

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

# IMPROVEMENT ON ROOFTOP CLASSIFICATION OF WORLDVIEW-3 IMAGERY USING OBJECT-BASED IMAGE ANALYSIS

MASAYU BIN NORMAN

FK 2019 100



## IMPROVEMENT ON ROOFTOP CLASSIFICATION OF WORLDVIEW-3 IMAGERY USING OBJECT-BASED IMAGE ANALYSIS



Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

May 2019

## COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

## IMPROVEMENT ON ROOFTOP CLASSIFICATION OF WORLDVIEW-3 IMAGERY USING OBJECT-BASED IMAGE ANALYSIS

By

## MASAYU BINTI NORMAN

May 2019

# Chairman: Associate Professor Helmi Zulhaidi bin Mohd Shafri, PhDFaculty: Engineering

Roof materials and roof surface conditions are the main factors that contribute to the determination of rooftop rainwater harvesting (RRWH) quality and quantity in an urban area. Therefore, these factors are required to be analyzed effectively. According to the previous study, the types of different roof materials and roof surface conditions that affected by aging and weathering effects, contribute to the spectral response variability of these features. Even though multispectral images have been used to map the roofing types previously, the characterization of roof surface conditions remains limited because of spectral, spatial, and textural limitations.

To overcome such challenges, this study attempts to comprehend and improve the remote sensing technology for rooftop classification using the Worldview-3 (WV-3) image and object-based image analysis (OBIA) method. The improvement process involved segmentation, feature selection and classification techniques.

A spatio-statistical optimization technique that combines the Taguchi statistical method and a spatial plateau objective function (POF) was presented to improve the segmentation procedures for building footprint extraction. The optimal scale, shape and compactness parameters of multi-resolution segmentation have been determined and the detection accuracy was evaluated based on receiver operating characteristics (ROC). The result shows the area under the ROC curve (AUC) of 0.804 with p < 0.0001 at 95% confidence level.

Furthermore, a systematic feature selection approach was proposed in which search algorithms (Ant-Search, Best First-Search and Particle Swamp Optimization (PSO) - Search) performance were evaluated to select the most significant features. The

i

accuracy of each algorithm was evaluated using LibSVM, Bayes network, and Adaboost classifier. The result presents that the Ant-Search algorithm via LibSVM was determined as the best combination with 100% accuracy.

The accuracy of classification result using OBIA is insufficient to depend on the segmentation parameters, the selection of features, and the existence of spectrally mixed objects. An analysis of the choice of classification techniques is also required. Therefore, the LiDAR derived data were combined with WV-3 image using different fusion methods such as layer stacking (LS), Gram–Schmidt (GS), and PC spectral sharpening (PCSS). Then, the classifier (support vector machine (SVM) and data mining (DM) algorithm, decision tree (DT) were applied on each fusion image and their accuracy were evaluated. Generally, the generated DT classification presents a higher overall accuracy with 87%, 72%, and 66% for LS, GS, and PC Pan-Sharpening (PCSS), respectively. Meanwhile, the DT classification using the LS approach produced the highest overall accuracy of 87% and a kappa coefficient of 0.80.

Overall, this study offers new insights into remote sensing urban applications, specifically for roof-based mapping through the development of systematic improvement approach using OBIA. Interestingly, the degradation status of the roof in heterogenous urban environments can be determined and the quality of roof-based harvested rainwater affected by roofing materials and roofing conditions can be analyzed effectively.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

## PENAMBAHBAIKAN TEKNIK PENGELASAN BUMBUNG IMEJ WORLDVIEW-3 MENGGUNAKAN ANALISIS IMEJ BERASASKAN OBJEK

Oleh

#### MASAYU BINTI NORMAN

Mei 2019

#### Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Helmi Zulhaidi bin Mohd Shafri, PhD Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Bahan bumbung dan keadaan permukaan bumbung adalah faktor utama yang menyumbang kepada penentuan kualiti dan kuantiti penuaian air hujan di aras bumbung di kawasan bandar. Oleh itu, faktor-faktor ini diperlukan untuk dianalisis dengan berkesan. Mengikut kajian terdahulu, jenis bahan bumbung yang berbeza dan keadaan permukaan bumbung yang terjejas oleh kesan penuaan dan cuaca, menyumbang kepada kebolehubahan tindak balas spektrum ciri-ciri ini. Walaupun imej multispektral telah digunakan untuk memetakan jenis bumbung sebelum ini, pencirian keadaan permukaan bumbung masih terhad kerana batasan spektral, ruang dan tekstur.

Untuk mengatasi cabaran-cabaran sedemikian, kajian ini cuba memahami dan memperbaiki teknologi penginderaan jauh untuk pengelasan bumbung menggunakan imej Worldview-3 (WV-3) dan kaedah analisis imej berdasarkan objek (OBIA). Proses penambahbaikan melibatkan segmentasi, pemilihan ciri-ciri pengelasan yang sesuai dan teknik klasifikasi.

Teknik pengoptimuman spatio-statistik yang menggabungkan kaedah statistik Taguchi dan fungsi objektif dataran tinggi (POF) telah dipersembahkan untuk memperbaiki prosedur segmentasi bagi membina pengekstrakan tapak. Skala optimum, bentuk dan kepadatan parameter bagi segmen kepelbagaian resolusi telah ditentukan dan ketepatan pengesanan dinilai berdasarkan ciri-ciri operasi penerima (ROC). Hasilnya menunjukkan kawasan di bawah lengkok ROC (AUC) 0.804 dengan p <0.0001 pada tahap keyakinan 95%.

Tambahan pula, pendekatan pemilihan ciri yang sistematik dicadangkan di mana algoritma carian (Pencarian-Ant, Pencarian-Best-First dan Pencarian-Partical Swamp Optimization (PSO)) dinilai untuk memilih ciri-ciri yang paling penting. Ketepatan setiap algoritma telah dinilai menggunakan LibSVM, rangkaian Bayes, dan pengelas Adaboost. Hasilnya menunjukkan bahawa algoritma Pencarian-Ant melalui LibSVM ditentukan sebagai gabungan terbaik dengan ketepatan 100%.

Ketepatan hasil klasifikasi menggunakan OBIA tidak mencukupi untuk bergantung pada parameter segmentasi, pemilihan ciri, dan keberadaan objek bercampur spektrum. Analisis pilihan teknik klasifikasi juga diperlukan. Oleh itu, data yang diperolehi daripada LiDAR telah digabungkan dengan imej WV-3 menggunakan kaedah gabungan yang berbeza seperti lapisan susun atur (LS), Gram-Schmidt (GS), dan PC spectral sharpening (PCSS). Kemudian, klasifikasi sokongan vektor mesin (SVM) dan algoritma perlombongan data (DM), keputusan pokok (DT) telah diaplikasi pada setiap imej gabungan dan ketepatan mereka dinilai. Secara umumnya, klasifikasi DT menghasilkan ketepatan keseluruhan yang lebih tinggi dengan 87%, 72%, dan 66% untuk LS, GS, dan PCSS. Sementara itu, klasifikasi DT menggunakan pendekatan LS menghasilkan ketepatan keseluruhan tertinggi 87% dan pekali kappa 0.80.

Secara keseluruhannya, kajian ini memberikan pandangan baru dalam aplikasi bandar penderiaan jarak jauh, khususnya untuk pemetaan berasaskan bumbung melalui pembangunan pendekatan peningkatan sistematik menggunakan OBIA. Menariknya, status degradasi bumbung di persekitaran bandar heterogen boleh ditentukan dan kualiti air hujan menuai berasaskan bumbung yang terjejas oleh bahan bumbung dan keadaan bumbung boleh dianalisis dengan berkesan.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praise to Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful for giving me good health and strength to complete my research.

I would like to thank my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Helmi Zulhaidi bin Mohd Shafri, for his assistance, understanding and encouragements during this research. Without his advice and supervision, I would not be able to complete the doctoral programme on time. I also wish to extend my gratitude to my co-supervisors, Dr. Badronnisa binti Yusuf and Professor Dato' Dr. Shattri bin Mansor, for their invaluable contribution. I remain ever grateful to God.

This research has been supported and funded by Ministry of Education (MOE) Malaysia via the FRGS research grant 03-02-14-1529FR (Vote no: 5524613), Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) via the research grant UPM/700-1/2/GPB/2017/9543100 and Universiti Teknologi MARA (Perlis), Malaysia. As well as appreciation to National Hydraulic Research Institute (NAHRIM) for their valuable contributions regarding information of harvested rainwater system in Serdang, Malaysia.

Also, I would like to thank my family for giving me support and always pray for my success. To my husband, Ahmad Fathil Mubashshir bin Abd Manaf, I appreciated your understanding and sacrifice, to my children Ainul Insyirah, Amirul Naqib, A'kif Naqiuddin, Aniq Nufail and Arissa Irdina, thank you for your support and to my mother Katijah binti Ibrahim, thank you for always praying for me. Last but not least, I would like to dedicate this success to my late father, Norman bin Abdul Rasit.

Finally, thank you to my lab mates, Nur Shafira Nisa binti Shaharum and my good friend Dr. Ernieza Suhana binti Mokhtar. I am deeply grateful for their kind assistance to fulfill the research requirements and encouraging me to get through the difficult times during this research.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

#### Helmi Zulhaidi bin Mohd Shafri, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

## **Badronnisa binti Yusuf, PhD** Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering

Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

## Shattri bin Mansor, PhD

Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

#### **ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD**

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## **Declaration by graduate student**

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: \_

Date:	
Date.	

Name and Matric No: Masayu binti Norman, GS44433

## **Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee**

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature:	
Name of Chairman	
of Supervisory	
Committee:	Associate Professor Dr. Helmi Zulhaidi bin Mohd Shafri
Signature:	
Name of Member	
of Supervisory	
Committee:	Associate Professor Dr. Badronnisa binti Yusuf
Signature:	
Name of Member	
of Supervisory	
Committee:	Professor Dr. Shattri bin Mansor

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
	ARSTRACT		i
1	ABSTRACT		iii
1	ACKNOWL	EDGEMENTS	v
-	APPROVAL		vi
]	DECLARAT	ION	viii
]	LIST OF TA	BLES	xiii
]	LIST OF FIG	GURES	xiv
]	LIST OF AB	BREVIATIONS	xvi
	CHAPTER	ODUCTION	1
L		Deckground of the Study	1
	1.1	Duckground of the Study	1
	1.2	Problem Statement	4
	1.5	Research Objectives	0
	1.4	Organization of the Chapters	07
	1.5	organization of the Chapters	/
	2 LITE	RATURE REVIEW	9
	2.1	Introduction	9
	2.2	Water Scarcity Issue	9
	2.3	Rooftop Rainwater Harvesting (RRWH) System	11
	2.4	The Fundamental Concept of RRWH System	12
	2.5	Issues of RRWH Quality and Its Determinants	12
		2.5.1 Roofing Materials	13
		2.5.2 Roofing Conditions	14
		2.5.3 Roofing Geometry	16
		2.5.4 Weather Conditions	17
		2.5.5 Surrounding Land Use/Land Cover (LULC)	17
	2.6	Applications of Remote Sensing in Water Quality Mapping	19
	2.7	Application of remote sensing and GIS in rainwater harvesting	20
	2.8	Remote Sensing in the Detection of Roofing Materials and	
		Conditions	22
	2.9	Segmentation Technology for Building Extraction	23
	2.10	Feature Selection of Building Classification	24
	2.11	Optimization of Roof Discrimination	25
	2.12	Research Gap	26
	3 METI	HODOLOGY	29
	3.1	Introduction	29
	3.2	Overall Methodology	29
	3.3	Data Acquisition	31
		3.3.1 WorldView-3 (WV-3)	33
		3.3.2 Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR)	33
	3.4	Spatio-statistical Optimization of Image Segmentation	33

		3.4.1 Selection	of the Optimum Parameters	34
		3.4.1.1	Taguchi Method	34
		3.4.1.2	Plateau Objective Function (POF)	36
		3.4.1.3	Signal-to-Noise Ratios (SNR)	37
		3.4.2 Segmenta	tion Using Optimal Parameters	37
		3.4.3 Discrimin	ation of Detailed Urban Land Cover Classes	38
		3.4.4 Evaluatio	n of Urban Land Cover Classification	
		Accuracy		39
		3.4.5 Evaluatio	n of Proposed Method's Performances	40
	3.5	Feature Selection	Optimization	40
		3.5.1 LiDAR P	rocessing	41
		3.5.2 Combina	tion of WV-3 and LiDAR Images	41
		3.5.3 Optimiza	tion of Feature Selection Algorithms	42
		3.5.3.1	Gain Ratio (GR)	43
		3.5.3.2	Ant Search, Best-First Search and PSO	
			Search	44
		3.5.4 Roof Mat	erials Classification Using Classifiers	44
		3.5.5 Evaluation	n of Roof Materials Classification	45
	3.6	Classification Te	ch <mark>niques</mark>	45
		3.6.1 Support V	Vector Machine (SVM)	45
		3.6.2 Rule-base	d	45
		3.6.2.1	Decision Tree (DT)	46
		3.6.3 Selection	of Fusion Methods	46
		3.6.4 Automate	d Development of Rule-sets	47
		3.6.5 Identifica	tion Techniques of Roof Materials and Roof	
		Surface C	onditions	48
		3.6.6 Evaluation	n of Roof Materials and Roof Surface	
		Condition	is Classification	48
	3.7	<b>Evaluating Statis</b>	tical Significance Using McNemar's Test	48
	3.8	Summary		49
4	RES	LTS AND DISC	USSION	50
•	4 1	Introduction		50
	4.2	Optimal Segmen	tation	50
	1.2	4.2.1 Urban La	nd Cover Classification and Accuracy	53
		4.2.2 Segments	tion Accuracy	57
		423 Comparis	on of Segmentation Goodness	57
		4.2.4 Error Ass	essment of Building Edge	58
		425 Building	Extraction and Detection Accuracy	63
		426 Applicati	on for Large Coverage	66
	43	LiDAR Derived	Images	70
	110	4.3.1 Optimal l	Feature Selection Attributes	71
		4.3.2 Classifica	ation of Search Algorithm Using Different	, 1
		Classifier	s	75
	4.4	Comparison of F	usion Methods	77
		4.4.1 Comparis	on of Classification Results of SVM And DT	
		Classifier		79
		4.4.2 Evaluation	n of Classification Techniques	86
	4.5	Summarv		88
				50

5 C	ONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	89
5.	1 Introduction	89
5.2	2 Conclusions	89
5.	3 Recommendations for Future Work	91
REFERE APPEND BIODAT LIST OF	ENCES DICES TA OF STUDENT F PUBLICATIONS	93 108 120 121



## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Example of Studies in Assessing the Factors Affecting the Quality of RRWH	19
3.1	Segmentation Parameters, Level and Values	34
3.2	Ground Samples (Image Objects) Taken for Each Class	39
3.3	Features Selected Before Optimization Process	42
4.1	Orthogonal Array and Corresponding POF	51
4.2	Response Table for SNR (Larger-is-Better and Smaller-is-Better)	51
4.3	Optimization Options and the Number of Segments Produced	54
4.4	Classification Assessment of Building Class (PA and UC)	55
4.5	Summary of Segmentation Goodness Metrics Results	57
4.6	Comparison of Segmentation Accuracy	57
4.7	Assessment of Building Edge	60
4.8	Classification Accuracy: AUC Curve with Associated Performance Measures	65
4.9	Classification Accuracy for a Large Area: AUC with Associated Performance Measures	69
4.10	Importance of Features Based on the GR and the CFS Results via Ant Colony-Search Algorithm	73
4.11	Comparison of Accuracy of Classifiers Based on Different Search Algorithms	75
4.12	Accuracy Assessment of SVM Classification Result Based on the CFS (Ant Colony Search) Algorithm	77
4.13	Accuracy Assessment for SVM and DT Classifiers	86
4.14	Results of McNemar's Test at 0.05 Significance Level	88

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figur	e	Page
1.1	Frequency of Studies Based on a Factor which Affected RRWH Quality	5
2.1	Predicted Water Scarcity by 2025	10
2.2	The Usage of Harvested Rainwater	11
2.3	Conceptual Depiction of Rainwater Harvesting Systems	12
3.1 Overall Methodology		30
3.2	Location of the Study Area; Subset 1-used for Image Segmentation & Subset 2-used for Feature Selection and Classification	32
3.3	Selection of Buildings Image Objects	38
3.4	(a) Building Polygon Used as a Thematic Layer, (b) Segmented of Building Layer	42
4.1	Main Effects Plot for SNR: (a) SSSB, (b) SSLB, (c) LSSB, (d) LSLB	52
4.2	Segmented Images: (a) SSSB, (b) SSLB, (c) LSSB, (d) LSLB	53
4.3	Segmented Images and Classification Results: (a) SSSB, (b) SSLB, (c) LSSB, (d) LSLB	54
4.4	Classification Images Using SSLB Optimization Option	56
4.5	Error Assessment: (a) Digitized Polygons of Building, (b) Extracted Building Images	59
4.6	Map of the Building Footprint Derived from the Best Optimization/Classification Output Over a Smaller Area	64
4.7	ROC Curve Classification Analysis for a Small Scale	65
4.8	Classification Using the Proposed Method Over a Large Area	67
4.9	Map of the Building Footprint Derived from the Best Optimization/Classification Output Over a Large Area	68
4.10	ROC Curve Classification Analysis for A Large Area	69
4.11	LiDAR Derived Images (a) DSM, (b) DTM, (c) nDSM, (d) Slope and (e) Intensity	70

4.12	Features Attributes (a) Spectral Features, (b) Shape Features, and (c) Texture Features	72
4.13	SVM Classification Result Based on the CFS (Ant Search) Algorithm	76
4.14	Fusion Results of (a) LS, (b) GS, (c) PCSS	78
4.15	Decision Tree Generated for Developing Rule-Sets for DT-LS Classification	80
4.16	Decision Tree Generated for Developing Rule-Sets for DT-GS Classification	81
4.17	Decision Tree Generated for Developing Rule-Sets for DT-PCSS Classification	82
4.18	Comparison of SVM and Rule-Based Classification Based on DM (DT) Using Different Fusion Methods (a) SVM-LS (b) DT-LS	83
4.19	Comparison of SVM and Rule-Based Classification Based on DM (DT) Using Different Fusion Methods (a) SVM-GS (b) DT-GS	84
4.20	Comparison of SVM and Rule-Based Classification Based on DM (DT) Using Different Fusion Methods (a) SVM-PCA (b) DT-PCSS	85
4.21	Rule-Based Based on DM (DT) – LS Classification Result	87

 $\bigcirc$ 

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABACO	Advanced BACO
AFI	User's coefficient
ANN	Artificial neural networks
AUC	Area under the ROC curve
BACO	Binary coded ant colony optimization
BDE	Binary coded differential evolution
BPSO	Binary coded particle swarm optimization
CFS	Correlation feature selection
CNN	Convolution neural network
D	Index combining US and OS
DID	Department of irrigation and drainage
DM	Data mining
DSM	Digital surface model
DT	Decision tree
DTM	Digital terrain model
FCBF	Fast correlation-based filter
GA	Genetic algorithm
GIS	Geographical information system
GPS	Global positioning system
GR	Gain ratio
GS	Gram-Schmidt
HRW	Harvested rainwater
IMUs	Inertial measurement units
KNN	K- nearest neighbor algorithm

LiDAR	Light detection and ranging
LS	Layer stacking
LSLB	Large scale with larger-is-better
LSSB	Large scale with smaller-is-better
LULC	Land use land cover
MBACO	Modified binary coded ant colony optimization algorithm
ML	Machine learning
mRMR	Minimum redundancy maximum relevance
MRS	Multi-resolution
NDCCI	Normalized difference concrete condition index
NDMCI	Normalized difference metal condition index
nDSM	Normalized digital surface model
OA	Overall accuracy
OBIA	Object-based image analysis
OS	Over-segmentation
PA	Producer accuracy
PCSS	Spectral pc sharpening
PMBACO	Pheromone density model
POF	Plateau objective function
PSO	Particle swarm optimization
QR	Quality rate
RF	Random forest
ROC	Receiver operating characteristics
RRWH	Rooftop rainwater harvesting
SAM	Spectral angle mapper
SAR	Synthetic aperture radar

- SNR Signal-to-noise ratios
- SSLB Small scale with larger-is-better
- SSSB Small scale with smaller-is-better
- SVM Support vector machines
- SWIR Short-waved infrared imagery
- UAV Unmanned aerial vehicle
- UC User's coefficient
- US Under-segmentation
- VHR Very high resolution
- VMBACO Visibility density model
- WV-2 Worldview-2
- WV-3 Worldview-3

## **CHAPTER 1**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

## **1.1 Background of the Study**

This study is motivated by the development of rooftop rainwater harvesting (RRWH) system, one of the water sources in urban areas. The implementation of the system requires some improvement in terms of the roof detection techniques to ensure the selected roof of the building is appropriate regarding the quality and quantity of the rainwater harvesting. Therefore, the basic techniques for roof classification have been optimized for obtaining detailed roof classification with high accuracy.

Water is the most important natural resources for human and it covers 70% of the earth's surface. However, water scarcity is a worldwide problem due to enormous amounts of demand for personal and industrial uses. In some African and Asian countries, such as South Africa, Algeria, Saudi Arabia, India, Pakistan, Iran, and Iraq, it is estimated that more than 40% of the water available will be withdrawn by the year in focus. Meanwhile, for the United States of America, Sudan, and China, it is estimated that water withdrawal will be at 20% (UN-HABITAT, 2003). This will invariably result in difficulty for these countries in supplying the needed quantity of water to fulfill basic societal needs or ensure equal access to water. Currently, drinking water is becoming contaminated due to the pollution of fresh water sources. This phenomenon caused a limitation of water sources.

Accordingly, rainwater harvesting technology was introduced and it has been practiced for more than four thousand years (UN-HABITAT, 2003). Later, it becomes a popular means of conserving potable water and develop a sustainable water cycle particularly in an urban area (Zhang et al., 2014). Interestingly, the technique of rainwater harvesting involves the collection, storage, and subsequent use of rainwater for domestic, industrial, and livelihood activities, such as irrigation, vehicle washing, toilet flushing, and other non-potable (nondrinking) uses of water.

Nowadays, the rainwater harvesting system in an urban area is improved by introducing RRWH system. It is because roofs represent approximately half of the total sealed surface in urban and roofs are suitable as a catchment area for rainwater (Ugai, 2016). Nevertheless, previous study (Zhang et al., 2014; Huston et al., 2011; Farreny et al.; 2011) stated that several factors have been recognized as the main contributor to the determination of RRWH quality, such as roofing materials, roofing conditions, roofing geometry, weather conditions, and land use/land cover (LULC) conditions. Therefore, a comprehensive analyzation is required in order to evaluate the impact of above-mentioned factors on RRWH quality.

This research determines the research gaps in the use of remote sensing technology in discriminating roof materials and roof surface conditions for estimating RRWH quality and quantity for future research. An approach for the technique's improvement in image segmentation, feature selection, and classification was proposed.

Remote sensing technology provides the opportunity to obtain data from an urban surface without direct contact with the features (Kadhim et al., 2016). This technology is also considered as an alternative approach instead of traditional field survey. The ability and development of very high resolution (VHR) sensors have opened a new prospect in the remote sensing community to monitor imperviousness and natural features effectively at the fine and detailed scale. Nowadays, detail and small sizes of land cover such as the roof of buildings, buildings, and pavements are possible to identify due to the advancement in sensor technology from QuickBird, Worldview 2 (WV-2), and WV-3 images (Hamedianfar & Shafri, 2015).

Remote sensing and GIS have been widely used in urban environmental analysis (Hari et al., 2018; Gaikwad, 2015; Lee et al., 2012). However, these technologies have never been used to analyze, map, and model the effect of various factors on harvested rainwater quality. Thus, the application of geospatial technologies in estimating harvested rainwater quality and assessment of rooftop harvested rainwater can be proposed with the integration of remote sensing and GIS approach.

Remote sensing technique is useful in extracting various determinants of harvested rainwater quality. Such information can then be compiled, mapped, and modelled in GIS to generate a spatial model. The resulting map and model can help to identify potential rainwater harvesting sites and model predicted quality over large areas (Dadhich & Mathur, 2016). Besides, information from the maps can be used to identify suitable sites for RRWH. Furthermore, the information on various characteristics of roofs can be explored further and the GIS model can simulate harvested rainwater quality.

These results have an important significance for local governments and urban planners in the design and planning of cities. Redesigning the building and cities is very important for sustainable rainwater management. The information related to the roof such as roof slope and roughness in city planning will be useful to promote rainwater as an alternative water supply while preventing flooding and water scarcity.

 $\bigcirc$ 

Additionally, RRWH strategies are part of storm water management in Malaysia, which conducted by Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID) (Lani et al., 2018). This approach helps the nation in managing water quantity and quality control. In line with the National Storm Water Management goal, storm water shall be managed so that it contributes towards sustainable development of the country. Although this study examined the effect of roofing material on harvested rainwater quality specifically in Malaysia, but it might be more useful for other countries because roofing materials, coatings, and building practices are almost similar globally.

Therefore, in this study, VHR multispectral WV-3 image and LiDAR data were utilized to classify roof in an urban area. This study is focusing on RRWH system due to its capability to provide more opportunity for collecting water from a larger surface area, besides building rooftops is the most important land cover types. Consequently, it is compulsory to extract the building footprint prior to roofing information to identify and discriminate buildings from other land cover classes. Hence, a spatiostatistical optimization technique for building footprint extraction with a high level of accuracy from the image that will allow future work to focus on distinguishing different roof materials have been developed, to produce segmentation with optimal parameters due to the sensitivity of image objects and their spatial relationship. Optimum parameters which consist of scale, shape, and compactness for suitable segmentation is desired for accurate building identification because the quality of segmentation will influence the classification accuracy.

Nevertheless, using only spectral information could not be helpful to alleviate the classification errors due to the spectral similarity of impervious surfaces. Shape and texture attributes play an important role as well to discriminate different classes and alleviate the lack of using spatial information. For object-oriented classification, usually it will combine spectral information with spatial and texture information to overcome problems associated with traditional per-pixel classifiers resulting in supervised approach or knowledge-based rule-sets to extract specific features from VHR satellite image (Zhou & Troy, 2008). Accordingly, accurate feature selection is also required instead of segmentation quality, to improve the accuracy of roof materials classification, which is known as the main factor of harvested rainwater quality. Only the most important feature will be selected by using GR algorithm, and thus the computing requirements can be minimized, while the quality of a subset of features were measured using CFS.

Apart from optimal segmentation and feature selection, a systematic classification approach also has an impact on the characterization of roof materials and roof surface conditions. In this study, roof surface conditions are categorized as new and old roof. Optimization of different fusion methods (LS, GS, and PCSS) yielded information of maximum benefit of using WV-3 data and LiDAR height information in classifying roof materials and roof surface conditions.

The capability of remote sensing in detecting roofing materials and surface conditions is extensively explained by passive and active remote sensing. Characterization of roof surface conditions whether the new or old roof condition affects the quality of harvested rainwater. Noteworthy that, acquiring roof surface conditions also depends on the capability of different classification and object identification algorithms. Besides, fusion and classification are important techniques in feature extraction from remotely sensed data, which optimization of various fusion method (LS, GS, and PCSS) produced the information of maximum benefit of using WV-3 data and LiDAR derived images: digital terrain model (DTM), digital surface model (DSM), normalized digital surface model (nDSM), slope, and intensity information. Next, an appropriate and suitable classification classifier provides a reliable roof materials and

 $\bigcirc$ 

roof surface conditions map with high accuracy. Additionally, by employing DM classifier, numerous spatial, spectral, and textural attributes were explored and suitable rule-sets were provided.

The novelty of the entire study is related to the fundamental steps in the production of roof material and roof surface conditions map with high classification accuracy. The optimization approaches which consist of segmentation, feature selection, and classification process can be developed systematically, and processing time can be reduced. Besides, the feature selection technique to solve the roof materials and roof surface conditions classification separability problem has also been enhanced. In addition, by employing an optimal fusion method and DM classifier, numerous spatial, spectral, and textural attributes were explored and suitable rule-sets were provided.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

Roofing materials, roofing surface conditions, roofing geometry, weather conditions, and LULC conditions are identified as main factors that can significantly affect the quality of RRWH. Nevertheless, roofing materials and roofing age (surface conditions) have been categorized as factors that become priorities in RRWH quality study, considering that almost every year the research is made on that factor. Figure 1.1 demonstrated the frequency of studies based on a factor which affected RRWH quality. It is obviously indicated that roofing materials and roofing surface conditions have a high number of studies in 2012, 2014 and 2015. Thus, the effects of these factors on RRWH quality must be analyzed accordingly and it is significant to present the results obtained in the form of spatial data compared to tabular data. The spatial data are able to convey the RRWH quality information quickly and effectively.



Figure 1.1 : Frequency of Studies Based on a Factor which Affected RRWH Quality

Segmentation process in building footprint extraction using object-based image analysis (OBIA) is crucial due to several factors, such as the spatial and spectral resolution of remote sensing images and the complexity of geo-objects. Consequently, the selection of suitable parameters to ensure the best segmentation quality remains a challenge. Although OBIA has been accepted as a standard remote sensing technique for feature extraction (Sameen & Pradhan, 2017; Ma et al., 2017; Wan et al., 2016), the extraction of buildings using satellite imagery is time-consuming and costly (Gavankar & Ghosh, 2018). In addition, misclassification remains a concern in buildings classification considering that classification accuracy is directly dependent upon the segmentation quality, which is controlled by the fitness of the defined parameters (Martha et al., 2011). Though the development of segmentation algorithms is continuously advancing, the segmentation process remains challenging (Arvor et al., 2013) due to its sensitivity to the characteristics of digital images, such as image resolution and scene density (Dragut et al., 2014). This study attempts to improve the segmentation quality by controlling the fitness of scale, shape and compactness and select the ideal parameter.

Feature selection is an important step when improving the accuracy and efficiency of classification and features attributes selection depends on the number of feature attributes obtainable for the interactive synthesis of common characteristics that differentiate different features. The high number of features also complicates the construction of a classifier and it leads to the curse of dimensionality or Hughes



phenomenon (Pal & Foody, 2010). Feature analysis based on pixels is performed more frequently compared to OBIA (Novack et al., 2011). There have been no previous evaluations on the performance of these methods with OBIA, except a comparison of three unrepresentative feature selection methods reported by (Laliberte et al., 2012). Notable that, optimal and suitable features attributes are required to produce roof materials and roof surface conditions classification with high precision. Therefore, systematic strategies for efficient feature selection technique should be considered.

Building tops in an urban area do not have similar shape, size, and texture. However, these buildings have certain common characteristics, such as, their bright appearance and high contrast to the surrounding features. Additionally, few building rooftops revealing similar reflectance value to that of the road and other surrounding features in the image which causes difficulty in discriminating between them. Extracting features from images acquired using different sensors are not only dependent upon the sensor characteristics, but to a greater extent on the capability of different classification and object identification algorithms (Lu et al., 2007). However, fusion does not really translate to better accuracy over using optical sensor alone. Therefore, satellite image and LiDAR data fusion are shown to provide greater classification accuracy than using either data type alone.

## **1.3 Research Objectives**

In general, the main objective of this study is to improve the detection of roof materials and roof surface conditions approach using OBIA.

Meanwhile, the specific objectives of this research are as follows:

- 1. To improve image segmentation procedure for detailed building and rooftop information extraction from high-resolution remote sensing data.
- 2. To propose a systematic feature selection techniques of roof materials using feature selection algorithms.
- 3. To improve the classification technique by exploring the capability of different fusion methods and classifiers algorithms to discriminate roof materials and roof surface conditions.

## **1.4** Scope and Limitation of the Study

This study aims to classify detailed roofing information at material and surface conditions level, according to optimization approaches of segmentation, feature selection, and classification. Roof materials only consider metal, concrete, and asbestos, since these roof types are the most common in the study area and suitable for harvested rainwater applications. The optimal combination of segmentation parameters: scale, shape, and compactness were developed via a spatio-statistical optimization technique that combines the Taguchi statistical method and a POF to

extract building footprint from high-resolution WV-3 satellite data. This proposed technique is required to ensure segmentation quality. The optimization process is only considering scale, shape, and compactness parameters since multi-resolution (MRS) segmentation in eCognition is only considering those parameters as an algorithm.

WV-3 image and LiDAR derived images such as DTM, DSM, nDSM, slope, and intensity were combined separately to enhance the visualization for image analysis purposes. A systematic feature selection technique was generated, whereby the most suitable attributes that represent spatial, shape, and texture to identify different roofing materials and their surface conditions were provided. For this study, not all features in the higher ranking were imported into the optimal feature subset. Only features that have a lower correlation with features that were preferable will be considered.

Enhancement of the classification result was performed by the fusion of WV-3 and LiDAR data. Roof surface conditions (old or new roof) were considered. For this study, only roof materials and roof surface conditions discriminations were tested, particularly on the tested area, because if other landcover types were tested, the data will be massive. Furthermore, the main objective of the research is to extract detailed building information specifically for RRWH system applications.

## **1.5 Organization of the Chapters**

The second chapter provides the overview of remote sensing techniques to discriminate and map detailed roof materials and roof surface conditions classes in urban area, which later can be utilized in assessing water quality and water quantity for RRWH system. This chapter also discusses the importance of RRWH, the factors determined for harvested rainwater quality, the applications of remote sensing technology in roof material mapping, and the process involved to accomplish the classification. The gaps in the research are also discussed in the last part of the chapter.

The third chapter provides the materials and methods utilized to ensure the objectives of this research are achievable. The first section of this chapter discusses the overall methodologies involved in the optimization approaches development using WV-3 and LiDAR data, including their characteristics, spatio-statistical optimization of image segmentation, optimal feature attributes selection, and identification of roof materials and roof surface conditions using different classification techniques. The last part of this chapter discusses on hyperspectral data analysis using OBIA to obtain roof materials and roof surface conditions information.

The fourth chapter presents the results and discussion of the study. The results and discussion including the optimal combination of segmentation parameters used to segment WV-3 image, the most suitable features attributes utilized for roof materials classification, and the improvement of the OBIA result when LiDAR derived images, the selected fusion method algorithms, and the classification classifier were applied for roof materials and roof surface conditions discriminations. The last section of this

 $\bigcirc$ 

chapter discusses the application of proposed techniques on roof materials and roof surface conditions applications for RRWH quality assessment.

The fifth chapter discusses the conclusion and recommendations for future research. The overview and benefits from the findings of this research are discussed. Lastly, the future research direction and application are explained in the last section of this chapter.



#### REFERENCES

- Abdelmalik, K. W. (2018). Role of statistical remote sensing for Inland water quality parameters prediction. *Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Science*, 21(2), 193–200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrs.2016.12.002
- Abrefa K. N, Eric K. F, Eric A, & James K. S. (2013). Using a GIS based model as an decision support framework for identifying suitable rainwater harvesting sites. *International Journal of Advanced Technology & Engineering Research*, 3(4).
- Acar, H., Karsli, F., Ozturk, M., & Dihkan, M. (2018). Automatic detection of building roofs from point clouds produced by the dense image matching technique. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 00(00), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2018.1508915
- Adham, A., Riksen, M., Ouessar, M., & Ritsema, C. (2016). Identification of suitable sites for rainwater harvesting structures in arid and semi-arid regions: A review. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*.
- Ajim, S., Krishna, K., Amol, N., Rushikesh, T., Ashish, S., & Shailesh, K. (2017). Rain water Harvesting. *International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)*, 4(3), 1060–1062. https://doi.org/www.irjet.net
- Alfaro, E. (2013). adabag: An R Package for Classification with Boosting and Bagging. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 54(2), 1–35.
- Arshad, M., Shabbir, A., & Shakoor, A. (2013). Investigation of potential water harvesting sites at potohar using modeling approach, (December).
- Arvor, D., Durieux, L., Andrés, S., & Laporte, M. (2013). Advances in Geographic Object-Based Image Analysis with ontologies : A review of main contributions and limitations from a remote sensing perspective. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 82, 125–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2013.05.003
- Aysha A, & Shoukat A. (2015). Potentiality of rainwater harvesting for an urban community in Bangladesh. *Journal of Hydrology*, (528), 84–93.
- Baby, S., Arrowsmith, C., & Al-Ansari, N. (2019). Application of GIS for Mapping Rainwater-Harvesting Potential: Case Study Wollert, Victoria. *Engineering*, *11*(01), 14–21. https://doi.org/10.4236/eng.2019.111002
- Bassani, C., Cavalli, R. M., Cavalcante, F., Cuomo, V., Palombo, A., Pascucci, S., & Pignatti, S. (2007). Deterioration status of asbestos-cement roofing sheets assessed by analyzing hyperspectral data. *Remote Sensing*, 109, 361–378. Retrieved from www.elsvier.com/locate/rse

- Bhaduri, K., Wolff, R., Giannella, C., & Kargupta, H. (2008). Distributed Decision Tree Induction in Peer-to-Peer Systems. *Statistical Analysis and Data Mining: The ASA Data Science Journal*, 1(2), 85–103.
- Bhaskaran, S., Nez, E., Jimenez, K., & Bhatia, S. (2013). Rule-based classification of high-resolution imagery over urban areas in New York City. *Geocarto International*, 28(6), 527–545. https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2012.726278
- Bhaskaran, S., Paramananda, S., & Ramnarayan, M. (2010). Per-pixel and objectoriented classification methods for mapping urban features using Ikonos satellite data. Applied Geography, 30(4), 650–665. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2010.01.009
- Blaschke, T., Hay, G. J., Kelly, M., Lang, S., Hofmann, P., Addink, E., ... Tiede, D. (2014). Geographic Object-Based Image Analysis - Towards a new paradigm. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 87, 180–191. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2013.09.014
- Boller, M. (1997). Tracking heavy metals reveals sustainability deficits of urban drainage systems. *Water Science and Technology*, *35*(9), 77–87. Retrieved from http://www.china-sds.org/kcxfzbg/addinfomanage/lwwk/data/kcx1223.pdf
- Bris, A. L., & Robert, P. (2009). Classification of Roof Materials for Rainwater Pollution Modelization. *ISPRS High-Resolution Earth Imaging for Geospatial Information, Hannover*.
- Bris, A. L., & Robert, P. (2016). Spectral band selection for urban material classification using hyperspectral libraries. *ISPRS Annals of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, 33-40.*
- Buraihi, FH & Shariff, A.R.M (2016). Selection of rainwater harvesting sites by using remore sensing and GIS techniques: A case study of Kirkuk, Iraq. *Journal Technology*
- Burges, C. (1998). A Tutorial on Support Vector Machines for Pattern Recognition. *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 2(2), 121–167.
- Campisano, A., & Lupia, F. (2017). A dimensionless approach for the urban-scale evaluation of domestic rainwater harvesting systems for toilet flushing and garden irrigation. Urban Water Journal, 14(9), 883–891. https://doi.org/10.1080/1573062X.2017.1279192
- Chang, C., & Lin, C. (2011). LIBSVM : A Library for Support Vector Machines, 1– 39. Retrieved from https://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/papers/libsvm.pdf
- Chang, M., McBroom, M. W., & Beasley, R. S. (2004). Roofing as a source of nonpoint water pollution. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 73, 307–315. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2004.06.014

- Chen, Y., Su, W., Li, J., & Sun, Z. (2009). Hierarchical object oriented classification using very high resolution imagery and LIDAR data over urban areas. Advances in Space Research, 43(7), 1101–1110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2008.11.008
- Cilia, C., Panigada, C., Rossini, M., Candiani, G., Pepe, M., & Colombo, R. (2015). Mapping of Asbestos Cement Roofs and Their Weathering Status using Hyperspectral Aaerial Images. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, 4, 928–941. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi4020928
- Clark, S. E., Steele, K. A., Spicher, J., Siu, C. Y. S., Lalor, M. M., Pitt, R., & Kirby, J. T. (2008). Roofing materials' contributions to storm-water runoff pollution. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*, 638–645. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9437(2008)134:5(638)
- Clinton, N., Holt, A., Scarborough, J., Yan, L., & Gong, P. (2010). Accuracy Assessment Measures for Object-based Image Segmentation Goodness. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, 76(3), 289–299. https://doi.org/10.14358/PERS.76.3.289
- Clinton, N., Holt, A., Yan, L., & Gong, P. (2010). AN ACCURACY ASSESSMENT MEASURE FOR OBJECT BASED IMAGE SEGMENTATION. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, (76), 289–299.
- Cohen, J. (1960). A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. *Educational and Phychological Measurement*. https://doi.org/10.1177/001316446002000104
- Congalton, R. G. (1991). A Review of Assessing the Accuracy of Classifications of Remotely Sensed Data. *Remote Sensing Environment*, 46(October 1990), 35–46.
- Costa, H., Foody, G., & Boyd, D. (2018). Supervised methods of image segmentation accuracy assessment in land cover mapping. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 205(December 2016), 338–351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.11.024
- Dadhich, G., & Mathur, P. (2016). A GIS based Analysis for Rooftop Rain Water Harvesting. *International Journal of Computer Science & Engineering Technology (IJCSET)*, 7(04), 129–143.
- de Fraiture, C., & Wichelns, D. (2010). Satisfying future water demands for agriculture. Agricultural Water Management, 97(4), 502–511. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2009.08.008
- De Leeuw, J., Jia, H., Yang, L., Schmidt, K., & Skidmore, A. K. (2006). Comparing accuracy assessment to infer superiority of image classification methods. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 27(1–2), 223–232. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160500275762
- Despins, C., Farahbakhsh, K., & Leidl, C. (2009). Assessment of rainwater quality from rainwater harvesting systems in Ontario, Canada. *Journal of Water Supply: Research and Technology AQUA*, 58(2), 117–134. https://doi.org/10.2166/aqua.2009.013

- Dey, V., Zhang, Y., & Zhong, M. (2011). Building Detection from Pan-Sharpened GeoEye-1 Satellite Imagery Using Context based Multi-Level Image Segmentation. *Image and Data Fusion (ISIDF), 2011 International Symposium*, 1–4.
- Digital Globe. (2010). DigitalGlobe Core Imagery Products Guide. Retrieved from https://www.satimagingcorp.com/satellite-sensors/worldview-3
- Dópido, I., Villa, A., Plaza, A., Member, S., & Gamba, P. (2012). A Quantitative and Comparative Assessment of Unmixing-Based Feature Extraction Techniques for Hyperspectral Image Classi fi cation, *5*(2), 421–435.
- Dragut, L., Csilik, O., Eisank, C., & Tiede, D. (2014). Automated parameterisation for multi-scale image segmentation on multiple layers. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 88, 119–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2013.11.018

eCognition. (2015). TRIMBLE eCOGNITION, 9-10.

- El-naggar, A. M. (2018). Determination of optimum segmentation parameter values for extracting building from remote sensing images. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2018.10.001
- Emna, S., Bernard, G., Gromaire, M., & Chebbo, G. (2014). A new method for modelling roofing materials emmissions on the city scale: Application for zinc in the city of Creteil (France). *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 21(8), 5284–5296.
- Ercin, A. E., & Hoekstra, A. Y. (2014). Water footprint scenarios for 2050: A global analysis. *Environment International*, 64, 71–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2013.11.019
- Espindola, G. M., Camara, G., Reis, I. A., Bins, L. S., & Division, I. P. (2006). Parameter selection for region - growing image segmentation algorithms using spatial autocorrelation. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 27(14), 3035– 3040. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160600617194
- Evans, C. A., Coombes, P. J., & Dunstan, R. H. (2006). Wind, rain and bacteria: The effect of weather on the microbial composition of roof-harvested rainwater. *Water Research*, 40(1), 37–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2005.10.034
- Farreny, R., Tito, M., Guisasola, A., Taya, C., Rieradevall, J., & Gabarrell, X. (2011). Roof selection for rainwater harvesting: quantity and quality assessments in Spain. *Water Research*, 45, 3245–3254.
- Fichot, C. G., Downing, B. D., Bergamaschi, B. A., Windham-Myers, L., Marvin-Dipasquale, M., Thompson, D. R., & Gierach, M. M. (2016). High-Resolution Remote Sensing of Water Quality in the San Francisco Bay-Delta Estuary. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 50(2), 573–583. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b03518

Fiumi, L. (2012). Surveying the roofs of Rome. *Cultural Heritage*, 13, 304–313.

- Flach, P., Hernandez-Orallo, J., & Ferri, C. (2011). A Coherent Interpretation of AUC as a Measure of Aggregated Classification Performance. *Proceeding of the International Conference on Machine Learning*, 657–664.
- Fonseca, C., Hidalgo, V., Díaz-Delgado, C., Vilchis-Francés, A., & Gallego, I. (2017). Design of optimal tank size for rainwater harvesting systems through use of a web application and geo-referenced rainfall patterns. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 145, 323–335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.01.057
- Foody, G. M. (2004). Thematic map comparison: evaluating the statistical significance of differences in classification accuracy. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, 70(5), 627–633. https://doi.org/0099-1112/04/7005–0627/\$3.00/0
- Forster, J. (1996). Patterns of roof runoff contamination and their potential implications. *Water Science Technology*, 33(6), 39–48.
- Forster, J. (1996). Patterns of Roof Runoff Contamination and Their Potential Implications on Practice and Regulation of Treatment and Local Infiltration. *Water Science & Technology*, 33(6), 39–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/0273-1223(96)00329-0
- Forster, J. (1998). The influence of location and season on the concentrations of microions and organic trace pollutants in roof runoff. *Water Science & Technology*, 38(10), 83–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0273
- Frassy, F., Candiani, G., Rusmini, M., Maianti, P., Marchesi, A., Nodari, F. R., ... Gianinetto, M. (2014). Mapping Asbestos-Cement Roofing with Hyperspectral Remote Sensing over a Large Mountain Region of the Italian Western Alps. Sensors, 14, 15900–15913. https://doi.org/10.3390/s140915900
- Gaikwad, S. (2015). Application of Remote Sensing and GIS in Rainwater Harvesting: A Case from Goa, India / Aplicación de teledetección y SIG en la recolección de agua de lluvia: un caso de Goa, India. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, 6(1), 633–639.
- Gao, Y., Mas, J., Kerle, N., & Antonio, J. (2011). Optimal region growing segmentation and its effect on classification accuracy. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 32(13), 3747–3763. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161003777189
- Garzelli, A., & Nencini, F. (2006). Pan-sharpening of very high resolution multispectral images using genetic algorithms. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 27(15), 3273–3292. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160600554991

- Gavankar, N., & Ghosh, S. (2018). Automatic building footprint extraction from highresolution satellite image using mathematical morphology. *European Journal of Remote* Sensing, 51(1), 182–193. https://doi.org/10.1080/22797254.2017.1416676
- Georgios, D. G., & Vassilios, A. T. (2012). Assessment of water quality of first-flush roof runoff and harvested rainwater. *Journal of Hydrology*, 466–467, 115–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.08.020
- Gholizadeh, M., Melesse, A. M., & Reddi, L. (2016). Spaceborne and airborne sensors in water quality assessment. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, *37*(14), 3143–3180. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2016.1190477
- Gibril, M. B., Bakar, S., Yao, K., Idrees, M., & Pradhan, B. (2017). Fusion of RADARSAT-2 and multispectral optical remote sensing data for LULC extraction in a tropical agricultural area. *Geocarto International*, 32(7), 735–748. https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2016.1170893
- Gibril, M. B. ., Idrees, M. ., Yao, K., & Shafri, H. Z. . (2018). Integrative image segmentation optimization and machine learning approach for high quality land-use and land-cover mapping using multisource remote sensing data. *Journal of Applied Remote Sensing*, 12(01), 1. https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JRS.12.016036
- Gwenzi, W., Dunjana, N., Pisa, C., Tauro, T., & Nyamadzawo, G. (2015). Water quality and public health risks associated with roof rainwater harvesting systems for potable supply: Review and perspectives. *Sustainability of Water Quality and Ecology*, 6, 107–118. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.swaqe.2015.01.006
- Hajian-Tilaki, K. (2013). Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curve Analysis for Medical Diagnostic Test Evaluation. *Caspian Journal of Internal Medicine*, 4(2), 627–35. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24009950%0Ahttp://www.pubmedcentra l.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC3755824
- Hall, M. A., & Holmes, G. (2003). Benchmarking Attribute Selection Techniques for Discrete Class Data Mining. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 15(6), 1437–1447. https://doi.org/10.1109/TKDE.2003.1245283
- Hamedianfar, A., & H. Z. M. Shafri. (2015). Detailed intra-urban mapping through transferable OBIA rule sets using WorldView-2 very-high-resolution satellite images. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 36(13), 3380–3396. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2015.1060645
- Hamedianfar, A., & Shafri, H. Z. M. (2014a). Combining object-based classification and data mining algorithm to classify urban surface materials from worldview-2 satellite image. *ICISA 2014 2014 5th International Conference on Information Science and Applications*, (November 2016). https://doi.org/10.1109/ICISA.2014.6847378

- Hamedianfar, A., & Shafri, H. Z. M. (2014b). Development of fuzzy rule-based parameters for urban object-oriented classification using very high resolution imagery. *Geocarto International*, 29(3), 268–292. https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2012.760006
- Hamedianfar, A., Shafri, H. Z. M., Mansor, S., & Ahmad, N. (2014c). Improving detailed rule-based feature extraction of urban areas from WorldView-2 image and lidar data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 35(5), 1876–1899. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2013.879350
- Hari, D., Reddy, K. ., Vikas, K., Srinivas, N., & Vikas, G. (2018). Assessment of rainwater harvesting potential using GIS. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 330(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/330/1/012119
- Huang, B., Zhang, H., & Yu, L. (2012). Improving Landsat ETM + Urban Area Mapping via Spatial and Angular Fusion With MISR Multi-Angle Observations. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote* Sensing, 5(1), 101–109.
- Huang, C., Davis, L. S., & Townshend, J. R. G. (2002). An assessment of support vector machines for land cover classification. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160110040323
- Huston, R., Chan, Y. C., Chapman, H. F., & Shaw, G. (2011). Source apportionment of heavy metals and ionic contaminants in rainwater tanks in a subtropical urban area in Australia. *Water Research*, *46*(4), 1121–32.
- Idrees, M. O., & Pradhan, B. (2016). Hybrid taguchi-objective function optimization approach for automatic cave bird detection from terrestrial laser scanning intensity image. *International Journal of Speleology*, 45(3), 289–301. https://doi.org/10.5038/1827-806X.45.3.1988
- Jiries, A. G., Hussein, H. H., & Halaseh, Z. (2001). The quality of water and sediments of street runoff in Amman, Jordan. *Hydrological Processes*, 15(5), 815–824. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.186
- Johnson, B., & Xie, Z. (2011). Unsupervised image segmentation evaluation and refinement using a multi-scale approach. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 66(4), 473–483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2011.02.006
- Kadhim, N., Mourshed, M., & Bray, M. (2016). Advances in remote sensing applications for urban sustainability. *Euro-Mediterranean Journal for Environmental Integration*, 1(1), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41207-016-0007-4
- Kaur, H. (2015). Review of Remote Sensing Image Segmentation Techniques. International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Engineering & Technology (IJARCET), 4(4), 1667–1674.

- Kavzoglu, T., Erdemir, M. ., & Tonbul, H. (2016). A region-based multi-scale approach for object-based image analysis. *International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences - ISPRS Archives, 41*(July), 241–247. https://doi.org/10.5194/isprsarchives-XLI-B7-241-2016
- Kim, S., & Rattakorn, P. (2011). Unsupervised feature selection using weighted principal components. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38(5), 5704–5710. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2010.10.063
- Krówczyńska1, M., Wilk, E., Pabjanek, P., Zagajewski, B., & Meuleman, K. (2016). Mapping asbestos-cement roofing with the use of APEX hyperspectral airborne imagery : Karpacz area, Poland – a case study. *Miscellanea Geographica*, 20(1), 41–46. https://doi.org/10.1515/mgrsd-2016-0007
- Kumar, P., & Viswanadh, G. (2012). Developing a GIS model and identification of rainwater harvesting structures using geomatic approach A case study of Hyderabad. *IJWREM*, *3*(1), 1–8.
- Kurtz, C., Stumpf, A., Malet, J., Gançarski, P., Puissant, A., & Passat, N. (2014). Hierarchical extraction of landslides from multiresolution remotely sensed optical images. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 87, 122– 136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2013.11.003
- Laliberte, A. S., Browning, D. M., & Rango, A. (2012). A comparison of three feature selection methods for object-based classification of sub-decimeter resolution UltraCam-L imagery. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 15(1), 70–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2011.05.011
- Lani, N. H. M, Yusop, Z., & Syafiuddin, A. (2018). A Review of Rainwater Harvesting in Malaysia: Prospects and Challenges. *Water*, 10(506), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.3390/w10040506
- Lee, J., Bak, G., & Han, M. (2012). Quality of roof-harvested rainwater Comparison of different roofing materials. *Environmental Pollution*, *162*, 422–429. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.12.005
- Li, M., Ma, L., Blaschke, T., Cheng, L., & Tiede, D. (2016). A systematic comparison of different object- based classification techniques using high spatial resolution imagery in agricultural environments. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 49(April), 87–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2016.01.011
- Lim, H. S., MatJafri, M. Z., Abdullah, K., Alias, A. N., & Mohd. Saleh, N. (2008). Water quality mapping using remote sensing technique in Penang Straits, Malaysia. *Remote Sensing of the Ocean, Sea Ice, and Large Water Regions 2008*, 7105, 71050A. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.800573

- Lim, J., & Choi, M. (2015). Assessment of water quality based on Landsat 8 operational land imager associated with human activities in Korea. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 187(6), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-015-4616-1
- Lu, D., Batistella, M., & Moran, E. (2007). Land-cover classification in the Brazilian Amazon with the integration of Landsat ETM + and Radarsat data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 28(24), 5447–5459. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160701227596
- Lucas, L., Janssen, F., & Wel, F. J. M. Van Der. (1994). Accuracy Assessment Derived of Satellite Data : A Review. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, 60(4), 419–426.
- Luo, S., Wang, C., Xi, X., Zeng, H., Li, D., Xia, S., & Wang, P. (2016). Fusion of airborne discrete-return LiDAR and hyperspectral data for land cover classification. *Remote Sensing*, 8(1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8010003
- Lupia, F., Baiocchi, V., Lelo, K., & Pulighe, G. (2017). Exploring Rooftop Rainwater Harvesting Potential for Food Production in Urban Areas, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture7060046
- Lye, D. (2002). Health Risks Associated With Consumption of Untreated Water From Household Roof Catchment Systems. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 38(5), 1301–1306. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2002.tb04349.x
- Lye, D. J. (2009). Rooftop runoff as a source of contamination: A review. *Science of the Total Environment*, 407(21), 5429–5434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2009.07.011
- Ma, L., Cheng, L., Li, M., Liu, Y., & Ma, X. (2015). Training set size, scale, and features in Geographic Object-Based Image Analysis of very high resolution unmanned aerial vehicle imagery. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 102, 14–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2014.12.026
- Ma, L., Fu, T., Blaschke, T., Li, M., Tiede, D., Zhou, Z., ... Chen, D. (2017). Evaluation of Feature Selection Methods for Object-Based Land Cover Mapping of Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Imagery Using Random Forest and Support Vector Machine Classifiers. *International Journal of Geo-Information*, 6(51), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi6020051
- Martha, T. R., Kerle, N., Westen, C. J. Van, Jetten, V., & Kumar, K. V. (2011). Segment Optimization and Data-Driven Thresholding for Knowledge-Based Landslide Detection by Object-Based Image Analysis. *IEEE Transaction on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 49(12), 4928–4943.

- Matos, C., Santos, C., Pereira, S., Bentes, I., & Imteaz, M. (2014). Rainwater storage tank sizing: Case study of a commercial building. *International Journal of Sustainable Built Environment*, 2(2), 109–118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsbe.2014.04.004
- Maurer, T. (2013). How To Pan-Sharpen Images Using The Gram-Schmidt Pan-Sharpen Method – A Recipe. *International Archives of the Photogrammetry*, *Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, *XL*(May), 21–24.
- Meera, V., & Ahammed, M. (2006). Water quality of rooftop rainwater harvesting systems: A review. *Aqua*, 257–268. Retrieved from http://www.iwaponline.com/jws/055/jws0550257.htm
- Meera, V., & Ahammed, M. (2011). Factors affecting the quality of roof-harvested rainwater. In *12th International Conference on Environmental Science and Technology* (pp. 686–691).
- Mekonnen, M. M., & Hoekstra, A. (2016). Four billion people facing severe water scarcity. *Science Advances*, 2(2). https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1500323
- Mendez, C. B., Klenzendorf, J. B., Afshar, B. R., Simmons, M. T., Barrett, M. E., Kinney, K. A., & Kirisits, M. J. (2011). The effect of roofing material on the quality of harvested rainwater. *Water Research*, 45, 2049–2059. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.12.015
- Mesas-carrascosa, F., Castillejo-gonzález, I., Sánchez, M., Orden, D., & Porras, A. (2012). Combining LiDAR intensity with aerial camera data to discriminate agricultural land uses. *Computers And Electronics In Agriculture*, 84, 36–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2012.02.020
- Minitab. (2016). Getting Started with Minitab 17. *Minitab Inc.* Minitab Inc. Retrieved from https://www.minitab.com/uploadedFiles/Documents/gettingstarted/Minitab17\_GettingStarted-en.pdf
- Moosavi, V., Talebi, A., & Shirmohammadi, B. (2014). Producing a landslide inventory map using pixel-based and object-oriented approach optimized by Taguchi method. *Geomorphology*, 204, 646–656.
- Morales-Pinzón, T., Rieradevall, J., Gasol, C. M., & Gabarrell, X. (2015). Modelling for economic cost and environmental analysis of rainwater harvesting systems. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 87(1), 613–626. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.09.021
- Mountrakis, G., Im, J., & Ogole, C. (2011). Support vector machines in remote sensing: A review. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 66(3), 247–259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2010.11.001

- Myint, S. W., Gober, P., Brazel, A., Grossman, C. S., & Weng, Q. (2011). Per-pixel vs. object-based classification of urban land cover extraction using high spatial resolution imagery. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 115(5), 1145–1161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2010.12.017
- Nichol, J., & Wong, M. (2008). Habitat Mapping in Rugged Terrain Using Multispectral Ikonos Images. *Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sensing*, 74, 1325– 1334.
- Nimbalkar, P., Jarocinska, A., & Zagajewski, B. (2018). Optimal bands configuration for the roof surface characterization using hyperspectral and LiDAR imaging. *Journal of Spectroscopy*, 2018, 1–35. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/6460518
- Novack, T., Kux, H. J. H., & Esch, T. (2011). A Feature Selection Analysis of Wor O dView-II Data for Similar Urban Targets Discrimination, 389–392.
- Oki, T., Agata, Y., Kanae, S., Saruhashi, T., Yang, D., & Musiake, K. (2001). Global assessment of current water resources using total runoff integrating pathways. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 46(6), 983–995. https://doi.org/10.1080/02626660109492890
- Ouyang, Y., Ma, J., & Dai, Q. (2006). Bayesian Multi-net Classifier for classification of remote sensing data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 27(21), 4943– 4961.
- Pal, M., & Foody, G. M. (2010). Feature Selection for Classification of Hyperspectral Data by SVM. *Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, *IEEE Transactions On*, 48(5), 2297–2307. https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2009.2039484
- Pohl, C., & Genderen, J. L. Van. (2010). Review article Multisensor image fusion in remote sensing: Concepts, methods and applications (Vol. 1161). https://doi.org/10.1080/014311698215748
- Pradhan, B., Jebur, M. N., Zulhaidi, H., Shafri, M., & Tehrany, M. S. (2015). Data Fusion Technique Using Wavelet Transform and Taguchi Methods for Automatic Landslide Detection From Airborne Laser Scanning Data and QuickBird Satellite Imagery. *IEEE T. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 1–13.
- Prospere, K., McLaren, K., & Wilson, B. (2014). Plant species discrimination in a tropical wetland using in situ hyperspectral data. *Remote Sensing*, *6*(9), 8494–8523. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs6098494
- Quek, U., & Forster, J. (1993). Trace metals in roof runoff. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution*, 68, 373–389. Retrieved from http://site.ebrary.com/lib/rit/reader.action?docID=10667396&ppg=17
- Quinlan, J. R. (1996). Improved use of continuous attributes in C4. 5. L of Artificial Intelligence ResearchJournal of Artificial Intelligence Research, 4(1996), 77– 90. https://doi.org/10.1613/jair.279

- Radaideh, J., Al-Zboon, K., Al-Harahsheh, A., & Al-Adamat, R. (2009). Quality Sssessment of Harvested Rainwater for Domestic Uses. *Jordan Journal of Earth* and Environmental Sciences, 2(1), 26–31. Retrieved from http://jjees.hu.edu.jo/files/v2n1/4.pdf
- Radoux, J., & Bogaert, P. (2014). Accounting for the area of polygon sampling units for the prediction of primary accuracy assessment indices. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 142(February), 9–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2013.10.030
- Radoux, J., & Bogaert, P. (2017). Good practices for object-based accuracy assessment. *Remote Sensing*, 9(7). https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9070646
- Radzali, N. A. W. M., Shafri, H. Z. M., Norman, M., & Saufi, S. (2018). Roofing assessment for rooftop rainwater harvesting adoption using remote sensing and GIS approach. *International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing* and Spatial Information Sciences - ISPRS Archives, 42(4/W9), 129–132. https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-XLII-4-W9-129-2018
- Rahman, S., Khan, M. T. R., Akib, S., Che Din, N., Iswas, S. K., & Shirazi, S. M. (2014). Sustainability of Rainwater Harvesting System in terms of Water Quality. *Hindawi Publishing Corporation*, 2014.
- Ramadas, M., & Samantaray, A. (2017). Applications of Remote Sensing and GIS in Water Quality Monitoring and Remediation: A State-of-the-Art Review, 225– 246. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-7551-3\_13
- Rostad, N., Foti, R., & Montalto, F. (2016). Resources, Conservation and Recycling Harvesting rooftop runoff to flush toilets : Drawing conclusions from four major U. S. cities. "Resources, Conservation & Recycling," 108, 97–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2016.01.009
- Rouvalis, A., Karadima, C., Zioris, I., Sakkas, V., & Albanis, T. (2009). Determination of pesticides and toxic potency of rainwater samples in western Greece. *Exotoxilogy and Environmental Safety*, 72(3), 828–833.
- Sameen, M. I., & Pradhan, B. (2017). A Two-Stage Optimization Strategy for Fuzzy
  Object-Based Analysis Using Airborne LiDAR and High-Resolution
  Orthophotos for Urban Road Extraction, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/6431519
- Sample, D. J., & Liu, J. (2014). Optimizing rainwater harvesting systems for the dual purposes of water supply and runoff capture. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 75, 174–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.03.075
- Samsudin, S. H., Shafri, H. Z. M., & Hamedianfar, A. (2016). Development of spectral indices for roofing material condition status detection using field spectroscopy and WorldView-3 data. *Journal of Applied Remote Sensing*, 10(2), 025021. https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JRS.10.025021

- Samsudin, S. H., Shafri, H. Z. M., Hamedianfar, A., & Mansor, S. (2015). Spectral feature selection and classification of roofing materials using field spectroscopy data. *Journal of Applied Remote Sensing*, 9(August 2016), 095079. https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JRS.9.095079
- Sazakli, E., A. Alexopoulos, & Leotsinidis, M. (2007). Rainwater harvesting, quality assessment and utilization in Kefalonia Island, Greece. *Water Research*, 41(9).
- Segl, K., Roessner, S., Heiden, U., & Kaufmann, H. (2003). Fusion of spectral and shape features for identification of urban surface cover types using reflective and thermal hyperspectral data. *Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 58, 99–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-2716(03)00020-0
- Sibaruddin, H. I., Zulhaidi, H., Shafri, M., Pradhan, B., & Haron, N. A. (2018). UAVbased Approach to Extract Topographic and As-built Information by Utilising the OBIA Technique, 6(3), 103–123. https://doi.org/10.12691/jgg-6-3-2
- Simmons, G., Hope, V., Lewis, G., Whitmore, J., & Gao, W. (2001). Contamination of potable roof-collected rainwater in Auckland, New Zealand. *Water Research*, 35(6), 1518–1524. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(00)00420-6
- Singh, J. P. (2014). Selection of Suitable Sites for Water Harvesting Structures in Soankhad Watershed, Punjab using Remote Sensing and Geographical Information System (RS & GIS) Approach — A Case Study, (January). https://doi.org/10.1007/s12524-009-0009-7
- Taherzadeh, A., & Shafri, H. Z. (2013). Development of a generic model for the detection of roof materials based on an object-based approach using WorldView-2 satellite imagery. Advances in Remote Sensing, 2, 312–321.
- Thaduri, A., Verma, A., Gopika, V., & Kumar, U. (2013). Reliability Prediction Of Constant Fraction Discriminator Using Modified PoF Approach. *Reliability and Maintability* (RAMS). https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/RAMS.2013.6517746
- Tito Morales-Pinzon, Rodrigo Luruena, Xavier Gabarrell, Carles M. Gasol, & Joan Roeradevall. (2014). Financial and environmental modelling of water hardness \_implication for utilising harvested rainwater in washing machines, (470–471), 1257–1271.
- Tiwari, K., Goyal, R., & Sarkar, A. (2018). GIS-based Methodology for Identification of Suitable Locations for Rainwater Harvesting Structures. *Water Resources Management*, 1811–1825. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-018-1905-9
- Tobiszewski, M., Polkowska, Z., Konieczka, P., & Namieśnik, J. (2010). Roofing Materials as Pollution Emitters -Concentration Changes during Runoff. *Journal* of Environmental Studies, 19(5), 1019–1028.

- Ugai, T. (2016). Evaluation of Sustainable Roof from Various Aspects and Benefits of Agriculture Roofing in Urban Core. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 216(October 2015), 850–860. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.12.082
- UN-HABITAT (2003). Rainwater Harvesting and Utilization. Blue Drop Series, United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-HABITAT) Book 2: Beneficiaries & Capacity Builders. Retrieved from http://www.cabdirect.org/abstracts/20033157591.html
- Usali, N., & Hasmadi, M. (2010). Use of Remote Sensing and GIS in Monitoring Water Quality. *Sustainable Development*, 3(3), 228–238.
- Uygur, N., Karaca, F., & Alagha, O. (2010). Prediction of sources of metal pollution in rainwater in Istanbul, Turkey using factor analysis and long-range transport models. *Atmospheric Research*, 95, 55–64.
- Wallinder, I, O., Bahar, B., Leygraf, C., & Tidblad, J. (2007). Modelling and mapping of copper runoff for Europe. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring : JEM*, 9, 66–73. https://doi.org/10.1039/b612041e
- Wan, Y., Wang, M., Ye, Z., & Lai, X. (2016). A feature selection method based on modified binary coded ant colony optimization algorithm. *Applied Soft Computing*, 49, 248–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2016.08.011
- Wang, X., & Yang, W. (2019). Water quality monitoring and evaluation using remote sensing techniques in China: a systematic review. *Ecosystem Health and Sustainability*, 0(0), 1–67. https://doi.org/10.1080/20964129.2019.1571443
- Wolfe, S., & Brooks, D. B. (2003). Water scarcity: An alternative view and its implications for policy and capacity building. *Natural Resources Forum*, 27(2), 99–107. https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-8947.00045
- Yan, J., Shan, J., & Wanshou, J. (2014). A global optimization approach to roof segmentation from airborne lidar point clouds. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 94, 183–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2014.04.022
- Yaziz, M. I., Gunting, H., Sapari, N., & Ghazali, A. W. (1989). Variations in rainwater quality from roof catchments. *Water Research*, 23(6), 761–765. https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(89)90211-X
- Zhang, J. (2010). International Journal of Image and Data Fusion Multi-source remote sensing data fusion: status and trends, (April 2013), 37–41. https://doi.org/10.1080/19479830903561035
- Zhang, Q., Wang, X., Hou, P., Wan, W., Li, R., Ren, Y., & Ouyang, Z. (2014). Quality and seasonal variation of rainwater harvested from concrete, asphalt, ceramic tile and green roofs in Chongqing, China. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 132, 178–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.11.009

- Zhou, W., & Troy, A. (2008). An object-oriented approach for analysing and characterizing urban landscape at the parcel level. *International Journal of Remote* Sensing, 29(11), 3119–3135. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160701469065
- Zweig, H., & Campbell, G. (1993). Receiver-Operating Clinical Medicine (ROC) Plots : A Fundamental Evaluation Tool in Clinical Medicine. *Clinical Chemistry*, *39*(4), 561–577.



## **BIODATA OF STUDENT**

Masayu Norman was born in Malacca, Malaysia. She received a bachelor's degree in Surveying, Science and Geomatics from Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), Malaysia in 2004. She received a master's degree in Geographical Information Science from Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), Malaysia in 2009. Her PhD research was started at Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) in 2015 with the focus on optimization approaches for detailed rooftop classification from very high-resolution remote sensing image, WorldView-3 (WV-3) and light detection and ranging (LiDAR) data using data mining and object-based image analysis (OBIA).



#### LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Norman, M., Shafri, H.Z.M., Pradhan, B., & Mohamed, T.A. (2016). Preliminary evaluation of different OBIA classifiers for roofing conditions discrimination using WorldView-3. Paper presented at the IEEE Workshop on Geoscience and Remote Sensing 2016 (IWGRS2016). 8-9 November 2015, Selangor, Malaysia.
- Norman, M., Shafri, H.Z.M., & Pradhan, B. (2017). Improved building roof type classification using correlation-based feature selection and Gain Ratio algorithms. Paper presented at the Global Civil Engineering Conference (GCEC 2017). 25-28 July 2017, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Norman, M., Shafri, H.Z.M., & Pradhan, B. (2018). Improved building roof type classification using correlation-based feature selection and Gain Ratio algorithms. Book chapter in Lecture Notes of Engineering (LCNE) published by Springer, Vol (9). 14 Jun 2018. ISBN 9789811080166.
- Norman, M., Shafri, H.Z.M., Idrees, M.O, Mansor, S., & Yusuf, B. (2019). Spatiostatistical optimization of image segmentation process for building footprint extraction using very high-resolution WorldView-3 satellite data. *Geocarto International*, 1-25. DOI: 10.1080/10106049.2019.1573853.
- Norman, M., Shafri, H.Z.M., Mansor, S.B., & Yusuf, B. (2019). Review of Remote Sensing and Geospatial Technologies in Estimating Rooftop Rainwater Harvesting (RRWH) Quality. International Soil and Water Conservation Research. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iswcr.2019.05.002
- Norman, M., Shafri, H.Z.M., Shaharum, N.S.N., Sibaruddin, H.I., (2018). 3D Model Of Building For Rooftop-rainwater Harvesting. International Innovation, Design and Articulation i-IDeA, Vol 1 (2018) 1-5. GOLD AWARD.
- Norman, M., Shafri, H.Z.M., Mansor, S.B., Yusuf, B. & Radzali, N.A.W.M., (2019).
  Fusion of multispectral and LiDAR data for roofing materials and roofing surface conditions assessment. International Journal of Remote Sensing (IJRS).
  (Under review).



## UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

## STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

## ACADEMIC SESSION : Second Semester 2018/2019

#### TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT :

IMPROVEMENT ON ROOFTOP CLASSIFICATION OF WORLDVIEW-3 IMAGERY USING OBJECT-BASED IMAGE ANALYSIS

#### NAME OF STUDENT: MASAYU BINTI NORMAN

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

- 1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- 2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
- 3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

\*Please tick (V)



(Signature of Student) New IC No/ Passport No.: 800218-04-5224 (Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee) Name: ASSOC. PROF. DR HELMI ZULHAIDI BIN MOHD SHAFRI Date :

Date :

[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentially or restricted.]