



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**COLLABORATIVE EXPERIENCE-BASED FACTORY MODEL FOR
SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS**

MASTURA BINTI HANAFIAH

FSKTM 2019 2



**COLLABORATIVE EXPERIENCE-BASED FACTORY MODEL FOR
SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS**

By

MASTURA BINTI HANAFIAH

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of
Philosophy**

January 2019

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

COLLABORATIVE EXPERIENCE-BASED FACTORY MODEL FOR SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

By

MASTURA BINTI HANAFIAH

January 2019

Chairman : Professor Rusli bin Abdullah, PhD
Faculty : Computer Science and Information Technology

A key aspect in software development (SD) is the management of its knowledge and experiences. Since many decades ago, organizations have been valuing the experiences and know-how of their employees. One of the frameworks that enables learning and continuous improvement is the Experience Factory (EF) framework. Yet, previous literatures have reported that EF is hard, costly, and risky, and requires considerable amount of effort to implement. Although there are several evolutions on this framework over the years, however, the works have been declining in the recent years due to the unwillingness of the organizations to invest and due to the unclear benefits to the employees. In addition, knowledge management (KM) issues in SD still persist until today and software organizations are still striving to learn from previous experiences.

This dissertation proposes a model for managing SD knowledge and experiences based on the EF approach, namely EBF-SD, to address the limitations of EF as well as to overcome the KM issues for SD process in a collaborative environment. The proposed components are SD Process Knowledge Base, Community of Practice Influences, Knowledge Management Process Enablement, and Technology & Infrastructure Support. In order to implement EF, its goals must be clarified and measurable, thus, the components are evaluated against the EF goals.

Qualitative methods such as expert review and pilot study are conducted to verify the initial conceptual model, while quantitative method is used to investigate the relationships between the components and EF goals. Data reliability and construct validity are examined via Rasch Analysis and Factor Analysis, while hypothetical relationships are examined using correlational analysis, multiple

linear regression and Partial Least Squares of Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM).

Empirical study indicates that the components have positive and significant relationships towards EF goals whereby 6 out of 7 hypotheses are supported. Empirical evidences also reveal that technological support is the main significant factor towards the achievement of EF goals. Based on these findings, a prototype is developed to translate the model into a working system, as a proof-of-concept, by implementing the proposed components into appropriate functionalities and relevant technological approaches. Evaluation of the prototype via descriptive statistics and PLS-SEM reveals that the prototype is beneficial and significantly contributes to the achievement of EF goals. Other findings suggest that knowledge quality has higher influence in terms of system usage and user satisfaction as compared to system quality.

The overall research findings demonstrate that the proposed model is adequate, significant and accepted by the software practitioners in the context of collaborative software development environment.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PENGALAMAN KOLABORATIF BERASASKAN MODEL PENGILANGAN BAGI PROSES PEMBANGUNAN PERISIAN

Oleh

MASTURA BINTI HANAFIAH

Januari 2019

Pengerusi : Profesor Rusli bin Abdullah, PhD
Fakulti : Sains Komputer dan Teknologi Maklumat

Aspek utama dalam pembangunan perisian (SD) adalah pengurusan pengetahuan dan pengalamannya. Sejak beberapa dekad lalu, organisasi telah menilai pengalaman dan pengetahuan pekerja mereka. Salah satu rangka kerja yang membolehkan pembelajaran dan peningkatan berterusan adalah *Experience Factory* (EF). Tetapi, EF adalah sukar, mahal, dan berisiko, dan memerlukan banyak usaha untuk dilaksanakan. Walaupun terdapat beberapa evolusi pada rangka kerja ini, bagaimanapun, kerja-kerja tersebut telah berkurangan pada tahun-tahun kebelakangan ini disebabkan oleh keengganan organisasi untuk melabur dan juga kerana manfaat yang tidak jelas kepada pekerja. Di samping itu, isu pengurusan pengetahuan (KM) di dalam SD masih berterusan sehingga hari ini, dan organisasi perisian masih berusaha untuk belajar dari pengalaman sebelumnya.

Disertasi ini mencadangkan satu model untuk mengurus pengetahuan dan pengalaman SD berdasarkan pendekatan EF, yang dinamakan EBF-SD, untuk menangani kekurangan EF dan juga untuk menangani isu-isu KM yang sedia maklum bagi proses SD dalam persekitaran kolaboratif. Komponen yang dicadangkan adalah Pangkalan Pengetahuan Proses SD, Pengaruh Pengalaman Komuniti, Pengayaan Proses Pengurusan Pengetahuan, dan Sokongan Teknologi & Infrastruktur. Untuk melaksanakan EF, matlamatnya mesti dijelaskan dan boleh diukur, oleh itu, komponen-komponen dinilai terhadap matlamat EF.

Kaedah kualitatif seperti tinjauan pakar dan kajian perintis dijalankan untuk mengesahkan model konseptual awalan, dan kaedah kuantitatif digunakan untuk menilai hubungan antara komponen dan matlamat EF. Kebolehpercayaan data dan kesahihan konstruktif diperiksa melalui Analisis Rasch dan Analisis

Faktor, manakala hubungan hipotetis diperiksa menggunakan korelasi, regresi linear berganda dan Model Persamaan Struktur Separa Paling Rendah (PLS-SEM). Kajian empirikal menunjukkan bahawa komponen mempunyai hubungan positif dan signifikan terhadap matlamat EF di mana 6 daripada 7 hipotesis disokong.

Bukti empirikal juga mendedahkan bahawa sokongan teknologi adalah faktor penting yang utama ke arah pencapaian matlamat EF. Berdasarkan penemuan ini, satu prototaip dibangunkan untuk menterjemahkan model ke dalam sistem kerja, sebagai bukti konsep, dengan melaksanakan komponen yang dicadangkan ke dalam fungsi yang sesuai dan pendekatan teknologi yang relevan. Evaluasi prototaip melalui statistik deskriptif dan PLS-SEM mendedahkan bahawa prototaip ini memberi manfaat dan memberi sumbangan besar kepada pencapaian matlamat EF. Penemuan lain menunjukkan bahawa kualiti pengetahuan mempunyai pengaruh yang lebih tinggi dari segi penggunaan sistem dan kepuasan pengguna berbanding dengan kualiti sistem.

Penemuan keseluruhan menunjukkan bahawa model yang dicadangkan adalah mencukupi, penting dan diterima oleh pengamal perisian untuk pembangunan perisian di dalam persekitaran kolaboratif.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am thankful to the Almighty Allah s.w.t for giving me the strength, ability and motivation to complete this dissertation and continuously provide guidance and show me the way through this long journey.

I would like to express my gratitude to my knowledgeable supervisor and advisor, Professor Dr. Rusli Abdullah, my co-supervisors, Associate Professor Dr. Masrah Azrifah Azmi Murad, and Dr. Jamilah Din, for their continuous guidance, support, assistance and motivation throughout the completion of this thesis.

I also would like to thank MyBrain15 (MyPhD) of Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) for the sponsorship of my PhD study, as well as GERAN PUTRA IPS for additional financial support for the necessary publications and related research works.

My special thanks to my family, especially to my husband, Ikmal Hisham Ismail, my children, Syahirah Izzaty, Muhammad Syafiq Hazmi, Harith Syazwan and Hanif Zafran, for their understanding, support, love and encouragement throughout this journey.

Finally yet importantly, I would like to thank all the people around me for always wishing me the best.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Rusli b Abdullah, PhD

Professor

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Chairman)

Masrah Azrifah bt Azmi Murad, PhD

Associate Professor

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Member)

Jamilah bt Din, PhD

Senior Lecturer

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean

School of Graduate Studies

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: _____

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee: Professor Dr. Rusli Abdulllah

Signature: _____
Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Associate Professor Dr. Masrah
Azrifah Azmi Murad

Signature: _____
Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Dr. Jamilah Din

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx
 CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Research Questions	3
1.4 Research Objective	3
1.5 Research Significance	4
1.6 Research Scope	5
1.7 Thesis Organization	5
 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Knowledge Management	7
2.2.1 Tacit and Explicit Knowledge	8
2.2.2 Knowledge vs Experience	9
2.3 Software Development Process	10
2.3.1 Software Development Process	
Knowledge Representation	12
2.4 Knowledge Management in Software	
Development process	14
2.4.1 Collaborative Knowledge Management in	
Software Development Process	18
2.4.2 Related Works on Knowledge	
Management in Software Development	
Process	20
2.5 The Experience Factory	21
2.5.1 Experience Factory Concept	21
2.5.2 Reusable Software Experience	22
2.5.3 Related Models on Experience Factory	23
2.5.4 Experience Based Factory Solutions	24
2.6 Gap Analysis	27

2.7	Further Technological Support for Knowledge Management	29
2.7.1	Infrastructure Service	29
2.7.2	Knowledge Service	30
2.7.3	Presentation Service	32
2.8	The Influences from Organizational and Managerial Towards KM Success	33
2.9	Summary	34
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	35
3.1	Introduction	35
3.2	Research Design	35
3.3	Literature Review	38
3.3.1	Narrative Literature Review	38
3.3.2	Systematic Literature Review	38
3.4	Development of the Conceptual Model	41
3.4.1	Justification on Using the Experience Factory as the Base Framework	42
3.4.2	Instrument Development	43
3.5	Model and Instrument Verification	45
3.5.1	Reliability and Validity	45
3.5.2	Expert Review	45
3.5.3	Pilot Study	49
3.5.4	Pilot Study Results	51
3.6	Model Validation	59
3.6.1	Validation via Empirical Study	59
3.6.2	Validation via a Working Prototype	68
3.7	Summary	74
4	MODEL DEVELOPMENT	75
4.1	Introduction	75
4.2	Addressing the Research Gaps	75
4.3	The Proposed Components of the Model	78
4.3.1	Software Development Process	78
4.3.2	Knowledge Base	79
4.3.3	Community of Practice Involvement	80
4.3.4	Knowledge Management Process Enablement	81
4.4	Technological and Infrastructure Support	82
4.4.1	The Proposed Model	83
4.4.2	EF Goals	85
4.4.3	Organizational and Managerial Influences	85
4.5	The Conceptual Model	86
4.6	Hypothesis Development	87
4.7	Summary	87

5	EMPIRICAL STUDY	88
5.1	Introduction	88
5.2	Empirical Validation	88
5.2.1	Methods and Respondents' Profiles	88
5.2.2	Reliability and Fitness	89
5.2.3	Descriptive Statistics	95
5.2.4	Correlation and Multiple Linear Regression	96
5.2.5	Factor Analysis	99
5.2.6	Conceptual Model Refinement	103
5.2.7	Pre-test and Post-test Reliability of the Instruments	105
5.2.8	Structural Equation Modeling	108
5.3	The Final EBF-SD Model	121
5.4	Summary	123
6	THE PROPOSED PROTOTYPE	124
6.1	Introduction	124
6.2	Proposed Implementation for Model Components	124
6.3	System Requirement Specification	127
6.3.1	System Workflow	127
6.3.2	Scenarios	127
6.3.3	Actors	130
6.3.4	Use Cases	130
6.3.5	Knowledge Classification	131
6.4	System Design	133
6.4.1	System Architecture	133
6.4.2	Ontology Development	134
6.4.3	Agent Design	140
6.4.4	User Interface Design	143
6.4.5	Architectural Design Decision	145
6.5	Prototype Implementation	147
6.6	Summary	149
7	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	150
7.1	Introduction	150
7.2	Hypothesis Testing Result and Discussion	150
7.3	Prototype Evaluation Results	153
7.3.1	Methods and Respondents' Profiles	153
7.3.2	Descriptive Analysis	155
7.3.3	PLS-SEM	159
7.4	Prototype Result Interpretation	165
7.5	Summary	165
8	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS	166
8.1	Introduction	166

8.2	Research Conclusion	166
8.3	Research Contribution	167
	8.3.1 Theoretical Contribution	167
	8.3.2 Practical Contribution	168
8.4	Research Limitation	168
8.5	Future Works	169
8.6	Summary	170

REFERENCES	171
-------------------	-----

APPENDICES	194
-------------------	-----

BIODATA OF STUDENT	270
---------------------------	-----

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	271
-----------------------------	-----



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Summary of KM Process	7
2.2	Classification of SE-Related Ontologies	14
2.3	Papers Related to Particular SD Phase	14
2.4	Relevant papers on KM in SD (2010-2018)	15
2.5	Techniques Used in Previous Research (2010-2018)	18
2.6	Taxonomy of Reusable Software Artifacts	23
2.7	Evolution of EF models	24
2.8	Summary of Research Gaps	27
2.9	The Comparison between On-Premise and Cloud	30
3.1	Summary of Research Activities	37
3.2	Other KM Issues Found in SLR	40
3.3	The EBF-SD-Q elements	43
3.4	Expert Reviewer Profile	46
3.5	List of Statements Used in Expert Review for Model Verification	47
3.6	Summary of Revised Items after Expert Review	48
3.7	Acceptable Range of Rasch Measurement Model	50
3.8	Summary statistics for EBF-SD-Q (pilot study, 1st run)	52
3.9	Table of Standardized Residual Variance for EBF-SD-Q (pilot study, 1st run)	53
3.10	Item Misfit for EBF-SD-Q (pilot study, 1st run)	53
3.11	Person misfit for EBF-SD-Q (pilot study, 1st run)	54
3.12	Summary Statistics for EBF-SD-Q (pilot study, 2nd run)	55
3.13	Table of Standardized Residual Variance for EBF-SD-Q (pilot study, 2nd run)	55
3.14	Item Misfit for EBF-SD-Q (pilot, 2nd run)	57
3.15	Summary on Item Reduction Analysis after Pilot Study	58
3.16	Summary of Revised Items after Pilot Study	58
3.17	Construct Definition	63
3.18	Dimensions and Constructs of J&O KM Success Model	71
3.19	EBF-SD KM Success Model Questionnaire (EBF-SD-KMSQ)	73
4.1	Addressing the Research Gaps	77
4.2	Questionnaire Items for SDP Component	79
4.3	Questionnaire Items for CoP Component	80
4.4	Questionnaire Items for KM Process Component	80
4.5	Questionnaire Items for TECH Component	82
4.6	Questionnaire Items for EF Goals	84
4.7	Questionnaire Items for ORG_MGMT	85
5.1	Respondents' Profiles	89
5.2	Summary Statistics for EBF-SD-Q	90
5.3	Misfitting Items for EBF-SD-Q	92
5.4	Misfitting Persons for EBF-SD-Q	92
5.5	Table of Standardized Residual for EBF-SD-Q	93
5.6	Standardized Residual Loadings for items for EBF-SD-Q	93
5.7	Comparison of Summary Statistics and Unidimensionality for EBF-SD-Q	94

5.8	Item Misfit Order for EBF-SD-Q (2nd run)	94
5.9	Descriptive Statistics for EBF-SD-Q	95
5.10	Pearson Correlation for EBF-SD-Q	97
5.11	Coefficienta Table for EBF-SD-Q (Pre-test)	98
5.12	Model Summary for EBF-SD-Q (Pre-test)	98
5.13	ANOVAa for EBF-SD-Q (Pre-test)	99
5.14	Summary of Correlation and MLR Analysis Result	99
5.15	Extracted Factors for CoP	100
5.16	Extracted Factors for KM	101
5.17	Extracted Factors for TECH	102
5.18	Extracted Factors for ORG_MGMT	103
5.19	New Constructs of EBF-SD	104
5.20	Pre-test and Post-test Instrument Reliability	105
5.21	Coefficients a Table for EBF-SD-Q (post-test)	107
5.22	Model Summary for EBF-SD-Q (post-test)	107
5.23	ANOVAa for EBF-SD-Q (post-test)	108
5.24	The Convergent Validity of the EBF-SD Measurement Model	116
5.25	Hypothesis (H5) Testing	119
5.26	EBF-SD Total Effect	120
5.27	EBF-SD Specific Indirect Effect	120
6.1	Proposed Implementation for Model Components	124
6.2	Standard/Process Reuse Applicability for Waterfall Model and Scrum	131
6.3	Potential Reuse Product Knowledge and Process Knowledge for Waterfall and Scrum	132
6.4	Framework and Implementation Approaches	147
7.1	Summary of EBF-SD Model Validation Empirical Results (H1- H4)	150
7.2	Summary of Hypothesis Result	152
7.3	Respondents Profiles for Prototype Evaluation	153
7.4	Summary Statistics for EBF-SD-KMSQ	154
7.5	Person Misfit for EBF-SD-KMSQ	155
7.6	Item Misfit for EBF-SD-KMSQ	155
7.7	Net Benefit and EF Goals	156
7.8	EBF-SD-KMSQ Convergent Validity	162
7.9	Significant Testing	164
A.1	Summary of Waterfall Structure	194
A.2	Scrum Practices	197
A.3	Summary of Scrum Structure	198
B.1	Issues in KM in GSD in 2010-2014	199
C.1	Expert Review Feedback Part I	207
C.2	Expert Review Feedback by KM_Expert (Part II -- Stage 1)	208
C.3	Expert Review Feedback (Part II -- Stage 2)	210
G.1	Use Case: Manage profile	225
G.2	Use Case: Create project	225
G.3	Use Case: Add product knowledge	225
G.4	Use Case: Add knowledge content (process knowledge)	226
G.5	Use Case: Approve knowledge	226
G.6	Use Case: Publish knowledge	227

G.7	Use Case: Disseminate knowledge	228
G.8	Use Case: Send notification	228
G.9	Use Case: Search knowledge	228
G.10	Use Case: Reuse knowledge	229
G.11	Use Case: View statistics	229
H.1	Item Measures for EBF-SD-Q	230
H.2	Correlation Matrix for CoP	233
H.3	KMO and Bartlett's Test for CoP	233
H.4	Total Variance Explained for CoP	233
H.5	Rotated Component Matrix for CoP	233
H.6	Correlation Matrix for SDP	234
H.7	KMO and Bartlett's Test for SDP	234
H.8	Total Variance Explained for SDP	234
H.9	Extracted Component Matrix for SDP	234
H.10	Correlation Matrix for KM	235
H.11	KMO and Bartlett's Test for KM	235
H.12	Total Variance Explained for KM	235
H.13	Rotated Component Matrix for KM	236
H.14	Correlation Matrix for TECH	236
H.15	KMO and Bartlett's Test for TECH	237
H.16	Total Variance Explained for TECH	237
H.17	Rotated Component Matrix for TECH	237
H.18	Correlation Matrix for ORG_MGMT	237
H.19	KMO and Bartlett's Test for ORG_MGMT	238
H.20	Total Variance Explained for ORG_MGMT	238
H.21	Rotated Component Matrix for ORG_MGMT	238
H.22	Correlation Matrix for EF_GOALS	239
H.23	KMO and Bartlett's Test for EF	239
H.24	Total Variance Explained for EF	239
H.25	Component Matrix for EF_GOALS	239
H.26	Correlations Coefficient (post-test)	240
H.27	Indicator Item Cross Loadings	241
H.28	Discriminant Validity Fornell-Larcker	243
H.29	Item Measures for EBF-SD-KMSQ	244
H.30	Cross Loadings for EBF-SD-KMSQ	245
H.31	Farnell-Larcker Criterion for EBF-SD-KMSQ	247

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 The SECI Model	9
2.2 Knowledge and Experience Life Cycles	10
2.3 The Waterfall Model	11
2.4 The Scrum Framework	11
2.5 Partial Software Process Ontology	13
2.6 The Experience Factory	22
2.7 The Practice Selection Framework	25
2.8 The Experience Base Model	26
2.9 Knowledge Experience Package	26
2.10 Collaboration Model	32
3.1 Research Design	35
3.2 Article Selection Method	39
3.3 Person Item Distribution Map (PIDM) for EBF-SD-Q (pilot study, 2nd run)	56
3.4 Sample Size Calculation Using G*Power 3	60
3.5 Four Type of HOCs	63
3.6 HOC 2-stage Approach	66
3.7 The Prototyping Approach	68
3.8 KM Success Model	71
4.1 The Initial Conceptual EBF-SD Model	78
4.2 The Conceptual Model of EBF-SD	86
4.3 The Hypothetical Model of EBF-SD	86
5.1 Person-Item Distribution Map (PIDM) for EBF-SD-Q	91
5.2 Standardized Residual Contrast 1 Plot for EBF-SD-Q	93
5.3 The Refined EBF-SD Model	104
5.4 The EBF-SD Brief Path Model	109
5.5 EBF-SD Measurement and Structural Path Model	110
5.6 EBF-SD PLS-SEM Path Model	111
5.7 Indicators for the EBF-SD Measurement Model	112
5.8 EBF-SD Structural Model (1st stage)	113
5.9 The Outer Loadings of the EBF-SD Measurement Model	115
5.10 The EBF-SD Structural Model (2nd stage)	118
5.11 The EBF-SD Path Coefficient and R ²	119
5.12 The EBF-SD Significant Testing	120
5.13 The Finalized EBF-SD Model	122
6.1 System Workflow	129
6.2 Use Case Diagram	131
6.3 EBF-SD Design Framework	133
6.4 High Level Ontology Design	135
6.5 OWLViz Diagram	136
6.6 The Ontology Hierarchy	137
6.7 Scrum Ontology Design	138
6.8 Waterfall Ontology Design	138
6.9 ProcessKnowledge Ontology Design	139
6.10 ProductKnowledge Ontology Design	140

6.11	Goal Overview Diagram	141
6.12	Send Notification Role Diagram	141
6.13	Recommend Knowledge Role Diagram	142
6.14	Agent Role Coupling Diagram	142
6.15	Agent Acquaintance Diagram	143
6.16	System Overview Diagram	143
6.17	Process Model Life Cycle Tree-View Screen	144
7.1	Mean Score and Standard Deviation for Perceived Net Benefit	157
7.2	Mean Score and Standard Deviation for Perceived System Quality	157
7.3	Mean Score and Standard Deviation for Perceived Knowledge Quality	158
7.4	Mean Score and Standard Deviation for Perceived User Satisfaction	159
7.5	Mean Score and Standard Deviation for Perceived Intent to Use	159
7.6	Path Model for Prototype Evaluation	160
7.7	EBF-SD-KMSQ Outer Loadings	161
7.8	The Path Model for Prototype Evaluation	163
7.9	The Path Coefficient and R ²	164

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
A	Software Process Model Structure	193
B	SLR Analysis	198
C1	Invitation Letter for Expert Review Panel	200
C2	Expert Review	201
C3	Expert Review Feedback	206
D	EBF-SD Questionnaire	211
E	Revised EBF-SD Questionnaire	217
F	EBF-SD KMS Questionnaire	221
G	Use Cases	224
H	Tables of Results	229
I	User Manual	247

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AVE	Average Variance Extracted
C_COLL	Communication and Collaboration
CC	Cloud Computing
CONTENT	KM Content Process
CoP	Community of Practice
CR	Composite Reliability
D&M	DeLone and McLean
EBF-SD	Experience Based Factory Model for Software Development Process
EBF-SD-Q	EBF-SD Questionnaire
EBF-SD-KMSQ	EBF-SD Knowledge Management Success Questionnaire
EF	Experience Factory
EF_GOALS	EF goals
EF_ORG	EF Organization
EFA	Exploratory Factor Analysis
ESEM	Enterprise Software Engineering Model
FA	Factor Analysis
GSD	Global Software Development
HOC	Higher Order Construct
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
IS	Information Systems
IT	Information Technology
J&O	Jennex & Olfman
K_ORG	Knowledge Organization
K_SHARE	Knowledge Sharing Community
KM	Knowledge Management
KMO	Kaiser Meyer Olkin
KM_FORM	KM Form
KM_LEVEL	KM Level
KM_PROC	Knowledge Management Process
KMSS	Knowledge Management System Success
KQ	Knowledge Quality
LINK	Linkage
LOC	Lower Order Construct

LR	Literature Review
LVS	Latent Variable Scores
MAS	Multi-agent Systems
MGMT	Management Influences
MLR	Multiple Linear Regression
MNSQ	Mean square
NB	Net Benefit
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
OKC	Organizational Knowledge Creation Theory
ORG_CL	Organizational Culture Influences
ORG_MGMT	Organizational and Managerial Level
OWL	Web Ontology Language
PLS-SEM	Partial Least Square Structural Equation Modeling
PT_SM	Portal and Social Media
PTMEA CORR	Point measure correlation
PCA	Principal component analysis
PIDM	Person Item Distribution Map
PLS	Partial Least Square
PROJ_ORG	Project Organization
QA	Quality Assurance
RA	Rasch Analysis
RICH	Richness
RMM	Rasch Measurement Model
RQ	Research Question
RUP	Rational Unified Process
SAT	User Satisfaction
SD	Software Development
SDP	Software Development Process
SDLC	Software Development Life Cycle
SECI	Socialization, Externalization, Combination, Internalization
SEM	Structural Equation Modeling
SLR	Systematic Literature Review
SPEM	Software Process Engineering Meta-Model
SQ	Software Quality
SWEBOK	Software Engineering Body of Knowledge

TECH	Technological and Infrastructure
TECH_RES	Technological Resources
TECH_SUP	Technological Support
TVE	Total Variance Explained
UI	User Interface
UML	Unified Modelling Language
USE	Intent to Use/Perceived Benefit
VAF	Variance Accounted For
VIF	Variance Inflation Factor



For all of us to win in the knowledge economy, we need to unleash the knowledge in our document databases, use and reuse our past knowledge, find ways to create new knowledge and then share it across our enterprise. In the digital, networked age, knowledge is our lifeblood. And documents are the DNA of knowledge.

~ Rick Thoman, President and CEO of Xerox in 2000

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

In today's digital world, software development (SD) has become a major and prominent field. Undeniably, a lot of processes, events, activities and best practices are involved while developing software; this would result in continuous production of usable knowledge and experiences either in explicit or in tacit form. However, valuable knowledge and experiences are often lost when organizations are being re-structured or when employees leave the projects. In learning organizations, the collection of best practices and lessons learned enhance and harness individual and team learning that already occur in the organization; however, many organizations miss the opportunity to take its valuable advantage because the information is often lost and not captured in a timely manner as it is being gained (Vandeville, 2000). It is known that the problems of scattered and unmanaged knowledge and experiences have existed since decades ago and continue to be challenging until today (Ackoff, 1989; Alavi & Leidner, 1999; Mahrooian & Forozia, 2012; Abbariki et al., 2017; Heredia et al., 2018).

Knowledge Management (KM) is a value-added to organizations in such a way that it encourages innovation, maximizes profits, and improves decision making by means of knowledge and information sharing among the people working within the organization (Mohsen et al., 2011). Managing knowledge and experiences are crucial in organizations in such a way that it prevents knowledge loss and making it less dependent on its employees, it unloads, elicits, and stores experts' experience and make it available, it creates productive employees sooner, and it improves the business process (Basili et.al, 2001a). Additionally, global or distributed development has been the current trend to many organizations due to the competitive advantages for shorter time to market, better resource usage, increased productivity, and reduced costs (Chaves et al., 2010). Nevertheless, for distributed software development, KM challenges are even more crucial (Ivarsson & Gorschek, 2012; Huzita et al., 2012; Ardimento et al., 2012); and therefore, collaborative knowledge management has becoming more imperative and significant (Yahia et al, 2012; Stapel & Schneider, 2014; Rocha et al., 2014).

Experience Factory (EF) (Basili et al., 1994a; Basili et.al, 2001a) has been one of the prominent framework in software process improvement which focuses on organizational learning. Organizational learning is mainly driven by three essential organizational processes in KM: maintaining learning loops in all processes, systematically disseminating knowledge throughout an organization, and applying knowledge wherever it can be used in an organization (Sanchez, 2005). Prior research has suggested that it is important for organizations to

continuously learn in order to stay competitive and improve performance (Chouseinoglou et al., 2013; Ras & Weber, 2009; García-Morales et al., 2012).

This dissertation explores the EF infrastructure, its concept and limitations, and it proposes how this framework could be enhanced and applied in a collaborative SD environment to overcome the issues of managing knowledge and experiences in SD process.

1.2 Problem Statement

Literature has reported that the Experience Factory framework has several limitations (Houdek, 1999; Bartlmae & Riemenschneider, 2000; Tautz, Althoff, & Nick, 2000; Basili et al., 2001b; Schneider et al., 2002; Ivarsson & Gorschek, 2012). The original EF model itself is abstract and conceptional -- it requires defining clear and specific goals, tasks and processes of the involved agents and installing an appropriate technological platform (Houdek, 1999 as cited by Bartlmae & Riemenschneider, 2000). The model is claimed as hard to implement, risky and costly, and it requires a significant investment of time and efforts to capture, organize, package and distribute knowledge (Basili et al., 2001b; Schneider et al., 2002; Ivarsson & Gorschek, 2012). The realization of EF concepts for software process posits challenges from characterizing what constitutes a process experiences, how can it be captured, documented and stored to institutionalizing effective mechanisms to select the most relevant experience from the knowledge base (Tautz, Althoff, & Nick, 2000 as cited by Kamel et al., 2002). It is also not clear how the additional knowledge activities (i.e. capture, organize, package, and distribute knowledge) would benefit the employees; and thus, the management are often not willing to invest (Basili et al., 2001b).

In the meantime, previous studies have reported that knowledge management issues in SD have existed since many decades ago (Ackoff, 1989; Mahroeian & Forozia, 2012; Abbariki et al., 2017), especially on the inefficiency of knowledge transfer and information flow in organization (Salger et al., 2010; Wongthongtham & Kasisopha, 2011; Stapel & Schneider, 2014). The collaboration gaps due to the diverse communication styles, technical equipment, and missing awareness of each other (Stapel & Schneider, 2014), and the difference in background, culture, terminology, practices and standards being used (Salger et al., 2010; Wongthongtham & Kasisopha, 2011), would also lead to problems such as missing knowledge context interpretation and inconsistencies. Information from various discussions, e.g. emails and meetings, are not well documented, and they are kept in silence (Stapel & Schneider, 2014). Moreover, as supported by several researchers, inefficient knowledge transfer between teams may happen due to inefficient communication, diverging cultures, high complexity, and lack of project management; therefore, knowledge are kept localized between individuals or teams, and they are not shared or made accessible (Ivarsson & Gorschek, 2012; Rocha et al., 2014; Ardimento et al., 2013).

In the field of software engineering, there are still lack of studies dealing with organizational learning (Menolli et al., 2013). Additionally, several studies have documented that organizations are still struggling to learn from past experiences (Stapel & Schneider, 2014; Wende et al., 2013; Gino & Staats, 2015). Previous best practices and experiences are not utilized, teams repeatedly make the same mistakes, repeatedly re-invent the wheel, and consequently, software development productivity, quality and cost are affected (Stapel & Schneider, 2014; Wende et al., 2013). Continuous improvement requires commitment to learning; however, learning failures occur when companies fail to draw important lessons from crises and to preserve their memory in the organization (Bazerman & Watkins, 2004), when they focus too heavily on success, are too quick to act, try too hard to fit in, and rely too much on experts, which eventually undermine continuous improvement (Gino & Staats, 2015).

1.3 Research Questions

Based on the research problems discussed above, there is a need to leverage alternate approaches to distribute and share knowledge within the SD community, as well as to establish learning organizations effectively by realizing the knowledge management process. Specifically, this research proposes leveraging the Experience Factory framework in the context of collaborative software development process. Thus, the following research questions are formed:

- RQ1: What can be achieved (goals) from the experience factory in the context of knowledge and experience management in software development process?
- RQ2: What are the relevant components that support the experience based factory model for software development process in collaborative environment?
- RQ3: How to ensure that the experience factory goals are achieved based on the proposed model?
- RQ4: How to ensure that the experience factory goals are achieved based on the proposed prototype?

1.4 Research Objective

The main goal of the research is to develop a model to manage knowledge and experiences of software development process that is able to support collaborative environment. Thus, the following underlines the detail objectives:

- To analyze the relevant components that are able to support knowledge and experience management in a collaborative software development process.
- To propose a model based on experience factory approach to support knowledge and experience management in collaborative software development process.
- To translate the model into a working prototype and evaluate the prototype with the model objectives.

1.5 Research Significance

The study is significance in SD industry based on several reasons. First, this study is relevant as according to the current trend of software development whereby distributed development is more desired, and furthermore, KM in this context is more challenging (Herbsleb & Moitra, 2001; Ivarsson & Gorschek, 2011; Huzita et al., 2012; Ardimento et al., 2012). With a defined process of KM based on EF framework, this proposed model will improve the knowledge transfer and sharing among the distributed teams.

Second, the model proposes reusing of products, processes and experiences from past projects which eventually will provide the opportunity to build a quality system at a lower cost (Basili et al., 1994a). By packaging existing experiences of SD process, project teams and individuals will be able to know what the software has gone through during its life cycle, and this will make the knowledge transfer become more effective. Reusing of products, processes and experiences originating from the system life cycle provides the opportunity to build a quality system at a lower cost achieved by reusing and modifying over and over the same elements and learning from direct experience (Basili et al., 1995).

Third, this model will benefit software organizations by providing learning platform, i.e. by collecting SD processes, structuring and making them available (Basili et al., 2001b). In learning organization, EF has been long used as one of the organizational learning as well as for software process improvements (Basili & Caldiera, 1995; Flores Rios & Rodríguez-Elias, 2010; Koennecker et al., 2000). With an EF implementation, the SD processes are collected, structured and made available for further improvement or reuse. It supports closed-loop process in which evaluation and feedback are available for the purpose of project control and learning (Basili et al, 1995).

And last but not least, the model will be helpful to organizations as the past experiences can be used as guidance for them to make correct decisions on the well-defined set of products -- to satisfy customer needs, to assist developers to

accomplish those needs, to define the right processes and to improve the overall software development (Basili et al., 1994a).

1.6 Research Scope

This research is conducted on the basis of developing a model to manage knowledge and experiences for software development process in a collaborative environment based on experience factory approach. The base framework chosen is the Experience Factory framework (Basili et al., 1994a). This framework is selected for this study because of its strong foundation in software process improvement and it had been implemented in several international organizations for the purpose of software improvement and systematic learning (e.g. Software Engineering Lab (SEL), Q-Lab Inc., Daimler Benz AG, an Australian telecommunication company) in the past decades.

The development of the base components for the model are based on literature study and feedback from the experts. The model validation is established with the empirical data collected from the SD community on their perceptions (agreeableness) on the model formulation. The proposed model is then validated for its correctness through a series of relevant statistical analysis methods. Further, a prototype is developed and evaluated to demonstrate the capabilities of the model.

The context of the SD process model implemented in the prototype is limited to two software process models: the Waterfall model (sequential approach) and Scrum framework (agile approach). This is adequate to demonstrate the SD process model as the prototype knowledge base.

1.7 Thesis Organization

The thesis organization is structured into eight chapters. Chapter 1 discusses the background of the study, problem statement, research questions, objectives, significance and scope.

Chapter 2 details out the reviews of the literature that cover the important theoretical frameworks and concepts. This includes topics on software development process, KM concepts, SD process, experience factory, and further technological support as well as the influences from organizational and managerial perspective.

Chapter 3 describes the research methodology carried out in this research. It describes the methods involved during literature review, model development,

and model validation via empirical study as well as via a working prototype. The analysis of expert review and pilot study are described in this chapter. Further approaches on data analysis, descriptive and empirical analysis, are described profoundly.

Chapter 4 discusses the detail development of the conceptual model including how the components are derived based on the research gaps, and how the whole model is formulated based on the identified components. It also includes the description on the hypothesis development.

Chapter 5 presents the empirical results of the model validation and the detail discussions about the findings. This include the model reliability and fitness, factor analysis, correlational analysis, multiple linear regression and PLS-SEM analysis. The final model is presented based on the findings.

Chapter 6 describes the development of the proposed prototype by first identifying the right functionalities and technological approaches based on the validated and finalized model. The prototype system requirement specification, design and implementation are also described.

Chapter 7 presents the results interpretation from the empirical findings and the results obtained from the prototype evaluation. Discussions include the hypothesis testing results and implications.

Chapter 8 concludes the research study as well as discusses about the theoretical and practical contribution, limitation of the study and directions for future research.

REFERENCES

- Abbariki, M., Snell, R. S. & Easterby-Smith. (2017). Sharing or ignoring tacit knowledge? A comparison of collective learning routines at two sites. *Journal of General Management*, 42(4), 57-67.
- Abdelrahman, M. & Papamichail, K. N. (2016). The Role of Organisational Culture on Knowledge Sharing by Using Knowledge Management Systems in MNCs. In *22nd Americas Conference on Information Systems, San Diego*.
- Abdullah, R. (2008). *Knowledge Management System In A Collaborative Environment*. Universiti Putra Malaysia: Malaysia.
- Abdullah, R., Sahibudin, S., Alias, R. A. & Selamat, M. H. (2005). Collaborative knowledge management systems for learning organisations. *Journal of Information and Knowledge Management*, 4(4), 237-245.
- Abdullah, R. & Selamat, M. H. (2007). Facilitating knowledge sharing with groupware among faculty communities in higher learning institution. *International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security*, 5, 220-229.
- Ackoff, R. L. (1989). From Data to Wisdom. *Journal of Applied System Analysis*, 16, 3-9.
- Ahmady, G. A., Nikooravesh, A., & Mehrpour, M. (2016). Effect of organizational culture on knowledge management based on Denison model, *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 387–395.
- Ajmeri, N., Sejpal, R. & Ghaisas, S. (2010). A Semantic and Collaborative Platform for Agile Requirements Evolution. *Third International Workshop on Managing Requirements Knowledge (MARK)*. (pp. 32).
- Alavi, M. & Leidner, D.E. (1999). Knowledge management systems: Emerging views and practices from the field. *Proceedings of the 32nd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, IEEE Computer Society*.
- Alavi, M. & Leidner, D.E. (2001). Review: Knowledge management and knowledge management systems: Conceptual foundations and research issues. *MIS Quarterly*, 25(1), 107-136.
- Al-ghamdi, H. A. K. & Al-ghamdi, A. A. K. (2015). *Procedia Computer Science*, 65, 406-411.
- Allameh, S. M., Zare, S. M. & Davoodi, S. M. R. (2011). Examining the Impact of KM Enablers on Knowledge Management Process, *Procedia Computer Science* 3, 1211-1223.

- Althoff, K. D. & Nick, M. & Tautz, C.. (1998). Concepts for Reuse in the Experience Factory and Their Implementation for CBR-System Development. *Proceedings of the 11th German Workshop on Machine Learning*.
- Andersen, A. & The American Productivity and Quality Center (APQC). (1996). *The Knowledge Management Assessment Tool: External Benchmarking Version*. Winter.
- Andrich, D. (1978). A rating formulation for ordered response categories. *Psychometrika*, 43, 561-73.
- Anke, P. Engert, S. & Hamburg, Ileana. (2008). Communities of practice to improve knowledge management and e-learning in SMEs. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/237430142_COMMUNITIES_OF_PRACTICE_TO_IMPROVE_KNOWLEDGE_MANAGEMENT_AND_eLEARNING_IN_SMEs
- Antonova, A., Gourova, E. & Nikolov, R. (2006). Review of technology solutions for knowledge management. In *2nd IEE International Conference on Intelligent Environments (IET)*, Athens, Greece.
- Anupan, A., Nilsook, P. & Wannapiroon, P. (2015). A Framework for a Knowledge Management System in a Cloud Computing Environment Using a Knowledge Engineering Approach. *International Journal of Knowledge Engineering*, 1(2), 146-149.
- Arantes, L. O. & Falbo, R. (2010). A. An Infrastructure for Managing Semantic Documents. In *14th IEEE International Enterprise Distributed Object Computing Conference Workshops (EDOCW)*. (pp. 235).
- Ardimento, P., Cimitile, M. & Visaggio, G. (2013). Distributed Software Development with Knowledge Experience Packages. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 8186, 263-273.
- Arrindell, W. A. & van der Ende, J. (1985). An empirical test of the utility of the observations-to-variables ratio in factor and components analysis. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 9, 165 - 178.
- Babar, M. A. (2010). A Web-Based System for Managing Software Architectural Knowledge. Web-based Support Systems. *Advanced Information and Knowledge Processing*, 305-332.
- Babonea, A. M, & Voicu, M. C. (2011). Questionnaires Pre-testing In Marketing Research, Challenges of the Knowledge Society. Retrieved from <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/26663748.pdf>
- Badger, M. L., Grance, T., Patt-Corner, R. & Vaos, J. M. (2012). Cloud Computing Synopsis and Recommendations. *NIST Special Publication*. Retrieved from <https://www.nist.gov/publications/cloud-computing-synopsis-and-recommendations>.

- Baghaei, P. (2008). The Rasch Model as a Construct Validation Tool. *Rasch Measurement Transactions*, 22(1), 1145-1146.
- Bahloul, M., Merges, F. & Fathi, M. (2015). Knowledge integration of distributed enterprises using cloud based big data analytics. *IEEE International Conference on Electro/Information Technology*, Milwaukee, WI, USA.
- Bair, J. H. (1989). Supporting Cooperative Work with Computers: Addressing Meetingmania. *IEEE Computer Society*, 208–217.
- Barão, A., Vasconcelos, J. B. D., Rocha, Á., & Pereira, R. (2017). A knowledge management approach to capture organizational learning networks. *International Journal of Information Management. Processing*, 37(5), 735–740.
- Barna, Z. (2003). *Knowledge management: A critical e-business strategic factor*. Masters thesis, San Diego State University.
- Bartczak, S. E., Rainer, R.K, Boulton, W. R. & Oswald, S. L. (2011). Investigating Barriers to Knowledge Management Implementation In the U.S. Military: A Focus on Managerial Influences. *SAIS 2011 Proceedings*.
- Bartlmae, K. & Riemenschneider, M. (2000). Case Based Reasoning for Knowledge Management in KDD-Projects Concepts, Organizational Setting, Categorization into KM and Application in the case of Knowledge Discovery in Databases. (2000). In *Proc. of the Third Int. Conf. on Practical Aspects of Knowledge Management (PAKM2000) Basel, Switzerland*.
- Basili, V., Caldiera, G., McGarry, F., Pajerski, R., Page, G. & Waligora, S.. (1992). The software engineering laboratory - an operational software experience factory. In *International Conference on Software Engineering, Melbourne, Australia*. (pp. 370-381).
- Basili, V. R., Caldiera, G. & Rombach, H. D. (1994a). Experience Factory. *Encyclopedia of Software Engineering*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Basili, V.R., Briand, L. C. & Thomas, W. M. (1994b). Domain Analysis for the Reuse of Software Development Experiences. *Proceedings Of The 19 Th Annual Software Engineering Workshop, NASA/GSFC*.
- Basili, V.R. & Caldiera, G. (1995). Improve Software Quality by Reusing Knowledge and Experience. *Sloan Management Review*, Fall 1995, 55-64.
- Basili, V., Lindvall, M. & Costa, P. (2001a). Implementing the Experience Factory concepts as a set of Experience Bases. *Proceedings of 13 th International Conference on Software Engineering & Knowledge Engineering*.
- Basili, V., Costa, P., Lindvall, M., Mendonca, M., Seaman, C., Tesoriero, R. & Zelkowitz, M. (2001b). An experience management system for a software

- engineering research organization. *Proceedings 26th Annual NASA Goddard Software Engineering Workshop*.
- Bazerman, M. H. & Watkins, M. D. (2004). *Predictable surprises: The disasters you should have seen coming and how to prevent them*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Becerra-Fernandez, I. & Sabherwal, R. (2010). *Knowledge Management: Systems and Processes*, Armonk (N.Y.); London: M.E. Sharpe.
- Beck, K., Beedle, M., van Bennekum, A., Cockburn, A., Cunningham, W., Fowler, M., Highsmith, ... Thomas, D. (2001). Manifesto for Agile Software Development. Retrieved from <http://agilemanifesto.org/>
- Beck, K. (1999). Embracing Change with Extreme Programming. *IEEE Computer*, 32(10), 70–8.
- Begel, A., Khoo, Y. P. & Zimmermann, T. (2010). Codebook: Discovering and Exploiting Relationships in Software Repositories. *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM/IEEE International Conference on Software Engineering*. (pp. 125)
- Begin, J. (2014). Cloud vs. On-Premise: The Pros and Cons. Retrieved from <https://www.siriusdecisions.com/blog/cloud-vs-on-premise-the-pros-and-cons>.
- Benbya, H., Passiante, G. & Belbaly, N. A. (2004). Corporate Portal: A tool for knowledge management synchronization. *International Journal of Information Management*, 24, 201-220.
- Bimol, S., Saikia, M. & Devi, L. P. (2014). Achieving knowledge management through Cloud Computing: A case in higher education. *International Conference on Computing for Sustainable Global Development (INDIA Com)*. (pp. 222-227).
- Black, N., Brazier, J., Fitzpatrick, R. & Reeves, B. (1998). *Designing and using patient and staff questionnaires, Health Sciences Research Methods – A Guide to Best Practice*. London: BMJ Books.
- Blackwell, M. (2008). Multiple Hypothesis Testing: The F-test*. Retrieved from <http://www.mattblackwell.org/files/teaching/ftests.pdf>.
- Bond, T. G. & Fox, C. M. (2015). *Applying the Rasch Model: Fundamental Measurement in the Human Sciences*, 3rd. edition. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Boehm, B. W. (1988). A Spiral Model of Software Development and Enhancement. *IEEE Computer*, 21 (5), 61–72.
- Bourque, R. & Fairley, R. E. (2014). *SWEBOK 3.0: Guide to the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge*. IEEE Computer Society Press. Retrieved from <http://www4.ncsu.edu/~tjmenzie/cs510/pdf/SWEBOKv3.pdf>

- Bradley, K. D., Reabody, M. R., Akers, K. S. & Knutson, N. (2015), Rating Scales in Survey Research: Using the Rasch model to illustrate the middle category measurement flaw, *Survey Practice*, 8(2).
- Buchner, A., Erdfelder, E. & Faul, F. (1996). Power analyses. In E. Erdfelder, R. Mausfeld, T. Meiser, and G. Rudinger (Eds.), *Handbook of quantitative methods*. Weinheim, Germany: Psychologie Verlags Union.
- Carmel, E. & Agarwal, R. (2001). Tactical approaches for alleviating distance in global software development. *IEEE Software*, 18(2), 22–29.
- Carreteiro, P., de Vasconcelos, J.B., Barão, A. & Rocha Á. (2016). A Knowledge Management Approach for Software Engineering Projects Development. Rocha Á., Correia A., Adeli H., Reis L., Mendonça Teixeira M. (eds) *New Advances in Information Systems and Technologies. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, Springer, Cham.
- Cham, T. H., Lim, Y. M., Cheng, B. L., & Lee, T. H. (2016). An Empirical Study on the Determinants of Knowledge Management Systems Success-Evidence from the Banking Industry of Malaysia. *Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems*, 46(1).
- Chandran, D. & Raman, K. (2009). Awareness and Problems in Implementing Knowledge Management Systems in Medium Sized Business Organizations in Malaysia. *Journal of Social Science*, 19(2), 155-161.
- Chaves, A. P., Steinmacher, I., Vieira, V. & Huzita, E.H.M. (2010). A Context Conceptual Model for a Distributed Software Development Environment. *International Conference on Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering*, 437.
- Chikh, A. (2011). A Knowledge Management Framework in Software Requirements Engineering Based on the SECI Model. *Journal of Software Engineering and Applications*, 718-72.
- Chin, W.W. (1998). Issues and opinion on structural equation modeling. *MIS Quarterly*, 22 (1), vii–xvi.
- Chouseinoglou, O., Karagöz, N. A. & Bilgen, S. (2013). AiOLoS: A model for assessing organizational learning in software development organizations. *Information and Software Technology*, 55(11), 1904-1924.
- Clarke, P. & Cooper, M. (2000). Knowledge management and collaboration. *Proceedings of the Third International Conference On Practical Aspects of Knowledge Management, Basel*, 34, 6-9.
- Cloud computing. (n.d.) Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Cloud_computing&oldid=857235814.

- Cockburn, A. (2001). *Agile Software Development*. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Comrey, A. L. & Lee, H. B. (1992). *A first course in factor analysis (2nd edition)*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Coughlan, M., Cronin, P. & Ryan, F. (2007). Step-by-step guide to critiquing research. Part 1: quantitative research. *British Journal of Nursing*, 16(11), 658–63.
- Correia F. (2013). Documenting Software Using Adaptive Software Artifacts. *Proceedings of Conference on Systems, Programming, and Applications: Software for Humanity*. (pp.107).
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika*, 16(3), 297–334.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1971). Test Validation. R. Thorndike (Ed.), *Educational Measurement* (2nd ed.), Washington DC: American Council on Education.
- Cronin, P., Ryan, F. & Coughlan, M. (2008). Undertaking a literature review: a step-by-step approach. *British Journal of Nursing*, 17(1), 38-43.
- Cross, R. & Baird, L. (2000). Technology is not enough: Improving performance by building organizational memory. *Sloan Management Review*, 41(3), 41–54.
- Cyert, R. & March, J.G. (1963). *A Behavioral Theory of the Firm*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Czaja, R. (1998). Questionnaire Pre-testing Comes of Age. *Marketing Bulletin*, 9, 52-66.
- Dario, Soto & Jiménez Builes, Jovani & Reyes, Adriana. (2017). A knowledge management model for improving the software test process. *18th European Conference on Knowledge Management ECKM 2017*.
- DeLone, W. H. & McLean, E. R. (2003). The DeLone and McLean Model of Information Systems Success: A Ten-Year Update. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 19(4), 9-30.
- Dillon, T. S, Chang, E. & Wongthongtham, P. (2008). Ontology-based Software Engineering – Software Engineering 2.0. *19th Australian Conference on Software Engineering*.
- Davenport, T. H. & Prusak, L. (1998). *Working knowledge*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.

- Davenport, T. H., DeLong, D. W. & Beers, M.C. (1998). Successful Knowledge Management Projects. *Sloan Management Review*, 39(2), 43–57.
- Dorairaj, S., Noble, J., Malik, P. (2012). Knowledge Management in Distributed Agile Software Development. *2012 Agile Conference*.
- Duka, D. (2013). Adoption of agile methodology in software development. *36th International Convention on Information and Communication Technology, Electronics and Microelectronics (MIPRO)*. (pp. 426-430).
- Economist Intelligent Unit, (2007). Collaboration Transforming the way business works, Cisco Systems, Retrieved from http://graphics.eiu.com/upload/CiscoCollab_1a.pdf.
- Eri, Z. D., Abdullah, R., Jabar, M. A. & Murad, M. A. A. (2012). Virtual Communities Model Using Ontology of Group Classification for Research Communities. *International Conference on Information Retrieval and Knowledge Management (CAMP)*. (pp. 126).
- Evans, M., Dalkir, K. and Bidian, C. (2014). A Holistic View of the Knowledge Life Cycle: The Knowledge Management Cycle (KMC) Model. *The Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management Volume*, 12(2), 85-97, available online at www.ejkm.com
- Falbo, R.A., Arantes, D.O., Natali, A.C.C. (2004). Integrating Knowledge Management and Groupware in a Software Development Environment. *Proceedings of the 5th Int. Conf. on Practical Aspects of Knowledge Management*, 94-105.
- Falconer, S. (2013). OntoGraf. Retrieved from <https://protegewiki.stanford.edu/wiki/OntoGrafz>
- Fensel, D. (2001). *Ontologies: Silver Bullet for Knowledge Management and Electronic Commerce*. SpringerVerlag.
- Fisher, W. P. (2007). Rating Scale Instrument Quality Criteria. *Rasch Measurement Transactions*, 21(1), 1095.
- Flores Rios, B. L. & Rodríguez-Elias, O. M. (2010). Experience Factory Infrastructure as a Basis for Knowledge Management in a Software Process Improvement Program. In *Ingeniería de Software e Ingeniería del Conocimiento: Tendencias de Investigación e Innovación Tecnológica en Iberoamérica, Alfaomega*. (pp. 174-183).
- Frost, J. (2013). Regression Analysis: How Do I Interpret R-squared and Assess the Goodness-of-Fit? The Minitab Blog, Retrieved from <http://blog.minitab.com/blog/adventures-in-statistics-2/regression-analysis-how-do-i-interpret-r-squared-and-assess-the-goodness-of-fit>.

- Gaál, Z., Szabó, L., Obermayer-Kovács, N. & Csepregi A. (2015). Exploring the role of social media in knowledge sharing. *The Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management*, 13(3), 185-197, available online at www.ejkm.com
- García-Morales, V. J., Jiménez-Barrionuevo, M. M., & Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez, L. (2012). Transformational leadership influence on organizational performance through organizational learning and innovation. *Journal of Business Research*, 65(7), 1040-1050.
- Mahroeian, H. & Forozia, A. (2012). Challenges in Managing Tacit Knowledge: A Study on Difficulties in Diffusion of Tacit Knowledge in Organizations. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 3(19), 303-308.
- Ghaisas, S. & Ajmeri, N. (2013). Knowledge-Assisted Ontology-Based Requirements Evolution, *Managing Requirements Knowledge*, 143-167.
- Gino, F., & Staats, B. (2015). Why Organizations Don't Learn. *Harvard Business Review*. 93(11), 110–118.
- Ginsberg, M. & Kambil, A. (1999). Annotate: A Web-based knowledge management support system for document collections. *Proceedings of the 32nd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*.
- Google Security Overview. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://cloud.google.com/security/overview/>
- Goodluck, I., (2011). Budgeting for knowledge management in organisations. *Chinese librarianship: An International Electronic Journal* (Vol. 32). Retrieved from <http://www.whiteclouds.com/iclc/cliej/cl32goodluck.pdf>.
- Gorsuch, R. L. (1983). *Factor analysis (2nd ed.)*. Hillsdale, NJ : Erlbaum.
- Granger, C. (2008). Rasch Analysis is Important to Understand and Use for Measurement. *Rasch Measurement Transactions*, 21(3), 1122 - 1123.
- Gunjal, B. (2005). Knowledge Management: Why Do We Need It For Corporates. *Malaysian Journal of Library & Information Science*, 10(2), 37 - 50.
- Guzmán, J. G., Martín, D., Urbano, J. & de Amescua, A. (2013). Practical experiences in modelling software engineering practices: The project patterns approach. *Software Quality Journal*, 21(2), 325 - 354.
- Hadzic, M., Wongthongtham, P., Dillon, T. & Chang, E. (2009). Ontology-Based Multi-Agent Systems. *Studies in Computer Science*. (Vol. 219). Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., C. M. & Sarstedt, M. (2014). *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM)*. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications.

- Hair, J. F., Matthews, L. M., Matthews, R. L. & Sarstedt, M. (2017). PLS-SEM or CB-SEM : updated guidelines on which method to use. *International Journal Multivariate Data Analysis*, 1(2), 107–123. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJMDA.2017.087624>
- Hajibaba, M. & Gorgin, S. (2014). A Review on Modern Distributed Computing Paradigms: Cloud Computing, Jungle Computing and Fog Computing. *Journal of Computing and Information Technology*, 22(2), 69 - 84.
- Halawi, L. A., McCarthy, R. V. & Aronson, J. E. (2008). An empirical investigation of knowledge management systems success. *The Journal of Computer Information Systems*, 48(2), 121.
- Hall, G. (2015). Pearsons correlation coefficient. *In other words*, 1(9).
- Hamburg, I., Engert, S., & Petschenka, A. (2007). Communities of Practice and Web 2.0 to support learning in SMEs. *6th Romanian Educational Network (RoEduNet) International Conference*. (pp. 152-155).
- Henry, N. W. (2001). R-square and Standardization in Regression. Retrieved from <http://www.people.vcu.edu/~nhenry/Rsq.htm>
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. & Sinkovics, R. (2009). The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. *Advances in International Marketing (AIM)*, 20, 277-320.
- Henseler, J., & Sarstedt, M. (2013). Goodness-of-Fit Indices for Partial Least Squares Path Modeling. *Computational Statistics*, 28(2), 565-580.
- Herbsleb, J. D. & Moitra, D. (2001). Global software development. *IEEE Software*, 18(2), 16 - 20.
- Heredia, A. & García Guzmán, J. & Medina-Domínguez, F. & Mora-Soto, A. (2018). Managing tacit knowledge to improve software processes. *Computer Systems and Software Engineering: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications*, IGI Global, 1567-1585.
- Hildenbrand, T., Rothlauf, F., Geisser, M., Heinzl, A. & Kude, T. (2008). Approaches to Collaborative Software Development. In *International Conference on Complex. Intelligent and Software Intensive Systems*, 523.
- Hinkin, T.R. (1995). A review of scale development practises in the study of organisations. *Journal of Management*, 21(5), 967-988.
- Hinton, P. R, McMurray I. & Brownlow, C. (2014). *SPSS Explained (2nd ed)*. Routledge, London and New York.
- Holsapple, C.W. & Joshi, K. D. (2000). An investigation of factors that influence the management of knowledge in organizations. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 9, 235–261.

- Horridge, M. (2013). OWLViz. Retrieved from <https://protegewiki.stanford.edu/wiki/OWLViz>.
- Houdek, F., K. Schneider, & E. Wieser. (1998). Establishing Experience Factories at Daimler-Benz: An Experience Report. In *Proc. of 20th International Conference on Software Engineering, Kyoto, Japan*, 443-447.
- Houdek, F. (1999). *Empirisch basierte Qualitätsverbesserung. Systematischer Einsatz externer Experimente im Software Engineering. Dissertation*. Logos-Verlag. Berlin. 1999. In german.
- Hull, D. & Drummond, N. (2005). A Practical Introduction to Ontologies and OWL. *A printed tutorial prepared by University of Manchester (UK): CO-ODE group*.
- Huzita, E. H. M., Leal, G. C. L., Balancieri, R., Tait, T. F. C., E. Cardoza, E., de Moura Penteado, R. R. & Vivian, R.L. (2012). Knowledge and Contextual Information Management in Global Software Development Perspectives. *IEEE Seventh International Conference on Challenges and Global Software Engineering Workshops*, 43-48.
- Ivarsson, M. & Gorschek, T. (2012). Tool Support for Disseminating and Improving Development Practices. *Software Quality Journal*, 20(1), 173-199.
- Jabar, M. A., Khalefa, M. S., Abdullah, R. & Abdullah, S. (2014). Meta-Analysis of Ontology Software Development Process. *International Review on Computers and Software (IRECOS)*, 9(1), 29-37.
- Jennex, M. E. (2005). What is knowledge management? *International Journal of Knowledge Management*, 1(4), i-iv.
- Jennex, M. E. & Olfman, L. (2006). A Model of Knowledge Management Success. *International Journal of Knowledge Management*, 2(3), 51-68.
- Jennex, M. E., Smolnik, S., & Croasdell, D. (2014). Knowledge Management Success in Practice. *47th Hawaii International Conference on System Science*, 3615-3724.
- Jennex, M. E. (2017). Re-examining the Jennex Olfman Knowledge Success model. *Proceedings of the 50th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, 4375-4384.
- Jennings, N. R., Norman, T. J. & Faratin, P. (2000). Autonomous Agents for Business Process Management. *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, 14, 145- 189.
- Kamel, A., Ch, M. & Sorenson, P. G. (2002). Building an Experience-Base for Product-line Software Development Process. *Fourth International Conference on Case-Based Reasoning, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada*.

- Kamthan, P. (2013). On the Role of Wiki for Managing Knowledge in Agile Software Development. In *International Conference on Collaboration Technologies and Systems (CTS)*. (pp. 622).
- Kasunic, M. (2005). *Designing and effective survey*. Carnegie Mellon, Software Engineering Institute, Pittsburg, PA.
- Kerzazi, N., Lavallée, M. & Robillard, P. N. (2010). Mapping Knowledge into Software Process. In *5th International Multi-Conference on Computing in the Global Information Technology*, 180.
- Keswani, R. & Joshi, S. & Jatain, A. (2014). Software Reuse in Practice. *Proceedings of the 2014 Fourth International Conference on Advanced Computing & Communication Technologies*, 159-162.
- Khoshafian, S. & Buckiewicz, M. (1995). *Introduction to groupware, workflow, and workgroup computing*, John Wiley and Sons.
- King, W.R. (2009). Knowledge Management and Organizational Learning. *Annals of Information Systems 4*, Springer Science Business Media, LLC 2009.
- Kingston, J. (2012). Choosing a Knowledge Dissemination Approach. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 19(3), 160-170.
- Kitchenham, B. & Charters, S. (2007). Guidelines for performing Systematic Literature Reviews in Software Engineering (Technical Report No. EBSE-2007-01). *Evidence-Based Software Engineering*, Keele, UK: Keele University. (pp. 65). Retrieved from <http://www.dur.ac.uk/ebse/guidelines.php>.
- Kline, P. (1979). *Psychometrics and psychology*. London: Acaderric Press.
- Koennecker, A., Jeffery, R. & Low, G. (2000), Implementing an Experience Factory Based on Existing Organizational Knowledge. *Proceedings of the Australian Software Engineering Conference*. (pp. 53-62).
- Krasteva, I., Ilieva, & Dimov, S. A. (2010). Experience-Based Approach for Adoption of Agile Practices in Software Development Projects. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 6051, 266-280.
- Krutchén, P. (2004). *The Rational Unified Process—An Introduction (3rd Edition)*. Addison-Wesley.
- Kusumasari, T. F., Supriana, I., Surendro, K., & Sastramihardja, H. (2011). Collaboration Model of Software Development. *2011 International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics*, Bandung, Indonesia.

- Langmann, R. & Meyer, L. (2014). Automation Services from the Cloud. *Proc. IEEE 2014 11th International Conference on Remote Engineering and Virtual Instrumentation (REV)*. (pp. 256-261)
- Lanubile, F., Ebert, C., Prikladnicki, R., & Vizcaíno, A. (2010). Collaboration tool for global software engineering. *IEEE Software*, 27(2), 52-55.
- Lai, J. & Fan, Y. (2002). Workflow and knowledge management: Approaching an integration. In *Proc. of EDCIS*. (pp. 16-29).
- Laloux, F. & Wilber, K. (2014). Reinventing organizations: A guide to creating organizations inspired by the next stage in human consciousness. Nelson Parker.
- Laplane, P. A. & Neill, C. J., (2004). The Demise of the Waterfall model is Imminent. *Queue*, 1(10), 10-15.
- Lei, P. W. & Wu, Q. (2007). Introduction to Structural Equation Modeling: Issues and Practical Considerations. *Instructional Topics in Educational Measurement*, Fall.
- Lesser, L. E., & Storck, J. (2001). Communities of Practice and Organizational Performance. *IBM Systems Journal*, 40(4), 831–841.
- Lewis-Beck, M., Bryman, A., & Liao, T. F. (eds). (2003). *Encyclopedia of Social Science Research Methods*. Sage Publications.
- Li, Y. F. & Zhang, H. (2011). Integrating software engineering data using semantic web technologies. *Proceedings of the 8th Working Conference on Mining Software Repositories*. (pp. 211).
- Li, Y., Yang, Z., Guo, Y., Chen, X., Agarwal, Y. & Hong, J. I. (2018). Automated Extraction of Personal Knowledge from Smartphone Push Notifications. *IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data)*, Seattle, USA.
- Liang, P., Jansen, A. & Avgeriou, P. (2010). Collaborative Software Architecting Through Knowledge Sharing, *Collaborative Software Engineering*, 343-367.
- Likert, R. (1932). A Technique for the Measurement of Attitudes. *Archives of Psychology*, 140(55).
- Linacre, J. M. (2002). What do Infit and Outfit, Mean-square and Standardized mean? *Rasch Measurement Transactions*, 16 (2), 878.
- Linacre, J. M. (2012). A User's Guide to Winsteps: Rasch-Model Computer Programs, Program Manual 3.74.0. Retrieved from <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.359.6282&rep=rep1&type=pdf>

- Linacre, J. M. (n.d.). Reliability and separation of measures. Retrieved from <http://www.winsteps.com/winman/reliability.htm>
- Loebbecke, C. & Crowston, K. (2012). Knowledge Portals: Components, Functionalities, and Deployment Challenges. In *International Conference on Information Systems*.
- Loewenthal, K. M. (2001). *An Introduction to Psychological Tests and Scales* (2 ed.). Hove, UK: Taylor & Francis Ltd.
- Mahanti, A. (2006). Challenges in Enterprise Adoption of Agile Methods – A Survey. *Journal of Computing and Information Technology – CIT* 14, 3, 197–206.
- Maier, R. (2002). *Knowledge Management Systems: Information and Communication Technologies for Knowledge Management*. Berlin:Springer-Verlag.
- Maierhofer, N. & Finsterle, K. (2004). Linking Trust, Values and Perceived Benefits. *International Journal of Knowledge, Culture and Change Management*, 3, 437-48.
- Mamorobela, S. & Buckley, S. (2018). Evaluating the Effectiveness of Social Media on Knowledge Management Systems for SMEs. *European Conference on Knowledge Management*, 1064-1072.
- Mari, M., Poggi, A. & Tomaiuolo, M. (2008). Agent-Based Network Infrastructure for E-Communities. In *Encyclopedia of Networked and Virtual Organizations*, IGI Global.
- Martins, E. C. & Meyer, H. W. J. (2012). Organizational and behavioral factors that influence knowledge retention. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 16(1), 77 – 96.
- Martz, E. (2013). Enough Is Enough Handling Multicollinearity in Regression Analysis. Retrieved from <http://blog.minitab.com/blog/understanding-statistics/handling-multicollinearity-in-regression-analysis>.
- Mas-Machuca, M. (2014). The Role of Leadership: The Challenge of Knowledge Management and Learning in Knowledge-Intensive Organizations. *Journal of Educational Leadership and Management*, 2(1), 97-116.
- Matturro, G. & Silva, A. (2010). ReBEC: A Method for Capturing Experience during Software Development Projects. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 6317, 524-533.
- McGill, T., Hobbs, V. & Klobas, J. (2003). User-developed applications and information systems success: A test of DeLone and Mclean. *Information Resources Management Journal*, 16(1), 24-45.

- Menolli, A., Reinehr, S. & Malucelli, A. (2013). Organizational learning applied to software engineering: a systematic review. *International Journal of Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering*, 23(3), 1153-1175.
- Meadows, K. A. (2003). So you want to do research? 5: Questionnaire design. *British Journal of Community Nursing*, 8(12), 563-570.
- Mestad, A., Myrdal, M., Dingsøy, T. & Dybå, T. (2007). Building a Learning Organization - Three Phases of Communities of Practice in a Software Consulting Company. *Proceedings of the 40th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS'07)*.
- Mell, P. & Grance, T. (2011). The NIST Definition of Cloud Computing. *Special Publication 800-145*. Retrieved from <https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/legacy/sp/nistspecialpublication800-145.pdf>
- Miesbauer, C. & Weinreich, R. (2012). Capturing and Maintaining Architectural Knowledge Using Context Information. *Joint Working IEEE/IFIP Conference on Software Architecture (WICSA) and European Conference on Software Architecture (ECSA)*. (pp. 206).
- Mishra, D., Aydin, S., Mishra, A., & Ostrovska, S. (2018). Knowledge management in requirement elicitation: Situational methods view. *Computer Standards & Interfaces*, 56, 49–61.
- Mohajan, H. K. (2017). Roles of Communities of Practice for the Development of the Society. *Journal of Economic Development, Environment and People*, 6(3).
- Mohapatra S., Agrawal A. & Satpathy A. (2016a). KM and Cloud Computing. In *Designing Knowledge Management-Enabled Business Strategies. Management for Professionals*. Springer, Cham.
- Mohapatra S., Agrawal A. & Satpathy A. (2016b). Automation in Knowledge Management. In: *Designing Knowledge Management-Enabled Business Strategies. Management for Professionals*. Springer, Cham.
- Mohd. Nor, M. Z., Abdullah, R., Selamat, M. H. & Murad, M. A. A. (2012). An Agent-based Knowledge Management System for Collaborative Software Maintenance Environment. *International Conference on Design and evaluation Information Retrieval and Knowledge Management* (pp. 115).
- Mohsen, Z. A., Ali, M. & Jalal, A. (2011). The Significance of Knowledge Management Systems at Financial Decision Making Process. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 6(8), 130-142.
- Moldt, D., Quenum, J., Reese, C. & Wagner, T. (2010). Improving a Workflow Management System with an Agent Flavor. *Proc. of the International Workshop on PetriNets and Software Engineering PNSE'10*. (pp. 55–70).

- Monte-Alto, H. L. C., Biasão, A. B., Teixeira, L. O., & Huzita, E. H. M. (2012). Multi-agent Applications in a Context-Aware Global Software Development Environment. *Advances in Intelligent and Soft Computing*, 151, 265-272.
- Montoni, M., Miranda, R., Rocha, A. R. & Travassos, G. H. (2004). Knowledge Acquisition and Communities of Practice: An Approach to Convert Individual Knowledge into Multiorganizational Knowledge. *Advances in Learning Software Organizations, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 3096, 110-121.
- Musen, M.A. (2015). The Protégé project: A look back and a look forward. *AI Matters. Association of Computing Machinery Specific Interest Group in Artificial Intelligence*, 1(4), June 2015. DOI: 10.1145/2557001.25757003.
- Nafei, W. (2014). Knowledge Management and Organizational Learning from the Employee Perspectives: A Study from Saudi Arabia Context. *Journal of Management and Strategy*, 5(1), 73-87.
- Nascimento, G. S. & Oliveira, A. A. (2012). An Agile Knowledge Discovery in Databases Software Process. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 7696, 56-64.
- Nattapol, N., Peter, R. & Laddawan, K. (2010). An Investigation of the Determinants of Knowledge Management Systems Success in Banking Industry. *International Journal of Social, Behavioral, Educational, Economic, Business and Industrial Engineering*. 4(11).
- Neto, M. G. M., Seaman, C., Basili, V.R. & Kim, Y.M. (2001). A Prototype Experience Management System for Software Consulting Organization. In *Knowledge System Institute Thirteenth International Conference on Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering*, 29-36.
- Neto, F. M. M. & Morais II, M. J. O. (2013). An Agent-Based Approach for Supporting the Knowledge Transfer in The Software Requirements Engineering. *International Journal of Business Information Systems*, 12(1), 22-43.
- Nguyen, V. & Kolp, M. & Wautelet, Y. (2018). Knowledge Management Governance in Software Development Process with GI-Tropos. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering*, 468-473.
- Nonaka, I. (1994). A Dynamic Theory of Organizational Knowledge Creation, *Organization Science*, 5(1), 14-37.
- Nonaka, I. & Takeuchi, H. (1995). *The Knowledge-Creating Company: How Japanese Companies Create the Dynamics of Innovation*. Oxford University Press.
- Nonaka, I., Toyama, R. & Konno, N. (2000). SECI, Ba and Leadership: a Unified Model of Dynamic Knowledge Creation, *Long Range Planning*, 33(1), 5–34.

- Noy, N. & D. McGuinness, (2001). *Ontology development 101: A guide to creating your first ontology*. Stanford Knowledge Systems Laboratory Technical Report.
- Northrop, L. M. & Clements, P. C. (2009). A Framework for Software Product Line Practice, Version 5.0. Retrieved from http://www.sei.cmu.edu/productlines/frame_report/index.html#outline
- Nunally, J. C. & Bernstein, I. H. (1994). *Psychometric theory* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill. Inc.
- Oguz F., Marsh C.V., Landis C. (2010). Collaboration through Communities of Practice in the Digital Age. In Kurbanoğlu S., Al U., Lepon Erdoğan P., Tonta Y., Uçak N. (eds) *Technological Convergence and Social Networks in Information Management. IMCW 2010*. Communications in Computer and Information Science, 96.
- Owda, M. & Bandar, Z. & Crockett, K. (2011). Information Extraction for SQL Query Generation in the Conversation-Based Interfaces to Relational Databases (C-BIRD). *5th KES International Conference Agent and Multi-Agent Systems: Technologies and Applications (KES-AMSTA)*
- Paasivaara, M. & Lassenius, C. (2014), Communities of practice in a large distributed agile software development organization – Case Ericsson, *Journal of Information and Software Technology*, 56, 1556–1577.
- Padgham, L. & Winikoff, M. (2002a). Prometheus: A Methodolgy for Developing Intelligent Agents. *Proceedings of the Third International Workshop and Agent-Oriented Software Engineering (AAMAS'02)*.
- Padgham, L. & Winikoff, M. (2002b). Prometheus: A Pragmatic Methodology for Engineering Intelligent Agents. In *Proc. of the workshop on Agent-oriented methodologies at OOPSLA*.
- Pakdeetrakulwong, U. (2018). Semantic Web-Based Approach to Support Rational Unified Process Software Development. *Proceedings - 2018 IEEE SmartWorld, Ubiquitous Intelligence and Computing, Advanced and Trusted Computing, Scalable Computing and Communications, Cloud and Big Data Computing. Internet of People and Smart City Innovations*.
- Palmer, S. R. & Felsing, J. M. (2002). *A Practical Guide to Feature-Driven Development*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Pather, S. & Uys, C.S. (2008). Using Scale Reduction Techniques for Improved Quality of Survey Information. *Peer Reviewd Article*, 10(3).
- Payne, J. & Payne, J. (2009). Using Wikis and Blogs to Improve Collaboration and Knowledge Sharing, 4–13, <http://doi.org/10.1108/14754390810865757>.

- Penfold, P. (2010). Virtual Communities of Practice: Collaborative Learning and Knowledge Management. *3rd International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (WKDD '10)* (pp. 482-485).
- Pentland, B. T. (1995). Information systems and Organizational Learning: The Social Epistemology of Organizational Knowledge Systems. *Accounting, Management and Information Technologies*, 5(1), 1-21.
- Perneger, T. V., Courvoisier, D. S., Hudelson, P. M. & Gayet-Ageron, A. (2015). Sample Size for Pre-Tests of Questionnaires, *Quality of Life Research*, 24(1), 147-151.
- Piktialis, D. & Greenes, K. A. (2008). Bridging the Gaps: How to Transfer in Today's Multigeneration Workforce. The Conference Board, USA.
- Pilat, L. & Kaindl, H. (2011). A Knowledge Management Perspective Of Requirements Engineering. *IEEE 5th International Conference on Research Challenges in Information Science (RCIS)*.
- Presser, J. & Blair, J. (1994). Survey Pre-testing: Do Different Methods Produce Different Results? In P. V. Marsden (ed.), *Sociological Methodology*. (Vol. 24) Washington, DC: American Sociological Association, 73-104.
- Prieto, L., Alonso, J. & Lamarca, R. (2003). Classical Test Theory Versus Rasch Analysis for Quality of Life Questionnaire Reduction, *Health Quality Life Outcomes*, 1(27), 1–13.
- Pringle, T., Mukherjee, S. & Baer, T. (2015). Thriving in the Age of Big Data Analytics and Self-Service. Ovum Consulting. Retrieved from <http://docplayer.net/8746101-Thriving-in-the-age-of-big-data-analytics-and-self-service.html>.
- Push technology. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Push_technology&oldid=849518138
- Masa'deh, R. (2016). The Role of Knowledge Management Infrastructure in Enhancing Job Satisfaction at Aqaba Five Star Hotels in Jordan, *Communications and Network*, 8(4).
- Rai, R. K. (2011), Knowledge Management and Organizational Culture: A Theoretical Integrative Framework. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 15(5), 779 – 801.
- Ramayah, T. (2011). Notes for Data Analysis Workshop. Retrieved from <http://ramayah.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/04/Data-Analysis.pdf>.
- Ras, E. & Weber, S. (2009). Software organization platform: Integrating organizational and individual learning. *ICSE Workshop on Wikis for Software Engineering Conference, WIKIS4SE '09*.

- Ras, E., Rech, J. & Weber, S. (2009). Knowledge Services for Experience Factories. *Fifth Conference Professional Knowledge Management: Experiences and Visions*.
- Rasch, G. (1960). *Probabilistic Models for Some Intelligence and Attainment Tests*. Copenhagen: Danmarks Pædagogiske Institut.
- Reinartz, W. J., Haenlein, M. & Henseler, J. (2009). An Empirical Comparison of the Efficacy of Covariance-Based and Variance-Based SEM. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 26 (4), 332-344.
- Rifat, S. (2009). Measuring Knowledge Management Performance. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 35(2), 242-253.
- Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M. & Straub, D. (2012). A Critical Look at the Use of PLS-SEM. *MIS Quarterly*, 36(1), iii-xiv.
- Robin, C. R. R. & Uma, G. V. (2011). An Ontology Based Linguistic Infrastructure to Represent Software Risk Identification Knowledge,. *Proceedings of the International Conference and Workshop on Emerging Trends in Technology ICWET '11*. (pp. 744)
- Rocha, R. G. C., Azevedo, R. & Meira, S. (2014). A Proposal of an Ontology-Based System for Distributed Teams. *40th EUROMICRO Conference on Software Engineering and Advanced Applications (SEAA)*. (pp. 398).
- Rong, G., Liu, X., Gu, S., & Shao, D. (2017). A Goal-Driven Framework in Support of Knowledge Management. *24th Asia-Pacific Software Engineering Conference (APSEC)*.
- Rothgeb, J., G. Willis, & B. Forsyth. (2001). Questionnaire pre-testing methods: Do different techniques and different organizations produce similar results? *Proceedings Of Survey Research Methods Section Of The American Statistical Association*. Washington, DC: American Statistical Association.
- Rubin, K. S. (2013). *Essential Scrum*. Addison-Wesley.
- Rubinfeld, D. L. (2011). Reference Guide on Multiple Regression. *Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence: Third Edition*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- Sabri, O., & Alfifi, F. (2017). Integrating knowledge life cycle within software development process to produce a quality software product. In *International Conference on Engineering and Technology (ICET)*.
- Salam Khan, M. M. A, Salam Khan, M. A. A, Goto, T. A, Nishino, T. A., & Debnath, N. B. (2014). Software ontology design to support organized open source software development. *IEEE/ACIS 15th International Conference on Software Engineering, Artificial Intelligence, Networking and Parallel/Distributed Computing, SNPD 2014*.

- Salger, F. & Engels, G. (2010). Knowledge Transfer In Global Software Development: Leveraging Acceptance Test Case Specifications, Software Engineering. *ACM/IEEE 32nd International Conference*.
- Salger, F., Sauer, F., Engels, G. & Bauman, A. (2010). Knowledge Transfer in Global Software Development - Leveraging Ontologies, Tools and Assessments. *5th IEEE International Conference on Global Software Engineering (ICGSE)*. (pp. 336).
- Sanchez, R. (2005). *Knowledge Management and Organizational Learning: Fundamental Concepts for Theory and Practice. The Future of Knowledge Management*. Palgrave Macmillan UK, 29-91.
- Sánchez, K. O. & Osollo, J. E. R. (2013). A Strategy to Requirements Engineering Based on Knowledge Management. *IEEE Mexican International Conference on Computer Science (ENC)*. (pp. 28).
- Sánchez-Segura, M., Medina-Dominguez F., Amescua, A. & Mora-Soto, A. (2010). Improving the Efficiency of Use of Software Engineering Practices Using Product Patterns. *Information Sciences*, 180(14).
- Samart, A. & Prompoon, N. (2014). Framework for Modification Request Management based on Taxonomy and Knowledge Asset for Software Maintenance. *4th International Conference on Digital Information and Communication Technology and it's Applications (DICTAP)*. (pp. 192).
- Scrum.org. What is Scrum. Retrieved from <https://www.scrum.org/resources/what-is-scrum>
- Schneider, K., von Hunnius, J. & Basili, V. R. (2002). Experience in Implementing a Learning Software Organization. *IEEE Software*, 19(3), 46-49.
- Schneider, K. (2009). *Experience and Knowledge Management in Software Engineering*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Seaman, C. B., Mendonca M., Basili, V. & Kim, Y-M. (1999). An Experience Management System For A Software Consulting Organization, *Software Engineering Workshop, NASA/Goddard Software Engineering Laboratory*. Greenbelt, MD.
- Seichter, D., Dhungana, D., Pleuss, A. & Hauptmann, B. (2010). Knowledge Management in Software Ecosystems: Software Artefacts as First-class Citizens. *Proceedings of the Fourth European Conference on Software Architecture*. (pp. 119).
- Serrat, O. (2016). The Why and How of Knowledge Management: Some Applications in Teaching and Learning. In *Global Online Association for Learning: Learning Summit 2016*.

- Serrat, O. (2017). Disseminating Knowledge Products. In *Knowledge Solutions*. Springer, Singapore.
- Sharma, N., Singh, K. & Goyal, D.P. (2012). Experience Base Approach to Software Process Improvement: Comparative Analysis and Design of Improved Model Advanced. *2nd International Conference on Computing and Communication Technologies (ACCT)*. (pp. 30).
- Shirazi, A., Pourazad, N. & Mortazavi, S. (2011). Factors affecting employees' readiness for knowledge management. *European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Sciences*, 33(33).
- Singh, S. K. (2008). Role of Leadership In Knowledge Management: A Study. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 12(4), 3–15.
- Sodanil, M., Quirchmayr, G., Porrawatpreyakorn, N., & Tjoa, A. M. (2015). A knowledge transfer framework for secure coding practices. *12th International Joint Conference on Computer Science and Software Engineering (JCSSE)*
- Sommerville, I. (2011). *Software Engineering (9th ed.)*. Essex Pearson Education Limited.
- Stapel, K. & Schneider, K. (2014). Managing Knowledge on Communication and Information Flow in Global Software Projects. *Expert Systems*, 31(3), 234-252.
- Stevens, D. P., Hsu, S. H. Y. & Zhu, Z. (2018). Managing Tacit Knowledge for a Software Development Process: A Case Study. *Journal of Information & Knowledge Management*, 11(1).
- Stevens, J. P. (1992). *Applied Multivariate Statistics for The Social Sciences (2nd Edition)*. Hillsdale, NJ : Erlbaum.
- Suharyanto, C. E. The Role of Information Communication & Technology as enabler of Knowledge Management Process to Bring Innovation in Creative Industries (Batam Case). (2015). In *International Conference on Character Education*, Batam. Indonesia.
- Sultan, N. (2013). Knowledge Management In The Age of Cloud Computing and Web 2.0: Experiencing the Power Of Disruptive Innovations. *International Journal of Information Management*, 33(1). 160-165.
- Taherdoost, H., Sahibuddin, S., & Jalaliyoon N. (2014). Exploratory Factor Analysis: Concepts and Theory. *Advances in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 375-382.
- Tautz, C., Althoff, K.D., & Nick, M. (2000). A case-based reasoning approach for managing qualitative experience. *Intelligent Lessons Learned Systems: Papers from the Workshop at 17th National Conference on AI (AAAI00)*, 54–59, The AAAI Press.

- Techopedia. (n.d.). High Availability. Retrieved from <https://www.techopedia.com/definition/1021/high-availability-ha>.
- Tenenhaus, M., Amato, S., & Esposito Vinzi, V. (2004). A Global Goodness-of-Fit Index for PLS Structural Equation Modeling. *Proceedings of the XLII SIS Scientific Meeting, Padova: CLEUP*, 739-742.
- Tenenhaus, M. (2008). *Structural equation modeling for small samples* (Working Paper No. 885). Paris, France: Jouy-en-Josas.
- Toledo, C. M., Chiotti, O. & Galli, M.R. (2012). A Multi-Agent Knowledge Management System for Reactive and Proactive Knowledge Supply. *IGI Global*, 16, 203-218.
- Tuan, D. T. & Tuan, D. C. (2011). Enhance Java Software Development with Knowledge Acquisition and Management Tools. *3rd International Conference on Knowledge and Systems Engineering*. (pp. 70).
- Urbach, Nils & Ahlemann, F. (2010). Structural Equation Modeling in Information Systems Research Using Partial Least Squares. *Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application*, 11(2), 5-40.
- Vandeville, J. V. (2000). Organizational Learning Through the Collection Of Lessons Learned. *Informing Science*, 3(3), 127-133.
- van den Berg, R. G. (2015). Multiple Regression – What Is It? In *SPSS Tutorial*. Retrieved from <https://www.spss-tutorials.com/multiple-regression-what-is-it/>
- Vasanthapriyan, S., Tian, J., Zhao, D., Xiong, S. & Xiang, J. (2017). An Ontology-Based Knowledge Sharing Portal for Software Testing. *IEEE International Conference on Software Quality, Reliability and Security Companion (QRS-C)*, Prague, 472-479.
- Versionone. Inc. (n.d.). State of Agile. In *12th annual State of Agile Report*. Retrieved from <http://stateofagile.versionone.com/>.
- Vitharana, P., Jain, H., Zahedi, & F. M. (2012). A Knowledge Based Component/Service Repository to Enhance Analysts' Domain Knowledge for Requirement Analysis. *Information and Management*, 49, 24-35.
- Web 2.0. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Web_2.0&oldid=855913951.
- WebRexPro. (2016). Cloud vs. On-Premise PMS (with Comparison Chart). Retrieved from <http://www.webrezpro.com/cloud-vs-premise-pms-comparison-chart/>
- Wende, E., Philip, T., Schwabe, G. & King, G. (2013). Kaiwa: Towards a Method for Knowledge Transfer in The Transition Phase of Offshore Outsourced Projects. *Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing*, 163, 180-191.

- Wenger-Trayner, B. & Wenger-Trayner, E. (2015). Communities of Practice: A Brief Introduction. Retrieved from <http://wenger-trayner.com/introduction-to-communities-of-practice/>.
- Wenger, E., McDermott, R. & Snyder, W. (2002). *Cultivating Communities of Practice: A Guide to Managing Knowledge*. Harvard Business School Press.
- Wegner, D. M. (1986). Transactive memory: A contemporary analysis of the group mind. In B. Mullen & G. R. Goethals (Eds.), *Theories of group behavior*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 185-208.
- Weick, K.E. (1979). *The Social Psychology of Organizing*, 2nd ed. Reading, MA: Random House.
- What makes Google Cloud Platform different? (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://cloud.google.com/free/docs/what-makes-google-cloud-platform-different>
- Williams, A. (2013). How to Write and Analyse Questionnaire, *Journal of Orthodontics*, 30, 245-252.
- Wright, B. D. & Linacre, J. M. (1994). Reasonable Mean-Square Fit Values, *Rasch Measurement Transactions*, 8(3), 370.
- Wodehouse, C. (2015). SQL vs. NoSQL Databases: What's the Difference? Retrieved from <https://www.upwork.com/hiring/data/sql-vs-nosql-databases-whats-the-difference/>.
- Wongthongtham, P. & Kasisopha, N. (2011). An Ontology-Based Method for Measurement of Transferability and Complexity of Knowledge in Multi-site Software Development Environment. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 6746, 238-252.
- Yan, T., Kreuter, F. & Tourangeau, R. (2012). Evaluating Survey Questions: A Comparison of Methods, *Journal of Official Statistics*, 28(4), 503–529.
- Yahia, N. B., Saoud, N. B., & Ghézala, H. B. (2012). On the Convergence of Collaboration and Knowledge Management. *CoRR*. abs/1202.6104.
- Zahller, K. (2011). Organizational learning theory. Retrieved from https://is.theorizeit.org/wiki/Organizational_learning_theory.
- Zhang, L. (2003). On-line Knowledge Management Search Engine. *Proceedings of the The 3rd IEEE International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies (ICALT'03)*
- Zhang, J., Zhao, W., Xie, g., & Chen, H. (2011). Ontology-based Knowledge Management System and Application. *Procedia Engineering*, 15, 1021-1029.

Zhang, K., Zhao, W., Wang, J., Chen, L., & Guo, X. (2018). Knowledge push technology based on quality function knowledge deployment. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science*.

Zhao, Y., Dong, J. & Peng, T. (2009). Ontology Classification for Semantic-Web-Based Software Engineering. *IEEE Transactiond on Services Computing*, 2(4), 303-317.

Zhao, M., Ni,W., Zhang H., Lin, Z., Yang, Y. (2014), A Knowledge-Based Teaching Resources Recommend Model for Primary and Secondary School Oriented Distance-Education Teaching Platform. *Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering*, 269, Springer, Dordrecht.



BIODATA OF STUDENT

Mastura Hanafiah is a software practitioner that is now working with Mercedes-Benz Malaysia Sdn. Bhd. Her current role is as a product owner for the automotive company focusing on digital channels for electric vehicles. She has involved in all phases of software development process including project management, requirement analysis, design, implementation and testing, both in traditional software process lifecycle models as well as in agile environment. She has many years of experience in Java software development mainly for custom software development and maintenance in various domains e.g. health, e-government and automotive. She obtained the degree of Computer Science from Washington University in St. Louis, MO, USA and holds a Master Degree in Software Engineering from University Malaya (UM). Her current research interests include knowledge management, information retrieval, big data analytics, ontology development, multi-agent systems and software engineering. In 2014, she started pursuing PhD in Information Systems at the Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM). Her research has appeared in International Review on Computers and Software, Advanced Science Letter, Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology and Journal of Telecommunication, Electronic and Computer Engineering.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journal Publications

- Hanafiah, M., Abdullah, R., Din, J., & Murad, M.A.A. (2015). Towards Developing Collaborative Experience Based Factory Model for Software Development Process in Cloud Computing Environment. *International Review on Computers and Software (IRECOS)*, 10(3), 340-350.
- Hanafiah, M & Abdullah, R. (2015). Experience Based Factory Model as Educational Support for Software Engineers. *Advanced Science Letters*, 21(7), 2210-2214.
- Hanafiah, M., Abdullah, R., Din, J., Murad, M.A.A. & Mohd. Nor., M. Z. (2017). Experience Based Factory Model for Software Development Process: Item Construct Validation on Questionnaire Design. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*, 95(1), 177-195.
- Hanafiah, M., Abdullah, R., Din, J. & Murad, M.A.A. (2017). Regression Analysis on Experience Based Factory Model for Software Development Process. *Journal of Telecommunication, Electronic and Computer Engineering*, 9(3), 19-26.

Conference Articles

- Hanafiah, M.; Abdullah, R. (2014). Towards Developing Experience Based Factory Model For Software Requirement Engineering Process In Collaborative Environment. *Knowledge Management International Conference, 12-15 August 2014, Langkawi, Malaysia*.
- Hanafiah, M.; Abdullah, R. (2014). An Evaluation on Components of Experience Based Factory Model in Requirement Engineering Process: A Preliminary Study. *6th International Conference on Information Technology and Multimedia, 18-20 November 2014, Marriot Putrajaya, Malaysia*, 308-313. .
- Hanafiah, M. & Abdullah, R. (2015). Experience Based Factory Model as Educational Support for Software Engineers. *International Conference on Education 2015 (ICOED), 2-4 June 2015, Bali, Indonesia*.
- Hanafiah, M., Abdullah, R., Din, J. & Murad, M.A.A. (2015). Towards Developing Lesson Learned and Experience of Software Development. *4th International Conference on Software Engineering & Computer Systems (ICSECS'15), 18-20 July 2015, Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia*, 102 – 106.
- Hanafiah, M., Abdullah, R., Din, J. & Murad, M.A.A. (2017). Regression Analysis on Experience Based Factory Model for Software Development Process. *10th*

Malaysian Software Engineering Conference (MySEC2017), 7-9th Aug 2017, Terengganu, Malaysia.

Hanafiah, M., Abdullah, R., Din, J. & Murad, M.A.A. (2018). Development and Evaluation of Experience Based Factory Model for Software Development Process. *11th Malaysian Software Engineering Conference (MySEC2018), 7-9th Aug 2018, Sarawak, Malaysia.*





UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

ACADEMIC SESSION : _____

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT :

COLLABORATIVE EXPERIENCE-BASED FACTORY MODEL FOR SOFTWARE
DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

NAME OF STUDENT : MASTURA BINTI HANAFIAH

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

*Please tick (✓)

☐

CONFIDENTIAL

(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

☐

RESTRICTED

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

☐

OPEN ACCESS

I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for :

☐

PATENT

Embargo from _____ until _____
(date) (date)

Approved by:

(Signature of Student)
New IC No/ Passport No.:

Date :

(Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee)
Name:

Date :

[Note : If the thesis is **CONFIDENTIAL** or **RESTRICTED**, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentiality or restricted.]