

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

PROFITABILITY AND ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY IR GILLNET FISHING IN GUIMARAS STRAIT AND ADJACENT WATERS, WESTERN VISAYAS, PHILIPPINES

RODELIO FERNANDEZ SUBADE

FEP 1991 7



PROFITABILITY AND ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY IN GILLNET FISHING IN GUIMARAS STRAIT AND ADJACENT WATERS, WESTERN VISAYAS, PHILIPPINES

By

RODELIO FERNANDEZ SUBADE

Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science
in the Faculty of Economics and Management,
Universiti Pertanian Malaysia

August 1991



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Nik Mustapha Raja Abdullah, for his support, guidance and patience in my undertaking of this thesis. My sincere thanks are also extended to my co-supervisors Dr. Roslan A.Ghafar and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ishak Haji Omar for their comments and suggestions. The former has been helpful in the model estimation, along with PhD candidate Ferdous Alam, who also shared his invaluable ideas.

I am indebted to the International Development Research Center of Canada (IDRC) for providing the scholarship and financial support, and to my home institution the University of the Philippines in the Visayas for granting me a study leave.

I akcnowledge the encouragement of Prof. Harlan Lampe, former ICLARM-AFSSRN coordinator, for me to pursue my graduate studies. Moreover, my superiors in the University of the Philippines in the Visayas -- Prof. Lourdes V. De Castro, Dr. Elnora Cabalfin, Prof. Ted Ledesma and Prof. Melanie Padilla have been fully supportive of my further studies and academic development. To them I should also extend my sincere thanks.

I am grateful to our UPV-AFSSRN research team -- Joe, Chona, Gilma, Sam, El, Pilar, Mane, Josie, and Glen who co-



laboured with me during my term in the small pelagics research project. Obviously, our research project, as well as my thesis, could have not been accomplished without the cooperation of many fishermen and other key informants whom we have interviewed, as well as the support of a number of government and non-government institutions and organizations.

The following also deserves my gratitude for helping me in one way or another: fellow gra

Gerry, Sum and Idris; Tito Paul and family who served as my foster family; fellow Filipino students in UPM, Nong Perfing James, Susan, John, Notnot, Gingging, and others; En. Zol and the staff at FEP Pejabat Am; draftsman Asri Ruslan; Meng Yew Choong who translated the abstract to Bahasa Malaysia.

I should acknowledge too, the moral support of my parents, brothers and sisters as I undertake my M.S. studies in UPM. The same acknowledgement is accorded to my spiritual brothers and sisters in the faith at UPM.

Most of all, unquantifiable thanks, praises and glory are attributed to the God Almighty, who through Apostle Paul has enabled me to say, "I have finished the race,...I have kept the faith.. " (II Timothy 4:7)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | ii |
| LIST OF TABLES | viii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | хi |
| ABSTRACT | xii |
| ABSTRAK | ΧV |
| CHAPTER | |
| | |
| I INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| The Philippine Fishery Sector | 1 |
| Importance to the Economy | 1 |
| Fishery Subsectors and Production | 2 |
| Fish Marketing and Distribution | 3 |
| Marine Waters and Other Water Areas Fishing Grounds and Fishing Areas | 6 |
| Fishing Gears and Methods | 7 |
| Flora and Fauna of the Fishery | 10 |
| Fishery Resource Exploitation and Increasing Population | 11 |
| Guimaras Strait and Adjacent Waters | 13 |
| Problem Statement | 18 |
| Objectives of the Study | ,23 |
| Significance of the Study | 23 |
| Hypotheses of the Study | 24 |



| II | LITERATURE REVIEW | 25 |
|-----|---|-----|
| | Introduction | 25 |
| | Review of Philippine | 0.5 |
| | Fisheries Policies | 25 |
| | Previous Studies on Guimaras Strait | 28 |
| | Review of Studies on Production Economics in the Marine Fisheries | 32 |
| | Analytical Methods Used in Production Economics Studies | 38 |
| | Production Function Approach | 38 |
| | Dual Approach: Using Costs Function and Profit Function | 40 |
| | The Concept of Efficiency | 50 |
| III | METHODOLOGY | 54 |
| | Conceptual Framework | 54 |
| | Fundamentals of Production Theory | 54 |
| | The Fishery Production Function | 56 |
| | Limitation of Production Function Approach | 63 |
| | The Profit Function Kodel: | |
| | with Special Reference to the Translog Form | 65 |
| | | |
| | Elasticities in the Translog Profit Function Model | 71 |
| | Model Specification | 76 |
| | Estimation Procedure and Technique | 81 |
| | Definition of Variables | 81 |
| | Sampling and Data Collection | 83 |



| IV | SOCIO-ECONOMIC PROFILE OF | |
|----|--|-----|
| | THE GILLNET FISHERY | 85 |
| | Gillnet Fishing Practices and Methods | 85 |
| | Description of Fishing Operation | 85 |
| | Drift Gillnet | 85 |
| | Encircling Gillnet | 88 |
| | Bottomset Gillnet | 88 |
| | Fishing Crew | 91 |
| | Fishing Grounds Visited | 92 |
| | Major Species Caught | 93 |
| | Characteristics of Gillnet Fishermen | 94 |
| | Educational Attainment | 94 |
| | Household Size, Income Earners and Diversity of Income Sources | 95 |
| | Household Income of Boat Owners | 97 |
| | Labor Mobility | 99 |
| | Fishing Assets Characteristics | 101 |
| | Ownership Pattern | 101 |
| | Boat/Geartype Distribution | 102 |
| | Boat Characteristics | 103 |
| | Engine Characteristics | 106 |
| | Fishing Net Characteristics | 108 |
| | Other Fishing Assets | 112 |
| V | COSTS AND EARNINGS STRUCTURE | 44 |
| | OF THE GILLNET FISHERY | 114 |
| | Introduction | 114 |
| | Investment Peguirements | 11/ |



| | cost structure | 110 |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Fishing Catch or Yield | 121 |
| | Earnings and Profitability | 122 |
| VI | EMPIRICAL RESULTS | 128 |
| | Unrestricted and Restricted Parameter Estimates of the Translog Profit Function | 128 |
| | Hypotheses Testing Conducted on the Profit Function Model | 131 |
| | Test on Cobb-Douglas Form | 131 |
| | Test on Profit Maximization | 135 |
| | Test on Relative Economic Efficiency | 137 |
| | Test on Homogeniety | 140 |
| | Input Demand and Output Supply Elasticities | 140 |
| VII | SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS | 149 |
| | Summary and Conclusions | 149 |
| | Policy Implications and Recommendations | 155 |
| BIBLIOGRAF | РНҮ | 158 |
| APPEND IX | | |
| A | Additional Tables | 166 |
| В | Additional Figures | 179 |
| VITA | | 183 |



LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 1 | Summary of Production in Quantity and Value by Fishery Sector (1978-1987) Unit: Quantity in Thousand Metric Tons Value in Million Pesos | 4 |
| 2 | Fishing Regions of the Philippines (as Classified by BFAR for Statistical Purposes) and their Estimated Shelf Areas | 8 |
| 3 | Growth of Philippine Population | 12 |
| 4 | Comparison of Potential Production (MT) of Philippine Fishing Areas as Estimated in the NRMC/FIDC (1980) Workshop with Actual BFAR Production Statistics for 1983-84 | 14 |
| 5 | Marine Production in Guimaras Strait and Visayan Sea: 1978-1986 (tons) | 18 |
| 6 | Fishing Time, Travel Time, Fishing Trip Time and Distance Travelled Average Values | 87 |
| 7 | Educational Attainment by Gear Type | 94 |
| 8 | Educational Attainment Across Landing Sites | 95 |
| 9 | Household Size, Number of Income Earners and Diversity of Income Sources | 96 |
| 10 | Annual Household Income of Boat Owners | 98 |
| 11 | Alternative Employment Possibilities Other Than Fishing | 100 |
| 12 | Ownership Pattern of Fishing Assets | 101 |
| 13 | Location and Types of Gillnets | 102 |
| 14 | Mode of Boat Acquisition | 103 |



| Table | | Page |
|--------------|--|------|
| 15 | Boat Vintage by Gear Type and Landing Site | 104 |
| 16 | Boat Motorization by Gear Type and Landing Site | 105 |
| 17 | Engine Horsepower by Gear Type and Landing Site | 106 |
| 18 | Engine Vintage by Gear Type and Landing Site | 107 |
| 19 | Fishing Net Dimensions (in meters) | 109 |
| 20 | Maximum, Minimum and Average Mesh Size (in cm.) | 110 |
| 21 | Mode of Net Acquisition | 111 |
| 22 | Net Vintage by Gear Type and Landing Site | 112 |
| 23 | Investment Requirements in Gillnet Fishing in Guimaras Strait and Adjacent Waters (1988-89) | 115 |
| 24 | Cost Structure Per Boat of Different Gillnets in Guimaras Strait and Adjacent Waters , 1988-89 (figures represent peso costs per fishing trip) | 117 |
| 25 | Cost Structure Per Kilogram of Catch for Gillnets In Guimaras Strait And Adjacent Waters, 1988-1989 (figures | |
| | represent peso costs per fishing trip) | 120 |
| 26 | Fishing Yield and Revenues | 122 |
| 27 | Earnings and Profit in Gillnet Fishing Per Boat for an Average Fishing Trip (1988-1989) | 123 |
| 28 | Earnings and Profit in Gillnet Fishing Per Kilogram of Catch for an Average Fishing Trip(1988-1989) | 126 |
| 29 | Parameter Estimates of the Translog Profit Function for Gillnets in Guimaras Strait and Adjacent Waters | 129 |



| able | | rage |
|------|--|------|
| 30 | Hypotheses Testing on the Translog Profit Function Model for all Gillnets | 133 |
| 31 | Hypothesis Testing on Cobb-Douglas Form of the Profit Function for Different Gillnet Types | 134 |
| 32 | Hypothesis Testing on Profit Maximization for Different Gillnet Types | 136 |
| 33 | Hypothesis Testing on Equal Relative Economic Efficiency Among Paired Gillnet Types | 138 |
| 34 | Input Demand Elasticities | 141 |
| 35 | Output Supply Elasticities | 146 |
| 36 | Parameter Estimates of the Translog Profit Function for Drift Gillnets in Guimaras Strait and Adjacent Waters | 167 |
| 37 | Parameter Estimates of the Translog Profit Function for Encircling Gillnets in Guimaras Strait and Adjacent Waters | 169 |
| 38 | Parameter Estimates of the Translog Profit Function for Bottomset Gillnets in Guimaras Strait and Adjacent Waters | 171 |
| 39 | Parameter Estimates of the Translog Profit Function Based from the Pooled SUR for Paired Gillnet Types in Guimaras Strait and Adjacent Waters, 1988-89 | 173 |
| 40 | Statistical Fishing Areas and Marine Fishing Grounds in the Philippines | 175 |



LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 1 | Map of the Philippines Showing Different Administration Regions and Fishing Areas Which Include the Guimaras Strait | 9 |
| 2 | The Study Area: Guimaras Strait and Adjacent Waters, with Different Landing Sites and Coastal Towns or Villages | 16 |
| | Coastal lowns of villages | 16 |
| 3 | Growth Curve | 57 |
| 4 | Production Function | 58 |
| 5 | Effort Curve | 59 |
| 6 | Sustainable Yield Function | 60 |
| 7 | Drift Gillnet | 86 |
| 8 | Encircling Gillnet | 89 |
| 9 | Bottomset Gillnet | 90 |
| 10 | Guimaras Strait, Adjacent Waters and Surrounding Islands | 180 |
| 11 | Types of Fishing Gears in Use in the Philippines | 181 |
| 12 | Major Small Pelagic Species Caught by Gillnets from Guimaras Strait and Adjacent Waters | 182 |



Abstract of thesis submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Pertanian Malaysia in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

PROFITABILITY AND ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY IN GILLNET FISHING IN GUIMARAS STRAIT AND ADJACENT WATERS, WESTERN VISAYAS, PHILIPPINES

By

RODELIO FERNANDEZ SUBADE August 1991

Supervisor: Dr. Nik Mustapha Raja Abdullah

Faculty : Economics and Management

Gillnets contribute a significant portion of fish production in the Philippine fisheries sector, as well as in Guimaras Strait and adjacent waters. It has been found out however, that many small-scale or municipal fishermen, to which the gillnet fishermen belong are living below the poverty threshold. Moreover, a number of studies and available secondary data showed the evident overfishing in Guimaras Strait and adjacent waters.

This study examines the socio-economic profile, profitability and economic efficiency in gillnet fishing in Guimaras Strait and adjacent waters.

The socio-economic profile revealed that most of the gillnet fishermen attained elementary or high school level of

UPM

education. Average household size was 5.7 members while 71 percent of the households were dependent on only one income earner in the family. Sixty one percent of the households depended on fishing as the only source of income. The study also found that there were other employment alternatives, aside from fishing, which were available for the gillnet fishermen.

Costs and earnings analysis showed that both drift gillnets and bottomset gillnets earned fishing incomes below that of the national poverty threshold. Encircling gillnets, meanwhile, earned 68675.68 pesos, the highest of the three gear types.

The normalized restricted translog profit function was used to assess whether gillnet fishermen were able to maximize profits and to examine relative economic efficiency. The results which was estimated using the seemingly unrelated regressions (SUR) technique, revealed that all gillnets were not able to maximize profits based on pooled regressions and individual gear type regressions. Encircling gillnets were found to be the most economic efficient while the drift gillnets were found to be the least economic efficient.

The price elasticity of demand for labour was found to be inelastic (-0.79). Own price elasticity for fuel was close to unity (-0.96), while that for crew provisions was quite elastic (-1.38). Cross price elasticity estimates revealed that the

above (three) variable inputs were substitutes at varying degrees. Input demand elasticities for labour, fuel, and crew provisions with respect to net mesh size suggest that bigger net mesh size would lead to lower labour and fuel use, and lower crew provisions.

The results also showed that increase in fish prices will increase labour, fuel and crew provisions use. The estimated own price elasticity of output or fish catch implied the evident overfishing in Guimaras Strait and adjacent waters. This finding confirms the assessment of previous studies and the suggestion of secondary data about the status of the fishery.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Pertanian Malaysia sebagai memenuhi sebahagian daripada syarat yang diperlukan untuk mendapatkan Ijazah Master Sains

POTENSI KEUNTUNGAN DAN KECEKAPAN EKONOMIK UNTUK PENGGUNAAN PUKAT HANYUT DI SELAT GUIMARAS DAN PERAIRAN YANG BERHAMPIRAN DI KEPULAUAN VISAYA BARAT, FILIPINA

Oleh

RODELIO FERNANDEZ SUBADE Ogos 1991

Penyelia : Dr. Nik Mustapha Raja Abdullah

Fakulti : Ekonomi dan Pengurusan

Sumbangan pukat hanyut terhadap pengeluaran perikanan di Filipina adalah signifikan, begitu juga di Selat Guimaras dan perairan yang berhampiran. Namun begitu, didapati kebanyakan nelayan kecil-kecilan, yang mana kebanyakannya nelayan pukat hanyut hidup di bawah garis kemiskinan. Tambahan lagi, beberapa kajian dan data sekunder menunjukkan penangkapan berlebihan berlaku di Selat Guimaras dan perairan yang berhampiran.

Kajian ini mengkaji profil sosioekonomi, potensi keuntungan dan kecekapan ekonomi di dalam perikanan pukat hanyut di Selat Guimaras dan perairan yang berhampiran.

Profil sosioekonomi menunjukkan kebanyakan nelayan pukat hanyut mencapai tahap pendidikan di peringkat sekolah rendah

UPM

atau menengah. Saiz isirumah purata ialah 5.7 ahli manakala 71 peratus dari isirumah-isirumah bergantung kepada hanya seorang ahli keluarga sebagai sumber pendapatan. Enam puluh satu peratus dari isirumah-isirumah hanya bergantung kepada penangkapan ikan sebagai sumber pendapatan. Kajian ini mendapati bahawa ada peluang pekerjaan dalam bidang lain, selain dari penangkapan ikan yang boleh diceburi oleh nelayan pukat hanyut.

Analisis kos dan pendapatan menunjukkan kedua-dua pukat hanyut dan pukat hanyut dasar memperolehi pendapatan di bawah tahap kemiskinan negara. Sementara itu, pukat hanyut keliling memperolehi pendapatan yang tertinggi di antara ketiga-tiga jenis pukat itu, iaitu sebanyak 68675.68 pesos.

"Normalized restricted translog profit function" digunakan untuk menilai sama ada nelayan pukat hanyut berupaya untuk memaksimumkan keuntungan dan juga mengkaji kecekapan ekonomik relatif. Keputusan-keputusan yang dianggarkan dengan menggunakan teknik "Seemingly Unrelated Regressions" (SUR) untuk keseluruhan dan setiap jenis pukat menunjukkan bahawa kesemua jenis pukat hanyut tidak berupaya untuk memaksimumkan keuntungan. Pukat hanyut keliling didapati paling cekap dari segi ekonomik manakala pukat hanyut adalah paling rendah kecekapan ekonomiknya.

Keanjalan harga permintaan untuk buruh didapati tidak anjal (-0.79). Bahanapi dan bekalan anak kapal mempunyai keanjalan harga masing-masing hampir kepada uniti (-0.96) dan anjal (-1.38). Anggaran keanjalan harga silang menunjukkan bahawa ketiga-tiga input berubah di atas merupakan pengganti yang tidak tepat. Keanjalan permintaan input untuk buruh, bahanapi dan bekalan anak kapal berbanding dengan mata pukat mencadangkan bahawa mata pukat yang lebih besar akan memyebabkan penggunaan buruh, bahanapi dan bekalan anak kapal yang lebih rendah.

Keputusan juga menunjukkan bahawa pertambahan dalam harga ikan memyebabkan pertambahan dalam penggunaan buruh, bahanapi dan bekalan anak kapal. Anggaran keanjalan harga output atau tangkapan ikan menunjukkan bahawa penangkapan berlebihan berlaku di Selat Guimaras dan perairan yang berhampiran. Penemuan kajian ini adalah selaras dengan kajian-kajian yang lepas dan penerangan data sekunder tentang status perikanan.



CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The Philippine Fisheries Sector

Importance to the Economy

The fisheries sector has a vital role in the Philippine economy. It is a major component of the agricultural sector, a main source of food for the population, and contributes significantly to the economy in terms of national income, employment, and export earnings.

In 1988, the fisheries sector accounted for 4.5 percent of the Philippine Gross National Product (GNP). It directly employed an estimated one million fishermen and fishfarmers, out of which 250,000 were engaged in aquaculture operations, about 700,000 in municipal fisheries and 42,000 in commercial fishing (BFAR,1989). With an average Filipino family size of six persons, the total number of people who are directly dependent on the sector approximates about ten percent of the total population. Moreover, the sector indirectly provides employment to those engaged in fish distribution and marketing, fish processing, operation of ice plants and cold storages and in allied or related industries such as net making, boat building, boat engine/motor, and others. It also feeds the



population a per capita intake of 41 kg of fish annually (BFAR, 1987), which is about 60 percent of their total animal protein requirements (Ronguillo and Gabral-Llana, 1987).

According to the Bureau of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources (BFAR) performance review of the fisheries sector (1989), the country has had a positive balance of trade in fish and fishery products since 1975. In fact, fish products contribute about 4.9 percent of the total value of national foreign exchange earnings. The value of exports increased steadily from 782 million pesos or 64,890 MT in 1979 to 9.6 billion pesos or 128,899 MT in 1988. Of the total export revenues from the sector, the top five dollar earners were the following: shrimp/prawn (55 percent), tuna (25 percent), seaweeds (6 percent), shellcraft articles (3 percent), and cuttlefish/squid (2 percent).

Fisheries Subsectors and Production

As defined by the national agencies concerned with the fisheries in the country, the Philippine fisheries is divided into the marine and inland fisheries subsectors (Flores and Silvestre, 1987). The marine subsector includes fish capture activities in bays, seas or marine areas of the country, while the inland subsector includes fishing activities in inland water bodies such as lakes and rivers (i.e. inland municipal fisheries), and also aquaculture. The marine subsector is



further subdivided into the commercial and municipal fisheries, in which the latter involves the use of boats 3 gross tons or less. The marine commercial subsector involves the use of vessels over 3 gross tons. Furthermore, the municipal fisheries also includes fishing activities that do not involve the use of vessels/boat.

There has been an increasing share of the aquaculture sector to the total fish production, but a decreasing trend on the part of the commercial and municipal sectors. In 1978 the commercial subsector accounted for 32 percent of the total production, while the municipal subsector, and aquaculture contributed 54.3 percent and 13.7 percent, respectivley. However, in 1988 this changed to: 26.4 percent in commercial subsector; 46.7 percent in municipal subsector; and 26.9 percent in aquaculture. Table 1 shows the production of the different sectors/subsectors for the period 1978-1987. As shown, the declining total production of municipal inland fisheries despite increases in total production of all other subsectors, may also explain the increasing share of aquaculture to total fish production.

Fish Marketing and Distribution

Production is not the only main task and concern for the fisheries sector. An efficient system of fish distribution from the point of production to the point of consumption should



Table 1
Summary of Production In Quantity and Value By Fishery Sector (1978-1987)
Unit: Quantity In Thousand Metric Tons , Value In Million Pesos

| Year | Total | | Total Commercial | | Municipal | | | | Aquaculture | |
|------|-------|-------|------------------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|------|-------------|-------|
| | Qty. | Value | Qty. | Value | | rine | | land | Qty. | Value |
| | | Z.1. | | ~ | | Qty. | Value | Qty. | Value | |
| 1978 | 1580 | 9477 | 506 | 3465 | 687 | 4474 | 171 | 336 | 216 | 1202 |
| 1979 | 1581 | 10537 | 501 | 3512 | 635 | 4873 | 204 | 492 | 241 | 1660 |
| 1980 | 1672 | 11644 | 489 | 3785 | 647 | 5410 | 247 | 607 | 289 | 1842 |
| 1981 | 1773 | 13954 | 495 | 4125 | 710 | 6263 | 229 | 700 | 339 | 2866 |
| 1982 | 1897 | 15064 | 526 | 4355 | 708 | 6488 | 271 | 828 | 392 | 3393 |
| 1983 | 2110 | 18981 | 519 | 4643 | 771 | 7463 | 375 | 2076 | 445 | 4799 |
| 1984 | 2080 | 25650 | 513 | 6521 | 790 | 10291 | 299 | 1572 | 478 | 7266 |
| 1985 | 2052 | 31297 | 512 | 7857 | 785 | 12796 | 260 | 1920 | 495 | 8724 |
| 1986 | 2089 | 37331 | 546 | 9248 | 807 | 14611 | 265 | 2640 | 471 | 10832 |
| 1987 | 2213 | 37349 | 591 | 9821 | 816 | 14216 | 245 | 1891 | 561 | 11421 |

Source: Bureau of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources



complement the sector's production if its huge and increasing output is to benefit the consumers (Librero, 1985). An analysis of the fish marketing system by Librero (1985) defined an oligopolistic and multi-layered marketing structure for fish in the Philippines. From the fishermen, catch is disposed and distributed through a few brokers; then, to the more numerous wholesaler-retailers, wholesalers, and retailers; then, to other middlemen, until it reaches the consumers (Panayotou, 1985). Thus, this structure means a higher capital requirement the nearer is the buyer-seller (specifically the broker) to the fisherman/producer in the channel of the distribution system. Nevertheless, on the average, every fisherman remains a price taker of output based on the current market price which is usually determined through auction sale or the so called "bulungan" system. Such is influenced largely by the brokers.

Fish delivery and trade were often sea-based as 50 percent of the total quantity of fish traded in 1987 were transported by boat. By-land delivery (by truck) was 26 percent while airlifted fish amounted to 24 percent of total fish traded for the same year.

According to the study by Librero (1985), there were some problems which must be addressed by the government to have a more efficient marketing system. These were: lack of adequate fish landing areas; inefficient collection and distribution of fish which results in areas of fish surpluses and areas of



deficit, thereby resulting to large price differentials; and involvement of a long chain of middlemen in fish trading which inflate marketing costs and hence the price of fish. Since the study was made, however, several government programs and projects have been initiated and carried out to address those problems. For instance, several commercial and municipal fishing ports have been constructed. Also, fish cooperatives have been encouraged and formed to take charge of the marketing of catch. However, the efficacy of the projects and programs concerned still need to be examined or investigated.

Marine Waters and Other Water Areas, Fishing Areas and Fishing Grounds

The aforementioned massive fish production are caught and produced from the vast water resources of the country. Being archipelagic in nature, the Philippines is endowed with huge marine waters which totalled 1,934,000 sq km according to the estimate of the Natural Resources Management Center (NRMC). Moreover, other authors computed the figure to as high as 2.1 million sq km (Silvestre,1987). Both estimates cover the exclusive economic zone (EEZ) of the country's territory, which is included in the oceanic waters sprawling an area of 1,400,000 sq km. The total marine waters also consist 270,000 sq km of coastal waters, and 127,000 sq km of traditional fishing grounds. Furthermore, inland waters include 68 lakes with an area of 200,000 hectares; 421 principal rivers



covering an area of 31,000 hectares; 380,000 hectares of swamplands; 224,263 hectares of brackishwater and freshwater ponds and 19,000 hectares of reservoir (BFAR 1983,1987).

For monitoring and statistical purposes, BFAR has divided the marine waters into 24 fishing areas, which are further grouped into 6 fishing regions. Under these, there are a total of 115 specific fishing grounds (see Table 2, Figure 1 and Table 40 in Appendix A).

Fishing Gears and Methods

There are a total of 55 types of fishing gears and methods employed in the Philippine fisheries classified into textile and non-textile devices (Umali, 1950). They were further classified into hand instruments, barriers and traps, lines and nets. Smith et al. (1980) and Umali (1950) illustrate and discuss how these gears are used and operated. Albeit such number, BFAR statistics records fish production only for 12 gears in the commercial sector and 22 gears for the municipal sector.

Major gears used by the marine commercial fishing vessels ranked according to their shares to the sector's volume of catch in 1987 were purse seines (40.54 percent), trawl (24.92 percent), bagnet (15.63 percent), ring net (14.58 percent), and muro-ami or drive-in net (1.94 percent). For the municipal

