



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***OPTIMIZING CHICKEN LITTER BIOCHAR AND EGYPT ROCK
PHOSPHATE TO IMPROVE DRY MATTER PRODUCTION OF MAIZE
REDUCING PHOSPHORUS FIXATION IN A TROPICAL ACID SOIL***

SIMON ANAK MEDIN

FSPM 2019 4



**OPTIMIZING CHICKEN LITTER BIOCHAR AND EGYPT ROCK
PHOSPHATE TO IMPROVE DRY MATTER PRODUCTION OF MAIZE
REDUCING PHOSPHORUS FIXATION IN A TROPICAL ACID SOIL**

By

SIMON ANAK MEDIN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

March 2019

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

*Dedicate to my families and friends.
Thank you for everything.*



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

**OPTIMIZING CHICKEN LITTER BIOCHAR AND EGYPT ROCK
PHOSPHATE TO IMPROVE DRY MATTER BY REDUCING
PHOSPHORUS FIXATION IN A TROPICAL ACID SOIL**

By

SIMON ANAK MEDIN

March 2019

Chairman : Professor Ahmed Osumanu Haruna, PhD
Faculty : Agriculture and Food Sciences (Bintulu Campus)

Soluble inorganic Phosphorus (P) in soils readily reacts with Aluminium and Iron because P predominate in soil solution, depending on soil pH, and remains immobile due to its fixation with hydrous and oxides. Biochar which bear functional groups with negative charges, have reported to improve acid soils with biochar or a mixture of biochar increased total P, available P, inorganic P fractions, to improve soil porosity and surface area. However, there is lack of information on the optimum use of biochar and P fertilizers to reduce P fixation especially for Egypt Rock Phosphate (ERP). The objectives of this study were to: (i) determine the optimum amount of P and biochar that could reduce phosphorus fixation and (ii) improve dry matter production of *Zea mays* L. by reduction of phosphorus fixation using biochar. Different rates of chicken litter biochar (75%, 50%, and 25%) and ERP (75%, 50%, and 25%) were evaluated in incubation study (for 30, 60, and 90 days) and pot studies. Soil samples were analysed on selected soil physico-chemical properties, nutrient uptake, and growth variable properties before and after leaching, incubation, and pot studies using standard procedures. Incubation study results showed that total P, pH, K, and Mg of leachates with 75% biochar were significantly higher due to the liming effect of the chicken litter biochar. The pH, total C and P, exchangeable P, water soluble P, after 30 days of leaching were significantly higher than that of the existing fertilization recommendation. The use of 75% biochar of 10 t ha⁻¹ with 50% and 25% ERP showed significant retention and nutrients availability because of reduction of Al and Fe hydrolysis. Soil pH, total P, available P, and water soluble P increased in the treatments with 75% biochar for incubation study. Total acidity, exchangeable Al³⁺, and H⁺ were significantly reduced by the chicken litter biochar. The use of 75% of 10 t ha⁻¹ biochar with 25% ERP of the existing recommendation enhance phosphate release from ERP amended by minimizing soil Al and Fe hydrolysis. The results in the pot study suggest the use of 75% biochar of 10 t ha⁻¹ with 25% ERP increased soil P availability, P uptake and dry matter yield of *Zea mays* L. Maize productivity can

be improved by using chicken litter biochar with 25% reduction of ERP. Generally, improving phosphorus use efficiency and yield of *Zea mays* L. cultivation on an acid soil using chicken litter biochar reduced chicken litter biochar and P fertilizer application rate, improved P availability, P uptake, and increase yield of *Zea mays* L. This study may only be applicable to tropical acid soils and it will as well contribute to the optimum utilization of P fertilizers and chicken litter biochar on tropical acid soil to improve *Zea mays* L. production. Further studies especially field trials are essential for consolidation of the research findings which reported in the thesis.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**MENGOPTIMASI BIOCHAR TAHI AYAM DAN BAJA BATUAN
FOSFORUS EGYPT UNTUK MENINGKATKAN HASIL KERING DENGAN
MENGURANGKAN PENGIKATAN FOSFORUS DI TANAH ASID
TROPIKA**

Oleh

SIMON ANAK MEDIN

Mac 2019

Pengerusi : Profesor Ahmed Osumanu Haruna, PhD
Fakulti : Sains Pertanian dan Makanan (Kampus Bintulu)

Fosforus (P) bukan organik dalam tanah mudah bereaksi dengan Al dan Fe kerana P bukan organik berlarut dan diikat oleh aluminium (Al) dan Ferum (Fe). Ini bergantung kepada kepada pH tanah, dan tetap tidak bergerak kerana diikat oleh hidrous dan oksida. Biochar yang mengandungi kumpulan berfungsi dengan cas negatif dilaporkan dapat meningkatkan tanah asid di mana biochar atau campuran biochar meningkat jumlah P, P tersedia, pecahan P organik dan untuk meningkatkan keliangan dan kawasan permukaan tanah. Walau bagaimanapun, terdapat maklumat yang terhad berkenaan penggunaan optimum biochar dan baja P untuk mengurangkan penetapan P terutama untuk baja Egypt Rock Phosphate (ERP). Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk: (i) menentukan jumlah optimum P dan biochar yang boleh mengurangkan penetapan fosforus dan (ii) meningkatkan pengeluaran bahan kering *Zea mays* L. melalui pengurangan penetapan fosforus menggunakan biochar. Kadar berbeza biochar tahi ayam (75%, 50%, dan 25%) dan ERP (75%, 50%, dan 25%) dinilai dalam kajian inkubasi (30, 60, dan 90 hari) dan kajian pasu. Sampel tanah dianalisis untuk sifat-sifat kimia-fizikal tanah yang dipilih, pengambilan nutrien, dan sifat-sifat pemboleh ubah pertumbuhan sebelum dan selepas kajian larut lesap, kajian inkubasi, dan kajian pasu menggunakan prosedur piawai. Hasil kajian inkubasi menunjukkan bahawa jumlah keseluruhan P, pH, K, dan Mg yang larut dengan biochar 75% lebih tinggi disebabkan oleh kesan pengapuran biochar tahi ayam. Nilai pH, jumlah C dan P, kebolehtukaran P, kebolehlarutan P, selepas 30 hari larut lesap lebih tinggi daripada pembajaan biasa. Penggunaan biochar 75% daripada 10 t ha⁻¹ dengan 50% dan 25% ERP menunjukkan ketersediaan ketahanan dan nutrien yang signifikan kerana pengurangan hidrolisis Al dan Fe. Nilai pH tanah, jumlah P, ketersediaan P, dan kebolehlarutan P meningkat dalam bahan pindaan dengan biochar 75% dalam kajian inkubasi. Keasidan tanah, kebolehtukaran Al³⁺, dan H⁺ dikurangkan dengan ketara oleh biochar tahi ayam. Penggunaan 75% daripada 10 t ha⁻¹ biochar dengan 25% ERP

dengan cadangan yang sedia ada merangsang pelepasan P dari ERP yang dipinda dengan meminimumkan hidrolisis Al dan Fe dalam tanah. Keputusan kajian pasu menunjukkan penggunaan biochar 75% daripada 10 t ha⁻¹ dengan 25% ERP meningkat ketersediaan P tanah, pengambilan P dan hasil bahan kering *Zea mays* L. Produktiviti jagung dapat ditingkatkan dengan menggunakan biochar tahi ayam dengan 25 % pengurangan penggunaan baja ERP. Secara amnya, peningkatan fosforus menggunakan kecekapan dan hasil penanaman *Zea mays* L. pada tanah asid menggunakan biochar tahi ayam telah mengurangkan biochar tahi ayam dan kadar penggunaan baja P, peningkatan ketersediaan P, peningkatan pengambilan P dan peningkatan hasil *Zea mays* L. Kajian ini boleh digunakan untuk tanah asid tropika dan ia juga akan menyumbang kepada pemanfaatan optimum baja P dan biochar tahi ayam pada tanah asid tropika untuk meningkatkan pengeluaran *Zea mays* L.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my supervisory committee, Professor Dr. Ahmed Osumanu Haruna, and Dr. Roland Kueh Jui Heng for their generous guidance, encouragement, constant support, patience, motivation, and immense knowledge throughout this research. I would also like to extend my thanks to the technicians of the soil science laboratory Mr. Arni Japar and Mrs. Elizabeth Andrew for their laboratory assistance. My grateful thanks are also extended to my whole family especially my father, Mr. Medin Norey, Mdm. Junai Sambau, and my siblings for their unflagging love and unconditional support throughout my life and studies. Warmest thanks go to my friends, Dr. Latifah Omar, Ms. Amilla Yastari, Ms. Alicia Vanessa Jeffary, Ms. Wendy Luta, Mr. Nathaniel Mikol, Mr. Low Xian Jie, Ms. Siti Aisyah Mohd Zaman, Ms. Mayrilyn Solo Thompson, Mr. Maru Ali, and Ms. Audrey Asap for being helpful before, during, and after my research. My appreciation also goes to the Ministry of Education, Malaysia and Universiti Putra Malaysia. Last but not the least, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to those who believe and never give up on me.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Ahmed Osumanu Haruna, PhD

Professor

Faculty of Agriculture and Food Sciences

Universiti Putra Malaysia (Bintulu Campus)

(Chairman)

Roland Kueh Jui Heng, PhD

Senior Lecturer

Faculty of Agriculture and Food Sciences

Universiti Putra Malaysia (Bintulu Campus)

(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean

School of Graduate Studies

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: _____

Date: 29/10/19

Name and Matric No: Simon Anak Medin, GS 47899

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF PLATES	xix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx
 CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background and Problem Statement	1
1.2 Objectives	2
 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	 3
2.1 Phosphorus	3
2.1.1 Soil-Plant Phosphorus Cycle	4
2.1.2 Current Concern about Soil Phosphorus	6
2.2 Soil Phosphorus	8
2.2.1 Phosphorus Forms	8
2.2.2 Organic Matter	9
2.3 Organic Amendments	9
2.4 Biochar	10
2.5 Maize	10
 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	 12
3.1 Soil Sampling	12
3.2 Soil Samples Preparation and Analysis	12
3.2.1 Determination of Bulk Density	13
3.2.2 Determination of Soil Texture	13
3.2.3 Determination of Soil pH in Water and KCl	14
3.2.4 Determination of Soil Organic Matter	14
3.2.5 Determination of Soil Total Phosphorus	14
3.2.6 Determination of Soil Available Phosphorus	15
3.2.7 Determination of Water soluble Phosphorus	15
3.2.8 Determination of Phosphorus in Soil Solution	15
3.3 Determination of Soil Total Titratable Acidity, Exchangeable Hydrogen, and Aluminium	16
3.4 Determination of Plant Nutrient Uptake	17

4	IMPROVING SOIL PHOSPHORUS AVAILABILITY FOLLOWING APPLICATION OF EGYPT ROCK PHOSPHATE AND CHICKEN LITTER BIOCHAR	18
4.1	Introduction	18
4.2	Materials and Methods	19
4.2.1	Initial Characterization of Soil Sample	19
4.2.2	Leaching Study	19
4.2.3	Leachates Analysis for Leaching Study	20
4.2.4	Statistical Analysis	20
4.3	Results and Discussion	20
4.3.1	Initial Physico-Chemical Properties of Soil and Chicken Litter Biochar Used	20
4.3.2	The pH of Leachate over 30 Days of Leaching	22
4.3.3	Availability of phosphorus in leachate over 30 days of leaching	22
4.3.4	Base Cations of soil After 30 Days of Leaching	24
4.3.5	Effects of Chicken Litter Biochar on Soil Total Carbon and pH after 30 Days of Leaching	27
4.3.6	Effects of Chicken Litter Biochar on Total Soil Acidity, Aluminium, and Hydrogen Ions After 30 Days of Leaching	29
4.3.7	Phosphorus Retention by Chicken Litter Biochar After 30 Days of Leaching	32
4.3.8	Relationship Between the Selected Chemical Properties	34
4.4	Conclusion	34
5	LABORATORY ASSESSMENT OF AMENDING EGYPT ROCK PHOSPHATE WITH CHICKEN LITTER BIOCHAR IN IMPROVING SOIL PHOSPHORUS AVAILABILITY	35
5.1	Introduction	35
5.2	Materials and methods	36
5.2.1	Soil sampling and preparation	36
5.2.2	Incubation study	36
5.2.3	Soil chemical analysis before and after incubation	37
5.2.4	Statistical analysis	37
5.3	Results and discussion	37
5.3.1	Effects of different amounts of chicken litter biochar and Egypt Rock Phosphate on soil total C and pH	39
5.3.2	Effects of different amounts of chicken litter biochar and Egypt Rock Phosphate on soil phosphorus	41
5.3.3	Effects of different amounts of chicken litter biochar and Egypt Rock Phosphate on soil total acidity, exchangeable Al^{3+} , and H^{+}	43
5.3.4	Relationship Between the Selected Chemical Properties	45
5.4	Conclusion	46

6	OPTIMIZATION OF CHICKEN LITTER BIOCHAR AND EGYPT ROCK PHOSPHATE TO IMPROVE PHOSPHORUS AVAILABILITY OF A TROPICAL ACID SOIL AND <i>Zea mays</i> L. PRODUCTIVITY	47
6.1	Introduction	47
6.2	Materials and Methods	48
6.2.1	Soil Sampling and Preparation	48
6.2.2	Pot Study	48
6.2.3	Harvesting of Maize Plants	50
6.2.4	Soil Chemical Analysis Before and After Pots	50
6.2.5	Roots and Above Ground Biomass Analysis	50
6.2.6	Statistical Analysis	50
6.3	Results and Discussion	51
6.3.1	Effects of Different Amounts of Chicken Litter Biochar and Phosphorus on Plant Growth Variables	51
6.3.2	Effects of Different Amounts of Chicken Litter Biochar and Phosphorus on Plant Nutrient Uptake	56
6.3.3	Effects of Different Amounts of Chicken Litter Biochar and Phosphorus on Soil Physico-Chemical Properties at Harvest (Fifty Days after Sowing)	58
6.4	Conclusion	64
7	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	65
	REFERENCES	67
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	84
	PUBLICATION	85

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
4.1 Chicken litter biochar and Egypt Rock Phosphate rates for leaching study	20
4.2 Selected physical and chemical properties of Nyalau Series	21
4.3 Selected chemical properties of chicken litter biochar	21
4.4 Correlation between selected chemical parameters	34
5.1 Chicken litter biochar and Egypt Rock Phosphate rates for incubation study	36
5.2 Mean Square value of analysis of variance (ANOVA) to evaluate the effect of treatment and incubation time on Available P, Soluble P, Total P, Organic Matter, Soil pH (H ₂ O), pH (KCl), Exchange Acidity, Exchangeable Al ³⁺ , and Exchangeable H ⁺ .	38
5.3 Correlation between selected chemical parameters	46
6.1 Percentages of chicken litter biochar and egypt rock phosphate used in pot studies	49
6.2 Chicken litter biochar and fertilizer rates used in the pot study	49

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Phosphorus rock production peak prediction (Cordell <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	3
2.2 United States of America Geological Survey estimation and prediction for 2012 (210) and 2013 (256), respectively (Scholz <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	3
2.3 Phosphorus chain loses (Smit <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	4
2.4 United States of America applied Fertilizer Fate, 2010 (Knight, 2013)	6
2.5 The examples of waterway eutrophication, a greenish hue on the water (Drolc and Koncan, 2002)	7
3.1 Aerial view of the location where soil sampled was for pot, incubation, leaching studies in Universiti Putra Malaysia Bintulu Sarawak Campus, Malaysia.	12
4.1 Effects of treatments with and without chicken litter biochar on leachate pH over 30 days of leaching	22
4.2 Effects of treatments with and without chicken litter biochar on leachate P availability over 30 days of leaching	23
4.3 Effects of treatments with and without chicken litter biochar on leachate total P availability after 30 days of leaching	24
4.4 Effects of treatments with and without chicken litter biochar on leachate K availability over 30 days of leaching	25
4.5 Effects of treatments with and without chicken litter biochar on leachate Ca availability over 30 days of leaching	26
4.6 Effects of treatments with and without chicken litter biochar on leachate Mg availability over 30 days of leaching	26
4.7 Effects of treatments with and without chicken litter biochar on total carbon after 30 days of leaching. Means between columns with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	28
4.8 Effects of treatments with and without chicken litter biochar on soil pH in water after 30 days of leaching. Means between columns with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	28

4.9	Effects of treatments with and without chicken litter biochar on soil pH in KCl after 30 days of leaching. Means between columns with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	29
4.10	Effects of treatments with and without chicken litter biochar on soil total acidity after 30 days of leaching. Means between columns with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	30
4.11	Effects of treatments with and without chicken litter biochar on soil exchangeable Al^{3+} after 30 days of leaching. Means between columns with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	31
4.12	Effects of treatments with and without chicken litter biochar on soil exchangeable H^+ after 30 days of leaching. Means between columns with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	31
4.13	Effects of treatments with and without chicken litter biochar on soil total P after 30 days of leaching. Means between columns with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	32
4.14	Effects of treatments with and without chicken litter biochar on soil available P after 30 days of leaching. Means between columns with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	33
4.15	Effects of treatments with and without chicken litter biochar on soil water soluble P after 30 days of leaching. Means between columns with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	33
5.1	Effects of treatments on soil total carbon at 30, 60, and 90 DAI. Means with the same letter are not significantly different by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Letters without prime represent 30 days, single prime superscript represents 60 days, and double prime superscript represents 90 days of incubations. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	39
5.2	Effects of treatments on soil pH in water at 30, 60, and 90 DAI. Means with the same letter are not significantly different by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Letters without prime represent 30 days, single prime superscript represents 60 days, and double prime superscript represents 90 days of incubations. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	40

- 5.3 Effects of treatments on soil KCl in water at 30, 60, and 90 DAI. Means with the same letter are not significantly different by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Letters without prime represent 30 days, single prime superscript represents 60 days, and double prime superscript represents 90 days of incubations. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE 40
- 5.4 Effects of treatments on soil total P at 30, 60, and 90 DAI. Means with the same letter are not significantly different by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Letters without prime represent 30 days, single prime superscript represents 60 days, and double prime superscript represents 90 days of incubations. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE 42
- 5.5 Effects of treatments on soil available P at 30, 60, and 90 days of incubations. Means with the same letter are not significantly different by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Letters without prime represent 30 days, single prime superscript represents 60 days, and double prime superscript represents 90 days of incubations. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE 42
- 5.6 Effects of treatments on soil water soluble P at 30, 60, and 90 days of incubations. Means with the same letter are not significantly different by Tukey's test HSD at $P \leq 0.05$. Letters without prime represent 30 days, single prime superscript represents 60 days, and double prime superscript represents 90 days of incubations. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE 43
- 5.7 Effects of treatments on soil total acidity at 30, 60, and 90 days of incubations. Means with the same letter are not significantly different by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Letters without prime represent 30 days, single prime superscript represents 60 days, and double prime superscript represents 90 days of incubations. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE 44
- 5.8 Effects of treatments on soil exchangeable Al^{3+} at 30, 60, and 90 days of incubations. Means with the same letter are not significantly different by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Letters without prime represent 30 days, single prime superscript represents 60 days, and double prime superscript represents 90 days of incubations. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE 44
- 5.9 exchangeable H^+ at 30, 60, and 90 days of incubations. Means with the same letter are not significantly different by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Letters without prime represent 30 days, single prime superscript represents 60 days, and double prime superscript represents 90 days of incubations. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE 45

6.1a	Effects of treatments on leaves dry weight of maize at tasselling stage. Means of leaves dry weight with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	52
6.1b	Effects of treatments on stem dry weight of maize at tasselling stage. Means of stem dry weight with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	52
6.1c	Effects of treatments on roots dry weight of maize at tasselling stage. Means of root dry weight with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	53
6.1d	Effects of treatments on total plant dry weight of maize at tasselling stage. Means of total plant dry weight with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	53
6.2a	Effects of treatments on leaves P uptake of maize at tasselling stage. Means of leaves P uptake with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	56
6.2b	Effects of treatments on stems P uptake of maize at tasselling stage. Means of stems P uptake with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	57
6.2c	Effects of treatments on roots P uptake of maize at tasselling stage. Means of roots P uptake with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	57
6.2d	Effects of treatments on total P uptake of maize at tasselling stage. Means of total P uptake with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	58
6.3	Effects of treatments on soil total carbon. Means of soil total carbon with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	59
6.4	Effects of treatments on soil pH in water. Means of soil pH in water with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	59

6.5	Effects of treatments on soil pH in KCl. Means of soil pH in KCl with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	60
6.6	Effects of treatments on soil total phosphorus. Means of soil total phosphorus with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	61
6.7	Effects of treatments on soil available phosphorus. Means of soil available phosphorus with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	61
6.8	Effects of treatments on soil soluble phosphorus. Means of soil soluble phosphorus with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	62
6.9	Effects of treatments on soil total acidity. Means of soil total acidity with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	63
6.10	Effects of treatments on soil exchangeable Al^{3+} . Means of soil exchangeable Al^{3+} with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	63
6.11	Effects of treatments on soil exchangeable H^+ . Means of soil exchangeable H^+ with different letter(s) indicate significant difference between treatments by Tukey's HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Bars represent the mean values \pm SE	64

LIST OF PLATES

Plate		Page
6.1	Effects of treatments on maize plants at tasselling stage. (Note: T represent treatment and R represent replication)	54
6.2	Effects of treatments on maize plants' root at tasselling stage. (Note: T represent treatment and R represent replication)	55



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

$(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{Fe}(\text{SO}_4)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$	Ferrous Ammonium Sulphate
ADP	Adenosine Diphosphate
Anion Exchange Capacity	Methane
Al-P_i	Aluminium Bound Inorganic Phosphorus
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
ATP	Adenosine Triphosphate
Ca-P_i	Calcium Bound Inorganic Phosphorus
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
DAI	Days After Incubation
DAS	Days After Sowing
Fe-P_i	Iron Bound Inorganic Phosphorus
P	Phosphorus
P_i	Inorganic Phosphorus
P_o	Organic Phosphorus
SAS	Statistical Analysis System
SE	Standard Error

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Problem Statement

Phosphorus (P) is an essential macronutrient for plant growth. The optimum phosphorus available for uptake in crops occurs at soil pH range from 6 to 7. Although when P is supplied as soluble fertilizer, availability of P is low in a solution of most soils due to P fixation by positively charged minerals in soils (Ayaga *et al.*, 2006). Phosphorus plays a vital role in plant nutrition (Stribley *et al.*, 1980) but readily available P is limited in agriculture (Vassilev *et al.*, 2006). Moreover, a soluble source of P following application of chemical and organic fertilizers to soils are fixed by Al and Fe ions to form highly insoluble compounds (Brady and Weil, 2008). Phosphorus fixation is the reduction of solubility in P uptake by crops. The soils in the tropics are mostly acidic, which due to excessive weathering and high precipitation, resulting in basic cations losses (Ch'ng *et al.*, 2016). Soluble inorganic P in soils can readily react with Al and Fe because P predominates in soil solution, depending on soil pH, and remains immobile due to the fixation with hydrous and oxides of Fe and Al ions (Ch'ng *et al.*, 2016; Ch'ng *et al.*, 2015; Adnan *et al.*, 2003). As an example, orthophosphates ($\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^{2-}$ and HPO_4^{2-}) react with Al^{3+} and Fe^{2+} to form precipitate and this reaction reduces diffusion of P into roots (Adnan *et al.*, 2003).

Liming, as well as standard usage of P fertilizers, are the typical practices that had been utilized to alleviate P fixation in acid soils. To retain a sufficient plant-available P supply, liming is applied to decrease the solubility of Al and Fe ions. Likewise, unnecessary use of P fertilizers causes eutrophication (Brady and Weil, 2008). Some studies have shown that application of regular inorganic fertilizer, for example, phosphate and triple superphosphate, is not economical as well as environmentally friendly (Asap *et al.*, 2018; Rahman *et al.*, 2014). It is well-known that the uncontrolled application of P fertilizers can lead to water pollution, eutrophication (Ch'ng *et al.*, 2016).

The mitigation of P fixation in tropical acid soils involved the application of organic amendments which can decrease P sorption sites (Ch'ng *et al.*, 2016; Ohno and Amirbahma, 2010; Ohno *et al.*, 2007). Biochar is alkaline in nature thus, it could increase soil pH (Ch'ng *et al.*, 2016) for optimum crop productivity. Biochar, a carbon material created as a soil improver for agricultural and environmental management (Ch'ng *et al.*, 2014; Alvum-Toll *et al.*, 2011). Addition of biochar improves soil surface area and porosity. Besides, these materials tolerate negatively charged groups that can absorb Al and Fe ions, thus decreasing the P fixation in soil (Qayyum *et al.*, 2015). According to Ch'ng *et al.* (2014), improving acid soils with biochar as well as mixtures of biochar able to increase total P, available P, inorganic P fractions, and organic P. This was because organic amendments have the tendency not only increasing soil pH, but also decreasing acidity by reducing exchangeable Al ion as well as exchangeable Fe ion (Ch'ng *et al.*, 2014).

Application of combination biochar and Christmas Island Rock Phosphate (CIRP) successfully fixed Al and Fe ions. Therefore, available P will be more available for plants in extended period in comparison to applying CIRP without organic amendments (Ch'ng *et al.*, 2016a). Organic amendments increased N, K, Ca and Mg availability in soils, and they as well enhanced nutrients uptake and production of dry matter of *Zea mays* (Ch'ng *et al.*, 2016b). Usage of biochar, as well as compost, can minimize usage of phosphate fertilizers and lime (Ch'ng *et al.*, 2016c). Biochar application can possibly tackle climate change through carbon sequestration (Liu *et al.*, 2014; Lehmann, 2007), soil physicochemical and biological properties (Xu *et al.*, 2014; Sohi *et al.*, 2010; Glaser *et al.*, 2002). Previous studies had been done for P using organic matter for sorption and fixation (Ohno and Amirbahma, 2010; Ohno *et al.*, 2007). However, information on the optimum usage of biochar and P fertilizer to reduce P fixation is lacking (Ch'ng *et al.*, 2014). This is especially for Egypt Rock Phosphate (ERP) which currently one of the popular P fertilizers being used in agriculture (Ch'ng *et al.*, 2016c). It was hypothesized that lower than recommended biochar rates and Egypt Rock Phosphate (ERP) on tropical acid soil will have a similar effect on improving P availability on crop productivity, compare to recommended rates. This study will try to explore the optimum amount of biochar that could reduce phosphorus fixation and improve crop yield be determined.

1.2 Objectives

Objectives of this study were to:

1. Determine optimum amount of biochar reduce phosphorus fixation.
2. Improve dry matter production of *Zea mays* L. by reduction phosphorus fixation using biochar.

REFERENCES

- Adnan, A., Mavinic, D. S., and Koch, F. A. (2003). Pilot-scale study of phosphorus recovery through struvite crystallization examining the process feasibility. *Journal of environmental engineering and science*, 2(5), 315-324. DOI: 10.1139/s03-040.
- Ahmad, M., Rajapaksha, A. U., Lim, J. E., Zhang, M., Bolan, N., Mohan, D. & Ok, Y. S. (2014). Biochar as a sorbent for contaminant management in soil and water: a review. *Chemosphere*, 99, 19-33.
- Alvum-Toll, K., Karlsson, T., & Ström, H. (2011). Biochar as soil amendment.
- Asap, A., Haruna, A. O., Majid, N. M. A., & Ali, M. (2018). Amending triple superphosphate with chicken litter biochar improves phosphorus availability. *Eurasian Journal of Soil Science*, 7(2), 121-132. DOI : 10.18393/ejss.376250
- Askegaard, M., Olesen, J. E., Rasmussen, I. A., and Kristensen, K. (2011). Nitrate leaching from organic arable crop rotations is mostly determined by autumn field management. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 142(3), 149-160. DOI: 10.1016/j.agee.2011.04.014.
- Atkinson, C. J., Fitzgerald, J. D., & Hipps, N. A. (2010). Potential mechanisms for achieving agricultural benefits from biochar application to temperate soils: a review. *Plant and soil*, 337(1-2), 1-18.
- Atkinson, C. J., Fitzgerald, J. D., and Hipps, N. A. (2010). Potential mechanisms for achieving agricultural benefits from biochar application to temperate soils: a review. *Plant and soil*, 337(1-2), 1-18. DOI: 10.1007/s11104-010-0464-5.
- Ayaga, G., Todd, A., & Brookes, P. C. (2006). Enhanced biological cycling of phosphorus increases its availability to crops in low-input sub-Saharan farming systems. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 38(1), 81-90.
- Bates, T. R., & Lynch, J. P. (2001). Root hairs confer a competitive advantage under low phosphorus availability. *Plant and Soil*, 236(2), 243-250.
- Beck, M. A., & Sanchez, P. A. (1994). Soil phosphorus fraction dynamics during 18 years of cultivation on a Typic Paleudult. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 58(5), 1424-1431.
- Beegle, D. B., & Durst, P. T. (2002). Managing phosphorus for crop production. *Agronomy Facts*, 13, 6.
- Beesley, L., Moreno-Jiménez, E., Gomez-Eyles, J. L., Harris, E., Robinson, B., & Sizmur, T. (2011). A review of biochars' potential role in the remediation, revegetation and restoration of contaminated soils. *Environmental pollution*, 159(12), 3269-3282.

- Bennett, E. M., Carpenter, S. R., & Caraco, N. F. (2001). Human impact on erodable phosphorus and eutrophication: a global perspective: increasing accumulation of phosphorus in soil threatens rivers, lakes, and coastal oceans with eutrophication. *AIBS Bulletin*, 51(3), 227-234.
- Beqiraj, E., Gjoka, F., Muller, F., & Bailif, P. (2008). Use of zeolitic material from Munella region (Albania) as fertilizer in the sandy soils of Divjaka region (Albania). *Carpathian Journal of Earth and Environmental Sciences*, 2, 33-47.
- Berek, A. K., Radjagukguk, B., & Maas, A. (1995). The effect of different organic materials on the alleviation of Al toxicity in soybean on a red-yellow podzolic soil. In *Plant-soil interactions at low pH: Principles and management* (pp. 579-584). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Bernas, B. (1968). New method for decomposition and comprehensive analysis of silicates by atomic absorption spectrometry. *Analytical Chemistry*, 40(11): 1682-1686. DOI: 10.1021/ac60267a017.
- Bernas, B. (1968). New method for decomposition and comprehensive analysis of silicates by atomic absorption spectrometry. *Analytical Chemistry*, 40(11): 1682-1686. DOI: 10.1021/ac60267a017.
- Bianchi, S. R., Miyazawa, M., Oliveira, E. L. D., & Pavan, M. A. (2008). Relationship between the mass of organic matter and carbon in soil. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology*, 51(2), 263-269.
- Bouyoucos, G. J. (1962). Hydrometer method improved for making particle size analyses of soils. *Agronomy journal*, 54(5): 464-465.
- Brady, N. C., and Weil, R. R. (1999). The nature and properties of soil 12th ed.
- Bremner, J.M. (1965). Total Nitrogen. In *Method of soil analysis part 2*, ed. C.A. Black, D.D. Evan, L.E. Ensminger, J.L. White, F.E. Clark, and R.D. Dinauer, pp. 1149-1178. *American Society of Agronomy*. Madison, Wisconsin.
- Bricker, S. B., Clement, C. G., Pirhalla, D. E., Orlando, S. P., & Farrow, D. R. (1999). *National estuarine eutrophication assessment: effects of nutrient enrichment in the nation's estuaries*. US National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration, National Ocean Service, Special Projects Office and the National Center for Coastal Ocean Science.
- Brookes, P. C., Powlson, D. S., & Jenkinson, D. S. (1984). Phosphorus in the soil microbial biomass. *Soil biology and biochemistry*, 16(2), 169-175.
- Cakmak, I., & Marschner, H. (1986). Mechanism of phosphorus- induced zinc deficiency in cotton. I. Zinc deficiency- enhanced uptake rate of phosphorus. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 68(3), 483-490.

- Campbell, K. L., & Edwards, D. R. (2001). Phosphorus and water quality impacts. *Agricultural Nonpoint Source Pollution: Watershed Management and Hydrology*, 91-108.
- Carpenter, S. R., Caraco, N. F., Correll, D. L., Howarth, R. W., Sharpley, A. N., & Smith, V. H. (1998). Nonpoint pollution of surface waters with phosphorus and nitrogen. *Ecological applications*, 8(3), 559-568.
- Case, S. D., McNamara, N. P., Reay, D. S., and Whitaker, J. (2012). The effect of biochar addition on N₂O and CO₂ emissions from a sandy loam soil—the role of soil aeration. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 51, 125-134. DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.03.017.
- Cely, P., Gascó, G., Paz-Ferreiro, J., & Méndez, A. (2015). Agronomic properties of biochars from different manure wastes. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 111, 173-182.
- Chan, K. Y., Van Zwieten, L., Meszaros, I., Downie, A., & Joseph, S. (2008). Agronomic values of greenwaste biochar as a soil amendment. *Soil Research*, 45(8), 629-634.
- Chan, K. Y., Van Zwieten, L., Meszaros, I., Downie, A., & Joseph, S. (2008). Using poultry litter biochars as soil amendments. *Soil Research*, 46(5), 437-444.
- Chan, K. Y., Van Zwieten, L., Meszaros, I., Downie, A., & Joseph, S. (2008). Agronomic values of greenwaste biochar as a soil amendment. *Soil Research*, 45(8), 629-634.
- Chan, K. Y., Van Zwieten, L., Meszaros, I., Downie, A., and Joseph, S. (2007). Agronomic values of greenwaste biochar as a soil amendment. *Soil Research*, 45(8), 629-634. DOI: 10.1071/SR07109.
- Chefetz, B., Hatcher, P. G., Hadar, Y., and Chen, Y. (1996). Chemical and biological characterization of organic matter during composting of municipal solid waste. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 25(4), 776-785. DOI: 10.2134/jeq1996.00472425002500040018x.
- Chen, J., Liu, X., Zheng, J., Zhang, B., Lu, H., Chi, Z Pan, G., Li, L., Zheng, J., Zhang, X. and Wang, J. (2013). Biochar soil amendment increased bacterial but decreased fungal gene abundance with shifts in community structure in a slightly acid rice paddy from Southwest China. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 71, 33-44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2013.05.003>
- Cheng, C. H., Lehmann, J., and Engelhard, M. H. (2008). Natural oxidation of black carbon in soils: changes in molecular form and surface charge along a climosequence. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 72(6), 1598-1610. DOI: 10.1016/j.gca.2008.01.010.

- Ch'ng, H. Y., Ahmed, O. H., and Majid, N. M. A. (2014). Biochar and compost influence the phosphorus availability, nutrients uptake, and growth of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in tropical acid soil. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 51(4), 797-806.
- Ch'ng, H. Y., Ahmed, O. H., and Majid, N. M. A. (2016a). Improving phosphorus availability, nutrient uptake and dry matter production of *Zea mays* L. on a tropical acid soil using poultry manure biochar and pineapple leaves compost. *Experimental Agriculture*, 52(3), 447-465. DOI: 10.1017/S0014479715000204.
- Ch'ng, H. Y., Ahmed, O. H., and Majid, N. M. A. (2016b). Minimizing Phosphorus Sorption and Leaching in a Tropical Acid Soil Using Egypt Rock Phosphate with Organic Amendments. *Philippine Agricultural Scientist*, 99(2), 176-185.
- Ch'ng, H. Y., Ahmed, O. H., Majid, N. M. A., and Jalloh, M. B. (2016c). Reducing Soil Phosphorus Fixation to Improve Yield of Maize on a Tropical Acid Soil Using Compost and Biochar Derived from Agro-Industrial Wastes. *Compost Science & Utilization*, 1-13. DOI: 10.1080/1065657X.2016.1202795.
- Christianson, L., Bhandari, A., and Helmers, M. (2009). Emerging technology: Denitrification bioreactors for nitrate reduction in agricultural waters. *Journal of soil and water conservation*, 64(5), 139A-141A. DOI: 10.2489/jswc.64.5.139A.
- Coelho, B. B., Murray, R., Lapen, D., Topp, E., and Bruin, A. (2012). Phosphorus and sediment loading to surface waters from liquid swine manure application under different drainage and tillage practices. *Agricultural Water Management*, 104, 51-61. DOI: 10.1016/j.agwat.2011.10.020.
- Cooper, J., Lombardi, R., Boardman, D., & Carliell-Marquet, C. (2011). The future distribution and production of global phosphate rock reserves. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 57, 78-86.
- Cordell, D., Drangert, J. O., & White, S. (2009). The story of phosphorus: global food security and food for thought. *Global environmental change*, 19(2), 292-305.
- Cottenie, A. 1980. Soil testing and plant testing as a basis of fertilizer recommendation. *FAO Soils Bulletin* 38: 70-73.
- De Ridder, M., De Jong, S., Polchar, J., & Lingemann, S. (2012). *Risks and opportunities in the global phosphate rock market: Robust strategies in times of uncertainty*. Hague Centre for Strategic Studies.
- Del-Pilar-Ruso, Y., De-la-Ossa-Carretero, J. A., Loya-Fernández, A., Ferrero-Vicente, L. M., Giménez-Casalduero, F., & Sánchez-Lizaso, J. L. (2009). Assessment of soft-bottom Polychaeta assemblage affected by a spatial confluence of impacts: sewage and brine discharges. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 58(5), 776.

- DeLuca, T. H., Gundale, M. J., MacKenzie, M. D., & Jones, D. L. (2015). Biochar effects on soil nutrient transformations. *Biochar for environmental management: science, technology and implementation*, 2, 421-454.
- Déry, P., & Anderson, B. (2007). Peak phosphorus. *Energy Bulletin*, 13.
- Dixon, R. K., and Wisniewski, J. (1995). Global forest systems: An uncertain response to atmospheric pollutants and global climate change?. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, 85(1), 101-110.
- Dobermann, A.R. 2005. Nitrogen Use Efficiency – *State of the Art*. *Agronomy - Faculty Publications*. Paper 316. <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub/316>.
- Drolc, A., & Koncan, J. Z. (2002). Estimation of sources of total phosphorus in a river basin and assessment of alternatives for river pollution reduction. *Environment International*, 28(5), 393-400.
- Drury, C. F., Tan, C. S., Gaynor, J. D., Reynolds, J. W. D., Welacky, T. W., and Oloya, T. O. (2001). Water table management reduces tile nitrate loss in continuous corn and in a soybean-corn rotation. *The Scientific World Journal*, 1, 163-169. DOI: 10.1100/tsw.2001.268.
- Duku, M. H., Gu, S., & Hagan, E. B. (2011). Biochar production potential in Ghana—a review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 15(8), 3539-3551.
- Fageria, N. K., & Baligar, V. C. (2008). Ameliorating soil acidity of tropical Oxisols by liming for sustainable crop production. *Advances in agronomy*, 99, 345-399.
- Fang, X., Chua, T., Schmidt-Rohr, K., and Thompson, M. L. (2010). Quantitative ¹³C NMR of whole and fractionated Iowa Mollisols for assessment of organic matter composition. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 74(2), 584-598. DOI: 10.1016/j.gca.2009.10.008.
- FAO, (1995). Global and National Soils and Terrain Digital Database (SOTER) - Procedures Manual. FAO World Soil Resources Reports. No.74, Rev.1. Rome.
- Fest, E. P., Temminghoff, E. J. M., Comans, R. N. J., & Van Riemsdijk, W. H. (2008). Partitioning of organic matter and heavy metals in a sandy soil: effects of extracting solution, solid to liquid ratio and pH. *Geoderma*, 146(1-2), 66-74.
- Gachene, C. K., and Kimaru, G. (Eds.). (2003). *Soil fertility and Land productivity: A guide for extension workers in the Eastern Africa Region* (No. 30). Regional Land Management Unit.
- Gaskin, J. W., Steiner, C., Harris, K., Das, K. C., and Bibens, B. (2008). Effect of low-temperature pyrolysis conditions on biochar for agricultural use. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 51(6), 2061-2069. DOI: 10.13031/2013.25409.

GEOSEA V Proceedings Vols.1 & II, Fifth Regional Congress on Geology, Mineral and Energy Resources of Southeast Asia, Kuala Lumpur, 9-13 April 1984. Edited by G.H. Teh & S. Paramanathan.

Gitari, H. I., Mochoge, B. E., & Danga, B. O. (2015). Effect of lime and goat manure on soil acidity and maize (*Zea mays*) growth parameters at Kavutiri, Embu County-Central Kenya. *Journal of Soil Science and Environmental Management*, 6(10), 275-283.

Githae, E. W., Gachene, C. K., & Njoka, J. T. (2011). Soil physicochemical properties under *Acacia senegal* varieties in the dryland areas of Kenya. *African Journal of Plant Science*, 5(8), 475-482.

Glaser, B., Haumaier, L., Guggenberger, G., and Zech, W. (2001). The Terra Preta phenomenon: a model for sustainable agriculture in the humid tropics. *Naturwissenschaften*, 88(1), 37-41. DOI: 10.1007/s001140000193.

Glaser, B., Lehmann, J., & Zech, W. (2002). Ameliorating physical and chemical properties of highly weathered soils in the tropics with charcoal—a review. *Biology and fertility of soils*, 35(4), 219-230.

Glaser, B., Lehmann, J., and Zech, W. (2002). Ameliorating physical and chemical properties of highly weathered soils in the tropics with charcoal—a review. *Biology and fertility of soils*, 35(4), 219-230. DOI: 10.1007/s00374-002-0466-4.

Guppy, C. N., Menzies, N. W., Moody, P. W., & Blamey, F. P. C. (2005). Competitive sorption reactions between phosphorus and organic matter in soil: a review. *Soil Research*, 43(2), 189-202.

Hashimoto, Y., Matsufuru, H., Takaoka, M., Tanida, H., & Sato, T. (2009). Impacts of chemical amendment and plant growth on lead speciation and enzyme activities in a shooting range soil: an X-ray absorption fine structure investigation. *Journal of environmental quality*, 38(4), 1420-1428.

Haynes, R. J., & Mokolobate, M. S. (2001). Amelioration of Al toxicity and P deficiency in acid soils by additions of organic residues: a critical review of the phenomenon and the mechanisms involved. *Nutrient cycling in agroecosystems*, 59(1), 47-63.

Heckenmüller, M., Narita, D., & Klepper, G. (2014). *Global availability of phosphorus and its implications for global food supply: an economic overview* (No. 1897). Kiel working paper.

Herath, H. M. S. K., Camps-Arbestain, M., & Hedley, M. (2013). Effect of biochar on soil physical properties in two contrasting soils: an Alfisol and an Andisol. *Geoderma*, 209, 188-197.

- Higgs, B., Johnston, A. E., Salter, J. L., & Dawson, C. J. (2000). Some aspects of achieving sustainable phosphorus use in agriculture. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 29(1), 80-87.
- Hiradate, S., Furubayashi, A., Uchida, N., & Fujii, Y. (2007). Adsorption of 2, 4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid by an Andosol. *Journal of environmental quality*, 36(1), 101-109.
- Holford, I. C. R. (1997). Soil phosphorus: its measurement, and its uptake by plants. *Soil Research*, 35(2), 227-240.
- Hopkins, B. G., Ellsworth, J. W., Shiffler, A. K., Bowen, T. R., & Cook, A. G. (2010). Pre-plant versus in-season application of phosphorus fertilizer for Russet Burbank potato grown in calcareous soil. *Journal of plant nutrition*, 33(7), 1026-1039.
- Howarth, R. W., & Marino, R. (2006). Nitrogen as the limiting nutrient for eutrophication in coastal marine ecosystems: evolving views over three decades. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 51(1part2), 364-376.
- Hue, N. V. (1992). Correcting soil acidity of a highly weathered Ultisol with chicken manure and sewage sludge. *Communications in soil science and plant analysis*, 23(3-4), 241-264.
- Hunt, J. F., & Ohno, T. (2007). Characterization of fresh and decomposed dissolved organic matter using excitation– emission matrix fluorescence spectroscopy and multiway analysis. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 55(6), 2121-2128.
- Ismail, H., Shamshuddin, J., & Omar, S. S. (1993). Alleviation of soil acidity in Ultisol and Oxisol for corn growth. *Plant and Soil*, 151(1), 55-65.
- Iyamuremye, F., Dick, R. P., & Baham, J. (1996). Organic amendments and phosphorus dynamics: I. Phosphorus chemistry and sorption. *Soil Science*, 161(7), 426-435.
- Jaliya, M. M., Falaki, A. M., Mahmud, M., & Sani, Y. A. (2008). Effect of sowing date and NPK fertilizer rate on yield and yield components of quality protein maize (*Zea mays* L.). *ARPJ Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*, 3(2), 23-29.
- Jeffery, S., Abalos, D., Prodana, M., Bastos, A. C., Van Groenigen, J. W., Hungate, B. A., & Verheijen, F. (2017). Biochar boosts tropical but not temperate crop yields. *Environmental Research Letters*, 12(5), 053001.
- Jiao, Y., Whalen, J. K., and Hendershot, W. H. (2007). Phosphate sorption and release in a sandy-loam soil as influenced by fertilizer sources. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 71(1), 118-124. DOI: 10.2136/sssaj2006.0028.

- Jien, S. H., & Wang, C. S. (2013). Effects of biochar on soil properties and erosion potential in a highly weathered soil. *Catena*, 110, 225-233.
- Jin, D. F., Xu, Y. Y., Zhang, M., Jung, Y. S., & Ok, Y. S. (2016). Comparative evaluation for the sorption capacity of four carbonaceous sorbents to phenol. *Chemical Speciation & Bioavailability*, 28(1-4), 18-25.
- Joseph, S., Peacocke, C., Lehmann, J., & Munroe, P. (2009). Developing a biochar classification and test methods. *Biochar for environmental management: Science and technology*, 1, 107-126.
- Kambo, H. S., & Dutta, A. (2015). A comparative review of biochar and hydrochar in terms of production, physico-chemical properties and applications. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 45, 359-378.
- Karhu, K., Mattila, T., Bergström, I., and Regina, K. (2011). Biochar addition to agricultural soil increased CH₄ uptake and water holding capacity—results from a short-term pilot field study. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 140(1), 309-313. DOI: 10.1016/j.agee.2010.12.005.
- Knight, J. N. (2013). *Quantifying Phosphorus Sources, Sinks, Flows and Footprints: Incorporating Phosphate Rock, Mineral Impurities and Natural Inputs* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Colorado Denver).
- Knowles, O. A., Robinson, B. H., Contangelo, A., and Clucas, L. (2011). Biochar for the mitigation of nitrate leaching from soil amended with biosolids. *Science of the Total Environment*, 409(17), 3206-3210. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.05.011.
- Laird, D. A. (2008). The charcoal vision: a win-win-win scenario for simultaneously producing bioenergy, permanently sequestering carbon, while improving soil and water quality. *Agronomy journal*, 100(1), 178-181. DOI: 10.2134/agronj.2007.0161.
- Laird, D., Fleming, P., Wang, B., Horton, R., and Karlen, D. (2010a). Biochar impact on nutrient leaching from a Midwestern agricultural soil. *Geoderma*, 158(3), 436-442. DOI: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2010.05.012.
- Latifah, O., Ahmed, O. H., and Majid, N. M. A. (2017). Short Term Enhancement of Nutrients Availability in *Zea mays* L. Cultivation on an Acid Soil Using Compost and Clinoptilolite Zeolite. *Compost Science and Utilization*, 25(1), 22-35. DOI: 10.1080/1065657X.2016.1172054.
- Lehmann, J. (2007). A handful of carbon. *Nature* 447, 143-144.
- Lehmann, J., Rillig, M. C., Thies, J., Masiello, C. A., Hockaday, W. C., and Crowley, D. (2011). Biochar effects on soil biota—a review. *Soil biology and biochemistry*, 43(9), 1812-1836. DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.04.022.

- Liang, B., Lehmann, J., Solomon, D., Kinyangi, J., Grossman, J., O'Neill, B., Skjemstad, J.O., Thies, J., Luizao, F.J., Petersen, J. and Neves, E.G. (2006). Black carbon increases cation exchange capacity in soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 70(5), 1719-1730.
- Litke, D. W. (1999). *Review of phosphorus control measures in the United States and their effects on water quality*. Reston, VA: US Department of the Interior, US Geological Survey.
- Lu, S. G., Sun, F. F., & Zong, Y. T. (2014). Effect of rice husk biochar and coal fly ash on some physical properties of expansive clayey soil (Vertisol). *Catena*, 114, 37-44.
- Maguire, R. O., & Sims, J. T. (2002). Soil testing to predict phosphorus leaching. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 31(5), 1601-1609.
- Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI). 1993. Jagung manis baru (new sweet corn): masmadu. MARDI, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Mao, J. D., Johnson, R. L., Lehmann, J., Olk, D. C., Neves, E. G., Thompson, M. L., and Schmidt-Rohr, K. (2012). Abundant and stable char residues in soils: implications for soil fertility and carbon sequestration. *Environmental science and technology*, 46(17), 9571-9576. DOI: 10.1021/es301107c.
- Mehlich, A. (1953). Determination of P, Ca, Mg, K, Na and NH_4 . North Carolina State University Soil Test Division, Raleigh, NC.
- Mehlich, A. (1953). Determination of P, Ca, Mg, K, Na and NH_4 . North Carolina State University Soil Test Division, Raleigh, NC.
- Mensah, A. K., & Frimpong, K. A. (2018). Biochar and/or Compost Applications Improve Soil Properties, Growth, and Yield of Maize Grown in Acidic Rainforest and Coastal Savannah Soils in Ghana. *International Journal of Agronomy*, 2018.
- Meyer-Reil, L. A., & Köster, M. (2000). Eutrophication of marine waters: effects on benthic microbial communities. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 41(1-6), 255-263.
- Mikan, C. J., and Abrams, M. D. (1996). Mechanisms inhibiting the forest development of historic charcoal hearths in south-eastern Pennsylvania. *Canadian journal of forest research*, 26(11), 1893-1898. DOI: 10.1139/x26-213.
- Mishra, P. K., Joshi, P., Suyal, P. R. E. E. T. I., Bisht, J. K., & Bhatt, J. C. (2014). Potential of phosphate solubilising microorganisms in crop production. *Bioresources for Sustainable Plant Nutrient Management*. Satish Serial Publishing House, New Delhi-110033, India, 201-222.

- Mkhabela, M. S., & Warman, P. R. (2005). The influence of municipal solid waste compost on yield, soil phosphorus availability and uptake by two vegetable crops grown in a Pugwash sandy loam soil in Nova Scotia. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 106(1), 57-67.
- Muhumed, M. A., Jusop, S., Sung, C. T. B., Wahab, P. E. M., & Panhwar, Q. A. (2014). Influence of NPK fertilizer rates and irrigation frequencies on the biomass and yield components of sweet corn (*Zea mays* L.). *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment*, 12(2), 1308-1313.
- Murphy, J. and Riley, J. P. (1962). A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters. *Analytica chimica acta*, 27, 31-36. DOI: 10.1016/S0003-2670(00)88444-5.
- Murphy, J. and Riley, J. P. (1962). A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters. *Analytica chimica acta*, 27, 31-36. DOI: 10.1016/S0003-2670(00)88444-5.
- Myers, R. G., Sharpley, A. N., Thien, S. J., & Pierzynski, G. M. (2005). Ion-sink phosphorus extraction methods applied on 24 soils from the continental USA. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 69(2), 511-521.
- Myers, R. J. K., and De Pauw, E. (1995). Strategies for the management of soil acidity. In *Plant-Soil Interactions at Low pH: Principles and Management* (pp. 729-741). Springer Netherlands.
- Narambuye, F. X. and Haynes, R. J. (2006). Effect of organic amendments on soil pH and Al solubility and use of laboratory indices to predict their liming effect. *Soil Science* 17110(10):754–763
- Nekesa A. O, Okaebo J.R., Otheno C.O., Thuita M. N., Kipsat M., Batiano A., Sanginga N., Kimettu J., and Vanlauwe B., (2005). The potential of Minjingu phosphate rock from Tanzania as a liming material: Effect on maize and bean intercrop on acid soils of western Kenya. *African Crop Science Conference Proceedings*, Vol. 7. pp. 1121-1128.
- Nguyen, B. T., and Lehmann, J. (2009). Black carbon decomposition under varying water regimes. *Organic Geochemistry*, 40(8), 846-853
- Nguyen, B. T., Lehmann, J., Kinyangi, J., Smernik, R., Riha, S. J., and Engelhard, M. H. (2009). Long-term black carbon dynamics in cultivated soil. *Biogeochemistry*, 92(1-2), 163-176.
- Nigussie, A., Kissi, E., Misganaw, M., & Ambaw, G. (2012). Effect of biochar application on soil properties and nutrient uptake of lettuces (*Lactuca sativa*) grown in chromium polluted soils. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Science*, 12(3), 369-376.

- Niu, Y. F., Chai, R. S., Jin, G. L., Wang, H., Tang, C. X., & Zhang, Y. S. (2012). Responses of root architecture development to low phosphorus availability: a review. *Annals of botany*, 112(2), 391-408.
- Nixon, S. W. (1995). Coastal marine eutrophication: a definition, social causes, and future concerns. *Ophelia*, 41(1), 199-219.
- Novak, J. M., Busscher, W. J., Laird, D. L., Ahmedna, M., Watts, D. W., and Niandou, M. A. (2009a). Impact of biochar amendment on fertility of a south-eastern coastal plain soil. *Soil science*, 174(2), 105-112.
- Novak, J. M., Busscher, W. J., Laird, D. L., Ahmedna, M., Watts, D. W., and Niandou, M. A. (2009a). Impact of biochar amendment on fertility of a south-eastern coastal plain soil. *Soil science*, 174(2), 105-112.
- O'Connor, G. A., & Chinault, S. L. (2006). Environmental impacts of land applying biosolids. *Florida Water Resources Journal*.
- Oad, F. C., Buriro, U. A., & Agha, S. K. (2004). Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizer application on maize fodder production. *Asian J. Plant Sci*, 3(3), 375-377.
- Ohno, T., and Amirbahman, A. (2010a). Phosphorus availability in boreal forest soils: a geochemical and nutrient uptake modeling approach. *Geoderma*, 155(1), 46-54. DOI: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2009.11.022.
- Ohno, T., Fernandez, I. J., Hiradate, S., and Sherman, J. F. (2007). Effects of soil acidification and forest type on water soluble soil organic matter properties. *Geoderma*, 140(1), 176-187. DOI: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2007.04.004.
- Ohno, T., He, Z., Sleighter, R. L., Honeycutt, C. W., & Hatcher, P. G. (2010b). Ultrahigh resolution mass spectrometry and indicator species analysis to identify marker components of soil-and plant biomass-derived organic matter fractions. *Environmental science & technology*, 44(22), 8594-8600.
- Osman, K.T. 2012. A nutrient is a chemical element needed for normal growth and reproduction of plants. *Soils: Principles, Properties, and Management*.
- Páez-Osuna, F., & Ruiz-Fernández, A. C. (2005). Environmental load of nitrogen and phosphorus from extensive, semiintensive, and intensive shrimp farms in the Gulf of California ecoregion. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 74(4), 681-688.
- Páez-Osuna, F., Guerrero-Galván, S. R., & Ruiz-Fernández, A. C. (1998). The environmental impact of shrimp aquaculture and the coastal pollution in Mexico. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 36(1), 65-75.
- Paramananthan, S. (2000). *Soils of Malaysia: Their characteristics and identification Vol. 1*. Kuala Lumpur: Academy of Sciences Malaysia.

- Parnell, P. E. (2003). The effects of sewage discharge on water quality and phytoplankton of Hawai'ian coastal waters. *Marine environmental research*, 55(4), 293-311.
- Peech, H. M. (1965). "Hydrogen-ion Activity" In *Method of Soil Analysis, Part 2*. Eds. Black C. A., Evan D. D., Ensminger L. E., White J. L., Clark F.E. and Dinauer R.C. Madison, Wisconsin: American society of Agronomy, pp 914 – 926.
- Peech, H. M. (1965). "Hydrogen-ion Activity" In *Method of Soil Analysis, Part 2*. Eds. Black C. A., Evan D. D., Ensminger L. E., White J. L., Clark F.E. and Dinauer R.C. Madison, Wisconsin: American society of Agronomy, pp 914 – 926.
- Peech, H. M. (1965). "Hydrogen-ion Activity" In *Method of Soil Analysis, Part 2*. Eds. Black C. A., Evan D. D., Ensminger L. E., White J. L., Clark F.E. and Dinauer R.C. Madison, Wisconsin: American society of Agronomy, pp 914 – 926.
- Pinckney, J. L., Paerl, H. W., Tester, P., & Richardson, T. L. (2001). The role of nutrient loading and eutrophication in estuarine ecology. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 109(Suppl 5), 699.
- Qayyum, M. F., Ashraf, I., Abid, M., & Steffens, D. (2015). Effect of biochar, lime, and compost application on phosphorus adsorption in a Ferralsol. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 178(4), 576-581.
- Rabai, K. A., Ahmed, O. H., & Kasim, S. (2013). Use of formulated nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium compound fertilizer using clinoptilolite zeolite in maize (*Zea mays* L.) cultivation. *Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture*, 713-722.
- Rahman, Z. A., Gikonyo, E., Silek, B., Goh, K. J., and Soltangheis, A. (2014). Evaluation of phosphate rock sources and rate of application on oil palm yield grown on peat soils of Sarawak, Malaysia. *Journal of Agronomy*, 13: 12-22. DOI: 10.3923/ja.2014.12.22.
- Redin, M., Recous, S., Aita, C., Dietrich, G., Skolaude, A. C., Ludke, W. H., Schmatz, R. and Giacomini, S.J. (2014). How the chemical composition and heterogeneity of crop residue mixtures decomposing at the soil surface affects C and N mineralization. *Soil biology and biochemistry*, 78, 65-75.
- Reopanichkul, P., Carter, R. W., Worachananant, S., & Crossland, C. J. (2010). Wastewater discharge degrades coastal waters and reef communities in southern Thailand. *Marine environmental research*, 69(5), 287-296.
- Richardson, A. E. (2001). Prospects for using soil microorganisms to improve the acquisition of phosphorus by plants. *Functional Plant Biology*, 28(9), 897-906.
- Richardson, A. E. (2001). Prospects for using soil microorganisms to improve the acquisition of phosphorus by plants. *Functional Plant Biology*, 28(9), 897-906.

- Ritchie, G. S. P. (1994). Role of dissolution and precipitation of minerals in controlling soluble aluminium in acidic soils. *Advances in Agronomy*, 53, 47-83.
- Rondon, M. A., Molina, D., Hurtado, M., Ramirez, J., Lehmann, J., Major, J., and Amezquita, E. (2006). Enhancing the productivity of crops and grasses while reducing greenhouse gas emissions through bio-char amendments to unfertile tropical soils.
- Rowell, D. L. (1994). Soil science: methods and applications. Department of Soil Science, University of Reading.
- Saeed, I., Abbasi, M. K., & Kazmi, M. (2001). Response of Maize (*Zea mays*, L.) to NP Fertilization under Agro-climatic Conditions of Rawalakot Azad Jammu and Kashmir. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 4(8), 949-952.
- Sanchez, P. A. (1976). Properties and management of soils in the tropics. John Wiley, New York. *Properties and management of soils in the tropics. John Wiley, New York.*
- Sattell, R., Dick, R., Hemphill, D. D., Selker, J. S., Brandi-Dohrn, F. M., Minchew, H., Hess, M., Sandeno, J., and Kaufman, S. M. (1999). *Nitrogen scavenging: using cover crops to reduce nitrate leaching in western Oregon*. Corvallis, Or.: Extension Service, Oregon State University.
- Schachtman, D. P., Reid, R. J., & Ayling, S. M. (1998). Phosphorus uptake by plants: from soil to cell. *Plant physiology*, 116(2), 447-453.
- Schachtman, D. P., Reid, R. J., & Ayling, S. M. (1998). Phosphorus uptake by plants: from soil to cell. *Plant physiology*, 116(2), 447-453.
- Schneider, F., & Haderlein, S. B. (2016). Potential effects of biochar on the availability of phosphorus—mechanistic insights. *Geoderma*, 277, 83-90.
- Scholz, R. W., Ulrich, A. E., Eilittä, M., & Roy, A. (2013). Sustainable use of phosphorus: a finite resource. *Science of the Total Environment*, 461, 799-803.
- Scott, H. L., Ponsonby, D., & Atkinson, C. J. (2014). Biochar: an improver of nutrient and soil water availability-what is the evidence?. *CAB Reviews: Perspectives in Agriculture, Veterinary Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources*, 9(19), 1-19.
- Shamshuddin, J., Che Fauziah, I., & Bell, L. C. (2009). Effect of dolomitic limestone and gypsum applications on soil solution properties and yield of corn and groundnut grown on ultisols. *Malay. J. Soil Sci*, 13, 1-12.
- Shamshuddin, J., Fauziah, I. C., & Sharifuddin, H. A. H. (1991). Effects of limestone and gypsum application to a Malaysian Ultisol on soil solution composition and yields of maize and groundnut. *Plant and Soil*, 134(1), 45-52.

- Sharpley, A., Foy, B., & Withers, P. (2000). Practical and innovative measures for the control of agricultural phosphorus losses to water: an overview. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 29(1), 1-9.
- Shehu, H. E., Ezekiel, C. S., Kwari, J. D., & Sandabe, M. K. (2010). Agronomic efficiency of N , P and K fertilization in sesame (*Sesamum indicum*) in Mubi Region, Adamawa State, Nigeria. *Nature and Science*, 8, 257–260.
- Shultz, S. (2008). Corn. *Journal of Agricultural & Food Information*, 9(2), 101-114.
- Smit, A. L., Bindraban, P. S., Schröder, J. J., Conijn, J. G., & Van der Meer, H. G. (2009). *Phosphorus in agriculture: global resources, trends and developments: report to the Steering Committee Technology Assessment of the Ministry of Agriculture, Nature and Food Quality, The Netherlands, and in collaboration with the Nutrient Flow Task Group (NFTG), supported by DPRN (Development Policy review Network)(No. 282)*. Plant Research International.
- Smith, V. H., Tilman, G. D., & Nekola, J. C. (1999). Eutrophication: impacts of excess nutrient inputs on freshwater, marine, and terrestrial ecosystems. *Environmental pollution*, 100(1-3), 179-196.
- Sohi, S. P., Krull, E., Lopez-Capel, E., & Bol, R. (2010). A review of biochar and its use and function in soil. In *Advances in agronomy* (Vol. 105, pp. 47-82). Academic Press.
- Soil Survey Staff. (2014). *Keys to Soil Taxonomy*, 12th edn. Washington, DC: USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service.
- Sonmez, S., Buyuktas, D., Okturen, F., & Citak, S. (2008). Assessment of different soil to water ratios (1: 1, 1: 2.5, 1: 5) in soil salinity studies. *Geoderma*, 144(1-2), 361-369.
- Soo, C. L., Ling, T. Y., Lee, N., & Apun, K. (2016). Assessment of the characteristic of nutrients, total metals, and fecal coliform in Sibu Laut River, Sarawak, Malaysia. *Applied Water Science*, 6(1), 77-96.
- Spokas, K. A., Koskinen, W. C., Baker, J. M., & Reicosky, D. C. (2009). Impacts of woodchip biochar additions on greenhouse gas production and sorption/degradation of two herbicides in a Minnesota soil. *Chemosphere*, 77(4), 574-581.
- Stevenson, F. J., Stevenson, E. J., & Cole, M. A. (1999). *Cycles of soils: carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, sulfur, micronutrients*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Streubel, J. D., Collins, H. P., Garcia-Perez, M., Tarara, J., Granatstein, D., & Kruger, C. E. (2011). Influence of contrasting biochar types on five soils at increasing rates of application. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 75(4), 1402-1413.

- Stribley, D. P., Tinker, P. B., & Snellgrove, R. C. (1980). Effect of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on the relations of plant growth, internal phosphorus concentration and soil phosphate analyses. *Journal of Soil Science*, 31(4), 655-672.
- Susilawati, K., Osumanu, H. A., Nik, M., Mohd, K. Y., and Mohamadu, B. J. (2009). Effect of organic based N fertilizer on dry matter (*Zea mays* L.), ammonium and nitrate recovery in an acid soil of Sarawak, Malaysia. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, 6(7), 1289-1294. DOI: 10.3844/ajassp.2009.1289.1294.
- Tang, C., Sparling, G. P., McLay, C. D. A., and Raphael, C. (1999). Effect of short-term legume residue decomposition on soil acidity. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, 37(3), 561-573.
- Tang, Y., Zhang, H., Schroder, J. L., Payton, M. E., and Zhou, D. (2007). Animal manure reduces aluminium toxicity in an acid soil. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 71(6), 1699-1707. DOI: 10.2136/sssaj2007.0008.
- Tang, Y., Zhang, H., Schroder, J. L., Payton, M. E., and Zhou, D. (2007). Animal manure reduces aluminium toxicity in an acid soil. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 71(6), 1699-1707. DOI: 10.2136/sssaj2007.0008.
- Theodorou, M. E., & Plaxton, W. C. (1993). Metabolic adaptations of plant respiration to nutritional phosphate deprivation. *Plant physiology*, 101(2), 339-344.
- Torrent, J. (1997). Interactions between phosphate and iron oxide. *Advances in Geoecology*, 30, 321-344.
- Troeh, F. R., & Thompson, L. M. (2005). *Soils and soil fertility* (Vol. 489). Ames: Blackwell.
- Ulén, B., von Brömssen, C., Johansson, G., Torstensson, G., and Forsberg, L. S. (2012). Trends in nutrient concentrations in drainage water from single fields under ordinary cultivation. *Agriculture, ecosystems and environment*, 151, 61-69. DOI: 10.1016/j.agee.2012.02.005.
- Ulén, B., von Brömssen, C., Johansson, G., Torstensson, G., and Forsberg, L. S. (2012). Trends in nutrient concentrations in drainage water from single fields under ordinary cultivation. *Agriculture, ecosystems and environment*, 151, 61-69. DOI: 10.1016/j.agee.2012.02.005.
- Ulyett, J., Sakrabani, R., Kibblewhite, M., & Hann, M. (2014). Impact of biochar addition on water retention, nitrification and carbon dioxide evolution from two sandy loam soils. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 65(1), 96-104.
- Uzoma, K. C., Inoue, M., Andry, H., Fujimaki, H., Zahoor, A., & Nishihara, E. (2011). Effect of cow manure biochar on maize productivity under sandy soil condition. *Soil use and management*, 27(2), 205-212.

- Van Zwieten, L., Kimber, S., Morris, S., Chan, K. Y., Downie, A., Rust, J., ... & Cowie, A. (2010). Effects of biochar from slow pyrolysis of papermill waste on agronomic performance and soil fertility. *Plant and soil*, 327(1-2), 235-246.
- Vassilev, N., Martos, E., Mendes, G., Martos, V., and Vassileva, M. (2013). Biochar of animal origin: a sustainable solution to the global problem of high- grade rock phosphate scarcity?. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 93(8), 1799-1804.
- Veneklaas, E. J., Lambers, H., Bragg, J., Finnegan, P. M., Lovelock, C. E., Plaxton, W. C., ... & Raven, J. A. (2012). Opportunities for improving phosphorus- use efficiency in crop plants. *New Phytologist*, 195(2), 306-320.
- Von Uexküll, H. R., & Mutert, E. (1995). Global extent, development and economic impact of acid soils. *Plant and soil*, 171(1), 1-15.
- Von Uexküll, H. R., & Mutert, E. (1995). Global extent, development and economic impact of acid soils. *Plant and soil*, 171(1), 1-15.
- Wang, T., Camps-Arbestain, M., Hedley, M., & Bishop, P. (2012). Predicting phosphorus bioavailability from high-ash biochars. *Plant and Soil*, 357(1-2), 173-187.
- Wang, Y. T., Zhang, T. Q., O'Halloran, I. P., Tan, C. S., Hu, Q. C., & Reid, D. K. (2012). Soil tests as risk indicators for leaching of dissolved phosphorus from agricultural soils in Ontario. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 76(1), 220-229.
- Xu, G., Sun, J., Shao, H., & Chang, S. X. (2014). Biochar had effects on phosphorus sorption and desorption in three soils with differing acidity. *Ecological engineering*, 62, 54-60.
- Xu, G., Wei, L. L., Sun, J. N., Shao, H. B., & Chang, S. X. (2013). What is more important for enhancing nutrient bioavailability with biochar application into a sandy soil: Direct or indirect mechanism?. *Ecological engineering*, 52, 119-124.
- Yamato, M., Okimori, Y., Wibowo, I. F., Anshori, S., and Ogawa, M. (2006). Effects of the application of charred bark of *Acacia mangium* on the yield of maize, cowpea and peanut, and soil chemical properties in South Sumatra, Indonesia. *Soil science & plant nutrition*, 52(4), 489-495. DOI: 10.1111/j.1747-0765.2006.00065.x.
- Yang, D. S., Bhattacharjya, D., Song, M. Y., & Yu, J. S. (2014). Highly efficient metal-free phosphorus-doped platelet ordered mesoporous carbon for electrocatalytic oxygen reduction. *Carbon*, 67, 736-743.

- Young, K., Morse, G. K., Scrimshaw, M. D., Kinniburgh, J. H., MacLeod, C. L., & Lester, J. N. (1999). The relation between phosphorus and eutrophication in the Thames catchment, UK. *Science of the Total Environment*, 228(2-3), 157-183.
- Yuan, J. H., & Xu, R. K. (2012). Effects of biochars generated from crop residues on chemical properties of acid soils from tropical and subtropical China. *Soil Research*, 50(7), 570-578
- Yuan, J. H., Xu, R. K., Wang, N. and Li, J. Y. (2011). Amendment of acid soils with crop residues and biochars. *Pedosphere*. 21(3): 302–308.
- Yuan, Y., Locke, M. A., Bingner, R. L., & Rebich, R. A. (2013). Phosphorus losses from agricultural watersheds in the Mississippi Delta. *Journal of environmental management*, 115, 14-20.
- Zeng, Z., Li, T. Q., Zhao, F. L., He, Z. L., Zhao, H. P., Yang, X. E., Wang, H.L., Zhao, J. and Rafiq, M.T. (2013). Sorption of ammonium and phosphate from aqueous solution by biochar derived from phytoremediation plants. *Journal of Zhejiang University Science B*, 14(12), 1152-1161.
- Zhang, H., Chen, C., Gray, E. M., Boyd, S. E., Yang, H., & Zhang, D. (2016). Roles of biochar in improving phosphorus availability in soils: a phosphate adsorbent and a source of available phosphorus. *Geoderma*, 276, 1-6.
- Zheng, W., Guo, M., Chow, T., Bennett, D. N., & Rajagopalan, N. (2010). Sorption properties of greenwaste biochar for two triazine pesticides. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 181(1-3), 121-126.
- Zhuan-xi, L., Bo, Z., Jia-liang, T., & Tao, W. (2009). Phosphorus retention capacity of agricultural headwater ditch sediments under alkaline condition in purple soils area, China. *Ecological Engineering*, 35(1), 57-64.