



***ANAEROBIC DIGESTION OF FOOD WASTE WITH CHICKEN MANURE
FOR HYDROGEN AND METHANE PRODUCTION***

TENGGU ROSLINA BINTI TUAN YUSOF

FBSB 2019 1



**ANAEROBIC DIGESTION OF FOOD WASTE WITH CHICKEN MANURE
FOR HYDROGEN AND METHANE PRODUCTION**

By

TENGGU ROSLINA BINTI TUAN YUSOF

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of
Philosophy**

February 2019

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of the thesis presented to the senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia In fulfillment of the requirement for the Doctor of Philosophy

ANAEROBIC DIGESTION OF FOOD WASTE WITH CHICKEN MANURE FOR HYDROGEN AND METHANE PRODUCTION

By

TENGGU ROSLINA BT TUAN YUSOF

February 2019

Chairman: Nor' Aini Abdul Rahman, PhD
Faculty: Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences

Recently, environmental concerns associated with animal manure and food waste management generated from the feedlot farming and restaurants in Malaysia needs to be carefully addressed. Biogas production from anaerobic digestion of chicken manure and food waste is regarded as an alternative, due to the simultaneous benefits of environmental pollution control and meeting the national energy demands. Although anaerobic digestion is a common process for treatment of chicken manure and food waste. However, mono-digestion of food waste often leads to digester instability due to the rapid conversion of the easily digestible food waste to volatile fatty acids (VFAs) resulting in a drastic pH drop if no sufficient buffering capacity is present. Therefore the approaches include co-digestion with chicken manure could be beneficial to enhance balance of nutrients, and synergistic effect of microorganisms. The inhibitions effects of biogas production can be overcome by optimizing the physical parameters during hydrogen and methane gas production. The objectives of this study were to establish the optimum operating parameters for hydrogen and methane production from co-digestion of food waste with dry and fresh chicken manure mixed at different ratio. The batch fermentation was conducted using 150 mL serum bottles incubated in anaerobic condition at mesophilic temperature. Food waste was taken from cafeterias with composition ratios 2:1:1 carbohydrate, protein and fiber were prepared. Chicken manure collected from the chicken farm was diluted with water at ratio 1:1. Hydrogen and methane production were performed at different ratio of chicken manure and food waste (0:100, 10:90, 20:80, 30:70, 40:60, 50:50 and 100:0) at initial pH 7. The selected ratio from serum bottle was tested in 500 mL anaerobic digesters with working volume 400 mL at temperature at 35°C. The comparison between dry chicken manure and fresh chicken manure with food

waste shows that the highest biogas (972 mL) produced was mixture of fresh chicken manure with food waste in batch system at ratio of 30:70. The highest hydrogen and methane yields obtained were 133.3 and 26.6 mL/gVS, respectively. The community structures of microorganism and their metabolic capability play important roles in hydrogen and methane fermentation processes. The 16S metagenomics analysis was conducted. Tagged 16S rRNA gene pyrosequencing analysis for selected ratio 30:70 of CM:FW showed that the seed culture comprised largely from phyla Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Firmicutes. During mesophilic hydrogen fermentation, phylum of Firmicutes (40%) was dominant at day 1, while phylum of Firmicutes (15%) was dominated at day 13. *Clostridium* sp. was the main species detected in the acidogenic phase, while *Methanosaeta consilii* and *Methanosaeta hungatei* were detected during methanogenic phase. In this study, the anaerobic digestion was scaled up using 5 L stirred tank bioreactor and was used to improve the biogas production from co-digestion of chicken manure with food waste at ratio 30:70 in batch operation. The experiment was carried out at different temperatures (30, 35, 45 and 55°C). The initial pH was set up at 5.0 and 7.0 for hydrogen and methane gas production, respectively. Gompertz and logistic model were used to evaluate kinetics of hydrogen and methane gas production. The result showed that the reactor with operating temperature of 45°C achieved maximum cumulative hydrogen and methane gas production. The maximum hydrogen and methane yield were 112.4 and 130.87 mL/gVS, respectively. After that, the optimum temperature of 45°C was selected for semi continuous modes using 5L bioreactor. The effect of co-digestion chicken manure with food waste on hydrogen and methane production was investigated at different organic loading rate (OLR) and hydraulic retention time (HRT). The digestion was conducted at varied HRT of 10 and 15 d, and OLR of 0.8 and 1.24 (g VS)/L.d for methane production while for hydrogen production at HRT (18 h, 12 h and 4 h) at OLR (17.7, 34.8 and 50.4) gVS/L.d. The pH was controlled for hydrogen and methane gas production at 5.0-5.5 and 6.0-7.0, respectively. The results indicated that stable hydrogen and methane gas production were achieved from co-digestion of chicken manure and food waste. The highest hydrogen and methane yields were 127.4 and 388.4 mL/g VS at OLR 34.8 and 0.8 g VS/L.d, respectively.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PENGURAIAN ANAEROBIK SISA MAKANAN DENGAN NAJIS AYAM UNTUK PENGHASILAN HIDROGEN DAN METANA

Oleh

TENGGU ROSLINA BT TUAN YUSOF

Februari 2019

Pengerusi: Nor' Aini Abdul Rahman, PhD
Fakulti: Bioteknologi dan Sains Biomolekul

Baru-baru ini, perhatian terhadap alam sekitar berkaitan dengan pengurusan tinja binatang dari tempat perladangan dan kedai makan perlu diberikan penelitian. Penghasilan biogas daripada penguraian anaerobik daripada najis ayam dan sisa makanan merupakan alternatif sesuai dengan manfaat-manfaat seperti pengawalan alam sekitar dan juga keperluan tenaga negara. Walaupun penguraian anaerobik merupakan proses yang biasa digunakan untuk rawatan najis ayam dan sisa makanan. Penguraian sisa makanan secara mono menghasilkan ketidakstabilan penguraian disebabkan pertukaran cepat penguraian sisa makanan kepada volatile fatty acids (VFAs) yang menyebabkan pH menurun jika tidak cukup kapasiti bufer yang ada. Maka, pendekatan termasuk campuran dengan najis ayam boleh menjadi kebaikan kepada peningkatan kestabilan nutrien dan kesan sinergi mikroorganisma. Kesan pengurangan penghasilan biogas ini boleh ditangani dengan mengoptimalkan parameter fizikal semasa proses penghasilan hidrogen dan metana. Tujuan kajian ini dijalankan adalah untuk menentukan parameter operasi optima untuk penghasilan hidrogen dan methana daripada gabungan najis ayam yang kering dan yang segar bersama dengan sisa makanan pada nisbah yang berbeza. Fermentasi kelompok telah dijalankan menggunakan 150 mL botol serum yang direndam dalam keadaan anaerobik. Sisa makanan yang diambil daripada kafeteria dengan nisbah komposisi 3:1:1 (karbohidrat, protein dan serat) disediakan. Najis ayam dikumpul dari ladang ayam dan disediakan pada nisbah 1:1 air dengan najis ayam.

Hidrogen dan metana dijalankan pada nisbah najis ayam dan sisa makanan yang berbeza (0:100, 10:90, 20:80, 30:70, 40:60, 50:50 and 100:0) pada permulaan pH 7. Nisbah yang terpilih daripada botol serum kemudian diuji di dalam 500 mL botol pecerna anaerobik yang dijalankan di dalam makmal pada jumlah kerja 400 mL pada Suhu 35°C. Keputusan menunjukkan gabungan najis ayam segar dengan sisa makanan dapat menghasilkan biogas yang paling tinggi (972 mL) di dalam system ini pada nisbah 30:70. Penghasilan hidrogen dan metana tertinggi adalah pada 133.3 dan 26.6 mL/gVS, masing-masing Struktur komuniti mikroorganisma dan keupayaan metabolik memainkan peranan penting dalam proses fermentasi hidrogen dan metana. Analisis metanogenomik telah dijalankan. Tanda 16S rRNA gen jujukan analisis bagi nisbah yang terpilih iaitu 30:70 (najis ayam: sisa makanan) menunjukkan kelompok benih terdiri daripada bakteria dari Proteobacteria phylum, Bacteroidetes, dan Firmicutes. Semasa fermentasi hidrogen pada keadaan mesofilik, phylum Firmicutes (40%) adalah dominan pada hari pertama, manakala phylum of Firmicutes (15%) didominasi pada hari 13. Clostridium sp. adalah spesies utama yang dikesan dalam fasa asidogenik, manakala Methanosaeta consilii dan Methanosaeta hungatei dikesan semasa fasa metanogenik. Dalam kajian ini, penguraian secara anaerobik ditingkatkan skala kepada reaktor 5 L dan digunakan untuk memperbaiki penghasilan biogas daripada gabungan najis ayam dan sisa makanan pada nisbah 30:70 dalam operasi kelompok. Kajian ini dijalankan pada suhu yang berbeza (30, 35, 45 and 55°C). pH permulaan pada 5.0 and 7.0 untuk hidrogen dan metana gas. Model Gompertz dan Logistic digunakan dalam kajian ini untuk mengkaji kinetik ke atas penghasilan gas hidrogen dan metana. Keputusannya menunjukkan reaktor pada suhu 45°C mencapai penghasilan gas hidrogen dan metana paling tinggi. Pencapaian hidrogen dan metana paling tinggi adalah 112.4 dan 130.87 mL/gVS, masing-masing. Kemudian, suhu yang optimum pada 45°C dipilih untuk dijalankan dalam reaktor tangki 5 L. Kesan najis ayam bersama sisa makanan ke atas penghasilan hidrogen dan metana dikaji pada kadar pemuatan organik (OLR) dan masa pengekalan hidraulik (HRT). Masa pengekalan hidraulik yang berbeza pada 10 dan 15 hari dengan kadar pemuatan organik (OLR) 0.8 dan 1.24 (g VS)/L.d untuk penghasilan metana dan bagi penghasilan hidrogen, masa pengekalan hidraulik (HRT) (18, 12 dan 4 jam) pada kadar pemuatan organik (OLR) adalah (17.7, 34.8 dan 50.4) gVS/L.d. pH dikawal semasa penghasilan hidrogen dan metana pada 5.0-5.5 dan 6.0-7.0, masing-masing. Keputusan menunjukkan penghasilan hidrogen dan metana stabil dicapai daripada penguraian campuran najis ayam dengan sisa makanan. Penghasilan hidrogen dan metana paling tinggi pada 127.4 dan 388.4 mL/g VS pada OLR 34.8 dan 0.8 g VS/L.d, masing-masing.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the most Gracious and the Most Merciful.

Alhamdulillah, all praise is only for Allah. The being by Whose Honor and Greatness good works are completed. Without His mercy and guidance this thesis would not have materialized. Thereafter, my deepest appreciation and acknowledgment goes to my able supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Nor' Aini Abdul Rahman for her immense support and enthusiastic supervision throughout my PhD program. I also gratefully acknowledge the sincere contribution of my co-supervisors, Associate Professor Dr. Hasfalina Che Man and Professor Dr. Arbakariya Ariff.

I also wish to extend my deepest gratitude to the Universiti Malaysia PERLIS (UNIMAP) and Ministry of Education, Malaysia for providing scholarship. Thanks are also extended to Universiti Putra Malaysia for providing research grant; Research University Grant Scheme (RUGS) for providing this opportunity and for support through a financial scholarship in pursuing this study.

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my laboratory staff: Mr. Rosli Aslim, Madam Aluyah Marzuki and Mr. Fairuz for their kindness and assistance with their practical knowledge and advice throughout my study.

My special gratitude definitely goes to my beloved parents, Tuan Yusof Tuan Wil and Noraini Bt Salih and my husband, Mohamad Faizul B. Zailani for their continuous love, support and endless prayers that always make me strong and confident to be a better person.



© COPYRIGHT UPM

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Nor' Aini Abdul Rahman, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Biotechnology and biomolecular sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Arbakariya Ariff, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Biotechnology and biomolecular sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Hasfalina Che Man, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Biology and Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Tengku Roslina Tuan Yusof ,GS42424

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
APPROVAL	vii
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statements	3
1.3 Objectives	5
1.4 Research scopes	6
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Municipal solid waste	7
2.2 Food waste	8
2.3 Animal manure	9
2.4 Chicken manure	10
2.5 Potential of biogas generation in Malaysia	12
2.6 Anaerobic Digestion	14
2.7 Co-digestion of wastes	15
2.8 Biochemical process of anaerobic digestion	17
2.8.1 Hydrolysis	19
2.8.2 Acidogenesis	19
2.8.3 Acetogenesis	19
2.8.4 Methanogenesis	20
2.9 Optimum conditions for anaerobes metabolic activity	20
2.9.1 pH	20
2.9.2 Temperature	22
2.9.3 Carbon–nitrogen ratio	23
2.9.4 Volatile fatty acid (VFA)	24
2.9.5 Hydraulic retention time (HRT)	25
2.9.6 Organic Loading Rate (OLR)	25
2.9.7 Ammonia	26

2.10	Biogas production	27
	2.10.1 One- stage continuous system	27
	2.10.2 Two- stage continuous system	29
2.11	Microbial community	33
3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	35
3.1	Flowchart of study	35
3.2	Food waste preparation	37
3.3	Chicken manure preparation	37
3.4	Fermentation in batch system	37
3.5	16S metagenomics analysis	38
3.6	Semi-continuous system reactor	39
3.7	Determination of bacterial count	40
3.8	Hydrogen and methane yield	40
3.9	Gompertz model and Logistic model	41
3.10	Analytical methods	41
	3.10.1 Biogas collection and storage	41
	3.10.2 Biogas analysis	42
	3.10.3 Gas concentration	42
	3.10.4 Moisture Content	42
	3.10.5 Total solid (TS)	43
	3.10.6 Total suspended solid (TSS)	43
	3.10.7 Volatile suspended solid (VSS)	44
	3.10.8 Chemical oxygen demand (COD)	44
	3.10.9 Total volatile solid (TVS)	45
	3.10.10 Crude Nitrogen (Kjedhal Method)	45
	3.10.11 Carbon Content of the Substrate	45
	3.10.12 Hydraulic retention time (HRT)	46
	3.10.13 Organic loading rate (OLR)	46
	3.10.14 Organic acids analysis	46
	3.10.15 Ammonia test- Nessler	47
3.11	Experimental analysis	47
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	48
4.1	Background	48
4.2	Characteristics of Food waste and Chicken manure	48
4.3	Performances of gas production from food waste and chicken manure	51
	4.3.1 Anaerobic digestion of FW with dry CM	51
	4.3.2 Anaerobic digestion of FW with Fresh CM	53
4.4	Biogas profile at different concentration of food waste and chicken manure	55
4.5	Hydrogen and methane production at different ratio of CM and FW	57
4.6	Organic acids accumulation	61
4.7	Hydrogen and methane production in 500 mL reactor with pH adjustment	62

4.8	Bacterial community diversity in the sample of 30:70 of chicken manure to food waste	66
4.9	The effects of temperature from co-digestion of chicken manure and food waste at ratio 30:70	70
4.10	The Kinetic study of H ₂ production using Gompertz model	76
4.11	The kinetic study of H ₂ production using Logistic model	78
4.12	Performances of pH and organic acids from co-digestion of CM and FW for hydrogen gas production	80
4.13	Performances of Gompertz and Logistic model for CH ₄ production	82
4.14	The Kinetic study of CH ₄ production using Gompertz model	85
4.15	The kinetic study of CH ₄ production using Logistic model	87
4.16	Performances of pH and organic acids from co-digestion of CM and FW for methane gas production	89
4.17	HRT and OLR during biogas and hydrogen production	91
4.18	Comparison of results with relevant study in H ₂ production at different OLR	93
4.19	Performances of organic acids in acidogenic reactor	94
4.20	HRT and OLR during biogas and methane gas production	95
4.21	Comparison of results with relevant study in CH ₄ production at different OLR	97
4.22	Performances of organic acids in methanogenic reactor	98
4.23	Performances of the Bacterial counts during Hydrogen and methane gas production	99
4.24	Performances of Hydrogen and methane gas production at different OLR	101
5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	104
5.1	Conclusion	104
5.2	Recommendations	105
	REFERENCES	106
	APPENDICES	129
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	253
	LIST OF PUBLICATION	254

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Generation of MSW in major urban areas from 1970 to 2012 in Peninsular Malaysia (adapted from Agamuthu and Fauziah, 2011)	7
2.2	The amount of wet waste production by farming animals in different regions of Malaysia (Chew et al., 2016)	10
2.3	The biogas production potential from the animal and chicken manure 2012 (Than, 2005)	13
2.4	Operational parameters for AcoD of FW with other organic wastes in batch mode operation and their respective biogas yield as reported in the literature from 2010 to 2016	28
2.5	Operational parameters for AcoD of FW with other organic wastes in continuous mode operation and their respective biogas yield	30
4.1	Characteristics of food waste and chicken manure used in this study	49
4.2	Performances of anaerobic digestion at different ratio of dry CM and FW	52
4.3	Performances of anaerobic digestion at different ratio of fresh CM and FW	54
4.4	Kinetic parameter for H ₂ and CH ₄ production, the corresponding hydrogen and methane yields, maximum specific hydrogen and methane production rate at various chicken manure proportions	60
4.5	Concentrations and compositions of organic acids	61
4.6	Comparison of hydrogen and methane yield in batch mode operation	65
4.7	The bacterial species for the co-digestion of food waste with chicken manure	68
4.8	Characteristic of substrate and digestate at different temperature for H ₂ and CH ₄	71
4.9	Anaerobic digestion efficiency at different temperature for H ₂ and CH ₄	73

4.10	Kinetic parameters for Gompertz model and Logistic model of chicken manure to food waste at 30:70 at temperature 30, 35, 45 and 55°C for H ₂	78
4.11	Kinetic parameters for Gompertz model and Logistic model of chicken manure to food waste at 30:70 at temperature 30, 35, 45 and 55°C for CH ₄	83
4.12	Performances of acidogenic stage at different HRT and OLR during hydrogen production	93
4.13	Comparison of hydrogen production with various results for hydrogen yield production	93
4.14	Performances of methanogenic stage at different HRT and OLR during methane production from co-digestion of food waste and chicken manure	95
4.15	Comparison of methane production with various results at different OLR	97

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	The overall contribution of the main source of the organic waste to the production of biogas (Divya et al., 2015)	12
2.2	The schematic contribution of the livestock waste to the potential of biogas production in Malaysia estimated for 2012	13
2.3	Stages of anaerobic digestion of the organic matter: 1) hydrolysis of biopolymers, 2) acidogenesis, 3) β -oxidation of long-chain fatty acids, 4) acetogenesis, 5) acetate oxidation, 6) methanogenesis	18
2.4	The phase separation of anaerobic co-digestion process	31
3.1	Flowchart of the study of food waste and chicken manure for H_2 and CH_4 gas production	36
3.2	Diagram for MEGAN5 processing	38
3.3	Schematic diagram for semi continuous stir tank reactor (CSTR)	39
4.1	Profile of biogas produced during fermentation at different ratio of (a) dry CM and (b) fresh CM with food waste	56
4.2	Cumulative of (a) hydrogen and (b) methane gas production at various proportion of CM	58
4.3	Profile of biogas (●), ammonia (x) and pH (◆) at 30:70 ratio of chicken manure and food waste	63
4.4	Cumulative of H_2 (⊕) and CH_4 (▲) produced during fermentation at ratio 30:70 of fresh CM and FW	64
4.5	Relative abundance of bacterial community phyla in; (a) Day 1, (b) Day 13 inoculum based on 16S rRNA gene clone library sequences	67

4.6	Cumulative (a) H ₂ volume and (b) hydrogen yield production of chicken manure to food waste at 30:70 at temperature 30, 35, 45 and 55°C	75
4.7	(a) Cumulative H ₂ production-experimental and Gompertz model. (b) Comparison of experimental and predicted (Gompertz model) of chicken manure to food waste at 30:70	77
4.8	(a) Cumulative biogas production-experimental and Logistic model (b) Comparison of experimental and predicted (Logistic model) of chicken manure to food waste at 30:70 at temperature 30, 35, 45 and 55°C	79
4.9	(a) pH and (b) organic acids profile at different Temperature for hydrogen gas production of chicken manure to food waste at 30:70 at temperature 30, 35, 45 and 55°C	81
4.10	Cumulative of (a) methane gas (mL) (b) methane yields production(mL/gVS) from co-digestion of chicken manure and food waste at ratio 30:70 for temperature 30, 35, 45 and 55°C	84
4.11	(a) Cumulative CH ₄ production-experimental and Gompertz model (b) Comparison of experimental and predicted (Gompertz model) manure to food waste at 30:70 at temperature 30, 35, 45 and 55°C	86
4.12	(a) Cumulative biogas production-experimental and Logistic model (b) Comparison of experimental and predicted (Logistic model) of chicken manure to food waste at 30:70 at temperature 30, 35, 45 and 55°C	88
4.13	(a) pH and (b) organic acids profile at different Temperature for methane gas production of chicken manure to food waste at 30:70 at temperature 30, 35, 45 and 55°C	90
4.14	Variation of hydrogen production with change of OLR of 17.7 and 34.8 g VS/L.d	92
4.15	Variation the changes of organic acids in acidogenic stage at various HRTs	94

4.16	Methane production from co-digestion of food waste and chicken manure for OLR of 1.24 and 0.8 gVS/L.d	96
4.17	Performance of organic acids at OLR 1.24 and 0.8 gVS/L.d for the ratio of 30:70 of food waste and chicken manure	98
4.18	Performance of (● biogas ■ bacteria load and ▲ pH)100 For (a) OLR (17.7 and 1.24) and (b) OLR (34.8 and 0.8) gVS/L	100
4.19	Hydrogen and methane gas production at different OLR for (a) H ₂ (17.1) and CH ₄ (1.24) (b) H ₂ (38.4) and CH ₄ (0.8) gVS/L	102



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CM	Chicken manure
FW	Food waste
H ₂	Hydrogen
CH ₄	Methane
GC	Gas chromatography
H ₂ SO ₄	Sulphuric acid
HCl	Hydrochloric acid
HPLC	High performance liquid chromatography
MSW	Municipal solid waste
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
TS	Total solid
TSS	Total suspended solid
TVS	Total volatile solid
VSS	Volatile suspended solid
VFA	Volatile fatty acid
C:N	Carbon:Nitrogen
HRT	Hydraulic retention time
OLR	Organic loading rate
rRNA	Ribosomal ribonucleic acid
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
KW	Kitchen waste
TAN	Total ammonium nitrogen
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate

AD	Anaerobic digestion
KOH	potassium hydroxide
BLAST	Basic local alignment search tool
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
PCR	Polymerase chain reduction
NH ₃ -N	Ammonia nitrogen
CSTR	Continuous stir tank reactor
KFC	Kentucky Fried Chicken
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
WAS	Waste activated sludge
AcoD	Anaerobic co-digestion
Mt	Million tons

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The global growth in energy demand has induced for alternative energy sources. A more efficient deployment of renewable energy sources will facilitate a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions and air pollution (Poeschl et al., 2010). Nowadays, air pollution and global warming are the major concerns arisen in the natural environment of human being. This issue could be attributed to the enormous evolution of greenhouse gases (GHG) (CO_2), methane (CH_4) and nitrous oxide (N_2O) which have been obtained from combustion of fossil fuels concurrently with increasing world population (Hosseini et al., 2013). Therefore, renewable energies offer an environmental friendly alternative to fossil fuels and for a lesser contribution to climate change. Sustainable and clean renewable energy such as biofuel can be used to eliminate or reduce methane and carbon dioxide emissions, by replacing the fossils fuel which emits greenhouse gases (GHG) that produced global warming.

Biogas is an environmentally advantageous energy source which is mostly comprised methane (60%) and carbon dioxide (35-40%). Biogas is the gas evolved from a process known as anaerobic digestion (AD). AD is known as the degradation of organic compounds to simple substances by microorganisms which live as syntrophy under the lack of oxygen with releasing biogas (Christy et al., 2014). AD has been found as a biological process for the transformation of waste materials to energy sources through the treatment of various organic waste such as municipal solid waste, food waste, industrial waste, sewage sludge, animal manure and agricultural residues (Yong et al., 2015).

Food waste is an easily biodegradable organic matter with high moisture, carbohydrate, lipid, and protein contents represents a source of bioenergy. AD of food waste is facing many technical challenges. One important technical challenge is that when lacking concise process control and optimization, harmful intermediate compounds can be easily produced, reducing system stability, or causing low methane yield or foaming (Grimberg et al., 2015). One common type of system instability is caused by the rapid conversion of the easily digestible food waste to volatile fatty acids (VFAs) at an early stage of the digestion process, resulting in a drastic pH drop if no sufficient buffering capacity is present (Banks et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2012). Therefore, the approaches include co-digestion with chicken manure or using waste activated sludge to adjust C:N, adding trace elements to accelerate the growth of methanogens and methane formation. However, mono-digestion of food waste often leads to digester instability and even failure at higher organic loading rates (OLR, above 2.5 g VS/L/d), especially under thermophilic conditions, due to the accumulation of VFAs and ammonia inhibition (Zhang et al., 2013). Co-digestion of food waste with manure, sewage sludge, and lignocellulosic biomass could be beneficial

due to dilution of toxic chemicals, enhanced balance of nutrients, and synergistic effect of microorganisms (Zhang et al., 2014). Animal manure is considered to be an excellent co-substrate because of its high buffer capacity and a wide variety of nutrients (Mata-Alvarez et al., 2014).

Nowadays, the demand for chicken meat and chicken eggs had increased in Malaysia. The upward trend of chicken meat consumption is seen in Malaysia from 36 to 39 kg of per capita consumption from 2000 to the year 2011 and approximately, in the year 2010 (Jayaraman et al., 2013). The amount of the manure, for instance, has been estimated 0.08-0.1 kg/day for chicken (Avcioglu et al., 2012). Therefore, the uncontrolled rapid development of chicken farm in Malaysia has subsequently produced untreated chicken manure which has potential to create environmental problems. Since the organic matter in the chicken manure is biodegradable, anaerobic digestion of these wastes can be considered as an alternative method to minimize the amount of waste and recover energy by the production of methane.

The productions of biogas have a pathway for biogas production. During fermentation, first pathway generated of acidogenesis and acetogenesis in anaerobic digestion process (AD), but rapidly consumed by methanogenesis bacteria in the single phase digestion (Chananchida et al., 2013). Separation of acidogenesis and methanogenesis in the two phase AD system can recover both hydrogen and methane (Hallenbeck et al., 2012; Kapdan et al., 2006). Several studies, however, demonstrated that the two phase AD achieved higher overall degradation efficiency and is more advantageous than the single phase system for the treatment of the waste feedstocks containing a large fraction of recalcitrant organic matters such as food waste (Pan, 2008). The two-stages fermentation process with hydrogen and methane production in respective reactor can increase the energy recovery efficiency (Lee and Chung, 2010). It was considerable potential to enhance the economic feasibility of waste treatment.

Biogas can be produced through anaerobic degradation complex substrate. Thus, controlled environmental conditions such as pH, temperature, substrate concentration and nutrient supply should be taken into consideration to achieve high biogas production such as methane and hydrogen. The controlled conditions can affect rates of biogas production, product formation (organic acids), growth rates, bacterial activities and also may change metabolic pathway. However, biogas fermentation is still considered to be an unstable and unmanageable technology. Advances in molecular biology have enhanced our understanding of the microbial ecology relevant to methane fermentation. Understanding the microbial mechanism of biogas fermentation will contribute to the development of improved processes. In theory, the large volume of data available on the subject should inform the analysis of how various factors, such as carbon source, temperature, retention time, loading rate and reactor type affect the microbial community.

However, it is difficult to reach clear conclusions. One important reason for this difficulty is the complexity and flexibility of the microbial community during methane fermentation. Correlating various factors with the microbial community during methane fermentation will become much easier, if variables are studied systematically, fixing some of the key factors while varying others. Since microorganisms within a community are specialized, they are each dependent on others to provide them with substrates and or metabolize their products to favor their metabolic activity. In order to thrive, each bacterial or methanogen species also requires a specific combination of physical and chemical conditions, such as pH, temperature, and salinity in addition to substrate availability. Thus, while microbial communities from different environments can perform anaerobic digestion through the same general steps of hydrolysis, acidogenesis, acetogenesis and methanogenesis, the particular microbial species that populate them tend to vary between environments depending on physical and chemical conditions. Since biomethanation is performed by microbial communities, additional improvements in manure digester performance could be accomplished by selecting or manipulating particular groups of microorganisms that populate them. However, communities from anaerobic manure digester remain largely uncharacterized, so a deeper understanding of population structure, as well as metabolic properties and interactions, are necessary in order to successfully improve performance through microbiological manipulation (André-Denis et al., 2013).

1.2 Problem statements

According to Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO, 2012), one third of food produced globally for human consumption is lost along the food supply chain. In many countries food waste are currently landfilled or incinerated together with other combustible municipal wastes for possible recovery of energy. However, these two approaches are facing more and more economic and environmental stresses. Amounts of food waste are generated every day worldwide (Zong et al., 2009). However recycling methods, such as composting and feed stuffing, are not proper methods of treating food waste due to its high salinity. The rapid development of useless materials will face serious problem to the local authorities due to the cost transportation and disposal municipal solid waste (MSW). The overloaded capacity in the landfill brings up land shortage due to the strategic area and land price for landfill purpose. Rapid urbanization and population increment become more challenging as the number of MSW generated rises (Azni, 2009). The food waste is, for the most part, disposed of in landfill. In light of rapidly rising costs associated with energy supply and waste disposal and increasing public concerns with environmental quality degradation, conversion of food wastes to energy is becoming a more economically viable practice. However recycling methods, such as composting and feed stuffing, are not proper methods of treating food waste due to its high salinity.

As is known, food waste (FW) is a classic organic waste for H_2 and CH_4 production (Lee et al., 2010). But sole FW digestion is easy to make VFA and NH_3-N inhibition in the fermentation system due to lower pH (4-5) and C:N ratio (10-15) (Wang et al., 2006). However using food waste for hydrogen production

may result in a problem where the inoculums would be outgrown by the normal flora in food waste. Therefore, the proper inoculums concentration needs to be optimized. An increase in substrate concentration could increase hydrogen production to a certain level. However, an excessive substrate concentration can cause a build-up of volatile fatty acids (VFAs) in the system, leading to a decline of pH in the reactor and could inhibit the growth of hydrogen producers (Fan et al., 2006). Therefore, the optimum substrate concentration as well as an addition of the buffer at suitable concentration to counteract a decrease in pH would remove this limitation.

Animal manure contains a high concentration of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), which causes nutrient imbalance and pollution in environment. Furthermore, the livestock manure contains the residues of some harmful substances such as growth hormone, antibiotics and heavy metals. On the other hand, microorganisms in the animal manure could contaminate the environment, which in turn causes the outbreak of the human diseases. In this regard, it has been found that the disposal of the livestock manure has a polluting impact on the environment which contaminates air, soil and water sources. Hence, the treatment of animal manure and slurries by AD process has the beneficial outcome of producing quality fertilizer, reduction of odors and microbial pathogens with the sustainable production of energy source as biogas.

The factors that affect the biogas production such as carbon source, temperature, retention time, loading rate and reactor type affect the microbial community. However, it is difficult to reach clear conclusions. One important reason for this difficulty is the complexity and flexibility of the microbial community during methane fermentation. Correlating various factors with the microbial community during methane fermentation will become much easier, if variables are studied systematically, fixing some of the key factors while varying others.

The key biohydrogen-producing microbes may originate from substrates, microbial seed or both. The use of complex microbial seed cultures as starting inocula is advantageous for biohydrogen production from complex organic substrates. These advantages include higher operating stability and tolerance to indigenous microbes present in the feedstock, as well as capability for producing a wide range of hydrolytic enzymes (Argun and Kargi, 2009). Food waste is a common substrate for biogas production. Typically, it comprised of starch and fiber polysaccharides i.e. cellulose and hemicellulose, proteins and lipids as major constituents and vitamins and ash as minor constituents (Kapdan and Kargi, 2006).

According to the previous study of renewable energy sources, mostly focussing on co-digestion of food waste with dairy manure (Agyeman and Tan, 2014), food waste with cow manure (Zhang et al., 2013b), and food waste with food vegetable waste (Sun et al., 2014). Most of the study from the previously of chicken manure with different kind of substrates by investigating the effect of co-

digestion of chicken manure (CM) and organic fraction of municipal solid waste (OFMSW) on biogas production have done in an anaerobic digester which is focused on organic loading rate and co-digestion of the substrates. So far, the study on food waste with chicken manure not study yet by researcher. Since the organic matter in the chicken manure is biodegradable, anaerobic digestion of these wastes can be considered as an alternative method to minimize the amount of waste and recover energy by the production of methane and the characteristics of food waste such as high concentration of carbohydrate and high digestibility has been proved to be used to mix with chicken manure in fermentation due to food waste can be supplied to mixed culture, cheap and renewable (Pan et al., 2008). Therefore, the study of chicken manure with food waste was used according to the following objectives.

1.3 Objectives

The hydrogen and methane production has been studied from the co-digestion at different ratio of food waste with chicken manure. The objectives of this study were:

To evaluate hydrogen and methane production from the co-digestion of food waste with chicken manure at different ratio in a batch system

To assess microbial community in both hydrogenesis and methanogenesis stage in food waste and chicken manure by using 16S Metagenomics Analysis

To evaluate the performance of the hydrogen and methane fermentation phase using a kinetic model for anaerobic digestion at different temperature in 5 L of reactor

To determine the effect of hydraulic retention time (HRT) and organic loading rate (OLR) in semi continuous system reactor using 5 L of reactor for the hydrogen and methane production

1.4 Research scope

The scope of the study is given below:

1. To investigate the effect co-digestion process of dry CM and Fresh CM with food waste at mixing ratios of 0:100; 10:90; 20:80; 30:70; 40:60, 50:50 and 100:0 on the biogas production potential in batch mode operation.
2. The best performance of biogas production between dry CM and fresh CM with food waste was chosen to perform in the reactor at 500 mL with control the pH.
3. The highest hydrogen and methane gas production was selected to study microbial community in both hydrogenesis and methanogenesis in food waste with chicken manure by using 16S Metagenomics Analysis.
4. The best performance of hydrogen and methane yield was performed in the biggest scale of reactor at 5 L to study kinetic model for anaerobic digestion at different temperature in the batch system.
5. The effect of the different temperature that have a potential to produce the highest methane and hydrogen gas was selected to study the effect of hydraulic retention time (HRT) and organic loading rate (OLR) in semi continuous system reactor (CSTR).

REFERENCES

- Abbassi-Guendouz, A. (2012). Lien entre paramètres opératoires, microorganismes performances de la digestion anaérobie par voie sèche – Link between operating parameters, microorganisms and performances of dry anaerobic digestion. –Doctoral thesis. University of Montpellier II.
- Abdul, R.S.R.B.M and Khan, M. (2014). Anaerobic co digestion of canola straw and buffalo dung: optimization of methane production in batch experiments. *Journal of Engineering Technology* 33; 0254-7821.
- Aboudi, K., Álvarez-Gallego, C.J., Romero-García, L.I. (2015). Semi-continuous anaerobic co digestion of sugar beet byproduct and pig manure: effect of the organic loading rate (OLR) on process performance. *Bioresource Technology* 194; 283-90.
- Abouelenien, F., Fujiwara, W., Namba, Y., Namba, Y., Kosseva, M., Nishio, N., and Nakashimada, Y. (2010). Improved methane fermentation of chicken manure via ammonia by biogas recycle. *Bioresource Technology* 101; 6368-6373.
- Agyeman, F.O., Tan, W. (2014). Anaerobic co-digestion of food waste and dairy manure: effects of food waste particle size and organic loading rate. *Journal of Environmental Management* 133; 268-274.
- Agamuthu, P. & Fauziah S.H. (2011). Challenges and issues in moving towards sustainable landfilling in a transitory country- Malaysia. *Waste Management and Research* 29(1); 13-19.
- Ahn, H.K., Smith, M.C., Kondrad, S.L., White, J.W. (2010). Evaluation of biogas production potential by dry anaerobic digestion of switch grass-animal manure mixture. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology* 160; 965-975.
- Al Seadi, T., Rutz, D., Prassl, H., Köttner, M., Finsterwalder, T., Volk, S., Janssen, R., (2008). *Biogas Handbook*. University of Southern Denmark, Esbjerg, 125pp.
- Amon, T., Amon, B., Kryvoruchko, V., Zollitsch, W., Mayer, K., Gruber, L. (2007). Biogas production from maize and dairy cattle manure - Influence of biomass composition on the methane yield. *Agriculture, Ecosystem and Environment* 118; 173-82.

- André-Denis, G., Wright, B. S. (2013). Metagenomic analysis of methanogen populations in three full-scale mesophilic anaerobic manure digesters operated on dairy farms in Vermont, USA. *Bioresource Technology* 138; 277-284.
- Angelidaki, I., and Ahring, B.K. (2000). Methods for increasing the biogas potential from the recalcitrant organic matter contained in manure. *Water Science and Technology* 28; 189-194.
- Angelidaki, I., Ahring, B. (1994). Anaerobic thermophilic digestion of manure at different ammonia loads: effect of temperature. *Water Research* 28; 727-31.
- Angelidaki, I., Ahring, B. (1993). Thermophilic anaerobic digestion of livestock waste: the effect of ammonia. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 38; 560-4.
- Appels, L., Lauwers, J., Degreve, J., Helsen, L., Lievens, B., Willems, K., Van Impe, J., Dewil, R. (2011). Anaerobic digestion in global bio-energy production: Potential and research challenges. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 15; 4295-4301.
- Appels, L., Baeyens, J., Degreve, J., Dewil, R. (2008). Principles and potential of the anaerobic digestion of waste-activated sludge. *Energy and Combustion Sciences* 34; 755-81.
- APHA. (2005). Standard Method for the examination of water and wastewater. 21st ed. *Physical and aggregate properties*. (pp:55-59). USA: American Public Health Association. USA.
- Argun, H., Kargi, F. (2009). Effects of sludge pre-treatment method on bio-hydrogen production by dark fermentation of waste ground wheat. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 34; 8543-8548.
- Ariesyady, H.D., Ito, T., Okabe, S. (2007). Functional bacterial and archaeal community structures of major trophic groups in a full-scale anaerobic sludge digester. *Water Research* 41; 1554-1568.
- Asikong, B., Udensi, O., Epoke, J., Eja, M., Antai, E. (2014). Microbial analysis and biogas yield of water hyacinth and cow dung digesters. *British Journal of Applied Science and Technology* 4(4); 650- 661.
- Astals, S., Nolla-Ardevol, V., Mata-Alvarez, J. (2013). Thermophilic co-digestion of pig manure and crude glycerol: process performance and digestate stability. *Journal of Biotechnology* 166; 97-104.

- Astals, S., Ariso, M., Galí, A., Mata-Alvarez, J. (2011). Co-digestion of pig manure and glycerine: experimental and modelling study. *Journal of Environmental management* 92; 1091-1096.
- Azni, I. (2009). *Waste management, what is the choice: Land disposal or biofuel?* (pp:3-11). Malaysia: Universiti Putra Malaysia Press.
- Babae, A., Shayegan, J., Roshani, A. (2013). Anaerobic slurry co-digestion of poultry manure and straw: effect of organic loading and temperature. *Journal of Environmental Health Science and Engineering* 11; 91-101.
- Bah, H., Zhang, W., Wu, S., Qi, D., Kizito, S., Dong, R. (2014). Evaluation of batch anaerobic codigestion of palm pressed fiber and cattle manure under mesophilic conditions. *Waste Management* 34; 1984-91.
- Banks, C., Chesshire, M., Heaven, S., Arnold, R. (2011). Anaerobic digestion of source segregated domestic food waste: performance assessment by mass and energy balance. *Bioresource Technology* 102; 612-62.
- Borowski, S., Domański, J., Weatherley, L. (2014). Anaerobic co-digestion of swine and poultry manure with municipal sewage sludge. *Waste Management* 34; 513-21.
- Bouallagui, H., Lahdheb, H., Ben Romdan, E., Rachdi, B., and Hamdi, M. (2009). Improvement of fruit and vegetable waste anaerobic digestion performance and stability with co-substrates addition. *Journal of Environmental Management* 90; 1844-1849.
- Bouallagui, H., Touhami, Y., Ben Cheikh, R., Hamdi, M. (2005). Bioreactor performance in anaerobic digestion of fruit and vegetable wastes. *Process Biochemistry* 40; 989- 995.
- Bouallagui, H., Cheikh, R.B., Marouani, L., Hamdi, M. (2003). Mesophilic biogas production from fruit and vegetable waste in a tubular digester. *Bioresource Technology* 86 (1); 85-89.
- Braun, R., Drosch, B., Bochmann, G., Weiß, S., Kirchmayr, R. (2010). Recent developments in bio-energy recovery through fermentation. In: H. Insam, I. Franke-Whittle, M. Goberna (Editors), *Microbes at Work, From Waste to Resources*. Springer-Verlag Berlin 19; 35-58.
- Budiyo, B., Syaichurrozi, I., Sumardiono, S. (2014). Effect of total solid content to biogas production rate from vinasse. *Applied Biotechnology* 27(2); 177-184.

- Bujoczek, G., Oleszkiewicz, J., & Cenkowski, S. (2000). High solid anaerobic digestion of chicken manure. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research* 76; 51- 60.
- Cappai, G., De Gioannis, G., Giordano, A., Muntoni, A., Poletini, A., Pomi, R.(2009). Energy and material recovery through combined hydrogen-methane production and final composting of different solid and liquid waste (HyMeC concept). In: Proceedings of Sardinia 2009 Twelfth International Waste Management and Landfill Symposium, S. Margherita di Pula (I), pp. 885–886.
- Chakkrit, S., Pensri, P., Tsuyoshi, I., Alissara, R. (2011). Co-digestion of food waste and sludge for hydrogen production by anaerobic mixed cultures: Statistical key factors optimization. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 36; 14227- 4237.
- Chananchida, N., Ubonrat, S., Nipon, P. (2013). Production of hydrogen and methane by one and two stage fermentation of food waste. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 38; 15764 -15769.
- Chay, S.H. 2011. *Modern Poultry Farming in a Poultry Integrated Structure*. Malaysia Faculty of Science, UTAR Perak Campus.
- Chen, X., Yan, W., Sheng, K., Sanati, M. (2014). Comparison of high-solids to liquid anaerobic co-digestion of food waste and green waste. *Bioresource Technology* 154; 215-221.
- Chen, H.H., Liu, S.T., Yang, F.L., Xue, Y., Wang, T. (2009). The development of simultaneous partial nitrification, anammox and denitrification (SNAD) process in a single reactor for nitrogen removal. *Bioresource Technology* 100 (4); 1548–1554.
- Chen, Y., Cheng, J.J., and Creamer, K.S. (2008). Inhibition of anaerobic digestion process: A Review. *Bioresource Technology* 99; 4044 - 4064.
- Cheong, D.Y., Hansen, C.L. (2006). Bacterial stress enrichment enhances anaerobic hydrogen production in cattle manure sludge. *Applied of Microbiology and Biotechnology* 72; 635-643.
- Chew, T. L., Peyman, A., Jeng, S.L., Wai, S.H., Haslenda, H. (2016). Potential of biogas production from farm animal waste in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 60; 714-723.
- Chin, H.L., Chen, Z.S., Chou, C.P. (2003). Fedbatch operation using *Clostridium acetobutylicum* suspension culture as biocatalyst for enhancing hydrogen production. *Biotechnology Progress* 19(2); 383-8.

- Chouari, R., Le Paslier, D., Daegelen, P., Ginestet, P., Weissenbach, J., Sghir, A. (2005). Novel predominant archaeal and bacterial groups revealed by molecular analysis of an anaerobic sludge digester. *Environmental Microbiology* 7; 1104-1115.
- Chu, F.C., Xu, K.Q., Li, Y.Y., Inamori, Y. (2012). Hydrogen and methane potential based on the nature of food waste materials in a two-stage thermophilic fermentation process. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 37; 10611-8.
- Chu, C.F., Li, Y.Y., Xu, K.Q., Ebie, Y., Inamori, Y., Kong, H.N. (2008). A pH and temperature phased two-stage process for hydrogen and methane production from food waste. *International Journal Hydrogen Energy* 33 (18); 4739-4746.
- Chun-Feng, C., Yoshitaka E., Kai-Qin X., Yu-You L., Yuhei I. (2010). Characterization of microbial community in the two-stage process for hydrogen and methane production from food waste. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 35; 8253 – 8261.
- Christy, P.M., Gopinath, L.R., Divya, D. (2014). A review on anaerobic decomposition and enhancement of biogas production through enzymes and microorganisms. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 34; 167-73.
- Cysneiros, D., Banks, C.J., Heaven, S., Karatzas, K.A. (2012). The effect of pH control and 'hydraulic flush' on hydrolysis and Volatile Fatty Acids (VFA) production and profile in anaerobic leach bed reactors digesting a high solids content substrate. *Bioresource Technology* 123; 263-271.
- Dai, X., Duan, N., Dong, B., Dai, L. (2013). High-solid anaerobic co-digestion of sewage sludge and food waste in comparison with mono digestion: stability and performance. *Waste Management* 33; 308-316.
- Dareioti, M.A., Vavouraki, A.I., Kornaros, M. (2014). Effect of pH on the anaerobic acidogenesis of agroindustrial wastewaters for maximization of bio-hydrogen production: a lab-scale evaluation using batch tests. *Bioresource Technology* 162; 218-227.
- Dareioti, M.A., Kornaros, M. (2014). Effect of hydraulic retention time (HRT) on the anaerobic co-digestion of agro-industrial wastes in a two-stage CSTR system. *Bioresource Technology* 167; 407-415.

- Dehan, W., Yunqin, L., Shubin, W. (2013). Hydrogen-methane production from pulp and paper sludge and food waste by mesophilic-thermophilic anaerobic co-digestion. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 38; 15055-15062.
- Demetriades, P. 2008. Thermal pre-treatment of cellulose rich biomass for biogas production. Master dissertation: Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences.
- Demirel, B., Scherer, P. (2008). The roles of acetotrophic and hydrogenotrophic methanogens during anaerobic conversion of biomass to methane: a review. *Environmental Science Biotechnology* 7(2); 173-190.
- Demirer, G and Chen, S. (2005). Two-phase anaerobic digestion of unscreened dairy manure. *Process Biochemistry* 40(11); 3542-3549.
- Demirel, B and Yenigün, O. (2002). Two phase anaerobic digestion processes: a review. *Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology* 77(7); 743-755.
- Dennehy, C., Lawlor, P.G., Croize, T., Jiang, Y., Morrison, L., Gardiner, G.E., Zhan, X. (2016). Synergism and effect of high initial volatile fatty acid concentration during food waste and pig manure anaerobic co-digestion. *Waste Management* 56; 173-180.
- Desai, M., and D. Madamwar. (1994). Anaerobic digestion of a mixture of cheese whey, poultry waste and cattle dung: A study of the use of adsorbents to improve digester performance. *Environmental Pollution* 86; 337-340.
- Dhamodharan, K. and Ajay S. K. (2014). Pre-treatment and anaerobic digestion of food waste for high rate methane production – A review. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering* 2(3); 1821-1830.
- Divya, D., Gopinath, L.R., Christy, P.M. (2015). A review on current aspects and diverse prospects for enhancing biogas production in sustainable means. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy* 42; 690-9.
- Dong-Hoon, K., Yeo-Myeong, Y., Mo-Kwon, L., Seong-Won, I., Antonella, M., Eric, T., Sang-Ryong, S., Min-Gyun, K., Si-Kyung, C. (2017). Review Biohydrogen production from food waste: Current status, limitations, and future perspectives. *Bioresource Technology* 248; 79-87.
- Dong, L., Zhenhong, Y., Yongming, S., Xiaoying, K., Yu, Z. (2009). Hydrogen production characteristics of the organic fraction of municipal solid wastes by anaerobic mixed culture fermentation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 34; 812-820.

- El-Mashad, Hamed M., and Ruihong Zhang. (2010). Biogas production from co-digestion of dairy manure and food waste. *Bioresource Technology* 101; 4021-4028.
- Esposito, G., Frunzo, L., Panico, A., & Pirozzi, F. (2012). Enhanced biomethaneproduction from co-digestion of different organic wastes. *Environmental Technology* 33; 2733 – 2740.
- Fang, H.H.P., Li, C.L., Zhang, T. (2006). Acidophilic biohydrogen production from rice slurry. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 31(6); 83-92.
- Fan, Y.T., Zhang, Y.H., Zhang, S.F., Hou, H.W., Ren, B.Z. (2006). Efficient conversion of wheat straw wastes into biohydrogen gas by cow dung compost. *Bioresource Technology* 97; 500-5.
- Food and Agriculture Organization, FAO. (2012). Towards the future we want: End hunger and make the transition to sustainable agricultural and food systems. Food and agriculture organization of the United Nations Rome.
- Fernandes, T.V., Keesman, K.J., Zeeman, G., van Lier, J.B. (2012). Effect of ammonia on the anaerobic hydrolysis of cellulose and tributyrin. *Biomass Bioenergy* 47; 316–23.
- Ferrer, I. (2008). Study of the effect of process parameters on the thermophilic anaerobic digestion of sewage sludge, evaluation of a thermal sludge pretreatment and overall energetic assessment. Phd Dissertation, universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain.
- Font-Palma, C. (2012). Characterisation, kinetics and modelling of gasification of poultry manure and litter: an overview. *Energy Conversion Management*, 53, 92-98.
- Fountoulakis, M.S., Manios, T. (2009). Enhanced methane and hydrogen production from municipal solid waste and agroindustrial by-products co-digested with crude glycerol. *Bioresource Technology* 100; 3043-7.
- Fricke, K., Santen, H., Wallmann, R., Huttner, A., & Dichtl, N. (2007). Operating problems in anaerobic digestion plants resulting from nitrogen in municipal solids waste. *Waste Management* 27(1); 30-43.
- Garcia-Peña, E.I., Parameswaran, P., Kang, D.W., Canul-Chan, M., Krajmalnik-Brown, R. (2011). Anaerobic digestion and co-digestion processes of vegetable and fruit residues. *Bioresource Technology* 102; 9447-9455.

- Gelegenis, J., Georgakakis, D., Angelidaki, I., Christopoulou, N., and Goumenaki, M. (2007). Optimization of biogas production from olive-oil mill wastewater, by co-digesting with diluted poultry-manure. *Applied Energy* 84; 646-663.
- Gerba, C.P. and Smith, J.J.E. (2005). Sources of pathogenic microorganisms and their fate during land application of wastes. *Environmental Quality* 34; 42-8.
- Ghasem, D. N., Soheil, A. N., Maedeh, M., Pooya, L. (2017). Anaerobic co-digestion of animal manures and lignocellulosic residues as a potent approach for sustainable biogas production. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 79; 308-322.
- Gomec, C. Y. and Ozturk, I. (2013). Effect of maize silage addition on biomethane recovery from mesophilic co-digestion of chicken and cattle manure to suppress ammonia inhibition. *Energy Conversion Management*, 71, 92-100.
- Gomez, X., Cuetos, M, J., Prieto, J.I., Mora'n, A. (2009). Bio-hydrogen production from waste fermentation: mixing and static conditions. *Renewable Energy* 34; 970-5.
- Grimberg, S., Hilderbrandt, D., Kinnunen, M., Rogers, S. (2015). Anaerobic digestion of food waste through the operation of a mesophilic two-phase pilot scale digester – assessment of variable loadings on system performance. *Bioresource Technology* 178; 226-229.
- Guo, X.M., Trably, E., Latrille, E., Carrere, H., Steyer, J.P. (2014). Predictive and explicative models of fermentative hydrogen production from solid organic waste: role of butyrate and lactate pathways. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 39 (14); 7476–7485.
- Guo, X.M., Trably, E., Latrille, E., Carrère, H., Steyer, J.P., (2010). Hydrogen production from agricultural waste by dark fermentation: a review. *International Journal Hydrogen Energy* 35; 10660-10673.
- Gyu, T. S., Youngjun, K., Yeong-Seok, Y., Sachin, P. (2016). Effect of volumetric organic loading rate (OLR) on H₂ and CH₄ production by two-stage anaerobic co-digestion of food waste and brown water. *Waste Management*-article in press.
- Hagos K, Zong J, Li D, Liu C, Lu X. (2017). Anaerobic co-digestion process for biogas production: Progress, challenges and perspectives. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Review* 76; 1485–1496.

- Hallenbeck, P.C., Ghosh, D. (2012). Improvements in fermentative biological hydrogen production through metabolic engineering. *Journal of Environmental Management* 95; 360-6.
- Heyndrickx, M., De Vos, P., De Ley, J. (1991). Fermentation characteristics of *Clostridium pasteurianum* LMG3285 grown on glucose and mannitol. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 70(1); 52–8.
- Hidalgo, D., Gomez, M., Martin-Marroquin, J.M., Aguado, A., Sastre, E. (2015). Two-phase anaerobic co-digestion of used vegetable oils' wastes and pig manure. *International Journal Environmental Science and Technology* 12; 1727-36.
- Hong, C., Haiyun, W., (2010). Optimization of volatile fatty acid production with co-substrate of food wastes and dewatered excess sludge using response surface methodology. *Bioresource Technology* 101; 5487-5493.
- Hosseini, S.E., Wahid, M.A., Aghili, N. (2013). The scenario of greenhouse gases reduction in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy* 28; 400-9.
- Hutnan, M., Mrafkova, L., Drtil, M., Derco, J. (1999). Methanogenic and nonmethanogenic activity of granulated sludge in anaerobic baffled reactor. *Chemical* 53: 374-8.
- Hwang, M.H., Jang, N.J., Hyum, S.H., Kim, I.S. (2004). Anaerobic biohydrogen production from ethanol fermentation: the role of pH. *Journal of Biotechnology* 111; 297-309.
- Hwu, C. S., Donlon, B., and Lettinga, G. (1996). Comparative toxicity of long-chain fatty acid to anaerobic sludges from various origins. *Water Science Technology* 34; 351-358.
- Insam, H., Franke-Whittle, I., Goberna, M. (2010). Microbes in Aerobic and Anaerobic Waste Treatment. In: H. Insam, I. Franke-Whittle, M. Goberna (Editors), *Microbes at Work, From Waste to Resources*. Springer-Verlag Berlin; 1-34.
- Jang, S., Kim, D.H., Yun, Y.M., Lee, M.K., Moon, C., Kang, W.S., Kwak, S.S., Kim, M.S. (2015). Hydrogen fermentation of food waste by alkali-shock pretreatment: microbial community analysis and limitation of continuous operation. *Bioresource Technology* 186; 215–222.

- Jarvis ASaÅ. (2010). Microbiological Handbook for Biogas Plants. *Swedish Waste Management* 207; 10-138.
- Jayaraman, K., unira, H., Dababrata, C and Iranmanesh, M. (2013). The preference and consumption of chicken lovers with race as a moderator – An empirical study in Malaysia. *International Food Research Journal* 20; 165-174.
- Jiang, J., Zhang, Y., Li, K., Wang, Q., Gong, C., Li, M. (2013). Volatile fatty acids production from food waste: effects of pH, temperature, and organic loading rate. *Bioresource Technology* 143; 525-530.
- Jinming, P., Kuichuan, S., Xiang, C., Rolf, K., Yi W., Yibin, Y. (2013). Effect of ammonia and nitrate on biogas production from food waste via anaerobic digestion. *Biosystems Engineering* 116; 205 -212.
- Jo, J.H., Jeon, C.O., Lee, D.S. and Park, J.M. (2007). Process stability and microbial community structure in anaerobic hydrogen-producing microflora from food waste containing kimchi. *Journal of Biotechnology* 131; 300-308.
- Kapdan, I.K., Kargi F. (2006). Bio-hydrogen production from waste materials. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology* 38; 569-582.
- Karthikeyan, O.P. and Visvanathan, C. (2013). Bio-energy recovery from high-solid organic substrates by dry anaerobic bio-conversion processes: a review. *Environmental Science and Technology* 12; 257 - 284.
- Karak, T., Bhagat, R.M., Bhattacharyya, P. (2012). Municipal solid waste generation, 533 composition, and management. *Environmental Science and Technology* 42; 1509.
- Katarzyna, B. I.B., Gusiatin, Z.M., Klimiuk, E., Pokój, T. (2015). ADM1-based modeling of anaerobic codigestion of maize silage and cattle manure - calibration of parameters and model verification (part II). *Environment Engineering Policy Academic Science* 41; 8.
- Kayhanian, M. (1999). Ammonia inhibition in high-solids biogasification: an overview and practical solutions. *Environmental Technology* 20; 355-65.
- Kelleher, B. P., Leahy, J. J., Henihan, A. M., O'Dwyer, T. F., Sutton, D., and Leahy, M. J. (2002). Advances in poultry litter disposal technology- A review. *Bioresource Technology* 83; 27-36.

- Kenan, D. and Aysenur, U. (2015). Biogas production from chicken manure at different organic loading rates in a mesophilic-thermophilic two stage anaerobic system. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering* 120(3); 315-322.
- Khan, M.A., Ngo, H.H., Guo, W.S., Liu, Y.W., Zhou, J.L., Zhang, J., Liang, S., Ni, B.J., Zhang, X.B., Wang, J.(2016). Comparing the value of bioproducts from different stages of anaerobic membrane bioreactors. *Bioresource Technology* 214; 816–825.
- Khanal, S.K., Chen, W.H., Li, L., Sung, S. (2004). Biological hydrogen production: effect of pH and intermediate products. *International Journal Hydrogen Energy* 29; 1123–1131.
- Kigozi R, Aboyade AO, Muzenda E. (2014). Sizing of an Anaerobic Biogasifier for the Organic Fraction of Municipal Solid Waste. In Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering and Computer Science.
- Kim, D.H., Son, H., Kim, M.S. (2012). Effect of substrate concentration on continuous photo-fermentative hydrogen production from lactate using *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*. *International Journal Hydrogen Energy* 37(20); 15483-8.
- Kim, M.S., Lee, D.Y., Kim, D.H. (2011). Continuous hydrogen production from tofu processing waste using anaerobic mixed microflora under thermophilic conditions. *International Journal Hydrogen Energy* 36; 8712-8718.
- Kim, D.H., Kim, S.H and Shin, H.S. (2009). Hydrogen fermentation of food waste without inoculum addition. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology* 45; 181-187.
- Kim, D.H., Kim, S.H., Ko, I.B., Lee, C.Y. and Shin, H.S. (2008). Start up strategy for continuous fermentative hydrogen production: Early switchover from batch to continuous operation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 33; 1532-1541.
- Kim, J.K., Oh, B.R., Chun, Y.N., Kim, S.W. (2006). Effects of temperature and hydraulic retention time on anaerobic digestion of food waste. *Journal Bioscience Bioenergy* 102; 328-332.
- Kim, S., Han, S., Shin, H. (2004). Feasibility of biohydrogen production by anaerobic co digestion of food waste and sewage sludge. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 29; 1607–1616.

- Kim, H.W., Han, S.K., Shin, H.S. (2003). The optimisation of food waste addition as a co-substrate in anaerobic digestion of sewage sludge. *Waste Management Resources* 21; 515-526.
- Kiros, H., Jianpeng, Z., Dongxue, L., Chang, L., Xiaohua, L. (2017). Anaerobic co-digestion process for biogas production: Progress, challenges and perspectives. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 76; 1485–1496.
- Koster, I., Lettinga, G. (1988). Anaerobic digestion at extreme ammonia concentrations. *Biology Wastes* 25 (1); 51–59.
- Kraemer, J.T., Bagley, D.M. (2005). Continuous fermentative hydrogen production using a two-phase reactor system with recycle. *Environmental Science and Technology* 39 (10); 3819–3825.
- Krause, L., Diaz, N.N., Edwards, R.A., Gartemann, K.-H., Krömeke, H., Neuweger, H., Pühler, A., Runte, K.J., Schlüter, A., Stoye, J., Szczepanowski, R., Tauch, A., Goesmann, A. (2008). Taxonomic composition and gene content of a methane-producing microbial community isolated from a biogas reactor. *Journal of Biotechnology* 136; 91-101.
- Labatut, R.A., Angenen, L.T, and Scott, N.R. (2011). Biochemical methane potential and biodegradability of complex organic substrates. *Bioresource Technology* 102; 2255–2264.
- Latifah, A.M., Samah, M.A.A. and Zukki, N.I.M. (2009). Municipal solid waste management in Malaysia: Practices and challenges. *Waste management* 29; 2902-2906.
- Lay, J.J., Fan, K.S., Chang, J.I, Ku, C.H. (2003). Influence of chemical nature of organic wastes on their conversion to hydrogen by heat-shock digested sludge. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 28; 1361-7.
- Lee, S.H., Park, J.H., Kang, H.J., Lee, Y.H., Lee, T.J., Park, D.P. (2013). Distribution and abundance of Spirochaetes in full-scale anaerobic digesters. *Bioresource Technology* 145; 25-32.
- Lee, Y.W., Chung, J.W. (2010). Bioproduction of hydrogen from food waste by pilot-scale combined hydrogen/methane fermentation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 5; 11746-55.

- Lee, M.J., Song, J.H., Hwang, S.J. (2009). Effects of acid pre-treatment on biohydrogen production and microbial communities during dark fermentation. *Bioresource Technology* 100; 1491–1493.
- Liao, X., Li, H., Cheng, Y., Chen, N., Li, C., Yang, Y. (2014). Process performance of high solids batch anaerobic digestion of sewage sludge. *Environmental Technology* 35; 2652– 2659.
- Li, D., Liu, S.C., Mi, L., Li, Z.D., Yuan, Y.Z., Yan, Z.Y., Liu, X.F. (2015). Effects of feedstock ratio and organic loading rate on the anaerobic mesophilic co-digestion of rice straw and pig manure. *Bioresource Technology* 187; 120–127.
- Li, Y., Park, S.Y., & Zhu J. (2011). Solid-state anaerobic digestion for methane production from organic waste. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 15; 821 - 826.
- Li R, Chen S, Li X. (2010). Biogas production from anaerobic co-digestion of food waste with dairy manure in a two-phase digestion system. *Apply Biochemical Biotechnology* 160; 643-54.
- Li, R., Chen, S., Li, X., Saifullah, L. J., He, Y., Zhu, B. (2009). Anaerobic co digestion of kitchen waste with cattle manure for biogas production. *Energy Fuels* 23; 2225–8.
- Liu, C.H., Chang, C.Y., Cheng, C.L., Lee, D.J., Chang, J.S. (2012). Fermentative hydrogen production by *Clostridium butyricum* CGS5 using carbohydrate-rich microalgal biomass as feedstock. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 37; 15458-15464.
- Liu, D., Min, B. and Angelidaki, I. (2008). Biohydrogen production from household solid waste (HSW) at extreme-thermophilic temperature (70°C) – Influence of pH and acetate concentration. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 33; 6985-6992.
- Liu, T., & Sung, S. (2002). Ammonia inhibition on thermophilic aceticlastic methanogens. *Water Science and Technology* 45(10); 113-120.
- Luo, G., Xie, L., Zou, Z., Zhou, Q., Wang, J.Y. (2010). Fermentative hydrogen production from cassava stillage by mixed anaerobic microflora: effects of temperature and pH. *Applied Energy* 87(12); 3710–3717.
- Lu, L., Xing, D., Ren, N. (2012). Pyrosequencing reveals highly diverse microbial communities in microbial electrolysis cells involved in enhanced H₂ production from waste activated sludge. *Water Research* 46; 2425-2434.

- Mariakakis, I., Bischoff, P., Krampe, J., Meyer, C., Steinmetz, H. (2011). Effect of organic loading rate and solids retention time on microbial population during biohydrogen production by dark fermentation in large lab-scale. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 36; 10690-10700.
- Mata-Alvarez, J., Dosta, J., Romero-Güiza, M., Fonoll, X., Peces, M., Astals, S. (2014). A critical review on anaerobic co-digestion achievements between 2010 and 2013. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy* 36; 412–427.
- Mata-Alvarez, J., Macé, S., Llabrés, P. (2000). Anaerobic digestion of organic solid wastes: an overview of research achievements and perspectives. *Bioresource Technology* 74 (1); 3-16.
- Misi, S.N., Forster, C.F. (2001). Batch co-digestion of multi-component agro-wastes. *Bioresource Technology* 80; 19-28.
- Mitchell, W.J. (1997). Physiology of carbohydrate to solvent conversion by Clostridia. *Advances in Microbial Physiology* 39; 31-130.
- Mohd Ghazi, T.I., Nasir, I.M., Omar, R and Idris, A. (2012). Palm oil mill effluent as an additive with cattle manure in biogas production. *Proceeding of the International Conference on Advances Science and Contemporary Engineering* 50; 904-912.
- Moset, V., Poulsen, M., Wahid, R., Højberg, O., Møller, H.B. (2015). Mesophilic versus thermophilic anaerobic digestion of cattle manure: methane productivity and microbial ecology. *Microbial Biotechnology* 8; 787–800.
- Mu, Y., Wang, G., Yu, H.Q. (2006). Response surface methodological analysis on biohydrogen production by enriched anaerobic cultures. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology* 38; 905-913.
- Na, D., Hailin, T., Cong, L., Xue, L., and Mingzhu, Z. (2015). Anaerobic co-digestion of kitchen waste and pig manure with different mixing ratios. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering* 120(1); 51-57.
- Nandi R, Sengupta S. (1998). Microbial production of hydrogen: an overview. *Microbiology* 24(1); 61-84.
- Nasir, I.M., Ghazi, T.I.M., Omar, R., Idris, A. (2013). Anaerobic digestion of cattle manure: influence of inoculums concentration. *International Journal of Engineering Technology* 10; 22-6.

- Nasir, I.M., Mohd Ghazi, T.I. and Omar, R. (2012). Anaerobic digestion technology in livestock manure treatment for biogas production. A review: *Engineering Life Science* 3; 258-269.
- Nathao C, Sirisukpoka U, Pisutpaisal N. (2013). Production of hydrogen and methane by one and two stage fermentation of food waste. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 38; 15764–9.
- Nazlina, H. M.Y., Tabassum, M., Mohd, A. H. and Nor'Aini, A. R. (2013). Food waste and food processing waste for biohydrogen production: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management* 130; 375-385.
- Nazlina, H.M.Y., Nor'Aini, A.R., Man, H.C., Yusoff, M.Z.M., Hassan, M.A. (2011). Microbial characterization of hydrogen-producing bacteria in fermented food waste at different pH values. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 36; 9571-9580.
- Ndegwa, P. M., Thompson, S. A. and Merka, W. C. (1991). Fractionation of poultry litter for enhanced utilization. *Transactions of the ASAE* 34(3); 992-997.
- Niu, Q., Qiao, W., Qiang, H., and Li, Y. (2013). Microbial community shifts and biogas conversion computation during steady, inhibited and recovered stages of thermophilic methane fermentation on chicken manure with a wide variation of ammonia. *Bioresource Technology* 146; 223-233.
- Ofoefule, A.U.N.J., Ibetu, C.N. (2010). Biogas production from paper waste and its blend with cow dung. *Advance Apply Science Resources* 1; 1-8.
- Onuorah S., Orji M., Okigbo R., Okeke J. (2016). Co-digestion of livestock wastes for biogas production. *Bioengineering and Bioscience* 4(3); 42-49.
- Ostrem, K. (2004). Greening Waste: Anaerobic digestion for treating the organic fraction of municipal solid wastes. Master dissertation Columbia University.
- Palacio-Barco, E., Robert-Peillard, F., Boudenne J-L., Coulomb, B. (2010). On-line analysis of volatile fatty acids in anaerobic treatment processes. *Analysis Chemical Acta* 668; 74–9.
- Pan, J., Zhang, R., El-Mashad, H.M. Sun, H. and Ying, Y. (2008). Effect of food to microorganism ratio on biohydrogen production from food waste via anaerobic fermentation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 33; 6968-6975.

- Paritosh, K., Kushwaha, S.K., Yadav, M., Pareek, N., Chawade, A., Vivekanand, V. (2017). Food waste to energy: an overview of sustainable approaches for food waste management and nutrient recycling. *BioMed Research International* 2;1-19.
- Penning H, Conrad R. (2006). Carbon isotope effects associated with mixed-acid fermentation of saccharides by *Clostridium papyrosolvens*. *Geochemical* 70; 2283–97
- Poeschl, M., Ward, S., Owende, P. (2010). Prospects for expanded utilization of biogas in Germany. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 14; 1782–1797.
- Pommier, S., Chenu, D., Quintard, M., Lefebvre, X. (2007). A logistic model for the prediction of the influence of water on the solid waste methanization in landfills. *Biotechnology and Bioenergy* 97; 473–47482.
- Posmanik, R., Labatut, R., Kim, A., Usack, J., Tester, J., Angenent, L. (2017). Coupling hydrothermal liquefaction and anaerobic digestion for energy valorization from model biomass feedstocks. *Bioresource Technology* 233; 134–143.
- Qiang, H., Lang, D.L., Li, Y.Y. (2012). High-solid mesophilic methane digestion of food waste with an emphasis on Iron, Eobalt, and Nickel requirements. *Bioresource Technology* 103; 21–27.
- Rafrafi, Y., Trably, E., Hamelin, J., Latrille, E., Meynial-Salles, I., Benomar, S., Giudici-Ortoni, M.T., Steyer, J.P. (2013). Sub-dominant bacteria as keystone species in microbial communities producing bio-hydrogen. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 38; 4975-4985.
- Rajeshwari K, Balakrishnan M, Kansal A, Lata K, Kishore V. (2000). State-of-the-art of anaerobic digestion technology for industrial wastewater treatment. *Renewable Sustainable Energy Revision* 4; 135–56.
- Raskin, L., Stromley, J.M., Rittmann, B.E., Stahl, D.A. (1994). Group-specific 16S rRNA hybridization probes to describe natural communities of methanogens. *Apply Environmental Microbiology* 60; 1232–1240.
- Rechtenbach, D., Stegmann, R. Combined bio-hydrogen and methane production. In: Proceedings of Sardinia 2009 Twelfth International Waste Management and Landfill Symposium, S. Margherita di Pula (I), 5–9, 79–80.

- Riau, V., De la Rubia, M.A., Perez, M. (2012). Assessment of solid retention time of a temperature phased anaerobic digestion system on performance and final sludge characteristics. *Journal Chemical Technology and Biotechnology* 87; 1074–82.
- Risberg K, Sun L, Levén L, Horn SJ, Schnürer A. (2013). Biogas production from wheat straw and manure-impact of pretreatment and process operating parameters. *Bioresource Technology* 149; 232–7.
- Ruying, L., Xinyuan, L., Min, J., Li, H. (2013). Hydrogen and methane production by co-digestion of waste activated sludge and food waste in the two-stage fermentation process: Substrate conversion and energy yield. *Bioresource Technology* 146; 317-323.
- Sakar, S., Yetilmezsoy, K., & Kocak, E. (2009). Anaerobic digestion technology in poultry and livestock waste treatment – a literature review. *Waste Management and Research* 27; 3- 18
- Schink, B. (1997). Energetics of syntrophic cooperation in methanogenic degradation. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* 61; 262–280.
- Serrano, A., Siles, J.A., Chica, A.F., Martin, A. (2014). Improvement of mesophilic anaerobic co-digestion of agri-food waste by addition of glycerol. *Journal Environment Management* 140; 76-82.
- Shi, X. S., Yuan, X. Z., Wang, Y. P., Zeng, S. J., Qiu, Y. L., Guo, R. B., and Wang, L. S. (2014). Modeling of the methane production and pH value during the anaerobic co-digestion of dairy manure and spent mushroom substrate. *Biochemical Engineering Journal* 244; 258-263.
- Shi Y, Zhao X-T, Cao P, Hu Y, Zhang L, Jia Y, et al. (2009). Hydrogen bio-production through anaerobic microorganism fermentation using kitchen wastes as substrate. *Biotechnology* 31; 1327-33.
- Shin, H.S., Kim, S.H., Paik, B.C. (2003). Characteristics of hydrogen production from food waste and waste activated sludge. *Journal of Water Environmental Technology* 1; 177–187.
- Siegert, I., Banks, C. (2005). The effect of volatile fatty acid additions on the anaerobic digestion of cellulose and glucose in batch reactors. *Process Biochemistry* 40; 3412– 3418.
- Sosnowski, P., Wiczorek, A., Ledakowicz, S. (2003). Anaerobic co-digestion of sewage sludge and organic fraction of municipal solid wastes. *Advances in Environmental Research* 7; 609–16.

- Sosnowski, P.A., Wieczorek, S. S., Ledakowicz. (2002). Anaerobic co-digestion of sewage sludge and organic fraction of MSWs. *Environmental Research* 7; 609–616.
- Sulaiman, A., Busu, Z., Tabatabei, M., Yacob, S., Abd-Aziz, S., Hassan, M.A. and Shirai, Y. (2009). The effect of higher sludge recycling rate on anaerobic treatment of palm oil mill effluent in a semi-commercial closed digester for renewable energy. *American Journal of Biochemistry and Biotechnology* 5; 1-6.
- Sun, Y., Wang, D., Yan, J., Qiao, W., Wang, W., Zhu, T. (2014). Effects of lipid concentration on anaerobic co-digestion of municipal biomass wastes. *Waste Management* 34; 1025-1034.
- Surendra, K.C., Takara, D., Hashimoto, A.G., Khanal, S.K. (2014). Biogas as a sustainable energy source for developing countries: opportunities and challenges. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Review* 31; 846–59.
- Surindra, S and Rubia, Z.G. (2017). Anaerobic digestion of activated sludge, anaerobic granular sludge and cow dung with food waste for enhanced methane production. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 16; 557-566.
- Tan, S.T., Lee, C.T., Hashim, H., Ho, W.S., Lim, J.S. (2014). Optimal process network for 592 municipal solid waste management in Iskandar Malaysia. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 71; 48-58.
- Than, T.M.M. (2005). Myanmar's energy sector banking on natural gas. *Southeast Asian Affairs* 18; 257–89.
- Thanaporn, L., Kanchanasuta, Wuttichai, M., Chantaraporn, P., Nipon, P., Verawat, C. (2015). Analysis of microbial community adaptation in mesophilic hydrogen fermentation from food waste by tagged 16S rRNA gene pyrosequencing. *Journal of Environmental Management* 144; 143-151.
- Tufaner, F., Avşar, Y. (2016). Effects of co-substrate on biogas production from cattle manure: a review. *International Journal Environmental and Science Technology* 13; 2303–12.
- Vandevivere, P., De Baere, L., and Verstraete, W. (2002). Types of anaerobic digesters of solid wastes. *Biomethanization of the Organic Fraction of Municipal Solid Waste* 15; 111-140.

- Varley, J., Brown, A.K., Boyd, J.W.R., Dodd, P.W., Gallagher, S. (2004). Dynamic multipoint measurement of foam behaviour for a continuous fermentation over a range of key process variables. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 20 (1), 61–72.
- Wang, X., Yang, G., Feng, Y., Ren, G., and Han, X. (2012). Optimizing feeding composition and carbon:nitrogen ratios for improved methane yield during anaerobic co-digestion of dairy, chicken manure and wheat straw. *Bioresource Technology* 120; 78-83.
- Wang, X., Zhao, Y. (2009a). A bench scale study of fermentative hydrogen and methane production from food waste in integrated two-stage process. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 34; 245-54.
- Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Wang, J., Meng, L. (2009b). Effects of volatile fatty acid concentrations on methane yield and methanogenic bacteria. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 33; 848–853.
- Wang, X., Jin, B. (2009c). Process optimization of biological hydrogen production from molasses by a newly isolated *Clostridium butyricum* W5. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioenergy* 107; 138-144.
- Wanpen, W., Phatlapha, T., Alissara, R. (2012). Effect of hydraulic retention time on hydrogen production and chemical oxygen demand removal from tapioca wastewater using anaerobic mixed cultures in anaerobic baffled reactor (ABR). *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 37, 15503 - 15510.
- Weiland, P. (2010). Biogas production: current state and perspectives. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 85; 849–860.
- Wijekoon, K.C., Visvanathan, C., and Abeynayaka, A. (2011). Effect of organic loading rate on VFA production, organic matter removal and microbial activity of a two-stage thermophilic anaerobic membrane bioreactor. *Bioresource Technology* 102; 5353-5360.
- Wilawan, W., Pholchan, P., and Aggarangsi, P. (2014). Biogas production from co digestion of *Pennisetum purpureum* cv. Pakchong 1 grass and layer chicken manure using completely stirred tank. *Energy Procedia* 52; 216-222.
- Wong, B.T., Show, K.Y., Su, A., Wong, R.J., Lee, D.J. (2007). Effect of volatile fatty acid composition on up flow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) performance. *Energy Fuels*, 22 (1), 108–112.

- Wu, L.J., Kobayashi, T., Kuramochi, H., Li, Y.Y., Xu, K.Q. (2016). Improved biogas production from food waste by co-digestion with de-oiled grease trap waste. *Bioresource Technology* 201; 237-244
- Wu, X., Yao, W., Zhu, J. (2010). Effect of pH on continuous biohydrogen production from liquid swine manure with glucose supplement using an anaerobic sequencing batch reactor. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 35; 6592–6599.
- Xiaoying, D., Lijie, S., Yan, W., Wei, K., Yanxin, C., Dalei, Z. (2015). Biogas by two-stage microbial anaerobic and semi-continuous digestion of Chinese cabbage waste. *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering* 23; 847–852.
- Xing, Y., Li, Z., Fan, Y., Hou, H. (2010). Biohydrogen production from dairy manures with acidification pretreatment by anaerobic fermentation. *Environmental Science Pollution Research* 17 (2); 392–399.
- Xinyuan, L., Ruying, L., Min, Ji., Li, H. (2013). Hydrogen and methane production by co-digestion of waste activated sludge and food waste in the two-stage fermentation process: Substrate conversion and energy yield. *Bioresource Technology* 146; 317–323.
- Xu, Z., Zihan, Y., Yulin, D., Tianwei, T. (2015). Anaerobic co-digestion of food waste and straw for biogas production. *Renewable Energy* 78; 527-530.
- Yadvika, S., Sreekrishnan, T., Kohli, S., and Rana, V. (2004). Enhancement of biogas production from solid substrates using different techniques-a review. *Bioresource Technology* 95(1); 1-10.
- Yang, L., Xu, F., Ge, X., Li, Y. (2015). Challenges and strategies for solid-state anaerobic digestion of lignocellulosic biomass. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 44; 824–834.
- Yang, Y.Q., Shen, D.g., Li, N., Xu, D., Long, Y.Y., Lu, X.Y. (2013). Co-digestion of kitchen waste and fruit-vegetable waste by two-phase anaerobic digestion. *Environmental Science and Pollution Resources* 20; 2162–71.
- Yang, D.Y., Pang, Y.Z., Yuan, H.R., Chen, S.L., Ma, J.W., Yu, L., Li, X.J. (2014). Enhancing biogas production from anaerobically digested wheat straw through ammonia pretreatment. *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering* 22 (5); 576–582.

- Yasin, H.M.N., Nor'aini, A.R., Hasfalina, C.M., Yusoff, M.Z.M., Hassan, M.A. (2011). Microbial characterization of hydrogen-producing bacteria in fermented food waste at different pH values. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 36; 9571-9580.
- Yong, Z., Dong, Y., Zhang, X., Tan, T. (2015). Anaerobic co-digestion of food waste and straw for biogas production. *Renewable Energy* 78; 527-530.
- Yu, Y., Lee, C., Kim, J., Hwang, S. (2005). Group-specific primer and probe sets to detect methanogenic communities using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction. *Biotechnology Bioenergy* 89; 670-679.
- Yunqin, L., Shubin, W., Dehan, W. (2013). Hydrogen-methane production from pulp & paper sludge and food waste by mesophilic-thermophilic anaerobic co-digestion. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 38(35); 15055-15062.
- Zahedi S, Solera R, Micolucci F, Cavinato C, Bolzonella D. (2016). Changes in microbial community during hydrogen and methane production in two-stage thermophilic anaerobic co-digestion process from biowaste. *Waste Management* 49; 40-6.
- Zahedi, S., Sales, D., Romero, L.I., Solera, R. (2013a). Optimisation of the two-phase dry-thermophilic anaerobic digestion process of sulphate-containing municipal solid waste: population dynamics. *Bioresource Technology* 148; 443-452.
- Zahedi, S., Sales, D., Romero, L.I., Solera, R. (2013b). Optimisation of single-phase dry thermophilic anaerobic digestion under high organic loading rates of industrial municipal solid waste: population dynamics. *Bioresource Technology* 146; 109-117.
- Zakrzewski, M., Goesmann, A., Jaenicke, S., Jünemann, S., Eikmeyer, F., Szczepanowski, R., Al-Soud, W.A., Sørensen, S., Pühler, A., Schlüter, A. (2012). Profiling of the metabolically active community from a production-scale biogas plant by means of high-throughput metatranscriptome sequencing. *Journal of Biotechnology* 158; 248-258.
- Zhai, N., Zhang, T., Yin, D., Yang, G., Wang, X., Ren, G., Feng, Y. (2015). Effect of initial pH on anaerobic co-digestion of kitchen waste and cow manure. *Waste Management* 38; 126-131.
- Zhang, C., Su, H., Baeyens, J., Tan, T. (2014). Reviewing the anaerobic digestion of food waste for biogas production. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 38; 383-392.

- Zhang, C., Xiao, G., Peng, L., Su, H., Tan, T. (2013a). The anaerobic co-digestion of food waste and cattle manure. *Bioresource Technology* 129; 170–6.
- Zhang, T., Liu, L., Song, Z., Ren, G., Feng, Y., Han, X. (2013b). Biogas production by co digestion of goat manure with three crop residues. *PLoS One* 8(6); 66845.
- Zhang, L., Jahng, D. (2012). Long-term anaerobic digestion of food waste stabilized by trace elements. *Waste Management* 32; 1509–1515.
- Zhang, D., Chen, Y., Zhao, Y., Ye, Z. (2011). A new process for efficiently producing methane from waste activated sludge: alkaline pretreatment of sludge followed by treatment of fermentation liquid in an EGSB reactor. *Environmental Science and Technology* 45 (2); 803–808.
- Zhang, R., El-Mashad, H., Hartman, K., Wang, F., Liu, G., Choate, C., Gamble, P. (2007). Characterization of food waste as feedstock for anaerobic digestion. *Bioresource Technology* 98; 929–935.
- Zhang, R., El-Mashad, H.M., Hartman, K., Wang, F., Liu, G., Choate, C., Gamble, P. (2006). Characterization of food waste as feedstock for anaerobic digestion. *Bioresource Technology* 98 (4); 929–935.
- Zhao, J., Yang, Q., Li, X., Wang, D., Luo, K., Zhong, Y., Xu, Q., Zeng, G. (2015). Enhanced production of short-chain fatty acid from food waste stimulated by alkyl polyglycosides and its mechanism. *Waste Management* 46; 133–139.
- Zhiyang, X., Mingxing, Z., Hengfeng, M., Zhenxing H., Shumei, G., Wenquan, R. (2014). In situ volatile fatty acids influence biogas generation from kitchen wastes by anaerobic digestion. *Bioresource Technology* 163; 186–192.
- Zhou, Q., Shen, F., Yuan, H., Zou, D., Liu, Y., Zhu, B., Jaffu, M., Chufo, A., Li, X. (2014). Minimizing asynchronism to improve the performances of anaerobic co digestion of food waste and corn stover. *Bioresource Technology* 166; 31–36.
- Zhong, W., Chi, L., Luo, Y., Zhang, Z., Zhang, Z., Wu, W.M. (2013). Enhanced methane production from Taihu Lake blue algae by anaerobic co-digestion with corn straw in continuous feed digesters. *Bioresource Technology* 134; 264–70.

Zhu, H., Parker, W., Basnar, R., Proracki, A., Falletta, P., Beland, M., Seto, P. (2008). Biohydrogen production by anaerobic co-digestion of municipal food waste and sewage sludges. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 33; 3651–3659.

Zong, W., Yu, R., Zhang, P., Fan, M., Zhou, Z. (2009). Efficient hydrogen gas production from cassava and food waste by a two-step process of dark fermentation and photo-fermentation. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 33(10); 1458-1463.

