



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**THE PERFORMANCE OF PRIVATE UNIT TRUSTS IN
MALAYSIA**

PANG LOOI FAI

FEP 1998 13

THE PERFORMANCE OF PRIVATE UNIT TRUSTS IN
MALAYSIA

by

PANG LOOI FAI

Thesis Submitted in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of
Master of Science in Faculty of Economics and Management
Universiti Putra Malaysia

September 1998



TO MY DEAREST WIFE, PHEOBE AND SON, LUKE



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to the persons who have guided and helped me in preparing this dissertation. This dissertation has been demanding and without their assistance I would not have been able to complete it.

First and foremost, I would like to thank the Chairman of my Dissertation Committee, Associate Professor, Annuar Bin Md Nassir, Head of the Department of Accounting and Finance, Faculty of Economics and Management, Universiti Putra Malaysia, for suggesting the topic and subsequently pursuing the matter until the end.

I also wish to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to Associate Professor Dr. Shamsheer Mohamed Ramadhili, Department of Accounting and Finance, Faculty of Economics and Management, Universiti Putra Malaysia for his invaluable guidance, suggestions and constructive comments throughout the preparation of this thesis.



I would like to thank Mr. Loo Sin Chun of the Department of Accounting and Finance, Faculty of Economics and Management, Universiti Putra Malaysia, for his advice and assistance.

I am also grateful to the Fund Managers who provided me with the data required to complete this dissertation.

Last but not most, I am grateful to my wife and son for their understanding and patience throughout my studies



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
ABSTRACT	xiv
ABSTRAK	xvii
 CHAPTER	
I BACKGROUND OF UNIT TRUSTS	1
Introduction	1
History of Unit Trusts/Mutual Funds	2
Advantages of investing in unit trust	3
How Unit Trusts can be valued at their NAV	5
Unit Prices	5
Fees and Charges	6
Initial Service Charge	7
Repurchase Fee	7
Ongoing Fees and Expenses	7
Returns	9
Taxation of Returns	10
Risk	11
Statement of Problem	12
The Objective of the Study	12
 II OVERVIEW OF THE MALAYSIAN UNIT TRUST INDUSTRY	 15
Growth of the Malaysian Unit Trust Industry	15
Sectorial Demarcation of the Malaysian Unit Trusts Industry	17
 III THE ORGANISATION OF UNIT TRUSTS	 23
Introduction	23
The Structure of a Unit Trust	24
Types of Unit Trusts	27
Closed End (listed) Unit Trusts	27



	Open End Unit Trusts	28
	Classification	28
	Specialised Unit Trusts	29
	Bond Unit Trusts	29
	Property Trust Unit Trusts	29
	Islamic Unit Trusts	30
IV	REGULATORY ASPECTS OF UNIT TRUSTS	31
	Introduction	31
	Bodies Regulating Malaysian Unit Trusts.....	32
	Regulations	35
	New Regulations – 1997	37
V	CURRENT DEVELOPMENT IN THE UNIT TRUST INDUSTRY	38
	Recent Major Developments.....	38
	Dangers revealed and the responses	39
	Recent Efforts to promote the Unit Trust Industry..	41
	Current Challenges facing the Unit Trust Industry .	43
VI	LITERATURE REVIEW.....	45
	Performance Measures	45
VII	DATA AND METHODOLOGY.....	54
	Data Collection	54
	Estimating Returns	56
	The Return on the Market Index	58
	The Market Index as a Benchmark	58
	Risk – Return Relationship.....	59
	Standard Deviation.....	60
	Beta	61
	Unit Trust Objectives	62
	Risk Adjusted Performance Measures	64
	Return Per Unit of Risk Measures	64
	Sharpe's Index.....	65
	Treynor's Index.....	66
	Differential Return Measures.....	68
	Jensen's Performance Measure	68
	Diversification.....	71

	Selectivity and Timing	72
	Returns	72
	Returns due to Risk.....	75
	Returns due to Selectivity	75
	Underdiversification.....	76
	Net Selectivity	76
	Returns from Timing Strategy	77
	Timing Model.....	78
	T – Statistics	81
VII	RESULTS	82
	Introduction	82
	Comparison of Fund Returns.....	84
	Risk Adjusted Performance Measures.....	86
	Diversification.....	89
	Selectivity Ability of Fund Managers	90
	Timing Ability of Fund Managers.....	94
IX	DISCUSSION	96
X	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	105
	Summary and Conclusion.....	105
	Implications of Study.....	107
	Suggestions for Further Research	108
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	110
	APPENDIX	
	A Guidelines on Unit Trust (1997/1996)	114
	B List of Publications issued by Securities Commission.....	119
	C Profile of Unit Trusts	
	Table 10 Malaysian Unit Trusts.....	120
	Table 11 Funds under Study	123
	Table 12 Details of Funds under Study ...	124



D	Result Tables	
	Table 13 Risk Return Relationship	126
	Table 14 Risk Adjusted Performance (1990-1997).....	127
	Table 15 Risk Adjusted Performance (1990-1996).....	128
	Table 16 Risk Adjusted Performance (1997).....	129
	Table 17 Components of Unit Trust Selectivity Performance (1990-1997)	130
	Table 18 Components of Unit Trust Selectivity Performance (1990-1996)	131
	Table 19 Components of Unit Trust Selectivity Performance (1997)	132
	Table 20 Timing Ability	133
VITA	134



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.	Value of Unit Trust Industries.....	3
2.	Unit Trust Fees and Charges	8
3.	Growth of Unit Trust Industry 1992-1996	16
4.	Malaysian Unit Trust Industry as at 31 March 1998	
5.	Risk-Return Relationship of Unit Trusts	63
6.	Unit Trust rate of Return (1990-1997)	84
7.	Comparison of Risk Adjusted Performance Measures With the KLSE CI	86
8.	Components of Performance	90
9.	Timing Ability.....	94
10.	Malaysian Unit Trusts as at 12 June 1998	120
11.	Funds under Study.....	123
12.	Details of Funds under Study.....	124
13.	Risk Return Relationship.....	126
14.	Risk Adjusted Performance (1990-1997)	127
15.	Risk Adjusted Performance (1990-1996)	128



16	Risk Adjusted Performance	
	(1997)	129
17	Components of Unit Trust	
	Selectivity Performance (1990-1997).....	130
18	Components of Unit Trust	
	Selectivity Performance (1990-1996).....	131
19	Components of Unit Trust	
	Selectivity Performance (1997)	132
20	Timing Ability.....	133



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.	Structure of an Unit Trust.....	26
2.	Decomposition of Performance.....	74
3.	Non Successful Market Timing	79
4.	Successful Market Timing	80



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AHTP	Amanah Harta Tanah PNB
ASB	Amanah Saham Bumiputra
ASN	Amanah Saham Nasional
ASNB	Amanah Saham Nasional Berhad
ASW 2020	Amanah Saham Wawasan 2020
ATCM	Association of Trustee Companies Malaysia
CIC	Capital Issues Committee
EPF	Employee's Provident Fund
FMUTM	Federation of Malaysian Unit Trust Managers
IPO	Initial Public Offering
KLSE	Kuala Lumpur Stock Exchange
KLSECI	Kuala Lumpur Stock Exchange Composite Index
MER	Management Expense Ratio
NAV	Net Asset Value
PNB	Permodalan Nasional Berhad
SC	Securities Commission
SRO	Self Regulatory Organisations
SUTRA	State Unit Trust Association



YPB Yayasan Pelaburan Bumiputra



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of
Science.

THE PERFORMANCE OF PRIVATE UNIT TRUSTS IN MALAYSIA

by

PANG LOOI FAI
September 1998

Chairman: Associate Professor Annuar Bin Md Nassir, Ph.D.

Faculty: Economics and Management

As investments in the Malaysian capital market become institutionalised, unit trusts are increasingly becoming the main vehicle for a retail investor to participate in the capital market. Unit Trusts are originally promoted to generate stable income with reasonable risks for medium to long term investors.

This study examines the performance of 27 unit trusts in Malaysia. Monthly data were collected over a 8 year period from 1990 to 1997. Performance is measured by the returns earned by the Unit Trust. However these returns must commensurate with the level of risk and therefore risk-adjusted performance measures are used. Performance evaluation is further refined by investigating the ability of



the Unit Trust manager to select correct investments at the right time i.e. his/her timing and selection performance.

The general findings of this study indicate that most Malaysian Unit Trusts generally underperform the market, are poorly diversified and generate low levels of returns. Of the 27 funds studied only 33% outperformed the market. However after adjusting for different risk levels, none of the funds were able to outperform the market. The average R^2 was 0.516 indicating most funds were not fully diversified. Specifically most funds analysed attained half the level of diversification compared to the market portfolio which is used as the benchmark portfolio

The findings indicate that funds generally perform better during a bear market as compared to a bull market. Though the returns for unit trusts in a downtrending period was negative, the losses were less than the market portfolio, whereas in an uptrend period the returns of unit trusts were less than the market return.

The findings also indicate that the timing and selection ability of Unit Trust managers were poor with all funds having negative net

selection. Timing ability was only marginally positive for income growth funds.

This implies that in terms of the performance measured on the basis of returns per unit of risk and the level of diversification achieved by these funds, it would have been better for investors to invest on their own.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra
Malaysia sebagai memenuhi sebahagian keperluan untuk Ijazah
Master Sains

**PENILAIAN PENCAPAIAN AMANAH SAHAM SWASTA DI
MALAYSIA**

Oleh

PANG LOOI FAI
September 1998

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Annuar Bin Md Nassir, Ph.D

Fakulti: Ekonomi dan Pengurusan

Pasaran modal di Malaysia kini lebih di dominasi oleh pelabur pelabur institusi dan Amanah Saham menjadi jentera utama bagi para pelabur runcit untuk membabitkan diri dalam pasaran modal . Amanah Saham dijangka meraih pulangan yang tinggi dengan risiko yang berpatutan untuk jangkamasa sederhana dan panjang.

Kajian ini mengkaji pencapaian 27 buah Amanah Saham di Malaysia. Data bulanan bagi jangkamasa 8 tahun dari 1990 – 1997 dianalisa dan prestasi ini dinilai berdasarkan pulangan yang diperolehi oleh setiap Amanah Saham. Bagaimana pun pulangan tersebut adalah berdasarkan paras risiko yang ditanggung. Oleh itu prestasi diukur



berbanding dengan risiko ditanggung. Penilaian pencapaian seterusnya diperinci kepada keupayaan pengurus Amanah Saham untuk memilih pelaburan yang sesuai pada masa yang sesuai iaitu menilai prestasi pemilihan dan pemasaan pengurus.

Hasil kajian ini menunjukkan, pencapaian kebanyakan Amanah Saham di Malaysia mempunyai prestasi di bawah paras pencapaian yang dijangka, kurang tahap mempelbagaian dan ada juga pulangan yang tidak setara dengan pulangan portfolio pasaran. Hanya 33% daripada 27 Amanah Saham yang di kaji mencapai tahap pulangan yang melebihi portfolio pasaran. Selepas menjalankan penyesuaian bagi paras risiko yang berlainan, tiada amanah saham yang berkeupayaan mencapai tahap pulangan yang melebihi pulangan portfolio pasaran. Purata R^2 sebanyak 0.516 menunjukkan kebanyakan dana tidak mencapai tahap mempelbagaian penuh yang dijangkakan.

Hasil kajian ini juga menunjukkan bahawa dana pada umumnya mencapai prestasi yang lebih memuaskan dalam pasaran saham yang menurun berbandingkan dengan pasaran saham yang meningkat. Walaupun pulangan daripada Amanah Saham adalah negatif, dalam jangkamasa penurunan pasaran saham, tahap kerugiannya adalah

kurang daripada portfolio pasaran. Manakala dalam jangka masa peningkatan pasaran saham, tahap pulangan Amanah Saham adalah kurang daripada portfolio pasaran.

Kajian ini juga menunjukkan prestasi pemasaan dan pemilihan pengurus amanah saham yang kurang memuaskan dengan semua dana mempunyai nilai pemilihan bersih yang negatif. Prestasi pemasaan yang positif hanya dicapai oleh dana yang berobjektif penumbuhan pendapatan.

Pada keseluruhannya penemuan menunjukkan pengurus Amanah yang dikaji tidak mempunyai kemahiran pemilihan dan pemasaan yang cekap. Penemuan ini memberi implikasi bahawa dari segi prestasi yang diukur dari segi pulangan setiap unit risiko dan tahap mempelbagaian pelabur mungkin mendapat meraih keuntungan yang lebih baik jika melabur duit secara individu.

CHAPTER I

BACKGROUND OF UNIT TRUSTS

Introduction

Unit Trusts are a form of collective investment scheme, where capital of investors with similar financial objectives are pooled and funds subsequently managed by an appointed party. This allows investors to participate in investments that as individual investors they might not be able to make due to their low level of funds or expertise. In some countries these collective investment schemes are termed as mutual funds rather than unit trusts. The difference lies in their legal structure, namely mutual funds are investment companies that issue redeemable shares whereas unit trusts, are not companies and issue units instead of shares. In Malaysia, collective investment schemes are in the form of unit trusts, however these schemes are commonly called funds, and this term would be used synonymously with unit trust in this study.

History of Unit Trusts/Mutual Funds

Collective investment schemes started in Europe 176 years ago when King William I of Belgium established a closed-end fund called *Societi General de Belgique* in 1822. However the *Foreign and Colonial Government Trust* established in London in the late 19th century formed the basis of today's unit trusts.

In the United States, collective investment schemes are structured as mutual funds with the first established in 1924 called the *Massachusetts Investors Trust*. Mutual funds in America became popular in 1940 and subsequently had a market capitalisation of one billion dollars by 1945 and a trillion dollars in 1991. As at end of 1996, the aggregate size of the industry stood at US\$3.03 trillion.

In Asia the unit trust industry is relatively new. However by 1996 it had a value of more than US\$700 billion. At that time the Malaysian Unit Trust industry had a value of US\$30 billion which is 4% of the Asian value.



Table 1
Value of unit trust industries

Country/Area	Value US\$ (billion)
United States	3,030
Asia	700
Malaysia	30

Source: Securities Commission Homepage

The value of unit trust industries in the United States, Asia and Malaysia are compared in the table above. The table shows Malaysia's unit trust industry having a value of US\$30 billion (and this accounts for less than 10% of the market capitalisation of the KLSE) as compared to the United States of US\$3,030 billion. The information in the table shows that Malaysia's unit trust industry is at its infancy compared to the United States. This implies that collective investments of this nature in Malaysia are not yet a significant part of the capital market.

Advantages of Investing in Unit Trusts

The main reasons for selecting unit trusts as a vehicle for investments are expert management, service, diversification, opportunities and liquidity.

Fund managers trained in security analysis, devoting their full time to carrying out the fund investment objectives as specified in the prospectus manage funds. They constantly monitor the performance of the fund, adjusting its composition to earn maximum returns.

In addition to the above-mentioned expert management, a fund is able to minimise and spread its risk. A fund's risk is equated with the variability of its return, meaning that the higher the variability of a fund's expected return the higher the risk. Risk can also be equated with holding similar securities. Therefore risk can be spread and minimised by holding dissimilar securities, through diversification. The large size of funds available would enable risk to be reduced through diversification. This involves spreading the risk over a broad portfolio of securities and bonds in different companies, sectors, countries or regions. A fund would also have an advantage of a broader range of securities than an individual investing on his own. Though funds would seek to minimise their risk, each fund would have its own risk preference level and degree of diversification depending on its stated objectives. For example, some funds invest in riskier securities in the hope of higher returns in the short term and others in less risky securities for lower but stable returns.

Liquidity means that investors can easily sell some or all of their units back to the management company. This ability allows him to convert the units to cash without much sacrifice of time.

How Unit Trusts can be Valued at their NAV (Net Asset Value)

Unit trusts obtain money by selling units to investors. This money is pooled and then used to purchase investments in the form of securities, property and etc. The investments are referred to as the fund's assets and the fund's value changes according to changes in the investment's market values. The value of each unit is directly linked to the fund's assets as the value of the fund is divided into units of equal value. This net asset value (NAV) of the fund is the value of the underlying investments less fees and expenses.

Unit Prices

Using the NAV figure, fund managers determine the buy and sell prices, namely amount the investor obtains when he/she wishes to liquidate or sell back the units to the fund and the price they sell the units to the investor. These are arrived at when the fund's investments are revalued everyday to reflect their current market prices. Thus the