



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**LABOUR SKILL, TRADE STRUCTURE  
AND COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE OF MALAYSIA'S  
MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES, 1978-1996**

**MOHAMMED SHARIF BASHIR MOHAMMED**

**FEP 2001 4**

**LABOUR SKILL, TRADE STRUCTURE AND COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE  
OF MALAYSIA'S MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES, 1978-1996**

**By**

**MOHAMMED SHARIF BASHIR MOHAMMED**

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirement for  
the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty of  
Economics and Management  
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

**March 2001**



DEDICATED TO MY BELOVED PARENTS,  
MY WIFE, MY KIDS AWFA, ARWA AND ASLAM  
AND MY BROTHER ASLAM BASHIR



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**LABOUR SKILL, TRADE STRUCTURE AND COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE  
OF MALAYSIA'S MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES, 1978-1996**

By

**MOHAMMED SHARIF BASHIR MOHAMMED**

**March 2001**

**Chairman : Associate Professor Dr. Zakariah Abd. Rashid**

**Faculty : Economics and Management**

As a small open economy, Malaysia's development strategy is best formulated with the view of trade-production paradigm. Its development targets should be driven by the condition of demand. A supply-driven approach is not only technically non-feasible, but also will frustrate development efforts and bring about destabilising effects in the long term. In the context of the recent East Asian crisis, failure to recognise the important force of the external sector has gradually eroded economic fundamentals of the economies.

Although a capital-intensive technique of production leads to higher labour productivity, the rate of increase in the physical capital accumulation has to match with that of human capital. In order not to frustrate the external account, skill requirement could be estimated by equalising the skill content for producing trade flows. In this way



human capital requirements are integrated into the external account and thus ensure long-term sustainability.

An input-output model is used to examine the country's resource allocation in production and trade. Also, an approach of H-O extension has been used to investigate the skill intensity of Malaysian trade by analysing skill content of exports and imports. The results found that Malaysia's comparative advantage lies in low skill while its discomparative advantage relies on the highly skilled.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan mendapatkan Ijazah Doktor Falsafah.

**KEMAHIRAN PEKERJA, STRUKTUR PERDAGANGAN DAN KELEBIHAN PERBANDINGAN BAGI INDUSTRI PEMBUATAN MALAYSIA, 1978-1996**

**Oleh**

**MOHAMMED SHARIF BASHIR MOHAMMED**

**Mac 2001**

**Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Dr. Zakariah Abd. Rashid**

**Fackulti: Fakulti Ekonomi dan Pengurusan**

Sebagai sebuah negara kecil yang mengamalkan dasar ekonomi terbuka, strategi pembangunan yang dijalankan oleh Malaysia merupakan cara yang terbaik untuk merumuskan mengenai paradigma perdagangan pengeluaran. Matlamat strategi pembangunan ini patut dilihat ke atas keadaan permintaan. Pendekatan ke atas penawaran sahaja tidak sesuai dari segi teknikal tetapi juga turut menghampakan usaha pembangunan dan memberi kesan ketidakstabilan dalam jangka masa panjang. Dalam konteks terbaru krisis di Asia Timur, adalah disebabkan oleh kegagalan untuk mengenal pasti kepentingan ke atas sektor luaran dan telah memusnahkan secara beransur-ansur asas ekonomi kepada ekonomis.



Sungguhpun teknik modal intensiti pengeluaran membawa kepada produktiviti buruh yang tinggi, kadar peningkatan dalam pengumpulan modal fizikal hendaklah bersesuaian dengan modal manusia (kemahiran). Ini tidak akan menghampakan akaun luaran, yang mana keperluan kemahiran boleh dianggarkan dengan menyamakan konteks kemahiran untuk pengaliran pengeluaran perdagangan. Dengan cara ini, modal manusia (kemahiran) hendaklah diambil kira ke dalam akaun luaran dan oleh itu, ia boleh bertahan untuk jangka masa panjang.

Kaedah input-output digunakan untuk menyelidik, pengagihan sumber negara dalam pengeluaran dan perdagangan. Ini juga melibatkan penambahan pendekatan H-O untuk menyelidik intensiti kemahiran ke atas perdagangan eksport dan import di Malaysia. Keputusan telah mendapati, faedah perbandingan (comparative advantage) banyak kepada kemahiran yang rendah manakala ketidak faedahan perbandingan (discomparative advantage) banyak kepada kemahiran yang tinggi.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the most Gracious and most Merciful.

I owe an intellectual debt to Associate Professor Dr. Zakariah Abdul Rashid who first aroused my interest in Development Economics. Deep thanks and appreciation to him for his personal support, valuable guidance and encouragement given to make this study possible.

My sincere thanks are extended to my supervisory committee, Professor Abdul Aziz Abdul Rahman and Professor. Ahmad Zubaidi Baharumshah for their effective review of this study, valuable guidance and suggestions.

Special thanks to Professor Maisom Abdullah and Dr. Suhaila Abdul Jalil for useful comments and suggestions.

I am also very grateful to Professor Nik Mustapha Raja Abdullah, Dean of the Faculty of Economics and Management for his concern and continuous encouragement and suggestions.

I would like to express deep appreciation to Professor Allan Web for his valuable comments on an earlier proposal of this study.





I would also like to express my thanks to Professor Robert Stern, University of Michigan, Professor Keith E. Maskus, University of Colorado, USA, Professor Diana Whistler, University of British Columbia, Canada, and Dr. Eivind Hoffmann, ILO, Geneva for their invaluable comments and suggestions.

My cordial appreciation and gratitude goes to Dr. Endre Stiansen, The Nordic Africa Institute, Sweden, for providing me some publications and encouragement throughout the preparation of this study.

I am also indebted to Madam Robby for computer assistance. I tender special thanks to sister Louise Low for her kindness and strong support.

During the course of this research, I have benefited from many friends and colleagues. I wish to express my thanks to Dr. Bishwa, Dr. Indah, Ahmad Zainuddin Abdullah, Ahmad Abdullah Ibrahim, Ahmad Elyas, Mohammed Osman Bushara, Ahlam A. Hadi, Salah Elawad, Mahmoud Onsa, Marial Awou Kol, Dr. Jehann, Tawfeeg, Bilal, Dr. Eid, Maan and Adel Marghani for their help and encouragement in pursuing this study.

I am grateful to my father Prince Elshiek Bashir and my brother Aslam Bashir for prayers, moral and financial support.



I appreciate the assistance given to me by all the staff of the Department of Economics, especially Mr. Zolhanafiah for his cooperation.

I am grateful to my friends Professor Malik Badri, Dr. Abu Iman, Ustaz Fariad for their kindness, assistance and moral support.

I would like to thank my uncle Professor Ahmad Elyas and his family for their moral support and encouragement.

I would like to express my most sincere and warmest gratitude to my beloved sisters Reem and Nahala, brother Rahama, brother Ahmad Hassan for their prayers, generosity and assistance, and inputs throughout my study in Malaysia.

Special thanks go to the closed friend Mr. Hassan Bashir for his help and strong support. I would also like to convey my special thanks to Sheik Abusin, Sheik Nadir Elnory, and Sheik Yusif Alhigi, Kuwait, for their help and support.

Finally, I would like to thank my wife, Um Awfa, for the unfailing help that I received from her during this long and arduous work. She bore the burden of my absence from home and family while I attempted to complete a seemingly endless task. She did so with patience and good grace, and she was my collaborator in more ways than I could recount.



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

---

**MOHD. GHAZALI MOHAYIDIN, Ph.D.**  
Professor  
Deputy Dean of Graduate School  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>DEDICATION</b> .....	<b>ii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b> .....	<b>vii</b>
<b>APPROVAL</b> .....	<b>x</b>
<b>DECLARATION</b> .....	<b>xii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>xvii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	<b>xix</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b> .....	<b>xx</b>
 <b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
Asian NICs Economic Achievements.....	1
Manufacturing Sector and Trade in Malaysia.....	12
Problem Statement.....	20
Objectives of the Study.....	25
Hypotheses of the Study.....	26
Scope and Significance of the Study.....	26
Organization of the Study.....	27
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....	<b>29</b>
International Trade Theories.....	29
Survey of Empirical Evidences.....	43
<b>3 METHODOLOGY</b> .....	<b>54</b>
Input-output Technique.....	55
Measurement of Skill Intensity.....	58
Human Capital Model of Trade.....	60
Estimation of Labour Skill Coefficients by Keesing.....	61
Estimation of Factor Content.....	64
Estimation of Skill Intensity by Lee and Schluter.....	66
Malaysia's Trade Structure.....	68
Data Collection and Sources.....	69
<b>4 PRODUCTON AND EXPORTS OF MANUFACTURES</b> .....	<b>74</b>
Relative Growth of Manufacturing Industry.....	74
Growth and Structural Change of Value-added.....	77
Exports Performance.....	81
Terms of Trade.....	91



<b>5</b>	<b>COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE OF MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES</b> .....	94
	Relationship between Wages and Skills.....	95
	Skill Coefficients and Factor Intensity.....	99
	Direct and Indirect Capital and Labour Requirements.....	101
	Comparative Advantage Indices.....	103
<b>6</b>	<b>SKILL CONTENT IN MANUFACTURING TRADE</b> .....	112
	Manufacturing Sector Performance and Status.....	113
	Skill Position in Manufacturing .....	114
	External Trade Performance.....	117
	Skill Indices: Production and Trade.....	119
	Traded Goods and Skill Structure.....	120
<b>7</b>	<b>IMPACTS OF TRADE ON SKILLED AND UNSKILLED LABOUR DEMAND</b> .....	129
	Wage Trends in Malaysia's Economy.....	129
	Estimation of Employment and Skill Intensity.....	131
	Total Output Requirements of Domestic Use and Trade.....	132
	Total Employment Requirements of Domestic Use and Trade.....	133
	Skilled and Unskilled Labour Ratios.....	140
<b>8</b>	<b>DETERMINANTS OF MANUFACTURES TRADE AND SKILL INPUTS</b> .....	144
	Influence of Skill Inputs.....	145
	Malaysia's Net Exports of Manufactures.....	145
	Regression Analysis.....	146
	Heteroskedasticity Problem.....	148
	Probit Analysis.....	151
<b>9</b>	<b>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS</b> .....	155
	Summary of Findings.....	155
	Conclusions.....	159
	Policy recommendations.....	161
	Limitations of the Study.....	164
	<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b> .....	167
	<b>APPENDICES</b> .....	178
<b>A</b>	<b>A-1 Skill Index of Manufacturing Industries, 1978,1987 and 1996</b> .....	179



A-2	Skill Requirement to produce One Million Ringgit of Output, 1978, 1987 and 1996.....	180
A-3	Skill Intensity and Intermediate Input for 1978, at 1978 Basic Values (Standardised values).....	181
A-4	Skill Intensity and Exports for 1978, at 1978 Basic Values (Standardised values).....	182
A-5	Skill Intensity and Imports for 1978, at 1978 Basic Values (Standardised values).....	183
A-6	Skill Intensity and Value-added for 1978, at 1978 Basic Values (Standardised values).....	184
A-7	Skill Intensity and Intermediate Input for 1987, at 1987 Basic Values (Standardised values).....	185
A-8	Skill Intensity and Exports for 1987, at 1987 Basic Values (Standardised values).....	186
A-9	Skill Intensity and Imports for 1987, at 1987 Basic Values (Standardised values).....	187
A-10	Skill Intensity and Value-added for 1987, at 1987 Basic Values (Standardised values).....	188
A-11	Skill Intensity and Intermediate Input for 1996, at 1987 Basic Values (Standardised values).....	189
A-12	Skill Intensity and Exports for 1996, at 1987 Basic Values (Standardised values).....	190
A-13	Skill Intensity and Imports for 1996, at 1987 Basic Values (Standardised values).....	191
A-14	Skill Intensity and Value-added for 1996, at 1987 Basic Values (Standardised values).....	192
<b>B</b>		
B-1	Skill Index of Manufacturing Industries, 1978, 1987 and 1996.....	193
B-2	Values of Exports, Imports and Domestic Demand, 1978-1987.....	194
B-3	Producer Price Index for Malaysia Economy by SITC...	195
B-4	Aggregation of Skill Classes in Malaysia.....	197
B-5	Output Required to Support Trade Flows, 1978, 1987 and 1996.....	198
B-6	Employment by Industry, by Category of Workers, 1978.....	199
B-7	Employment by Industry, by Category of Workers, 1987.....	200
B-8	Employment by Industry, by Category of Workers, 1996.....	201
B-9	Skill Coefficients and Factor Intensities for Manufacturing Industries, 1978, 1987 and 1996.....	202



B-10	Requirements to produce One Unit Per Skill for Output, 1978.....	203
B-11	Requirements to produce One Unit Per Skill for Output, 1987.....	204
B-12	Requirements to produce One Unit Per Skill for Output, 1996.....	205
<b>C</b>	Input-Output Classification and SITC Correspondence.....	206
<b>D</b>	Input-Output Classification and MIC Correspondence.....	208
<b>BIOGRAPHY</b>	.....	211



## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1.1 Unemployment Rates and Real GDP Growth, 1960-1996 (%).....	2
1.2 External Balance (%GNP), 1970-1997.....	3
1.3 Average Annual Rate of Inflation, 1965-1996.....	3
1.4 Some Macroeconomic Indicators in Asian Economies, 1990-1996.....	5
1.5 Growth of Merchandise and Exports Share of Manufactures, 1965-1996 (%).....	7
1.6 Change in Average Skill-intensity and Dispersion in 1970s.....	17
1.7 Rank Correlation of Increase in Skill-intensity with other Industries Characteristics, 1970s-80s.....	18
1.8 Factor Intensity Composition of Manufacturing Exports, 1980 (%).....	19
4.1 Growth Elasticity of Manufacturing Industries, 1970-1993.....	76
4.2 Growth and Structural Change of Manufacturing Value-added by Industry Groups, 1972-1992 (%).....	79
4.3 Malaysia's Foreign Trade Ratios, 1985-1996.....	82
4.4 Selected Ratios of Exports to GDP, 1980-1996.....	83
4.5 Manufactured Exports of Selected ASEAN Countries, 1980-1996.....	84
4.6 Coefficients of Concentration of Primary Exports, 1987-1996.....	89
4.7 Estimated Semi-Logarithmic Time Trends of Exports and Instability Indices Over Selected Periods, 1972-1996.....	90
4.8 Change in Terms of Trade, 1983-1995 (%).....	92
5.1 Total Value-added, Wages and Non-wages Value-added Per Employee, 1994.....	98
5.2 Spearman Rank Correlation Coefficients between Skill Coefficients and Trade Composition, 1994.....	100
5.3 Leontief Index, Capital and Labour Requirements, 1994.....	103
5.4 Export and Import Ratios, 1994.....	106
5.5 Capital and Labour Requirements, 1994.....	107
6.1 Employment by Category of Workers, 1995-98.....	115
6.2 Labour Skill in Selected Countries, 1975-85.....	116
6.3 Coefficients of Concentration for Exports, 1990-97.....	119
6.4 Export-import Skill Ratio for Selected Categories of Occupation, 1978- 1996.....	122
6.5 Number of Workers of Various Categories of Occupation Required to Produce Trade Flows, 1978, 1987, 1996 (including petroleum).....	122
6.6 Number of Workers of Various Categories of Occupation Required to Produce Trade Flows, 1978, 1987, 1996 (excluding petroleum).....	123
7.1 Output Impacts of Trade and Domestic Demand, 1978.....	134
7.2 Output Impacts of Trade and Domestic Demand, 1983.....	135
7.3 Output Impacts of Trade and Domestic Demand, 1987.....	136
7.4 Employment Impacts of Trade and Domestic Demand, 1978.....	137
7.5 Employment Impacts of Trade and Domestic Demand, 1983.....	138
7.6 Employment Impacts of Trade and Domestic Demand, 1987.....	139





7.7	Ratio of Skilled as a Share of Unskilled Workers Required for Trade Flows, 1978.....	141
7.8	Ratio of Skilled as a Share of Unskilled Workers Required for Trade Flows, 1994.....	142
7.9	Ratio of Skilled as a Share of Unskilled Workers Required for Trade Flows, 1996.....	143
8.1	Estimates of Regression Equations at the 5-digit SITC Level, 1994, Unscaled.....	147
8.2	Scaled Regressions at the 5-digit Level, 1994.....	150
8.3	Probit Analysis of Net Exports of Manufacturing Industries, 1994.....	152
8.4	Probit Analysis of Net Exports of Manufacturing Industries (Labour-intensive form), 1994.....	153



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Autarky Equilibrium.....	34
2.2	Post Trade Equilibrium in the H-O Model.....	35
5.1	Factor Content in Exports, 1994.....	110
5.2	Factor Content in Imports, 1995.....	111



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASEAN	Association of South East Asia Nations
CPI	Consumer Price Index
DOS	Department of Statistics
EPU	Economic Planning Unit
GATT	General Agreement for Tariffs and Trade
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GNP	Gross National Product
H-O	Heckscher-Ohlin Theory
ICOR	Incremental Capital-Output Ratio
ILO	International Labour Organisation
IMF	International Monetary Fund
IMP	Industrial Master Plan
I-O	Input-Output
ISCO	International Standard Classification of Occupation
ISIC	International Standard Industrial Classification
LDCs	Less Developed Countries
LP	Loentief Paradox
MIC	Malaysian Industrial Classification
MIDA	Malaysian Industry Development Authority
MIER	Malaysian Institute of Economic Research
MITI	Ministry of International Trade and Industry
MNCs	Multinational Corporations
MOC	Malaysian Occupational Classification
n.e.c	not elsewhere classified
NEP	New Economic Policy
NICS	Newly Industrialising Countries
NPC	National Productivity Corporation
RM	Ringgit Malaysia
PPI	Producer Price Index
SITC	Standard International Trade Classification
TFP	Total Factor Productivity
TFPG	Total Factor Productivity Growth



# **CHAPTER I**

## **INTRODUCTION**

This study tries to understand the process of economic development in Malaysia, particularly focussing on the issues of labour skill, comparative advantage and trade structure in the country's manufacturing industry. In this introductory chapter, we shall present a review of the theoretical background of the study, including an overview of some of the important factors contributing to the Asian Newly Industrialised Countries (NICs) economic success and general economic performance of the Malaysian manufacturing industry. The chapter will also present problem statement, objectives and significance of the study.

### **Asian NICs Economic Achievements**

During the last three decades, the East Asian economies thrived with impressive growth rates. In order to identify the factors behind this economic achievement, we focus particularly on the common factors that have contributed to the dynamic growth process in this region. All Asian NICs are found to be equipped with sound and disciplined macroeconomic policies, besides adopting an aggressive stance towards export development. In addition, these economies are favoured by strong investment performance, particularly in the investment of human capital.

## Fiscal and Monetary Policies

Some conventional indicators of macroeconomic performance are economic growth rate, unemployment rate, and current account balance and price stability. Gauging from these aggregate indicators, all East Asian economies have been favourably impressive. As shown in Table (1.1), the GDP in Hong Kong, Korea, Singapore, and Taiwan grew in the range of 7% to 10% during the past three decades.

Table 1.1: Unemployment Rates and Real GDP Growth, 1960-1996 (%)

Country	Unemployment rate				Real GDP growth	
	1960-70	1970-80	1980-89	1996	1970	1996
H. Kong	4.7	4.2	2.9	2.8	9.8	4.5
Malaysia	5.6	5.3	5.6	2.5	6.3	8.6
S. Kores	5.4	9.5	3.6	2.0	10.3	7.1
Singapore	0.6	5.4	3.6	3.0	13.7	6.9
Taiwan	7.7	6.4	2.2	2.6	4.9	5.7

Source: Asian Development Bank (1996) and Ministry of Finance (1999)

Table (1.2) shows the external balance of the East Asian NICs. Though sharing similar aggregate growth performance, each Asian NIC differed in its efforts to achieve a stable economic growth. The growth path of the Singaporean and Taiwanese economy had not been remarkably smooth. Nevertheless, Singapore succeeded in stabilising its price level. Meanwhile, similar to Taiwan, efforts to stabilise price level had been

relatively less effective in Hong Kong. It recorded a worse inflation than Korea though this was successfully brought down since 1989.

Table 1.2: External Balance (% GNP), 1970-96

Country	Current account balance				Resource balance		
	1970-75	1979-82	1990	1996	1970-80	1989	1996
H. Kong	-	-	5.0	2.2	1.0	6.4	-2.4
S. Korea	-7.4	-1.4	1.3	-4.9	-6.6	-0.1	1.5
Singapore	-1.8	-9.9	4.5	15.3	-11.1	3.3	13
Taiwan	-0.7	1.2	7.6	3.7	1.6	7.8	-4.0

Source: Asian Development Bank (1990) Annual Report

Table 1.3: Average Annual Rate of Inflation, 1965-96

Country	1965-80	1980-89	1996
Indonesia	35.5	8.3	7.9
Malaysia	4.9	1.5	3.5
S. Korea	18.4	5.0	5.0
Singapore	5.1	1.5	1.4
Thailand	6.2	3.2	5.9

Source: World Bank (1999), Development Report, Washington D.C.

Throughout the past three decades, sound fiscal policy had been the guiding force behind the economic growth in East Asia. The ability of Policy makers to choose

fiscal measures that were non-inflationary allowed the countries to increase spending in investment and public welfare programs. In recent years, these economies had managed to keep their inflation to a single digit (see Table 1.3).

### **Investment and savings**

In the East Asia, increase in investment as a share of GDP duly resulted in favourable rise in rates of return is similarly favourable (see Table 1.4). In Indonesia, the ratio of investment to GDP rose from 5% in 1960 to 32% in 1996. This was made possible by a sharp rise in government oil revenues, of which the bulk was saved and invested. Concurrently, sizeable inflow of net foreign capital generated a relatively large current account surplus in the balance of payments when the development program failed to adjust fully to the further increase in oil revenues after mid-1979. In other Asian NICs, ratio of investment to GDP was close to 30% in 1980 a marked improvement as compared with the figures in 1960 of 11% for Korea and 14-16% for Thailand and Malaysia. Such investment rate is above the weighted average of 25% in other middle-income countries.

Policies in financial sector in these economies were aimed to encourage savings and to channel funds into activities with high social returns. Though various incentives such as positive real interest rates in the 1980s, domestic saving rates amounted to 30-40 % of GDP. Asian interest rates were set to clear markets, which not only increased the incentive to save, but also discouraged capital flight.