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The Malayan tapir (Tapirus indicus Desmarest) is an endangered fauna listed in the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List with estimated population size at less than 2,000 individuals in Peninsular Malaysia. Despite the existing conservation programme and ecological information about this species, the population genetic structure of the Malayan tapir in Peninsular Malaysia is still not well-known, largely due to a lack of available genetic markers. The lack of such information may impede the on-going efforts for its conservation and management. The works presented here aimed to develop genetic markers for the investigation of population genetic structure of the Malayan tapir in Peninsular Malaysia. Forty-one microsatellite markers comprising of seven random amplified microsatellite (RAM)-isolated and 34 cross-amplification microsatellite markers, obtained from literature and National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database, were screened with polymerase chain reaction (PCR), sequencing and fragment analysis in 67 Malayan tapirs. Eight polymorphic markers were successfully developed and used in the population genetic structure analysis. Using K-means clustering algorithm, five clusters were inferred among the wild samples (N = 57), which showed a complex population structure probably comprising multiple continuous populations that also experiencing considerably restricted gene flow due to isolation by geographical barriers especially mountain ranges. Mitochondrial control region sequences in Peninsular Malaysia samples (N = 44; including two samples from Singapore Zoo) revealed two clades that might be established during the late Pleistocene. One of the clades was exclusive in Peninsular Malaysia samples in comparison with the Thailand samples from a previous study. However, the geographical distribution of the clades did not show a clear population structure. A total of 12 novel haplotypes were detected. Both the markers suggested low to moderate genetic diversity in the Malayan tapir studied. In addition, a universal sex-typing method based on the sex-
determining region Y and zinc finger gene (as positive control) was tested. A preliminary assessment of sex ratio was conducted using the data extracted from the tapir datasheets obtained from the Department of Wildlife and National Parks, Sungai Dusun Wildlife Conservation Centre and Zoo Negara; and aided with the developed sex-typing marker for those biological samples with unknown sex. Overall, there was no significant bias towards either sex. Nevertheless, in the wild-born tapirs, the sex ratio seemed to favour females and the opposite was observed in the captive-born tapirs. From 2004 to 2015, there seemed to be an increase in the male proportion but no extreme ratio was found. Combined with microsatellite data, there was no sex-biased dispersal detected in a spatial autocorrelation analysis that might shape the population structure of the Malayan tapir observed. A major limitation in all these studies was the sampling bias where, across Peninsular Malaysia, more samples were sampled from the Selangor-Negeri Sembilan-Pahang regions and only a few were representatives of the populations from the north forest complexes.
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3.17 Mantel Test for Relationship between the Matrices of Pairwise Genetic and Geographic Distances under 999 Permutations. Correlation Coefficient (R) Obtained was 0.105 (p = 0.098), and Coefficient of Determination (R²) was 0.0109. Isolation-By-Distance was Insignificant in the Dataset (N = 43).

3.18 Correlogram Showing the Genetic Autocorrelation Coefficient (r) as the Function of Distance. Upper (U) and Lower (L) Confidence Limits Bound the 95% Confidence Interval about the Null Hypothesis of No Spatial Structure in the Dataset (N = 43) as Determined by 999 Permutations. Distance Classes 15-30 km and 30-45 km Showed Significant Spatial Structure (p < 0.05). Correlogram was Significant as Shown by Heterogeneity Test (Omega = 97.878, p = 0.001).
4.1 A Median-Joining (MJ) Network of 81 Malayan Tapirs from Peninsular Malaysia Inclusive of Two Samples from Singapore (N = 44; Blue), and Thailand (N = 37; Yellow). Nodes Represent 23 Haplotypes. Sizes of Nodes are Proportional to the Number of Individuals Included in the Haplotypes. A Total of 38 Variable Sites Without Considering Gaps were Used for the Network Calculation. Ti-1(A, B, C), Ti-2(A, B, C, D, E) and Ti-3(A, B, C) were Identified in the Previous Study (Muangkram, Amano, et al., 2016). The Small Red Dots Represent the Unobserved Sequences. Bars Represent Nucleotide Substitutions.

4.2 A Neighbour-Joining (NJ) Tree of 81 Malayan Tapirs from PM (N = 44; Prefix ‘TAP’) and TH Datasets (N = 37; Prefix ‘Ti-’) Representing the Topology of the 23 Haplotypes Identified with Mitochondrial Control Region. Lowland Tapir (Tapirus terrestris) was Included as an Outgroup. The Final Dataset for Tree Construction Has Included 1226 Positions. Bootstrapping (1,000 Replicates) Values > 50% were Shown Next to the Branches as Percentage. Labels Shown on the Right are the Haplotypes.

4.3 A Maximum Likelihood (ML) Tree Constructed Based on Mitochondrial Control Region of 81 Malayan Tapirs from PM (N = 44; Prefix ‘TAP’) and TH Datasets (N = 37; Prefix ‘Ti-’). Lowland Tapir (Tapirus terrestris) was Included as an Outgroup. The Final Dataset for Tree Construction Has Included 1226 Positions. The Larger Tree is the Midpoint-Rooted Subtree of the ML Tree Drawn at the Bottom Left Corner. The Branch Lengths were Drawn to Scale, and the Scale Bar Carries the Unit of Number of Substitutions Per Site. Bootstrapping (1,000 Replicates) Values > 50% were Shown Next to the Branches as Percentage. Subtree Labels on the Right are the Haplotypes.

4.4 The Phylogeographic of 34 Samples of Malayan Tapirs from PM Dataset That Made Up 12 Haplotypes (H_9 Not Included as the Only Representative TAP64 was from Unknown Location) As Shown in the Simplified Neighbour-Joining (NJ) Topology Tree Constructed with Mitochondrial Control Region (1266 Positions). Haplotypes in Black, Belong to Clade I, were Recorded Only in Thailand Samples and were Not Shown. Haplotypes in Blue Also Belong to Clade I, While Haplotypes in Red Belong to Clade II.

4.5 Test Statistic (z) Showing the Degree of Correlation between Two Matrices: The Genetic Distances and Geographic Distances of 34 Malayan Tapir. Line in the Centre is the Observed Z-Statistic (z = 429.6) with a Two-Sided P-Value = 0.710 Estimated from 10,000 Permutations, Indicating That
the Two Distance Matrices Did Not Correlate Significantly.

4.6 Test Statistic (z) Showing the Degree of Correlation between Two Matrices: The Genetic Distances and Geographic Distances of 33 Malayan Tapir. Line in the Centre is the Observed Z-Statistic (z = 377.3) with a Two-Sided P-Value = 0.766 Estimated from 10,000 Permutations, Indicating That the Two Distance Matrices Did Not Correlate Significantly.

4.7 A Neighbour-Joining (NJ) Tree Showing the Phylogenetic Relationships of Malayan Tapirs Based on Partial Mitochondrial DNA Cytochrome B Gene. NJ Tree Adapted from Rovie-Ryan et al. (2008).

4.8 Comparative Phylogenetic Relationship of Malayan Tapirs Based on Mitochondrial Cytochrome b (CytB) Haplotypes with Proposed Names Ti-1 and Ti-2 (Thailand) and Ti-3 (Thailand/Malaysia), Ti-4 (Unknown Origin), Ti-5 and Ti-6 (Malaysia), and Ti-7 (Sumatra). The Blue Horizontal Line Refers to the Number of Nucleotide Substitutions. Areas in Yellow Indicates the Current Distribution of the Malayan Tapir Modified from the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (www.iucnredlist.org). Map Adapted from Muangkram et al. (2013).

5.1 Amplification of the SRY Gene and Zinc Finger (ZF) Gene in 22 Malayan Tapirs. (A) The Male Tapirs Yielded Amplicons of SRY Gene at ~200 bp and ZF Gene at ~450 bp. The Female Tapirs Yielded Only Amplicons of ZF Gene at ~450bp. ZF Gene Acts as a Positive Control for the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR). (B) Sex-Identification in 11 Females and 11 Males by Multiplexing the Primers of SRY Gene and ZF Gene in PCR, and the Products were Visualised on 2% Agarose Gel. All the Males and Females Show Consistent Sex-Specific Band Patterns. L - 50bp Ladder, N - Negative Control, M - Male, F - Female.

5.2 A Chromatogram Showing the Peaks of Sex-Identifying Sex-Determining Region Y (SRY) and Zinc Finger Gene (ZF) from a Male Malayan Tapir. The Scored Fragment Sizes were 244 bp for SRY and 464 bp for ZF. In Female, the Peak for SRY is Absent. DB - Dye Blob.

5.3 The Numbers of Male and Female Malayan Tapirs and the Sex Ratios (Male/[Male+Female]) Per Biennium for Years 2004-2015 (N = 54). One Male Tapir was Present in the Biennium 2016-2017 and was Not Shown in the Graph.

5.4 The Numbers of Male and Female Malayan Tapirs and the Sex Ratios (Male/Male+Female) Per Year (2004-2017). Total
Tapirs = 55.

5.5 Correlogram Showing the Genetic Autocorrelation Coefficient (r) As the Function of Distance. Heterogeneity Test between the Correlograms of Two sexes (N = 43) was Insignificant (Omega = 24.2, \( p = 0.536 \)).

6.1 Distribution of Two Clades That were Detected by Mitochondrial DNA Control Region in 44 Malayan Tapir Samples Over Five Clusters Detected by Eight Microsatellite Markers.
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Genetic variation, which lays the basis for genetic diversity and thus genetic structure, is one of the three levels of biodiversity i.e. genetic diversity, species diversity and ecosystem diversity, that deserve to be conserved. Genetic diversity is often correlated to population fitness and surviving capacity of the population through adaptation (Barrett & Schluter, 2008; Reed & Frankham, 2003). International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) ranking of a species as ‘Vulnerable’, ‘Endangered’, or ‘Critically Endangered’ is based on its statistics of population decline and range loss, which in turn implies a significant extinction risk faced by the concerned species as well as suggests a reduced genetic diversity by inbreeding and drift in the threatened populations (Rivers, Brummitt, Lughadha, & Meagher, 2014; Willoughby et al., 2015).

The Malayan tapir (Tapirus indicus Desmarest) is one of the endangered faunas listed in the IUCN Red List (Traeholt et al., 2016). The Malayan tapir is found in Southeast Asia including Thailand, Sumatra and Peninsular Malaysia. Its population in Peninsular Malaysia is declining due to threats such as habitat loss, habitat fragmentation and road kills. In Malaysia, the setting up of the Malayan Tapir Conservation Centre and the development of the Malayan Tapir Action Plan are among the efforts to conserve this species. The conservation efforts include captive breeding management and operations such as the rescue of displaced or injured tapirs, and reintroduction or translocation of the tapirs from one population to another (Magintan, Traeholt, & Karuppanannan, 2012; Mahathir et al., 2014).

While habitat protection and maintenance, and at one point, conservation intervention by humans are important to maintain the Malayan tapir population, population genetic diversity should not be overlooked in the conservation biology of the Malayan tapir. Examination of the amount of genetic diversity and its distribution pattern over a geographic area can provide valuable insights into the population genetic structure—number of subpopulations, genetic variation within the subpopulations, and the degree of gene flow between them, as well as make inference to the factors and demographic processes that shaped the genetic structure of the population (Allendorf, Luikart, & Aitken, 2013; Chakraborty, 1993). Understanding the Malayan tapir population genetic structure has important management and conservation implications for the species, for example, identifying population management units that may be genetically distinct from each other will help authorities such as Department of Wildlife and National Parks (PERHILITAN) in Malaysia to take caution when making decisions on reintroduction, translocation and breeding. Furthermore, the genetic data and information can be used for long-term monitoring programme for both wild and captive Malayan tapir populations. Prior information on population genetic structure will also allow wildlife
conservationist to design their experiment or research plan for testing more sophisticated hypotheses using subpopulations as groups.

Population studies of the Malayan tapir based on conventional methods such as camera trapping and radiometry (e.g. Rayan et al., 2012; Traeholt & Sanusi, 2009; K. D. Williams, 1979) to study its population distribution, population density, home range etc. did not include the information on population genetic structure, which requires molecular or genetic markers to explore. The genetic approach offers advantages in term of grasping population information at the molecular level that is inaccessible by conventional methods, yet with proper research design and assessments, it can reveal similar population information obtained from the latter techniques and even more. For example, while both approaches can be used to estimate population size and density (Janečka et al., 2011), population structure, in the sense of distribution of individuals in a geographical area, can be more readily assessed by evaluating distribution pattern of their genetic diversity using samples from various sources, rather than employing ecological field techniques to track down movement of a number of individuals. Genetic markers such as nuclear microsatellite markers (Pinho, Gonçalves da Silva, Hrbek, Venticinque, & Farias, 2014) and mitochondrial deoxyribonucleic acid (mtDNA) markers (Muangkram, Amano, et al., 2016) are popular tools for estimating genetic diversity within or among subpopulations.

Estimation of sex ratio and sex-biased dispersal, which are among the factors that influence population genetic structure, can be achieved with sex-identification markers e.g. sex-determining region Y (SRY) and zinc finger (ZF) gene for identifying sexes of collected samples (Pelizzon, da Silva Carvalho, Caballero, Manoel Galetti Junior, & Sanches, 2017; Quaglietta, Fonseca, Hájková, Mira, & Boitani, 2013). However, studies with these markers i.e. microsatellite, mtDNA, and sex-identification markers are still few or largely lacking in the Malayan tapir, if not totally absent, especially for populations residing in Peninsular Malaysia and Sumatra. Only a few genetic research on the Malayan tapir population, whether captive or wild, were conducted in the past decade. These projects focused mainly on the mtDNA genes e.g. cytochrome b (CytB) gene and control region (CR) to assess genetic diversity, phylogenetic or phylogeographic relationships in Thailand (Muangkram, Amano, et al., 2016; Muangkram et al., 2013) and Japan zoos (Ogata, Watanabe, & Ogawa, 2009), and in Peninsular Malaysia (Rovie-Ryan et al., 2008). Others include research that only aimed to reconstruct phylogenetic relationships among members of Tapiridae (Ashley, Norman, & Stross, 1996; de Thoisy et al., 2010).

As such, more genetic markers need to be developed to lay the ground for further studies in the population structure and diversity for the Malayan tapir, as well as using the genetic information for improving in-situ and ex-situ conservation management. Therefore, the aim of this thesis and the research works performed within it was to fill the gap in the knowledge by developing and using genetic markers to provide novel insights into the population genetic
structure of the Malayan tapir in Peninsular Malaysia, to account for the current situation where the population genetic structure of Malayan tapir has remained not well-understood despite its 'Endangered' status. The objectives were:

1. to screen and characterise 41 microsatellite markers in the Malayan tapir, which includes seven novel microsatellite marker loci isolated from the Malayan tapir by random amplified microsatellite (RAM) markers and 34 microsatellite marker loci developed for the lowland tapir, Baird’s tapir, and mountain tapir;

2. to assess the genetic diversity and population genetic structure of the Malayan tapir in Peninsular Malaysia using the tested polymorphic microsatellite markers;

3. to assess the genetic diversity, population genetic structure and phylogenetic relationships of the Malayan tapir population in Peninsular Malaysia using mtDNA CR and with the inclusion of Thailand captive samples.

4. to verify and characterise the SRY/ZF sexing method in Malayan tapir for sex-typing samples of unknown sex, which are to be included for a preliminary assessment of sex ratio in the wild and captive-born Malayan tapir populations in Peninsular Malaysia, and to detect spatially sex-biased dispersal in relation to microsatellite data and geographical distances in the wild population.

Chapter 1, as has been described in this chapter, introduces the main research subject of this project—the Malayan tapir, clarifies the problems faced by the fauna and the main aim of this thesis in contributing valuable genetic tools and information for the conservation of the Malayan tapir. Chapter 2 gives a review of the subjects relevant to this project. Chapter 3 describes the development of microsatellite markers and its use to assess and clarify the population genetic structure of the Malayan tapir in Peninsular Malaysia. While Chapter 3 investigates population genetic structure in the Malayan tapir using biparentally inherited microsatellite markers, Chapter 4 investigates the population genetic structure using maternally inherited mtDNA CR. Other than population structure, the chapter also investigates phylogenetics and genetic diversity of Malayan tapir in Peninsular Malaysia by including the mtDNA CR sequences of the Malayan tapir kept in Thailand. Chapter 5 describes the development of a sex-typing method for samples of unknown sex. The sex data was then used to estimate sex ratio in the wild- and captive-born Malayan tapirs in Peninsular Malaysia. In addition, data from microsatellite markers and the sex data were combined to look for population structure caused by the differential in dispersal in different sexes. Chapter 6 provides a general discussion on the results obtained through Chapter 3 to Chapter 5. Lastly, Chapter 7 gives a recap of all the works conducted for objectives in Chapter 3 through Chapter 5 and the conclusions made and provides recommendations on what can be researched in the future to widen the knowledge about the ecology and genetics of the Malayan tapir.
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