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In recent years, there has been considerable interest in the beneficial effects of probiotics (direct-fed microbials, which include Lactobacillus) to modulate the lipid metabolism. However, the mechanism(s) involved remains unclear. A series of experiments was carried out to investigate the ability of 12 Lactobacillus strains to deconjugate bile salts and to remove cholesterol in vitro, and to assess their potential as a probiotic and as a hypolipidaemic agent for broilers and laying hens. Bile salt hydrolase (BSH) activity (resulting in bile salt deconjugation) of intestinal bacteria is closely linked to the lowering of cholesterol. The results of the in vitro studies showed that all the 12 Lactobacillus strains could deconjugate sodium glycocholate (GCA) and sodium taurocholate (TCA) bile salts, and all the strains, except L. fermentum I 24, had a higher affinity for GCA. However, only eight strains could deconjugate sodium taurodeoxycholate (TDCA). This indicates that the BSH of the Lactobacillus strains is substrate specific. The 12 Lactobacillus strains showed significant differences in their ability to reduce cholesterol from the growth medium (27 to 85 %) with or without bile salt, indicating that bile salt is not a prerequisite for the removal of cholesterol. Lactobacillus acidophilus I 16, L. crispatus I 12, L.
brevis C 17 and I 211, and L. fermentum I 24 and I 25 removed cholesterol from the growth medium mainly through assimilation of cholesterol into the cells. On the other hand, L. brevis C 1, C 10, I 23 and I 218, and L. fermentum C 16 removed cholesterol through both assimilation and co-precipitation of deconjugated bile salt with cholesterol at low pH. The Lactobacillus strains assimilated more esterified than non-esterified cholesterol and the assimilated cholesterol was tightly bound to the cells. Cells grown in the presence of cholesterol were more resistant to lysis by sonication than when grown in its absence, suggesting a possible alteration of the cell wall or membrane by the assimilated cholesterol. Cholesterol removal by the Lactobacillus strains was also affected by Tween 80.

The feeding trials showed that the supplementation of a mixture of the 12 Lactobacillus cultures (LC), as a probiotic for broilers, significantly improved growth equivalent to that provided by the antibiotic, oxytetracycline, but the feed conversion ratio was better in LC-fed broilers. The supplementation of LC also significantly lowered the total cholesterol, low density lipoprotein cholesterol and triglycerides of the serum; the cholesterol of the carcass and liver; abdominal fat deposition; and fat contents of the liver, muscle and carcass of broilers; but there was little effect on the fatty acid compositions of the liver, muscle and carcass.

In laying hens, the supplementation of LC improved the feed efficiency and hen-day egg production during the early stage of the laying cycle, and increased egg weight and influenced a shift from small and medium to large and extra large eggs throughout the laying cycle. However, LC had very little effect on improving the fatty acid composition, and the cholesterol and total fat contents of eggs.
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Sejak kebelakangan ini, kecenderungan untuk menggunakan probiotik (mikrob makanan, termasuk Lactobacillus) dalam mengawal atur metabolisma lipid semakin berkembang. Namun demikian, mekanisma yang terlibat masih tidak jelas.

Satu siri eksperimen telah dijalankan untuk mengkaji keupayaan 12 strain Lactobacillus untuk melakukan dikonjugasi garam hempedu (garam konjugat) dan mengurangkan kolesterol secara in vitro, serta kesannya sebagai probiotik dan agen hypolipidimik terhadap ayam pedaging dan ayam penelur. Aktiviti enzim “bile salt hydrolase (BSH)” (yang menyebabkan dikonjugasi garam hempedu) usus berkait rapat dengan pengurangan kolesterol. Hasil kajian in vitro menunjukkan bahawa kesemua 12 strain Lactobacillus berupaya melakukan dikonjugasi garam “glychocholate” (GCA) dan garam “taurocholate” (TCA), dan kesemua strain, kecuali L. fermentum I 24, menunjukkan afiniti yang lebih tinggi terhadap GCA. Tetapi hanya lapan strain berupaya melakukan dikonjugasi garam “taurodeoxycholate”. Ini menunjukkan bahawa aktiviti BSH Lactobacillus adalah spesifik substrat. Duabelas strain Lactobacillus ini juga menunjukkan keupayaan untuk mengurangkan kolesterol dari media kultur (25 hingga 85 %) yang ada atau tiada garam hempedu. Pengurangan kolesterol dari media kultur oleh L. acidophilus
I 16, L. crispatus I 12, L. brevis C 17 dan I 211, dan L. fermentum I 24 dan I 25 adalah terutamanya melalui asimilasi kolesterol oleh sel. Pengurangan kolesterol oleh L. brevis C 1, C 10, I 23 dan I 218, dan L. fermentum C 16 pula, adalah melalui asimilasi dan juga ko-mendakan garam hemptedu tak berkonjugat bersama kolesterol pada pH yang rendah. Strain Lactobacillus mengasimilasi lebih banyak kolesterol ester berbanding dengan kolesterol bebas dan kolesterol yang diasimilasi didapati terikat dengan kuat pada sel. Sel yang ditumbuhkan bersama kolesterol juga lebih resistan kepada sonikasi, mencadangkan bahawa pengubahsuaian pada dinding atau membran sel berlaku setelah mengasimilasi kolesterol. Pengurangan kolesterol oleh strain Lactobacillus juga bergantung pada Tween 80.

Hasil kajian in vivo menunjukkan bahawa campuran 12 strain Lactobacillus (LC), sebagai probiotik pada ayam pedaging dapat meningkatkan berat badan sama seperti antibiotik “oxytetracycline”, tetapi kadar penukaran makanan ayam adalah lebih baik pada ayam yang di beri LC. Penambahan LC pada ayam juga dapat menurunkan paras “total” kolesterol, “low density lipoprotein” kolesterol dan trigliserida di serum; kandungan kolesterol pada karkas dan hati; lemak berlebihan pada bahagian abdomen; dan kandungan lemak pada hati, otot dan karkas; tetapi tidak berupaya mengubah profil asid lemak pada hati, otot dan karkas.

Ayam penelur yang di beri LC dapat meningkatkan kadar penukaran makanan dan produksi telur pada peringkat awal peneluran serta dapat menghasilkan telur yang lebih berat dan saiz yang lebih besar sepanjang proses peneluran. Namun demikian, LC kurang berkesan untuk mengubah profil asid lemak, atau menurunkan paras kolesterol dan lemak di telur.
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The worldwide poultry industry provides a substantial proportion of the nutritional requirement of the human population. Poultry meat is perceived to be lean and low in cholesterol, so it may come as a surprise to learn that poultry scientists and producers are increasingly concerned about the amount of fat present in chicken meat. Chambers et al. (1981), Lin (1981) and Havenstein et al. (1994) reported that, as a result of selection strategy for body weight gain or growth rate, modern fast-growing broilers have been found to contain about four times higher amounts of abdominal fat than those in the 1960s. Eggs have also been viewed with suspicion today because of their high cholesterol content (Stadelman, 1999). In the US, egg consumption has declined from 256 eggs per capita per year in 1985 to 235 in 1995 (USDA, 1997). The lipid composition of animal products is a primary consumer concern as high fat and cholesterol intakes have been implicated to contribute to coronary heart disease, the most common chronic illness in developed countries. To the poultry producers, on the other hand, excess fat is an economic burden, as fat is lost during processing of the carcass or of the meat, resulting in lower meat yields and, furthermore, the discarded abdominal fat and visceral fat increases waste management problems. This has put the poultry production system under pressure and, therefore, much attention is now directed towards producing healthier meat and eggs such that the lipid fraction is improved (reduced cholesterol and fat and improvement of the fatty acid make-up). Animal feed strategies, genetic selections, and gene manipulation are some of the techniques that have been developed to alter the lipid composition in broilers (Jiménez-Colmenero, 2000) and
egg yolk (Hargis, 1988). However, very often these techniques are cost prohibitive or may impair performances and, therefore, not economically feasible to be applied at commercial scale. Animal welfare and environmental issues may also be linked in the application of these techniques.

Performance and economic returns are one of the main concerns of the commercial poultry industry. To achieve these goals, very often, intensive farming systems are adopted, subjecting broilers and laying hens to various stressful situations. Stress may lower the body’s defense mechanism and create an imbalance in the intestinal microflora (Fuller, 1999), which in turn increases susceptibility to infectious diseases, resulting in poor performance. Efforts to prevent or reduce avian diseases include improved management practices, but inevitably at a cost, because this requires high quality feed manufacturing and feeding systems where the environment and the feed are relatively pathogen-free (Zhang-Barber et al., 1999). The benefits of incorporating antibiotic growth promoters in animal feeds are well substantiated (Bedford, 2000). These products have been used for many years by the poultry industry and have proved to be an effective way of enhancing animal status, uniformity and production efficiency. The Union of Concerned Scientists recently estimated that, each year, 11.2 million kg of antimicrobials are given to animals for non-therapeutic purposes, and 900,000 kg are given for therapy, thus, it is fair to state that substantial amounts of antimicrobials are administered to food animals for growth promotion and feed efficiency in the absence of known disease (Gorbach, 2001). However, the use of antibiotics as growth promoters is severely restricted or totally banned in poultry production in many countries, largely because of concern on the development of resistant bacterial strains and residual toxicity in