

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

FRESH FISH CONSUMPTION IN SEMARANG INDONESIA

Dwisetia Poerwono

FEP 1990 4



FRESH FISH CONSUMPTION IN SEMARANG INDONESIA

by

Dwisetia Poerwono

Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in the Faculty of Economics and Management Universiti Pertanian Malaysia

January 1990



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Roslan A. Ghaffar for his advice, encouragements, guidance and endless patience during the course of this work. I am also grateful to Dr. Nik Mustapha R. Abdullah for his helpful suggestions and comments.

I also would like to extend my appreciation to:

- International Development Research Center (IDRC) for awarding me a two-year masteral degree fellowship,
- All lecturers and staff of the Faculty of Economics and Management Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (UPM), especially
 Mr. Tai Shzee Yew, Dr. Ishak Haji Omar and Mr. Kapi Sudar for administering UPM - IDRC scholarship,
- Faculty of Economics and Management, Diponegoro University (UNDIP) for granting me the study leave,
- Mr. Wiratno, Faculty of Economics and Management UNDIP for his encouragement and supports,
- The Computer Center of UPM and The Computer Center of the Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI),
- Mr. Alias Radam for helping me to run the computer programmes.
 - Menik, Iin, Yuli, Siti, Hesti, Yuyun and Hetty for gathering the data.



Words are insufficient to express my profound gratitute to these people and institutions whose aggregate contributions have made the realization of this thesis possible.

Finally, I dedicate this humble work to my wife Triwahyuni and my son Aga for their prayers, love, patience, encouragements, sacrifices and for making my life always meaningful.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | ii |
| LIST OF TABLES | vii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | хi |
| ABSTRACT | xii |
| ABSTRAK | xv |
| | |
| CHAPTER | |
| I INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| Fishery and Indonesian Economic Development | 1 |
| The Fisheries Sector and the Indonesian Economy | 3 |
| Importance of Fisheries Sector | 3 |
| Production and Consumption Trend | 8 |
| Fisheries Development Policies and Strategies | 12 |
| Problems of the Indonesian Fisheries Sector | 17 |
| Production Problems | 17 |
| Marketing Problems | 18 |
| Consumption Problems | 20 |
| Institutional Problems | 21 |
| Fisheries Consumption and the Households | 22 |
| Problem Statement | 28 |



| | | Page |
|------|--|-----------|
| | Objectives and Hypotheses of the Study | 29 |
| | Organization of Thesis | 30 |
| II | METHODOLOGY | 32 |
| | Conceptual Framework and Analytical Model | 32 |
| | Marketing System and Availability of Fish | 32 |
| | Consumption Patterns and Acceptability | 34 |
| | Demand Estimation | 37 |
| | Data and Data Collection | 47 |
| III | RESULTS OF DEMAND ESTIMATION AND DISCUSSION | 50 |
| IV | CONCLUSIONS | 62 |
| | Summary and Conclusions | 62 |
| | Policy Implications | 66 |
| | Suggestions for Further Research | 68 |
| BIBI | LIOGRAPHY | 70 |
| APP | ENDICES | |
| | A Marketing and Distribution Channel | 76 |
| | B Socio Economic Profile, Purchasing and Consumption Patterns and Attitudes | 0.0 |
| | Towards Fresh Fish of Household | 89 120 |
| | I ACCEPTEDILITY OF KTOSH KISH | 1/1 |



| | | | Page |
|-----|------|--|------|
| | D | Factors Affecting Household Expenditures on Fresh Fish | 124 |
| | F | Results of Demand System Estimation with Linear Equation | 130 |
| | F | Fishing Zones Regulation in Indonesia | 133 |
| | G | Map of Semarang | 135 |
| | H | Adult Scale Equivalent Conversion Factors | 137 |
| | I | Questionnaires | 139 |
| BTO | GRAP | HTCAL SKETCH | 149 |



LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 1 | The Fisheries and Agriculture Sector as Percentage of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) at Current Market Prices, 1967-1985 | 4 |
| 2 | Number of Fishermen and Fishfarmers, 1976-1987 | 6 |
| 3 | Export Volume and Export Value of Fisheries Products, 1970-1987 | 7 |
| 4 | Total Fisheries Production of Indonesia, 1970-1986 | 9 |
| 5 | Number of Fishing Boats, 1978-1985 | 11 |
| 6 | Consumption of Fish in Indonesia, 1978-1986 | 12 |
| 7 | Percentage of Protein Consumed from Selected Commodity, 1983, 1984, 1985 | 23 |
| 8 | Annual Per Capita Fish Consumption by Island, 1980, 1981, 1982 | 24 |
| 9 | Fish Consumption Per Capita by Monthly Per Capita Household Expenditure Group in 1980 | 25 |
| 10 | Disposition of Percentage Total Fish Production in Indonesia, 1980, 1983, 1985 | 27 |
| 11 | Fish Consumption Per Capita/Week in Indonesia, 1980, 1984, 1987 | 28 |
| 12 | SUR Parameter Estimates and Associated Standard Errors of the Demand System without Symmetry Restriction (Logarithmic Linear Equation) | 54 |
| 13 | SUR Parameter Estimates and Associated Standard Errors of the Demand System with Symmetry Restriction (Logarithmic Linear Equation) | 55 |



| | | Page |
|----|--|------|
| 14 | Own Price and Income Elasticities of Fish from Several Studies | 61 |
| 15 | Quantity of Fresh Fish Sold per Day | 79 |
| 16 | Number of Species Sold | 79 |
| 17 | Availability of Fresh Fish in Market | 80 |
| 18 | Selling Hours of Fresh Fishmonger | 80 |
| 19 | Fresh Fishmonger Working Capital | 83 |
| 20 | Total Years as Fresh Fishmonger | 84 |
| 21 | Sold Out of Fresh Fish | 86 |
| 22 | Preservation of Unsold Fresh Fish | 86 |
| 23 | Fresh Fishmonger Selling Location | 87 |
| 24 | Fresh Fishmonger Profit Margin | 88 |
| 25 | Education Levels of Husband and Wife | 93 |
| 26 | Occupations of Husband and Wife | 93 |
| 27 | Total Monthly Household Income | 94 |
| 28 | Years of Stay in Semarang and Years of Marriage | 94 |
| 29 | Housing Status of Respondent | 95 |
| 30 | Household Size | 95 |
| 31 | Household Religion | 96 |
| 32 | Person Who Decides to Buy Fresh Fish and Who Buys It | 99 |
| 33 | Number of Species Known and Number of Species Purchased | 100 |
| 24 | Species Durchased | 100 |



| | | Page |
|----|---|------|
| 35 | Reasons for Purchasing Fresh Fish | 101 |
| 36 | Problems Encountered in Buying Fresh Fish | 101 |
| 37 | Market Availability of Fresh Fish | 102 |
| 38 | Regularity of Fresh Fish Purchase | 102 |
| 39 | Monthly Household Expenditure of Fresh Fish | 103 |
| 40 | Proportion of Income Spent on Fresh Fish, Beef, Chicken, Eggs and "Tahu-tempe" | 104 |
| 41 | Proportion of Income Spent on Fresh Fish by Expenditure of Fresh Fish | 105 |
| 42 | Reasons for Consuming Fresh Fish | 108 |
| 43 | Fish Recipes Usually Practiced by Households | 108 |
| 44 | Number of Fish Recipes Known by Households | 109 |
| 45 | Sources of Fish Recipes | 109 |
| 46 | Meal Time when Fish are Served | 110 |
| 47 | Attitudes Towards Fish | 114 |
| 48 | Attitudes Towards the Statement "Fish is Better than Chicken" by Housewife's Education Level | 115 |
| 49 | Attitudes Towards the Statement "Fish is Better than Beef" by Housewife's Education Level | 116 |
| 50 | Attitudes Towards the Statement "Fish is Available in the Market" by Availability of Fish in the Market | 117 |
| 51 | Attitudes Towards the Statement "Fish is Cheap | 115 |



| | | Page |
|----|--|------|
| 52 | Same Negative Aspects of Fresh Fish | 119 |
| 53 | Absolute Acceptability Index of Milkfish, Mullet, Tilapia, Tuna, Chicken and Beef | 122 |
| 54 | Rank of Fresh Fish, Chicken and Beef | 123 |
| 55 | Relative Acceptability Index of Milkfish, Mullet, Tilapia, Tuna, Chicken and Beef | 123 |
| 56 | OLS Parameter Estimates and Associated Standard Errors of Expenditure Functions of Fresh Fish | 129 |
| 57 | SUR Parameter Estimates and Associated Standard Errors of the Demand System without Symmetry Restriction (Linear Equation) | 131 |
| 58 | SUR Parameter Estimates and Associated Standard Errors of the Demand System with Symmetry Restriction (Linear Equation) | 132 |



LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 1 | Fresh Fish Marketing Channel in Semarang | 81 |
| 2 | Map of Semarang | 136 |



Abstract of thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Pertanian Malaysia in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.

FRESH FISH CONSUMPTION IN SEMARANG INDONESIA

by

DWISETIA POERWOND

January, 1990

Supervisor: Dr. Roslan A. Ghaffar
Faculty: Economics and Management

In Indonesia, the present role of fish in the daily diet is very small. This study is aimed at determining the nature and causes of the low consumption level of fish in Indonesia.

Using cross section data of 179 sampled households and 65 fresh fishmongers in the public markets, the results of this study show that in the retail outlets of fresh fish the market is efficient. Both fishmongers and consumers (households) in majority indicated that fresh fish is readily available in the market. The largest number of households bought fresh fish regularly at least once a week. Milkfish, Mullet, Tilapia and Tuna are the species usually purchased. The major problem when buying fresh fish is freshness of fish.



The acceptability of fresh fish varies among households, but in general, the relative acceptability index shows that chicken is preferred to fresh fish and beef, while milkfish is preferred to other fish and beef.

Two models have been used in this study for estimating the parameters of the freshfish demand system. First, logarithmic linear functions of demand system model -using OLS and second, Zellner's Seemingly Unrelated Regression (SUR) model.

The logarithmic linear function of demand system of fresh fish used in this study looks appropriate for estimating the demand for fresh fish. The symmetry restriction on this demand function is accepted. The Goldfeld-Quandt test applied to the data indicates heteroscedasticity is not a problem in this logarithmic demand system. Meanwhile Zellner's Seemingly Unrelated Regression (SUR) yield estimates which are asymptotically more efficient than those obtained by Ordinary Least Squares (OLS). So, the Seemingly Unrelated Regression have been applied in this study for estimating the demand function of freshfish.

With the exception of Tilapia, the findings of this study show that the demand for fresh fish is elastic with respect to its own price. The coefficient of own price elasticities ranged from -0.6756 to -2.2591. While the expenditure elasticities



are positive and significantly different from zero except for Mullet.

Based on the results of this study, consumption of freshfish can be enhanced if the following actions are also taken: improvement of the fish stall in public markets, promotion of fish consumption, provision of government subsidies on fuel for fishermen, provision of subsidies on fertilizer, pesticides and low interest credit scheme for fishfarmers.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Pertanian Malaysia sebagai memenuhi sebahagian daripada syarat untuk mendapatkan ijazah Master Sains

PENGGUNAAN IKAN SEGAR DI SEMARANG INDONESIA

oleh

DWISETIA POERWONO

January, 1990

Penyelia : Dr. Roslan A. Ghaffar Fakulti : Ekonomi dan Pengurusan

Peranan ikan dalam pemakanan harian di Indonesia adalah sangat kecil. Kajian ini dimaksudkan untuk mengetahui keadaan dan sebab rendahnya paras pengambilan ikan di Indonesia.

Kajian telah dibuat secara rambang dengan data kerat lintang yang melibatkan 179 isirumah dan 65 penjual ikan di pasar awam. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa saluran pasaran runcit ikan adalah cekap (efisien). Penjual dan pembeli pada umumnya menyatakan bahawa ikan segar adalah mencukupi. Sebahagian besar dari pengguna membeli ikan segar secara teratur sekurangkurangnya seminggu sekali, dan yang sering mendapat perhatian ialah ikan Pisangpisang (Bangus), Belanak, Tilapia dan Tuna. Didapati pula bahawa yang menjadi punca utama masalah pada pembelian ikan segar, adalah kesegaran ikan.



Penerimaan ikan oleh pengguna adalah didapati berbeda beda tetapi secara bandingan indeks penggunaan menunjukkan bahawa ayam adalah lebih digemari daripada ikan dan daging lembu, ikan Pisangpisang pula adalah jenis ikan yang paling digemari daripada jenis ikan yang lain ataupun daging lembu.

Dua model telah digunakan dalam kajian ini, iaitu model logaritmic linear dengan OLS dan model Zellner's Seemingly Unrelated Regression (SUR).

Fungsi logarithmic linear bagi permintaan ikan segar yang telah digunakan dalam kajian ini didapati sesuai untuk digunakan di dalam membuat anggaran permintaan ikan segar. Ujian symmetry restriction telah dapat diterima manakala ujian Goldfeld-Quandt telah mengesahkan tiada masalah heteroskedastisiti yang ujud di dalam sistem penganggaran ini. Sementara itu model SUR menghasilkan taksiran yang lebih efisien dibanding taksiran yang diperolehi dari OLS. Oleh sebab itu, SUR digunakan dalam kajian ini untuk menaksir fungsi permintaan ikan segar.

Permintaan ikan segar, kecuali ikan Tilapia, adalah anjal harga dengan nilai pengkali keanjalan adalah di antara -0.6756 hingga -2.2591. Keanjalan perbelanjaan adalah positif dan berbeda secara nyata daripada 0 kecuali untuk ikan Belanak.



Berdasarkan hasil kajian ini, penggunaan ikan segar dapat ditingkatkan jikalau beberapa tindakan berikut juga dilaksanakan iaitu perbaikan tempat penjualan ikan di pasar awam, promosi penakanan ikan segar, subsidi bagi minyak untuk nelayan dan pemberian subsidi baja dan ubat hama serta kredit bunga yang rendah untuk nelayan.



CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Fishery and Indonesian Economic Development

Planning for economic development is a relatively new phenomenon in Indonesia. In fact during the first 20 years after getting independence in 1945, little attention was given to development planning. Planning for economic development became a matter of concern only after the New Order Government took over the running of the country. Following huge budget deficit and hyperinflation, development planning has become a major preoccupation for the New Order Government.

Like many other developing countries, Indonesia has a comprehensive Five Year Development Plan (FYDP) which was implemented beginning in 1969 as follow: Plan I (1969-1973), Plan II (1974-1978), Plan III (1979-1983), Plan IV (1984-1988) and fifth Plan (1989-1993). These plans consisted of programmes for project developments in each sector of the economy, which vary from physical infrastructures construction to non physical ones.

Todaro (1981) defined development as a multidimensional process involving the reorganization and reorientation of the entire economic and social systems. Additionally, in



multidimensional development process there are three major elements i.e: population growth, natural resources and accumulation of capital (Richard, 1973).

Fisheries, particularly the capture marine fisheries is one of the natural resources which can be categorized as renewable if it is developed and managed adequately. However, it is difficult to make precise determination of the potential fish resources in Indonesian waters. This is due to the limited amount of research conducted to assess the resource's magnitude and potential. The Directorate General of Fisheries (1984) estimated that only around 30 percent of the potential fisheries resources have been exploited.

With the establishment of the Exclusive Economic Zone in 1980, the total area of the sea under Indonesia's jurisdiction came to be about 5.8 million square kilometers. In addition, Indonesia has more than 200,000 ha of brackishwater ponds (Chong et al., 1984). Based on this big potential of fisheries resources, in general, the objective of fisheries development would be to contribute to general economic development in terms of providing food, foreign exchange and employment. The main objectives of fisheries development can be summarized as follows:

(1) to enable the attainment of better income and living standards for the small fishermen and fishfarmers and to enhance employment opportunities;



- (2) to improve the productivity of fishermen and fishfarmers and at the same time to increase total fish production;
- (3) to increase fish consumption, particularly among low income population groups;
- (4) to increase exports and decrease imports of fisheries products, and
- (5) to control the utilization and management of fisheries resources efficiently and effectively.

To accomplish these objectives, annual plans consisting of programmes for project developments have been carried out by the government.

The Fisheries Sector and the Indonesian Economy

Importance of Fisheries Sector

Although the fisheries sector contributes a relatively small share of Indonesia's Gross Domestic Product (GDP) it still makes an important contribution to employment and export earnings. Table 1 shows that the share of fisheries to the Gross Domestic Product decreased during 1967-1976. Eventhough there was relatively rapid growth of production, fisheries' share of Gross Domestic Product in 1977 to 1985 was rather stable.



Table 1

The Fisheries and Agriculture Sector as Percentage of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) at Current Market Prices, 1967-1985 (Rp billion)

| ======= | Total | ========= | Percent | | Percent |
|---------|---------|-------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Years | GDP | Agriculture | of GDP | Fisheries | of GDP |
| 1967 | 848 | 454 | 53.5 | 54 | 6.3 |
| 1968 | 2097 | 1069 | 50.9 | 75 | 3.5 |
| 1969 | 2718 | 1339 | 49.2 | 101 | 3.7 |
| 1970 | 3340 | 1575 | 47.1 | 112 | 3.3 |
| 1971 | 3672 | 1646 | 44.8 | 116 | 3.1 |
| 1972 | 4564 | 1837 | 40.2 | 114 | 2.4 |
| 1973 | 6753 | 2710 | 40.1 | 134 | 1.9 |
| 1974 | 10708 | 3497 | 32.6 | 179 | 1.6 |
| 1975 | 12643 | 4003 | 31.6 | 191 | 1.5 |
| 1976 | 15467 | 4812 | 31.1 | 215 | 1.4 |
| 1977 | 19033 | 5906 | 31.0 | 328 | 1.7 |
| 1978 | 22746 | 6706 | 29.4 | 393 | 1.7 |
| 1979 | 32025 | 8996 | 28.1 | 575 | 1.8 |
| 1980 | 45446 | 11290 | 24.8 | 803 | 1.7 |
| 1981 | 54027 | 13643 | 25.2 | 912 | 1.6 |
| 1982 | 59633 | 15668 | 26.2 | 1053 | 1.7 |
| 1983 | 73697 | 17696.2 | 24.0 | 1220.1 | 1.6 |
| 1984 | 87535.5 | 20617.3 | 23.5 | 1373.1 | 1.5 |
| 1985 | 96066.4 | 22649.6 | 23.5 | 1556.6 | 1.6 |

Source: Central Bureau of Statistics, Indonesia.



The fisheries sector is an important source of employment, providing jobs for 1,347,000 marine fishermen, 444,000 inland open water fishermen and 1,509,400 fishfarmers in 1987 (Table 2). In addition to giving jobs for more than three million fishermen and fishfarmers, it is believed that the sector provides employment to hundreds of thousands of persons in fish processing, handling, transport and marketing as well as in related industries that support the sector (accurate data are not available). By looking at the data from 1976 to 1987, total number of fishermen and fishfarmers has been the increasing at an average annual rate of 6.1 percent. It should be noted that 3 million persons is equivalent to about 5 percent of Indonesia's total labour force. These figures imply that the productivity of fisheries sector is quite low, that is 5 percent of the labour force producing about 1.6 percent of the Gross Domestic Product. As a comparison, the agricultural sector (including agriculture, forestry, wild-life and fishery) which absorbed 54.65 percent of total labour force has a contribution of 24 percent of Gross Domestic Product. At the same time 14.8 percent of the total labour force in the trade sector produced about 15.5 percent of the Gross Domestic Product.

Indonesia's foreign exchange earnings from the fisheries sector have grown rapidly from US\$7.0 million in 1970 to US\$480.0 million in 1987 (Table 3). This rapid growth was due



Table 2

Number of Fishermen and Fishfarmers, 1976-1987

| Year | Marine Fishermen | Open Water Fishermen | Fishfarmers | Total |
|------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| 1976 | 994 169 | 482 562 | 745 892 | 2 222 623 |
| 1977 | 811 512 | 402 021 | 609 571 | 1 823 104 |
| 1978 | 831 865 | 330 760 | 664 195 | 1 826 820 |
| 1979 | 888 997 | 315 484 | 827 139 | 2 031 620 |
| 1980 | 970 731 | 411 663 | 849 121 | 2 231 515 |
| 1981 | 1 104 649 | 445 776 | 963 432 | 2 513 857 |
| 1982 | 1 170 864 | 439 605 | 997 069 | 2 607 538 |
| 1983 | 1 226 643 | 424 726 | 1 089 749 | 2 741 118 |
| 1984 | 1 294 472 | 438 953 | 1 150 294 | 2 883 719 |
| 1985 | 1 286 448 | 434 290 | 1 282 095 | 3 002 833 |
| 1986 | 1 317 300 | 439 100 | 1 391 100 | 3 147 500 |
| 1987 | 1 347 000 | 444 000 | 1 509 400 | 3 300 400 |
| | | | | |

Sources: Fisheries Statistics of Indonesia, Directorate General of Fisheries, Various issues



Table 3

Export Volume and Export Value of Fisheries Product,
1970-1987

| Year | (,000 mt) | Value (US\$ million) |
|------|------------|---------------------------|
| 1970 | 20.1 | 7.0 |
| 1971 | 30.8 | 19.0 |
| 1972 | 41.2 | 34.9 |
| 1973 | 52.2 | 68.2 |
| 1974 | 55.0 | 92.3 |
| 1975 | 40.7 | 89.2 |
| 1976 | 54.4 | 131.4 |
| 1977 | 57.5 | 163.0 |
| 1978 | 63.5 | 193.4 |
| 1979 | 68.3 | 236.8 |
| 1980 | 78.7 | 226.4 |
| 1981 | 75.2 | 225.4 |
| 1982 | 88.1 | 253.6 |
| 1983 | 87.4 | 251.5 |
| 1984 | 75.7 | 248.0 |
| 1985 | 84.4 | 259.4 |
| 1986 | 107.3 | 373.9 |
| 1987 | 125.8 | 480.0 |
| | | |

Sources: Central Bureau of Statistics, Indonesia

