



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**DEVELOPMENT OF A HYBRID MACHINE LEARNING MODEL FOR
ROCKFALL SOURCE AND HAZARD ASSESSMENT USING LASER
SCANNING DATA AND GIS**

ALI MUTAR FANOS

FK 2019 122



**DEVELOPMENT OF A HYBRID MACHINE LEARNING MODEL FOR
ROCKFALL SOURCE AND HAZARD ASSESSMENT USING LASER
SCANNING DATA AND GIS**

By

ALI MUTAR FANOS

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

August 2019

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

DEVELOPMENT OF A HYBRID MACHINE LEARNING MODEL FOR ROCKFALL SOURCE AND HAZARD ASSESSMENT USING LASER SCANNING DATA AND GIS

By

ALI MUTAR FANOS

August 2019

Chairman : Professor Shattri Mansor, PhD
Faculty : Engineering

In mountainous and hilly areas such as Malaysia, rockfalls phenomena is a significant and ongoing threat to people and their properties in addition to infrastructure and transportation lines located within steep terrain. This is because such incidence can cause serious injuries and fatalities as well as severe damage to buildings and infrastructure. Therefore, proper and accurate assessment of rockfall sources and hazard is required in order to map and thus understand the characteristics of rockfall catastrophe. The identification of probable rockfall starting regions, the calculation of the rockfall trajectories in complex three-dimensional terrain, and rockfall hazard assessment are three major components of the rockfall research and issues. Although the numerous significant attempts to propose models that can accurately identify potential rockfall source areas, one major problem remain unsolved. This issue is when the focus area contains other types of landslides that have nearly similar geomorphometric characteristics such as rockfall and shallow landslides. Therefore, this research adopted various methods to investigate, analyze and assess rockfall in terms of sources identification, trajectories modeling and their characteristics, and consequently rockfall hazard. This is based on high-resolution Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) techniques both airborne and terrestrial (ALS and TLS). Different machine learning algorithms (Artificial Neural Network [ANN], K Nearest Neighbor [KNN] and Support Vector Machine [SVM]) were tested individually and with various ensemble models (bagging, voting, and boosting) to detect the probability of the landslide and rockfall occurrences. Consequently, a novel hybrid model is developed to identify potential rockfall sources in the presence of shallow landslides. This is based on an integration of Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM) and an ensemble Artificial Neural Network (Bagged ANN -BANN) for automatic detection of potential rockfall sources at Kinta Valley area, Malaysia. Moreover, a developed 3D rockfall model is

employed to derive rockfall trajectories and their characteristics in three different areas within Kinta Valley namely (Gunung Lang, Gua Tambun, and Gunung Rapat) with various scenarios. In addition, a proposed spatial model in combination with fuzzy analytical hierarchy process (fuzzy-AHP) is executed within the geographic information system (GIS) environment to extract rockfall hazard. Mitigation measures are suggested based on the modelling results. Overall, the proposed hybrid model was found to be an efficient method for identifying potential rockfall source areas in the presence of other landslides types with relatively high prediction accuracy and a good generalization performance. GMM could reproduce the slope angle distribution in an accurate way with a coefficient of determination close to 1. The obtained slope thresholds through GMM were (23° to 58°) for landslide and ($> 58^{\circ}$) for rockfall. The results of Ant Colony Optimization show that best subset of conditioning factors contains 12 factors of 17 for rockfall with an accuracy of (86%) and 14 factors of 17 for shallow landslide with an accuracy of (82%). The proposed BANN model achieved the best training accuracies of (95%) and best prediction accuracies of (92%) based on testing data compared to other employed methods. This indicates that the model can be generalized and replicated in different regions and the proposed method can be applied in various landslides studies. The result of Fuzzy-AHP revealed the rockfall hazard is highly affected by kinetic energy, frequency, bouncing height, and impact location with weights of (0.48, 0.30, 0.12, and 0.10), respectively. In addition, the proposed spatial model effectively delineates areas at risk of rockfalls. The suggested barriers could effectively reduce the degree of rockfalls hazard. In summary, the proposed methods provide a comprehensive understanding of rockfall hazards that can assist authorities to develop proper management and protection of urban areas and transportation corridors.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PEMBANGUNAN SEBUAH MODEL PEMBELAJARAN MESIN HIBRID
UNTUK SUMBER RUNTUHAN BATU DAN PENAKSIRAN BENCANA
MENGUNAKAN DATA PENGIMBAS LASER DAN GIS**

Oleh

ALI MUTAR FANOS

Ogos 2019

Pengerusi : Profesor Shattri Mansor, PhD
Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Di kawasan bergunung-ganang dan berbukit-bukit seperti Malaysia, fenomena runtuhan batu adalah signifikan dan merupakan ancaman yang berterusan kepada manusia dan harta benda mereka, juga pada infrastruktur dan jalan pengangkutan yang terletak di terain yang cerun. Hal ini disebabkan fenomena ini boleh mengakibatkan kecederaan yang serius dan juga kematian di samping kerosakan yang teruk pada bangunan dan infrastruktur. Oleh sebab itu, penaksiran yang wajar dan tepat mengenai sumber runtuhan batu dan bencana adalah perlu bagi pemetaan dan dengan itu dapat memahami ciri katastrofi runtuhan batu. Pengenalpastian kawasan bermulanya runtuhan batu yang mungkin terjadi, pengiraan trajektori runtuhan batu di terain tiga dimensi yang kompleks dan penaksiran bencana runtuhan batu merupakan tiga komponen utama dalam penyelidikan dan masalah runtuhan batu. Walaupun pelbagai percubaan yang signifikan bagi mengesyorkan model yang secara tepat dapat mengenal pasti kawasan sumber runtuhan batu yang berpotensi, satu masalah utama masih belum dapat diselesaikan. Isu ini ialah apabila kawasan fokus mengandungi pelbagai jenis tanah runtuh lain yang mempunyai ciri geomorfometrik yang seakan-akan sama seperti runtuhan batu dan tanah runtuh yang cetek. Oleh sebab itu, penyelidikan ini menerima pakai pelbagai kaedah bagi menyelidiki, menganalisis dan menilai runtuhan batu dari segi pengenalpastian sumber, modeling trajektori dan ciri mereka, dan seterusnya bencana runtuhan batu. Hal ini berdasarkan teknik Penjulatan dan Pengesanan Cahaya beresolusi tinggi (LIDAR) yang meliputi kedua-dua udara dan darat (ALS dan TLS). Algoritma pembelajaran mesin yang berbeza (Rangkaian Neural Artifisial [ANN], K Jiran Terdekat [KNN], dan Mesin Vektor Bantuan [SVM]) telah diuji secara individu dan dengan pelbagai model ensemble (pengantungan, pengundian, dan penggalakan) bagi mengesan kebarangkalian kewujudan kejadian tanah runtuh dan runtuhan batu. Akibatnya, suatu model hibrid yang

novel telah dibangunkan bagi mengenal pasti sumber runtuh batu yang berpotensi dengan kewujudan tanah runtuh yang cetek. Hal ini berdasarkan Model Percampuran Gaussian (GMM) dan Rangkaian Neural Artifisial yang diensembel (Kantung ANN -BANN) bagi pengesanan automatik bagi sumber runtuh batu yang berpotensi di kawasan Lembah Kinta, Malaysia. Tambahan pula, model runtuh batu 3D yang berpotensi telah digunakan bagi mendapatkan trajektori runtuh batu dan ciri mereka di tiga kawasan yang berbeza di Lembah Kinta, iaitu (Gunung Lang, Gua Tambun, dan Gunung Rapat) dengan pelbagai senario. Di samping itu, suatu model spatial yang disyorkan berkombinasi dengan proses hierarki analitikal fuzi (fuzzy-AHP) telah digunakan dalam persekitaran sistem maklumat geografik (GIS) bagi mengekstrak bencana runtuh batu. Pengukuran mitigasi telah disyorkan berdasarkan dapatan modeling. Keseluruhannya, model hibrid yang disyorkan didapati sebagai suatu kaedah yang efisien bagi mengenal pasti kawasan sumber runtuh batu yang berpotensi di samping kewujudan jenis tanah runtuh lain dengan ketepatan ramalan yang secara relatif adalah tinggi dan suatu prestasi generalisasi yang baik. GMM dapat menghasilkan semula penyebaran sudut lereng dengan cara yang tepat dengan koefisien determinasi hampir pada 1. Ambang lereng diperolehi melalui GMM ialah (23° hingga 58°) bagi tanah runtuh dan ($> 58^{\circ}$) bagi runtuh batu. Dapatan Pengoptimalan Koloni Semut menunjukkan bahawa subset faktor pelaziman terbaik mengandungi 12 faktor, iaitu 17 bagi runtuh batu dengan ketepatan (86%) dan 14 faktor daripada 17 bagi tanah runtuh dengan ketepatan (82%). Model BANN yang disyorkan memperolehi ketepatan latihan terbaik sebanyak (95%) dan ketepatan ramalan terbaik (92%) berdasarkan data pengujian berbanding dengan kaedah lain yang digunakan. Dapatan ini memperlihatkan model tersebut dapat digeneralisasi dan direplikasikan di kawasan yang berbeza dan kaedah yang disyorkan dapat diaplikasikan dalam pelbagai kajian mengenai runtuh batu. Dapatan Fuzzy-AHP memperlihatkan bencana runtuh batu amat disebabkan oleh tenaga kinetik, kekerapan, ketinggian lantunan, dan lokasi impak dengan keberatan masing-masing (0.48, 0.30, 0.12, dan 0.10). Di samping itu, model spatial yang disyorkan secara efektif dapat menghalang kawasan yang berisiko runtuh batu. Penghalang yang disyorkan secara efektif dapat mengurangkan kadar bencana runtuh batu. Kesimpulannya, kaedah yang disyorkan dapat memberikan pemahaman yang komprehensif mengenai bencana runtuh batu yang seterusnya dapat membantu pihak berkuasa membangunkan pengurusan dan perlindungan yang sesuai terhadap kawasan bandar dan koridor pengangkutan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I praise ALLAH for his magnificent loving generosity, that has brought all of us to encourage and tell each other and who has pulled us from the darkness to the light.

As always it is impossible to mention everybody who had an impact to this work, however, there are those whose spiritual support is, even more, important. I sense a deep emotion of gratefulness for my father and mother, who taught me good things and established part of my vision that truly affair in life. Their effective support and love have constantly been my strength. Their sacrifice and patience will stay my revelation throughout my life. I am also very much grateful to all my family members for their constant inspiration and encouragement.

My heartfelt thanks to my wife for her moral support. She always helped me out when I got any difficulties regarding all the aspect of life. Again I thank her for standing by my side.

I also take this occasion to express my deep acknowledgement and profound regards to my guide Prof Dr. Shattri Mansor for his ideal guidance, monitoring and continuous motivation during the course of this thesis.

I acknowledge my committee Biswajeet Pradhan, Zainuddin bin Md Yusoff, and Ahmad Fikri bin Abdullah for the valuable information provided by him in their respective fields. I am grateful for their cooperation.

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Ali Mutar Fanos GS48800

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee: Professor Dr. Shattri Mansor

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee: Dr. Zainuddin bin Md Yusoff

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee: Dr. Ahmad Fikri bin Abdullah

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee: Professor Dr. Biswajeet Pradhan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Background of Study	1
1.3 Problem Statement	3
1.4 Research Gaps	4
1.5 Scope of Study	5
1.6 Research Objectives	5
1.7 Research Questions	6
1.8 Motivation behind this Research	6
1.9 Research Limitation	7
1.10 Thesis Organization	7
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Rockfall	9
2.2.1 Rockfall Triggers	10
2.2.2 The Historical Rockfalls Incidents in Kinta Valley	11
2.2.3 Rockfall Mechanics	12
2.2.4 Rockfall Motion Modes	13
2.3 LiDAR Technique	16
2.3.1 System Components	17
2.3.2 LiDAR Accuracy and Resolution	18
2.3.3 LiDAR Data Processing Methods	19
2.3.4 Main LiDAR Data Products Used for Landslide Modeling	22
2.4 Rockfall Protection based on Modeling Support	27
2.5 Rockfall Sources Identification	27
2.6 Rockfall Simulation Models	29
2.6.1 2-D Rockfall Models	29
2.6.2 2.5-D Rockfall Models	30
2.6.3 3-D Rockfall Models	31
2.7 Rockfall Parameters	32
2.8 Rockfall Modeling Approaches	34

2.9	Rockfall Protection Structures	34
2.10	Rockfall Conditioning Factors	36
2.11	Rockfall Hazard	37
	2.11.1 Susceptibility	37
	2.11.2 Frequency	38
	2.11.3 Propagation	38
	2.11.4 Intensity	39
2.12	Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches	39
2.13	Fuzzy-AHP	41
2.14	Machine Learning Algorithms	43
	2.14.1 Support Vector Machin (SVM)	44
	2.14.2 Logistic Regression (LR)	46
	2.14.3 Random Forest (RF)	47
	2.14.4 Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)	48
	2.14.5 Artificial Neural Network (ANN)	49
	2.14.6 Ensemble Method	50
2.15	Validation of Mapping	53
2.16	Summary	54
3	MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY	56
3.1	Introduction	56
3.2	Overall Methodology	56
3.3	Study Area	60
3.4	Data Collection	65
3.5	Used Data	67
	3.5.1 Description of LiDAR Data	67
	3.5.2 Landslide and Rockfall Inventory Map	67
3.6	Software for Modeling Implementation	68
3.7	Generation of DSM and DTM	69
3.8	Conditioning Factors	70
3.9	Optimization of the Conditioning Factors	77
3.10	Rockfall Source Identification	79
	3.10.1 Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)	82
	3.10.2 Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)	83
	3.10.3 K-Nearest Neighbor	84
	3.10.4 Support Vector Machine (SVM)	85
	3.10.5 Ensemble Modeling	86
	3.10.6 Grid Search Optimization of Base Models	87
	3.10.7 Accuracy Metrics	88
	3.10.8 Homogeneous Morphometric Landuse Area (HMLA)	88
	3.10.9 Field Verification	88
3.11	Rockfall Kinematic Modeling	89
	3.11.1 Rockfall Trajectory Modeling	90
	3.11.2 Raster Modeling	96
3.12	Hazard Assessment	98
3.13	Mitigation Processes Suggestions	100
3.14	Summary	103

4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	104
4.1	Introduction	104
4.2	Summary Statistics and Pre-processing	104
4.3	Results of GMM - Slope Angle Distributions	105
4.4	The Result of the Optimized Conditioning Factors	109
4.5	Results of the Hyperparameters Optimization	114
4.6	Models Accuracy Assessment	114
4.7	Results of BANN and Source Identification	117
4.8	Calibration and Parameter Setting of the 3D Rockfall Kinematic Model	120
4.9	In-situ Investigation	122
4.10	Rockfall Trajectories	122
4.11	Rockfall Characteristics	127
4.12	Rockfall Hazard Maps	150
4.13	The Assessment of the Suggested Mitigation Approaches	157
4.14	Summary	177
5	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK RECOMMENDATIONS	178
5.1	General	178
5.2	Conclusion	178
5.3	Recommendation for Future Work	181
	REFERENCES	183
	APPENDICES	208
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	215
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	216

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Examples of Rockfall Occurrences in the Kinta Valley	12
2.2	Existing Rockfall Simulation Models	32
2.3	A Scale of Absolute Numbers Used to Assign Numerical Values to Judgments Made by Comparing Two Elements	42
2.4	Some of The Landslide Studies That Employed Ensemble Model	52
3.1	The Selected Landslide Conditioning Factors	79
3.2	Models Hyperparameters That Were Optimized Via Grid Search Method	87
4.1	The VIF Values Calculated Among the Conditioning Factors in Both Landslide and Rockfall Data Samples	105
4.2	The Slope Angles Distributions Determined by GMM and The Optimal k Values	106
4.3	Selected Conditioning Factors Based on ACO for Each Percent Subset for Shallow Landslide	112
4.4	Selected Conditioning Factors Based on ACO for Each Percent Subset for Rockfall	113
4.5	The Results of Grid Search Optimization of Base Model's Hyperparameters	114
4.6	The Results of BANN Comparisons with Individual and Other Ensemble Models	116
4.7	Comparison of the recently proposed ensemble models	116
4.8	The Calibrated Mechanical Parameters of the Three Study Areas	121

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Landslide Classification Scheme from Cruden and Varnes (1996)	10
2.2	Processes-scale of Probable Rockfall Controls with Respect Their Spatial and Temporal Variability	11
2.3	Rockfall Motion Modes Related to Slope Angle	14
2.4	Components of Typical Airborne Lidar System	17
2.5	Components of Typical Terrestrial and Mobile Lidar System	18
2.6	Main Steps for Slope Calculation. (a) An Example of Lidar Point Clouds, (b) Interpolated Grid, and (c) Definition of Variables for Calculating Slope On a Single Facet	23
2.7	Examples of Geomorphic Parameters Derived from Lidar Data (a) Altitude, (b) Aspect, (c) Slope, and (d) Curvature	25
2.8	Rockfall Activities Zone (Release, Translation and Deposit Zone)	30
2.9	Rockfall Barrier Systems and Their Energy Rating (ASTRA, 1998)	35
2.10	Flexible Rockfall Barrier Being Impacted by A Rock	36
2.11	Principles of Support Vector Machines	45
2.12	An Example of ROC Plots and The Area Under Curve (AUC)	54
3.1	Overall Methodology	59
3.2	The Maine Study Area	60
3.3	The Climate Condition of the Study Area	62
3.4	The Sub-Study Areas (a) Gunung Lang, (b) Gua Tambun, and (c) Gunung Rapat	64
3.5	The Topographic Maps of Sub-Study Areas (a) Gunung Lang, (b) Gua Tambun, and (c) Gunung Rapat	65

3.6	Field Photos Showing in-Situ Data Collection Using a Terrestrial FARO Laser Scanner	66
3.7	Inventory Data of Landslide and Rockfall Incidents	68
3.8	Landslide and Rockfall Conditioning Factors (a) Altitude, (b) Slope, (c) Aspect, and (d) Curvature	73
3.9	The Workflow of ACO-based Factors Selection	78
3.10	The Overall Flowchart of the Developed Hybrid Model for Identifying Potential Rockfall Source Areas	81
3.11	A Multi-Layered Feedforward Neural Network	84
3.12	The Selected Locations for Field Validation	89
3.13	The Workflow of Rockfall Kinematic Modeling	90
3.14	Frictional Rolling of a Sphere on a Plane	93
3.15	Workflow Involving Four Steps of a Rockfall Spatial Frequency Raster Generation	98
3.16	The Development of the Spatial Modelling for Rockfall Hazard Map Production	100
3.17	The Proposed Mitigation Processes in (a) Gunung Lang, (b) Gua Tambun, and (c) Gunung Rapat Areas	102
4.1	The Estimated Slope Angle Distributions for (a) Gunung Lang Area, (b) Gua Tambun Area, and (c) Gunung Rapat Area	107
4.2	The Identified Geomorphological Units in (a) Gunung Lang Area, (b) Gua Tambun Area, and (c) Gunung Rapat Area	108
4.3	Evaluation of The Factors Subset Selected by ACO Based On Overall Accuracy for (a) Shallow Landslide and (b) Rockfall	110
4.4	The Probability Maps of Landslide and Rockfall Occurrences Produced Based on BANN Predictions	117
4.5	The Identified Potential Sources of a Landslide in (a) Gunung Lang Area, (b) Gua Tambun Area, and (c) Gunung Rapat Area	118
4.6	The Identified Potential Sources of Rockfall in (a) Gunung Lang Area, (b) Gua Tambun Area, (c) Gunung Rapat Area	119

4.7	The Field Verification for The Selected Locations.	122
4.8	Rockfall Trajectories and Their Distribution in Gunung Lang Area with Rock Diameter of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75 m, And (c) 1.25 m	123
4.9	Rockfall Trajectories and Their Distribution in Gua Tambun Area with Rock Diameter of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75 m, and (c) 1.25 m	125
4.10	Rockfall Trajectories and Their Distribution in Gunung Rapat Area with Rock Diameter of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75 m, and (c) 1.25m	126
4.11	Rockfall Kinetic Energy Distribution at Gunung Lang Area with Rock Diameters of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75 m and (c) 1.25 m	128
4.12	Rockfall Kinetic Energy Distribution at Gua Tambun Area with Rock Diameters of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75 m and (c) 1.25 m	129
4.13	Rockfall Kinetic Energy Distribution at Gunung Rapat Area with Rock Diameters of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75 m and (c) 1.25 m	130
4.14	Rockfall Frequency Distribution at Gunung Lang Site with Rock Diameters of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75 m, and (c) 1.25 m	132
4.15	Rockfall Frequency Distribution at Gua Tambun Site with Rock Diameters of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75 m, and (c) 1.25 m	133
4.16	Rockfall Frequency Distribution at Gunung Rapat Site with Rock Diameters of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75 m, and (c) 1.25 m	134
4.17	Rockfall Bounce Height Distribution at Gunung Lung Site with Rock Diameters of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75 m and (c) 1.25 m	136
4.18	Rockfall Bounce Height Distribution at Gua Tambun Site with Rock Diameters of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75 m and (c) 1.25 m	138
4.19	Rockfall Bounce Height Distribution Gunung Rapat Site with Rock Diameters of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75 m and (c) 1.25 m	140
4.20	Rockfall Impact Point Distribution at Gunung Lang Sites with Rock Diameters of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75, m and (c) 1.25 m	142
4.21	Rockfall Impact Point Distribution at Gua Tambun Sites with Rock Diameters of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75, m and (c) 1.25 m	143

4.22	Rockfall Impact Point Distribution at Gunung Rapat Sites with Rock Diameters of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75, m and (c) 1.25 m	144
4.23	Rockfall Velocity Distribution at Gunung Rapat Site with Rock Diameters of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75 m, and (c) 1.25 m	146
4.24	Rockfall Velocity Distribution at Gua Tambun Site with Rock Diameters of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75 m, and (c) 1.25 m	147
4.25	Rockfall Velocity Distribution at Gunung Rapat z Site with Rock Diameters of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75 m, and (c) 1.25 m	149
4.26	Rockfall Hazard Maps at Gunung Lang Site with Rock Diameters of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75 m and (c) 1.25 m	152
4.27	Rockfall Hazard Maps at Gua Tambun Site with Rock Diameters of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75 m and (c) 1.25 m	154
4.28	Rockfall Hazard Maps at Gunung Rapat Site with Rock Diameters of (a) 0.35 m, (b) 0.75 m and (c) 1.25 m	156
4.29	The Suggested Mitigation Processes in The Three Study Areas (a) Gunung Lang, (b) Gua Tambun, and (c) Gunung Rapat	158
4.30	The Proposed Barrier Assessment in Gunung Lang area with Rock Diameter of (0.35 m) (a) Energy, (b) Velocity, (c) Height, and (d) Time	160
4.31	The Proposed Barrier Assessment in Gunung Lang area with Rock Diameter of (0.75 m) (a) Energy, (b) Velocity, (c) Height, and (d) Time	162
4.32	The Proposed Barrier Assessment in Gunung Lang area with Rock Diameter of (1.25 m) (a) Energy, (b) Velocity, (c) Height, and (d) Time	164
4.33	The Proposed Barrier Assessment in Gua Tambun Area with Rock Diameter of (0.35 m) (a) Energy, (b) Velocity, (c) Height, and (d) Time	166
4.34	The Proposed Barrier Assessment in Gua Tambun Area with Rock Diameter of (0.75 m) (a) Energy, (b) Velocity, (c) Height, and (d) Time	168
4.35	The Proposed Barrier Assessment in Gua Tambun Area with Rock Diameter of (1.25 m) (a) Energy, (b) Velocity, (c) Height, and (d) Time	170

4.36	The Existing Fence Assessment in Guaung Rapat Area with Rock Diameter of (0.35 m) (a) Energy, (b) Velocity, (c) Height, and (d) Time	172
4.37	The Existing Fence Assessment in Guaung Rapat Area with Rock Diameter of (0.75 m) (a) Energy, (b) Velocity, (c) Height, and (d) Time	174
4.38	The Existing Fence Assessment in Guaung Rapat Area with Rock Diameter of (1.25 m) (a) Energy, (b) Velocity, (c) Height, and (d) Time	176



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

LiDAR	Light Detection and Ranging
DTM	Digital Terrain Model
ALS	Airborne Laser Scanning
TLS	Terrestrial Laser Scanning
GMM	Gaussian Mixture Model
ANN	Artificial Neural Network
KNN	K Nearest Neighbour
SVM	Support Vector Machine
ACO	Ant Colony Optimization
BANN	Bagged Artificial Neural Network
ROC	Receiver Operating Curves
MCC	Multiscale Curvature Algorithm
LULC	Land Use / Land Cover
SPI	Stream Power Index
STI	Sediment Transport Index
TRI	Topographic Roughness Index
TWI	Topographic Wetness Index
AUC	Area Under the Curve
Rn	Normal Coefficient of Restitution
Rt	Tangential Coefficient of Restitution
AHP	Analytic Hierarchy Process

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Rockfall is one of the catastrophes which threaten the human's life and properties in mountainous and hilly regions such as Malaysia with steep and high elevation topography. Rockfall is categorized as one of the landslides types that composes of a boulder detachment or many isolated blocks from a sub-vertical or vertical cliffs followed by fast downslope movement with different motion mode: flying or free-falling, impact and bouncing, sliding and rolling (Varnes, 1978). Rockfall is extraordinarily fast process and can run long distance. Although rockfall has a low risk level on economic in comparison with large scale landslide, the high velocity correlated with rockfall results in the same fatalities number as the number of people killed by all other landslide types on the same order of magnitude (Hoek, 2007). While a rockfall event is happening, people are normally incapable to take an evasive behavior because of the rapid movement. Therefore, the risks of injuries and loss of lives is excessively high. Thus, rockfall incidents are the main reason of landslides casualties, even when elements with lower exposure degree are included like traffic along transportation ways (Fanos and Pradhan, 2018). Moreover, rockfall can cause serious damage to lifelines buildings and infrastructure. An efficient and simple way to minimize probable destruction from natural hazard, such as rockfall is to make better land management via accurate land use (LU) designing relying on hazards delineation maps (Hung, 2018). This is significant for the selection and setting priorities of proper mitigation processes (Wohlert et al., 2017). Utilizing hazard zoning and mapping methods has become essential for the planning of land management for two major causes. First, the recent obvious changes in the climatic have excessively increased rockfall frequency events. Second, growth of population results in urban sprawl and a subsequent increase in the number of regions at risk (Ravanel and Deline, 2011).

1.2 Background of Study

Rockfalls are rock movement from a very steep slope that the rock continually moving down the slope. Rockfall is a frequent phenomenon on steep terrain or excavated/constructed slopes that are exposed to erosion and weathering. Rockfall includes free fall or flying, bouncing, rolling, and sliding causing one of the main geologic hazard in Malaysia (Simon et al., 2015). Rockfalls occurrence is high in Kinta Valley which is characterized by steep slopes of few hundred meters.

Most of the rockfalls in Kinta Valley that result in serious damage involves massive beds of limestone and granite (Lai et al., 2017). Rockfalls pose a massive risk to traffic safety, cause maintenance issues, and exert a continual exertion on the available limited maintenance funds amount. This produced a considerable agreement of management-related and scientific interest, and the current thesis is one of this situation outcome. This research aims to develop a novel model for rockfall source identification in presence of the other landslide types. In addition, this research strives to calibrate and test a sophisticated 3D rockfall model to provide a reliable tool for future rockfall hazard assessment. The first research of rockfall behavior has been carried out by Ritchie (1963) for the Washington State Highway Commission. Since then many researches have been performed in several countries to define the rockfall trajectories and their characteristics and to design the rockfall mitigation processes as well.

Rockfall has developed into a topic with enormous economic significance, especially for the agencies of transportation. The economic attention combines with extraordinary growth in the capability of the rockfall phenomenon evaluation and the modern techniques for designing and constructing rockfall mitigation measures, has resulted in the necessity of a much more comprehensive assessment of rockfall phenomenon than in earlier researches. Developed understanding of such physical process assists engineers and scientists to improve accurate analytical models for rockfall evaluation as a hazard to people and their properties (Hung, 2005), that decision makers can thus combine in land-use planning for the risk minimizing.

Landslide and rockfall controlled by various conditioning factors. On the other hand, the distribution of rockfall trajectories and deposits is highly controlled by topography, block physical properties (size, shape, and geology), the dynamics of block (velocity, impact, and bounce height) (Wyllie, 2014). Rockfall 3D models to some extent can consider all the above mentioned processes through an algorithm, normally parameterized in a user interface where the effects of specific site can be calibrated. Algorithms calibration to reality is a fundamental process that can be done through field checks and user expertise or back analysis based on historical data (Dorren and Berger, 2005).

In last decades, the topographic data both acquisition and analysis have seen a remarkable development in terms of methodologies and technologies related to the use of LiDAR technique. LiDAR technique is essentially designed for general geospatial data collection and terrain mapping. This technique is able of gathering huge amounts of accurate 3D data point and has been widely utilized in recent years, not just in the field of photogrammetry and remote sensing, but also in a vast difference of applications such as preservation of sculptures and historical buildings and assisting in the navigation of unmanned vehicles (Yan et al., 2015).

1.3 Problem Statement

Rockfall source areas are needed to be detected through proper method in order to be used in rockfall modeling and prediction. Furthermore, traditional rockfall forecasting methods contain some weak points in terms of slope geometry representation and source identification which can be solved through the collection of accurate data and the use of a novel ensemble model in addition to proper modeling of rockfall hazard assessment.

Rockfalls vary both spatially and temporally and it is challenging to predict or eliminate such incidents worldwide. This phenomenon is widely occurred in limestone and granitic areas with high and steep terrain. Kinta Valley is one of the main districts in Malaysia. The bedrock geology for Kinta Valley and surrounding areas are granitic hills, limestone bedrock, and it is a mining area. As a result, a lot of engineering geologic issues have been encountered in Kinta Valley and its immediate surroundings, involving rockfalls and landslides. The bedrock of limestone in Kinta Valley rises over the alluvial plains forming limestone hills with vertical to sub-vertical slopes (Simon et al., 2015).

The major triggering factors of rockfalls are ascribed to the rainwater along the crevices and joints exist in the limestone and it is unavoidable that the rock plates will fracture from the cliff where this action is sufficiently decreased its stability. Rockfalls might have also been precipitated by a number of secondary triggers, like vibrations such as low-intensity seismic, mine explosion and passing cars surrounding and oscillation associated with the wind blows through vegetation that grows on cliff faces and lost cohesion due to extended periods of humid weather. Rock blocks and slabs will thus fall down and occasionally even though the time and period of subsequent rockfalls are unpredictable.

Although the aforementioned studies (Losassoet al., 2017; Messenzehl et al. 2017; Mote et al., 2019) have made significant attempts to propose models that can accurately identify potential rockfall source areas using photogrammetry or laser scanning data, one major problem still remains unsolved. This issue is when the focus area contains other types of landslides that have nearly similar geomorphometric characteristics such as rockfall and shallow landslides. Thus, this research proposes a hybrid model for identifying potential rockfall source areas from airborne laser scanning data. The proposed model is based on two main algorithms: a Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM) and bagging Artificial Neural Networks (BANN).

In addition, despite the numerous studies in rockfall hazard assessment, information about impact location and time factor are rarely discussed or presented in the literature. However, the impact location is the most significant factor in rockfall risk assessment and designing of a mitigation process.

Moreover, the time element is not considered or demonstrated in these studies, which a key element in early warning processes.

1.4 Research Gaps

There are many studies have been performed regarding the identification of rockfall source areas and characterization of rockfall hazard. However, there are some limitations associated with the implementation of these studies. The main gaps obtained from extensive literature review are:

1. The identification of rockfall source areas is the most challenge in rockfall modeling. However, most of researchers rely only on slope angle (which specified based on their experience) to determine rockfall source areas. Therefore, the uncertainty associated with this method is quite high (Agliardi et al., 2016).
2. Most of researchers applied a single machine learning algorithm for producing rockfall probability, nevertheless, they did not apply or test an ensemble model that can produce better accuracy and consistency (Pham et al., 2017).
3. Most of the rockfall studies used limited conditioning factors whereas rockfall phenomenon controlled by various conditioning factors. In addition, factors optimization was not performed in these studies or optimized individually (Kavzoglu et al., 2015).
4. In most of the studies that use machine learning algorithms, the hyperparameters of the machine learning algorithms were not optimized. However, these parameters highly affect the performance of machine learning algorithms (Klein et al., 2016).
5. In order to obtain accurate rockfall hazard maps, factors such as the rockfall runout and distribution, frequency, probability, and intensity should be taken into account at each position and over the trajectory. However, just a few methodologies of rockfall hazard assessment fulfill all of these demanding (Ferrari et al., 2016).
6. A lot of rockfall studies are performed based on 2D rockfall modelling. However, these models are critical to select 2D slope profile and are restricted to provide the spatial distribution of rockfall trajectories and their characteristics. Therefore, they cannot provide realistic assessment results of rockfall hazard (Li and Lan, 2015).
7. Even the existing 3D rockfall model most of them are based on lumped mass approach which neglects rock properties (shape and size) and considers a rock as a point mass (Li and Lan, 2015).

1.5 Scope of Study

Rockfall occurs in steep terrain and determining the slope geometry on which these hazards occur is demanding. Remote survey methods are generally preferred to create the steep rock slope geometry. The remote survey technique that has become quite popular in the last ten years is Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR). Since airborne LiDAR technique is widely popular these days, it has been widely used for rockfall analysis. In this thesis, LiDAR technique was used to derive three-dimensional digital terrain model (DTM) of slope terrain. In geohazard application, the concern is the bare earth or DTM. Therefore, a filtering algorithm to filter non-ground points from ground points is required. The identification of rockfall sources areas is a key element in rockfall hazard assessment. Therefore, in this research, a novel model based on machine learning algorithms within GIS environment was utilized to identify rockfall source regions. This based on Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM) and Bagged Artificial Neural Network (BANN). The assessment of rockfall trajectories described in this thesis employs 3D physical rockfall modelling process to evaluate the rockfall characteristics and hazard. The achievement of this research has been performed employing a 3D rockfall model to efficiently handle the distribution geometry and the mechanical parameters. This was carried out in three different study areas namely: Gunung Lang, Gua Tambun, and Gunung Rapat. The influence of barrier, such as concrete wall or catch net in the advanced rockfall hazard analysis, is also taken into account. The input parameters required for this analysis, such as the slope geometry (slope, aspect, and curvature), were derived from high-resolution DTM. The factors included morphological, hydrological, anthropogenic, soil and vegetation factors were also considered in this research. The mechanical parameters (coefficient of restitution and friction angle) were calibrated based on historical data. Rockfall trajectories and their characteristics in three study areas were derived through 3D rockfall modeling process with three different scenarios. A developed spatial modelling was then applied to produce the rockfall hazard maps based on rockfall characteristics (frequency, height, impact, and kinetic energy).

1.6 Research Objectives

This research proposes some methods that clearly contribute to the gaps in the literature. The main research objective of this research is to predict and assess rockfall sources and hazard using LiDAR data and the specific objectives are:

- 1- To develop and test a hybrid model to automatically identify rockfall source areas in the presence of other landslide types based on machine learning algorithms.
- 2- To predict rockfall trajectories and derive their distribution and characteristics (velocity, bouncing height, kinetic energy, and impact

locations), based on the identified sources using a developed 3D rockfall kinematic model.

- 3- To develop a spatial model for rockfall hazard assessment based on the obtained rockfall characteristics integrated with fuzzy-AHP and suggest mitigation processes.

1.7 Research Questions

This thesis comprehensively addresses the following research questions:

- 1- What is the accuracy of digital terrain model (DTM) that can be obtained from the using of LiDAR technique?
- 2- What are the probable sources of rockfall (seeder points)?
- 3- How can differentiate rockfall from other landslide types?
- 4- What are the possible trajectories of falling rocks down the slope? Can they be characterized?
- 5- Where do falling rocks stop?
- 6- What are the regions subject to probable hazard from future rockfalls on the slope under the cliff?
- 7- What are the possible mitigation ways for rockfall damage?
- 8- What is the efficiency of mitigation way eliminating rockfall hazard?

1.8 Motivation behind this Research

Nowadays, natural hazards are common in today's life. Increasing amounts of natural catastrophes have proved to the human the vital importance of the natural hazards issues for the safety of the environment and the populations. Rapid urbanization and climate change are expected to raise the amount of rockfall. The rockfall which occurs in tropical countries, especially Malaysia, emphasizes the extreme in climatic variations. That is why, the topic of rockfall monitoring, mapping, modelling, and mitigation are among priority tasks in governments schedule (Kussul et al., 2008). This phenomenon occurs due to the unexpected variation in the state of natural features due to natural forces. In most of the cases, the human is not capable to control and predict these disasters precisely. Main natural catastrophes such as rockfall, landslide, earthquakes, floods and land subsidence when they occur, they lead to affect the human lives, belongings, infrastructure, and environment. The influence of natural hazards is varying based on its amount and coverage region.

Rockfalls are the most frequent happening natural catastrophes which influence human and its adjacent environment. Rockfall disaster is more prone to Asia and the Pacific areas which influences the economic and social stability of those countries. Rockfall and landslide incidents in Malaysia are very frequent, and

have, at times, caused in fatalities as well as destruction for the properties (Pradhan and Lee, 2010). A typical example of rockfall incidents has been reported by Simon et al., (2015). Attention for providing proper rockfall management has increased over the last centuries. The recent reasons for recurrent falling rocks of some regions are mostly due to rainfall, un-planned urbanization, construction, and deforestation activities. Despite all this, it is still human participation to control rockfall catastrophe through the enormous use of various technologies. Technology using can facilitate rockfall prevention actions to detect the rockfall areas and to have an early warning for this catastrophe.

This thesis attempts to propose techniques to map the rockfall-prone areas and map the rockfall susceptible areas using a developed hybrid model for the identification of rockfall source areas and a 3D rockfall modelling for rockfall trajectories distribution and their characteristics. The key motivation of this research is to use the generated maps in order to avoid more urbanization in hazardous areas and have a sustainable environment. To reduce the damage and victims in case of a rockfall occurrence, it is critical to locate the susceptible areas. Governments and planners can utilize the produced results by this study to recognize safe regions for citizens, support first responders in emergencies, and update the urban planning strategies. This information can decrease the requirement to perform in-situ investigation by agencies such as surveying departments.

1.9 Research Limitation

The proposed methods for rockfall sources and hazard assessment have been applied and the research objectives have been achieved. However, the temporal factor was not considered in this research. This is because of uncompleted inventory data of landslides incidents in terms of time. Nevertheless, the focus of this study is on identifying rockfall source areas and assessment of rockfall kinematic process and spatial distribution, thus rockfall hazard. This is based on high-resolution LiDAR data and a developed 3D rockfall model in addition to a novel hybrid model.

1.10 Thesis Organization

The thesis is split into five chapters. Chapter 1 demonstrates the background of the research problem, the scope of the study, the research objectives and motivation behind this research. Chapter 2 reviews the literature on rockfall hazard assessment. This chapter mainly discusses the general principles and methodology of rockfall hazard assessment including rockfall causes, mechanism, rockfall sources identification parameters and methods, modelling approaches for rockfall analysis and parameters affect the rockfall simulation. Some of machine learning algorithms are also presented in this chapter.

Chapter 3 presents the methodology and framework of the thesis. This chapter presents and discusses the data which necessary for rockfall sources identification and hazard analysis. The chapter includes the following: deriving digital terrain model (DTM), identify rockfall sources and a 3D modelling approach has been adopted to obtain rockfall trajectories and their spatial distribution and then producing of rockfall hazard maps.

Chapter 4 presents the collected information and the results of rockfall source identification and hazard assessment in term of trajectories, frequency, velocity, bouncing height, kinetic energy, impact points, and hazard maps. Chapter 5 summarizes the research finding, limitations and suggests directions for future work.



REFERENCES

- Abdulwahid, W. M., & Pradhan, B. (2017). Landslide vulnerability and risk assessment for multi-hazard scenarios using airborne laser scanning data (LiDAR). *Landslides*, 14(3), 1057-1076.
- Abellán, A., Oppikofer, T., Jaboyedoff, M., Rosser, N. J., Lim, M., & Lato, M. J. (2014). Terrestrial laser scanning of rock slope instabilities. *Earth surface processes and landforms*, 39(1), 80-97.
- Abellán, A., Vilaplana, J. M., Calvet, J., García-Sellés, D., & Asensio, E. (2011). Rockfall monitoring by Terrestrial Laser Scanning—case study of the basaltic rock face at Castellfollit de la Roca (Catalonia, Spain). *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 11(3), 829-841.
- Acosta, E and Agliardi, F and Crosta, GB and Rios Aragues, S. (2007). Regional rockfall hazard assessment in the Benasque Valley (Central Pyrenees) using a 3D numerical approach. In *4th EGS Plinius Conference--Mediterranean Storms* (pp. 555–563).
- Adams, N. M., & Hand, D. J. (1999). Comparing classifiers when the misallocation costs are uncertain. *Pattern Recognition*, 32(7), 1139–1147.
- Agatonovic-Kustrin, S., & Beresford, R. (2000). Basic concepts of artificial neural network (ANN) modeling and its application in pharmaceutical research. *Journal of pharmaceutical and biomedical analysis*, 22(5), 717-727.
- Agliardi, Federico and Riva, Federico and Galletti, Laura and Zanchi, Andrea and Crosta, G. B. (2016). Rockfall source characterization at high rock walls in complex geological settings by photogrammetry, structural analysis and DFN techniques. In *EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts* (p. 13071).
- Agliardi, F., Crosta, G. B., & Frattini, P. (2009). Integrating rockfall risk assessment and countermeasure design by 3D modelling techniques. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 9(4), 1059.
- Agliardi, F., & Crosta, G. B. (2003). High resolution three-dimensional numerical modelling of rockfalls. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 40(4), 455-471.
- Akgun, A. (2012). A comparison of landslide susceptibility maps produced by logistic regression, multi-criteria decision, and likelihood ratio methods: a case study at İzmir, Turkey. *Landslides*, 9(1), 93–106.

- Aksoy, H and Ercanoglu, M. (2006). Determination of the rockfall source in an urban settlement area by using a rule-based fuzzy evaluation. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Science*, 6(6), 941–954.
- Althuwaynee, Omar F and Pradhan, Biswajeet and Park, Hyuck-Jin and Lee, J. H. (2014a). A novel ensemble bivariate statistical evidential belief function with knowledge-based analytical hierarchy process and multivariate statistical logistic regression for landslide susceptibility mapping. *Catena*, 114, 21–36.
- Althuwaynee, O. F., Pradhan, B., Park, H.-J., & Lee, J. H. (2014b). A novel ensemble decision tree-based CHi-squared Automatic Interaction Detection (CHAID) and multivariate logistic regression models in landslide susceptibility mapping. *Landslides*, 11(6), 1063–1078. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-014-0466-0>
- Alwan, H. B., & Ku-Mahamud, K. R. (2012, December). Optimizing support vector machine parameters using continuous ant colony optimization. In *2012 7th International Conference on Computing and Convergence Technology (IC CCT)* (pp. 164-169). IEEE.
- Ardizzone, F., Cardinali, M., Galli, M., Guzzetti, F., & Reichenbach, P. (2007). Identification and mapping of recent rainfall-induced landslides using elevation data collected by airborne Lidar. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Science*, 7(6), 637-650.
- Awange, J., & Kiema, J. (2019). Light Detection And Ranging (LiDAR). In *Environmental Geoinformatics* (pp. 291-306). Springer, Cham.
- Axelsson, P. (2000). DEM generation from laser scanner data using adaptive TIN models. *International Archives of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 33(B4/1; PART 4), 111–118.
- Azzoni, A., Freitas, M. (1995). Experimentally gained parameters, decisive for rock fall analysis. *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, 28(2), 111–124.
- Azzoni, A., La Barbera, G., Zaninetti, A. (1995). Analysis and prediction of rockfalls using a mathematical model. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 32, 709–724.
- Bai, S. B., Wang, J., Lü, G. N., Zhou, P. G., Hou, S. S., & Xu, S. N. (2010). GIS-based logistic regression for landslide susceptibility mapping of the Zhongxian segment in the Three Gorges area, China. *Geomorphology*, 115(1), 23–31.
- Ballabio, Cristiano and Sterlacchini, S. (2012). Support vector machines for landslide susceptibility mapping: the Staffora River Basin case study, Italy. *Mathematical Geosciences*, 44(1), 47--70.

- Banerjee, O., Ghaoui, L. E., & d'Aspremont, A. (2008). Model selection through sparse maximum likelihood estimation for multivariate gaussian or binary data. *Journal of Machine learning research*, 9(Mar), 485-516.
- Bennett, G., Molnar, P., McARDell, B., Schlunegger, F., and Burlando, P. (2013). Patterns and controls of sediment production, transfer and yield in the illgraben. *Geomorphology*, 55(1), 31–63.
- Bornaz L, Lingua A, R. F. (2002). Engineering and environmental applications of laser scanner techniques. In *Int Arch Photogram Remote Sens Spat Inf Sci* 34(3/B) (pp. 40–43).
- Bozzolo, D., Pamini, R. (1986). Simulation of rock falls down a valley side. *Acta Mechanica*, 63, 113–130.
- Budetta, P and De Luca, C and Nappi, M. (2016). Quantitative rockfall risk assessment for an important road by means of the rockfall risk management (RO. MA.) method. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 75(4), 1377–1397.
- Budetta P, N. M. (2013). Comparison between qualitative rockfall risk rating systems for a road affected by high traffic intensity. *Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci*, 13(6), 1643–1653.
- Bui, D. T., Tuan, T. A., Klempe, H., Pradhan, B., & Revhaug, I. (2016). Spatial prediction models for shallow landslide hazards: A comparative assessment of the efficacy of support vector machines, artificial neural networks, kernel logistic regression, and logistic model tree. *Landslides*, 13(2), 361–378.
- Bui, Dieu Tien and Pradhan, Biswajeet and Lofman, Owe and Revhaug, Inge and Dick, O. B. (2012). Landslide susceptibility assessment in the Hoa Binh province of Vietnam: a comparison of the Levenberg--Marquardt and Bayesian regularized neural networks. *Geomorphology*, 171, 12–29.
- Burrough, P. A., McDonnell, R. A., McDonnell, R., & Lloyd, C. D. (2015). Principles of geographical information systems. *Oxford: Oxford University Press*.
- Burges, C. J. (1998). A tutorial on support vector machines for pattern recognition. *Data mining and knowledge discovery*, 2(2), 121-167.
- Breiman, L. (2001). Random forests. *Machine learning*, 45(1), 5-32.
- Cancelli A, C. G. (1993). Hazard and risk assessment in rockfall prone areas. In *In: Skip BO (ed) Risk reliability in ground engineering*. Thomas Telford, London (pp. 177–190).

- Caruana R, N.-M. A. (2006). An empirical comparison of supervised learning algorithms. In: *Proceedings of the 23rd international conference on machine learning* (pp. 161–168).
- Castelli M, S. C. (2008). A multidisciplinary methodology for hazard and risk assessment of rock avalanches. *Rock Mech Rock Eng*, 41(1), 3–36.
- Chapella, H., Haneberg, W., Crawford, M., & Shakoor, A. (2019). Landslide Inventory and Susceptibility Models, Prestonsburg 7.5-min Quadrangle, Kentucky, USA. In *IAEG/AEG Annual Meeting Proceedings, San Francisco, California, 2018-Volume 1* (pp. 217-226). Springer, Cham.
- Chen, Jiejie and Zeng, Zhigang and Jiang, Ping and Tang, H. (2015). Deformation prediction of landslide based on functional network. *Neurocomputing*, 149, 151–157.
- Chen, X. L., Liu, C. G., Chang, Z. F., & Zhou, Q. (2016a). The relationship between the slope angle and the landslide size derived from limit equilibrium simulations. *Geomorphology*, 253, 547–550.
- Chen, W., Wang, J., Xie, X., Hong, H., Van Trung, N., Bui, D. T., ... & Li, X. (2016b). Spatial prediction of landslide susceptibility using integrated frequency ratio with entropy and support vector machines by different kernel functions. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 75(20), 1344.
- Chen, Ziyue and Gao, Bingbo and Devereux, B. (2017a). State-of-the-art: DTM generation using airborne LIDAR data. *Sensors*, 17(1), 150.
- Chen, W., Panahi, M., & Pourghasemi, H. R. (2017b). Performance evaluation of GIS-based new ensemble data mining techniques of adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) with genetic algorithm (GA), differential evolution (DE), and particle swarm optimization (PSO) for landslide spatial modelling. *Catena*, 157, 310-324.
- Chen, W., Pourghasemi, H. R., Kornejady, A., & Zhang, N. (2017c). Landslide spatial modeling: introducing new ensembles of ANN, MaxEnt, and SVM machine learning techniques. *Geoderma*, 305, 314-327.
- Chen, W., Pourghasemi, H. R., & Naghibi, S. A. (2018a). A comparative study of landslide susceptibility maps produced using support vector machine with different kernel functions and entropy data mining models in China. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 77(2), 647-664.
- Chen, W., Xie, X., Peng, J., Shahabi, H., Hong, H., Bui, D. T., ... & Zhu, A. X. (2018b). GIS-based landslide susceptibility evaluation using a novel hybrid integration approach of bivariate statistical based random forest method. *Catena*, 164, 135-149.

- Chen, W., Shahabi, H., Shirzadi, A., Li, T., Guo, C., Hong, H., ... & Xi, M. (2018c). A novel ensemble approach of bivariate statistical-based logistic model tree classifier for landslide susceptibility assessment. *Geocarto international*, 33(12), 1398-1420.
- Cherkassky V, M. F. (2007). Learning from data: concepts, theory, and methods. *Wiley, New York*.
- Chow, T. E., & Hodgson, M. E. (2009). Effects of LiDAR post-spacing and DEM resolution to mean slope estimation. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 23(10), 1277–1295.
- Chow, W. S., & M. S. (1988). *Batu Runtuh di Gunung Tunggal, Gopeng, Perak. Geological Survey Report. Ipoh: Minerals & Geoscience Department, Malaysia, 1/1988*.
- Christen, M and Bartelt, P and Gruber, U. (2007). RAMMS-a Modeling System for Snow Avalanches, Debris Flows and Rockfalls based on IDL. *PHOTOGRAMMETRIE FERNERKUNDUNG GEOINFORMATION*, 4, 289.
- Chung, C. J. F., & Fabbri, A. G. (1999). Probabilistic prediction models for landslide hazard mapping. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, 65(12), 1389–1399.
- Chung, C. J. F., & Fabbri, A. G. (2003). Validation of spatial prediction models for landslide hazard mapping. *Natural Hazards*, 30(3), 451–472.
- Claessens, L and Heuvelink, GBM and Schoorl, JM and Veldkamp, A. (2005). DEM resolution effects on shallow landslide hazard and soil redistribution modelling. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 30(4), 461–477.
- Corona, Christophe and Trappmann, Daniel and Stoffel, M. (2013). Parameterization of rockfall source areas and magnitudes with ecological recorders: when disturbances in trees serve the calibration and validation of simulation runs. *Geomorphology*, 202, 33–42.
- Crosta, G. B., Agliardi, F., Frattini, P., & Lari, S. (2015). Key issues in rock fall modeling, hazard and risk assessment for rockfall protection. *In Engineering Geology for Society and Territory*, 2, 43–58.
- Crosta, GB and Agliardi, F. (2004). Parametric evaluation of 3D dispersion of rockfall trajectories. *Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci*, 4(4), 583–598.
- Crosta, G. B., & Agliardi, F. (2003). A methodology for physically based rockfall hazard assessment. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Science*, 3(5), 407-422.

- Crosta, G. B., & Locatelli, C. (1999). Approccio alla valutazione del rischio da frane per crollo. *Proc. Studi geografici e geologici in onore di Severino Belloni*, Glauco Brigatti Publisher, Genova, 259-286.
- Cruden, D. and Varnes, D. (1996). Landslide types and processes. In *Special Report 247: Landslides: Investigation and Mitigation*, Transportation Research Board, Washington D.C.
- Cundall, P. A. (1971). A computer model for simulating progressive, large-scale movements in blocky rock system. *Geotechnique*, 29(1), 47–65.
- Daehne, A., & Corsini, A. (2013). Kinematics of active earthflows revealed by digital image correlation and DEM subtraction techniques applied to multi-temporal LiDAR data. *Earth Surf Process Landforms*, 38, 640–654.
- Dai, F. C., & Lee, C. F. (2002). Landslide characteristics and slope instability modeling using GIS, Lantau Island, Hong Kong. *Geomorphology*, 42(3), 213–228.
- Das, I., Sahoo, S., van Westen, C., Stein, A., & Hack, R. (2010). Landslide susceptibility assessment using logistic regression and its comparison with a rock mass classification system, along a road section in the northern Himalayas (India). *Geomorphology*, 114(4), 627–637.
- Dempster, A. P., Laird, N. M., and Rubin, D. B. (1977). "Maximumlikelihood from incomplete data via the EM algorithm. *J. R. Statist. Soc. B*, 39(1), 1–38.
- Derron, M. H., & Jaboyedoff, M. (2010). Preface "LiDAR and DEM techniques for landslides monitoring and characterization. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 10, 1877–1879.
- Derron MH, Jaboyedoff M, B. L. (2005). Preliminary assessment of rockslide and rockfall hazards using a DEM (Oppstadhornet, Norway). *Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci*, 5, 285–292.
- Dorigo, M., & Stützle, T. (2003). The ant colony optimization metaheuristic: Algorithms, applications, and advances. In *Handbook of metaheuristics* (pp. 250-285). Springer, Boston, MA.
- Dorren, L. K. A. and Seijmonsbergen, A. C. (2003). Comparison of three GIS-based models for predicting rockfall runout zones at a regional scale. *Geomorphology*, 56(1–2), 49–64.
- Dorren, Luuk KA and Berger, Frederic and le Hir, Celine and Mermin, Eric and Tardif, P. (2005). Mechanisms, effects and management implications of rockfall in forests. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 215(1), 183–195.

- Dorren, L. K. (2003). A review of rockfall mechanics and modelling approaches. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 27(1), 69–87.
- Dorren LKA, Berger F, P. U. (2006). Real-size experiments and 3-D simulation of rockfall on forested and non-forested slopes. *Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci*, 6, 145–153.
- Dou, J., Yamagishi, H., Pourghasemi, H. R., Yunus, A. P., Song, X., & Xu, Y., et al. (2015). An integrated artificial neural network model for the landslide susceptibility assessment of Osado Island, Japan. *Natural Hazards*, 78(3), 1749–1776.
- Dussauge-Peisser C, Grasso JR, H. A. (2003). Statistical analysis of rockfall volume distributions: implications for rockfall dynamics. *J Geophys Res Sol Ea*, 108, 1–11.
- Evans, Jeffrey S and Hudak, A. T. (2007). A multiscale curvature algorithm for classifying discrete return LiDAR in forested environments. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 45(4), 1029–1038.
- Evans, S. and Hungr, O. (1993). The assessment of rockfall hazard at the base of talus slopes. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 30, 620–636.
- Fanos, Ali Mutar and Pradhan, Biswajeet and Aziz, Azlan Abdul and Jebur, Mustafa Neamah and Park, H.-J. (2016). Assessment of multi-scenario rockfall hazard based on mechanical parameters using high-resolution airborne laser scanning data and GIS in a tropical area. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 75(15), 1129.
- Fanos, Ali Mutar and Pradhan, B. (2016). Multi-scenario rockfall hazard assessment using LiDAR data and GIS. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 34(5), 1375–1393.
- Fanos, Ali Mutar and Pradhan, B. (2017a). Application of LiDAR in Rockfall Hazard Assessment in Tropical Region. In *Laser Scanning Applications in Landslide Assessment* (pp. 323–359). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-55342-9_16
- Fanos, Ali Mutar and Pradhan, B. (2017b). Rockfall Hazard Assessment: An Overview. In *Laser Scanning Applications in Landslide Assessment* (pp. 299–322). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-55342-9_15
- Fanos, A. M., & Pradhan, B. (2018). Laser scanning systems and techniques in rockfall source identification and risk assessment: a critical review. *Earth Systems and Environment*, 1-20.

- Fanos, A. M., & Pradhan, B. (2019). A novel rockfall hazard assessment using laser scanning data and 3D modelling in GIS. *CATENA*, 172, 435-450.
- Fanos, A. M., Pradhan, B., Mansor, S., Yusoff, Z. M., & bin Abdullah, A. F. (2018). A hybrid model using machine learning methods and GIS for potential rockfall source identification from airborne laser scanning data. *Landslides*, 15(9), 1833-1850.
- Fasching A, Gaich A, S. W. (2001). Data acquisition in engineering geology. An improvement of acquisition methods for geotechnical rock mass parameters. *Felsbau*, 19, 93–101.
- Feizizadeh, Bakhtiar and Roodposhti, Majid Shadman and Jankowski, Piotr and Blaschke, T. (2014). A GIS-based extended fuzzy multi-criteria evaluation for landslide susceptibility mapping. *Computers & Geosciences*, 73, 208–221.
- Feizizadeh, B., Roodposhti, M. S., Blaschke, T., & Aryal, J. (2017). Comparing GIS-based support vector machine kernel functions for landslide susceptibility mapping. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 10(5), 122.
- Fell, R and Ho, Ken KS and Lacasse, S and Leroi, E. (2005). A framework for landslide risk assessment and management. *Landslide Risk Management*, 3--25.
- Fell R, Corominas J, Bonnard C, Cascini L, Leroi E, S. W. (2008). Guidelines for landslide susceptibility, hazard and risk zoning for land-use planning. *Eng Geol*, 102(3), 99–111.
- Fernandes, N. F., Guimarães, R. F., Gomes, R. A., Vieira, B. C., & Montgomery, D. R., & Greenberg, H. (2004). Topographic controls of landslides in Rio de Janeiro: Field evidence and modeling. *CATENA*, 55(2), 163–181.
- Ferrari, F., Giacomini, A., & Thoeni, K. (2016). Qualitative rockfall hazard assessment: a comprehensive review of current practices.
- Fityus, S., Giacomini, A., and Buzzi, O. (2013). The significance of geology for the morphology of potentially unstable rocks. *Engineering Geology*, 162, 43–52.
- Fornaciai, A., Bisson, M., Landi, P., Mazzarini, F., & Pareschi, M. T. (2010). A LiDAR survey of Stromboli volcano (Italy): Digital elevation model-based geomorphology and intensity analysis. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 31(12), 3177–3194.
- Fornaro, M., Peila, D., Nebbia, M. (1990). Block falls on rock slopes - application of a numerical simulation program to some real cases. In *In: Proceedings of the 6th International Congress IAEG* (pp. 2173–2180).

- Franco-Lopez, Hector and Ek, Alan R and Bauer, M. E. (2001). Estimation and mapping of forest stand density, volume, and cover type using the k-nearest neighbors method. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 77(3), 251–274.
- Frattini, Paolo and Crosta, Giovanni and Carrara, Alberto and Agliardi, F. (2008). Assessment of rockfall susceptibility by integrating statistical and physically-based approaches. *Geomorphology*, 94(3–4), 419–437.
- Günther, A and Carstensen, A and Pohl, W. (2004). Automated sliding susceptibility mapping of rock slopes. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Science*, 4(1), 95–102.
- Giani, GP and Giacomini, A and Migliazza, M and Segalini, A. (2004). Experimental and theoretical studies to improve rock fall analysis and protection work design. *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, 37(5), 369–389.
- Gigli, Giovanni and Morelli, Stefano and Fornera, Simone and Casagli, N. (2014). Terrestrial laser scanner and geomechanical surveys for the rapid evaluation of rock fall susceptibility scenarios. *Landslides*, 11(1), 1–14.
- Gokceoglu C, Sonmez H, E. M. (2000). Discontinuity controlled probabilistic slope failure risk maps of the Altindag (settlement) region in Turkey. *Eng Geol*, 55, 277–296.
- Goodenough, D. J., Rossmann, K., & Lusted, L. B. (1974). Radiographic applications of receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. *Radiology*, 110(1), 89–95.
- Gottlieb, J., Puchta, M., & Solnon, C. (2003, April). A study of greedy, local search, and ant colony optimization approaches for car sequencing problems. In *Workshops on Applications of Evolutionary Computation* (pp. 246-257). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Guzzetti, F., Crosta, G., Detti, R., Agliardi, F. (2002). STONE: a computer program for the three dimensional simulation of rock-falls. *Computer and Geosciences*, 28, 1079–1093.
- Guzzetti, F., Galli, M., Reichenbach, P., Ardizzone, F., & Cardinali, M. (2006). Landslide hazard assessment in the Collazzone area, Umbria, Central Italy. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Science*, 6(1), 115–131.
- Guzzetti, F., Mondini, A. C., Cardinali, M., Fiorucci, F., S., & M., & Chang, K. T. (2012). Landslide inventory maps: New tools for an old problem. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 112, 42–66.

- Guzzetti, F and Reichenbach, P and Wieczorek, G. (2003). Rockfall hazard and risk assessment in the Yosemite Valley, California, USA. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Science*, 3(6), 491–503.
- Guzzetti F, Carrara A, Cardinali M, R. P. (1999). Landslide hazard evaluation: a review of current techniques and their application in a multi-scale study, central Italy. *Geomorphology*, 31, 181–216.
- Habib, A. F., Kersting, A. P., Shaker, A., & Yan, W. Y. (2011). Geometric calibration and radiometric correction of LiDAR data and their impact on the quality of derived products. *Sensors*, 11(9), 9069–9097.
- Haneberg, W. C., Cole, W. F., & Kasali, G. (2009). High-resolution LiDAR-based landslide hazard mapping and modeling, UCSF Parnassus Campus, San Francisco, USA. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 68, 263–276.
- Hanley, J. A., & McNeil, B. J. (1982). The meaning and use of the area under a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. *Radiology*, 143(1), 29–36.
- Hantz D, Vengeon JM, D.-P. C. (2003). An historical, geomechanical and probabilistic approach to rock-fall hazard assessment. *Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci*, 3(6), 693–701.
- Hearst, M. A., Dumais, S. T., Osuna, E., Platt, J., & Scholkopf, B. (1998). Support vector machines. *IEEE Intelligent Systems and their applications*, 13(4), 18-28.
- Hoek, E. (2007). Analysis of Rockfall Hazards, Practical Rock Engineering, Electronic document.
- Holm, K. and Jakob, M. (2009). Long Rockfall runout, Pascua Lama, Chile. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 46, 225–230.
- Hong, H., Liu, J., Bui, D. T., Pradhan, B., Acharya, T. D., Pham, B. T., ... & Ahmad, B. B. (2018). Landslide susceptibility mapping using J48 Decision Tree with AdaBoost, Bagging and Rotation Forest ensembles in the Guangchang area (China). *Catena*, 163, 399-413.
- Hong, Haoyuan and Pradhan, Biswajeet and Bui, Dieu Tien and Xu, Chong and Youssef, Ahmed M and Chen, W. (2017a). Comparison of four kernel functions used in support vector machines for landslide susceptibility mapping: a case study at Suichuan area (China). *Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk*, 8(2), 544–569.

- Hong, Haoyuan and Pradhan, Biswajeet and Jebur, Mustafa Neamah and Bui, Dieu Tien and Xu, Chong and Akgun, A. (2017b). Spatial prediction of landslide hazard at the Luxi area (China) using support vector machines. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 75(1), 40.
- Hong, H., Ilija, I., Tsangaratos, P., Chen, W., & Xu, C. (2017c). A hybrid fuzzy weight of evidence method in landslide susceptibility analysis on the Wuyuan area, China. *Geomorphology*, 290, 1-16.
- Hong, H., Pradhan, B., Xu, C., & Bui, D. T. (2015). Spatial prediction of landslide hazard at the Yihuang area (China) using two-class kernel logistic regression, alternating decision tree and support vector machines. *Catena*, 133, 266-281.
- Humphrey, Greer B and Maier, Holger R and Wu, Wenyan and Mount, Nick J and Dandy, Graeme C and Abrahart, Robert J and Dawson, C. W. (2017). Improved validation framework and R-package for artificial neural network models. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 92, 82–106.
- Hungr, O. (2018). Some methods of landslide hazard intensity mapping. In *Landslide risk assessment* (pp. 215-226). Routledge.
- Hungr, O., Fell, R., Couture, R., & Eberhardt, E. (Eds.). (2005). *Landslide risk management*. CRC Press.
- Hungr O, Evans SG, H. J. (1999). Magnitude and frequency of rock falls and rock slides along the main transportation corridors of southwestern British Columbia. *Can Geotech J*, 36, 224–238.
- Intarawichian, N., & Dasananda, S. (2011). Frequency ratio model based landslide susceptibility mapping in lower Mae Chaem watershed, Northern Thailand. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 64(8), 2271-2285.
- Ishizaka, A., & Labib, A. (2009). Analytic hierarchy process and expert choice: Benefits and limitations. *Or Insight*, 22(4), 201-220.
- Jaafari, A. (2018). LiDAR-supported prediction of slope failures using an integrated ensemble weights-of-evidence and analytical hierarchy process. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 77(2), 42.
- Jaboyedof, M. and Labiouse, V. (2011). Technical note: Preliminary estimation of rockfall runout zones. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Science*, 11(3), 819–828.
- Jaboyedoff, M., Oppikofer, T., Abellán, A., Derron, M.-H., Loye, A., & Metzger, R., et al. (2012). Use of LiDAR in landslide investigations: A review. *Natural Hazards*, 61, 5–28.

- Jaboyedoff M, Baillifard F, Philipposian F, R. J. (2004). Assessing fracture occurrence using “weighted fracturing density”: a step towards estimating rock instability hazard. *Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci*, 4, 83–93.
- Jaboyedoff, M., & Labiouse, V. (2003, January). Preliminary assessment of rockfall hazard based on GIS data. In *10th ISRM Congress*. International Society for Rock Mechanics.
- Jahromi, A. B., Zoej, M. J. V., Mohammadzadeh, A., & Sadeghian, S. (2011). A novel filtering algorithm for bare-earth extraction from airborne laser scanning data using an artificial neural network. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 4(4), 836–843.
- Jebur, M. N., Pradhan, B., & Tehrany, M. S. (2014). Optimization of landslide conditioning factors using very high-resolution airborne laser scanning (LiDAR) data at catchment scale. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 152, 150-165.
- Jiang, Dingfeng and Huang, Jian and Zhang, Y. (2013). The cross-validated AUC for MCP-Logistic regression with high-dimensional data. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, 22(5), 505–518.
- Jiménez-Perálvarez, J. D., Irigaray, C., El Hamdouni, R., & Chacón, J. (2009). Building models for automatic landslide-susceptibility analysis, mapping and validation in ArcGIS. *Natural Hazards*, 50(3), 571–590.
- Kadavi, P., Lee, C. W., & Lee, S. (2018). Application of Ensemble-Based Machine Learning Models to Landslide Susceptibility Mapping. *Remote Sensing*, 10(8), 1252.
- Kamaruzsaman, Norazliza and Jamaluddin, T. A. (2016). Rock slope stability assessment by using RMRB and SMR methods for future development around Gunung Lang, Ipoh, Perak. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 1784, pp. 6–29).
- Katila, Matti and Tomppo, E. (2001). Selecting estimation parameters for the Finnish multisource National Forest Inventory. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 76(1), 16–32.
- Katz, O., Morgan, J. K., Aharonov, E., & Dugan, B. (2014). Controls on the size and geometry of landslides: Insights from discrete element numerical simulations. *Geomorphology*, 220, 104–113.
- Kavzoglu, Taskin and Sahin, Emrehan Kutlug and Colkesen, I. (2014). Landslide susceptibility mapping using GIS-based multi-criteria decision analysis, support vector machines, and logistic regression. *Landslides*, 11(3), 425–439.

- Kavzoglu, T., Sahin, E. K., & Colkesen, I. (2015). Selecting optimal conditioning factors in shallow translational landslide susceptibility mapping using genetic algorithm. *Engineering Geology*, *192*, 101-112.
- Klein, A., Falkner, S., Bartels, S., Hennig, P., & Hutter, F. (2016). Fast Bayesian optimization of machine learning hyperparameters on large datasets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.07079*.
- Kornejady, A., Pourghasemi, H. R., & Afzali, S. F. (2019). Presentation of RFFR New Ensemble Model for Landslide Susceptibility Assessment in Iran (pp. 123–143). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-77377-3_7
- Kraus, K., & Pfeifer, N. (1998). Determination of terrain models in wooded areas with airborne laser scanner data. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, *53*(4), 193–203.
- Kritikos, T., & Davies, T. (2015). Assessment of rainfall-generated shallow landslide/debris-flow susceptibility and runout using a GIS-based approach: Application to western Southern Alps of New Zealand. *Landslides*, *12*(6), 1051–1075.
- Krummenacher, B. (1995). Modellierung der Wirkungsräume von Erd-und Felsbewegungen mit Hilfe Geographischer Informationssysteme (GIS). *Schweizerischen Zeitschrift für Forstwesen*, *146*, 741-61.
- Kussul, N., Shelestov, A., & Skakun, S. (2008). Grid system for flood extent extraction from satellite images. *Earth Science Informatics*, *1*(3–4), 105–117.
- Liaw, A., & Wiener, M. (2002). Classification and regression by randomForest. *R news*, *2*(3), 18-22.
- Lai, K. H. (1974). *Rockfall Danger at the Southern End of Gunung Lang, Ipoh. Geological Survey Report. Ipoh: Minerals & Geoscience Department, Malaysia.*
- Lai, G. T., Razib, A. M. M., Mazlan, N. A., Rafek, A. G., Ailie, N., Serasa, S., & Mohamed, T. R. (2016). Rock slope stability assessment using slope mass rating (SMR) method: Gunung Lang Ipoh Malaysia.
- Lai, G.T., Razib, A.M.M., Mazlan, N.A., Rafek, A.G., Serasa, A.S., Simon, N., Surip, N., Ern, L.K., and Mohamed, T.R. (2017). Rock Slope Stability Assessment of Limestone Hills, Southern Kinta Valley, Ipoh, Perak, Malaysia. *Geological Behavior*, *1*(2):05–09.
- Lambert, S., Bourrier, F., Toe, D. (2013). Improving three-dimensional rockfall trajectory simulation codes for assessing the efficiency of protective embankments. *Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci.*, *60*, 26–36.

- Lan HX, Martin CD, L. C. (2007). RockFall analyst: a GIS extension for three-dimensional and spatially distributed rockfall hazard modeling. *Comput Geosci*, 33, 262–279.
- Latif, Z. A., Aman, S. N. A., & Pradhan, B. (2012). Landslide susceptibility mapping using LiDAR derived factors and frequency ratio model: Ulu Klang area, Malaysia. In *IEEE 8th International Colloquium on Signal Processing and Its Applications (CSPA), 2012*, 378–382.
- Lee, S., Ryu, J. H., & Kim, I. S. (2007). Landslide susceptibility analysis and its verification using likelihood ratio, logistic regression, and artificial neural network models: case study of Youngin, Korea. *Landslides*, 4(4), 327–338.
- Leine RI, Schweizer A, Christen M, Glover J, Bartelt P, G. W. (2014). Simulation of rockfall trajectories with consideration of rock shape. *Multibody Syst Dyn*, 32, 241–271.
- Li, Langping and Lan, H. (2015). Probabilistic modeling of rockfall trajectories: a review. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 74(4), 1163–1176.
- Lichti, D. D., & Jamtsho, S. (2006). Angular resolution of terrestrial laser scanners. *The Photogrammetric Record*, 21(114), 141–160.
- Lichti, D. D. (2007). Error modeling, calibration and analysis of an AM–CW terrestrial laser scanner system. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 61(5), 307–324.
- Li, H. B., Li, X. W., Li, W. Z., Zhang, S. L., & Zhou, J. W. (2019). Quantitative assessment for the rockfall hazard in a post-earthquake high rock slope using terrestrial laser scanning. *Engineering Geology*, 248, 1-13.
- Li, L. L., & Wang, J. K. (2012, October). SAR image ship detection based on ant colony optimization. In *2012 5th International Congress on Image and Signal Processing* (pp. 1100-1103). IEEE.
- LKA, D. (2012). Rockyfor3D (v5.1) revealed. Transparent description of the complete 3D rockfall model. Available at: http://www.ecorisq.org/docs/Rockyfor3D_v5_1_EN.pdf. last accessed on 19.10.2012.
- Losasso, L., Jaboyedoff, M., & Sdao, F. (2017). Potential rock fall source areas identification and rock fall propagation in the province of Potenza territory using an empirically distributed approach. *Landslides*, 14(5), 1593–1602. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-017-0807-x>

- Loye, A., Jaboyedoff, M., & Pedrazzini, A. (2009). Identification of potential rockfall source areas at a regional scale using a DEM-based geomorphometric analysis. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Science*, 9(5), 1643–1653. <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-9-1643-2009>
- Malamud B, Turcotte D, Guzzetti F, R. P. (2004). Landslide inventories and their statistical properties. *Earth Surf Proc Land*, 29, 687–711.
- Mallick, Javed and Singh, Ram Karan and AlAwadh, Mohammed A and Islam, Saiful and Khan, Roohul Abad and Qureshi, M. N. (2018). GIS-based landslide susceptibility evaluation using fuzzy-AHP multi-criteria decision-making techniques in the Abha Watershed, Saudi Arabia. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 77(7), 276.
- Mathew, R., Karp, C. M., Beaudoin, B., Vuong, N., Chen, G., Chen, H. Y., ... & DiPaola, R. S. (2009). Autophagy suppresses tumorigenesis through elimination of p62. *Cell*, 137(6), 1062-1075.
- Marjanović, M., Kovačević, M., Bajat, B., & Voženílek, V. (2011). Landslide susceptibility assessment using SVM machine learning algorithm. *Engineering Geology*, 123(3), 225–234.
- Menard, S. (2000). Coefficients of determination for multiple logistic regression analysis. *The American Statistician*, 54(1), 17–24.
- Messenzehl, K., Meyer, H., Otto, J. C., Hoffmann, T., & Dikau, R. (2017). Regional-scale controls on the spatial activity of rockfalls (Turtmann valley, Swiss Alps)—a multivariate modeling approach. *Geomorphology*, 287, 29-45.
- Mohammed, T. R., & Termizi, A. K. (2012). *Report Summary of Geological Hazard at Gua Tempurung, Kampar, Perak. Minerals & Geoscience Department, Malaysia, Perak.*
- Mignelli, C., Pomarico, S., & Peila, D. (2013). Use of multi-criteria model to compare devices for the protection of roads against Rockfall. *Environmental & Engineering Geoscience*, 19(3), 289-302.
- Mokarram, Marzieh and Zarei, A. R. (2018). Landslide Susceptibility Mapping Using Fuzzy-AHP. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 36(6), 3931–3943.
- Morelli, Stefano and Segoni, Samuele and Manzo, Goffredo and Ermini, Leonardo and Catani, F. (2012). Urban planning, flood risk and public policy: the case of the Arno River, Firenze, Italy. *Applied Geography*, 34, 205–218.

- Mote, T. I., Skinner, M. D., Taylor, M. L., & Lyons, C. (2019). Site-Specific Rockfall Risk Assessments and Rockfall Protection Structure Design Following the 2010/2011 Canterbury Earthquake Sequence. In *IAEG/AEG Annual Meeting Proceedings, San Francisco, California, 2018-Volume 5* (pp. 143-152). Springer, Cham.
- Naghibi, Seyed Amir and Moghaddam, Davood Davoodi and Kalantar, Bahareh and Pradhan, Biswajeet and Kisi, O. (2017). A comparative assessment of GIS-based data mining models and a novel ensemble model in groundwater well potential mapping. *Journal of Hydrology*, 548, 471--483.
- Nefeslioglu, H. A., Duman, T. Y., & Durmaz, S. (2008a). Landslide susceptibility mapping for a part of tectonic Kelkit Valley (Eastern Black Sea region of Turkey). *Geomorphology*, 94(3), 401–418.
- Nefeslioglu, H. A., Gokceoglu, C., & Sonmez, H. (2008b). An assessment on the use of logistic regression and artificial neural networks with different sampling strategies for the preparation of landslide susceptibility maps. *Engineering Geology*, 97(3), 171–191.
- Nefeslioglu, Hakan A and Sezer, Ebru Akcapinar and Gokceoglu, Candan and Ayas, Z. (2013). A modified analytical hierarchy process (M-AHP) approach for decision support systems in natural hazard assessments. *Computers & Geosciences*, 59, 1–8.
- Nguyen, D. H., & Widrow, B. (1990). Neural networks for self-learning control systems. *IEEE Control systems magazine*, 10(3), 18-23.
- NOWJAVAN, MOHAMMAD REZA and HAYATI, G. (2013). Landslide hazard zonation using analytical hierarchy process method case study: Siah Khor basin. *QUARTERLY GEOGRAPHICAL JOURNAL OF TERRITORY*, 10(38), 81–92.
- Ohlmacher, G. C., & Davis, J. C. (2003). Using multiple logistic regression and GIS technology to predict landslide hazard in northeast Kansas, USA. *Engineering Geology*, 69(3), 331–343.
- Ozdemir, A. (2011). GIS-based groundwater spring potential mapping in the Sultan Mountains (Konya, Turkey) using frequency ratio, weights of evidence and logistic regression methods and their comparison. *Journal of Hydrology*, 411(3), 290–308.
- Paronuzzi, P. (2009). Field Evidence and Kinematical Back-Analysis of Block Rebounds: The Lavone Rockfall, Northern Italy. *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, 42, 783–813.

- Parpinelli, R. S., Lopes, H. S., & Freitas, A. A. (2002). Data mining with an ant colony optimization algorithm. *IEEE transactions on evolutionary computation*, 6(4), 321-332.
- Pellicani, R., Spilotro, G., & Van Westen, C. J. (2016). Rockfall trajectory modeling combined with heuristic analysis for assessing the rockfall hazard along the Maratea SS18 coastal road (Basilicata, Southern Italy). *Landslides*, 13(5), 985–1003. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-015-0665-3>
- Peng, Ling and Niu, Ruiqing and Huang, Bo and Wu, Xueling and Zhao, Yunnan and Ye, R. (2014). Landslide susceptibility mapping based on rough set theory and support vector machines: A case of the Three Gorges area, China. *Geomorphology*, 204, 287–301.
- Pesci, A., Teza, G., & Ventura, G. (2008). Remote sensing of volcanic terrains by terrestrial laser scanner: Preliminary reflectance and RGB implications for studying Vesuvius crater (Italy). *Annals of Geophysics*, 51(4), 633–653.
- Petley, David, N. (2012). Global patterns of loss of life from landslides. *Geology*, 40(10), 927–930.
- Petley, D. N. (2013). Characterizing giant landslides. *Science*, 339(6126), 1395–1396.
- Pfeiffer, Timothy J and BOWEN, T. D. (1989). Computer simulation of rockfalls. *Bulletin of the Association of Engineering Geologists*, 26(1), 135--146.
- Pham, B. T., & Prakash, I. (2017, October). A Novel Hybrid Intelligent Approach of Random Subspace Ensemble and Reduced Error Pruning Trees for Landslide Susceptibility Modeling: A Case Study at Mu Cang Chai District, Yen Bai Province, Viet Nam. In *International Conference on Geo-Spatial Technologies and Earth Resources* (pp. 255-269). Springer, Cham.
- Pham, Binh Thai and Pradhan, Biswajeet and Bui, Dieu Tien and Prakash, Indra and Dholakia, M. (2016). A comparative study of different machine learning methods for landslide susceptibility assessment: a case study of Uttarakhand area (India). *Environmental Modelling \& Software*, 84, 240–250.
- Pham, B. T., Bui, D. T., & Prakash, I. (2018a). Bagging based Support Vector Machines for spatial prediction of landslides. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 77(4), 146.

- Pham, B. T., Shirzadi, A., Bui, D. T., Prakash, I., & Dholakia, M. B. (2018b). A hybrid machine learning ensemble approach based on a Radial Basis Function neural network and Rotation Forest for landslide susceptibility modeling: A case study in the Himalayan area, India. *International Journal of Sediment Research*, 33(2), 157-170.
- Pham, B. T., Bui, D. T., Dholakia, M. B., Prakash, I., Pham, H. V., Mehmood, K., & Le, H. Q. (2017a). A novel ensemble classifier of rotation forest and Naïve Bayer for landslide susceptibility assessment at the Luc Yen district, Yen Bai Province (Viet Nam) using GIS. *Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk*, 8(2), 649–671. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19475705.2016.1255667>
- Pham, B. T., Tien Bui, D., Prakash, I., & Dholakia, M. B. (2017b). Hybrid integration of Multilayer Perceptron Neural Networks and machine learning ensembles for landslide susceptibility assessment at Himalayan area (India) using GIS. *CATENA*, 149, 52–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CATENA.2016.09.007>
- Pham, B. T., Bui, D. T., Pham, H. V., Le, H. Q., Prakash, I., & Dholakia, M. B. (2017c). Landslide hazard assessment using random subspace fuzzy rules based classifier ensemble and probability analysis of rainfall data: a case study at Mu Cang Chai District, Yen Bai Province (Viet Nam). *Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing*, 45(4), 673-683.
- Pham, B. T., & Prakash, I. (2017). A novel hybrid model of Bagging-based Naïve Bayes Trees for landslide susceptibility assessment. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 1-15.
- Pham, B. T., Prakash, I., & Bui, D. T. (2018c). Spatial prediction of landslides using a hybrid machine learning approach based on Random Subspace and Classification and Regression Trees. *Geomorphology*, 303, 256-270.
- Pourghasemi, H. R., Pradhan, B., & Gokceoglu, C. (2012a). Application of fuzzy logic and analytical hierarchy process (AHP) to landslide susceptibility mapping at Haraz watershed. *Natural Hazards*, 63(2), 965–996.
- Pourghasemi, Hamid Reza and Mohammady, Majid and Pradhan, B. (2012b). Landslide susceptibility mapping using index of entropy and conditional probability models in GIS: Safarood Basin, Iran. *Catena*, 97, 71–84.
- Pradhan, B. (2013). A comparative study on the predictive ability of the decision tree, support vector machine and neuro-fuzzy models in landslide susceptibility mapping using GIS. *Computers & Geosciences*, 51, 350-365.

- Pradhan, A. M. S., & Kim, Y. T. (2014). Relative effect method of landslide susceptibility zonation in weathered granite soil: A case study in Deokjeokri Creek, South Korea. *Natural Hazards*, 72(2), 1189–1217.
- Pradhan, B., & Lee, S. (2010). Delineation of landslide hazard areas on Penang Island, Malaysia, by using frequency ratio, logistic regression, and artificial neural network models. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 60(5), 1037–1054.
- Pradhan, Biswajeet and Fanos, A. M. (2017). Rockfall Hazard Assessment: An Overview. In *Laser Scanning Applications in Landslide Assessment* (pp. 299–322). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Pradhan, A. M. S., Kang, H. S., Lee, J. S., & Kim, Y. T. (2017). An ensemble landslide hazard model incorporating rainfall threshold for Mt. Umyeon, South Korea. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 1–16.
- Pradhan, B. (2010). Landslide susceptibility mapping of a catchment area using frequency ratio, fuzzy logic and multivariate logistic regression approaches. *Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing*, 38(2), 301–320.
- Pradhan, B. (2011). Manifestation of an advanced fuzzy logic model coupled with Geo-information techniques to landslide susceptibility mapping and their comparison with logistic regression modelling. *Environmental and Ecological Statistics*, 18(3), 471–493. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10651-010-0147-7>
- Pradhan, B. (2013). A comparative study on the predictive ability of the decision tree, support vector machine and neuro-fuzzy models in landslide susceptibility mapping using GIS. *Computers & Geosciences*, 51, 350–365.
- Pradhan, B., Abokharima, M. H., Jebur, M. N., & Tehrany, M. S. (2014). Land subsidence susceptibility mapping at Kinta Valley (Malaysia) using the evidential belief function model in GIS. *Natural Hazards*, 73(2), 1019–1042. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-014-1128-1>
- Pradhan, B., & Lee, S. (2010). Delineation of landslide hazard areas on Penang Island, Malaysia, by using frequency ratio, logistic regression, and artificial neural network models. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 60(5), 1037–1054. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-009-0245-8>
- Prasad, R., Pandey, A., Singh, K. P., Singh, V. P., Mishra, R. K., & Singh, D. (2012). Retrieval of spinach crop parameters by microwave remote sensing with back propagation artificial neural networks: A comparison of different transfer functions. *Advances in Space Research*, 50(3), 363–370.

- Provost, F., & Fawcett, T. (2001). Robust classification for imprecise environments. *Machine Learning*, 42(3), 203–231.
- Ravanel, Ludovic and Deline, P. (2011). Climate influence on rockfalls in high-Alpine steep rockwalls: The north side of the Aiguilles de Chamonix (Mont Blanc massif) since the end of the 'Little Ice Age. *The Holocene*, 21(2), 357–365.
- Ritchie, A. M. (1963). Evaluation of rockfall and its control. *Highway research record*, (17).
- Redner, R. A., and Walker, H. F. (1984). Mixture densities, maximum likelihood and the EM algorithm. *SIAM Rev.*, 62(2), 195–239.
- Regmi, A. D., Devkota, K. C., Yoshida, K., Pradhan, B., P., & H. R., Kumamoto, T., et al. (2014). Application of frequency ratio, statistical index, and weights-of-evidence models and their comparison in landslide susceptibility mapping in Central Nepal Himalaya. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 7(2), 725–742.
- Reichenbach, Paola and Galli, Mirco and Cardinali, Mauro and Guzzetti, Fausto and Ardizzone, F. (2004). Geomorphological mapping to assess landslide risk: Concepts, methods and applications in the Umbria region of central Italy. *Landslide Hazard Risk*, 429–468.
- Reynolds, D. (2015). Gaussian Mixture Models. In *Encyclopedia of Biometrics* (pp. 827–832). Boston, MA: Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4899-7488-4_196
- Ritchie, A. M. (1963). Evaluation of rockfall and its control. *Highway Research Record*, 17, 13–28.
- Rochet, L. (1987). Development of numerical models for the analysis of propagation of rock-falls. *6th Int. Congress on Rock Mech*, 1, 479–484.
- RocPro3D. (2014). RocPro3D software. http://www.rocpro3d.com/rocpro3d_en.php (accessed on 15 January 2019).
- Rocscience Inc. (2013) RocFall 5.0. <https://www.rocscience.com/products/12/RocFall>.
- Roering, J. J., Mackey, B. H., Marshall, J. A., Sweeney, K. E., D., & N. I., Booth, A. M., et al. (2013). Connecting the dots with airborne LiDAR for geomorphic fieldwork. *Geomorphology*, 200, 172–183.
- Rokach, L. (2010). Ensemble-based classifiers. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 33(1), 1–39.

- Rosser, N. J., Brain, M. J., Petley, D. N., Lim, M., and Norman, E. C. (2013). Coastline retreat via progressive failure of rocky coastal cliffs. *Geology*, 41(8), 939–942.
- Rossi M, Guzzetti F, Reichenbach P, Mondini AC, P. S. (2010). Optimal landslide susceptibility zonation based on multiple forecasts. *Geomorphology*, 114, 129–142.
- Santi, P. M., Russell, C. P., Higgins, J. D., and Spriet, J. I. (2009). Modification and statistical analysis of the Colorado rockfall hazard rating system. *Engineering Geology*, 104(1–2), 55–65.
- Sass, O. (2010). Spatial and temporal patterns of talus activity—a lichenometric approach in the Stubai Alps, Austria. *Geografiska Annaler: Series A, Physical Geography*, 92(3), 375–391.
- Saaty, T. (1980). The analytic hierarchy process. *And William Rees*, 41(11), 1073–1076.
- Scaioni, Marco and Feng, Tiantian and Lu, Ping and Qiao, Gang and Tong, Xiaohua and Li, Ron and Barazzetti, Luigi and Previtali, Mattia and Roncella, R. (2015). Close-range photogrammetric techniques for deformation measurement: Applications to landslides. In *Modern Technologies for Landslide Monitoring and Prediction* (pp. 13–41). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- SHABANI, EBAD and JAVADI, MOHAMMAD REZA and ZARE, K. E. M. (2014). Landslide hazard zonation using information value and analytical hierarchy process (AHP) methods (a case study: Shalmanrood watershed). *JOURNAL OF WATERSHED MANAGEMENT RESEARCH*, 5(10), 157–169.
- Shu, Y. K., & Lai, K. H. (1973). *Rockfall Danger at Gunung Lang Rifle Range Road, Ipoh. Geological Survey Report. Ipoh: Minerals & Geoscience Department, Malaysia.*
- Shu, Y. K., & Razak, Y. A. (1984). *Rockfall at Gunung Pondok Padang Rengas, Perak. Geological Survey Report. Ipoh: Minerals & Geoscience Department, Malaysia.*
- Shu, YK and Lai, K. (1980). Rockfall at Gunung Cheroh, Ipoh. *Geological Survey Malaysia, Geological Papers*, 3, 1--9.
- Sidle, Roy C and Ochiai, H. (2006). Landslides: processes, prediction, and land use. *American Geophysical Union*, 18.

- Simon, N., Ghani, M. F. A., Hussin, A., Lai, G. T., Rafek, A. G., Surip, N., ... & Ern, L. K. (2015). Assessment of rockfall potential of limestone hills in the Kinta Valley. *Journal of Sustainability Science and Management*, 10(2), 24-34.
- Sithole, G., & Vosselman, G. (2001). Filtering of laser altimetry data using a slope adaptive filter. *International Archives of Photogrammetry Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 34(3/W4), 203–210.
- Slatton, K. C., Carter, W. E., Shrestha, R. L., & Dietrich, W. (2017). Airborne laser swath mapping: Achieving the resolution and accuracy required for geosurficial research. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34, 23.
- Spadari M, Kardani M, De Carteret R, Giacomini A, Buzzi O, F., & S, S. S. (2013). Statistical evaluation of rockfall energy ranges for different geological settings of New South Wales, Australia. *Eng Geol*, 158, 57–65.
- Swets, J. A. (1988). Measuring the accuracy of diagnostic systems. *Science*, 240(4857), 1285–1293.
- Tagliavini, F., Reichenbach, P., Maragna, D., Guzzetti, F., Pasuto, A. (2008). Comparison of 2-D and 3-D computer models for the M. Salta rock fall, Vajont Valley, northern Italy. *Geoinformatica*, 13, 323– 337.
- Tao, W., Jin, H., & Liu, L. (2007). Object segmentation using ant colony optimization algorithm and fuzzy entropy. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 28(7), 788-796.
- Tarboton, D. G. (1997). A new method for the determination of flow directions and upslope areas in grid digital elevation models. *Water Resources Research*, 33(2), 309–319.
- Tien Bui, D., Pradhan, B., Lofman, O., & Revhaug, I. (2012). Landslide susceptibility assessment in vietnam using support vector machines, decision tree, and Naive Bayes Models. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*.
- Toutin, T. (2002). Impact of terrain slope and aspect on radargrammetric DEM accuracy. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 57(3), 228–240.
- Van Den Eeckhaut, M., Vanwalleghem, T., Poesen, J., Govers, G., Verstraeten, G., & Vandekerckhove, L. (2006). Prediction of landslide susceptibility using rare events logistic regression: a case-study in the Flemish Ardennes (Belgium). *Geomorphology*, 76(3), 392–410.

- Van Dijke, J. and van Westen, C. (1990). Rockfall hazard: a geomorphological application of neighbourhood analysis with ILWIS. *ITC Journal*, 1, 40–44.
- Van Westen, C. J., Castellanos, E., & Kuriakose, S. K. (2008). Spatial data for landslide susceptibility, hazard, and vulnerability assessment: An overview. *Engineering Geology*, 102, 112–131.
- Van Westen CJ, Rengers N, Terlien MTJ, S. R. (1997). Prediction of the occurrence of slope instability phenomenon through GISbased hazard zonation. *Geol Rundsch*, 86, 404–414.
- Varnes, D. (1978). Slope Movement Types and Processes.[In:] Schuster RL & Krizek RJ (eds), Landslides: Analysis and Control. Special Rep. 176. *Transportation Research Board, Nat. Acad. of Science, Washington*.
- Vishal, V., Siddique, T., Purohit, R., Phophliya, M. K., & Pradhan, S. P. (2017). Hazard assessment in rockfall-prone Himalayan slopes along National Highway-58, India: rating and simulation. *Natural Hazards*, 85(1), 487–503. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-016-2563-y>
- Volkwein, A., Schellenberg, K., Labiouse, V., Agliardi, F., Berger, F., Bourrier, F., & Dorren, L. K. A., Gerber, W., and Jaboyedo, M. (2011). Rockfall characterisation and structural protection - a review. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Science*, 11(9), 2617–2651.
- Vosselman, G. (2000). Slope based filtering of laser altimetry data. *International Archives of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 33(B3/2; PART 3), 935–942.
- Wang, G., Joyce, J., Phillips, D., Shrestha, R., & Carter, W. (2013). Delineating and de-fining the boundaries of an active landslide in the rainforest of Puerto Rico using a combination of airborne and terrestrial LiDAR data. *Landslides*, 10(4), 503–513.
- Wilson, J. P., & Gallant, J. C. (2000). Digital terrain analysis. *Terrain Analysis: Principles and Applications*, 6(12), 1–27.
- Wohlers, A., Kreuzer, T., & Damm, B. (2017, May). Case histories for the investigation of landslide repair and mitigation measures in NW Germany. In *Workshop on World Landslide Forum* (pp. 519-525). Springer, Cham.
- Wolpert, D. H. (1992). Stacked generalization. *Neural Networks*, 5, 241--259.
- Woltjer M, Rammer W, Brauner M, Seidl R, Mohren GMJ, L. M. (2008). Coupling a 3D patch model and a rockfall module to assess rockfall protection in mountain forests. *J Environ Manage*, 87, 373–388.

- Wong, T. W. (1979). *Rockfall Danger at Project Area of Mini Disneyland in Gunung Lang, Ipoh. Geological Survey Report. Ipoh: Minerals & Geoscience Department, Malaysia.*
- Wu, K. P., & Wang, S. D. (2009). Choosing the kernel parameters for support vector machines by the inter-cluster distance in the feature space. *Pattern Recognition*, 42(5), 710–717.
- Wu, S. S. (1984). Rockfall evaluation by computer simulation. *Transportation research record*, 1031, 1-5.
- Wyllie, D. C. (2014). Calibration of rock fall modeling parameters. *Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci*, 67, 170– 180.
- Yan, W. Y., Shaker, A., Habib, A., & Kersting, A. P. (2012). Improving classification accuracy of airborne LiDAR intensity data by geometric calibration and radiometric correction. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 67, 35–44.
- Yan, Wai Yeung and Shaker, Ahmed and El-Ashmawy, N. (2015). Urban land cover classification using airborne LiDAR data: A review. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 158, 295--310.
- Yesilnacar, E., Topal, T. (2005). Landslide susceptibility mapping: a comparison of logistic regression and neural networks methods in a medium scale study, Hendek region (Turkey). *Engineering Geology*, 79, 251–266.
- Youssef, A. M., Pourghasemi, H. R., Pourtaghi, Z. S., & Al-Katheeri, M. M. (2016). Landslide susceptibility mapping using random forest, boosted regression tree, classification and regression tree, and general linear models and comparison of their performance at Wadi Tayyah Basin, Asir Region, Saudi Arabia. *Landslides*, 13(5), 839–856. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-015-0614-1>
- Youssef, A. M., Pradhan, B., Jebur, M. N., & El-Harbi, H. M. (2015). Landslide susceptibility mapping using ensemble bivariate and multivariate statistical models in Fayfa area, Saudi Arabia. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 73(7), 3745–3761. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-014-3661-3>
- Zafarani, H. R., Hassani, A., & Bagherpour, E. (2014). Achieving a desirable combination of strength and workability in Al/SiC composites by AHP selection method. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 589, 295-300.
- Zhan, Z., & Lai, B. (2015). A novel DSM filtering algorithm for landslide monitoring based on multiconstraints. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 8(1), 324–331.

- Zhang, K., Chen, S. C., Whitman, D., Shyu, M. L., Yan, J., & Z., & C. (2003). A progressive morphological filter for removing nonground measurements from airborne LiDAR data. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 41(4), 872–882.
- Zhang, W., Qi, J., Wan, P., Wang, H., Xie, D., Wang, X., & Yan, G. (2016a). An easy-to-use airborne LiDAR data filtering method based on cloth simulation. *Remote Sensing*, 8(6), 501.
- Zhang, Y., Xiong, X., & Hu, X. (2013). Rigorous LiDAR strip adjustment with triangulated aerial imagery. *ISPRS Annals of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 5(w2), 361–366.
- Zhang, Y., Xiong, X., Zheng, M., & Huang, X. (2015). LiDAR strip adjustment using multifeatures matched with aerial images. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 53(2), 976–987.
- Zhang, G., Cai, Y., Zheng, Z., Zhen, J., Liu, Y., & Huang, K. (2016b). Integration of the statistical index method and the analytic hierarchy process technique for the assessment of landslide susceptibility in Huizhou, China. *Catena*, 142, 233-244.

BIODATA OF STUDENT

Ali Mutar Fanos was born on 28th January 1987 in Baghdad, Iraq. He received his Bachelor in surveying engineering from University of Baghdad, Iraq in 2008. He obtained his MSc in GIS and Geomatic Engineering from Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) in 2016. Apart from this, he worked as a senior engineer with State Commission on Survey, Ministry of water resources, in different projects in Iraq from 2008 to 2014. Currently, he is a PhD candidate in the field of Geographic Information System (GIS) in the Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Putra Malaysia.



LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Fanos, A. M., & Pradhan, B. (2019). A novel rockfall hazard assessment using laser scanning data and 3D modelling in GIS. *CATENA*, 172, 435-450.
- Fanos, A. M., Pradhan, B., Mansor, S., Yusoff, Z. M., & bin Abdullah, A. F. (2018). A hybrid model using machine learning methods and GIS for potential rockfall source identification from airborne laser scanning data. *Landslides*, 15(9), 1833-1850.
- Fanos, A. M., & Pradhan, B. (2018). Laser scanning systems and techniques in rockfall source identification and risk assessment: a critical review. *Earth Systems and Environment*, 1-20.
- Fanos, A. M. & Pradhan, B. (2017). Application of LiDAR in rockfall hazard assessment in tropical region. In *Laser Scanning Applications in Landslide Assessment* (pp. 323-359). Springer, Cham.
- Fanos, A. M. & Pradhan, B. (2017). Rockfall hazard assessment: an overview. In *Laser Scanning Applications in Landslide Assessment* (pp. 299-322). Springer, Cham.
- Fanos, A. M., & Pradhan, B. (2019). A Spatial Ensemble Model for Rockfall Source Identification from High Resolution LiDAR Data and GIS. *IEEE Access*.
- Fanos, A. M., Pradhan, B., Mansor, S., Yusoff, Z. M., Abdullah, A. F. B., & Jung, H. S. (2019). Rockfall Source Identification Using a Hybrid Gaussian Mixture-Ensemble Machine Learning Model and LiDAR Data. *대한원격탐사학회지*, 35(1), 93-115.
- Fanos, A. M., & Pradhan, B. (2019). A Novel Hybrid Machine Learning-based Model for Rockfall Source Identification in Presence of Other Landslide Types Using LiDAR and GIS. *Earth Systems and Environment* (accepted).



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

ACADEMIC SESSION : Second Semester 2018/2019

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT :

DEVELOPMENT OF A HYBRID MACHINE LEARNING MODEL FOR ROCKFALL SOURCE AND HAZARD ASSESSMENT USING LASER SCANNING DATA AND GIS

NAME OF STUDENT: ALI MUTAR FANOS

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

*Please tick (v)

CONFIDENTIAL

(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

RESTRICTED

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

OPEN ACCESS

I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for :

PATENT

Embargo from _____ until _____
(date) (date)

Approved by:

(Signature of Student)
New IC No/ Passport No.:

Date :

(Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee)
Name:

Date :

[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentially or restricted.]