

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

A COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE OF LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION IN BRUNEI DARUSSALAM

HAJI ANJAH@AMZAH BIN HAJI ABDUL RAHMAN

FEP 2000 5



A COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE OF LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION IN BRUNEI DARUSSALAM

By

HAJI ANJAH@AMZAH BIN HAJI ABDUL RAHMAN

Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty of Economics and Management Universiti Putra Malaysia

May 2000



DEDICATION

To

Allahyarham Ayahanda Haji Abdul Rahman & Allahyarhammah Bonda Hajah Jauyah

Jasa Kamu Tetap Ku Kenang

Allahyarham DD Fandi & Allahyarhammah Alai Fizah

Hormat Ku Pada Kegigihan



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

A COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE OF SELECTED LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION IN NEGARA BRUNEI DARUSSALAM

By

HAJI ANJAH @ AMZAH BIN HAJI ABDUL RAHMAN

May 2000

Chairman : Associate Professor Zainal Abidin Mohamed, PhD.

Faculty : Economics and Management

Brunei policy measures are geared toward conserving foreign exchange. Presently, government bans the importation of eggs and regulates the importation of fresh, chilled and frozen beef and chicken meat while the importation of live animals is subjected to stringent health measures. While the local production is given a tremendous supports likes the provision of basic infrastructure facilities, input subsidies and output price control. These give repercussions to the whole economy and play a role in directing the course of the industry.

Primary data through census were collected in 1998 where a total of 94 broiler farms, 21 layer farms, 1 feedlot beef operator and 4 commercial goat farms were involved. Indicators of NPR, EPR, DRC, RCR and NEB were used to estimate the impact of government intervention and comparative advantage. Prior to these, the cost and the profit performance are analysed in financial and economic terms.

UPM

The results appear that the policy of the government give a minimal impact to the domestic production. A wedge between domestic and border price give slight protection to domestic producers' ranges from a minimum NPR of 6.83 percent in broiler to 95.12 percent in goat. EPR in egg based on farm size ranges from 175 percent in very large to 748 percent in medium. Even though the layer farms are protected, their continued existence, remain doubtful. This is true, that the small and medium failed to sustain their growth as shown by negative social profitability, and is an indication of inefficient producing units and so with other livestock commodities except in very large layer and broiler and goat farm. The lowest EPR is broiler having an average of 120 percent, while in the goat is 239.03 percent.

The analysis of private profitability shows that all enterprises are generating profit. Financially, the highest profit recorded is the goat production by \$8.7533 per kilogram dressed weight. In egg, the very large farm generates the highest positive private profit among its category by \$33.5344 per 100 dozen. There is also a profit in all broilers farms.

The results of DRC, RCR and NEB show comparative disadvantage except for very large layer and broiler farm and goat. A lower the cost of production vis-à-vis efficiently operated attributes the source of comparative advantage. The non-ruminant is proven to be more effective in saving the foreign exchange and followed by goat. Therefore, recommendation should be concentrated on the promotion of the non-ruminant and goat sectors, continues provision of the critical infrastructure support in the form of comprehensive R&D, credit and marketing is a priority.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah.

FAEDAH BERBANDING PENGELUARAN TERNAKAN DI NEGARA BRUNEI DARUSSALAM

Oleh

HAJI ANJAH @ AMZAH BIN HAJI ABDUL RAHMAN

Mei 2000

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Zainal Abidin Mohamed, PhD.

Fakulti : Ekonomi dan Pengurusan

Dasar-dasar Pertanian Negara menjerumus kearah penjimatan mata wang asing kerana diakui bahawa kekurangannya akan memudaratkan ekonomi. Di atas pertimbangan ini maka pemilihan industri untuk dimajukan adalah menjadi tugas utama. Sehubungan dengan ini, pengusaha tempatan diberikan berbagai sokongan dan dorongan manakala impot dibekukan (telor) dan daging dibataskan kepada kehalalan penggunanya sahaja. Sementara itu, kemasukan ternakan hidup terpaksa melalui satu pemeriksaan kesihatan ternakan yang ketat. Langkahlangkah ini telah memberi kesan mendalam terhadap ekonomi negara dan telah memainkan peranan penting dalam merangka hala tuju industri ini.

Data primer melalui bancian telah dilakukan pada tahun 1998 di peringkat ladang dan selepas ladang. Sejumlah 94 buah ladang ayam pedaging, 21 buah ladang ayam penelor, sebuah fedlot kerbau/lembu dan 4 buah ladang kambing komersial diseluruh Negara telah terlibat dalam bancian ini. Data-data dianalisis bagi Kadar

UPM N

Perlindungan Nominal (NPR) dan Kadar Perlindungan Efektif (EPR). Manakala sukat-sukat DRC,RCR dan NEB juga digunakan bagi mengetahui kedudokan persaingan industri ini sebagai penggantian impot. Sebelum itu, analisis kewangan dan ekonomi juga telah dilaku.

Hasilnya mendapati bahawa Kerajaan telah memberi perlindungan kepada pengeluaran tempatan. Selisih harga tempatan dengan harga sempadan diantara 6.83 peratus (daging ayam) sehingga 95.12 peratus (daging kambing). EPR dalam pengeluaran telor tempatan dilindungi diantara 175 peratus (ladang terlalu besar) hingga 748 peratus (ladang sederhana). Pengeluaran telor bagi ladang berskala kecil dan sederhana menunjukkan keuntokan sosial yang negatif. Ini bererti ladang-ladang tersebut tidak akan dapat bertahan jika bantuan kerajaan dibatasi.

Pendapatan swasta menunjukkan keuntungan positif bagi semua perusahaan. Keuntongan tertinggi ialah pengeluaran daging kambing sebanyak \$8.7533 sekilogram bersih. Ladang terlalu besar telor ayam mencatatkan keuntongan tertinggi dikalangan kategori mereka sebanyak \$33.5344 bagi 100 dozen.

Hasil analisis DRC, RCR dan NEB menunjokkan ladang berskala terlalu besar (ayam pedaging dan penelor) dan kambing sahaja mengalami faedah berbanding dan terbukti mampu menjimatkan pertukaran matawang asing, Jadinya adalah disyorkan supaya tumpuan usaha kemajuan dan pembangunan kerajaan selanjutnya difokus keatas kedua-dua sektor industri ini.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All the praises and thanks are for Almighty Allah Subhanahu Wa Taala. Whose countless bounties enabled me to accomplish this study, and invoke His blessings on the Holy Prophet Muhammad Sallallahu Alaihi Wassallam for whom He created this universe.

I express my sincere appreciation and deep sense of gratitude to my Major Supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Zainal Abidin Mohamed, my advisory committee members, Associate Professor Dr. Mad Nasir Shamsudin and Dr. Abu Hassan Md. Isa for their invaluable guidance, tremendous supports, encouragement, suggestions and critisms throughout the course of the thesis research. Grateful appreciation also extended Prof. Dr. Abdul Aziz Abdul Rahman and Prof. Dr. Mohd. Ghazali Mohayidin, Mr. Ismail Latif and Haji Sabli Haji Yassin for their wonderful comments and suggestions especially on policy recommendations.

My doctoral study at Universiti Putra Malaysia was sponsored under a joint scholarship of SEAMEO SEARCA and His Highness The Sultan of Brunei Government. I am heartily thankful to SEAMEO SEARCA and His Highness The Sultan of Brunei Government for their generosity. Special thanks is extended to Dr. Seokartawi, Dr. Edith Cedicol and all the staffs of SEAMEO SEARCA and High Commissioner, Educational Attache and Ms. Rokiah together with all the staffs of Brunei Consulate in Kuala Lumpur for their efficient management of my scholarship programme.



I also wish to express my deep gratitude to the Director of Agriculture, Pengiran Haji Hassan bin PMSLDSI Haji Damit, Former Director, Dato Paduka Dr. Haji Morni b. Othman and Dato Paduka Ampuan Haji Mohd. Yassin bin Ampuan Haji Mohd. Salleh, for granting me study leave. Special sincere thanks also goes to Haji Ahmad bin Haji Rani and Haji Shahri bin Haji Awang Besar for their willingness to serve as my educational guarantors throughout my 11 years in higher learning programme.

Cooperation given by the livestock operators involved in this study are very much appreciated. Moral supports and encouragements from Didi Helmy, OmarAli(Dani), Sumardi, BB, Eros, DDnani, Shariman, Emmi, Didiek, Haji Shahbollah, and Haji Ghani and all my wonderful friends, are also very much appreciated and acknowledge.

Finally, I am very much grateful to my parents and parent-in-law brothers and sisters and the people of Tanjong Maya, for their blessings. To Hajah Kartini bt. Haji Abu Bakar, Nurlaila Sheila bt. Abdullah, Alwi, Abdul Qawi, Nurwasil Akil, Arnizah, Adina, Aziah and Nuraizah for their sacrifices, understanding and encouragement and for being constant source of inspiration to my stay in Malaysia. Thank you very much.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		P
DEDI	CATION	
ABST	RACT	
ABST	RAK	
ACKN	NOWLEDGEMENTS	
	OVAL SHEET	
	ARATION FORM	
LIST (OF TABLES	2
LIST (OF FIGURES	
GLOS	SARY	2
CHAF	PTER	
I	INTRODUCTION	
	Policy Environment. Agriculture Development Policy. Government Policies on Non Ruminant Sector. Government Policies on Ruminant Sector. Problem Statements. Objectives of the Study. Significance of the Study. Organisation of the Study.	
II	LIVESTOCK DEVELOPMENT IN BRUNEI Current Status of Agricultural Development. Development of Livestock Industry. Development of Non Ruminant Sector. Broiler Industry in Brunei. Production Location of Broiler. Broiler Output. Broiler Importation and Consumption. Broiler Marketing.	
	Egg Industry in Brunei Production Location of Eggs. Egg Output. Eggs Importation and Consumption. Marketing of Eggs.	



		Page
	Development of Ruminant Sector	38
	Beef Industry in Brunei	40
	Beef Production	42
	Management Practices	46
	Importation of Beef	47
	Slaughtering Facilities	48
	Marketing Channel	49
	Domestic Consumption	50
	Goat Industry in Brunei	51
	Local Production, Importation, and Consumption of Goat	
	Meat	52
	Marketing Pattern	53
III	REVIEW OF LITERATURES	55
	General Issues on Policy Environment	55
	Theoretical Framework	60
	Coefficients of Protection and Comparative Advantage	60
	Government Policy Measures and Its Competitiveness in Malaysia,	
	Thailand, Philippines and Indonesia	66
	Non Ruminant Production	66
	Ruminant Production	69
IV	METHODOLOGY	73
	Domestic Resource Cost as Indicator of Comparative Advantage	73
	Domestic Resource Cost	73
	Net Economic Benefit	77
	Resource Cost Ratio	79
	Sensitivity Analysis	80
	Government Intervention: Measure Market Distortion	80
	Nominal Protection Rate	81
	Effective Protection Rate	84
	The Derivation of Financial Costs and Returns Analysis	88
	The Derivation of Economic Cost and Return Analysis The Derivation of Shadow Prices	91 92
	Shadow Exchange Rate	92
	Shadow Price of Traded Goods	94
	Shadow Price of Non-Traded Goods	94
	Data and Scope of Analysis.	97
	Commodities.	97
	Districts.	98
	Data Requirement and Sources	100
	Secondary Data	100
	Primary Data.	100
	Livestock Reconciliation Table and Estimation of Farm Production	101
	Cost Profile for Post-Farm Level	103



Gener	al Assumptions: Economic Valuation and Cost Allocation
	Economic Valuation
	Production, Trading and Processing Cost
	Measurement of Border Price
	Cost Allocation: Tradable and Non-Tradable
	ILTS AND DISCUSSION
	Ruminant Sector
	r Sub-Sector
	and Return Analysis
	cteristic of Sampled Farm
	Size and Farming System
	ng Program
Finan	cial Analysis
	Financial Evaluation of Production Cost at Farm Level
	Financial Evaluation of Transportation and Processing Cost
_	Financial Profit.
Econo	omic Analysis
	Economic Evaluation of Farm Production Cost
	Economic Evaluation of Transportation and Processing Cost
M	Economic Profit at Farm and Wholesale Stages
Meas	res of Protection
Maac	
IVICasi	ures of Comparative Advantage Domestic Cost Ratio, Resource Cost Ratio And Net
	Economic Benefit
Laver	Sub-sector.
-	cteristic of Sampled Farm
	Size And Farming System
Laver	Reconciliation And Estimation At Farm Production
Finan	cial Analysis
	Financial Evaluation Of Farm Production cost
	Financial Evaluation Of Transportation And Marketing
	Costs
	Financial profit
Econo	omic Analysis
	Economic Evaluation Of Farm Production Cost
	Economic Profit
Meası	res of Protection
	Nominal and Effective Rate of Protection
Meası	res of Comparative Advantage
	Domestic Cost Ratio, Resource Cost Ratio and Net
	Economic Renefit



	I
Ruminant Sector	
Beef Sub-sector	
Characteristic of Sampled Farm	
Livestock Reconciliation and Estimation of Farm Production	
Financial Analysis.	
Financial Evaluation of Farm Production Cost	
Financial Evaluation of Transportation and Processing Costs	
Financial Profit at Farm gate and Processing Stage	
Economic Analysis	
Economic Evaluation of Farm Production Cost	
Economic Evaluation of the Processing and Wholesaling.	
Net Economic profit of Beef Production (or Net Social	
Benefit)	
Measures Of Protection.	
Nominal and Effective Rate of Protection	
Measure Of Comparative Advantage	
Domestic Cost Ratio, Resource Cost Ratio and Net	
Economic Benefit	
Goat Subsector.	
Characteristics of Sampled Farms	
Goat Reconciliation Table and Estimations of Farm Production	
Financial Analysis.	
Financial Evaluation of Farm Production Cost	
Financial Evaluation of Transportation and Processing Cost.	
Financial Profit.	
Economic Analysis	
Economic Evaluation of Farm Production Cost	
Economic Evaluation of Transportation and Processing	
Stage	
Net Economic Profit of Goat Production (Or Net Social	
Benefit)	
Measure Of Protection	
Nominal and Effective Rate of Protection	
Measure Of Comparative Advantage	
Domestic Cost Ratio, Resource Cost Ratio and Net	
Economic Benefit	
SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
Summary	
Conclusions	
Recommendations	
BLIOGRAPHY	•••



		Page
APPENDICE	SS	206
	Tables	
B:	Policy Analysis Matrix	278
C:	Price Policy Techniques	283
	Questionaires	
BIODATA		303



LIST OF TABLES

ΓABLE		Pa
1.1	Estimates of Gross Domestic Product by Kind of Economic Activity,	
1.2	1981 – 1991Summary of Government Intervention Measures on Non Ruminant	
1.2	Sector	
1.3	Summary of Government Policies on Ruminant (Cattle, Buffaloes	
2.1	and Goats)	
2.2	Commodities.	1
2.2	Stages of Development of Livestock and Poultry Products	2
2.3 2.4	Status of Poultry Farms	3
2.4	Apparent per capita Consumption of Broiler Meat (kg) by Countries	3
2.6	Import and Local Production of Broiler Meat, 1991-1995	3
2.7	Exporting Countries of Frozen and Chilled Broiler to Brunei, 1995	3
2.8	Eggs Production, 1991-1995.	3
2.9	Per Capita Consumption of Eggs, 1991 – 1993	3
2.10	Production of Eggs by Districts, 1995.	3
2.11	Buffalo and Beef Cattle Population, 1986 to 1995.	2
2.12	Buffalo and Beef Cattle Population According to District, 1995	
2.13	Local Slaughtering of Beef Cattle and Buffalo According to Districts,	
	1995	4
2.14	Number of Owners of Beef Cattle and Buffalo According to Districts, 1995	2
2.15	Goat Population, 1986 to 1995.	4
2.16	Goat Population According to District, 1995	
4.1	Conversion Factors from Financial to Economic	9
4.2	Composition of Tradable and Non-Tradable Components	1
5.1	Summary of Financial Evaluation of Farm Production	1
5.2	Financial Evaluation of Transportation Cost for Broiler from Farm to	1
5.2	Slaughterhouse	12
5.3	Financial Evaluation of Slaughtering and Processing Cost of Broiler	12
	Production Cost and Profit Level at Farm Stage for Broiler –	12
5	Financial Evaluation.	12
5.5	Financial Analysis on Costs and Return of Broiler Production	12
5.6	Summary of Economic Evaluation of Farm Production Cost for	
	Broiler	12
5.7	Economic Evaluation of Transportation Cost for Broiler from Farm	
	to Slaughterhouse	13
5.8	Economic Evaluation of Slaughtering and Processing Cost of Broiler.	1.
5.9	Economic Analysis on Costs and Return of Broiler Production	1.
5.10	The Net Distortion between Economic and Financial for Broiler	13
5.11	Derivation of Border Price for Broiler Meat	13
5.12	Nominal Rate of Protection for Broiler Sub-Sector	13



		Pa
5.13	Effective Rate of Protection for Broiler Sub-Sector	1
5.14	Indicators of Comparative Advantage in Broiler Production	1
5.15	Sensitivity Analysis on Broiler Sub Sector: Import Substitution	
	Regime]
5.16	Financial Cost of Production at Farm Level for Eggs at 100 dozens	
5.17	Financial Evaluation at Post Farm Stage for Layer Farm	
5.18	Financial Evaluation on Farm and Post Farm (Wholesale) Stage for Layer.	
5.19	Economic Cost of Production at Farm Level for Eggs	
5.20	Economic Evaluation at Post Farm Stage for Layer Farm	
5.21	Market Distortions -Aggregate Conversion Index for Layer	
5.22	Economic Evaluation on Farm and Post Farm (Wholesale) Stage for	
	Layer	
5.23	Derivation of Border Prices for Eggs	1
5.24	Nominal Rate of Protection for Layer Sub-Sector	
5.25	Effective Rate of Protection for Layer Sub-Sector	
5.26	Indicators of Comparative Advantage in Layer Production	
5.27	Sensitivity Analysis on Eggs Sub Sector With C.I.F Price Increment:	
	Import Substitution Regime	
5.28	Sensitivity Analysis on Eggs Sub Sector With Feed Cost Reduction:	
	Import Substitution Regime	
5.29	Financial Evaluation of Beef Cattle Production Costs at Farm Level	
5.30	Financial Evaluation of Intermediaries for Beef Cattle Production	
5.31	Financial Evaluation of Slaughtering and Processing of Beef Cattle	
5.32	Profit Analysis on Cattle Beef Production – Financial Evaluation	
5.33	Economic Evaluation of Beef Cattle Production Costs at Farm Level	
5.34	Economic Evaluation of Intermediaries for Beef Cattle Production	
5.35	Economic Evaluation of Processing and Slaughtering of Beef Cattle	
5.36	Profit Analysis on Cattle Beef Production - Economic Evaluation	
5.37	Derivation of Border Price for Beef (\$/kg) in Brunei	
5.38	Nominal Rate of Protection for Beef Sector	
5.39	Effective Rate of Protection for Beef Sector	
5.40	RCR, DRC and NEB for Beef: Import Substitution Trade Regime	
5.41	Sensitivity Analysis for Beef Sub-Sector: Import Substitution Regime	
5.42	Financial Evaluation of Goat Production Costs at Farm Level	
5.43	Financial Evaluation of Transportation for Goat	
5.44	Financial Evaluation of Processing for Goat Production	
5.45	Profit Analysis on Goat Production - Financial Evaluation	
5.46	Economic Evaluation of Goat Production Costs	
5.47	Economic Evaluation of Intermediaries for Goat	
5.48	Economic Evaluation of Processing for Goat	
5.49	Profit Analysis on Goat Production - Economic Evaluation	
5.50	Derivation of Border Price for Mutton	
5.51	Nominal Rate of Protection for Goat Sector.	
5.52	Effective Rate of Protection for Goat Sector	1
5.53	RCR. DRC and NEB for Goat : Import Substitution Trade Regime	



LIST OF FIGURES

FIGUR	JRE .					
4.1	Map of Brunei Darussalam	99				
4.2	Flow of Activities	100				



GLOSSARY

ADG = Average Daily Gain

AP = Accounting Price

ASEAN = Association of South East Asian Nation

B\$ = Brunei Dollar

CF = Conversion Factor

c.i.f. = Custom, Insurance, Freight

DoA = Department of Agriculture

DOC = Day Old Chicks

DRC = Domestic Cost Ratio

EPU = Economic Planning Unit

EPC = Economic Protection Coefficient

EPR = Effective Protection Rate

f.o.b. = Free on Board

GDP = Gross Domestic Product

GPS = Grand Parent Stock

IT = Import Tariff

IMF = Ideal Multifeed Farm

MIPR = Ministry of Industry and Primary Resources

MIS = Management of Information System

MP = Market Price

MVS = Medicines, Vaccines and Supplements

NEB = Net Economic Benefit

NPC = Nominal Protection Coefficient

NPR = Nominal Protection Rate
OER = Official Exchange Rate
PAM = Policy Analysis Matrix

PKC = Palm Kernel Cake

PS = Parent Stock



RCR = Resource Cost Ratio

SATC = Sinaut Agricultural Training Centre

SER = Shadow Exchange Rate
SRI = Social Rate of Interest

WB = World Bank



CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The early section of this chapter highlights a brief description on Negara Brunei Darussalam (which is then referred to Brunei) economic status. Then followed by a detail discussion on various government policy measures launched to facilitate the non-ruminant sector (broiler and layer) and the ruminant sector (cattle beef and goat). A summary table supports the discussions on each sector policy measures by incorporating the various responsible agencies within the government. This section is also highlighting the objectives, problems as well as significance of the study. Toward the end of the chapter, the organisation of the succeeding chapters is presented.

General Background of Brunei Economy

The Brunei economy is unique among the countries in South East Asian region in that unlike Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand and the Philippines to name a few, it is a non-agriculture based. It can neither be compared to the Singaporean economy, which is based on manufacturing as well as trading and services. Brunei's economy is largely a crude petroleum economy and its contribution to the total gross domestic product (GDP) in 1997 was approximately 55 percent. The per capita GNP is approximately US\$9,466 (approximately B\$13,252). The agricultural sector (agriculture, fisheries and forestry) contributed to an estimate of about 1.2 per cent of the GDP in 1991; while in 1997 was approximately 2 per cent as shown in Table 1.1 (EPU 1992, DoA 1998).



Table 1.1: Estimates of Gross Domestic Product by Kind of Economic Activity 1981 - 1991

			·		the party are a																
Kind of Economic Activity	1981	1981	1982	1982	1983	1983	1984	1984	1985	1985	1986	1986	1987	1987	1988	1988	1989	1989	1990	1990	1991
Agriculture and Hunting	43.50	0.47%	52.70	0.58%	56.90	0.70%	60.30	0.75%	65.80	0.85%	70.80	1.38%	80.20	1.38%	90.90	1.68%	103.10	1.76%	116.80	1.79%	130.8
2 Forestry and Logging	12 20	013%	15 40	017%	11 40	0 1 4%	13 10	016%	15 30	0 20%	16 30	0.32%	18 10	0.31%	13 20	0 24%	21 90	0.37%	14 00	0 22%	15 00
3 Festung	8 60	009%	9 20	0 10%	1130	0 1 4%	12 30	0 1 5%	13 20	0 17%	11 80	0 23%	14 00	0 24%	16 40	0 30%	19 40	0 33%	22 90	0.35%	25 70
4 Mining, Quarrying and																					
Manufacturing	7,32370	79 39%	6,990 10	76 60%	5,999 20	73 49%	5,706 10	70 72%	5,376 40	69 35%	2,818 80	54 89%	3,236 00	5579%	2,592 00	47 87%	2,766 90	47 34%	3,229 30	49 62%	3,096 0
5 Electricity, gas and Water	10 70	0 12%	16 50	0 18%	15 80	0 19%	15 30	0 19%	31 20	0 40%	30 90	0 60%	33 30	0 57%	42 80	0 79%	53 90	0 92%	59 30	091%	61 60
6 Construction	216 50	2 35%	264 60	2 90%	265 50	3 25%	207 00	2.57%	159 20	2 05%	170 10	3 31%	183 10	3 16%	195 30	361%	254 60	4.36%	277 40	4 26%	291.30
7 Wholesale	794 40	8 61%	750 60	8 23%	672 40	8 2 4%	726 40	9 00%	658 20	8 49%	434 80	8 47%	460 90	7 95%	448 00	8 27%	469 80	8 04%	493 20	7.58%	499 70
8 Retail Trade	111 60	1 21%	186 20	2 04%	156 70	1 92%	141 30	1 75%	121 80	1 57%	155 50	3 03%	179 70	3 10%	207 60	3 83%	239 80	4 10%	257 30	3 95%	272 70
9 Restaurants and Hotels	27 60	0 30%	38 50	0 42%	51 00	0 62%	42 10	0 52%	41 50	0 54%	40 10	0 78%	45 90	0 79%	52 10	0 96%	59 40	1 02%	67 70	1 04%	73 70
10 Transport, Storage and																					
Соттепсисавон	61 70	0 67%	133 20	1 46%	143 80	1 76%	116 60	1 45%	136 10	1 76%	123 20	2 40%	233 00	4 02%	244 80	4 52%	266.30	4 56%	281 20	4.32%	297 10
11 Banking and Finance	112 10	1 22%	123 20	1 35%	120 30	1 47%	134 80	1 67%	144 20	1 86%	154.60	3 01%	169 50	2 92%	185 80	3 43%	203 80	3 49%	223 40	3 43%	230 00
12 Insurance	8 50	0 09%	5 40	006%	11 20	0 14%	8 10	0 10%	12 90	0 17%	14 40	0 28%	21 20	0.37%	31 10	0.57%	45 70	0 78%	67 00	1 03%	70 00
3 Real Estate and																					
Busness Services	84 40	091%	99 60	1 09%	107 90	1 32%	112 60	1 40%	102 30	1 32%	58 10	1 13%	59 80	1 03%	61 60	1.14%	63 30	1 08%	65 20	1 00%	67 00
14 Ownership of Dwellings	24 30	0 26%	26 90	0 29%	28 20	0 35%	30 20	0 37%	32 80	0 42%	33 10	0 64%	37 60	0 65%	42 70	0 79%	48 50	0 83%	5510	0 25%	66 00
15 Community, Social and																					
Personal Services	467 1 0	5 06%	532 00	5 83%	612 70	7 51%	855 70	1061%	918 70	11 85%	l,	21 23%	1,126 80	19 42%	1,292 90	23 88%	1,349 20	23 08%	1,420 60 0	90 20 83%	1,556 7
16 Less Bank Charges	82 40	0 89%	118 50	1 30%	101 10	1 24%	11310	1 40%	77 20	1 00%	87 10	1 70%	98 30	I 69%	102 70	1 90%	120 70	2 07%	141 90	2 18%	149 00
Oross Domestic Product	9,224 50	100 00%	9,125 60	100 00%	8,163 20	100 00%	8,068 80	100 00%	7,752 40	100 00%	5,135 60	100 00%	5,800 80	100 00%	5,414 50	100 00%	5,844 90	100 00%	6,508 50	100 00%	6,604 3

Source: EPU, 1992



Policy Environment

Agriculture Development Policy

Unlike the other neighbouring countries (with the exception of Singapore), Brunei's economic diversification policy is toward agriculture rather than away from it. More specifically it is toward agricultural production in the first instance and possibly further value added whenever the case permits. Since the agricultural sector contributes only about 2.0 per cent to the GDP in 1997, the objective is to increase this further and the first step necessarily has come from increased output of agricultural produce.

The major area of priority currently is food production. Concerted efforts by the government are being made to promote and facilitate investment in agriculture, particularly in food production, to increase agricultural output. This is seen as a pre-requisite and a precursor to agriculture to agro-industrial development in the form of further processing activities and value added. The vision of the Ministry of Industry and Primary Resources (MIPR) in the food sector is self-sustaining development with meaningful degree of self-reliance. While the philosophy adopted in approaching the food sector is, there should be some form of food security through continuos supplies, stable price, consistent quality and growth in businesses involving basic food security items.

The objective in the development of this sector is to establish the infrastructure for security in the basic food supply. Once this is established, the plan will be to make use of these facilities to maximum benefits without sacrificing security. To further strengthening

