved by:

(Signature of Student)
New IC No/ Passport No.:

Date :

(Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee)
Name:

Date :

[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentially or restricted.]