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Considerable research interest has been directed towards the use of composite for crashworthiness applications, because they can be designed to provide impact energy absorption capabilities which are superior to those of metals when compared on weight basis. The use of composite circular tubes in structural applications is becoming more widespread throughout the automotive, aircraft industry.

This work examines the effect of segmentation on the crushing behaviour, energy absorption and failure mode of composite circular tubes. The segmented composite tube consists of more than one material, each with its own specific functions. Throughout this study, segmented and non segmented composite tubes with different sequences were experimentally investigated under axial and lateral loading conditions. The effect of fibre reinforcement type and segments sequence on energy absorption and load carrying capacity were also presented and discussed.
Load-displacement curves and deformation histories of typical specimens are presented and discussed. The results showed that non-segmented composite tubes were found to be very brittle (i.e. tissue mat glass fibre/epoxy tubes), and show very low initial failure crush load value of 1.89kN, as well as low specific energy absorption value of 0.065kJ/kg under axial crushing. Whereas, the carbon fabric fibre reinforced plastic (CFRP) tubes showed highest load-carrying capacity among the tested specimens with initial failure crush load value of 18.85kN as well as specific energy absorption value of 19.27kJ/kg.

On the other hand, segmented composite tubes including the tissue mat glass fibres were found to suffer from low energy absorption and the catastrophic failure mechanism initiated at the part made of tissue mat glass fibre/epoxy. Segmented Composite tubes from carbon fabric fibre and cotton fabric fibres exhibited good specific energy absorption value of 13.53kJ/kg as well as stable load-carrying capacity under axial loading. A change in segmentation sequence affects the crush loads significantly just for double fibre segmented composite tubes under lateral loading.

The axial loaded segmented composite tubes have better load carrying capacity and energy absorption capability compared to the laterally loaded segmented composite tubes, and the failure modes were quite different.
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Banyak penyelidikan kini berarah kepada penggunaan komposit bagi aplikasi "crashworthiness" disebabkan ia dapat di reka bentuk untuk menyediakan keupayaan tenaga hentaman impak, dimana adalah lebih tinggi daripada logam-logam apabila hendak dibandingkan dengan ciri berat. Kegunaan komposit tiub berongga di dalam aplikasi struktur telah mendapat perhatian meluas hingga ke industri automotif, udara dan aeroangkasa.

Kerja penyelidikan yang dilakukan ini meliputi kesan segmentasi terhadap kelakuan remukan dan mod tenaga penyerapan bagi tiub komposit berongga. Tiub komposit berseggmen mempunyai lebih dari satu bahan, setiap satu mempunyai cirri masing-masing. Kajian yang dibuat, segmen atau tidak berseggmen dengan cirri-ciri yang berlainan telah disiasat dibawah bebanan axial dan lateral. Kesan daripada jenis gentian tetulang dan ciri-ciri segmen terhadap tenaga penyerapan dan kapasiti beban

Komposit tiub bersegmen pula, termasuk tikar tisu gentian kaca telah didapati mengalami kadar penyerapan tenaga yang rendah dan mekanisma kegagalan teruk pada bahagian yang diperbuat daripada gentian/epoksi tersebut. Komposit tiub bersegmen menunjukkan kadar penyerapan yang baik dan juga kapasiti beban bawaan yang stabil dibawah bebanan axial. Perubahan ciri segmentasi tiub komposit akan mempengaruhi beban remukan (DF) dibawah bebanan lateral.

Beban axial tiub komposit bersegmen mempunyai kadar beban bawaan dan keupayan kadar penyerapan tenaga keatas tiub komposit bersegmen yang dikenakan beban lateral tetapi pada sifat kegagalan yang berbeza.
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NOMENCLATURE

A  Cross-section area
R  Radius of the tube
D  Diameters of the tube
H  Height of the tube
M  Weight of the tube
P_m  Average crush failure load
P_i  Initial crush failure load
P_{1P}  First peak crush failure load
P_{p_1}  Highest first peak crush load
s  Instantaneous deformation
CFE  Crush force efficiency
SE  Stroke efficiency
E_s  Crushing energy absorbed per unit mass
MM  Multi failure modes
SM  Single failure modes
CT  Cotton fabric fibre
C  Carbon fabric fibre
GT  Tissue mat glass fibre
FRP  Fibre-reinforced plastic
SF  Single fibre
DF  Double fibre
TF  Triple fibre
IFI  Initial failure indicator
u  Crush distance
E_{11}  Young’s modulus in 1 direction
\nu_{12}  Poisson’s ratio in 1-2 direction
G_{12}  Shear modulus
Q_{ij}  Reduced Stiffness
A_{ij}  Represents the extensional stiffness matrix
B_{ij}  Represents the coupling stiffness matrix
D_{ij}  Represents the bending stiffness matrix
$N_x, N_y$, Normal forces in $x$-direction, $y$-direction resultants
$N_{xy}$, Shear force resultants
$M_x, M_y$, Bending moment resultants
$M_{xy}$, Twisting moment resultants
$k^0$, Curvature strain
$\sigma_1^t$, Tensile failure stress in the 1 direction
$\sigma_1^c$, Compressive failure stress in the 1 direction
$\sigma_2^t$, Tensile failure stress in the 2 direction
$\sigma_2^c$, Compressive failure stress in the 2 direction
$\tau_{12}^s$, Shear failure stress in the 1-2 plane
CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

Vehicle crashworthiness has been improved in recent years with attention mainly directed towards reducing the impact of the crash on the passengers. Efforts have been made in experimental research to establish safe theoretical design criteria for the mechanics of crumpling, providing the engineers with the ability to design vehicle structures so that the maximum amount of energy will dissipate while the material surrounding the passenger compartment is deformed, thus protecting the people inside.

During the last two decades, the attention given to crashworthiness and crash energy management has been centred on fibrous composite structures. The main advantages of fibre reinforced composite materials over conventional metals; however, are their high specific strength and stiffness, which can be achieved. It is also interesting to note that despite their relatively low strength and stiffness to weight ratio metal tubes are versatile construction components and are also efficient energy absorbing elements. In that manner, aluminium and paper honeycombs are rated as very efficient energy absorbers.

Moreover, with composites, the designer can vary the type of fibre, matrix and fibre orientation to produce composites with improved material properties. Besides the perspective of reduced weight, design flexibility and low fabrication costs of
composite materials offer a considerable potential for lightweight energy absorbing structures, these facts attract the attention of the automotive and aircraft industry owing to the increased use of composite materials in various applications, such as frame rails and the sub-floor of an aircraft, replacing the conventional materials used

Previous investigations indicated that composite shells deform in a manner different to similar structural components made of conventional materials, (i.e. metals/polymers) since micro failure modes, such as matrix cracking, delamination, fibre breakage etc, constitute the main failure modes of these collapsed structures. Therefore, this complex fracture mechanism renders difficulties to theoretically model the collapse behaviour of fibre-reinforced composite shells.

Extensive research work has focused primarily on axial loading and bending of simple thin-walled composite structures. The effect of specimen geometry on the energy absorption capability was investigated by varying the cross-sectional dimensions, wall thickness and length of the shell. The effect of the type of composite material, laminate sequence, loading conditions and strain rate on the crashworthy behaviour of the components were also studied. Environmental effects related to crash characteristics of composites have also been investigated.

However, the behaviour of crushable composite energy absorber devices is often unstable, with energy absorption rising and falling erratically. This instability is one of the more critical problems in using fibre composites for crash energy management. It is interesting to note that specific energy absorption capability of
composite devices is significantly influenced by the failure mechanism, which depends on many factors, such as material constituent, fibre architecture, fabrication process, geometry of elementary substructures and loading conditions.

It could be concluded from that axial crushing of non-trigger tubes (i.e. tube with constant thickness and straight wall) produces an unstable load-end shortening behaviour characterized by energy absorption rising and falling erratically. In previous work, the initial crush failure load was found to have a significant effect on the energy absorption during crush.

To achieve stable crush-deformation behaviour as well as to maximize the energy absorption capability of the composites structures, the sharpness and magnitude of the instability needs to be minimized or eliminated. Extensive experimental work concerning the axial collapse of thin-walled composite material tubes of various geometries and material under various loading conditions demonstrated a high energy absorbing capability of these materials.

The operating loads of laterally compressed tubes are for lower than those of axially compressed tubes and the energy absorbed by laterally loaded tube is about an order of magnitude less than what it can absorb under axial compression, however the energy absorbing capability of laterally compressed tubes can be increased by encouraging the tubes to deform in alternative modes which involve more plastic hinges. The stable crushing stage is generally more important because this is where much of the energy absorption takes place. Compliant material (i.e. cotton fabric
CTFRP) has used to achieve this stability, with low post crash stage. Whereas, when
the stiff composite material is used (i.e. carbon fibre CFRP or tissue mat GTFRP), it
exhibited high post crash stage with unstable behaviour.

The inspiration of the current work is to study the crashworthiness performance of
segmented woven roving laminated composite tubes in terms of energy absorption
capability and load carrying capacity subjected to quasi-static axial and lateral
crushing load.

1.1 Segmentation Concept

Most of the existing data concerns with the failure mechanism and energy absorbing
characteristics are obtained from the crushing investigation of composite circular
tubes. Therefore, it was preferred to examine the effect of segmentation on their
crushing behaviour. Tubes with same diameters were chosen to eliminate the
influence of geometry so that the effect of segmentation remains.

1.2 Objectives

The main objectives of this work are:

1. To study the effect of loading conditions on crushing behaviour of segmented
   composite tubes.
2. To study the crushing behaviour for different arrangements of axial segmentation composite tubes.

3. To examine the energy absorption capability of axially segmented composite tubes.

1.3 Significance of the Study

It is interesting to study the effect of the segmentation on composite materials, and also to investigate the energy absorber devices using different materials as composite-composite materials. This study is important because of the following;

1. The present study is focused on introducing a new concept for collapsible energy absorber device.

2. This study may change the design philosophy of applying the hybrid energy absorber system.

3. The generated data from this study can be useful in the design of energy absorber elements made from composite materials.

1.4 Organization of Thesis

The thesis is organised as follows: Chapter one is an introduction of thesis and the objectives. Chapter two reviews of the literature of the fibre reinforced composite materials and studies on their use as energy absorption structure devices. Methodology used in this study has explained in Chapter three. Experimental results