



**IMPROVEMENT OF PHYTASE BIOSYNTHESIS BY NEW BACTERIAL
ISOLATE, *Pediococcus pentosaceus* C4/1A VIA CONTINUOUS
CULTIVATION**

SASIREGA A/P RAMAN

FBSB 2017 43



IMPROVEMENT OF PHYTASE BIOSYNTHESIS BY NEW BACTERIAL ISOLATE, *Pediococcus pentosaceus* C4/1A VIA CONTINUOUS CULTIVATION

By

SASIREGA A/P RAMAN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

January 2017

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in the fulfillment of the requirement of the Degree of Master of Science

IMPROVEMENT OF PHYTASE BIOSYNTHESIS BY NEW BACTERIAL ISOLATE, *Pediococcus pentosaceus* C4/1A VIA CONTINUOUS CULTIVATION

By

SASIREGA A/P RAMAN

January 2017

Chairman : Assoc. Prof. Rosfarizan Mohamad, PhD
Faculty : Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences

Phytase enzyme is widely distributed in animals, plants and microorganisms which help in the degradation of phytate. Among the microorganisms, members of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) such as *Pediococcus pentosaceus* were found to produce phytase enzyme. The production of phytase in this study was identified by qualitative and quantitative enzyme assay. Qualitative assay was done by cultivation of the strains on modified MRS agar which contains MOPS and sodium phytate to observe the presence of clear halo zone, which indicates the enzyme production. Quantitative test was carried out to identify the highest phytase producer by cultivation of all the five isolates in modified MRS broth in shake-flask. The highest phytase producer was then selected and identified as *Pediococcus pentosaceus* C4/1A for conventional screening process based on medium formulation and culture conditions using Erlenmeyer shake-flask.

Different nitrogen sources (peptone with casein, meat extract, yeast extract), carbon sources (glucose, maltose, lactose), different concentration of sodium phytate and inoculum size were determined on phytase production. From the study, yeast extract (20 g/L), glucose (15 g/L), sodium phytate (5 g/L) and inoculum size (5% (v/v)) gave effect on the phytase production by *P. pentosaceus* C4/1A. Further optimization by using Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was carried out on phytase production and cell biomass. The standardized protocol for phytase production was carried out in large scale using 2L stirred tank bioreactor. Formulated medium with glucose (16.2 g/L), yeast extract (17.2 g/L), sodium phytate (11.8 g/L) and inoculum size (10% (v/v)) were used to obtain high phytase activity. Continuous cultivation was then conducted for mass production and to determine the productivity of microbial biomass and production of phytase. Different range of dilution rate (D) was applied for 48 h to study the productivity of the cell and phytase. Secondary data based on phytase production, cell concentration and substrate consumption in small scale and large scale cultivation was developed and compared.

Generally, all the chosen strains grew well on the modified MRS broth, however only one best phytase producer (*P. pentosaceus* C4/1A) exhibits highest enzyme activity of 21.25 U/mL. Further improvement through approach of RSM, 42.3 U/mL of phytase production was achieved with cell concentration of 6.46 g/L. Production of phytase then applied in large scale fermentation using 2L stirred tank bioreactor and 40.2 U/mL of phytase was synthesized by *P. pentosaceus* C4/1A, which comparable to the shake-flask cultivation (42.3 U/mL) under the optimized conditions. Continuous cultivation was carried out for mass production at dilution rate ranging from 0.1 h⁻¹ to 0.4 h⁻¹ and the steady state of *P. pentosaceus* C4/1A was achieved after five generation and three residence times. The whole process of cultivation was carried out for 48 h and the highest productivity of phytase and cell concentration was obtained at dilution rate 0.3 h⁻¹ which resulted 8.65 U/mL/h and 0.894 g/L/h, respectively. In conclusion, throughout the cultivation process, production of phytase was improved 89.3% from shake-flask experiment to 2L bioreactor. In future work, scaling-up study for mass production at large scale should be performed as the application of phytase is of great interest in many industries.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**PENAMBAHBAIKAN BIOSINTESIS PHYTASE OLEH BAKTERIA,
Pediococcus pentosaceus C4/1A MELALUI KULTURAN SAMPINGAN**

Oleh

SASIREGA A/P RAMAN

Januari 2017

Pengerusi : Prof. Madya Rosfarizan Mohamad, PhD
Fakulti : Bioteknologi dan Biomolekular Sains

Phytase enzim boleh didapati secara meluas pada haiwan, tumbuh-tumbuhan dan mikroorganisma yang membantu dalam degradasi phytate. Antara mikroorganisma, ahli-ahli bakteria asid laktik (LAB) seperti *Pediococcus pentosaceus* didapati menghasilkan phytase enzim. Pengeluaran phytase telah dikenalpasti dengan kaedah enzim kualitatif dan kuantitatif. Kaedah kualitatif telah dilakukan melalui penanaman mikrob pada agar MRS yang diubahsuai dimana ia mengandungi MOPS dan natrium phytate untuk memerhatikan kehadiran zon halo jelas, yang menunjukkan pengeluaran enzim. Ujian kuantitatif dijalankan untuk mengenalpasti pengeluar phytase terbaik melalui penkulturan kesemua lima pencilkan dalam MRS didalam kelalang kon. Pengeluar phytase terbaik kemudian dipilih dan dikenalpasti sebagai *Pediococcus pentosaceus* C4/1A untuk proses saringan konvensional berdasarkan pengoptimuman medium.

Sumber nitrogen yang berbeza (pepton dengan kasein, ekstrak daging, ekstrak yis), sumber karbon (glukos, maltos, laktos) dan kepekatan natrium phytate dan inoculum saiz yang berbeza ditentukan dengan pengeluaran phytase. Berdasarkan kajian, ekstrak yis (20 g/L), glukos (15 g/L), natrium phytate (5 g/L) dan saiz inoculum (5 % (v/v)) memberikan kesan keatas pengeluaran phytase oleh *P. pentosaceus* C4/1A. Pengoptimuman lebih lanjut telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan kaedah rangsangan permukaan ke atas pengeluaran phytase dan biomas sel dalam 250 mL kelalang kon Erlenmeyer. Piawaian protokol untuk pengeluaran phytase telah dijalankan dalam skala yang besar dengan menggunakan bioreactor tangki berpangaduk 2L. Dirumuskan bahawa dengan menggunakan glukos (16.2 g/L), ekstrak yis (17.2 g/L), natrium phytate (11.8 g/L) dan saiz inoculum (10% (v/v)) dapat menghasilkan aktiviti phytase yang tinggi. Penanaman berterusan kemudiannya dijalankan untuk pengeluaran besar-besaran untuk menentukan produktiviti mikrob dan proses pertumbuhan berkaitan yang lain. Kepekatan yang berbeza bagi kadar pencairan (D) telah digunakan selama 48 jam untuk mengkaji produktiviti sel dan enzim. Data sekunder berasaskan pengeluaran

phytase, kepekatan sel dan penggunaan subtract dalam skala kecil dan penanaman berskala besar telah dibandingkan.

Semua jenis mikrob yang telah dipilih berkembang dengan baik pada MRS yang diubah suai, bagaimanapun hanya satu phytate pengeluar terbaik (*P. pentosaceus* C 4/1A) mempamerkan aktiviti enzim tertinggi 21.25 U/ ml. Penambahbaikan melalui pendekatan RSM, 42.3 U/ml pengeluaran phytase dicapai dengan kepekatan sel 6.46 g/L. Pengeluaran phytase kemudian digunakan dalam penapaian berskala besar dengan menggunakan bioreaktor tangki berpengaduk 2L dan 40.2 U/ml phytase telah disintesis oleh *P. pentosaceus* C4/1A, berbanding dengan penanaman dalam kelalang kon (42.3 U/ml) dibawah syarat-syarat yang dioptimumkan.

Penanaman berterusan telah dijalankan pada kadar pencairan antara 0.1 h⁻¹ kepada 0.4 h⁻¹ dalam keadaan mantap. *P. pentosaceus* C4/1A dicapai selepas lima generasi. Keseluruhan proses penapaian telah dijalankan selama 48 jam, dan produktiiti tertinggi phytase dan sel kepekatan diperoleh pada kadar pencairan 0.3 h⁻¹ yang menghasilkan 8.65 U/ml/h dan 0.894 g/L/h, masing-masing. Kesimpulannya, sepanjang proses penapaian, pengeluaran phytase telah meningkat 89.3 % daripada eksperimen didalam kelalang kon ke 2L bioreaktor. Dalam kerja-kerja masa depan, kajian pada skala besar perlu dilakukan untuk meningkatkan hasil produk dalam kadar yang banyak disebabkan permohonan phytase berada pada kadar permintaan yang tinggi dalam kebanyakan industri.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My very first thanks to GOD who gave me all the blessings, good health and strength throughout my studies here in UPM.

I would like to express my great gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Rosfarizan Mohamad, who has helped me during my studies. Thanks for the great help and guidance. My gratitude also goes to my co-supervisors, Prof. Dr. Norhani Abdullah and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Liang Juan Boo. Countless of help were given to me by my lab mates, Shira S, Sheera, Hunaidah and special thanks to my senior Farina Muhamad Nor who were there when I really needed.

My special thanks are extended to my family members especially to my parents Raman and Pungodi for their prayers which have brought success to my life, continuous support and encouragement during my hard times on research work. To my best friends, thanks a million for your invaluable advices and great support throughout my studies. Finally, I would like to express my heartfelt appreciation to all the staff of Bioprocess Technology Laboratory for their kind assistance.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Rosfarizan Mohamad, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Norhani Abdullah, PhD

Research Fellow
Institute of Tropical Agriculture and Food Security
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Liang Juan Boo, PhD

Associate Professor
Institute of Tropical Agriculture and Food Security
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Sasirega a/p Raman / GS38859

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee: Associate Professor Dr. Rosfarizan Mohamad

Signature: _____
Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Professor Dr. Norhani Abdullah

Signature: _____
Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Professor Dr. Liang Juan Boo

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xvii
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Microorganism	3
2.1.1 Lactic Acid Bacteria	3
2.1.2 <i>Pediococcus pentosaceus</i>	4
2.2 Phytic Acid	5
2.2.1 Chemical Structure of Phytic Acid	6
2.2.2 Sources of Phytic Acid	6
2.3 Phytase	7
2.3.1 Sources of Phytase	7
2.3.2 Application of Phytase	9
2.4 Cultivation Technique	10
2.4.1 Batch Cultivation	10
2.4.2 Continuous Cultivation	11
2.4.3 Advantages of Continuous Cultivation	12
2.5 Medium Composition and Culture Conditions	13
2.5.1 Carbon Source	14
2.5.2 Nitrogen Source	14
2.5.3 Inducer	14
2.5.4 Inoculum Size	14
2.5.5 pH	15
2.5.6 Temperature	15
2.5.7 Aeration and Agitation	15
2.6 Response Surface Methodology	16
2.7 Concluding Remarks	17

3.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1	Chemical Reagents	18
	3.1.1 Microbiological Media	18
	3.1.2 Biochemical Reagents	18
3.2	Bacterial Isolates and Maintenance	18
3.3	Collection and Identification of Isolates	18
3.4	Inoculum Preparation	19
3.5	Production Medium	19
3.6	Screening of Phytase Producing Lactic Acid Bacteria	20
3.7	Qualitative Screening of Phytase Producer	20
3.8	Quantitative Enzymatic Assay	20
3.9	Identification of Bacteria Isolates	21
	3.9.1 Catalase Test	21
	3.9.2 Oxidase Test	21
3.10	Influence of Medium Formulation and Culture Conditions	22
	3.10.1 Shake-flask Cultivation	22
	3.10.2 Carbon Source	22
	3.10.3 Nitrogen Source	23
	3.10.4 Phosphate Source	23
	3.10.5 Inoculum Size	23
3.11	Optimization of Medium Formulation and Culture Condition using Response Surface Methodology	23
	3.11.1 Central Composite Design	24
3.12	Stirred Tank Bioreactor	25
	3.12.1 Batch Cultivation	27
	3.12.2 Continuous Cultivation	27
3.13	Analytical Methods	27
	3.13.1 Determination of Phytase Concentration	28
	3.13.2 Determination of Cell Concentration	28
	3.13.3 Determination of Reducing Sugar	28
	3.13.4 Total Protein Assay	29
3.14	General Experimental Design	29
4.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Selection and Identification of Phytase Producing Lactic Acid Bacteria	31
	4.1.1 Screening of Phytase Producing Lactic Acid Bacteria	31
	4.1.2 Identification of Highest Phytase Producer	33
4.2	Influence of Medium Formulation and Culture Conditions	36
	4.2.1 Effect of Carbon Sources	36
	4.2.2 Effect of Glucose	39
	4.2.3 Effect of Nitrogen Sources	41
	4.2.4 Effect of Yeast Extract	43
	4.2.5 Effect of Sodium Phytate	46
	4.2.6 Effect of Inoculum Size	49

4.3	Optimization of Medium Formulation and Culture Condition by <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A using Response Surface Methodology	52
4.3.1	Central Composite Design	52
4.4	Mass Production of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A using 2L Stirred Tank Bioreactor	59
4.4.1	Batch Cultivation	60
4.4.2	Comparison of Small Scale and Large Scale Cultivations	60
4.4.3	Continuous Cultivation	61
5.	GENERAL CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	69
	REFERENCES	71
	APPENDICES	88
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	91
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	92

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	LAB isolated from different sources	4
2.2	Utilization of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> strains as potential LAB for industrial application	5
2.3	Percentage of phytic acid in different plants	7
2.4	Phytase producing Lactic Acid Bacteria	8
2.5	Properties of microbial phytase	8
2.6	Application of phytase in various industries	10
2.8	Media composition for phytase production	13
3.1	Production Medium	19
3.2	Different variables and concentration used for phytase production by <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A in shake-flask culture	22
3.3	Medium Formulation for phytase production	24
3.4	Value of coded factors used in CCD	24
3.5	Dimensions and variables of 2L Stirred Tank Bioreactor	27
4.1	Diameter of clear halo zones of phytate hydrolysis produced by LAB isolates on modified MRS agar plate	32
4.2	Phytase activity and productivity of LAB isolates after 24 h of incubation	34
4.3	Comparison of the performance of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A cultivation in shake-flask culture using different carbon sources	38
4.4	Comparison of the performance of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A cultivation in shake-flask culture using different concentration of Glucose	54
4.5	Comparison of the performance of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A cultivation in shake-flask culture using different nitrogen sources	43
4.6	Comparison of the performance of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A cultivation in shake-flask culture using different concentration of yeast extract	46
4.7	Comparison of the performance of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A cultivation in shake-flask culture using different concentration of sodium phytate	49
4.8	Comparison of the performance of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A cultivation in shake-flask culture using different concentration of	52

	inoculum size	
4.9	CCD with experimental and predicted value response to phytase production	53
4.10	ANOVA for quadratic model	54
4.11	R-squared, Adj. R-squared, Pred. R-squared, Adeq. Precision value of the model	54
4.12	Validated medium for phytase production	59
4.13	Comparison of kinetic parameters for shake flask and bioreactor	61
4.14	Production of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A in steady state	62
4.15	Productivity of phytase and cell concentration in continuous cultivation by <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A	67

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Structure of Phytic Acid	6
2.2	Diagram of enzymatic reaction of phytase	9
2.3	Growth profile of microbial culture in batch cultivation	11
2.4	Central Composite Design (CCD) for 3 Design Variables at 2 Levels	16
3.1	Schematic diagram of stirred tank bioreactor for cultivation of <i>Pediococcus pentosaceus</i> C4/1A	26
3.2	Flow diagram of the experimental design	30
4.1	Comparison of phytate hydrolysis in modified MRS agar containing sodium phytate as phosphate source by different LAB isolates	32
4.2	Quantitative analysis on phytase activity of bacteria isolates grown on modified MRS broth in shake-flask	33
4.3	Phylogenetic Tree-Neighbouring joint (Unrooted Tree) by NCBI Blast Tree	34
4.4	Microscopic image of <i>Pediococcus pentosaceus</i> C4/1A under light microscope	35
4.5	Cultivation of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A using different carbon sources in shake-flask cultivation	37
4.6	Cultivation of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A using different concentration of Glucose in shake-flask cultivation	39
4.7	Cultivation of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A using different nitrogen sources in shake-flask cultivation	42
4.8	Cultivation of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A using different concentration of Yeast extract in shake-flask cultivation	44
4.9	Cultivation of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A using different concentration of Sodium Phytate in shake-flask cultivation	47
4.10	Cultivation of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A using different concentration of Inoculum Size in shake-flask cultivation	50
4.11	Surface plot of response phytase activity of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A with factors of inoculum size (A) and sodium phytate (B)	55

4.12	Surface plot of response phytase activity of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A with factors of inoculum size (A) and yeast extract (C)	55
4.13	Surface plot of response phytase activity of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A with factors of inoculum size (A) and glucose (D)	56
4.14	Surface plot of response phytase activity of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A with factors of sodium phytate (B) and yeast extract (C)	56
4.15	Surface plot of response phytase activity of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A with factors of sodium phytate (B) and glucose (D)	57
4.16	Surface plot of response phytase activity of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A with factors of yeast extract (C) and glucose (D)	57
4.17	Batch Cultivation of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A in 2L Stirred Tank Bioreactor for Phytase Production	60
4.18	Continuous Cultivation of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A for Phytase activity and Total protein using various dilution rates	62
4.19	Cultivation of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A for Cell concentration and Reducing sugar using various dilution rates	64
4.20	Productivity of <i>P. pentosaceus</i> C4/1A at different dilution rate	67

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

LAB	Lactic acid bacteria
MRS	de Man Rogosa Sharpe
rpm	Rotation per minutes
g/L	Gram per liter
GRAS	Generally Regarded As Safe
RSM	Response surface methodology
CCD	Central composite design
Conc.	Concentration
h	Hour
t	Time at maximum cell concentration
X_{max}	Maximum cell concentration (g cell/L)
P_{max}	Maximum phytase concentration (U phytase/mL)
S_i, S_f	Substrate consumed (g substrate/L)
S_i	Initial substrate concentration (g substrate/L)
S_f	Final substrate concentration (g substrate/L)
μ	Specific growth rate (h^{-1})
$Y_{x/s}$	Growth yield coefficient (g cell/g substrate)
$Y_{p/s}$	Phytase yield per substrate utilized (U phytase/g substrate)
$Y_{p/x}$	Phytase biosynthesis per cell (U phytase/g cell)
P_r	Phytase productivity (U phytase/mL/h)

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Phytate known as myo-inositol hexakisphosphate, is one of the major storage form of phosphate in plants seeds (Suhairin et al., 2010). Phytate acts as anti-nutritional factor and reduces the bioavailability of phosphorus to monogastric animals (Lopez et al., 2002). Feeds given to poultry animals were initially supplemented with inorganic phosphate, due to deficiency of phytase in gastrointestinal tract (Feil, 2001). Many studies have been conducted on hydrolysis of phosphorus and reduce the excretion of excess phytate-phosphorus into the manure. Several bacterial strains were studied for the production of phytase to reduce the content of phosphorus in the environment. Those bacterial strains were *Lactobacillus*, *Enterobacter*, *Bacillus sp.*, *Escherichia coli* and *Mitsuokella jalaludinii* (Quan et al., 2001).

In the year 1962, International Minerals and Chemicals (IMC) made an effort to develop commercial phytase. Thousands of microorganisms were tested for their ability to produce phytase. However, after many attempt made by IMC, finally an isolate was identified to produce phytase and able to hydrolyse phytate (Lei et al., 2013). The valuable isolate was *Aspergillus niger* PhyA and phytase was first commercialized in 1990s after characterization by Irving & Cosgrove (1971). However, fungus was no longer applied in animal feed as it contains toxin which might be harmful to animals. In this research, *Pediococcus pentosaceus* was chosen since it is probiotic and able to produce different types of enzymes such as phytase (Zamudio et al., 2001), and β -galactosidase (Semjonovs & Zikmanis, 2008). Phytase producing microorganisms are found mostly from soil especially bacteria which has fast growth (Tseng et al., 2000) and the rumen (Yanke et al., 1998).

Nowadays the use of enzyme in feeds and the demand for phytase are increasing rapidly in the global market. Improvement in the digestibility of nutrient leads to higher needs of feed utilization. India is in high demand of phytase usage since they have the largest population of cattle in the world which was estimated around hundred million. The demand for phytase was expected to increase in the coming years. Besides that, the usage of phytase as feed enzyme was rapidly growing in China after the high increase in the year of 2004 (Sareen Sarah, 2015). Researches nowadays were being carried out on LAB which is also known as probiotics where it has the ability to improve the growth of poultry and beneficial to the host organisms. Hence, LAB has the potential to eliminate pathogens and prevent from infections (Mozzi et al., 2010). It is known as “Generally Regarded as Safe” (GRAS) microorganisms that can be applied in animal feed.

In literature, extracellular production of phytase by native *Bacillus subtilis* was assayed using chemical assay and plate assay. Plate assay was performed on specific medium and chemical assay was carried out using ferrous sulphate molybdenum blue method (Shamna et al., 2012). In spite, most of the studies conducted have used these two methods to analyze and quantify the production of phytase. Optimization is the most

important step in fermentation of industrial bioprocess to enhance the enzyme production by the desired bacterial strain (S. Y. Lee & Kim, 2015). Nowadays, conventional method is not only being used in many studies but also statistical optimization using Response Surface Methodology (RSM) which was introduced by Box & Wilson (1951) is used and helps the researcher to design the experiment, study the effect of parameters used towards the responses in the experiment. Highest yield production can also be achieved through RSM (Kharel et al., 2002; Raissi, 2009). It provides several experimental runs to conduct the fermentation in correct order and helps to improve the production of desired product.

Continuous cultivation is a large scale production of desired product. It is a technique used by most of the researchers and being applied in biotechnology industries. Continuous cultivation process has potential to increase the productivity of the desired product compared to other methods especially microbial enzymes. Culture in continuous cultivation retains at steady state throughout the fermentation period, hence, maximum cell biomass and products can be achieved at this state. Most of the industrial enzymes such as single cell protein and vinegar production are produced by continuous cultivation which is up to 100 m³ capacity and there are also enzymes which produced in a scale of hundred litres or less (Waites et al., 2009).

The focus of this study was on improvement of medium formulation and culture conditions for mass production of phytase by *Pediococcus pentosaceus* C4/1A in submerged fermentation. Hence, the specific objectives of the study were;

- 1) To identify and select the highest phytase producing LAB
- 2) To optimize medium formulation and culture conditions for phytase production by the selected strain of LAB using conventional and statistical approach of response surface methodology.
- 3) To evaluate continuous cultivation of the selected strain of LAB for mass production of phytase using 2L stirred tank bioreactor.

REFERENCES

- Adnan, A. F. M., & Tan, I. K. (2007). Isolation of lactic acid bacteria from Malaysian foods and assessment of the isolates for industrial potential. *Bioresour. Technol*, 98(7), 1380–1385.
- Akomo, P. O., Egli, I., Okoth, M. W., Bahwere, P., Cercamondi, I., Zeder, C., Njage, P. M. K., et al. (2016). Estimated Iron and Zinc Bioavailability in Soybean-Maize-Sorghum Ready to Use Foods: Effect of Soy Protein Concentrate and Added Phytase. *J Food Process Technol*, 2016.
- Ammor, M. S., Flórez, A. B., & Mayo, B. (2007). Antibiotic resistance in non-enterococcal lactic acid bacteria and *bifidobacteria*. *Food Microbiol.*, 24(6), 559–570.
- Anastasiadou, S., Papagianni, M., Filiouis, G., Ambrosiadis, I., & Koidis, P. (2008). Growth and metabolism of a meat isolated strain of *Pediococcus pentosaceus* in submerged fermentation: purification, characterization and properties of the produced pediocin SM-1. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* 43(6), 448–454.
- Anastasio, M., Pepe, O., Cirillo, T., Palomba, S., Blaiotta, G., & Villani, F. (2010). Selection and Use of Phytate-Degrading LAB to Improve Cereal-Based Products by Mineral Solubilization During Dough Fermentation. *J. Food Sci.*, 75(1), M28–M35.
- Anna Reale, U. K. (2007). The Importance of Lactic Acid Bacteria for Phytate Degradation during Cereal Dough Fermentation. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 55(8), 2993–7.
- Arpana, M., Gulab, S., Varsha, G., Anita, Y., KamalRai, A., SanjeevKumar, G., NeerajKumar, A., et al. (2016). Isolation and biochemical characterization of acido-thermophilic extracellular phytase producing bacterial strain for potential application in poultry feed. *Jundishapur J Microbiol*, 2011(4, Autumn).
- Askelson, T. E., Campasino, A., Lee, J. T., & Duong, T. (2014). Evaluation of phytate-degrading *Lactobacillus* culture administration to broiler chickens. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 80(3), 943–950.
- Axelsson, L. (2004). Lactic acid bacteria: classification and physiology. *Food Sci. Technol. -New York-Marcel Dekker-*, 139, 1–66.

- Ayaz, N. O. (2015). Biochemical Studies For Optimum Production Of Phytase And Acid Phosphatase Using B. 48. *INT J ACAD RES.*, 7.
- Bae, H. D., Yanke, L. J., Cheng, K. J., & Selinger, L. B. (1999). A novel staining method for detecting phytase activity. *J. Microbiol. Methods* 39(1), 17–22.
- Balakrishnan, G., & Agrawal, R. (2014). Antioxidant activity and fatty acid profile of fermented milk prepared by *Pediococcus pentosaceus*. *J. of Food Sci. and Tech.*, 51(12), 4138–4142.
- Bas, D., & Boyaci, I. H. (2007). Modeling and optimization II: comparison of estimation capabilities of response surface methodology with artificial neural networks in a biochemical reaction. *J Food Eng*, 78(3), 846–854.
- Beauchemin, K. A., Krehbiel, C. R., Newbold, C. J., Mosenthin, R., Zentek, J., Zebrowska, T., & others. (2006). Enzymes, bacterial direct-fed microbials and yeast: principles for use in ruminant nutrition. *Biology of nutrition in growing animals*, 251–284.
- Bezerra, M. A., Santelli, R. E., Oliveira, E. P., Villar, L. S., & Escaleira, L. A. (2008). Response surface methodology (RSM) as a tool for optimization in analytical chemistry. *Talanta*, 76(5), 965–977.
- Blanco, K. C., de Lima, C. J., Monti, R., Martins Jr, J., Bernardi, N. S., & Contiero, J. (2012). *Bacillus lehensis*—an alkali-tolerant bacterium isolated from cassava starch wastewater: optimization of parameters for cyclodextrin glycosyltransferase production. *Ann Microbiol*, 62(1), 329–337.
- Box, G. E., & Wilson, K. B. (1951). On the experimental attainment of optimum conditions. *J R Stat Soc Series B Stat Methodol*. 13(1), 1–45.
- Caplice, E., & Fitzgerald, G. F. (1999). Food fermentations: role of microorganisms in food production and preservation. *Int J Food Microbiol* 50(1), 131–149.
- Carlile, M. J., Watkinson, S. C., & Gooday, G. W. (2001). Fungal cells and vegetative growth. *The Fungi*, 77–149.
- Carminati, D., Giraffa, G., Zago, M., Marcó, M. B., & Guglielmotti, D. (2015). Lactic Acid Bacteria for Dairy Fermentations: Specialized Starter Cultures to Improve Dairy Products. *Biotechnology of Lactic Acid Bacteria: Novel Applications*, 191.

- Casey, A., & Walsh, G. (2004). Identification and characterization of a phytase of potential commercial interest. *J. Biotechnol*, 110(3), 313–322.
- Catarina Guedes, A., Katkam, N. G., Varela, J., & Xavier Malcata, F. (2013). Photobioreactors for cyanobacterial culturing. *Cyanobacteria: An Economic Perspective*, 270–292.
- Celli, G. B., Ghanem, A., & Brooks, M. S.-L. (2015). Optimization of ultrasound-assisted extraction of anthocyanins from haskap berries (*Lonicera caerulea* L.) using Response Surface Methodology. *Ultrasonics sonochemistry*, 27, 449–455.
- Chen, Q. (2004). Determination of phytic acid and inositol pentakisphosphates in foods by high-performance ion chromatography. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 52(15), 4604–4613.
- Coban, H. B., & Demirci, A. (2015). Improved submerged *Aspergillus ficuum* phytase production in bench-top bioreactors by optimization of fermentation medium. *Acta Alimentaria*, 44(4), 549–560.
- Coban, Hasan B., & Demirci, A. (2014). Screening of phytase producers and optimization of culture conditions for submerged fermentation. *Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng.*, 37(4), 609–616.
- Cosgrove, D. J. (1970). Inositol phosphate phosphatases of microbiological origin. Inositol phosphate intermediates in the dephosphorylation of the hexaphosphates of myo-inositol, scyllo-inositol, and D-chiro-inositol by a bacterial (*Pseudomonas* sp.) phytase. *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.*, 23(5), 1207–1220.
- Dan, S. K., Banerjee, G., Nandi, A., Ghosh, P., & Ray, A. K. (2015). Autochthonous Phytase-Producing Bacteria Isolated From The Gastrointestinal Tracts Of Four Indian Freshwater Teleosts: Characterization And Identification. *J Microb Biotech Food Sci*, 4(4), 342.
- De Angelis, M., Gallo, G., Corbo, M. R., McSweeney, P. L., Faccia, M., Giovine, M., & Gobbetti, M. (2003a). Phytase activity in sourdough lactic acid bacteria: purification and characterization of a phytase from *Lactobacillus sanfranciscensis* CB1. *Int J Food Microbiol*, 87(3), 259–270.
- De Angelis, M., Gallo, G., Corbo, M. R., McSweeney, P. L. H., Faccia, M., Giovine, M., & Gobbetti, M. (2003b). Phytase activity in sourdough lactic acid

bacteria: purification and characterization of a phytase from *Lactobacillus sanfranciscensis* CB1. *Int J Food Microbiol*, 87(3), 259–270.

- Demirkan, E., Baygın, E., & Usta, A. (2014). Screening of phytate hydrolysis *Bacillus sp.* isolated from soil and optimization of the certain nutritional and physical parameters on the production of phytase. *Turk J Bioch.*, 39(2).
- Dhole, V. J., & Reddy, K. S. (2016). Association of phytic acid content with biotic stress tolerance in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). *Phytoparasitica*, 1–7.
- Dragone, G., Fernandes, B. D., Abreu, A. P., Vicente, A. A., & Teixeira, J. A. (2011). Nutrient limitation as a strategy for increasing starch accumulation in microalgae. *Appl. Energy*, 88(10), 3331–3335.
- Erdman, J. W. (1979). Oilseed phytates: nutritional implications. *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.*, 56(8), 736–741.
- Erpel, F., Restovic, F., & Arce-Johnson, P. (2016). Development of phytase-expressing *chlamydomonas reinhardtii* for monogastric animal nutrition. *BMC Biotechnol.*, 16(1), 1.
- Feil, B. (2001). Phytic acid. *Journal of New Seeds*, 3(3), 1–35.
- Fujita, J., Shigeta, S., Yamane, Y.-I., Fukuda, H., Kizaki, Y., Wakabayashi, S., & Ono, K. (2003). Production of two types of phytase from *Aspergillus oryzae* during industrial koji making. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.*, 95(5), 460–465.
- Fuller, R. (1989). A review. *J Appl Bacteriol*, 66, 365–378.
- Gibson, D. M., Ullah, A. B. J., Morr , D. J., Boss, W. F., & Loewus, F. A. (1990). Inositol metabolism in plants. *Wiley LISS, Inc*, 77, 82.
- Golovan, S. P., Hayes, M. A., Phillips, J. P., & Forsberg, C. W. (2001). Transgenic mice expressing bacterial phytase as a model for phosphorus pollution control. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 19(5), 429–433.
- Graminho, E. R., Takaya, N., Nakamura, A., & Hoshino, T. (2015). Purification, biochemical characterization, and genetic cloning of the phytase produced by *Burkholderia sp.* strain a13. *J Gen Appl Microbiol*, 61(1), 15–23.

- Greenwood, A. J., & Lewis, D. H. (1977). Phosphatases and the utilisation of inositol hexaphosphate by soil yeasts of the genus *Cryptococcus*. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 9(3), 161–166.
- Greiner, R., & Konietzny, U. (2006). Phytase for Food Application. *Food Technol. Biotechnol.*, 44(2).
- Guerra-Santos, L., Käppeli, O., & Fiechter, A. (1984). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biosurfactant production in continuous culture with glucose as carbon source. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 48(2), 301–305.
- Gupta, R. K., Gangoliya, S. S., & Singh, N. K. (2015). Reduction of phytic acid and enhancement of bioavailable micronutrients in food grains. *J. of Food Sci. And Tech.*, 52(2), 676–684.
- Hamad, S. H., Dieng, M. C., Ehrmann, M. A., & Vogel, R. F. (1997). Characterization of the bacterial flora of Sudanese sorghum flour and sorghum sourdough. *J Appl Microbiol*, 83(6), 764–770.
- Haros, Monika, Bielecka, M., Honke, J., & Sanz, Y. (2008). Phytate-degrading activity in lactic acid bacteria. *Pol. J. Food Nutr. Sci.*, 58(1).
- Haros, Monica, Bielecka, M., & Sanz, Y. (2005). Phytase activity as a novel metabolic feature in *Bifidobacterium*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* , 247(2), 231–239.
- Haros, Monica, Rosell, C. M., & Benedito, C. (2001). Fungal phytase as a potential breadmaking additive. *Eur Food Res Technol*, 213(4-5), 317–322.
- Hidayat, B. J., Eriksen, N. T., & Wiebe, M. G. (2006). Acid phosphatase production by *Aspergillus niger* N402A in continuous flow culture. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* , 254(2), 324–331.
- Hien, T. T. T., Be, T. T., Lee, C. M., & Bengtson, D. A. (2015). Development of formulated diets for snakehead (*Channa striata* and *Channa micropeltes*): Can phytase and taurine supplementation increase use of soybean meal to replace fish meal? *Aquaculture*, 448, 334–340.
- Holzapfel, W. H., Haberer, P., Geisen, R., Björkroth, J., & Schillinger, U. (2001). Taxonomy and important features of probiotic microorganisms in food and nutrition. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr*, 73(2), 365s–373s.

- Hoskisson, P. A., & Hobbs, G. (2005). Continuous culture—making a comeback? *Microbiology*, *151*(10), 3153–3159.
- Hu, Y., Xia, W., & Ge, C. (2007). Effect of mixed starter cultures fermentation on the characteristics of silver carp sausages. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol*, *23*(7), 1021–1031.
- Huang, J.-B., Wu, Y.-C., & Chi, S.-C. (2014). Dietary supplementation of *Pediococcus pentosaceus* enhances innate immunity, physiological health and resistance to *Vibrio anguillarum* in orange-spotted grouper (*Epinephelus coioides*). *Fish Shellfish Immunol*, *39*(2), 196–205.
- Huang, Y., Luo, Y., Zhai, Z., Zhang, H., Yang, C., Tian, H., Li, Z., et al. (2009). Characterization and application of an anti-*Listeria* bacteriocin produced by *Pediococcus pentosaceus* 05-10 isolated from Sichuan Pickle, a traditionally fermented vegetable product from China. *Food Control*, *20*(11), 1030–1035.
- Humer, E., & Schedle, K. (2016). Fermentation of food and feed: A technology for efficient utilization of macro and trace elements in monogastrics. *J Trace Elem Med Biol*.
- Hussain, S. M., Afzal, M., Nasir, S., Jabeen, F., Javid, A., Azmat, H., Asrar, M., et al. (2015). Effect of phytase supplementation on growth performance and mineral digestibility in *Labeo rohita* (Hamilton, 1822) fingerlings fed on sunflower meal based diet. *Indian J Fish.*, *62*(4).
- Irmeler, S., Bavan, T., Oberli, A., Roetschi, A., Badertscher, R., Guggenbühl, B., & Berthoud, H. (2013). Catabolism of Serine by *Pediococcus acidilactici* and *Pediococcus pentosaceus*. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, *79*(4), 1309–1315.
- Irving, G. C. J., & Cosgrove, D. J. (1971). Inositol phosphate phosphatases of microbiological origin. Observations on the nature of the active centre of a bacterial (*Pseudomonas sp.*) phytase. *Aust J Biol Sci*, *24*(3), 559–564.
- Jacobsen, C. N., Nielsen, V. R., Hayford, A. E., Møller, P. L., Michaelsen, K. F., Paerregaard, A., Sandström, B., et al. (1999). Screening of probiotic activities of forty-seven strains of *Lactobacillus spp.* by in vitro techniques and evaluation of the colonization ability of five selected strains in humans. *Appl Environ Microbiol* *65*(11), 4949–4956.
- Joint, F. A. O. (2002). WHO working group report on drafting guidelines for the evaluation of probiotics in food. *London, Ontario, Canada*, 30.

- Kalil, M. S., Alshiyab, H. S., & Yusoff, W. M. W. (2008). Effect of nitrogen source and carbon to nitrogen ratio on hydrogen production using *C. acetobutylicum*. *Am J Biochem Biotechnol.*, 4(4), 393–401.
- Kaur, P., Kunze, G., & Satyanarayana, T. (2007). Yeast phytases: present scenario and future perspectives. *Crit Rev Biotechnol*, 27(2), 93–109.
- Khan, A., & Ghosh, K. (2013). Evaluation of Phytase Production by Fish Gut Bacterium, *Bacillus subtilis*, for Processing of *Ipomea aquatica* Leaves as Probable Aquafeed Ingredient. *J Aquat Food Prod T*, 22(5), 508–519.
- Kharel, M. K., Lee, H. C., Sohng, J. K., Liou, K., & others. (2002). Statistical optimization of medium components for the improved production of cystocin by *Streptomyces sp.* GCA0001. *J Ind Eng Chem*, 8(5), 427–431.
- Khoramnia, A., Lai, O. M., Ebrahimpour, A., Tanduba, C. J., Voon, T. S., & Mukhlis, S. (2010). Thermostable lipase from a newly isolated *Staphylococcus xylosus* strain; process optimization and characterization using RSM and ANN. *Electron. J. Biotechnol.*, 13(5), 15–16.
- Konietzny, U., & Greiner, R. (2004). Bacterial phytase: potential application, in vivo function and regulation of its synthesis. *Braz. J. Microbiol.* 35(1-2), 12–18.
- Krawczyk, K., Dzwinel, W., & Yuen, D. A. (2003). Nonlinear development of bacterial colony modeled with cellular automata and agent objects. *Int J Mod Phys C*, 14(10), 1385–1404.
- Krishna, C., & Nokes, S. E. (2001). Predicting vegetative inoculum performance to maximize phytase production in solid-state fermentation using response surface methodology. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol*, 26(3), 161–170.
- Kumar, V., Sinha, A. K., Makkar, H. P. S., De Boeck, G., & Becker, K. (2012). Phytate and phytase in fish nutrition. *J Anim Physiol An N*, 96(3), 335–364.
- Laitila, A., Sweins, H., Vilpola, A., Kotaviita, E., Olkku, J., Home, S., & Haikara, A. (2006). *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *Pediococcus pentosaceus* starter cultures as a tool for microflora management in malting and for enhancement of malt processability. *J Agr Food Chem*, 54(11), 3840–3851.
- Lan, G. Q., Abdullah, N., Jalaludin, S., & Ho, Y. W. (2011). Purification and characterization of a phytase from *Mitsuokella jalaludinii*, a bovine rumen bacterium. *Afr J Biotechnol*, 10(59), 12766.

- Lan, G. Q., Ho, Y. W., & Abdullah, N. (2002). *Mitsuokella jalaludinii* sp. nov., from the rumens of cattle in Malaysia. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.*, 52(3), 713–718.
- Lee, S. Y., & Kim, H. U. (2015). Systems strategies for developing industrial microbial strains. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 33(10), 1061–1072.
- Lee, Y.-K., & Shen, H. (2004). 3 Basic Culturing Techniques. *Handbook of microalgal culture: Biotechnol Appl Psychol*, 40.
- Leenhardt, F., Levrat-Verny, M.-A., Chanliaud, E., & Rémésy, C. (2005). Moderate decrease of pH by sourdough fermentation is sufficient to reduce phytate content of whole wheat flour through endogenous phytase activity. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 53(1), 98–102.
- Lei, V., & Jakobsen, M. (2004). Microbiological characterization and probiotic potential of koko and koko sour water, African spontaneously fermented millet porridge and drink. *J. Appl. Microbiol*, 96(2), 384–397.
- Lei, X. G., Weaver, J. D., Mullaney, E., Ullah, A. H., & Azain, M. J. (2013). Phytase, a new life for an “old” enzyme. *Annu. Rev. Anim. Biosci.*, 1(1), 283–309.
- Lemos, D., & Tacon, A. G. (2016). Use of phytases in fish and shrimp feeds: a review. *Rev Aquacult.*
- Li, P.-J., Xia, J.-L., Shan, Y., Nie, Z.-Y., Su, D.-L., Gao, Q.-R., Zhang, C., et al. (2015). Optimizing production of pectinase from orange peel by *Penicillium oxalicum* PJ02 using response surface methodology. *Waste and Biomass Valorization*, 6(1), 13–22.
- Li, S.-Y., Srivastava, R., Suib, S. L., Li, Y., & Parnas, R. S. (2011). Performance of batch, fed-batch, and continuous A–B–E fermentation with pH-control. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 102(5), 4241–4250.
- Lin, W.-H., Yu, B., Jang, S.-H., & Tsen, H.-Y. (2007). Different probiotic properties for *Lactobacillus fermentum* strains isolated from swine and poultry. *Anaerobe*, 13(3), 107–113.
- Linaje, R., Coloma, M. D., Pérez-Martínez, G., & Zuniga, M. (2004). Characterization of faecal *enterococci* from rabbits for the selection of probiotic strains. *J. Appl. Microbiol*, 96(4), 761–771.

- Liu, B.-L., Rafiq, A., Tzeng, Y.-M., & Rob, A. (1998). The induction and characterization of phytase and beyond. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* 22(5), 415–424.
- Lopez, H. W., Leenhardt, F., Coudray, C., & Remesy, C. (2002). Minerals and phytic acid interactions: is it a real problem for human nutrition? *Int J Food Sci Technol*, 37(7), 727–739.
- Lowry, O. H., Rosebrough, N. J., Farr, A. L., Randall, R. J., & others. (1951). Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. *J biol Chem*, 193(1), 265–275.
- Maenz, D. D., Engele-Schaan, C. M., Newkirk, R. W., & Classen, H. L. (1999). The effect of minerals and mineral chelators on the formation of phytase-resistant and phytase-susceptible forms of phytic acid in solution and in a slurry of canola meal. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 81(3), 177–192.
- Makarova, K., Slesarev, A., Wolf, Y., Sorokin, A., Mirkin, B., Koonin, E., Pavlov, A., et al. (2006). Comparative genomics of the lactic acid bacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 103(42), 15611–15616.
- Maller, A., Vici, A. C., Facchini, F. D. A., da Silva, T. M., Kamimura, E. S., Rodrigues, M. I., Jorge, J. A., et al. (2014). Increase of the phytase production by *Aspergillus japonicus* and its biocatalyst potential on chicken feed treatment. *J. Basic Microbiol*, 54(S1).
- Mamhoud, A., Nionelli, L., Bouzaine, T., Hamdi, M., Gobbetti, M., & Rizzello, C. G. (2016). Selection of lactic acid bacteria isolated from Tunisian cereals and exploitation of the use as starters for sourdough fermentation. *Int J Food Microbiol*, 225, 9–19.
- Marchetti, J., Bougaran, G., Le Dean, L., Megrier, C., Lukomska, E., Kaas, R., Olivo, E., et al. (2012). Optimizing conditions for the continuous culture of *Isochrysis affinis galbana* relevant to commercial hatcheries. *Aquacult.*, 326, 106–115.
- Marklinder, I. M., Larsson, M., Fredlund, K., & Sandberg, A.-S. (1995). Degradation of phytate by using varied sources of phytases in an oat-based nutrient solution fermented by *Lactobacillus plantarum* strain 299 V. *Food Microbiol.*, 12, 487–495.
- Martin, J. A., Murphy, R. A., & Power, R. F. (2003). Cloning and expression of fungal phytases in genetically modified strains of *Aspergillus awamori*. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol*, 30(9), 568–576.

- McNeil, B., & Harvey, L. M. (2008). *Practical fermentation technology*. Wiley Online Library.
- Miller, G. L., Blum, R., Glennon, W. E., & Burton, A. L. (1960). Measurement of carboxymethylcellulase activity. *Anal Biochem*, 1(2), 127–132.
- Mittal, A., Singh, G., Goyal, V., Yadav, A., & Aggarwal, N. K. (2012). Production Of Phytase By Acido-Thermophilic Strain Of *Klebsiella Sp.* Db-3fj711774. 1 Using Orange Peel Flour Under Submerged Fermentation. *Innov Rom Food Biotechnol.*, (10).
- Monod, J. (1950). Continuous culture technique: theory and applications.
- Mozzi, F., Vignolo, G. M., & others. (2010). *Biotechnology of lactic acid bacteria: novel applications*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Muthulakshmi, C., Gomathi, D., Kumar, D. G., Ravikumar, G., Kalaiselvi, M., & Uma, C. (2011). Production, purification and characterization of protease by *Aspergillus flavus* under solid state fermentation. *Biological*, 3137.
- Myers, R. H., Montgomery, D. C., & Anderson-Cook, C. M. (2016). *Response surface methodology: process and product optimization using designed experiments*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Nascimento, J. C. S., Souza, F., Porto, T. S., Mussatto, S. I., Teixeira, J. A., & Porto, A. L. (2013). Effect of pH and temperature on phytase and biomass production by submerged fermentation with *Aspergillus niger var. phoenicis* URM 4924.
- Nga, B. H. (2005). Genome analysis of lactic acid bacteria in food fermentations and biotechnological applications. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.*, 8(3), 307–312.
- Novick, A., & Szilard, L. (1950). Description of the chemostat. *Science*, 112(2920), 715–716.
- Nuobariene, L., Cizeikiene, D., Gradzeviciute, E., Hansen, A. S., Rasmussen, S. K., Juodeikiene, G., & Vogensen, F. K. (2015). Phytase-active lactic acid bacteria from sourdoughs: Isolation and identification. *LWT- Food Sci. Technol.*, 63(1), 766–772.
- Oh, N., & In, M. (2009). Phytate degradation by *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* KC51 cultivation in soymilk. *Afr J Biotechnol*, 8(13).

- Olajuyigbe, F. M. (2016). Optimization of Extracellular Phytase Production from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* PFB-02 Grown on Selected Agricultural Wastes. *Appl. Trop. Agricult.*, 5(2), 92–97.
- Othman, N. Z., Elsayed, E. A., Malek, R. A., Ramli, S., Masri, H. J., Sarmidi, M. R., Aziz, R., et al. (2014). Aeration Rate Effect on the Growth Kinetics, Phytase Production and Plasmid Stability of Recombinant *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3). *J Pure Appl Microbiol*, 8(4).
- Palacios, M. C., Haros, M., Sanz, Y., & Rosell, C. M. (2008). Selection of lactic acid bacteria with high phytate degrading activity for application in whole wheat breadmaking. *LWT- Food Sci Technol*, 41(1), 82–92.
- Pallauf, J., & Rimbach, G. (1997). Nutritional significance of phytic acid and phytase. *Arch Anim Nutr*, 50(4), 301–319.
- Papagianni, M. (2004). Fungal morphology and metabolite production in submerged mycelial processes. *Biotechnol. Adv.*, 22(3), 189–259.
- Papagianni, M. (2012). Metabolic engineering of lactic acid bacteria for the production of industrially important compounds. *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.*, 3(4), 1–8.
- Papagianni, M., & Anastasiadou, S. (2009). Pediocins: The bacteriocins of *Pediococci*. Sources, production, properties and applications. *Microb Cell Fact.*, 8(1), 3.
- Pasteris, S. E., & de Saad, A. M. S. (2008). Transport of glycerol by *Pediococcus pentosaceus* isolated from wine. *Food Microbiol.*, 25(4), 545–549.
- Patel, S., Kasoju, N., Bora, U., & Goyal, A. (2010). Structural analysis and biomedical applications of dextran produced by a new isolate *Pediococcus pentosaceus* screened from biodiversity hot spot Assam. *Bioresour Technol*, 101(17), 6852–6855.
- Powar, V. K., & Jagannathan, V. (1982). Purification and properties of phytate-specific phosphatase from *Bacillus subtilis*. *J. Bacteriol*, 151(3), 1102–1108.
- Quan, C., Zhang, L., Wang, Y., & Ohta, Y. (2001). Production of phytase in a low phosphate medium by a novel yeast *Candida krusei*. *J Biosci Bioeng*, 92(2), 154–160.

- Raghavendra, P., Ushakumari, S., & Halami, P. (2011). Phytate-degrading *Pediococcus pentosaceus* CFR R123 for application in functional foods. *Benef Microbes.*, 2(1), 57–61.
- Raghavendra, Ponnala, & Halami, P. M. (2009). Screening, selection and characterization of phytic acid degrading lactic acid bacteria from chicken intestine. *Int J Food Microbiol*, 133(1), 129–134.
- Raissi, S. (2009). Developing new processes and optimizing performance using response surface methodology. *World Acad Sci Eng Technol*, 49, 1039–1042.
- Ravinder, K., Prabhakar, T., & Bhavanidevi, R. (2012). Optimization of process parameters for the production of cyclodextrin glycosyltransferase by newly isolated *Bacillus sp.* Tpr71h by conventional method. *J. of Adv. Biotec. and Res.*, 3(2), 578–584.
- Roleda, M. Y., Slocombe, S. P., Leakey, R. J., Day, J. G., Bell, E. M., & Stanley, M. S. (2013). Effects of temperature and nutrient regimes on biomass and lipid production by six oleaginous microalgae in batch culture employing a two-phase cultivation strategy. *Bioresour Technol*, 129, 439–449.
- Roy, T., Dan, S. K., Banerjee, G., Nandi, A., Ghosh, P., & Ray, A. K. (2016). Comparative Efficacy Of Phytase From Fish Gut Bacteria And A Commercially Available Phytase In Improving The Nutritive Value Of Sesame Oilseed Meal In Formulated Diets For Fingerlings Of Rohu, Labeo Rohita (*Actinopterygii: Cypriniformes: Cyprinidae*). *Acta Ichtyol. Pisc*, 46(1), 9.
- Saad, N., Delattre, C., Urdaci, M., Schmitter, J.-M., & Bressollier, P. (2013). An overview of the last advances in probiotic and prebiotic field. *LWT- Food Sci Technol*, 50(1), 1–16.
- SAÇILIK, S. C., Osmanagaoglu, Ö., Sayar, A. H., & others. (2001). Availability of use of total extracellular proteins in SDS-PAGE for typing *Staphylococcus aureus* and coagulase-negative *Staphylococci*. *Turk J Biol*, 25, 145–151.
- Saidi, M. S. B. M. (2013). Purification and Characterization of Kojic Acid Produced from Pineapple Waste by *Aspergillus Flavus Link 44-1* Via Solid Substrate Fermentation (SSF). Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.
- Sanbuga, E., Nadaroglu, H., Dikbas, N., Senol, M., & Cetin, B. (2015). Purification, characterization of phytase enzyme from *Lactobacillus plantarum* bacteria and determination of its kinetic properties. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 13(23).

- Santos, E. M., Jaime, I., Rovira, J., Lyhs, U., Korkeala, H., & Björkroth, J. (2005). Characterization and identification of lactic acid bacteria in “*morcilla de Burgos*.” *Int J Food Microbiol*, 97(3), 285–296.
- Santos, T. (2011, January). Optimisation of phytase production by *Aspergillus niger* using solid state fermentation (Masters). National University of Ireland Maynooth.
- Saraniya, A., & Jeevaratnam, K. (2015). In vitro probiotic evaluation of phytase producing *Lactobacillus sp.* isolated from Uttapam batter and their application in soy milk fermentation. *J. Of Food Sci. And Tech.*, 52(9), 5631–5640.
- Sareen Sarah, J. (2015). Bioprocess Optimization And Characterization Of Phytase From An Environmental Isolate *Bacillus Mccb* 242.
- Sasirekha, B., Bedashree, T., & Champa, K. L. (2012a). Optimization and partial purification of extracellular phytase from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa p6*. *Eur J Exp Biol*, 2(1), 95–104.
- Sasirekha, B., Bedashree, T., & Champa, K. L. (2012b). Optimization and partial purification of extracellular phytase from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa p6*. *Eur J Exp Biol*, 2(1), 95–104.
- Sato, V. S., Jorge, J. A., Oliveira, W. P., Souza, C. R. F., & Guimarães, L. H. S. (2014). Phytase production by *Rhizopus microsporus var. microsporus* biofilm: characterization of enzymatic activity after spray drying in presence of carbohydrates and nonconventional adjuvants. *J Microbiol Biotechnol.*, 24, 177–187.
- Savadogo, A., Ouattara, A. C., Bassole, H. I., & Traore, S. A. (2006). Bacteriocins and lactic acid bacteria-a minireview. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 5(9).
- Schlemmer, U., Frølich, W., Prieto, R. M., & Grases, F. (2009). Phytate in foods and significance for humans: food sources, intake, processing, bioavailability, protective role and analysis. *Mol Nutr Food Res*, 53(S2), S330–S375.
- Selle, P. H., & Ravindran, V. (2007). Microbial phytase in poultry nutrition. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol*, 135(1), 1–41.
- Semjonovs, P., & Zikmanis, P. (2008). Evaluation of novel lactose-positive and exopolysaccharide-producing strain of *Pediococcus pentosaceus* for fermented foods. *Eur Food Res Technol*, 227(3), 851–856.

- Shamna, K. S., Rajamanikandan, K. C. P., Kumar, D. J., Balakumaran, M. D., & Kalaichelvan, P. T. (2012). Extracellular production of Phytases by a Native *Bacillus subtilis* Strain. *Annals Biol Res*, 3(2).
- Shieh, T. R., & Ware, J. H. (1968). Survey of microorganisms for the production of extracellular phytase. *Appl Microbiol*, 16(9), 1348–1351.
- Shimizu, M. (1992). Purification and characterization of phytase from *Bacillus subtilis* (Natto) N-77. *Biosci. Biotech. Biochem*, 56(8), 1266–1269.
- Shivanna, G. B., & Venkateswaran, G. (2014). Phytase production by *Aspergillus niger* CFR 335 and *Aspergillus ficuum* SGA 01 through submerged and solid-state fermentation. *Scientific World J.*, 2014.
- Simpson, W. J., & Taguchi, H. (1995). The genus *Pediococcus*, with notes on the genera *Tetragenococcus* and *Aerococcus*. *The genera of lactic acid bacteria* (pp. 125–172). Springer.
- Singh, B., Kunze, G., Satyanarayana, T., & others. (2011). Developments in biochemical aspects and biotechnological applications of microbial phytases. *Biotechnol Mol Biol Rev*, 6(3), 69–87.
- Singh, B., & Satyanarayana, T. (2012). Production of phytate-hydrolyzing enzymes by thermophilic moulds. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 11(59), 12314–12324.
- Singh, N. K., Joshi, D. K., & Gupta, R. K. (2013). Isolation of phytase producing bacteria and optimization of phytase production parameters. *Jundishapur J. Microbiol*, 6(5), e6419.
- Solomons, G. L., & Litchfield, J. H. (1983). Single cell protein. *Crit Rev Biotechnol*, 1(1), 21–58.
- Soni, S. K., & Khire, J. M. (2007). Production and partial characterization of two types of phytase from *Aspergillus niger* NCIM 563 under submerged fermentation conditions. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol*, 23(11), 1585–1593.
- Sreeramulu, G., Srinivasa, D. S., Nand, K., & Joseph, R. (1996). *Lactobacillus amylovorus* as a phytase producer in submerged culture. *Lett Appl Microbiol*, 23(6), 385–388.

- Stanbury, P. F., Whitaker, A., & Hall, S. J. (2013). *Principles of fermentation technology*. Elsevier.
- Suhairin, A., Manap, A., Yazid, M., Hussin, M., Shobirin, A., & Mustafa, S. (2010). Phytase: application in food industry. *Int. Food Res. J.*, *17*, 13–21.
- Surampalli, R. Y., Tyagi, R. D., & others. (2004). Advances in water and wastewater treatment. *Amer. Soc. Civ. Eng.*
- Tamayo-Ramos, J. A., Sanz-Penella, J. M., Yebra, M. J., Monedero, V., & Haros, M. (2012). Novel phytases from *Bifidobacterium pseudocatenulatum* ATCC 27919 and *Bifidobacterium longum subsp. infantis* ATCC 15697. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, *78*(14), 5013–5015.
- Todar, K. (2006). *Todar's online textbook of bacteriology*. University of Wisconsin-Madison Department of Bacteriology.
- Todorov, S. D., Nyati, H., Meincken, M., & Dicks, L. M. T. (2007). Partial characterization of bacteriocin AMA-K, produced by *Lactobacillus plantarum* AMA-K isolated from naturally fermented milk from Zimbabwe. *Food control*, *18*(6), 656–664.
- Trinci, A. P. (1992). Myco-protein: A twenty-year overnight success story. *Mycol. Res.*, *96*(1), 1–13.
- Tseng, Y.-H., Fang, T. J., & Tseng, S.-M. (2000). Isolation and characterization of a novel phytase from *Penicillium simplicissimum*. *Folia Microbiol.*, *45*(2), 121–127.
- Tungala, A., Narayanan, K. A., & Muthuraman, M. S. (2013). Isolation of phytase producing bacteria from poultry faeces and optimization of culture conditions for enhanced phytase production. *Int. J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci*, *5*(4), 264–269.
- Turhan, O., Isci, A., Mert, B., Sakiyan, O., & Donmez, S. (2015). Optimization of ethanol production from microfluidized wheat straw by response surface methodology. *Prep Biochem Biotechnol*, *45*(8), 785–795.
- Ullah, A. H., & Phillippy, B. Q. (1994). Substrate selectivity in *Aspergillus ficuum* phytase and acid phosphatases using myo-inositol phosphates. *J. Agric. Food Chem*, *42*(2), 423–425.

- Vassilev, N., Vassileva, M., Bravo, V., Fernández-Serrano, M., & Nikolaeva, I. (2007). Simultaneous phytase production and rock phosphate solubilization by *Aspergillus niger* grown on dry olive wastes. *Ind Crops Prod*, 26(3), 332–336.
- Vats, P., & Banerjee, U. C. (2004). Production studies and catalytic properties of phytases (myo-inositolhexakisphosphate phosphohydrolases): an overview. *Enzyme Microb Technol*, 35(1), 3–14.
- Vohra, A., & Satyanarayana, T. (2001). Phytase production by the yeast, *Pichia anomala*. *Biotechnol. Lett.*, 23(7), 551–554.
- Vohra, A., & Satyanarayana, T. (2002). Statistical optimization of the medium components by response surface methodology to enhance phytase production by *Pichia anomala*. *Process Biochem*, 37(9), 999–1004.
- Vohra, A., & Satyanarayana, T. (2003). Phytases: microbial sources, production, purification, and potential biotechnological applications. *Crit Rev Biotechnol*, 23(1), 29–60.
- Vojtíšková, P., Kráčmar, S., Hoza, I., & others. (2014). Content of phytic acid in selected sorts of legumes. *Acta Univ. Agric. et Silv. Mendel. Brun*, 58(1), 217–222.
- Volfova, O., Dvořáková, J., Hanzlikova, A., & Jandera, A. (1994). Phytase from *Aspergillus niger*. *Folia Microbiol.*, 39(6), 481–484.
- Waites, M. J., Morgan, N. L., Rockey, J. S., & Higton, G. (2009). *Industrial microbiology: an introduction*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Wang, T., Hao, Y., Li, W., & Liu, Y. (2015). Enzymatic Properties of Phytase from *Escherichia coli* DH5 α .
- Wodzinski, R. J., & Ullah, A. H. (1995). Phytase. *Adv. Appl. Microbiol.*, 42, 263–302.
- Wu, C.-W., Yin, L.-J., & Jiang, S.-T. (2004). Purification and characterization of bacteriocin from *Pediococcus pentosaceus* ACCEL. *J. Agric. Food Chem*, 52(5), 1146–1151.
- Yanke, L. J., Bae, H. D., Selinger, L. B., & Cheng, K. J. (1998). Phytase activity of anaerobic ruminal bacteria. *Microbiol.*, 144(6), 1565–1573.

Zamudio, M., González, A., & Medina, J. A. (2001). *Lactobacillus plantarum* phytase activity is due to non-specific acid phosphatase. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.*, 32(3), 181–184.

Zheng, Z., Liu, D., & Hu, Z. (1997). Comparison of cell growth and alkaloid production of *Catharanthus roseus* cells cultured in shake flask and in bioreactor. *Acta Bot. Sin.*, 40(1), 51–55.

