



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**EVALUATION OF FISH SILAGE AS A PROTEIN SOURCE
IN THE DIET FOR RIVER CATFISH
MYSTUS NEMURUS (C. &V.)**

BUSTARI HASAN

IB 2001 8

**EVALUATION OF FISH SILAGE AS A PROTEIN SOURCE
IN THE DIET FOR RIVER CATFISH
MYSTUS NEMURUS (C. &V.)**

By

BUSTARI HASAN

**A Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirement for
the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Institute of Bioscience
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

May 2001



DEDICATION

To my parents, H. Hasan and Hj. Nurimah; my wife Fahria Hadari;
my children, Rizka Habiba Bustari and Muhamad Rizki Bustari who gave me
supports and understandings during my study



Abstract of the thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**EVALUATION OF FISH SILAGE AS A PROTEIN SOURCE
IN THE DIETS FOR RIVER CATFISH
MYSTUS NEMURUS (C. &V.)**

By

BUSTARI HASAN

May 2001

Chairman: Che Roos Saad, Ph.D

Faculty : Institute of Bioscience

A series of experiments was conducted to determine: 1) optimal dietary energy and protein levels for maximum growth of *Mystus nemurus* (C. & V.), 2) preparation and nutritional quality of fish silage, 3) optimal inclusion levels of fish silage replacing fishmeal in the fish diets, 4) digestibility values of dietary fish silage by fish. Eight experimental diets were formulated to contain four energy levels (2.75, 3.00, 3.25 and 3.50 kcal DE/g) at each of the two protein levels (38% and 42%), and fed to groups of fingerlings (1.88-213 g) for 10 weeks). It was found that the diet containing 3.25 kcal DE/g and 42% protein (7.74 kcal DE/g protein) produced the best growth and food utilization.

Five fermented silage and one acid silage were made from short-bodied mackerel (*Rastrelinger brachysoma*). The fermented silage were prepared by the



addition of molasses with different fermentation starters to fish mince, namely: LBPN (80% fish + 15% molasses + 5% *Lactobacillus pentosus* pure culture), LBPL (80% fish + 15% molasses + 5% *Lactobacillus plantarum* pure culture), LFBS (70% fish + 15% molasses + 15% liquid fermented bamboo shot), ALPN (70% fish + 15% molasses + 15% aged *Lactobacillus pentosus* silage), ALPL (70% fish + 15% molasses + 15% aged *Lactobacillus plantarum* silage). Acid silage (FAS) was made by addition of 3% (w/w) of formic acid to fish mince. These silage were stored at room temperature for 60-180 days. All silage were stable in pH (< 4.5), and no spoilage odor was detected through out the storage duration. Nutritional quality of the silage varied with preparation methods. Fermented silage, especially LBPN was more desirable due to less non-protein nitrogen production than acid silage.

Fermented and acid fish silage were co-dried with soybean meal (1:1 dry weight basis) and the mixtures were incorporated in fish diets at various levels to substitute fishmeal. Eight test diets (42% protein and 4.20 kcal GE/g) were formulated to contain co-dried fermented fish silage (CFS) and co-dried acid fish silage (CAS) as a replacement for fishmeal. Four of the test diets were composed of CFS at the inclusion levels of 15% (CFS-1), 30% (CFS-2), 45% (CFS-3), and 60% (CFS-4); and the other four diets were composed of CAS at the inclusion levels of 15% (CAS-1), 30% (CAS-2), 45% (CAS-3), and 60% (CAS-4). A control diet (C) was prepared with 60% fishmeal without fish silage. The diets were fed to *Mystus nemurus* fingerlings for 10 weeks. One percent of chromium

oxide (Cr_2O_3) was included in the diets for diet digestibility determination. The losses of dry matter (LDM) of the diets after 10 and 30 minutes in the water (LDM-10 min and LDM-30 min) were 2.31-4.47% and 8.19-18.58%, respectively for CFS diets, 2.40-4.51% and 9.32-18.26%, respectively for CAS diets and 2.12 and 6.43%, respectively for control diet. The pH values were 6.57-5.78 for CFS diets, 5.64-4.96 for CAS diets and 6.78 for control diet. The essential amino acid profiles (A/E ratio) of both CFS and CAS diets were comparable to A/E ratio of *Mystus nemurus*. Apparent dry matter, protein and energy digestibility values for both CFS and CAS diets were similar to the control diet. Inclusion of CFS in fish diets up to 45% did not affect growth performance as compared to control diet. However inclusion of more than 15% CAS in fish diets reduced growth performance.

Abstrak disertasi dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi syarat untuk mendapatkan Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PENILAIAN SILAJ IKAN SEBAGAI SUMBER PROTEIN DALAM
MAKANAN UNTUK IKAN BAUNG
MYSTUS NEMURUS (C&V)**

Oleh

BUSTARI HASAN

Mei 2001

Pengerusi: Che Roos Saad, Ph.D.

Fakulti : Institut Biosains

Satu siri penyelidikan telah dijalankan untuk mengetahui: 1) paras tenaga dan protein untuk pertumbuhan maksimum ikan baung (*Mystus nemurus*, C.&V.), 2) penyediaan dan mutu pemakanan silaj ikan, 3) paras kandungan silaj menggantikan tepung ikan dalam makanan ikan dan 4) nilai penghadaman silaj bagi ikan. Lapan diet ujian dirumuskan mengandungi empat paras tenaga (2.75, 3.00, 3.25 dan 3.50 kcal DE/g) pada setiap paras dari pada dua paras protein (38 dan 42%) dan diberikan kepada kumpulan anak ikan (1.88-2.13 g) selama 10 minggu. Didapati makanan yang mengandungi 3.25 kcal DE/g dan 42% protein (7.74 kcal DE/g protein) menghasilkan pertumbuhan dan penggunaan makanan yang terbaik.

Lima silaj penapaian dan satu silaj asid dibuat dari ikan kembong kecil (*Rastrelinger branchysoma*). Silaj penapaian disediakan dengan penambahan



gula molas dengan starter penapaian yang berlainan kepada hancuran ikan: LBPN (80% ikan + 15% gula molas + 5% kultur murni *Lactobacillus pentosus*), LBPL (80% ikqn + 15% gula molas + 5% kultur murni *Lactobacillus plantarum*), LFBS (70% ikan + 15% gula molas + 15% air jeruk rebung), ALPN (70% ikan + 15% gula molas + 15% silaj *Lactobacillus pentosus* yang sudah jadi), ALPL (70% ikan + 15% gula molas + 15% silaj *Lactobacillus plantarum* yang sudah jadi). Silaj asid (FAS) dibuat dengan menambahkan asid formik 3% (berat/berat) kepada hancuran ikan. Keseluruhan silaj disimpan pada suhu bilik selama 60-180 hari. Keseluruhan silaj adalah stabil dalam pH dan tidak didapati bau busuk selama penyimpanan. Nilai mutu pemakanan silaj berbeza mengikut kaedah penyediaan. Silaj peragian, khususnya LBPN lebih dipilih kerana pengeluaran non-protein nitrogen yang lebih rendah dari pada silaj asid.

Silaj peragian dan silaj asid dikeringkan dengan tepung soya (1:1 berat kering) dan campuran dimasukkan ke dalam makanan ikan pada pelbagai paras untuk menggantikan tepung ikan. Lapan diet ujian (42% protein dan 4.20 kcal GE/g) dirumuskan untuk mengandungi silaj penapaian campuran (CFS) dan silaj asid campuran (CAS). Empat dari pada diet ujian mengandungi CFS: 15% (CFS-1), 30% (CFS-2), 45% (CFS-3), dan 60% (CFS-4); dan empat diet lain mengandungi CAS: 15% (CAS-1), 30% (CAS-2), 45% (CAS-3), dan 60% (CAS-4). Diet kawalan (C) disediakan dengan 60% tepung ikan tanpa silaj. Kesemua diet diberikan kepada ikan selama 10 minggu. Satu peratus oksida kromium (Cr_2O_3) dimasukkan ke dalam diet ikan untuk menentukan nilai

penghadaman diet. Kehilangan bahan kering di dalam air setelah 10 dan 30 minit (LDM-10 min and LDM-30 min) adalah 2.31-4.47% and 8.19-18.58% berturut-turut untuk diet CFS, 2.40-4.51% dan 9.32-18.26% berturut-turut untuk diet CAS dan 2.12 and 6.43% berturut-turut untuk diet kawalan. Nilai pH adalah 6.57-5.78 untuk diet CFS, 5.64-4.96 untuk diet CAS and 6.78 untuk diet kawalan. Profil asid amino perlu (A/E ratio) dari kedua diet CFS dan CAS adalah setara dengan A/E ratio bagi *Mystus nemurus*. Nilai penghadaman bahan kering, protein dan tenaga untuk kedua jenis diet adalah serupa dengan diet kawalan. Pemasukan CFS ke dalam diet sehingga 45% tidak memberi kesan negatif terhadap pertumbuhan ikan bila dibandingkan dengan diet kawalan. Namun pemasukan CAS dalam diet melebihi dari 15% akan mengurangi penampilan pertumbuhan ikan.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his grateful acknowledgment to Dr. Che Roos Bin Saad, chairman of his advisory committee, for his assistance, guidance and friendliness. Sincere gratitude is expressed to Dr. Zaiton Hassan, Associate Professor Dr. Mohd. Salleh Kamarudin, and Associate Professor Dr. Abdul Razak Alimon for suggestions and comments. Appreciations are extended to University Putra Malaysian (IRPA project) and Riau State Government for financial support during my study. Special thanks are also extended to Institute of Bioscience, Faculty of Food Science and Biotechnology and Faculty of Agriculture for Laboratory facilities.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ix
APPROVAL SHEETS	x
DECLARATION FORM	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
LIST OF PLATES	xviii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
 CHAPTER	
1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
Importance of Energy-protein Ratio in Fish Diets	6
Preparation and Nutritional Quality of Fish Silage	13
Use of Fish Silage in Diets for Animal and Fish	23
Digestibility Determinations and their Values for Various Feedstuffs by Fish	28
3 GENERAL METHODOLOGY	36
Experimental Facilities	36
Experimental Diets	36
Experimental Animals and Feeding Trials	40
Analytical and Statistical Procedures	42
4 OPTIMUM DIETARY ENERGY AND PROTEIN LEVELS FOR MAXIMUM GROWTH OF <i>MYSTUS NEMURUS</i>	49
Introduction	49
Materials and Methods	50
Results	54
Discussion	65
Conclusion	71



5	PREPARATION AND EVALUATION OF FISH SILAGE . . .	72
	Introduction	72
	Materials and Methods	73
	Results	77
	Discussion	83
	Conclusion	87
6	EFFECTS OF SUBSTITUTION OF FISHMEAL WITH CO-DRIED FISH SILAGE ON GROWTH PERFORMANCE OF <i>MYSTUS NEMURUS</i>	88
	Introduction	88
	Materials and Methods	89
	Results	93
	Discussion	109
	Conclusion	113
7	DIGESTIBILITY OF CO-DRIED FISH SILAGE IN <i>MYSTUS NEMURUS</i>	115
	Introduction	115
	Materials and Methods	116
	Results	120
	Discussion	124
	Conclusion	126
8	GENERAL DISCUSSION	127
9	GENERAL CONCLUSION	132
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	134
	APPENDICES	150
	BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR	162



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
4.1 Proximate Composition of Feed Ingredients Used for Energy-protein Experimental Diets	52
4.2 Formulation and Proximate Composition of Energy-protein Experimental Diets	53
4.3 Means of Water Quality Parameters Measured During Feeding Trials	55
4.4 The Effects of Dietary Energy and Protein Levels on Daily Intake of Food (FI), Protein (PI) and Energy (EI)	55
4.5 The Effects of Dietary Energy and Protein Levels on Weight Gains (WG), Protein Gains (PG), and Fat Gains (FG)	59
4.6 The Effects of Dietary Energy and Protein Levels on Food Efficiency Ratio (FER), Protein Efficiency Ratio (PER) and Net Protein Utilization (NPU)	62
4.7 The Effects of Dietary Energy and protein Levels on Fish Body Composition	64
5.1 Changes in pH, Titratable Acidity (TA), Lactic Acid Bacterial (LAB) Count and Non-protein Nitrogen (NPN) Values of Fish Silage During Storage Periods	78
5.2 Proximate Composition of Fish Silage During Storage Periods	80
5.3 Amino Acid (AA) Composition (% Protein) of Raw fish (RF) and 30 Day Stored Fish Silage	82
6.1 Formulation of the Experimental Diets	91
6.2 Chemical and Energy Composition of Feed Ingredients for Experimental Diets.	94



6.3	Essential Amino Acid (EAA) Composition (% Protein) of Co-dried Fermented Fish Silage (CFS), Co-dried Acid Fish Silage (CAS) and Fishmeal (FM) (Means of Triplicate Samples)	95
6.4	Determined Chemical and Energy Composition of Control (C) and Co-dried Fermented Fish Silage (CFS) Diets	96
6.5	Determined Chemical and Energy Composition of Control (C) and Co-dried Acid Fish Silage (CAS) Diets.	97
6.6	Essential Amino Acid (EAA) Composition (% Protein) of Control (C) and Co-dried Fermented Fish Silage (CFS) Experimental Diets (Means of Triplicate Samples)	99
6.7	Essential Amino Acid (EAA) Composition (% Protein) of Control (C) and Co-dried Acid Fish Silage (CAS) Experimental Diets (Means of Triplicate Samples)	100
6.8	A/E Ratio (Percentage of Essential Amino Acid/Total Essential Amino Acid) of Control (C), Co-dried Fermented Fish Silage (CFS) Diets and <i>Mystus nemurus</i> (Means of Triplicate Samples).	101
6.9	A/E Ratio (Percentage of Essential Amino Acid/Total Essential Amino Acid) of Control (C), Co-dried Acid Fish Silage (CAS) Diets and <i>Mystus nemurus</i> . (Means of Triplicate Samples)	102
6.10	Water Stability (%Loss Dry Matter, LDM) and pH Values for Control (C), Co-dried Fermented (CFS) and Acid Fish Silage (CAS) Pellet Feeds	103
6.11	Growth Performance and Food Utilization of Fish Fed Control (C) and Co-dried Fermented Fish Silage (CFS) Diets	106
6.12	Growth Performance and Food Utilization of Fish Fed Control (C) and Co-dried Acid Fish Silage (CAS) Diets	107
6.13	Body Composition of Harvested Fish Fed Control (C) and Co-dried Fermented Fish Silage (CFS) Diets	108
6.14	Body Composition of Harvested Fish Fed Control (C) and Co-dried Acid Fish Silage (CAS) Diets..	108



7.1	Formulation, Chemical and Energy Composition of Reference (REF) and Test Diets for Digestibility Determination of Individual Silage Ingredients	118
7.2	Composition of Reference (REF) and Test Diets for Digestibility Determination of Complete-Silage Diets	119
7.3	Apparent Digestibility Coefficients for Dry Matter, Protein and Energy in Reference (REF) and Test ingredients (70% Reference Diet + 30% Ingredient)	121
7.4	Dry Matter, Crude Protein and Energy Digestibility Coefficients Estimated for Co-dried Fermented Fish Silage (CFS), Acid Fish Silage (CAS), and Fishmeal (FM) Ingredients	122
7.5	The Effects of Co-dried Fermented Fish Silage (CFS) Levels on Protein and Energy Digestibility of the Diets	123
7.6	The Effects of Co-dried Acid Fish Silage (CAS) Levels on Protein and Energy Digestibility of the Diets	124



LIST OF FIGURES

Figures	Page
4.1 Regression of Daily Intake of Food (A), Protein (B) and Energy (C) On Dietary Energy Levels at Two Different Protein Concentrations	56
4.2 Biweekly Mean Fish Size (FS) and Daily Intake of Food (FI) and Protein (PI) (A) and Energy (EI) (B)	58
4.3 Regression of Gains in Weight (A), Protein (B) and Fat (C) on Dietary Energy Levels at Two Different Protein Concentrations	60
4.4 Regression of FER (A), PER (B) and NPU (C) on Dietary Energy Levels at Two Protein Different Concentrations	63



LIST OF PLATES

Plates	Page
3.1 Experimental Tanks for Dietary Energy-protein Ratio Feeding Trials	37
3.2 Experimental Tanks for Dietary Fish Silage Feeding Trials	37
3.3 Experimental Tanks for Diet Digestibility Determination	38
5.1 Selection of Lactic Acid Bacteria by pH Levels	75
5.2 Identification of Lactic Acid Bacteria Using API 50 CHL Medium	76



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AA= Amino acid

ADC= Apparent digestibility coefficient

A/E ratio= Amino acid/total essential amino acid (%)

ALPN= Aged-silage *Lactobacillus pentosus*

ALPL= Aged-silage *Lactobacillus plantarum*

ANOVA= Analysis of variance

AOAC= Association of official analytical chemists

BHT= Butylated hydroxy toluene

C= Control

CFS= Co-dried fermented Fish silage

CAS=Co-dried acid Fish silage

CP= Crude protein

DE= Digestible energy

DHA= Decosahexaenoic acid

EAA= Essential amino acid

EI= Energy intake

EPA- Eicosapentaenoic acid

FAS= Formic acid silage

FER= Food efficiency ratio

FG= Fat gain

FI= Food intake

FM= Fishmeal
FS= Fish size
GE= Gross energy
HPLC= High performance liquid chromatography
IDF= International dairy federation
Kcal= Kilo calorie
LAB= Lactic acid bacterial counts
LBPN= *Lactobacillus pentosus*
LBPL= *Lactobacillus plantarum*
LDM= Loss of dry matter (%)
LFBS= Liquid fermented bamboo shoot silage
ME= Metabolisable energy
Min. mix= Mineral mixture
MRS= De Man Rogosa Sharpe
NPN= Non-protein nitrogen
NPU= Net protein utilization
NRC= National research council
PE= Protein-energy
PER= Protein efficiency ratio
PG= Protein gain
PI= Protein intake
PKC= Palm kernel cake
PO= Palm oil

REF= Reference

RF= Raw fish

SAS= Statistical analysis system

SBM= Soybean meal

SD=Standard deviation

SEM= Standard error of means

SGR= Specific growth rate

SR= Survival rate

TA= Titratable acidity

TCA= Trichloroacetic acid

Vit. mix= Vitamin mixture

WG= Weight gain



CHAPTER 1

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Aquaculture in Malaysia has rapidly expanded recently. Since 1990, the total area devoted has increased from 9,085.03 to 16,166.93 hectares in 1998; and aquaculture production increased from 14,788.77 tons in 1990 to 133,646.64 tons in 1998, with values reaching RM 654,294.77 millions in 1998 (Anon, 1990-1998).

One of the popular and highly demanded aquaculture species is the river catfish (*Mystus nemurus*). Naturally, the fish is a carnivorous species with stout, sturdy and scaleless body of 0.4-1.5 kg in weight, and of lake or river origin and bottom feeder (Khan, 1993). The fish is identified as a potential aquaculture species due to its successful artificial spawning, ability to withstand in relatively low pH and dissolved oxygen, high dress-out percentage as well as high economic value (Khan et al., 1993a and Khan, 1993).

Extensive culture of the species has been practiced in cages and reservoirs. Seed supply is routinely available from Freshwater Research Center in Malacca, Aquatic Resources Technology at Universiti Putra Malaysia and other private hatcheries around Peninsular Malaysia. The fish is cultured at a density rate of 2000 fish per hectare and fed with commercial fish pellets, trash

