



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**PRETREATMENT STUDY OF FOOD WASTE USING SONICATION FOR
ENHANCEMENT OF METHANE PRODUCTION**

NOR HABSAH BINTI MD SABIANI

FK 2019 82



**PRETREATMENT STUDY OF FOOD WASTE USING SONICATION FOR
ENHANCEMENT OF METHANE PRODUCTION**

By

NOR HABSAH MD SABIANI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

January 2019

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

PRETREATMENT STUDY OF FOOD WASTE USING SONICATION FOR ENHANCEMENT OF METHANE PRODUCTION

By

NOR HABSAH BINTI MD SABIANI

January 2019

Chairman: Assoc. Prof. Ir. Wan Azlina Wan Abdul Karim Ghani, PhD
Faculty: Engineering

The application of low-frequency ultrasonic pretreatment to the organic fraction municipal solid waste (OFMSW), particularly food waste (FW), is able to overcome slow hydrolysis rate by disintegrating the substrate into a biodegradable substrate. In addition, the process promotes solubilization of organic matter resulting in high amount of substrate readily available within the digestion process and thus enhancing high methane production. The main objective of this study was to obtain high methane production through anaerobic digestion of sonicated food waste. To accomplish this aim, the following objectives were pursued namely correlation between ultrasonication with the physicochemical property changes and anaerobic biodegradability, evaluation of the digester performance and system stability of the anaerobic digestion of the sonicated food waste at different organic loading rate (OLR) and the determination of the kinetic parameters for the performance of the anaerobic digestion of sonicated food waste.

The anaerobic digestion experiment on sonicated food waste was conducted in two phases, where in Phase 1, the ultrasonic pretreatment was performed by sonicating 200 mL of food waste slurry at different sonication duration of 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 minutes at 20 kHz frequency and specific energy input ranged from 5,396 to 25,997 kJ/kg TS, and then anaerobic batch tests were carried out on the sonicated food waste samples. While in Phase 2, the anaerobic digestion was conducted on the sonicated food waste (SFW) and non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) or control in a 13 L laboratory scale anaerobic digester (working volume of 10 L) with increasing organic loading rate (OLR) ranging from 1.5-3.5 gCOD/L.day. The experimental works were carried out in two stages (batch start-up and then continued with semi-continuous feeding). The performance of all digesters was evaluated based on the methane composition, methane production rate as well as methane yield.

In the ultrasonic pretreatment (Phase 1), when the specific energy inputs increased from 0 to 25,997 kJ/kg TS, analysis of chemical properties found that the percentage of soluble COD (SCOD) values have increased to 34-40 %. The range of $COD_{solubilization}$ and degree of disintegration (DD) values were between 11.4-13.4% and 57.15-71.08%, respectively. A linear relationship with an R^2 of 0.907 was obtained for the correlation between $COD_{solubilisation}$ and DD. The application of sonicated food waste in the anaerobic digestion process has increased the cumulative CH_4 production about 40.3-70.5%. The volume of methane produced was increased from 4.2 liters (non-sonicated food waste) to 7.9 - 14.5 liters in the sonicated food waste. While for Phase 2, the methane composition generated during the process was higher in SFW digester at OLR of 1.5 g COD/L.day and 3.5 g COD/L.day compared to NSFW digester. There was an increase of 9.54-41.28% in methane composition when SFW digester was operated at OLR of 3.5 g COD/L.day. Methane production rate was enhanced by 20.8 -75.7% or 1.26-4.12 times in SFW digester when operated at an OLR of 3.5 g COD/L.day. Methane yields did not show significant changes at the OLR of 1.5 and 2.5 g COD/L.day in both digesters, but started to show a significant increase when SFW digester was operated at OLR of 3.5 g COD/L.day. The methane yield was enhanced by 42.87-82.83% or 1.75-5.82 times at the stated OLR.

The process performance as well as acceptable stability in SFW digester provided satisfactory predictions with Monod, Modified Stover-Kincannon, Grau second-order multicomponent substrate removal and Contois kinetic models. The experimental data were well fitted with the models with high correlation coefficients (R^2) ranging from 0.914-0.996. The kinetic coefficients such as yield coefficient (Y), maximum specific growth rate (μ_{max}), death rate (k_d), half velocity coefficient (K_s), saturation value constant (K_B), maximum utilization rate (U_{max}), multicomponent Grau second-order substrate removal coefficient (k_s), dimensionless Grau second order constant (a and b), μ_{max} and B (for Contois model) recorded 1.580 gVSS/gCOD, 1.219 day⁻¹, 0.06 day⁻¹, 617.59 g/L, 1.928 gCOD/L.day, 1.667 gCOD/L.day, 0.103 day⁻¹, 48.121, 1.156, 10.76 day⁻¹ and 361.31 gCOD/gVSS, respectively. Furthermore, a significant relationship was observed between the predicted and experimental data with correlation coefficients (R^2) ranging from 0.893-0.996. In this study, the Monod model with $R^2 = 0.996$ indicates the most appropriate model for interpreting the kinetic parameters of the anaerobic system in the CSTR treating sonicated food waste (SFW) slurry.

Overall, the implementation of ultrasonic pretreatment prior to anaerobic digestion of food waste can increase the production of methane by recording overall enhancement in methane production rate of 18.99%. The overall enhancement of methane yield was 23.86% with the highest methane composition of 79.6%. Therefore, the kinetic model used in this study can be applied to predict the performance of the anaerobic digestion system treating sonicated food waste slurry.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

KAJIAN PRARAWATAN SISA MAKANAN MENGGUNAKAN ULTRASONIK UNTUK PENINGKATAN PENGHASILAN METANA

Oleh

NOR HABSAH MD SABIANI

Januari 2019

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Ir. Wan Azlina Wan Abdul Karim Ghani, PhD
Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Penggunaan kaedah rawatan ultrasonik berfrekuensi rendah terhadap pecahan organik sisa pepejal perbandaran, terutamanya sisa makanan (FW), mampu mengatasi kadar hidrolisis yang perlahan dengan memecahkan substrat menjadi substrat yang tersedia untuk tindakan secara biologi. Di samping itu, proses ini menggalakkan proses solubilisasi bahan organik yang menghasilkan banyak substrat yang sedia ada dalam proses pencernaan dan dengan itu meningkatkan pengeluaran metana yang tinggi. Objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk menghasilkan metana yang tinggi melalui pencernaan anaerobik sisa makanan yang telah dirawat dengan gelombang ultrasonik. Bagi mencapai matlamat ini, beberapa objektif berikut telah diikuti iaitu kolerasi di antara ultrasonik dengan perubahan sifat fizikal dan kimia dan biodegradabiliti anaerobik, penilaian prestasi reaktor dan kestabilan sistem pencernaan anaerobik sisa makanan yang dirawat pada kadar beban organik yang berbeza dan penentuan parameter kinetik untuk pencernaan anaerobik sisa makanan yang dirawat dengan gelombang ultrasonik.

Eksperimen pencernaan anaerobik pada sisa makanan yang telah dirawat dengan gelombang ultrasonik dilakukan dalam dua fasa, di mana dalam Fasa 1, gelombang ultrasonik telah dikenakan terhadap 200 mL sisa makanan pada tempoh masa yang berbeza iaitu 2, 4, 6, 8 dan 10 minit pada frekuensi 20 kHz dengan input tenaga tertentu di antara 5,396 hingga 25,997 kJ/kg TS, dan kemudiannya ujian pencernaan anaerobik kelompok telah dijalankan ke atas sampel sisa makanan yang dirawat. Dalam Fasa 2, pencernaan anaerobik dilakukan ke atas sisa makanan yang dirawat dengan gelombang ultrasonik (SFW) dan sisa makanan tidak dirawat dengan gelombang ultrasonik (NSFW) atau kawalan di dalam reaktor anaerobik berskala makmal berisipadu 13 L (dengan isipadu kerja 10 L) dengan peningkatan kadar beban organik (OLR) dari 1.5-3.5 gCOD/L.hari. Eksperimen telah dijalankan dalam dua peringkat (operasi permulaan secara kelompok dan kemudian diteruskan dengan mod separa berterusan). Prestasi kedua-dua pencerna dinilai berdasarkan komposisi metana, kadar pengeluaran metana serta hasil metana.

Dalam rawatan ultrasonik (Fasa 1), apabila input tenaga tertentu meningkat dari 0 ke 25,997 kJ/kg TS, analisis sifat kimia yang dijalankan mendapati bahawa peratusan nilai COD larut (SCOD) telah meningkat kepada 34-40 %. Julat nilai COD_{solubilization} dan darjah perpecahan (DD) adalah di antara 11.4-13.4% dan 57.15-71.08%, masing-masing. Hubungan linear dengan $R^2 = 0.907$ diperolehi untuk korelasi di antara COD_{solubilisation} dan DD. Penggunaan sisa makanan yang telah dirawat dalam proses pencernaan anaerobik telah meningkatkan pengeluaran CH₄ kumulatif kira-kira 40.3-70.5%. Isipadu metana yang dihasilkan telah ditingkatkan daripada 4.2 liter (sisa makanan tidak dirawat dengan gelombang ultrasonik) kepada 7.9 - 14.5 litres di dalam sisa makanan yang dirawat dengan gelombang ultrasonik. Dalam Fasa 2, komposisi metana yang dihasilkan semasa proses berlangsung lebih tinggi di reaktor SFW pada OLR 1.5 g COD/L.hari dan 3.5 g COD/L.hari berbanding reaktor NSFV. Terdapat peningkatan sebanyak 9.54-41.28% dalam komposisi metana apabila reaktor SFW dikendalikan pada OLR 3.5 g COD/L.hari. Kadar pengeluaran metana telah ditingkatkan sebanyak 20.8 -75.7% atau 1.26-4.12 kali ganda dalam reaktor SFW apabila dikendalikan pada OLR 3.5 g COD/L.hari. Hasil metana tidak menunjukkan perubahan ketara pada OLR 1.5 dan 2.5 g COD/L.hari dalam kedua-dua reaktor, namun mula menunjukkan peningkatan ketara apabila reaktor SFW dikendalikan pada OLR 3.5 g COD/L.hari. Hasil metana ditingkatkan sebanyak 42.87-82.83% atau 1.75-5.82 kali ganda pada OLR yang dinyatakan.

Prestasi proses serta kestabilan reaktor SFW memberikan ramalan yang memuaskan dengan model kinetik Monod, Modified Stover-Kincannon, Grau second-order dan Contois. Data eksperimen menunjukkan penyesuaian terbaik dengan semua model dengan julat pekali korelasi (R^2) adalah tinggi dalam julat 0.914-0.997. Pekali kinetik seperti pekali hasil (Y), kadar pertumbuhan spesifik maksimum (μ_{max}), kadar kematian (k_d), pekali separuh halaju (K_s), pekali nilai ketepuan (K_B), kadar penggunaan maksimum (U_{max}) dan pekali penyingkiran substrat (k_s), pekali tidak berdimensi Grau second (a dan b), μ_{max} dan B (untuk Contois model) mencatatkan 1.580 gVSS/gCOD, 1.219 hari⁻¹, 0.06 hari⁻¹, 617.59 g/L, 1.928 gCOD/L.hari, 1.667 gCOD/L.hari dan 0.103 hari⁻¹, 48.121, 1.156, 10.76 day⁻¹ and 361.31 gCOD/gVSS, masing-masing. Selain itu, hubungan yang ketara diperhatikan di antara data ramalan dan eksperimen dengan pekali korelasi (R^2) dalam julat 0.893-0.996. Dalam kajian ini, model Monod dengan $R^2 = 0.996$ merupakan model yang paling sesuai untuk mentafsirkan parameter kinetik bagi sistem anaerobik dalam merawat sisa makanan di dalam reaktor pengaduk berterusan (CSTR) yang telah melalui rawatan ultrasonik.

Secara keseluruhannya, pelaksanaan rawatan ultrasonik sebelum proses pencernaan anaerobik dapat meningkatkan pengeluaran metana dengan mencatatkan peningkatan keseluruhan dalam kadar pengeluaran metana sebanyak 18.99%. Peningkatan keseluruhan hasil metana mencatatkan 23.86% dengan komposisi metana tertinggi sebanyak 79.6%. Oleh itu, model kinetik yang digunakan dalam kajian ini boleh digunakan untuk meramalkan prestasi sistem pencernaan anaerobik yang merawat sisa makanan yang telah didedahkan dengan gelombang ultrasonik.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of ALLAH S.W.T, all praise is due to Him, the only creator, who bestowed me the strength, knowledge and perseverance to complete this thesis successfully.

I wish to express the most sincere appreciation and deep gratitude to all the supervisory committees: Associate Professor Ir. Dr. Wan Azlina Wan Abd Karim Ghani, Professor Dr. Azni Idris and Associate Professor Dr. Azhari Samsu Baharuddin, for their motivation and enthusiasm during discussions, constant assistance, guidance and concern supervising towards my research and in writing up the thesis.

I am also thankful to the Environmental Engineering Laboratory and Material Characterization Laboratory technicians and research assistants for their support and opinions particularly during the experimentation stage. I gratefully acknowledge the co-operation by other postgraduates, for the most part, their input and lively times working together in the laboratory.

Last but not least, I would like to thank to my family, my mother (Puan Fatimah Din), my husband (Azharuddin Harun) and my siblings (Abu Bakar, Mariyatul Kaptiah, Mohd Farizal and Nurul Atiqah). Their unconditional love, prayer, encouragement and inspiration have made me able to pass through all the obstacles throughout the completion of this study.

May ALLAH S.W.T rewards your kindness with the best of rewards. Thank you all.

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Nor Habsah Binti Md Sabiani, GS26077

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee: Associate Professor Ir. Dr. Wan Azlina Wan Abdul Karim Ghani

Signature: _____

Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: Prof. Dr. Azni Idris

Signature: _____

Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: Associate Professor Dr. Azhari Samsu Baharuddin

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS	xxii

CHAPTER

1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Research overview	1
	1.2 Problem statement	3
	1.3 Research hypothesis	7
	1.4 Research objectives	7
	1.5 Scope of study	8
	1.6 Organization of thesis	8
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	10
	2.1 Introduction	10
	2.2 Anaerobic digestion	10
	2.2.1 Factors influencing anaerobic digestion	12
	2.2.1.1 Temperature	13
	2.2.1.2 pH and alkalinity	14
	2.2.1.3 Volatile fatty acids (VFA)	15
	2.2.1.4 Mixing strategy	15
	2.2.1.5 Hydraulic retention time (HRT)	16
	2.2.1.6 Organic loading rate (OLR)	17
	2.2.2 Anaerobic digestion of food waste	18
	2.2.2.1 The composition and characteristics of food waste	19
	2.2.2.2 The implementation of batch or continuous method in AD of food waste	22
	2.2.2.3 Methods for improving AD of food waste	31
	2.2.3 Types of reactors used in anaerobic digestion of food waste	36
	2.2.4 Palm oil mill effluent (POME) anaerobic sludge as a source for methanogens consortia	55
	2.3 Pretreatment technology in anaerobic digestion	61
	2.3.1 Chemical pretreatment	61
	2.3.2 Thermal pretreatment	65
	2.3.3 Biological pretreatment	69
	2.3.4 Combination of various pretreatment	73
	2.3.5 Mechanical pretreatment	77

2.4	Pretreatment technology in anaerobic digestion using ultrasonic	83
2.4.1	Ultrasonic disintegration mechanisms	84
2.4.2	Generating and delivering ultrasonic energy	86
2.4.3	Energy or power input for sample disintegration	87
2.4.4	Ultrasonic pretreatment of organic waste prior to anaerobic digestion process	89
2.4.5	Ultrasonic pretreatment of food waste prior to anaerobic digestion process	92
2.4.5.1	Food waste pretreatment purposes	92
2.4.5.2	Effects of ultrasonic pretreatment to the food waste	92
2.4.5.3	The impact of ultrasonic pretreatment and anaerobic digestion performance on the food waste	95
2.5	Kinetic of anaerobic digestion	102
2.5.1	Microbial growth rate	103
2.5.2	Substrate utilization rate	104
2.5.3	Kinetic model for predicting the performance of anaerobic digestion process	105
2.5.3.1	Monod kinetic model	105
2.5.3.2	Modified Stover-Kincannon kinetic model	109
2.5.3.3	Grau second-order multicomponent substrate removal kinetic model	110
2.5.3.4	Contois kinetic model	112
2.6	Summary of literature review	114
3	METHODOLOGY	116
3.1	Introduction	116
3.2	The experimental design and flow	116
3.3	Food waste preparation	118
3.4	Inoculums	118
3.5	Segregation and characterization of food waste	119
3.5.1	Food waste segregation	119
3.5.2	Food waste characterization	120
3.5.2.1	pH determination	120
3.5.2.2	Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	121
3.5.2.3	Total solids (TS)	122
3.5.2.4	Volatile solids (VS)	123
3.5.2.5	Moisture content (MC)	123
3.5.2.6	Macronutrients (Phosphorus, Potassium)	123
3.5.2.7	Micronutrients (Ni, Fe, Zn, Cu, Cd, Pb, Mn, Al, Mg)	124
3.5.2.8	Lipids or fats	125
3.5.2.9	Elemental analysis (CHNS)	126
3.5.2.10	Particle size distribution	126
3.5.2.11	Microscopic evaluation	127
3.6	Ultrasonic pretreatment of food waste-batch study	127

3.6.1	Ultrasonic processor	127
3.6.2	Ultrasonic pretreatment of food waste (Phase 1)	128
3.6.2.1	Specific energy (SE) input	128
3.6.2.2	Degree of disintegration (DD)	129
3.6.2.3	COD _{solubilization}	129
3.6.3	Physical evaluation	130
3.6.3.1	Particle size distribution	130
3.6.3.2	Microscopic evaluation	130
3.6.4	Chemical evaluation	130
3.6.5	Anaerobic biodegradability experimental procedure	130
3.6.5.1	Biogas analysis	131
3.7	Anaerobic digestion of sonicated and non-sonicated food waste- biodigester study (Phase 2)	133
3.7.1	Experimental set up	133
3.7.2	Anaerobic digester operation	135
3.7.3	Analytical methods	137
3.7.3.1	Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	137
3.7.3.2	Total solids and volatile solids	137
3.7.3.3	pH determination	137
3.7.3.4	Alkalinity	137
3.7.3.5	Volatile fatty acids (VFA)	138
3.8	Determination of kinetics coefficients	139
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	140
4.1	Introduction	140
4.2	Segregation of food waste	140
4.3	Characterization of food waste	143
4.3.1	Chemical characteristics	143
4.3.2	Physical characteristics	150
4.4	Characterization of POME anaerobic sludge	151
4.5	The effect of ultrasonic energy on the enhancement of the biodegradability of food waste-batch study	153
4.5.1	Particle size distribution	153
4.5.2	Degree of disintegration and COD _{solubilisation}	155
4.5.3	Morphological properties of food waste	157
4.5.4	Anaerobic biodegradability of sonicated food waste	159
4.6	Evaluation on the digester performance and system stability at different organic loading rate (OLR) - biodigester study	161
4.6.1	Start-up operation	162
4.6.1.1	Methane composition, methane production rate and methane yield	162
4.6.1.2	COD removal efficiency	164
4.6.1.3	TS and VS removal efficiency	166
4.6.1.4	pH and alkalinity	167
4.6.1.5	Volatile fatty acid (VFA)	168
4.6.2	Semi-continuous operation	170
4.6.2.1	Methane composition, methane	170

	production rate and methane yield	
	4.6.2.2 COD removal efficiency	183
	4.6.2.3 TS and VS removal efficiency	188
	4.6.2.4 pH and alkalinity	190
	4.6.2.5 Volatile fatty acid (VFA)	193
	4.6.3 Evaluation of kinetic modelling	200
	4.6.3.1 Monod kinetic model	201
	4.6.3.2 Modified Stover-Kincannon kinetic model	205
	4.6.3.3 Grau second-order multicomponent substrate removal kinetic model	207
	4.6.3.4 Contois kinetic model	209
	4.6.3.5 Evaluation of kinetic model	212
4.7	Summary of findings	215
	4.7.1 The effect of ultrasonic energy on enhancement of biodegradability of food waste – batch study	215
	4.7.2 Evaluation on digester performance and system stability at different organic loading rate (OLR) – semi-continuous study	215
	4.7.3 Evaluation of kinetic models	216
5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION	218
	5.1 Conclusions	218
	5.2 Recommendations	218
	REFERENCES	220
	APPENDICES	260
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	267
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	268

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Composition of biogas	11
2.2	Comparison between mesophilic and thermophilic digesters	13
2.3	The characteristics of food waste as reported in the literatures	21
2.4	Methane yield from batch anaerobic digestion of food waste	25
2.5	The performance of semi-continuous AD involving single and double stage digesters	29-30
2.6	Anaerobic co-digestion of food waste with other substrates	34-35
2.7	Operational and performance data for different reactors used in food waste treatment	52-54
2.8	Types of inoculum used in anaerobic digestion of organic waste	58-60
2.9	Summary for some of the chemical pretreatment studies reported on various organic wastes.	63-64
2.10	Summary for some of the thermal pretreatment studies reported on various organic wastes.	66-68
2.11	Summary for some of the biological (involving microbe and enzyme) pretreatment studies reported on various organic wastes	70-72
2.12	Summary for some of the combined pretreatment studies reported on various organic wastes	74-76
2.13	Summary for some of the mechanical pretreatment studies reported on various organic wastes.	78-80
2.14	The impact of ultrasonic pretreatment and anaerobic digestion performance on the food waste	97-101
3.1	Data on feeding and organic loading rates (OLR) for sonicated and non-sonicated food waste slurry in SFW and NSFW digester used in anaerobic digestion process.	136
3.2	Data on ultrasonic pretreatment	137

4.1	Characterization of food waste as compared to the literature reports	148-149
4.2	The characteristics of inoculums (POME anaerobic sludge) used in this study	152
4.3	The relationship between particle size, COD solubilisation and degree of disintegration at different sonication time and specific energy input	155
4.4	Experimental results obtained under steady state conditions for the anaerobic digestion experiments of sonicated food waste in SFW digester.	201
4.5	The comparison of kinetic coefficients using Monod kinetic model with other studies	204
4.6	The comparison of kinetic coefficients using modified Stover-Kincannon kinetic model with other studies.	206
4.7	The comparison of kinetic coefficients using Grau second order multi component substrate removal kinetic model with other studies	208
4.8	The comparison of kinetic coefficients using Contois kinetic model with other studies	211
4.9	Comparison between the predicted effluent COD values and experimental COD values from the CSTR sonicated food waste (SFW) digester.	212

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	General biochemical pathways involved in anaerobic digestion	12
2.2	Temperature range for anaerobic digestion	14
2.3	The schematic diagram of CSTR	37
2.4	Plug flow reactor	40
2.5	The schematic diagram of UASB	42
2.6	Schematic diagram of ABR	44
2.7	The typical SBR cycle (including aerobic)	46
2.8	The schematic diagram of EGSB reactor.	48
2.9	The schematic diagram of HASL-UASB reactor.	49
2.10	Alternating compressions and rarefactions in the medium	85
2.11	Micro bubbles or cavitation	85
2.12	The growth and collapse of cavitation bubbles	86
2.13	The arrangement of converter, booster and horn in a typical 20 kHz ultrasonic system	87
2.14	Common horns used in liquid processing	87
2.15	Monod curve	107
3.1	The experimental design and flow of study	117
3.2	Segregated food waste consisted of (a) Carbohydrate source, (b) Protein source, and (c) Fibre source	119
3.3	Ultrasonic processor (Model: CPX500)	128
3.4	Batch anaerobic digestion experiment	131
3.5	Chromatograms and retention time of standard mixture gas	132

3.6	Fabricated food waste anaerobic digester with the electrical control panel	134
3.7	Schematic diagram of food waste anaerobic digester with the supported steel frame.	134
3.8	Retention time of volatile fatty acids (VFA) standard	139
4.1 (a)	Percentage (by wet weight) of segregated food waste (Trial 1- 6)	142
4.1 (b)	Percentage (by wet weight) of segregated food waste (Trial 7-10)	143
4.2	Particle size distribution of food waste slurry	150
4.3	SEM micrographs of raw food waste at different magnification (300x, 1000x and 2000x)	151
4.4	Particle size distributions at different sonication times	154
4.5	Soluble COD changes at different sonication duration	156
4.6	Relationship between COD solubilisation and degree of disintegration of sonicated food waste.	157
4.7	SEM micrographs of (a) raw food waste (non-sonicated), (b) food waste sonicated at 2 min, (c) 4 min, (d) 6 min, (e) 8 min and (f) 10 min; at different magnification (300x, 1000x and 2000x)	158
4.8	Cumulative methane production from anaerobic digestion of non-sonicated and sonicated food waste at different sonication duration.	160
4.9	The profile of methane composition in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the start-up.	163
4.10	The profile of methane production rate in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the start-up.	164
4.11	The profile of methane yield in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the start-up.	164
4.12	The profile of COD removal efficiency in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the start-up.	165
4.13	The profile of COD concentration in both non-sonicated	165

	food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the start-up.	
4.14	The profile of TS removal efficiency in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the start-up.	167
4.15	The profile of VS removal efficiency in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the start-up.	167
4.16	The profile of pH and alkalinity in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the start-up phase.	168
4.17	The profile of total VFAs in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the start-up phase.	169
4.18	The profile of methane composition in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the semi continuous phase at different OLR.	171
4.19	The profile of methane production rate in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the semi continuous phase at different OLR.	172
4.20	The profile of methane yield in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the semi continuous phase at different OLR.	172
4.21	The relationship between methane composition and COD concentration in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the operation (start-up and semi continuous phase)	174
4.22	The relationship between methane composition and pH in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the operation (start-up and semi continuous phase) at different OLR.	174
4.23	The relationship between methane production rate and COD concentration in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the operation (start-up and semi continuous phase) at different OLR.	175
4.24	The relationship between methane production rate and	175

	pH in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the operation (start-up and semi continuous phase) at different OLR.	
4.25	The relationship between methane yield and COD concentration in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the operation (start-up and semi continuous phase) at different OLR	180
4.26	The relationship between methane yield and pH in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the operation (start-up and semi continuous phase) at different OLR.	182
4.27	The profile of COD removal efficiency in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during semi continuous phase at different OLR.	184
4.28	The profile of COD concentration in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during semi continuous phase at different OLR	184
4.29	The profile of TS removal efficiency in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during semi continuous phase	189
4.30	The profile of VS removal efficiency in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during semi continuous phase	190
4.31	The profile of pH and alkalinity in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during semi continuous phase at different OLR.	191
4.32	The profile of total VFAs in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the semi-continuous phase at different OLR.	194
4.33	The profile of individual VFAs in (a) SFW digester and (b) NSFW digester during the operation (start-up and semi-continuous phase).	196
4.34	Percentage of concentration of individual VFAs in (a) SFW digester and (b) NSFW digester during the operation.	197

4.35	The relationship between pH and VFA in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the operation (start-up and semi continuous phase) at different OLR.	198
4.36	The relationship between alkalinity and VFA in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the operation (start-up and semi continuous phase) at different OLR.	199
4.37	The relationship between methane yield and VFA in both non-sonicated food waste (NSFW) and sonicated food waste (SFW) digesters during the operation (start-up and semi continuous phase) at different OLR.	199
4.38	Linearized plot of Monod model for the determination of yield coefficient, Y and death rate coefficient, k_d in SFW digester.	202
4.39	Linearized plot of Monod model for the determination of maximum specific growth rate, μ_{max} and half velocity coefficient, K_s in SFW digester.	203
4.40	Linearized plot of Modified Stover-Kincannon model for the determination of maximum utilization rate, U_{max} and saturation value constant, K_B in SFW digester	205
4.41	Linearized plot of Grau second-order multicomponent substrate removal kinetic model for the determination of a and b parameters in SFW digester.	207
4.42	Linearized plot of Contois model for the determination of maximum specific growth rate, μ_{max} and B in SFW digester.	209
4.43	Comparison of the predicted effluent COD values and experimental effluent COD values from the SFW digester for Monod kinetic model.	213
4.44	Comparison of the predicted effluent COD values and experimental effluent COD values from the SFW digester for Modified Stover-Kincannon kinetic model.	213
4.45	Comparison of the predicted effluent COD values and experimental effluent COD values from the SFW digester for Grau second order multicomponent substrate removal kinetic model.	214

4.46 Comparison of the predicted effluent COD values and experimental effluent COD values from the SFW digester for Contois kinetic model.

214



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

AD	Anaerobic digestion
AAS	Atomic absorption spectrophotometer
BMP	Biochemical Methane Potential
CSTR	Continuous stirred tank reactor
COD	Chemical oxygen demand
DS	Dewatered sludge
DM	Dairy manure
DD	Degree of disintegration
FW	Food waste
FOG	Fat, oil and grease
GC	Gas chromatography
HRT	Hydraulic retention time
ICP-AES	Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometry
kV	kilovolt
KHz	kilohertz
MC	Moisture content
MH	Maize husk
MHz	Megahertz
NSFW	Non-sonicated food waste
OLR	Organic loading rate
OFMSW	Organic fraction municipal solid waste
POME	Palm oil mill effluent

SFW	Sonicated food waste
SEM	Scanning electron microscope
SCOD	Soluble chemical oxygen demand
SE	Specific energy input
TCD	Thermal conductivity detector
UD	Ultrasonic density
UD _o	Ultrasonic dose
UI	Ultrasonic intensity
VS	Volatile solid
t	Time
μ	Specific growth rate
k_d	Death rate
Y	Growth yield coefficient
Q	Specific utilization rate
Q	Flow rate
V	Volume of reactor
S _o	Influent substrate concentration
S	Effluent substrate concentration
X _o	Concentration of biomass in influent
X	Concentration of biomass in effluent
μ_{max}	Maximum specific growth rate
K _s	Half velocity constant
U _{max}	Maximum utilization rate constant
K _B	Saturation value constant
k _s	Grau second-order multicomponent substrate removal rate constant

θ_H	Hydraulic retention time
a	Dimensionless Grau second-order constant
b	Dimensionless Grau second-order constant



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research overview

Food waste (FW), which is known as a complex heterogeneous organic material consisting of highly recalcitrant material up to extremely biodegradable compounds becomes major concerns globally. In United States, the generation of food waste has reached 188 kg/capita per year with total losses reaching \$165.6 billion at consumer and retail levels. According to Garrone et al. (2014), estimated food waste generation between 280-300 kg/capita per year has been reported in Europe and North America. Furthermore, approximately 33% of food is wasted in Southeast Asia (Yang et al., 2016). For Malaysia, Bong et al. (2017) reported that the average household in Malaysia being thrown was about 0.5-0.8 kg of non-consumable food daily which indirectly contributed up to 40% of the municipal solid waste (MSW). The remaining 60 % comprised inorganic waste such as plastics, papers, diapers/napkins, textile, metal, glass, rubber and leather, garden or yard waste and others (SWCorp, 2016). Ineffective FW disposal will contribute to severe environmental problems including odour emission, leachate production, greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) and groundwater pollution (Han and Shin, 2004). Landfilling, incineration and aerobic composting are some of the traditional approaches that are often taken into consideration in the FW management in Malaysia. There are several factors that make these three methods unfavourable to dispose of FW, namely the tendency to create environmental problems, space constraints and characteristics of FW.

In FW management, anaerobic digestion (AD) is considered as the best alternative of treatment compared to landfilling, incineration and composting. Limited environmental impacts and high potential for energy recovery make this technology best suited for treating FW (Ariunbaatar et al., 2014) as well as having great potential in reaching 40 to 60% of waste reduction. In AD process, the decomposition of organic material is performed by groups of bacteria and microorganism in an oxygen-depleted environment (Ward et al., 2008), involving 4 stages of processes namely hydrolysis, acidogenesis, acetogenesis and methanogenesis. This method offers several environmental benefits over other forms of treatment technology, for example it produces biogas and useful fertilizer, reduces organic content of the waste (COD), preserves fertilizer value, eliminates biodegradable components that produce odour, reduces pathogen in the waste and reduces greenhouse gas emission (methane and carbon dioxide) which may lead to global warming (Wilkie, 2005).

Several previous literatures have reported that FW is easily biodegradable in nature, but the situation is different from the FW produced in Malaysia where the structure is more complex compared to the FW generated in other countries or regions around the world. The composition of the feedstock used during the digestion process affects the

degradability rate of FW (Kondusamy and Kalamdad, 2014). There are difficulties and challenges in estimating and measuring the percentage of carbohydrates, proteins and lipids in a heterogeneous substrate such as FW. The inconsistency in feedstock in terms of composition, shape and size are also among the problems encountered in the anaerobic digestion of food waste that may contribute to low biogas or methane yield. Besides that, most researchers have reported that the rate-limiting step for complex organic substrates particularly FW was the hydrolysis step and needed to be improved to enhance the performance of an anaerobic digester (Khalid et al., 2011; Raposo et al., 2011; Elliot and Mahmood, 2012). Nathao et al. (2013) and Yang et al. (2015) reported that the relatively low methane yield was between 100-250 L CH₄/kg VS_{added}. It has been reported by Shen et al. (2013), Khairuddin et al. (2015) and Zeynali et al., (2017), that by using either batch and semi-continuous systems, low methane yield was obtained ranging between 54-220 L CH₄/kg VS_{added} and 223 L CH₄/kg COD_{removed} while conducting AD experiment involving FW (i.e. kitchen FW, synthetic FW, canteen FW, restaurant FW, household FW as well as fruits and vegetables waste). To overcome this problem, substrate pretreatment and co-digestion are frequently preferable methods. However, this study only focuses on substrate pretreatment in order to enhance biogas or methane yield from the AD process.

Mechanical grinding, ultrasonic, thermal, chemical, biological and combined pretreatments are among the pretreatments methods that can be used to pretreat the FW. In this study, the ultrasonic pretreatment method was selected to increase the production of biogas and methane during the AD process based on several advantages over other pretreatment methods. Ultrasonic is a mechanical pretreatment method that can be used for substrate pretreatment before hydrolysis occurs in the AD process. Deepanraj et al. (2017) stated that when high-intensity ultrasonic waves emitted, a large hydro-mechanical shear force will be produced by the cavitation bubbles and this is a predominant effect for FW disintegration. This extremely fast treatment method will increase soluble microbial products when ultrasonic waves are imposed on the FW which leads to the solubilization of both extracellular and intracellular substances. The increase in biogas and methane yield between 7.7 - 88% can be observed in AD process involving sonicated FW conducted by Elbeshbishy et al. (2012), Li et al. (2013) and Naran et al. (2016). Most of AD studies involving FW in Malaysia have focused on the following areas including reactor configuration and operating conditions (Zakarya et al., 2016), co-digestion (Khairuddin et al., 2015) as well as factors affecting the AD process (Tanimu et al., 2014) in order to enhance biogas or methane production. The motivation to carry out this study is due to scarcity of previous studies and reports associated to the implementation of ultrasonic pretreatment on FW prior to AD process in Malaysia. So far, only a study related to chemical pretreatment has been reported as one of the substrate pretreatment methods conducted on the FW in Malaysia (Junoh et al., 2015). Nevertheless, ultrasonic pretreatment also has been used to pretreat other organic materials such as palm oil mill effluent or POME (Wong et al., 2018) and petrochemical wastewater (Siddique et al., 2017) in Malaysia prior to AD in order to enhance biogas and methane production.

Overall, this study seeks effect of using ultrasonic pretreatment on FW (which is complex, has an inconsistent composition and varied in size) in the perspective of Malaysia before it is used as a feedstock in the AD process. This pretreatment method has a great potential in breaking their complex structure into simpler structure, providing a greater surface area for microbial action and enzymatic attack, softening the materials in the FW, enhancing solubilization of FW and thus increasing hydrolysis rate before fermentation, and subsequently increasing the production of biogas and methane yield.

1.2 Problem statement

Municipal solid waste (MSW) is one of the most frequently debated environmental issues around the world. Malaysia, like most developing countries, is facing an increase in waste generation and accompanying problems associated with the disposal of MSW. According to Ouda and Raza (2014), current generation of MSW worldwide is 1.3 billion tonnes per year and this volume will continue to increase by 2.2 billion tonnes annually by 2025. The increasing population as well as solid waste generation per capita from 1.2 to 1.42 kg/capita/day is the main factor contributing to the worldwide MSW generation rate (Hoonweg and Bhada-Tata, 2012). According to Kamaruddin et al. (2017), the generation of municipal solid waste per capita in Malaysia is currently about 1.1 kg/capita/day where about 40% of MSW consisted of food waste.

Food waste (FW) is the largest and most problematic organic waste component in the solid waste management system in Malaysia. In promoting renewable energy production, food waste has enormous potential and is one of the most promising sources as it can be converted into energy due to high organic matters found in this source. The main components of food waste consist of carbohydrate polymers (starch, cellulose and hemicellulose), lignin, other organics (proteins, lipids, acids) and other inorganic substances (Vavouraki et al., 2013). Generally, food waste produced is acidic with a pH value of 3.84 - 6.50 (Adhikari et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2011; Cheerawit et al., 2012; Tanimu et al., 2014). The value of moisture content is more than 70% (Nazlina et al., 2009; Hafid et al., 2010; Cheerawit et al., 2012). Food waste has high COD value of more than 200,000 mg/L, indicating that the food waste contains high organic pollutants (Kubaska et al., 2010; Bodik et al., 2014; Tanimu et al., 2014). The ranges of TS and VS values for food waste are between 14.8 - 29.6% and 89.5-94.3% (Malakahmad et al., 2008; Tanimu et al., 2014), indicating that the food waste is rich in organic solids content that can be converted into biogas during the anaerobic digestion process. Lipids found in food waste are usually characterized as fat, oil (liquid) and grease (solid) which vary between 11.6-28.6% (Hafid et al., 2010; Tanimu et al., 2014). In addition, food waste also contains macronutrients and micronutrients such as Ni, Zn, Cu, Pb, Fe, Mn, Cd, Al, M, P and K as required by methanogen bacteria in trace quantities (in correct ratio and concentration) for robust growth.

In general, food waste treatment in Malaysia is very limited. Most of the food waste produced throughout the country is disposed of together with other MSWs collected and sent to the landfill for final disposal. In solid waste management, incineration, landfilling, aerobic composting and anaerobic digestion can be implemented. Biogas production through anaerobic digestion is an attractive and effective option to solve this problem. The characteristics of food waste such as high moisture content ranges between 75-85 % (Han and Shin, 2004; Zhang et al., 2007; Omar et al., 2009) and high organic content (Zakarya et al., 2008) have made anaerobic digestion as an attractive option to reduce food waste. The application of anaerobic digestion to treat food waste offers several benefits such as volume reduction, waste stabilization and biogas recovery (Shin et al., 2001; Han and Shin, 2004).

Although anaerobic digestion is seen as an attractive and most effective option in solving problems related to food waste problems faced worldwide and also in Malaysia, there are some issues related to the selection of this treatment method. These include inconsistent feedstocks (in terms of composition, shape, and size) and complex food waste characteristics used in the anaerobic digestion process. Some previous studies showed that anaerobic digestion process on food waste produced low biogas or methane yields (Nathao et al., 2013; Shen et al., 2013; Khairuddin et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015). The inconsistent feedstocks in terms of composition, shape and size are also among the problems encountered in the anaerobic digestion of food waste that may contribute to low biogas or methane production. The composition of food wastes generated from the household, housing area, commercial area and food services industries (restaurants, and canteens) varies which is influenced by the origin of waste produced, eating habits as well as different countries and regions around the world. In Europe, vegetables and fruits (40%) are the largest compositions of FW produced, followed by pasta and bread (33%), dairy products including eggs (17%) and meat and fish (9%). Meanwhile, in Asia (especially in Japan, China and South Korea), FW consists of 56% vegetables and fruits, 34% rice and noodles, and only a small portion (about 10%) represents fish, meat and dairy products (Braguglia et al., 2018). In Malaysia, about 40% of municipal solid waste generated by Malaysians consists of food waste. Approximately, 77% of the food waste produced consists of cooked rice, noodles, bread and pastries (carbohydrate group) produced from the cafeteria, commercial restaurants, meat and market industries (Tanimu et al., 2014). Most of the polymeric carbohydrates and protein are present in solid form, for example rice, bread, noodles, vegetables and meat. For countries in Southeast Asia such as Malaysia, the composition of food waste containing carbohydrates is usually derived from the meal preparations and food leftovers such as cooked and uneaten rice, noodles, bread, vegetables and fruits. In addition, the presence of cellulose and hemicellulose from vegetables, fruits and wet tissue papers (normally present in food waste collected from restaurants or diners) is very difficult to be segregated from other discarded food waste. This will also cause problems if the food waste is not pretreated prior to the digestion process.

The aims of using pretreatment to FW are to soften the materials found in the food waste, break complex structure into smaller sizes in order to provide larger surface areas for microbial action and enzymatic attack, enhance FW solubilization and

increase hydrolysis rate prior to fermentation and subsequently increase biogas production and methane yield (Xu et al., 2012). In anaerobic digestion, hydrolysis is the rate-limiting step in the whole process. Hydrolysis rate needs to be improved to enhance the performance of an anaerobic digester. Factors such as raw materials, particle sizes and structural properties can affect the hydrolysis rates particularly during decomposition of high molecular compounds and granular substrates.

Zeynali et al. (2017) stated that ultrasonic pretreatment has been recognized as the most powerful method to disintegrate complex organic matter into soluble compounds prior to hydrolysis compared to thermal, chemical or biological pretreatment methods. The ultrasonic effect is based on monolithic cavitation with the effects of physical and chemical changes in the slurry (Dehghani, 2005). Gronroos et al. (2004) explained that the collapse of cavitation bubble will modify the chemical structure with the formation of free radicals during the sonication process. Complex structure will be broken up, and changes occur on the physical, chemical and biological properties of the substrate. This is also supported by Kwiatkowska et al. (2011) whom agreed that this physical disintegration will lead to an increase in biogas production and methane yield. Based on literature studies, there are many effects of ultrasonic pretreatment on FW which include able to increase soluble COD, total dissolved protein, soluble reducing sugars and increase the total amount of VFAs (Jiang et al., 2014; Deepanraj et al., 2017). In terms of physical changes, ultrasonic pretreatment has a great potential to convert the complex structure of feedstock such as FW into a simpler form to increase the reaction rate and thus reduce hydrolysis time. In terms of anaerobic biodegradability of FW, ultrasonic pretreatment shows a high percentage of TS, VS and COD removal which in turn increases the production of biogas and methane yield. In this study, detailed investigation have been conducted to see the effect of ultrasonic pretreatment to enhance the biodegradability of food waste including the impact on the particle size distribution, degree of disintegration and COD solubilization, morphological properties of food waste as well as anaerobic biodegradability of food waste.

In anaerobic digestion, digester performance and system stability are two main components that need to be considered to ensure high biogas and methane production. Digester performance includes methane yield, methane production rate and methane content. Meanwhile, the system stability for a digester is monitored through changes in pH, total alkalinity and total VFA. Maranon et al. (2016) found that CSTR showed good performance when recording the maximum value of methane production of 603 LCH₄/kg VS_{feed} when co-digesting a mixture of 70% manure, 20% food waste and 10% sewage sludge (TS 4 %) at 36 °C in a CSTR, for an OLR of 1.2 g VS/ L.day. The increase of OLR to 1.5 gVS/L.day caused a decline of 20-28% in methane production. Lower methane yields are observed when the digester operates at 55°C. High volatile fatty acids are recorded in the reactor (820 mg/L) but no inhibition due to unionized ammonia (224 mg/L) indicates that the system is in stable condition. However, the increase in methane caused by the use of ultrasonic pretreatment against feed mixtures does not compensate for energy used during pretreatment. Elbeshbishy and Nakhla (2011) found that there was an increase in methane production rate 31.25% and 13% in single stage and two stage CSTR, respectively, during an anaerobic digestion on pulp waste (sonicated at 20 kHz, 500W, 24 min). Both digester

show a relatively low performance but high efficiency in TCOD removal (43-73%) and VSS removal (36-59%). In the present study, the process performance is determined through the methane composition, methane production rate and methane yield. While the system stability is indicated by several parameters such as total alkalinity, pH and volatile fatty acid (VFA). The process efficiency is determined in terms of COD removal and VS removal.

The kinetic study is conducted to provide knowledge in order to predict the performance of biological treatment digesters (Senturk et.al, 2013). To describe and predict the performance of the system, the developed mathematical model can be used as a useful tool for conducting the kinetic analysis. Li et al. (2011) stated that mathematical models are the tools needed in the anaerobic treatment process to control and optimize the operations in addition to improve the efficiency, stability and operational costs. There are numerous other kinetic models that have been used for this purpose and reported in previous studies for anaerobic digestion such as Monod, Contois, Chen-Hashimoto, Michaelis-Menten, Modified Stover-Kincannon, Grau second-order multicomponent substrate removal, Haldane and others. In this study, kinetic parameters determination were described using Monod, Contois, Modified Stover-Kincannon and Grau second-order multicomponent substrate removal models on the performance of anaerobic digestion of sonicated food waste using CSTR.

Food waste, the largest composition of organic waste generated has the potential to improve biodegradability and bioavailability in the production of methane gas through anaerobic treatment. Although it is characterized as highly complex and inconsistent as feedstock in terms of composition, shape and size that may affect the amount of biogas or methane to be produced, this does not limit the effort to exploit food waste for producing beneficial products such as biogas and liquid fertilizer. To accomplish the concept of low cost waste recovery strategy, this study has been conducted using a single stage continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR), as it is simple to construct and operate, low cost of capital needed compared to the other reactor designs, and it is better than multistage reactors due to the complex FW characteristics. Energy-saving measures can also be taken into consideration when ultrasonic pretreatment is carried out on FW where a low ultrasonic frequency of 20 kHz has been used and the short duration of sonication was also applied during pretreatment. The semi-continuous system is preferred in this study because this operation mode is still very limited and less reported in FW pretreatment as most previous studies using a batch system in their studies related to anaerobic digestion of sonicated FW.

In this study, the palm oil mill effluent (POME) anaerobic sludge collected from a 500 m³ semi-commercial closed anaerobic digester system at Felda Seriting Hilir, Negeri Sembilan was used as the source of inoculum to start-up the digester. Its ability to produce biogas within a short period of time once an anaerobic digestion operation is initiated can minimize lag phase and thereby improve digester performance and stability (Yaacob et al., 2006; Saidu et al., 2013). Two methanogen species present in POME sludge i.e *Methanosaeta sp.* and *Methanosarcina sp.* with *Methanosaeta concilii* was found as a dominant species in the digester (Tabatabaei et al., 2009). This

causes POME anaerobic sludge to be a source of methanogens consortia in the anaerobic digestion process. Likewise in the kinetic study, none of literatures so far has documented the determination of kinetic parameters using the Monod, Contois, Modified Stover-Kincannon and Grau second-order multicomponent substrate removal kinetic models on the performance of anaerobic digestion of sonicated food waste using CSTR.

1.3 Research hypothesis

The hypotheses of this study are as follows:

1. The implementation of ultrasonic pretreatment prior to anaerobic digestion of food waste would enhance the solubilization of FW and thus increasing hydrolysis rate before fermentation, and subsequently increasing the production of biogas and methane yield.
2. Higher biogas and methane production can be obtained if the digester performance (methane yield, methane production rate, methane composition) and system stability (pH, total alkalinity and VFA) of the anaerobic digestion of sonicated food waste using CSTR were monitored and evaluated.
3. Kinetic parameters such as yield coefficient (Y), maximum specific growth rate (μ_{\max}), death rate (k_d), half velocity coefficient (K_s), saturation value constant (K_B), maximum utilization rate (U_{\max}), multicomponent Grau second-order substrate removal coefficient (k_s), dimensionless Grau second order constant (a and b) and Y , k_d , μ_{\max} , B (for Contois model) for the performance of anaerobic digestion of sonicated food waste using CSTR for biogas and methane production can be determined using the developed mathematical model such as Monod, Modified Stover-Kincannon, Grau second-order multicomponent substrate removal and Contois kinetic models.

1.4 Research objectives

The aim of this study is to obtain high biogas yield particularly methane through anaerobic digestion of sonicated food waste. Thus, the following objectives will be pursued:

1. To investigate the effect of ultrasonic pretreatment towards the physicochemical property changes and anaerobic biodegradability enhancement for methane production.
2. To evaluate the digester performance and system stability of the anaerobic digestion of sonicated and non-sonicated food waste at different organic loading rate (OLR).
3. To determine the kinetic parameters for the performance of the anaerobic digestion of sonicated food waste.

1.5 Scope of study

To achieve the above objectives, scope of the study are given as follows:

1. The anaerobic digestion of sonicated food waste and non-sonicated food waste was performed by continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR) under controlled condition as the following:
 - i. Temperature $35 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$
 - ii. Mixing 80 rpm
 - iii. pH ranging from 6.8-7.2
2. Two different types of feed were introduced into the digester, namely sonicated and non-sonicated (control) food waste slurry. For sonicated sample, food waste slurry was sonicated for 10 minutes prior to feeding. The frequency and power of the ultrasonic processor used were 20 kHz and 500W, respectively.
3. The digestion process was conducted at 3 different organic loading rates (1.5, 2.5, 3.5 gCOD/L.day).
4. Food waste used throughout the study was collected from a cafeteria located in Malaysian Technology Development Corporation (MTDC) in Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) area.
5. The palm oil mill effluent (POME) anaerobic sludge collected from a 500m³ semi-commercial closed anaerobic digester system at Felda Serting Hilir, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia was used as a source of the inoculums.
6. The chemical characteristics of food waste will be analysed based on total solids (TS), volatile solids (VS), moisture content (MC), chemical oxygen demand (COD), pH, lipid/fat content, macronutrients (phosphorus, potassium), micronutrients (Ni, Fe, Zn, Cu, Cd, Pb, Mn, Al, Mg), percentage of carbon (C), hydrogen (H), nitrogen (N), oxygen (O) and sulphur (S). The physical characteristics of the food waste were analysed based on particle size distribution and morphological structure of the food waste sample.
7. The semi-continuous study was performed to achieve the following:
 - i. The system performance in terms of methane composition, methane production rate and methane yield.
 - ii. The system stability in terms of total alkalinity, pH and volatile fatty acids.
 - iii. The process efficiencies in terms of COD removal and VS removal.
8. Kinetic parameters determination were described using Monod, Modified Stover-Kincannon, Grau second-order multicomponent substrate removal and Contois kinetic models.

1.6 Organization of thesis

This thesis is separated into five (5) contrast parts which portray briefly the general research work that have been conducted. Chapter 1 covers the overview or research background of the thesis, problem statements which discusses the rational and basis to identify the research directions to be followed, scope of study and specific objectives to be achieved. Chapter 2 reviews the technical aspects of anaerobic digestion process involved and factors affecting anaerobic digestion process. Ultrasonic pretreatment as

one of the pretreatment technologies in anaerobic digestion is highlighted in details including mechanisms of ultrasonic disintegration, delivery of ultrasonic energy and expressions for sample disintegration as well as the merits and demerits of ultrasonic pretreatment. The kinetics of anaerobic digestion involving microbial growth rate, substrate utilization rate and kinetic models such as Monod, Modified Stover-Kincannon and Grau second-order multicomponent substrate removal and Contois are also discussed in detail. Previous results and analysis are discussed and compared for better understanding of the directions of this present work.

A general overview of methodologies used throughout the research is presented in Chapter 3. In this chapter, an overall experimental design flowchart with detailed experimental set up is elaborated which involves anaerobic biodegradability test on the sonicated food waste, start-up of the continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR) and operating condition of the biodigester throughout the study. Detailed explanation on inoculum, feedstock, chemicals, apparatus and equipment used as well as analytical techniques involved throughout the whole experimental process is also highlighted. Chapter 4 further outlines the results obtained as well as discussion of the results that are obtained from the experiments with regards to the objectives of the research. This chapter comprises three (3) main sections. First section discusses in detail the composition of food waste being discarded and characterization of food waste chemically and physically and elaborates the effect of ultrasonic pretreatment that has been used to enhance the biodegradability of food waste including the impact on the particle size distribution, degree of disintegration and COD solubilisation, morphological properties of food waste and anaerobic biodegradability of food waste. The overall picture regarding the ongoing anaerobic digestion of sonicated food waste (using anaerobic biodigester) has been evaluated based on the process performance and system stability and this topic is explained in detail in the second section. The process performance is determined through the methane composition, methane production rate and methane yield. While the system stability is indicated by several parameters such as total alkalinity, pH and volatile fatty acid (VFA). In the third section, the kinetic parameters involved in the anaerobic digestion process are determined and discussed. Chapter 5 presents the conclusion of overall findings from the current studies. In addition, some recommendations for future research related to this area in order to give the implication and importance for further study will be covered in this chapter.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, N., Ujang, Z., Yahya, A. (2011). Aerobic granular sludge formation for high strength agro-based wastewater treatment. *Bioresource Technology* 102, 6778–6781. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.04.009
- Abdullah, N., Yuzir, A., Curtis, T.P., Yahya, A., Ujang, Z. (2013). Characterization of aerobic granular sludge treating high strength agro-based wastewater at different volumetric loadings. *Bioresource Technology* 127, 181–187. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.09.047
- Abdurahman, N.H., Rosli, Y.M., Azhari, N.H (2011). Development of a membrane anaerobic system (MAS) for palm oil mill effluent (POME) treatment. *Desalination* 266, 208-212. doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2010.08.028
- Aboderheeba, A.K.M. (2013) *Novel approach to pretreatment of agricultural products and food waste to improve biogas production*. PhD Thesis. Dublin City University.
- Abtahi, S.M., Amin, M.M., Nateghi, R., Vosoogh, A., Dooranmahalleh, M.G. (2013). Prediction of effluent COD concentration of UASB reactor using kinetic models of Monod, Contois, Second-order Grau and modified Stover-Kincannon. *International Journal of Environmental Health Engineering* 2, 1-12. doi: 10.4103/2277-9183.110149.
- Adeyuyi, Y. G. (2001) Sonochemistry: Environmental science and engineering application. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* 40, 4681–4715. doi: 10.1021/ie010096l
- Adhikari, B.K, Barrington, S., Martinez, J., King, S. (2008). Characterization of food waste and bulking agents for composting. *Waste Management* 28, 795–804. doi: 10.1016/j.wasman.2007.08.018
- Agbalakwe, E. (2011). *Anaerobic treatment of glycol contaminated wastewater for methane production*. Master Thesis. University of Stavanger.
- Agyeman, F.O., Tao, W., (2014). Anaerobic co-digestion of food waste and dairy manure: Effects of food waste particle size and organic loading rate. *Journal of Environmental Management* 133, 268-274. doi :10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.12.016
- Ahamed, A., Chen, C.L., Rajagopal, R., Wu, D., Mao, Y., Ho, I.J.R., Lim, J.W., Wang, J.Y., (2015). Multi-phased anaerobic baffled reactor treating food waste. *Bioresource Technology* 182, 239–244. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.01.117.
- Akin, B., Khanal, S.K., Sung, S., Grewell, D., van Leeuwen, J. (2006). Ultrasound pretreatment of waste activated sludge: effect of specific energy input and total solids on sludge disintegration. *Water Science and Technology*, 6 (6), 35-42.
- Al Seadi T., Rutz D., Prassl H., Köttner M., Finsterwalder T., Volk S., Janssen R., (2008). *Biogas Handbook*. University of Southern Denmark Esbjerg. Denmark.

- Alkarimiah, R. (2009) *Operational start-up and performance of anaerobic stage reactor treating glucose synthetic wastewater*. MSc Dissertation. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
- Alvarez, J. A., Ruiz, I., Gomez, M., Presas, J., Soto, M. (2006) Start-up alternatives and performance of a UASB pilot plant treating diluted municipal wastewater at low temperature. *Bioresource Technology* 97 (4) 1640–1649. DOI:10.1016/j.biortech.2005.07.033
- Alves, M.M., Pereira, M.A., Souza, D.Z., Carvaleiro, A.J., Picavet, M., Smidt, H., Stam, A.J.M. (2009) Waste lipid to energy: How to optimize methane production from long-chain fatty acids (LCFA). *Microbial Biotechnology* 2 (5), 538-550. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-7915.2009.00100.x
- American Public Health Association (APHA), American Water Works Association (AWWA) and Water Pollution Control Federation (WPCF) (2005). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 18th Ed., American Public Health Association, Washington DC
- Angelidaki, I., Ahring, B.K. (2000). Methods for increasing the biogas potential from the recalcitrant organic matter contained in manure. *Water Science and Technology* 41(3), 189-194. doi: 10.2166/wst.2000.0071
- Appels, L., Assche, A.V., Willems, K., Degrève, J., Impe, J.V., Dewil, R. (2011) Peracetic acid oxidation as an alternative pretreatment for the anaerobic digestion of waste activated sludge. *Bioresource Technology* 102, 4124-4130. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2010.12.070
- Appels, L., Baeyens J., Degreve, J., Dewil, R. (2008). Principles and potential of the anaerobic digestion of waste-activated sludge. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science* 34, 755-781. doi.org/10.1016/j.pecs.2008.06.002
- Appels, L., Degreve, J., Bruggen, B.V., Impe, J.V., Dewil, R. (2010) Influence of low temperature thermal pre-treatment on sludge solubilization, heavy metal release and anaerobic digestion. *Bioresource Technology* 101 (15), 5743–5748. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2010.02.068
- Apul, O. G., Sanin, F.D. (2010) Ultrasonic pretreatment and subsequent anaerobic digestion under different operational conditions. *Bioresource Technology* 101, 8984–8992. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.06.128
- Ariunbaatar, J., Di Perta, E.S., Panico, A., Frunzo, L., Esposito, G., Lens, P.N.L., Pirozzi, F., (2015). Effect of ammoniacal nitrogen on one-stage and two-stage anaerobic digestion of food waste. *Waste Management* 38, 388–398.
- Ariunbaatar, J., Panico, A., Esposito, G., Pirozzi, F., Lens, P.N.L. (2014) Pretreatment methods to enhance anaerobic digestion of organic solid waste. *Applied Energy* 123, 143–156. doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.02.035
- Ariunbaatar, J., Panico, A., Frunzo, L., Esposito, G., Lens, P.N.L., Pirozzi, F., (2014). Enhanced anaerobic digestion of food waste by thermal and ozonation

pretreatment methods. *Journal of Environmental Management* 146, 142–149. doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.07.042

Arsova, L. (2010). *Anaerobic digestion of food waste: Current status, problems and an alternative product*. Master Thesis. Columbia University.

Baier, U. and Schmidheiny, P. (1997). Enhanced anaerobic degradation of mechanically disintegrated sludge. *Water Science and Technology* 36 (11), 137–145. doi.org/10.1016/S0273-1223(97)00678-1

Basu, D., Asolekar, S.R. (2012) Evaluation of substrate removal kinetics for UASB reactors treating chlorinated ethanes. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 19, 2419–2427. doi: 10.1007/s11356-012-0754-y.

Beddoes, J.C., Bracmort, K.S., Burn, R.B., Lazarus, W.F. (2007). An analysis of energy production costs from anaerobic digestion systems on US livestock production facilities. Technical Note No. 1. USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service.

Bell J, Buckley CA. (2003) Treatment of a textile dye in the anaerobic baffled reactor. *Water SA* 29(2), 129–34.

Bernstad, A., Malmquist, L., Truedsson, C., Jansen, J.C. (2013) Need for improvements in physical pretreatment of source-separated household food waste. *Waste Management* 33, 746–754. doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2012.06.012

Bitton, G. (2005). *Wastewater microbiology*, Third Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Bodík, I., B. Herdova, and M. Drtil (2000) Anaerobic treatment of the municipal wastewater under psychrophilic conditions. *Bioprocess Engineering* 22 (5) 385–390.

Bodík, I., Kubaská, M., Fáberová, M. (2014) Possibilities of anaerobic fermentation of food waste on municipal wastewater treatment plants. *International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology* 3 (3), 523-532.

Bong, C. P. C., Ho, W. S., Hashim, H., Lim, J. S., Ho, C. S., Tan, W. S. P., Lee, C. T. (2017). Review on the renewable energy and solid waste management policies towards biogas development in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 70, 988-998. doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.12.004

Bonmatí, A., Flotats, X., Mateu, L. and Campos, E., (2001). Study of thermal hydrolysis as a pre-treatment to mesophilic anaerobic digestion of pig slurry. *Water Science and Technology* 44 (4), 109-116.

Borja, R., Rincón, B., Raposo, F., Alba, J., Martín, A. (2003) Kinetics of mesophilic anaerobic digestion of the two-phase olive mill solid waste. *Biochemical Engineering Journal* 15, 139–145. doi.org/10.1016/S1369-703X(02)00194-8

Bouallagui, H., Torrijos, M., Godon, J. J., Moletta, R., Ben Cheikh, R., Touhami, Y., Delgenes, J. P., Hamdi, M. (2004). Two-phases anaerobic digestion of fruit and

vegetable wastes: bioreactors performance. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 21(2), 193-197. doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2004.05.001

- Bougrier, C., Albasi, C., Delgenès, J.P. and Carrère, H., (2006). Effect of ultrasonic, thermal and ozone pretreatments on waste activated sludge solubilisation and anaerobic biodegradability. *Chemical Engineering and Processing* 45, 711-718. doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2006.02.005
- Bougrier, C., Albasi, C., Delgenès, J.P. and Carrère, H., (2006). Effect of ultrasonic, thermal and ozone pre-treatments on waste activated sludge solubilisation and anaerobic biodegradability. *Chemical Engineering and Processing* 45, 711-718. doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2006.02.005
- Bougrier, C., Carrère, H., Delgenès, J.P. (2005) Solubilisation of waste-activated sludge by ultrasonic treatment. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 106, 163–169. doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2004.11.013
- Braguglia, C.M., Gallipoli, A., Gianico, A., Pagliaccia, P. (2017), Anaerobic bioconversion of food waste into energy: A critical review, *Bioresource Technology*. doi: doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.06.145
- Braguglia, C.M., Gallipoli, A., Gianico, A., Pagliaccia, P. (2018), Anaerobic bioconversion of food waste into energy: A critical review. *Bioresource Technology* 248, 37-56. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.06.145
- Browne, J.D., Murphy, J.D., (2013). Assessment of the resource associated with biomethane from food waste. *Applied Energy* 104, 170–177. doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.11.017
- Bunrith, S. (2008) *Anaerobic digestability of ultrasound and chemically pretreated waste activated sludge*. Master Thesis. Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand.
- Buntner, D. (2013). *Combined UASB-MBR system for the treatment of low-strength wastewater at ambient temperatures*. PhD Thesis. Universidade de Santiago de Compostela.
- Camargo, E.F.M., Ratusznei, S.M., Rodrigues, J.A.D., Zaiat, M., Borzani, W. (2002) Treatment of low-strength wastewater using immobilized biomass in a sequencing batch external loop reactor: influence of the medium superficial velocity on the stability and performance. *Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering* 19, 267–75. doi.org/10.1590/S0104-66322002000300001
- Capson-Tojo, G., Rouez, M., Crest, M., Steyer, J.-P., Delgenès, J.-P., and Escudíé, R., (2016) Food waste valorization via anaerobic processes: A review. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology* 15 (3), 499-547.
- Carballa, M., Omil, F. and Lema, J.M. (2009). Influence of different pre-treatments on anaerobically digested sludge characteristics: suitability for final disposal. *Water Air and Soil Pollution* 99, 311-321.

- Carrere, H., Antonopoulou, G., Affes, R., Passos, F., Battimelli, A., Lyberatos, G., Ferrer, I. (2016). Review of feedstock pretreatment strategies for improved anaerobic digestion: from lab-scale research to full-scale application. *Bioresource Technology* 199, 386–397. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.09.007
- Carrere, H., Dumas, C., Battimelli, A., Batsone, D.J., Delgenes, J.P., Steyer, J.P. (2010) Pretreatment methods to improve sludge anaerobic degradability: A review. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 183, 1–15. doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.06.129
- Carrère, H., Sialve, B., Bernet, N. (2009) Improving pig manure conversion into biogas by thermal and thermo-chemical pretreatments. *Bioresource Technology* 100, 369-374. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.01.015
- Carrère, H., Sialve, B., Bernet, N., (2009). Improving pig manure conversion into biogas by thermal and thermo-chemical pre-treatments. *Bioresource Technology* 100, 3690-3694. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.01.015
- Castrillion, L., Fernandez-Nava, Y., Ormaechea, P., Maranon, E. (2011) Optimization of biogas production from cattle manure by pretreatment with ultrasound and co-digestion with crude glycerine. *Bioresource Technology* 102, 7845-7849. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.05.047
- Cesaro, A., Belgiorno, V. (2013) Sonolysis and ozonation as pretreatment for anaerobic digestion of solid organic waste. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry* 20, 931–936. doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2012.10.017
- Cesaro, A., Belgiorno, V. (2014) Pretreatment methods to improve anaerobic biodegradability of organic municipal solid waste fractions. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 240, 24–37. doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2013.11.055
- Cesaro, A., Naddeo, V., Amodio, V., Belgiorno, V. (2012) Enhanced biogas production from anaerobic codigestion of solid waste by sonolysis. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry* 19, 596–600. doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2011.09.002
- Chan, P.C., Toledo, R.A., Shim, H. (2017) Anaerobic co-digestion of food waste and domestic wastewater: Effect of intermittent feeding on long chain fatty acids accumulation. *Renewable Energy* 124, 129-135. doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2017.07.029
- Chan, Y. J., M. F. Chong, C. L. Law, Hassell, D.G. (2009) A review on anaerobic-aerobic treatment of industrial and municipal wastewater. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 155(1-2) 1–18. doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2009.06.041
- Chan, Y.J., Chong, M.F., Law, C.L. (2012). Start-up, steady state performance and kinetic evaluation of a thermophilic integrated anaerobic-aerobic bioreactor (IAAB). *Bioresource Technology*, 125, 145-157. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.08.118
- Charlestone, L.O., (2008) *Hyperthermophilic of anaerobic digestion of food waste*. PhD Thesis. McGill University

- Cheerawit, R., Thunwadee, T.S., Duangporn, K., Tanawat, R., Wichuda, K. (2012). Biogas production from co-digestion of domestic wastewater and food waste. *Health and The Environment Journal* 3 (2), 1-9
- Chen, X., Yan, W., Sheng, K., Sanati, M. (2014). Comparison of high solids to liquid anaerobic co-digestion of food waste and green waste. *Bioresource Technology* 154, 215–221. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.12.054
- Chen, Y. R., Hashimoto, A.G. (1978) Kinetic of methane fermentation, *Biotechnology Bioengineering Symposium 1978*, 269-282
- Chen, Y., Cheng, J.J., Creamer, K.S. (2008). Inhibition of anaerobic digestion process: A review. *Bioresource Technology* 99, 4044-4064. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.01.057
- Chiu, Y.C., Chang, C.N., Lin, J.G., Huang, S.J. (1997) Alkaline and ultrasonic pretreatment of sludge before anaerobic digestion. *Water Science and Technology* 36 (11), 155-162. doi.org/10.1016/S0273-1223(97)00681-1
- Chong, S., Sen, T. K., Kayaalp, A., Ang, H. M. (2012) The performance enhancements of upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactors for domestic sludge treatment—a state-of-the art review. *Water Research* 46 (11) 3434–3470. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2012.03.066
- Choong, Y.Y., Chou, K.W., Ismail, N. (2018) Strategies for improving biogas production of palm oil mill effluent (POME) anaerobic digestion: A critical review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 8, 2993–3006. doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.10.036
- Chou, H.H., Huang, J.S., Jheng, J.H., Ohara, R. (2008) Influencing effect of intra-granule mass transfer in expanded granular sludge-bed reactors treating an inhibitory substrate. *Bioresource Technology* 99(9), 3403–3410.
- Chu, C.F., Xu, K.Q., Li, Y.Y., Inamori, Y. (2012). Hydrogen and methane potential based on the nature of food waste materials in a two-stage thermophilic fermentation process. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 37(14), 10611-10618. doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.04.048
- Chu, C.P., Chang, B.-V., Liao, G.S., Jean, D.S., and Lee, D.J. (2001) Observations on changes in ultrasonically treated waste-activated sludge. *Water Research* 35 (4), 1038–1046. doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(00)00338-9
- Cirnea, D.G., Paloumeta, X., Bjornssona, L., Alves, M.M., Mattiassona, B. (2007) Anaerobic digestion of lipid-rich waste—Effects of lipid concentration. *Renewable Energy* 32 (6), 965–975. doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2006.04.003
- Climent, M., Ferrer, I., Baeza, M., Artola, A., Vazquez, F., Font, X. (2007). Effects of thermal and mechanical pretreatments of secondary sludge on biogas production under thermophilic conditions. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 133(1-3), 335-342. doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2007.02.020

- Cooney, MJ, Rong, K, Krishna, LM. (2019) Cross comparative analysis of liquid phase anaerobic digestion. *Journal of Water Process Engineering* 29, 1 – 15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2019.02.005>
- Cuetos, M.J., Go´mez X, Otero M, Mora´n A (2010) Anaerobic digestion and co-digestion of slaughterhouse waste (SHW): influence of heat and pressure pretreatment in biogas yield. *Waste Management* 30 (10), 1780–1789. doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2010.01.034
- Dai, X., Duan, N., Dong, B., Dai, L. (2013). High-solids anaerobic co-digestion of sewage sludge and food waste in comparison with mono digestions: Stability and performance. *Waste Management* 33 (2), 308–316. doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2012.10.018
- Dama, P., Bell, J., Foxon, K.M., Brouckaert, C.J., Huang, T., Buckley, C.A., (2002) Pilot- scale study of an anaerobic baffled reactor for the treatment of domestic wastewater. *Water Science and Technology*, 263–270. doi: 10.2166/wst.2002.0255
- Davidson, A., Gruvberger, C., Christensen, T.H., Hansen, T.L., Jansen, J.C. (2007) Methane yield in source-sorted organic fraction of municipal solid waste. *Waste Management* 27, 406–414. doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2006.02.013
- De Mes, T.Z.D., Stams, A. J. M., Reith, J. H., Zeeman, G. (2003) Methane production by anaerobic digestion of wastewater and solid wastes. In *Bio-methane & Bio-hydrogen, Status and perspectives of biological methane and hydrogen production*, edited by J.H. Reith, R.H. Wijffels and H. Barten: Dutch Biological Hydrogen Foundation, 2003.
- Deepanraj, B., Sivasubramanian, B., Jayaraj, S. (2017) Effect of substrate pretreatment on biogas production through anaerobic digestion of food waste. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 42 (42), 26522-26528. doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2017.06.178
- Dehghani, M.H. (2005) Effectiveness of ultrasound on the destruction of E. coli. *American Journal of Environmental Sciences* 1 (3), 187-189.
- Deleris, S.L.A., Geaugey, V., Lebrunn, T. (2003). Innovative strategies for the reduction of sludge production in activated sludge plant: BIOLYSIS O and BIOLYSIS E. Proceeding of the International Water Association (IWA) Specialist Conference: Biosolids Trondheim, Norway
- Demirel, B., Yenigun, O. (2002) Two-phase anaerobic digestion processes: A review. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology* 77 (7). 743-755. doi.org/10.1002/jctb.630
- Deublein D., Steinhauser A. (2008) *Biogas from Waste and Renewable Resources*. Weinheim, Germany: WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. doi.org/10.1007/s11157-016-9405-y

- Devlin, D.C., Esteves, S.R.R., Dinsdale, R.M., Guwy, A.J. (2011). The effect of acid pretreatment on the anaerobic digestion and dewatering of waste activated sludge. *Bioresource Technology* 102, 4076–4082. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.12.043
- Dong, L., Cao, G., Guo, X., Liu, T., Wua, J., Ren, N. (2019) Efficient biogas production from cattle manure in a plug flow reactor: A large scale long term study. *Bioresource Technology* 278, 450–455. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.01.100
- Donoso-Bravo, A., Pérez-Elvira, S.I., Fde-Polanco, F., (2010). Application of simplified models for anaerobic biodegradability tests. Evaluation of pre-treatment process. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 160, 607-614. doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2010.03.082
- Eggeman, T., Elander, R.T. (2005) Process and economic analysis of pretreatment technologies. *Bioresource Technology* 96, 2019–2025. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2005.01.017
- Elbeshbishy, E. (2011) *Enhancement of biohydrogen and biomethane production from wastes using ultrasonication*. PhD thesis. University of Western Ontario.
- Elbeshbishy, E., Aldin, S., Hafez, H., Nakhla, G., Ray, M. (2011c) Impact of ultrasonication of hog manure on anaerobic digestibility. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry* 18 (1), 164-171. doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2010.04.011
- Elbeshbishy, E., Hafez, H., Dhar, B.R., Nakhla, G. (2011). Single and combined effect of various pretreatment methods for biohydrogen production from food waste. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 36, 11379-11387. doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.02.067
- Elbeshbishy, E., Hafez, H., Dhar, B.R., Nakhla, G. (2011b). Single and combined effect of various pretreatment methods for biohydrogen production from food waste. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 36 (17), 11379-11387. doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.02.067
- Elbeshbishy, E., Hafez, H., Nakhla, G. (2011a) Ultrasonication for biohydrogen production from food waste. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 36 (4), 2896-2903. doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2010.12.009
- Elbeshbishy, E., Hafez, H., Nakhla, G. (2012). Viability of ultrasonication of food waste for hydrogen production. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 37 (3), 2960-2964. doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.01.008
- Elbeshbishy, E., Nakhla, G. (2011). Comparative study of the effect of ultrasonication on the anaerobic biodegradability of food waste in single and two-stage systems. *Bioresource Technology* 102 (11), 6449–6457. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.03.082
- Eldem, N.O, Akgiray, O.M, Ozturk, I., Soyer, E., Calli, B. (2004) Ammonia and pH inhibition in anaerobic treatment of wastewaters, part II: Model

development. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part A-Toxic/Hazardous Substances & Environmental Engineering* Vol 39(9), 2421-2435.

- Elefsiniotis, P. and Oldham, W.K. (1994) Effect of HRT on acidogenic digestion of primary sludge. *Journal of Environmental Engineering* 120 (3), 645-660. doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372(1994)120:3(645)
- Elliot, A., Mahmood, T. (2012) Comparison of mechanical pretreatment methods for the enhancement of anaerobic digestion of pulp and paper waste. *Water Science Technology* 84 (6), 497-505.
- Elliott A., Mahmood T. (2007) Pretreatment technologies for advancing anaerobic digestion of pulp and paper biotreatment residues. *Water Research* 41 (19), 4273-4286. doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2007.06.017
- El-Mashad, H.M., Zhang, R. (2010) Biogas production from co-digestion of dairy manure and food waste. *Bioresource Technology* 101 (11), 4021-4028. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.01.027
- Engelhart, M., Krüger, M., Kopp, J. and Dichtl, N. (2000). Effects of disintegration on anaerobic degradation of sewage excess sludge in down flow stationary fixed film digesters. *Water Science and Technology* 41 (3), 171-179.
- Esposito, G., Frunzo, L., Giordano, A., Liotta, F., Panico, A., Pirozzi, F. (2012) Anaerobic co-digestion of organic wastes. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology* 11 (4). doi. 10.1007/s11157-012-9277-8
- Facchin, V., Cavinato, C., Fatone, F., Pavan, P., Cecchi, F., and Bolzonella, D. (2013). Effect of trace element supplementation on the mesophilic anaerobic digestion of food waste in batch trials: the influence of inoculum origin. *Biochemical Engineering Journal* 70, 71-77. doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2012.10.004
- Fang, C., Boe, K., Angelidaki, I. (2011) Biogas production from potato-juice, a by-product from potato-starch processing in upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) and expanded granular sludge bed (EGSB) reactors. *Bioresource Technology* 102, 5734-5741. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2011.03.013
- Fang, W., Zhang, P., Zhang, G., Jin, S., Li, D., Zhang, M., Xu, X. (2014) Effect of alkaline addition on anaerobic sludge digestion with combined pretreatment of alkaline and high pressure homogenization. *Bioresource Technology* 168, 167-172. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.03.050
- Fdez-Guelfo, L.A., Alvarez-Gallego, C., Sales Marquez, D., Romero Garcia, L.I. (2011). The effect of different pretreatments on biomethanation kinetics of industrial organic fraction of municipal solid wastes (OFMSW). *Chemical Engineering Journal* 171 (2), 411-417. doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2011.03.095
- Feitkenhauer, H., (2003). Anaerobic digestion of desizing wastewater: influence of pre-treatment and anionic surfactant on degradation and intermediate

accumulation. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology* 33, 250-258. doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229(03)00125-X

Feng, X., Lei, H. Y., Deng, J. C., Yu, Q., Li, H. L. (2009). Physical and chemical characteristics of waste activated sludge treated ultrasonically. *Chemical Engineering Process* 48 (1), 187–194. doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2008.03.012

Fernández-Cegrí, V., Raposo, F., Borja, R. (2013) Performance and kinetic evaluation of the semi-continuous anaerobic digestion of sunflower oil cake pretreated with ultrasound. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A*, 48 (12), 1548-1556. doi: 10.1080/10934529.2013.797275

Ferrer, I., Ponsa, S., Vasquez, F., Font, X. (2008) Increasing biogas production by thermal (70 °C) sludge pretreatment prior to thermophilic anaerobic digestion. *Biochemical Engineering Journal* 42, 186–192. doi:10.1016/j.bej.2008.06.020

Ferrer, I., Ponsa, S., Vasquez, F., Font, X. (2008) Increasing biogas production by thermal (70 °C) sludge pretreatment prior to thermophilic anaerobic digestion. *Biochemical Engineering Journal* 42, 186–192. doi:10.1016/j.bej.2008.06.020

Fisgativa, H., Tremier, A., Dabert, P. (2016). Characterizing the variability of food waste quality: a need for efficient valorization through anaerobic digestion. *Waste Management* 50, 264-274. doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.01.041

Forgacs, G. (2012). *Biogas production from citrus wastes and chicken feather: pretreatment and co-digestion*. PhD Tesis. Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden

Frost, R.J. (2011) *Feasibility of home biogas generation from food waste*. Master Thesis. Cornell University

Gadhe, A., Sonawane, S.S., Varma, M.N., (2014). Ultrasonic pretreatment for an enhancement of biohydrogen production from complex food waste. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 39 (15), 7721-7729. doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.03.105

Gadhe, A., Sonawane, S.S., Varma, M.N., (2014). Ultrasonic pretreatment for an enhancement of biohydrogen production from complex food waste. *International Journal Hydrogen Energy* 39, 7721-7729. doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.03.105

Gao, Y., Feng, C.P., Liu, C. (2008). Municipal wastewater treatment using sequencing batch biofilm reactor (SBBR). In: Proceedings of the international conference on advances in chemical technologies for water and wastewater treatment, PR China.

Garrone, P., Melacini, M., & Perego, A. (2014). Opening the black box of food waste reduction. *Journal of Food Policy* 46, 129–139. doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2014.03.014

- Gerardi M. (2003) *The microbiology of anaerobic digesters*. Canada: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey.
- Gianico, A., Braguglia, C.M., Mescia D., Mininni, G. (2013) Ultrasonic and thermal pretreatments to enhance the anaerobic bioconversion of olive husks. *Bioresource Technology* 147, 623–626. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.08.054
- Gonzales, H.B., Takyu, K., Sakashita, H., Nakano, Y., Nishijima, W., Okada, M. (2005) Biological solubilisation and mineralization as novel approach for the pretreatment of food waste. *Chemosphere* 58 (1), 57–63. doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2004.08.092
- Gonzalez-Fernandez, C., Sialve, B., Bernet, N., Steyer, J.P. (2012) Thermal pretreatment to improve methane production of *Scenedesmus* biomass. *Biomass Bioenergy* 40, 105–111. doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2012.02.008
- Gopala Krishna, G.V.T, Kumar, P. (2008) Treatment of low strength complex wastewater using an anaerobic baffled reactor (ABR). *Bioresource Technology* 99 (17), 8193–8200. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2008.03.016
- Gou, C., Yang, Z., Huang, J., Wang, H., Xu, H., Wang, L. (2014). Effects of temperature and organic loading rate on the performance and microbial community of anaerobic co-digestion of waste activated sludge and food waste. *Chemosphere* 105, 146–151. doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.01.018
- Graja, S., Chauzy, J., Fernandes, P., Patria, L. and Cretenot, D., (2005). Reduction of sludge production from WWTP using thermal pre-treatment and enhanced anaerobic methanisation. *Water Science and Technology* 52, (1-2), 267-273. doi: 10.2166/wst.2005.0527
- Grau, P. Dohanyos, M., Chudoba, J. (1975) Kinetics of multicomponent substrate removal by activated sludge. *Water Research* 9, 637-642
- Grimberg, S.J., Hilderbrandt, D., Kinnunen, M., Rogers, S., (2015). Anaerobic digestion of food waste through the operation of a mesophilic two-phase pilot scale digester - assessment of variable loadings on system performance. *Bioresource Technology* 178, 226–229. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.09.001
- Gronroos, A., Pirkonen, P., Ruppert, O. (2004) Ultrasonic depolymerization of aqueous carboxymethylcellulose. *Ultrasonic Sonochemistry* 11 (1), 9-12. doi.org/10.1016/S1350-4177(03)00129-9
- Grover R., Marwaha S. S. and Kennedy J. F. (1999). Studies on the use of an anaerobic baffled reactor for the continuous anaerobic digestion of pulp and paper mill black liquors. *Process Biochemistry*, 34(6–7), 653-657. doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592(98)00138-1
- Gu, Y., Chen, X., Liu, Z., Zhou, X., Zhang, Y. (2014) Effect of inoculum sources on the anaerobic digestion of rice straw. *Bioresource Technology* 158, 149–155. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.02.011

- Güelfo, L.F., Alvarez-Gallego, C., Márquez, D.S., García, L.R. (2010) Start-up of thermophilic–dry anaerobic digestion of OFMSW using adapted modified SEBAC inoculum. *Bioresource Technology* 101 (23), 9031–9039. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.07.021
- Gulhane, M., Khardenavis, A. A., Karia, S., Pandit, P., Kanade, G. S., Lokhande, S., Vaidya, A.N., Purohit, H.J. (2016) Biomethanation of vegetable market waste in an anaerobic baffled reactor: Effect of effluent recirculation and carbon mass balance analysis. *Bioresource Technology* 215, 100–109. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.04.039
- Gupta, P., Singh, R.S., Sachan, A., Vidyarthi, A.S., Gupta, A. (2012). A re-appraisal on intensification of biogas production. *Renewable Sustainable Energy Reviews* 16, 4908-4916. doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.05.005
- Hafid, H.S., Abdul Rahman, N.A, Omar, F.N., Yee, P.L., Aziz, S. A., Hassan, M.A. (2010) A comparative study of organic acids production from kitchen wastes and simulated kitchen waste. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* 4(4), 639-645.
- Hallaji, S.M, Kuroshkarim, M., Moussavi, S.P. (2019) Enhancing methane production using anaerobic co-digestion of waste activated sludge with combined fruit waste and cheese whey. *BMC Biotechnology* 19 (19), 1-10. doi.org/10.1186/s12896-019-0513-y
- Hamatschek, D.W.I.E., Entwicklungszentrum, A., Tee, M.S.S.S., Faulstich, I.M. (2010). *Current Practice of Municipal Solid Waste Management in Malaysia and the Potential for Waste-to-Energy Implementation*. ISWA World Congress 2010. Hamburg
- Han, S.K., Shin, H.S (2004) Performance of an innovative two stage process converting food waste to hydrogen and methane. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association* 54, 242-249
- Han, S.K., Shin, H.S. (2002) Enhanced acidogenic fermentation of food waste in a continuous-flow reactor. *Waste Management & Research* 20 (2), 110-118. DOI:
- Han, S.K., Shin, H.S. (2004) Biohydrogen production by anaerobic fermentation of food waste. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 29 (6), 569 – 577. doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2003.09.001
- Hansen, K.H., Angelidaki, I., Ahring, B.K. (1998) Anaerobic digestion of swine manure: Inhibition by ammonia. *Water Research* 32 (1), 5-12. doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(97)00201-7
- Hansen, T.L., Jansen, J.C., Davidson, A., Christensen, T.H. (2007). Effects of pre-treatment technologies on quantity and quality of source-sorted municipal organic waste for biogas recovery. *Waste Management* 27, 389–405. doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2006.02.014

- Hao, W., Hongtao, W. (2008). Thermochemical pretreatment for anaerobic digestion of sorted waste. *AIP Conference Proceedings* 987, 74
- Hartmann, H. Angelidaki, I. and Ahring, B.K. (2000). Increase of anaerobic degradation of particulate organic matter in full-scale biogas plants by mechanical maceration. *Water Science and Technology* 41 (3), 145-153.
- Hartmann, H., Ahring, B. K. (2006) Strategies for the anaerobic digestion of the organic fraction of municipal solid waste: an overview. *Water Science and Technology* 53 (8), 7- 22.
- Hasegawa, S., Shiota N, Katsura K, Akashi A. (2000) Solubilization of organic sludge by thermophilic aerobic bacteria as a pretreatment for anaerobic digestion. *Water Science and Technology* 41(3),163-169. doi: 10.2166/wst.2000.0068
- Hassan, S.R. (2016) *Anaerobic digestion of recycled paper mill effluent (RPME) using modified anaerobic hybrid baffled (MAHB) reactor*. PhD thesis. Universiti Sains Malaysia.
- Heo, N.H., Park, S.C., Lee, J.S. and Kang, H. (2003). Solubilization of waste activated sludge by alkaline pre-treatment and biochemical methane potential (BMP) tests for anaerobic co-digestion of municipal organic waste. *Water Science and Technology* 48 (8), 211-219.
- Hidalgo, D., Martin-Marroquin, J.M. (2014). Effects of inoculum source and co-digestion strategies on anaerobic digestion of residues generated in the treatment of waste vegetable oils. *Journal of Environmental Management* 142, 17-22. doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.04.004
- Hogan, F., Mormede, S., Clark, P., Crane, M. (2004). Ultrasonic sludge treatment for enhanced anaerobic digestion. *Water Science and Technology* 50, 25–32.
- Hogan, F., Mormede, S., Clark, P., Crane, M. (2004). Ultrasonic sludge treatment for enhanced anaerobic digestion. *Water Science and Technology* 50, 25–32. doi: 10.2166/wst.2004.0526
- Holliger, C., Alves, M., Andrade, D., Angelidaki, I., Astals, S., Baier, U., Bougrier, C. (2016). Towards a standardization of biomethane potential tests. *Water Science Technology* 74 (11), 2515-2522.
- Holliger, C., Alves, M., Andrade, D., Angelidaki, I., Astals, S., Baier, U., Bougrier, C., Buffière, P., Carbella, M., de Wilde, V., Ebertseder, F., Fernández, B., Ficara, E., Fotidis, I., Frigon, J.-C., Fruteau de Lacos, H., Ghasimi, D.S.M., Hack, G., Hartel, M., Heerenklage, J., Sarvari Horvath, I., Jenicek, P., Koch, K., Krautwald, J., Lizasoain, J., Liu, J., Mosberger, L., Nistor, M., Oechsner, H., Oliveira, J.V., Paterson, M., Paus, A., Pommier, S., Porqueddu, I., Raposo, F., Ribeiro, T., Rüscher, F., Strömberg, S., Torrijos, M., van Eekert, M., van Lier, J., Wedwitschka, H., Wierinck, I., (2016). Towards a standardization of biomethane potential tests. *Water Science Technology* 74 (11), 2515–2522. doi:10.2166/wst.2016.336

- Hoonwerg, D., Bhada-Tata, P. (2012, March). What a waste – A Global Review of Solid Waste Management. Retrieved from <http://siteresources.worldbank.org>
- Hu, W.C., Thayanithy, K., Forster, C.F (2002) A kinetic study of the anaerobic digestion of ice-cream wastewater. *Process Biochemistry* 37 (9), 965-971. doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592(01)00310-7
- Hu, W.C., Thayanithy, K., Forster, C.F. (2001) Kinetic study of anaerobic digestion of sulphate-rich wastewaters from manufacturing food industries. Paper presented at 7th International Conference on Environmental Science and Technology, Ermoupolis, Syros Island, Sept. 2001
- Hwang, K.Y., Shin, E.B. and Choi, H. B. (1997). A mechanical pre-treatment of waste activated sludge for improvement of anaerobic digestion system. *Water Science and Technology* 36 (12), 111-116. doi.org/10.1016/S0273-1223(97)00731-2
- Hwang, K.Y., Shin, E.B. and Choi, H. B., (1997). A mechanical pretreatment of waste activated sludge for improvement of anaerobic digestion system. *Water Science and Technology* 36 (12), 111-116.
- Ibrahim, N., Yusoff, M.S., Aziz, H.A (2011). *Food waste characteristics after autoclaving Treatment*. Proceedings 2nd International Conference on Biotechnology and Food Science (IPCBEE), Singapore
- Ikweb, J., Harvey, A. P. (2011, May). Intensification of bioethanol production by simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (SSF) in an oscillatory baffled reactor (OBR). In Bioenergy technol. World Renewable Energy Congress, Sweden (pp. 381-388).
- Isik, M., Sponza, D.T. (2005) Substrate removal kinetics in an upflow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor decolorising simulated textile wastewater. *Process Biochemistry* 40 (3-4), 1189-1198. doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2004.04.014
- Izumi K., Okishio Y., Nagao N., Niwa C., Yamamoto S., Toda T. (2010). Effects of particle size on anaerobic digestion of food waste. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation* 64 (7), 601-608. doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2010.06.013
- Jabeen, M., Zeshan, Yousaf, S., Rizwan Haider, M., Malik, R.N. (2015). High-solids anaerobic co-digestion of food waste and rice husk at different organic loading rates. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation* 102, 149-153. doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2015.03.023
- Jafarzadeh, M.T., Mehrdadi, N., Hashemian, S.J. (2009). Kinetic Constants of Anaerobic Hybrid Reactor Treating Petrochemical Waste. *Asian Journal of Chemistry* 21(3), 1672-1684.
- Jan, T.W., Aday, S.S., Lee, D.J., Wu, R.M., Su, A. and Tay, J.H. (2008). Hydrogen fermentation and methane production from sludge with pre-treatment. *Energy and Fuels* 22, 98-102. doi.org/10.1021/ef700278j

- Jayalakshmi, S., Joseph, K., Sukumaran, V. (2009). Bio hydrogen generation from kitchen waste in an inclined plug flow reactor. *International Journal Hydrogen Energy* 34,8854-8858. doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2009.08.048.
- Jeganathan, J., Nakhla, G., Bassi, A. (2007). Hydrolytic pretreatment of oily wastewater by immobilized lipase. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 145, 127–135. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.11.004
- Jiang, J., Gong, C., Wang, J., Tian, S., Zhang, Y. (2014). Effects of ultrasound pretreatment on the amount of dissolved organic matter extracted from food waste. *Bioresource Technology* 155, 266–271. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.12.064
- Jijai, S., Srisuwan G., O-thong, S., Ismail, N., Siripatanaa, C. (2015) Effect of granule sizes on the performance of upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactors for cassava wastewater treatment. *Energy Procedia* 79, 90 – 97. doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2015.11.482
- Jonsson O. , Polman E., Jensen J. K., Eklund R., Schyl H., Ivarsson S. (2003) Sustainable gas enters the European gas distribution system., Danish Gas Technology Center. Retrieved from: www.dgc.dk/publikationer/konference/jkj_sustain_gas.pdf
- Junoh, H., Palanisamy, K., Yip, C. H., Pua, F. L. (2015) Optimization of NaOH thermo-chemical pretreatment to enhance solubilisation of organic food waste by response surface methodology. *International Journal of Chemical, Molecular, Nuclear, Materials and Metallurgical Engineering* 9 (12), 1361-1366.
- Junoh, H., Yip, C.H., Kumaran, P. (2016). Effect on Ca(OH)₂ pretreatment to enhance biogas production of organic food waste. IOP Conference Series: *Earth and Environmental Science* 32, 1-5. doi:10.1088/1755-1315/32/1/012013
- Kalyuzhnyi S., Fedorovich V., Lens P. (2006). Dispersed plug flow model for upflow anaerobic sludge bed reactors with focus on granular sludge dynamics. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology* 33, 221– 237. doi.org/10.1007/s10295-005-0217-2
- Kalyuzhnyi, S. V., Sklyar, V. I., Davlyatshina, M. A. (1996) Organic removal and microbiological features of UASB-reactor under various organic loading rates. *Bioresource Technology* 55 (1) 47–54. doi.org/10.1016/0960-8524(95)00100-X
- Kamaruddin, M. A., Yusoff, M. S., Rui, L. M., Isa, A. M., Zawawi, M. H., & Alrozi, R. (2017). An overview of municipal solid waste management and landfill leachate treatment: Malaysia and Asian perspectives. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 24 (35): 26988-27020. doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0303-9
- Kameswari K. S. B, Kalyanaraman C., Thanasekaran K. (2010) Effect of ozonation and ultrasonication pretreatment processes on co-digestion of tannery solid wastes. *Clean Technology Environment Policy* 13, 517-525. doi.org/10.1007/s10098-010-0334-0

- Kaparaju, P., Buendia, I., Ellegaard L., Angelidaki, I. (2008) Effects of mixing on methane production during thermophilic anaerobic digestion of manure: Lab-scale and pilot-scale studies. *Bioresource Technology* 99 (11), 4919–4928. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.09.015
- Karim K., Hoffmann R., Klasson K. T., Al-Dahhan M.H. (2005) Anaerobic digestion of animal waste: Effect of mode of mixing. *Water Research* 39 (15), 3597–3606. doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2005.06.019
- Karim, K., Varma, R., Vesvikar, M., Al-Dahhan, M.H. (2004). Flow pattern visualization of a simulated digester. *Water Research* 38, 3659-3670. doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2004.06.009
- Kawai, M., Nagao, N., Tajima, N., Niwa, C., Matsuyama, T., Toda, T. (2014). The effect of the labile organic fraction in food waste and the substrate/inoculum ratio on anaerobic digestion for a reliable methane yield. *Bioresource Technology* 157, 174–180. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.01.018
- Kayhanian, M., and Rich, D. (1995) Pilot-Scale High Solids Thermophilic Anaerobic Digestion of Municipal Solid Waste with an Emphasis on Nutrient Requirement. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 8 (6), 433-444. doi.org/10.1016/0961-9534(95)00043-7
- Khairuddin, N., Manaf, L.A., Halimoon, N., Ghani, W.A.W.A.K, Hassan, M.A. (2015) High solid anaerobic co-digestion of household organic waste with cow manure. *Procedia Environmental Sciences* 30, 174 – 179. doi.org/10.1016/j.proenv.2015.10.031
- Khalid, A., Arshad, M., Anjum, M., Mahmood, T., Dawson, L. (2011) The anaerobic digestion of solid organic waste. *Waste Management* 31 (8), 1737-1744. doi: 10.1016/j.wasman.2011.03.021
- Khanal, S. K., Grewell, D., Sung, S., van Leeuwen, J. (2007) Ultrasound applications in wastewater sludge pretreatment: A review. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology* 37, 277-313. doi.org/10.1080/10643380600860249
- Khanal, S.K., Isik, H., Sung, S., Leeuwen, J. (2006b). Ultrasound pretreatment of waste activated sludge: evaluation of sludge disintegration and aerobic digestibility. Proceedings of IWA World Water Congress and Exhibition, Beijing, September 10–14, 2006.
- Khanal. S.K, Isik. H, Sung. S., van Leeuwen. J. (2006a). Effect of ultrasonic pretreatment on aerobic digestion of waste activated sludge-A review. *Critical Revised in Water Science and Technology*.
- Kianmehr, P., Parker, W., Seto, P. (2010) An evaluation of protocols for characterization of ozone impacts on WAS properties and digestibility. *Bioresource Technology* 101 (22), 8565–8572. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2010.06.061

- Kim SH, Han SK, Shin HS. (2004). Two-phase anaerobic treatment system for fat-containing wastewater. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology* 79, 63–71. doi: 10.1002/jctb.939
- Kim, D.H, Kim, S.H., Kim, K.Y., Shin, H.S. (2010) Experience of a pilot-scale hydrogen-producing anaerobic sequencing batch reactor (ASBR) treating food waste. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 35, 1590-1594. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2009.12.041
- Kim, H.W., Nam, J.Y., Shin, H.S. (2011) A comparison study on the high-rate co-digestion of sewage sludge and food waste using a temperature-phased anaerobic sequencing batch reactor system. *Bioresource Technology* 102, 7272–7279. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2011.04.088
- Kim, I.S, Kim, D.H., Hyun, S. H. (2000) Effect of particle size and sodium ion concentration on anaerobic thermophilic food waste digestion. *Water Science and Technology* 41(3), 67–73.
- Kim, J., Park, C., Kim, T., Lee, M., Kim, S., Kim, S.W. (2002). Effects of various pretreatment for enhance anaerobic digestion with waste activated sludge. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering* 95(3), 271-275. doi.org/10.1016/S1389-1723(03)80028-2
- Kim, J.K., Oh, B.R., Chun, Y.N., Kim, S.W. (2006) Effects of temperature and hydraulic retention time on anaerobic digestion of food waste, *Journal of Bioscience Bioengineering* 102 (4), 328–332, doi.org/10.1263/jbb.102.328. 17116580.
- Kiran, E.U., Trzcinski, A.P., Liu, Y. (2015). Enhancing the hydrolysis and methane production potential of mixed food waste by an effective enzymatic pretreatment. *Bioresource Technology* 183, 47–52. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.02.033
- Kondusamy, D., Kalamdhad, A.S. (2014) Pretreatment and anaerobic digestion of food waste for high rate methane production – A review. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering* 2(3), 1821–1830. doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2014.07.024
- Kroyer, G.Th. (1995). Impact of food processing on the environment- an overview. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 28(6), 547-552. doi.org/10.1016/0023-6438(95)90000-4
- Kubaska, M., Sedlacek, S., Bodik, I., Kissova, B. (2010). Food waste as biodegradable substrates for biogas production, Editor: Markos, J., In Proceedings of the 37th International Conference of Slovak Society of Chemical Engineering, Tatranské Matliare, Slovakia, 1413–1418, ISBN 978-80-227-3290-1
- Kumar, D., Murthy, G.S. (2011) Impact of pretreatment and downstream processing technologies on economics and energy in cellulosic ethanol production. *Biotechnology for Biofuels* 4 (27). 1-19. doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-4-27

- Kuruti, K., Begum, S., Ahuja, S., Rao Anupoju, G., Juntupally, S., Gandu, B., Kumar Ahuja, D. (2017). Exploitation of rapid acidification phenomena of food waste in reducing the hydraulic retention time (HRT) of high rate anaerobic digester without conceding on biogas yield. *Bioresource Technology* 226, 65–72. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.12.005.
- Kwiatkowska, B., Bennett, J., Akunna, J., Walker, G.M., Bremner, D.H. (2011) Stimulation of bioprocesses by ultrasound. *Biotechnology Advances* 29 (6), 768-780. doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2011.06.005
- Lai C.M., Ke, G.R., Chung, M.Y. (2009) Potentials of food wastes for power generation and energy conservation in Taiwan. *Renewable Energy* 34 (8), 1913-1915. doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2008.12.007
- Lama, D.D.L., Borja, R., Rincón, B. (2017). Performance evaluation and substrate removal kinetics in the semi-continuous anaerobic digestion of thermally pretreated two-phase olive pomace or “Alperujo”. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection* 105, 288–296. doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2016.11.014
- Lansing, S., Víquez, J., Martínez, H., Botero, R., Martin, J., (2008). Quantifying electricity generation and waste transformations in a low-cost, plug-flow anaerobic digestion system. *Ecological Engineering* 34 (4), 332–348. doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2008.09.002
- Lee, D.J., Lee, S.Y., Bae, J.S., Kang, J.G., Kim, K.H., Rhee, S.S., Park, J.H., Cho, J.S., Chung, J., Seo, D.C (2015) Effect of volatile fatty acid concentration on anaerobic degradation rate from field anaerobic digestion facilities treating food waste leachate in south korea, *Journal of Chemistry* 15 ,1-9.
- Lee, D.Y., Ebie, Y., Xu, K.Q., Li, Y.Y., Inamori, Y. (2010). Continuous H₂ and CH₄ production from high-solid food waste in the two-stage thermophilic fermentation process with the recirculation of digester sludge. *Bioresource Technology* 101(1), S42–S47. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.03.037
- Leitao, R. C., Robustness of uasb reactors treating sewage under tropical conditions, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands, 2004.
- Lettinga G. (2010) The route of anaerobic waste (water) treatment toward global acceptance. In: Fang Herbert HP, editor. Environmental anaerobic technology: applications and new developments. London: Imperial College Press
- Li, C. (2012) Using anaerobic co-digestion with addition of municipal organic wastes and pretreatment to enhance biogas production from wastewater treatment plant sludge. Phd thesis. Queen’s University, Canada.
- Li, C. Champagne, P., Anderson, B.C. (2011) Evaluating and modelling biogas production from municipal fat, oil and grease and synthetic kitchen waste in anaerobic co-digestions. *Bioresource Technology* 102 (20), 9471-9480. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.07.103.

- Li, C., Champagne, P., Anderson, B.C (2013) Effects of ultrasonic and thermo chemical pretreatments on methane production from fat, oil and grease (FOG) and synthetic kitchen waste (KW) in anaerobic co-digestion. *Bioresource Technology* 130,187–197. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.11.053
- Li, L., Yang, X., Li, X., Zheng, M., Chen, J., Zhang, Z. (2010) The influence of inoculum sources on anaerobic biogasification of NaOH-treated corn stover. *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects* 33(2), 138-144, doi:10.1080/15567030902937192.
- Li, X., Li, L., Zheng, M., Fu, G. and Lar, J.S. (2009). Anaerobic co-digestion of cattle manure with corn stover pretreated by sodium hydroxide for efficient biogas production. *Energy and Fuels* 23 (9), 4635-4639. doi:10.1021/ef900384p
- Li, Y., Chen, Y., Wu, J. (2019). Enhancement of methane production in anaerobic digestion process: A review. *Applied Energy* 240, 120–137. doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.01.243
- Li, Y.Y., Jin, Y.Y., (2015). Effects of thermal pretreatment on acidification phase during two-phase batch anaerobic digestion of kitchen waste. *Renewable Energy* 77, 550-557. doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2014.12.056
- Li, Y.Y., Sasaki, H., Yamashita, K., Seki, K., Kamigochi, I. (2002) High-rate methane fermentation of lipid-rich food wastes by a high-solids co-digestion process. *Water Science Technology* 45, 143–150.
- Lim, J.W., Chen, C.L., Ho I.J.R., Wang, J.Y. (2013) Study of microbial community and biodegradation efficiency for single and two-phase anaerobic co-digestion of brown water and food waste. *Bioresource Technology* 147, 193–201. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.08.038.
- Lin, C. and Lee, Y., (2002). Effect of thermal and chemical pretreatments on anaerobic ammonium removal in treating septage using the UASB system. *Bioresource Technology* 83 (3), 259-261. doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(01)00223-1
- Lin, H, Chen, J Wang, F, Ding, L, Hong, H. (2011) Feasibility evaluation of submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactor for municipal secondary wastewater treatment, *Desalination* 280 (1–3) 120–126. doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2011.06.058
- Lin, J.G., Chang, C.N., Chang, S.C., (1997). Enhancement of anaerobic digestion of waste activated sludge by alkaline solubilization. *Bioresource Technology* 62 (3), 85-90. doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(97)00121-1
- Lin, Y., Wang,D., Liang,J., Li, G. (2012) Mesophilic anaerobic co-digestion of pulp and paper sludge and food waste for methane production in a fedbatch basis. *Environmental Technology*, 33(23), 2627-2633,
- Lindmark, J., Thorin, E., Fdhila, R.B., Dahlquist, E. (2014). Effects of mixing on the result of anaerobic digestion: review. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews* 40, 1030-1047. doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.07.182

- Lissens G., Vandevivere P., De Baere L., Biey E. M. And Verstraete W. (2001). Solid waste digestors: process performance and practice for municipal solid waste digestion. *Water Science and Technology* 44, 92-102
- Liu, G., Zhang, R., El-Mashad, H.M., Dong R. (2009). Effect of feed to inoculum ratios on biogas yields of food and green wastes. *Bioresource Technology* 100 (21), 5103–5108. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.03.081
- Liu, X., Li, R., Ji, M., Han, L. (2013) Hydrogen and methane production by co-digestion of waste activated sludge and food waste in the two-stage fermentation process: substrate conversion and energy yield. *Bioresource Technology* 146, 317–323. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.07.096
- Liu, X., Wang, W., Gao, X., Zhiu, Y., Shen, R. (2012). Effect of thermal pretreatment on the physical and chemical properties of municipal biomass waste. *Waste Management* 32, 249-255. doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2011.09.027
- Lokshina, L.Y., Vavilin, V.A., Salminen, E., Rintala, J. (2003) Modeling of anaerobic degradation of solid slaughterhouse waste: inhibition effects of long-chain fatty acids or ammonia. *Applied Biochemistry Biotechnology* 109, 15-32
- Lou, X.H, Yuan W.J, Hwa T, J. (2002) A hybrid anaerobic solid-liquid bioreactor for food waste digestion. *Biotechnology Letters*, 24(10),757–61.
- Lu, F., Hao,L., Zhu, M., Shao,L., He, P. (2012) Initiating methanogenesis of vegetable waste at low inoculum to substrate ratio: Importance of spatial separation. *Bioresource Technology* 105, 169-173.doi.10.1016/j.biortech.2011.11.104
- Luste, S., Luostarinen, S., Sillanpaa, M. (2009). Effect of pre-treatments on hydrolysis and methane production potentials of by-products from meat-processing industry. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 164, 247–255. doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.08.002
- Ma, J., Duong, T.H., Smits, M., Verstraete, W., Carballa, M. (2011) Enhanced biomethanation of kitchen waste by different pretreatments. *Bioresource Technology* 102 (2), 592–599. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.07.122
- Ma, Y., Yin, Y., Liu, Y. (2017). A holistic approach for food waste management towards zero-solid disposal and energy/resource recovery. *Bioresource Technology* 228, 56-61. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.12.090
- Maharaj, I and Elefsiniotis, P. (2001) The role of HRT and low temperature on the acid-phase anaerobic digestion of municipal and industrial wastewaters. *Bioresource Technology* 76 (3),191-197.doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(00)00128-0
- Malakahmad, A., Basri, N.E.A, Zain, S. M. (2008) Overview on the development of anaerobic digestion for kitchen waste in Malaysia. Proceedings Sustainable Environmental Technology and Sanitation for Tropical Region, Surabaya, November 2008.

- Manariotis, I.D, Grigoropoulos S.G. (2002) Low-strength wastewater treatment using an anaerobic baffled reactor. *Water Environment Research* 74(2), 170–176. doi: 10.2175/106143002X139884
- Mao, T. and Show, K.Y. (2006). Performance of high-rate sludge digesters fed with sonicated sludge. *Water Science and Technology* 54 (9), 27-33.
- Maranon, E., Castrillon, L., Quiroga, C., Fernandez Nava, Y., Gomez, L., Garcia, M.M. (2012). Co-digestion of cattle manure with food waste and sludge to increase biogas production. *Waste Management* 32 (10), 1821–1825. doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2012.05.033
- Martin, M.A., Gonzalez, I., Serrano, A., Siles, J.A. (2015) Evaluation of the improvement of sonication pretreatment in the anaerobic digestion of sewage sludge. *Journal of Environmental Management* 147, 330–337. doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.09.022
- Masngut, N., Harvey, A. P., Ikweb, J. (2010). Potential uses of oscillatory baffled reactors for biofuel production. *Biofuels*, 1(4), 605-619. doi.org/10.4155/bfs.10.38
- Mason, T. J., Lorimer, P. (2002) *Applied Sonochemistry: The Uses of Power Ultrasound in Chemistry and Processing*. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH.
- Masse, L., Masse, D.I., Kennedy, K.J. (2003). Effect of hydrolysis pretreatment on fat degradation during anaerobic digestion of slaughterhouse wastewater. *Process Biochemistry* 38, 1365–1372. doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592(03)00020-7
- Mata-Alvarez, J., Dosta, J., Romero-Güiza, M.S., Fonoll, X., Peces, M., Astals, S., (2014). A critical review on anaerobic co-digestion achievements between 2010 and 2013. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews* 36, 412–427. doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.04.039
- Mata-Alvarez, J., Mace, S., Llabres, P. (2000) Anaerobic digestion of organic solid wastes : An overview of research achievements and perspectives. *Bioresource Technology* 74 (1), 3-16. doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(00)00023-7
- McMahon, K.D., Stroot, P.G., Mackie, R.I., Raskin, L. (2001). Anaerobic co-digestion of municipal solid waste and biosolids under various mixing conditions-II: microbial population dynamics. *Water Research* 35 (7), 1817–1827. doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(00)00438-3
- Menardo, S., Airoidi, G., Balsari, P., (2012). The effect of particle size and thermal pretreatment on the methane yield of four agricultural by-products. *Bioresource Technology* 104, 708-714. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.10.061
- Mendes, A.A., Pereira, E.B., Castro, H.F. (2006). Effect of the enzymatic hydrolysis pretreatment of lipids-rich wastewater on the anaerobic biodigestion. *Biochemical Engineering Journal* 32, 185–190. doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2006.09.021.

- Mendes, A.A., Castro, H.F., Pereira, E.B., Furigo, A. Jr. (2005). Application of lipases for wastewater treatment containing high levels of lipids. *Quimica Nova* 28, 296–305.
- Meng Y., Luan, F., Yuan, H., Chen, X., Li, X. (2017). Enhancing anaerobic digestion performance of crude lipid in food waste by enzymatic pretreatment. *Bioresource Technology* 224, 48–55. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.10.052
- Menon, A., Ren, F., Wang, J.Y., Giannis, A. (2016) Effect of pretreatment techniques on food waste solubilisation and biogas production during thermophilic batch anaerobic digestion. *Journal Material Cycles Waste Management* 18, 222–230. doi.org/10.1007/s10163-015-0395-6
- Metcalf and Eddy (2004) *Wastewater Engineering: Treatment and Reuse*. 4th Edition. New York: Mcgraw-Hill, 2004
- Method 3050B-Acid Digestion of Sediments, Sludges and Soils. (1996) US Environmental Protection Agency.
- Miah, M.S., Tada, C., Yang, Y., Sawayama, S. (2005) Aerobic thermophilic bacteria enhance biogas production. *Journal of Material Cycles and Waste Management* 7, 48–54. doi: 10.1007/s10163-004-0125-y
- Micolucci, F., Gottardo, M., Bolzonella, D., Pavan, P. (2014). Automatic process control for stable bio-hythane production in two-phase thermophilic anaerobic digestion of food waste. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 39 (31), 17563–17572. doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.08.136
- Modenbach, A.A., Nokes, S.E. (2012) The use of high-solids loading in biomass pretreatment – a review. *Biotechnology Bioengineering* 109 (6) , 1430–1442. doi: 10.1002/bit.24464
- Modenbach, A.A., Nokes, S.E. (2012) The use of high-solids loading in biomass pretreatment – a review. *Biotechnology Bioengineering* 109, 1430–1442. doi: 10.1002/bit.24464
- Mohan, S.V., Rao, N.C., Prasad, K.K., Madhavi, B.T.V., Sharma, P.N. (2005) Treatment of complex chemical wastewater in a sequencing batch reactor (SBR) with an aerobic suspended growth configuration. *Process Biochemistry* 40 (5) 1501–1508. doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2003.02.001
- Møller, H.B., Sommer, S.G., Ahring, B.K. (2004) Methane productivity of manure, straw and solid fractions of manure. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 26, 485-495. doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2003.08.008
- Monod. J. (1949) The growth of bacterial cultures. *Annual Review of Microbiology* 3, 371-394. doi.org/10.1146/annurev.mi.03.100149.002103
- Moon, H.C., Song, I. S., Kim, J.C, Shirai, Y., Lee, D.H., Kim, J.K., Chung, S.O, Kim, D.H., Oh, K.K., Cho, Y.S. (2009). Enzymatic hydrolysis of food waste and

ethanol fermentation. *International Journal of Energy Research* 33,164 –172.
doi.org/10.1002/er.1432

Moon, H.C., Song, I.S. (2011) Enzymatic hydrolysis of food waste and methane production using UASB bioreactor. *International Journal of Green Energy* 8 (3), 361–371. doi: 10.1080/15435075.2011.557845

Moonkhun, M. (2007). *Aerobic digestion of waste activated sludge with ultrasonic pretreatment*. MSc Thesis. Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand.

Mshandete A. M., Parawira W. (2009). Biogas technology research in selected sub Saharan African countries: A review. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 8 (2) 116-125.

Mudhoo, A. (2012) *Biogas Production: Pretreatment methods in anaerobic digestion*. United State of America: Scrivener Publishing LLC.

Mullai, P., Ngo, H.H., Sabarathinam, P. (2011) Substrate removal kinetics of an anaerobic hybrid reactor treating pharmaceutical wastewater. *Journal of Water Sustainability* 1 (3), 301-312.

Mullai, P., Yogeswari, M.K. (2015) Substrate removal kinetics of hydrogen production in an anaerobic sludge blanket filter. *Separation Science and Technology* 50 (7), 1093-1100.

Muller, J.A. (2000). Pretreatment processes for the recycling and reuse of sewage sludge. *Water Science and Technology* 42 (9), 167-174.

Müller, J.A., (2000). Pre-treatment processes for the recycling and reuse of sewage sludge. *Water Science and Technology* 42 (9), 167-174. doi: 10.2166/wst.2000.0197

Mussoline, W., Esposito, G., Giordano, A., Lens, P. (2012) Anaerobic digestion of rice straw: A review. *Critical Review Environmental Science Technology* 43 (9), 895-915. doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2011.627018

Nagao, N., Tajima, N., Kawai, M., Niwa, C., Kurosawa, N., Matsuyama, Toda, Yusoff, F.M., Toda, T. (2012). Maximum organic loading rate for the single-stage wet anaerobic digestion of food waste. *Bioresource Technology* 118, 210–218. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.05.045

Nah, I.W., Kang, U.W., Hwang, K.Y. and Song W.K. (2000). Mechanical pretreatment of waste activated sludge for anaerobic digestion process. *Water Research* 34 (8), 2362-2368. doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(99)00361-9

Najafpour, G.D., Zinatizadeh A.L.L., Mohamed A.R., Isa M.H., Nasrollahzadeh, H. (2006), High-rate anaerobic digestion of palm oil mill effluent in an up-flow anaerobic sludge-fixed film bioreactor, *Process. Biochemistry* 41 (2), 370–379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2005.06.031

- Naran, E., Toor, U.A., Kim, D.J. (2016). Effect of pretreatment and anaerobic co-digestion of food waste and waste activated sludge on stabilization and methane production. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation* 113, 17-21. doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2016.04.011
- Nasir, I.,M. (2016) *Anaerobic Digestion for Cattle Manure treatment and its Kinetic Modelling in an Oscillatory Flow Biogas Reactor*. PhD Thesis. Universiti Putra Malaysia
- Nasir, I.M, Mohd Ghazi, T.I., Omar, R., Ghani, W.A.W.A.K (2014) Anaerobic batch digestion of cattle manure using a novel oscillatory flow bioreactor. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology* 11 (2), 65-69
- Nathao, C., Sirisukpoka, U., Pisutpaisal, N. (2013). Production of hydrogen and methane by one and two stage fermentation of food waste. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 38 (35), 15764–15769. doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.05.047
- Navaneethan, N (2007). *Anaerobic digestion of waste activated sludge with ultrasonic pretreatment*. Master thesis. Asian Institute of Technology, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Navia, R., Soto, M., Vidal, G., Bornhardt, C. And Diez, M.C. (2002). Alkaline pretreatment of kraft mill sludge to improve its anaerobic digestion. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 69, 869-876. doi:10.1007/s00128-002-0140-4
- Navia, R., Soto, M., Vidal, G., Bornhardt, C. And Diez, M.C. (2002). Alkaline pretreatment of kraft mill sludge to improve its anaerobic digestion. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 69, 869-876. doi:10.1007/s00128-002-0140-4
- Nayono, S.E. (2009) *Anaerobic digestion of organic solid waste for organic solid waste for energy production*. PhD Thesis. Karlsruher Institut for Technologie
- Nayono,S.E., Josef Winter, J., Gallert, C. (2010) Anaerobic digestion of pressed off leachate from the organic fraction of municipal solid waste. *Waste Management* 30, 1828–1833. doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2009.09.019
- Nazlina, H. M.Y., Nor Aini, A.R., Ismail, F., Yusof, M.Z.M, Hasaan, M.A. (2009) Effect of different temperature, initial pH and substrate composition on biohydrogen production from food waste in batch fermentation. *Asian Journal of Biotechnology* 1 (2), 42-50. doi:10.3923/ajbkr.2009.42.50
- Nels, U., Nickel, K., Tiehm, A. (2000) Enhancement of anaerobic sludge digestion by ultrasonic disintegration. *Water Science Technology* 42, 73–80. doi: 10.2166/wst.2000.0174
- Neves, L., Ferreira, R., Oliveira, R. (2010). Influence of inoculum acclimation in the biodegradation rate and estimated biodegradability of cow manure, food waste and oil. *Environmental Engineering and Management Journal* 9 (3), 327-334.

- Neves, L., Oliveira, R., Alves, M.M. (2009) Co-digestion of cow manure, food waste and intermittent input of fat. *Bioresource Technology* 100 (6),1957–1962. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2008.10.030
- Nges et al. (2012) Stable operation during pilot-scale anaerobic digestion of nutrient-supplemented maize/sugar beet silage. *Bioresource Technology* 118, 445-454. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.05.096
- Nghiem, L.D., Koch, K., Bolzonella, D., Drewes, J.E. (2017). Full scale co-digestion of wastewater sludge and food waste: Bottlenecks and possibilities. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews* 72, 354-362. doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.01.062
- Nguyen, D.D., Chang, S.W, Cha, J. H., Jeong , S.Y., Yoon, Y.S., Lee, S.J., Tran, M.C, Ngo, H.H. (2010) Dry semi-continuous anaerobic digestion of food waste in the mesophilic and thermophilic modes: New aspects of sustainable management and energy recovery in South Korea. *Energy Conversion and Management* 135, 445–452. doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2016.12.030
- Nickel. K and Neis. U (2007). Ultrasonic disintegration of biosolids for improved biodegradation. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry* 14 (4), 450-455. doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2006.10.012
- Nielsen, H.B., Angelidaki, I. (2008) Strategies for optimizing recovery of the biogas process following ammonia inhibition. *Bioresource Technology* 99, 7995–8001. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2008.03.049
- Nik Kob, N.N. (2017) *Conversion of palm oil mill effluent (POME) into bio-hydrogen*. Master Thesis. Universiti Putra Malaysia
- Nik Norfadilah, Abdul Raheem, Razif Harun, Fakhru Razi Ahmadun (2016). Bio-hydrogen production from palm oil mill effluent (POME): A preliminary study. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 41, 11960-11964. doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2016.04.096.
- Nizami, A.S., Korres, N.E., Murphy, J.D. (2009) A review of the integrated process for the production of grass biomethane. *Environmental Science and Technology* 43(22), 8496-8508. doi: 10.1021/es901533j
- Nizami, A.S., Thamsiriroj, T., Singh, A., Murphy, J.D. (2010). Role of leaching and hydrolysis in a two-phase grass digestion system. *Energy and Fuels* 24 (8), 4549-4559. doi: 10.1021/ef100677s
- Noyola, A, Tinajero, A. (2005) Effect of biological additives and micronutrients on the anaerobic digestion of physicochemical sludge. *Water Science and Technology* 52 (1-2), 275-81. doi: 10.2166/wst.2005.0528
- Official Methods of Analysis (2000) 17th Ed., AOAC International, Gaithersburg, MD, Official Method 920.39

- Okoli, C. S., Okonkwo, P. C. (2016) Substrate reduction kinetics and performance evaluation of fluidized-bed reactor for treatment of brewery wastewater. *Nigerian Journal of Technology* 35 (1), 91 – 96. dx.doi.org/10.4314/njt.v35i1.15
- Oliveira, S. C., Von Sperling, M. (2009) Performance evaluation of UASB reactor systems with and without post-treatment. *Water Science and Technology* 59 (7) 1299–1306. doi: 10.2166/wst.2009.138
- Omar, F.N., Rahman, N.A.A, Hafid, H.S., Yee, P.L., Hassan, M.A. (2009) Separation and recovery of organic acids from fermented kitchen waste by an integrated process. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 8, 5807-5813. dx.doi.org/10.5897/AJB09.992
- Ong, H.K., Greenfield, P.F., Pullammanappallil, P.C., (2000) An operational strategy for improved biomethanation of cattle-manure slurry in an unmixed, single-stage digester. *Bioresource Technology* 73 (1), 87–89. doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(99)00139-X
- Ortega, L., Barrington. S., Guiot, S.R. (2008) Thermophilic adaptation of a mesophilic anaerobic sludge for food waste treatment. *Journal of Environmental Management* 88 (3), 517-525. doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2007.03.032
- Ouda O.K.M., Raza, S.A. (2014) Waste-to-Energy: Solution for Municipal Solid Waste Challenges-Global Perspective. Paper presented at 2014 International Symposium on Technology Management and Emerging Technologies (ISTMET 2014), Bandung, May 27 - 29, 2014.
- Oviya, E.K., Velayutham, T. (2016) Comparison of various inocula for efficient anaerobic digestion of municipal solid waste. *International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology Research* 5 (5), 1572-1574.
- Owamah, H.I., Izinyon, O.C. (2015). The effect of organic loading rates (OLRs) on the performances of food wastes and maize husks anaerobic co-digestion in continuous mode. *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments* 11, 71–76. doi.org/10.1016/j.seta.2015.06.002
- Owhondah, R.O., Walker, M., Ma, L., Nimmo, B., Ingham, D.B., Poggio, D., Pourkashanian, M. (2016). Assessment and parameter identification of simplified models to describe the kinetics of semi-continuous biomethane production from anaerobic digestion of green and food waste. *Bioprocess Biosystem Engineering* 39, 977–992. doi.org/10.1007/s00449-016-1577-x
- Para-Orobio, B.A., Danoso-Bravo, A., Ruiz Sanchez, J.C., Valencia-Molina, K.J., Torres Lozada, P. (2018). Effect of inoculum on the anaerobic digestion of food waste accounting for the concentration of trace elements. *Waste Management* 71, 342–349. doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.09.040
- Parawira, W. (2004) *Anaerobic treatment of agricultural residues and wastewater - application of high- rate reactors*. PhD Thesis. Lund University

- Parawira, W. (2011). Enzyme research and applications in biotechnological intensification of biogas production. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, 1–15. doi: 10.3109/07388551.2011.595384
- Passos, F., Ortega, V., Donoso-Bravo, A. (2017). Thermochemical pretreatment and anaerobic digestion of dairy cow manure: Experimental and economic evaluation. *Bioresource Technology* 227, 239–246. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.12.034
- Pasukphun, N and Vinitnantharat, S. (2002) Degradation of organic substances and reactive dye in an immobilized-cell sequencing batch reactor operation on simulated textile wastewater. In: 5th international conference on small water and wastewater treatment systems.
- Patinvoh, R.J., Mehrjerdi, A.K., Horváth, I.S., Taherzadeh, M.J (2017) Dry fermentation of manure with straw in continuous plug flow reactor: Reactor development and process stability at different loading rates. *Bioresource Technology* 224, 197–205. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.11.011
- Paudel, S., Kang, Y., Yoo, Y.-S., Seo, G.T. (2017). Effect of volumetric organic loading rate (OLR) on H₂ and CH₄ production by two-stage anaerobic co-digestion of food waste and brown water. *Waste Management* 61, 484–493. doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.12.013
- Pavlosthathis, S. G., and Giraldo-Gomez, E. (1991). Kinetics of anaerobic treatment: A critical review. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Control* 21 (5-6), 411-490. doi.org/10.1080/10643389109388424
- Pereira MA, Cavaleiro AJ, Mota M, Alves MM. (2003). Accumulation of long-chain fatty acids onto anaerobic sludge under steady state and shock loading conditions: effect on acetogenic and methanogenic activity. *Water Science and Technology*, 48, 33–40. doi: 10.2166/wst.2003.0352
- Pereira, E.L., Campos, C.M.M, Motteran, F. (2013) Physicochemical study of pH, alkalinity and total acidity in a system composed of anaerobic baffled reactor (ABR) in series with upflow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor (uasb) in the treatment of pig farming wastewater. *Acta Scientiarum. Technology Maringa* 35 (3), 477-483. doi: 10.4025/actascitechnol.v35i3.14069
- Pilli, S., Bhunia, P., Yan, S., Le Blank, R.J., Tyagi, R.D. and Surampalli, R.Y. (2011). Ultrasonic pre-treatment of sludge: A Review. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry* 18, 1-18. doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2010.02.014
- Pilli, S., Bhunia, P., Yan, S., LeBlank, R.J., Tyagi, R.D. and Surampalli, R.Y. (2011). Ultrasonic pretreatment of sludge: A Review. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry* 18 (1), 1-18. doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2010.02.014
- Pohland, F.G., Ghosh, S. (1971). Developments in anaerobic stabilization of organic wastes – the two-phase concept. *Environmental Letters* 1 (4), 255–266. doi.org/10.1080/00139307109434990

- Qiang, H., Lang, D. L., and Li, Y. Y. (2012). High-solid mesophilic methane fermentation of food waste with an emphasis on Iron, Cobalt, and Nickel requirements. *Bioresource Technology* 103 (1), 21–27. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.09.036
- Qiu, Z., Zhao, L., Weatherley, L. (2010). Process intensification technologies in continuous biodiesel production. *Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification*, 49(4), 323-330. doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2010.03.005
- Rafique, R., Poulse, T.G., Nizami, A.S, Asam, Z.Z., Murphy, J.D., Kiely, G. (2010) Effect of thermal, chemical and thermo-chemical pretreatments to enhance methane production. *Energy* 35 (12), 4556–4561. doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2010.07.011
- Rai, C.L., Struenkmann, G., Mueller, J., Rao, P.G. (2004) Influence of ultrasonic disintegration on sludge growth reduction and its estimation by respirometry. *Environmental Science Technology* 38 (21), 5779-5785. doi: 10.1021/es049775o
- Rajeshwari, K.V., Balakrishnan, M., Kansal, A., Kusum Lata, Kishore, V.V.N. (2000) State-of-the-art of anaerobic digestion technology for industrial wastewater treatment. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 4 (2), 135-156. doi.org/10.1016/S1364-0321(99)00014-3
- Rani, R.U, Kumar, S.S., Kaliappan, S., Yeom, I.T., Banu, J.R. (2012). Low temperature thermo-chemical pretreatment of dairy waste activated sludge for anaerobic digestion process. *Bioresource Technology* 103, 415–424. doi.org/10.1016/j.jtice.2014.05.029
- Rao, M. S and Singh, S. P. (2004). Bioenergy conversion studies of the organic fraction of MSW: kinetic studies and gas yield-organic loading relationships for process optimisation. *Bioresource Technology* 95(2), 173-185. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2004.02.013
- Raposo, F., Rubia, M.A.D., Fernandez-Cegri, V., Borja, R. (2011) Anaerobic digestion of solid organic substrates in batch mode: an overview relating to methane yields and experimental procedures. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews* 16, 861–877. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2011.09.008
- Rasapoor, M., Ajabshirchi, Y., Adl, M., Abdi, R., Gharibi, A. (2016). The effect of ultrasonic pretreatment on biogas generation yield from organic fraction of municipal solid waste under medium solids concentration circumstance. *Energy Conversion and Management* 119, 444–452. doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2016.04.066
- Rasit, N. (2016) *Enhancement of methane production from anaerobic digestion of grease trap*. PhD thesis. Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Ratanatamskul, C., Manpetch, P., (2016). Comparative assessment of prototype digester configuration for biogas recovery from anaerobic co-digestion of food

- waste and rain tree leaf as feedstock. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation* 113, 367-374. doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2016.05.008
- Ren N.Q., Liu M., Wang A. J., Ding J. and Hong M. (2003) Organic acids conversion in methanogenic phase reactor of the two phase anaerobic process. *Environmental Science* 24 (4), 89–93.
- Ren N.Q., Wang A.J. and Ma F. (2005) Acid-producing fermentative microbe physiological ecology. Science Press, Beijing.
- Rozzi, A. (1991) Alkalinity considerations with respect to anaerobic digesters. *Med. Fac. Landbouww. Rijksuniv. Gent.* 56, 1499-1514.
- Saidu, M., Yuzir, A., Salim, M.R., Salmiati, Azman, S., Abdullah, N. (2013). Influence of palm oil mill effluent as inoculum on anaerobic digestion of cattle manure for biogas production. *Bioresource Technology* 141, 174-176. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.03.111
- Salihu, A., Alam, Z. (2016). Pretreatment methods of organic wastes for biogas production. *Journal of Applied Science* 16 (3), 124-137. doi :10.3923/jas.2016.124.137
- Salminen, E.A., Rintala, J.A. (2002) Semi-continuous anaerobic digestion of solid poultry slaughterhouse waste: effect of hydraulic retention time and loading. *Water Research* 36 (13), 3175 - 3182. doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(02)00010-6
- Salsabil M. R., Prorot A., Casellas M., Dagot C. (2009). Pretreatment of activated sludge: Effect of sonication on aerobic and anaerobic digestibility. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 148 (2-3), 327–335. doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2008.09.003
- Sandino, J., Santha, H., Rogowski, S., Anderson, W., Sung, S., Isik, F. Applicability of ultrasound pre-conditioning of WAS to reduce foaming potential in mesophilic digesters. Joint Residuals and Biosolids Management Conference, Nashville, TN, April 17–19, 2005.
- Seghezze, L, Zeeman, G, van Lier, JB, Hamelers, HVM, Lettinga, G, (1998) A review: the anaerobic treatment of sewage in UASB and EGSB reactors, *Bioresource Technology* 65 (3) 175–190. doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(98)00046-7.
- Sen, B., Aravind, J., Kanmani, P., & Lay, C.H. (2016). State of the art and future concept of food waste fermentation to bioenergy. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 53, 547–557. doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.08.065
- Senturk, E., Ynce, M., Onkal Engin, G. (2013) Assessment of kinetic parameters for thermophilic anaerobic contact reactor treating food processing wastewater. *International Journal Environment Research* 7 (2), 293-302. doi: 10.22059/ijer.2013.608
- Shahriari, H., Warith, M. , Hamoda, M. , Kennedy, K. (2013) Evaluation of single vs. staged mesophilic anaerobic digestion of kitchen waste with and without

microwave pretreatment. *Journal of Environmental Management* 125, 74–84. doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.03.042

Shahriari, H., Warith, M., Hamoda, M., Kennedy, K.J. (2012) Anaerobic digestion of organic fraction of municipal solid waste combining two pretreatment modalities, high temperature microwave and hydrogen peroxide. *Waste Management* 32 (1), 41–52. doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2011.08.012

Sharma, S.K., Mishra, I.M., Sharma, M.P., Saini, J.S. (1988). Effect of particle size on biogas generation from biomass residues. *Biomass* 17 (4), 251–263. doi.org/10.1016/0144-4565(88)90107-2

Shen, F., Yuan, H., Pang, Y., Chen, S., Zhu, B., Zou, D., Liu, Y., Ma, J., Yu, L., Li, X., (2013). Performances of anaerobic co-digestion of fruit & vegetable waste (FVW) and food waste (FW): single-phase vs. two-phase. *Bioresource Technology* 144, 80–85. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.06.099

Shete, B.S., Shinkar, N.P. (2014) Kinetic modelling for anaerobic digestion: A review. *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology* 5 (2), 127-136

Shim, H., Chan, P.C., Toledo, R.A. (2017) Co-digestion of food waste and domestic wastewater: Effect of intermittent feeding strategy on long and short chain fatty acids accumulation. *Journal Fundamental Renewable Energy Applied* 7 (10), doi: 10.4172/2090-4541-C1-048

Shin, H.S, Han, S.K., Song, Y.C., Lee, C.Y. (2001) Performance of UASB reactor treating leachate from acidogenic fermenter in the two-phase anaerobic digestion of food waste. *Water Research* 35 (14), 3441-3447. doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(01)00041-0

Siddharth, S. (2006) Green Energy-Anaerobic Digestion. Proceedings of the 4th WSEAS International Conference on Heat Transfer, Thermal Engineering And Environment, Elounda, Greece. August 21-23, 2006.

Siddique, M.M.I., Munaim, M.S.A., Wahid, Z.A. (2017) The combined effect of ultrasonic and microwave pretreatment on bio-methane generation from co-digestion of petrochemical wastewater. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 145, 303-309. doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.01.061

Siddique, M.N.I., Wahid, Z.A. (2018). Achievements and perspectives of anaerobic co-digestion: A review. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 194, 359-371. doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.05.155

Siegert, I., Banks, C. (2005) The effect of volatile fatty acid addition on the anaerobic digestion of cellulose and glucose in batch reactors. *Process Biochemistry* 40 (11), 3412-3418. doi:10.1016/j.procbio.2005.01.025

Singh, A., Pant, D., Korres, N.E., Nizami, A.S., Prasad, S., Murphy, J.D. (2010) Key issues in life cycle assessment of ethanol production from lignocellulosic biomass:

- challenges and perspectives. *Bioresource. Technology* 101 (13), 5003-5012. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.11.062
- Singh, M., Srivastava, R.K. (2011) Sequencing batch reactor technology for biological wastewater treatment: a review. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Chemical Engineering* 6(1), 3–13. doi.org/10.1002/apj.490.
- Singh, S., Kumar, S., Jain, M.C., Kumar, D. (2001). Increased biogas production using microbial stimulants. *Bioresource Technology* 78, 313-316. doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(00)00143-7.
- Speece, R.E. In: Chynoweth, D.P., Isaacson, R. (1987) editors. *Anaerobic digestion of biomass*. Elsevier Applied Science. p. 129-140.
- Spelter, H., Winandy, W. J, Zauche T. (2008). ADBF for particle board making. *Bioresources* 3(4), 1256-1266.
- Stabnikova, O., Ang, S.S., Liu, X.Y., Ivanov, V., Tay, J.H., Wang, J.Y. (2005) The use of hybrid anaerobic solid–liquid (HASL) system for the treatment of lipid-containing food waste. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology* 80, 455–461. doi: 10.1002/jctb.1225
- Stabnikova, O., Liu, X. Y., Wang, J.Y. (2008) Anaerobic digestion of food waste in a hybrid anaerobic solid–liquid system with leachate recirculation in an acidogenic reactor. *Biochemical Engineering Journal* 41, 198–201. doi:10.1016/j.bej.2008.05.008
- Stroot, P.G., McMahon, K.D., Mackie, R.I., Raskin, L. (2001). Anaerobic codigestion of municipal solid waste and biosolids under various mixing conditions-I. Digester performance. *Water Research* 35 (7), 1804–1816. doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(00)00439-5
- Sulaiman, A., Hassan, M.A., Shirai, Y., Aziz, S.A, Tabatabaei, M., Busu, Z., Yacob, S. (2009). The effect of mixing on methane production in a semi-commercial closed digester tank treating palm oil mill effluent. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 3(3): 1577-1583.
- Sumantri, I., Priyambada, I. B., Hadiyanto, H. (2018), Solid-liquid anaerobic baffled reactor treating food waste. *MATEC Web of Conferences* 156. doi.org/10.1051/mateconf/201815603042
- Sunarso, S., Johari, I., Widiasta N., Budiyo (2010) The effect of feed to inoculum ratio on biogas production rate from cattle manure using rumen fluid as inoculum. *International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology* 1(2), 41-45. doi.org/10.12777/ijse.1.2.41-45
- Suresh, S., Tripathi, R.K., Gernal Rana, M.N. (2011) Review on treatment of industrial wastewater using sequential batch reactor. *International Journal of Science Technology & Management* 2, 64–84.

- Suslick, K. S. (1988). *Ultrasound: It's Chemical, Physical and Biological Effects*. New York: VCH Publishers
- Suwannarat, J., Ritchie, R.J. (2015) Anaerobic digestion of food waste using yeast. *Waste Management* 42, 61-66. doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2015.04.028
- SWCorp Malaysia (2018). PELAN STRATEGIK SWCorp 2014-2020 : Melakar Dimensi Baharu Menuju Masa Depan. Retrieved from: <http://www.swcorp.gov.my/index.php/kenali-kami/pelan-strategik> (Accessed on 20/6/2018).
- SWCorp News (2016). Retrieved from: <http://www.swcorp.gov.my/swcorpnews/2016/SWCorpNews-7.pdf>. (Accessed on 20/8/2016)
- Tabatabaei, M., Zakaria, M.R., Rahim, R.A., Wright, A.D. G., Shirai, Y., Abdullah, N., Sakai, K., Ikeno, S., Mori, M., Kazunori, N., Sulaiman, A., Hassan, M.A. (2009). PCR-based DGGE and *FISH* analysis of methanogens in an anaerobic closed digester tank for treating palm oil mill. *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology* 12 (3), 1-11. doi: 10.2225/vol12-issue3-fulltext-4
- Taherzadeh, M.J., Karimi, K. (2008) Pretreatment of lignocellulosic wastes to improve ethanol and biogas production: A review. *International Journal Molecule Science* 9 (9), 1621–1651. doi:10.3390/ijms9091621
- Takashima, M., (2008). Examination on process configurations incorporating thermal treatment for anaerobic digestion of sewage sludge. *Journal of Environmental Engineering* 134 (7), 543-549. doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372(2008)134:7(543)
- Tampio, E., Ervasti, S., Paavola, T. et al., 2014. Anaerobic digestion of autoclaved and untreated food waste. *Waste Management* 34, 370–377. doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2013.10.024
- Tanaka, S., Kamiyanma, K. (2002). Thermo chemical pre-treatment in the anaerobic digestion of waste activated sludge. *Journal of Water Science and Technology* 46 (10), 173-179. doi: 10.1002/jctb.1106
- Tanimu, I.M., Ghazi, T.I.M., Harun, M.R., Idris, A. (2014) Effect of carbon to nitrogen ratio of food waste on biogas methane production in a batch mesophilic anaerobic digester. *International Journal of Innovation, Management and Technology* 5 (2), 116-119. doi: 10.7763/IJIMT.2014.V5.497
- Tanimu, M.I., Ghazi, T.I.M., Harun, M.R., Idris, A. (2015) Effects of feedstock carbon to nitrogen ratio and organic loading on foaming potential in mesophilic food waste anaerobic digestion. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 99-100. doi: 10.1007/s00253-015-6486-4

- Tauseef, S.M., Abbasi, T., Abbasi, S.A. (2013) Energy recovery from wastewaters with high-rate anaerobic digesters. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 19, 704–741. doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.11.056.
- Tawfik, A. El-Qelish, M., Salem, A. (2015) Efficient anaerobic co-digestion of municipal food waste and kitchen wastewater for bio-hydrogen production. *International Journal of Green Energy* 12(12), 1301-1308, doi: 10.1080/15435075.2014.909357
- Tian, H., Duan, N, Lin, C., Li, X., Zhong, M. (2015) Anaerobic co-digestion of kitchen waste and pig manure with different mixing ratios. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering* 120 (1), 51-57. doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2014.11.017
- Tiehm, A., Nickel, K., Zellhorn, M., Neis, U. (2001) Ultrasonic waste activated sludge disintegration for improving anaerobic stabilization. *Water Research* 35 (8), 2003-2009. doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(00)00468-1
- Tiehm, A., Nickel, K., Neis, U. (1997) The use of ultrasound to accelerate the anaerobic digestion of sewage sludge. *Water Science Technology* 36 (11), 121–128. doi.org/10.1016/S0273-1223(97)00676-8
- Toreci, I., Kennedy, K.J. and Droste, R.L. (2009). Evaluation of continuous mesophilic anaerobic sludge digestion after high temperature microwave pre-treatment. *Water Research* 43, 1273-1284. doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2008.12.022
- Tran, D. (2017) *Hydrodynamic cavitation applied to food waste anaerobic digestion*. Master Thesis. Linköping University.
- Tsukahara, K., Yagishita, T., Ogi, T., Sawayama, S. (1999) Treatment of liquid fraction separated from liquidized food waste in an upflow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering* 87 (4), 554-556. doi.org/10.1016/S1389-1723(99)80112-1
- USEPA, 2016. US Environmental Protection Agency. AgSTAR Data and Trends – Anaerobic digester facts and trends <[https://www.epa.gov/agstar/agstar-dataand-](https://www.epa.gov/agstar/agstar-dataand)
- Vaccari, D.A., Storm, P.F., Alleman, J.E. (2006) *Environmental biology for engineers and scientists*. Wiley Interscience
- Valladao, A.B.G., Freire, D.M.G., Cammarota, M.C. (2007). Enzymatic prehydrolysis applied to the anaerobic treatment of effluents from poultry slaughterhouses. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation* 60, 219–225. doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2007.03.005
- Valo, A., Carrère, H., Delgenès, J.P. (2004) Thermal, chemical and thermo-chemical pretreatment of waste activated sludge for anaerobic digestion. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology* 79, 1197-2203. doi.org/10.1002/jctb.1106
- Valo, A., Carrère, H., Delgenès, J.P. (2004) Thermal, chemical and thermo-chemical pretreatment of waste activated sludge for anaerobic digestion. *Journal of*

- Van Lier, J.B. (2008) High-rate anaerobic wastewater treatment: diversifying from end-of-the-pipe treatment to resource-oriented conversion techniques. *Water Science & Technology* 57(8),1137–1148. doi: 10.2166/wst.2008.040.
- Vavilin, V.A., Fernandez, B., Palatsi, J., Flotats, X. (2008) Hydrolysis kinetics in anaerobic degradation of particulate organic material: An overview. *Waste Management* 28 (6), 939-951. doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2007.03.028
- Vavilin, V.A., Lokshina, L.Y. (1996) Modeling of volatile fatty acids degradation kinetics and evaluation of microorganism activity. *Bioresource Technology* 57 (1), 69–80. doi.org/10.1016/0960-8524(96)00052-1
- Vavouraki, A.I., Angelis, E.M., Kornaro, M. (2013) Optimization of thermo-chemical hydrolysis of kitchen wastes. *Waste Management* 33 (3), 740–745. doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2012.07.012
- Veeken, A., Kalyuzhnyi, S., Scharff, H., and Hamelers, B. (2000). Effect of pH and VFA on hydrolysis of organic solid waste. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 126(12), 1076-1081
- Ventura, J.R.S., Lee, J., Jahng, D. (2014) A comparative study on the alternating mesophilic and thermophilic two-stage anaerobic digestion of food waste. *Journal of Environmental Science* 26 (6), 1274–1283. doi: 10.1016/S1001-0742(13)60599-9.
- Verma, S. (2002) *Anaerobic digestion of biodegradable organics in municipal solid wastes*. Master Thesis. Columbia University.
- Viswanath, P., Sumithra Devi, S. & Nand, K. (1991) Anaerobic digestion of fruit and vegetable processing wastes for biogas production. *Bioresource Technology* 40 (1), 43- 48. doi.org/10.1016/0960-8524(92)90117-G
- Viturtia-Mtz, A., Alvarez, J.M., Cecchi, F. (1995) Two-phase continuous anaerobic digestion of fruit and vegetable wastes. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 13 (3-4), 257–267. doi.org/10.1016/0921-3449(94)00048-A
- Voelklein, M.A., Jacob, A., O' Shea, R., Murphy, J.D., (2016). Assessment of increasing loading rate on two-stage digestion of food waste. *Bioresource Technology* 202, 172-180. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.12.001
- Wang L, Mattsson M, Rundstedt J, Karlsson N. *Different pretreatments to enhance biogas production*. Master of Science Thesis, Halmstad University; 2011.
- Wang Q., Kuninobu M., Ogawa H. I., Kato Y. (1999) Degradation of volatile fatty acids in highly efficient anaerobic digestion. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 16 (6), 407 - 416. doi.org/10.1016/S0961-9534(99)00016-1

- Wang Y., Y Zhang., Wang J. and Meng L. (2009) Effects of volatile fatty acid concentrations on methane yield and methanogenic bacteria. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 33 (5), 848-853. doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2009.01.007
- Wang, F., Lu, S and Ji, M., (2006b). Components of released liquid from ultrasonic waste activated sludge disintegration. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry* 13 (4), 334-338. doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2005.04.008
- Wang, F., Wang, Y., and Ji, M. (2005) Mechanisms and kinetic models for ultrasonic waste activated sludge disintegration. *Journal Hazardous Material* 123 (1-3), 145–150. doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.03.033
- Wang, J. Y., Xu, H. L., and Tay, J. H. (2002). A hybrid two-phase system for anaerobic digestion of food waste. *Water Science and Technology* 45 (12), 159-165.
- Wang, J.Y., Liu, X.Y., Kao, J.C. and Stabnikova, O., (2006). Digestion of pre-treated food waste in a hybrid anaerobic solid-liquid (HASL) system. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology* 81, 345-351. doi.org/10.1002/jctb.1401
- Wang, L. (2011) *Different pretreatments to enhance biogas production*. Master Thesis, Halmstad University, Sweden
- Wang, M., Sun, X., Li, P., Yin, L., Liu, D., Zhang, Y., Li, W., Zheng, G. (2014). A novel alternate feeding mode for semi-continuous anaerobic co-digestion of food waste with chicken manure. *Bioresource Technology* 164, 309–314. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.04.077
- Wang, X., Zhao, Y. (2009). A bench scale study of fermentative hydrogen and methane production from food waste in integrated two-stage process. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 34 (1), 245–254. doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2008.09.100
- Wang, Z.W., Li, Y.B. (2014) A theoretical derivation of the Contois equation for kinetic modeling of the microbial degradation of insoluble substrates. *Biochemical Engineering Journal* 82, 134-138. doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2013.11.002
- Ward, A.J., Hobbs, P.J., Holliman, P.J., Jones, D.L. (2008) Optimization of the anaerobic digestion of agricultural resources. *Bioresource Technology* 99 (17), 7928-7940. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2008.02.044
- Weemaes, M., Grootaerd, H., Simeons, F., Verstraete, W. (2000). Anaerobic digestion of ozonized biosolids. *Water Research* 34 (8), 2330-2336. doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(99)00373-5
- Wett, B., Phothilangka, P., Eladawy, A. (2010) Systematic comparison of mechanical and thermal sludge disintegration technologies. *Waste Management* 30, 1057–1062. doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2009.12.011

- Wiedemann, L., Conti, F., Janus, T., Sonnleitner, M., Zomer, W., Goldbrunner, M., (2017) Mixing in biogas digesters and development of an artificial substrate for laboratory-scale mixing optimization. *Chemical Engineering & Technology* 40, 238-247. doi.org/10.1002/ceat.201600194
- Wijekoon, K.C., Visvanathan, C., Abeynayaka, A. (2011) Effect of organic loading rate on VFA production, organic matter removal and microbial activity of a two-stage thermophilic anaerobic membrane bioreactor. *Bioresource Technology* 102, 5353–5360. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.12.081
- Wilkie, A.J. (2005) Anaerobic Digestion : Biology and Benefits. Proceedings Dairy Manure Management Conference. Cornell University. March 2008.
- Wong, L.P., Isa, M.H., Bashir, M.J.K. (2018) Disintegration of palm oil mill effluent organic solids by ultrasonication: optimization by response surface methodology. *Process Safety and Environment Protection* 114, 123-132. doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2017.12.012
- Wu, B. (2014). CFD simulation of gas mixing in anaerobic digesters. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 109, 278-286. doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2014.10.007
- Wu, B., (2013). Advances in the use of CFD to characterize, design and optimize bioenergy systems. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 93, 195-208. doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2012.05.008.
- Wu, L.J., Kobayashi, T., Kuramochi, H., Li, Y.Y., Xu, K.Q. (2016). Improved biogas production from food waste by co-digestion with de-oiled grease trap waste. *Bioresource Technology* 201, 237–244. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.11.061
- Wu, Q., Guo, W., Yang, S., Luo, H., Peng, S., Ren, N. (2015). Effects of ultrasonic and acid pretreatment on food waste disintegration and volatile fatty acid production. *Journal of Harbin Institute of Technology (New Series)* 22 (3), 1-6 doi:10.11916/j.issn.1005-9113.2015.03.001
- Xu, J., Yuan, H., Lin, J, Yuan, W. (2014). Evaluation of thermal, thermal-alkaline, alkaline and electrochemical pretreatments on sludge to enhance anaerobic biogas production. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers* 45, 2531–2536. doi.org/10.1016/j.jtice.2014.05.029
- Xu, S.Y., Karthikeyan, O.P., Selvam, A., Wong, J.W.C. (2012). Effect of inoculum to substrate ratio on the hydrolysis and acidification of food waste in leach bed reactor. *Bioresource Technology* 126, 425–430. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.12.059
- Yacob, S., Shirai, Y., Hassan, M.A., Wakisaka, M., Subash, S. (2006). Start-up operation of semi-commercial closed anaerobic digester for palm oil mill effluent treatment. *Process Biochemistry*. 41, 962–964. doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2005.10.021

- Yadvika, T. R., Sreekrishnan, K., Sangeeta, V., Rana, A. (2004) Enhancement of biogas production from solid substrates using different techniques - A review. *Bioresource Technology* 95 (1), 1-10. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2004.02.010
- Yan Y., Feng L., Zhang C., Zhu H., Zhou Q. (2010) Effect of ultrasonic specific energy on waste activated sludge solubilization and enzyme activity. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 9 (12), 1776-1782. dx.doi.org/10.5897/AJB10.1279
- Yang, L., Huang, Y., Zhao, M. et al. (2015). Enhancing biogas generation performance from food wastes by high-solids thermophilic anaerobic digestion: effect of pH adjustment. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation* 105, 153–159. doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2015.09.005
- Yang, Z., Koh, S. K., Ng, W. C., Lim, R. C. J., Tan, H. T. W., Tong, Y. W., Wang, C.H. (2016). Potential application of gasification to recycle food waste and rehabilitate acidic soil from secondary forests on degraded land in Southeast Asia. *Journal of Environmental Management* 172, 40–48. doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.02.020
- Yen, H.W., Brune, D. E. (2007) Anaerobic co-digestion of algal sludge and waste paper to produce methane. *Bioresource Technology* 98 (1), 130 –134. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2005.11.010
- Yeneneh, A.M., Chong, S.H, Sen, T.K., Ang, H.M., Kayaalp, A. (2013). Effect of ultrasonic, microwave and combined microwave–ultrasonic pretreatment of municipal sludge on anaerobic digester performance. *Water Air Soil Pollution* 224, 7-11. doi: 10.1007/s11270-013-1559-4
- Yeneneh, A.M., Kayaalp, A., Sen, T.K., Ang, H.M. (2015) Effect of microwave and combined microwave-ultrasonic pretreatment on anaerobic digestion of mixed real sludge. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering* 3, 2514–2521. doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2015.09.003
- Yin, X., Han, P., Lu, X., Wang, Y. (2004). A review on the dewaterability of bio-sludge and ultrasound pretreatment. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry* 11 (6), 337–348. doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2004.02.005
- Yin, Y., Liu, Y., Meng, S., Kiran, E.U., Liu, Y. (2016). Enzymatic pretreatment of activated sludge, food waste and their mixture for enhanced bioenergy recovery and waste volume reduction via anaerobic digestion. *Applied Energy* 179, 1131-1137. doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.07.083
- Yirong, C., Heaven, S., Banks, C.J. (2015). Effect of a trace element addition strategy on volatile fatty acid accumulation in thermophilic anaerobic digestion of food waste. *Waste Biomass Valorization* 6 (1), 1–12. doi.org/10.1007/s12649-014-9327-2
- Yong, Z., Dong, Y., Zhang, X., Tan, T. (2015). Anaerobic co-digestion of food waste and straw for biogas production. *Renewable Energy* 78, 527-530. doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.01.033

- Yu, S., Zhang, G., Li, J., Zhao, Z., Kang, X. (2013). Effect of endogenous hydrolytic enzymes pretreatment on the anaerobic digestion of sludge. *Bioresource Technology* 146, 758-761. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2013.07.087 .
- Zaher, U., Cheong, D.Y., Wu, B., Chen, S. (2007) Producing energy and fertilizer from organic municipal solid wastes. Olympia, WA: Department of Biological Systems Engineering, WSU. Retrieved from: <http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/swfa/solidwastedata/>
- Zaiat, M., Rodrigues, J.A.D., Ratusznei, S.M., de Camargo, E.F.M., Borzani, W. (2001) Anaerobic sequencing batch reactors for wastewater treatment: a developing technology. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 55(1), 29–35.
- Zakarya, I.A. (2010) *Performance of enterococcus faecalis in the production of methane using single and double phase reactors*. PhD Thesis. Universiti Sains Malaysia.
- Zakarya, I.A., Abustan, I., Ismail, N., Izhar, T.N.T., Yusuf, S.Y., Sandu, A.V. (2016) A study on relationship between volatile fatty acids concentrations and methane gas production in two-phase reactor. *REV.CHIM.(Bucharest)* 67 (4), 774-778.
- Zakarya, I.A., Tajarudin, H.A., Abustan, I., Ismail, N. (2008) Relationship between methane production and chemical oxygen demand (COD) in anaerobic digestion of food waste. Proceedings International Conference on Construction and Building Technology, Universiti Tenaga Nasional, Selangor. 2008
- Zamanzadeh, M., Hagen, L.H., Svensson, K., Linjordet, R., Horn, S.J. (2016). Anaerobic digestion of food waste—effect of recirculation and temperature on performance and microbiology. *Water Research* 96, 246-254. doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.03.058
- Zeynali, R., Khojastehpour, M., Nik, M.E. (2017) Effect of ultrasonic pretreatment on biogas yield and specific energy in anaerobic digestion of fruit and vegetable wholesale market wastes. *Sustainable Environment Research* 27 (6), 259-264. doi.org/10.1016/j.serj.2017.07.001
- Zhang, C., Su, H., Baeyens, J., & Tan, T. (2014). Reviewing the anaerobic digestion of food waste for biogas production. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 38, 383–392. doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.05.038
- Zhang, C., Su, H., Tan, T. (2013a). Batch and semi-continuous anaerobic digestion of food waste in a dual solid–liquid system. *Bioresource Technology* 145, 10–16. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.03.030
- Zhang, C., Su, H., Wang, Z., Tan, T., Qin, P. (2015) Biogas by semi-continuous anaerobic digestion of food waste. *Applied Biochemical Biotechnology* 175, 3901-3914. doi.org/10.1007/s12010-015-1559-5

- Zhang, L., & Jahng, D. (2012). Long-term anaerobic digestion of food waste stabilized by trace elements. *Waste Management* 32 (8), 1509–1515. doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2012.03.015
- Zhang, L., Lee, Y.W., Jahng, D. (2012). Ammonia stripping for enhanced biomethanization of piggery wastewater. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 199–200, 36– 42. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.10.049
- Zhang, L., Lee, Y.W., Jahng, D. (2011) Anaerobic co-digestion of food waste and piggery wastewater: Focusing on the role of trace elements. *Bioresource Technology* 102 (8), 5048–5059. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.01.082
- Zhang, R., El Mashad, H.M., Hartman, K., Wang, F., Liu, G., Choate, C., Gamble, P. (2007). Characterization of food waste as feedstock for anaerobic digestion. *Bioresource Technology* 98 (4), 929-935. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2006.02.039
- Zhang, R., Zhang, Z. (1999) Biogasification of rice straw with an anaerobic-phased solids digester system. *Bioresource Technology* 68, 235-245. doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(98)00154-0
- Zhang, W., Wu, S., Guo, J., Zhou, J., Dong, R., (2015a). Performance and kinetic evaluation of semi-continuously fed anaerobic digesters treating food waste: role of trace elements. *Bioresource Technology* 178, 297–305. doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.08.046
- Zhang, W., Zhang, L., Li, A. (2015b). Anaerobic co-digestion of food waste with MSW incineration plant fresh leachate: process performance and synergistic effects. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 259, 795–805. doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2014.08.039
- Zhang, Y., Banks, C.J. (2013) Impact of different particle size distributions on anaerobic digestion of the organic fraction of municipal solid waste. *Waste Management* 33, 297–307. doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2012.09.024
- Zhang, Z.L., Zhang, L., Zhou, Y.L., Chen, J.C., Liang, Y.M., Wei, L. (2013b). Pilot scale operation of enhanced anaerobic digestion of nutrient-deficient municipal sludge by ultrasonic pretreatment and co-digestion of kitchen garbage. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering* 1 (1-2), 73–78. doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2013.03.008
- Zhao, M.X., Ruan, W.Q. (2013) Biogas performance from co-digestion of Taihu algae and kitchen wastes. *Energy Conversion and Management* 75, 21–24. doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2013.05.037
- Zhen, G., Lu, X., Li, Y.Y., Zhao, Y. (2014). Combined electrical-alkali pretreatment to increase the anaerobic hydrolysis rate of waste activated sludge during anaerobic digestion. *Applied Energy* 128, 93–102. doi.org/10.1016/j.jtice.2014.05.029
- Zou, S., Wang, X., Chen, Y., Wan, H., Feng, Y. (2016) Enhancement of biogas production in anaerobic co-digestion by ultrasonic pretreatment. *Energy*



© COPYRIGHT UPM

BIODATA OF STUDENT

Nor Habsah Binti Md Sabiani was born on February 13, 1977 in Bagan Serai, Perak, Malaysia. She obtained her primary school education from Sekolah Kebangsaan Trolak Timur, Trolak, Perak. She received her secondary education at Sekolah Menengah Abdul Rahman Talib, Kuantan, Pahang and Maktab Rendah Sains MARA Taiping, Perak. Then, she continued her higher education at Universiti Sains Malaysia, Engineering Campus, Tronoh, Perak from 1997-2000 and has conferred a degree in Bachelor of Civil Engineering. She received her Master Degree in Environmental Engineering at Universiti Sains Malaysia in 2009. From November 2000 onwards she works as a Tutor then as a Research Officer at Universiti Sains Malaysia. In the field of research, she has deep interest in solid waste management and treatment techniques, wastewater treatment, leachate treatment and water treatment.



LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

N.H.M Sabiani, A. Idris, W.A.W.A.K Ghani & A.S Baharuddin (2015) The Effect of Ultrasonic Energy on the Enhancement of the Biodegradability of Food Waste, *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization and Environmental Effects*, 37:13, 1397-1405, doi:10.1080.15567036.2014.915362

N.H.M Sabiani, W.A.W.A.K Ghani, A. Idris, & A.S Baharuddin (2019) Characterization of food waste as a feedstock for methane production via anaerobic digestion: Malaysia perspective. *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization and Environmental Effects*. Article submitted





UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT
AND COPYRIGHT**

ACADEMIC SESSION : _____

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT :

**PRETREATMENT STUDY OF FOOD WASTE USING SONICATION FOR
ENHANCEMENT OF METHANE PRODUCTION**

NAME OF STUDENT :

NOR HABSAH BINTI MD SABIANI

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as:

*Please tick (✓)

CONFIDENTIAL (Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

RESTRICTED (Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

OPEN ACCESS I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for:

PATENT

Embargo from _____ until _____
(date) (date)

Approved by:

(Signature of Student)
New IC No/ Passport No.:

(Signature of Chairman
of Supervisory Committee)
Name:

Date :

Date :

[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentially or restricted].

