

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

OIL PALM AND NIPAH FROND SILAGES AND THEIR UTILIZATION BY SHEEP

SIDEIG ADAM ABDALLA

IB 2001 6



OIL PALM AND NIPAH FROND SILAGES AND THEIR UTILIZATION BY SHEEP

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

SIDEIG ADAM ABDALLA

Thesis Submitted in Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Institute of Bioscience Universiti Putra Malaysia

April 2001



Dedication

This thesis is dedicated to all members of my family, Particularly my wife Aisha Abuzaid and our youngest daughter, Amal and all the others who showed every sort of endurance, patience and understanding during all stages of the study.



Abstract of the thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

OIL PALM AND NIPAH FROND SILAGES AND THEIR UTILIZATION BY

SHEEP

By

SIDEIG ADAM ABDALLA

April 2001

Chairperson: Associate Professor Dr. Norhani Bint Abdullah

Faculty: Institute of Bioscience

A series of experiments was conducted to study the quality of silages made

from oil palm (Elaeis guineesis) (OPF) and nipah fronds (Nypa fruticans) (NF) and to

see the effects of adding molasses or urea on the silages. The results showed that fresh

OPF had significantly (P< 0.05) higher dry matter (DM), ether extractives (EE) and

neutral detergent fiber (NDF) than NF. The non-structural carbohydrates (NSC), ash,

lignin and tannin of OPF were significantly (P<0.05) lower than those of NF.The

crude protein (CP), pH and lactic acid of the fresh OPF and NF were similar, but the

buffering capacity (BC) of the fresh OPF was significantly (P<0.05) lower than NF.

Anatomical investigations showed that the two types of fronds were different

in their structures. In OPF, the hypodermic layer consisted of two layers of thin walled

iii

cells, while in NF the outermost layer of the hypodermis was made up of thick walled cells. In addition, lignified palisade cells were present below the epidermis of NF, but were absent in OPF.

The pH of ensiled OPF was significantly (P<0.01) lower while the lactic acid higher than that of NF. Ensiled OPF had higher (P<0.01) BC at day 30 of ensilage than that of NF. The addition of 10% molasses increased lactic acid content of OPF but not of NF, while 3% urea added singly or with 10% molasses did not improve the ensilability of both OPF and NF. However, 30% molasses increased the lactic acid of NF from 14.2 to 50.4 g/kg DM and lowered its pH from 4.7 to 4.0 at day 30.

Digestibility studies *in situ* in sheep showed that the potential degradability of both untreated or molasses treated OPF were significantly (P<0.01) higher than that of NF. The rumen ammonia nitrogen concentration of sheep fed OPF silage was significantly higher than sheep fed NF silage.

In the feeding trial conducted for 63 days, lambs fed molasses treated NF silage showed significantly (P< 0.05) higher silage intake as well as live weight gain (LWG) than lambs fed untreated NF silage. Similarly, lambs 1cd molasses treated OPF silage showed higher silage intake and LWG than lambs fed untreated OPF. A regression equation to show the relationship between % potential degradability (a + b) and voluntary feed intake (VFI) in g/kg W $^{0.75}$ was 0.5 (a + b) + 51.5, (r^2 = 0.65).

PERPUSTAKAAN

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Walaysia Medagay SIA

memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

SILAJ PELEPAH KELAPA SAWIT DAN NIPAH DAN PENGGUNAANNYA SEBAGAI MAKANAN TERNAKAN BIRI-BIRI

Oleh

SIDIEG ADAM ABDALLA

April 2001

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Dr. Norhani Bint Abdullah

Fakulti: Institut Biosains

Satu siri eksperimen telah dijalankan untuk mengkaji kualiti silaj yang

dihasilkan daripada pelepah kelapa sawit (Elaeis guineensis)(OPF) dan pelepah nipah

(Nypa fruticans)(NF) serta kesan penambahan molases atau urea ke atas silaj.

Pengambilan OPF dan NF dilakukan sebanyak tiga kali daripada ladang berhampiaran

semasa kajian dijalankan. Hasil kajian mendapati OPF segar mengandungi DM, EF

dan NDF yang lebih banyak (P<0.05) berbanding NF, tetapi NSC, abu, lignin dan

tannin OPF adalah kurang (P<0.05) dari NF. Kandungan CP OPF segar and NF

adalah sama begitu juga nilai pH dan asid laktik tetapi BC OPF segar adalah lebih

tinggi (P < 0.05) dari NF.

Kajian anatomi menunjukkan kedua-dua jenis pelepah mempunyai struktur

yang berbeza. OPF mempunyai lapisan hipodermis yang terdiri daripada dua lapisan

sel berdinding tebal. Manakala, sel palisad yang berlignin di dapati di bahagian bawah

epidermis NF dan sel tersebut tidak di dapati pada OPF.

V

Nilai pH silaj OPF adalah lebih rendah (P<0.01) manakala kandungan asid laktik silaj OPF lebih tinggi berbanding NF. Silaj OPF mempunyai nilai BC yang lebih tinggi (P<0.01) pada hari ke 30 berbanding nilai BC silaj NF. Penambahan 10% molases meningkatkan kandungan asid laktik OPF manakala penambahan 3% urea secara berasingan atau bersama 10% molases, tidak berkesan terhadap kedua-dua OPF dan NF. Walaubagaimanapun, 30% molases meningkatkan asid laktik NF daripada 14.2 kepada 50.4g/kg DM serta merendahkan pH daripada 4.7 ke 4.0 pada hari ke 30.

Kajian penghadaman *in situ* bebiri menunjukkan potensi degradibiliti keduadua OPF yang tidak di rawat dan di rawat dengan molases adalah lebih tinggi (P<0.01) berbanding NF. Kepekatan ammonia nitrogen rumen bebiri yang di beri silaj OPF adalah juga lebih tinggi berbanding bebiri yang di beri NF.

Dalam kajian pemakanan yang dijalankan selama 63 hari, anak bebiri yang diberi NF yang di rawat dengan molases menunjukkan peningkatan pengambilan makanan dan LWG yang signifikan (P<0.01). Keputusan yang sama juga diperolehi pada anak bebiri yang diberi silaj OPF yang di rawat dengan molases di mana terdapatnya peningkatan pengambilan makanan dan LWG.

Persamaan regresi untuk menunjukkan kaitan antara potensi degradibiliti (a + b) dan pengambilan makanan (VFI) g/kg W $^{0.75}$ ialah 0.50 (a + b) + 51.5 (r² = 0.5).



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to acknowledge the invaluable suggestions, discussion and guidance of the Chairman of my Supervisory Committee, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Norhani Abdullah, of the Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, UPM. The financial support and academic assistances that I received during the course of my study are highly appreciated and sincerely accepted. I would also like to express my thanks and sincere gratitude to the other members of my supervisory committee, Prof. Dr. Ho Yin Wan, the Deputy Director of Institute of Bioscience and to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Liang Juan Boo, the coordinator of the Animal Nutrition Laboratory, UPM and to Mr. Shamsudin Abu Bakar of MARDI who is an advisor to my research project. I will always remember their sincere patience, effective guidance, fruitful discussion and supervision during the various stages of my study.

The encouragement and kind help offered by Professor Tan Sri, Dr. Syed Jalaludin Syed Salim, the Senior Member of the Digestive Microbiology Laboratory and Vice Chancellor of UPM, are deeply appreciated. Thanks are also due to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Zainal Aznam Jelan, Head of the Department of Animal Science, UPM for his help and to Dr Ridzwan A. Halim, Faculty of Agriculture for his help and advices on statistical analyses. My thanks are also extended to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Abdul Razak Alimon, Mr. Ibrahim bin Mohsin, Mr. Sabarin bin Demin and Mr. Bakari bin Abd. Rahman for their help.

vii



I wish also to acknowledge the discussion and suggestions given by Dr. E.R. Ørskov from Rowette Research Institute, Aberdeen, UK. The useful discussion with Dr. K.K. Bolsen is also sincerely appreciated.

Appreciation is extended to all the staff of the Institute of Bioscience, particularly Mr. Khairul Kamar Bakri, Madame Haw Ah Kam. Mr. Jivanan Arumugam and Miss Suleka Madahavan. Sincere appreciation is also extended to Dr. Lokman, Dr. Siti Nur and other staff of the Veterinary Hospital, UPM, for their help in fistulating the sheep. Efforts and assistance of Mr. Nagayah Muniandy and Mr. Paimon Lugiman for caring the animals were highly appreciated. My gratitude and thanks are also due to Mr. Yunus and other members of the Silage Unit in MARDI. Sincere gratitude is also expressed to all my colleagues in both the Digestive Microbiology laboratory and the Animal Nutrition Laboratory for their help and suggestions. I greatly appreciate the help and co-operation given by all the Malaysian Government Departments during the course of my study.

Finally, I wish to thank the Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Nyala and the Ministry of High Education of the Sudan for the grant and study leave, and to Universiti Putra Malaysia for the fellowship to pursue my Ph. D degree.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|--------|---|-------|
| DEDIC | ATION | ii |
| ABSTR | ACT | iii |
| ABSTR | AK | V |
| ACKN(| OWLEDGEMENTS | vii |
| APPRO | VAL SHEETS | ix |
| DECLA | RATION FORM | хi |
| LIST O | F TABLES | xvi |
| LIST O | F FIGURES | xxiii |
| LIST O | F ABBREVIATIONS | xxvi |
| CHAP | TER | |
| I | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| II | LITERATURE REVIEW | 4 |
| | Silage Fermentation | 4 |
| | Historical Background | 4 |
| | Microbial Activity during Silage Fermentation | 5 |
| | Causes and Extent of Losses during Ensilage | 7 |
| | The Significance of Lactic Acid for Ensilage | 9 |
| | The Use of Molasses in Silage Production | 11 |
| | Factors Determining Proper Ensilage | 12 |
| | Internal Factors | 13 |
| | External Factors | 20 |
| | Relationship between Plant Chemistry and Silage | |
| | Production | 23 |
| | Utilization of Silage by Animals | 24 |
| | Degradation Parameters as a Measure of Nutrient Value | |
| | of Feed | 25 |
| | Feed Intake as a Measure of Feed Quality | 26 |
| | Intake of Silage | 28 |
| | Effect of Silage Proteins and Other Nitrogenous Compounds | |
| | on VFI | 30 |
| | Prediction of Intake Using Chemical Constituents of the | |
| | Feed | 31 |
| III | CHEMICAL COMPOSITION AND ANATOMICAL | |
| | CHARACTERISTICS OF OIL PALM (OPF) AND NIPAH | |
| | FRONDS (NF) IN RELATION TO ENSILAGE | 33 |



| | | rage |
|----|---|----------|
| | Material and Methods | 34 |
| | The Fronds | 34 |
| | The Silo and Ensiling Techniques | 35 |
| | Sampling and Analytical Techniques | 35 |
| | Anatomical Investigations | 45 |
| | Statistical Analysis | 45 |
| | Results | 46 |
| | Temperature, pHs and Chemical Characteristics of the Fresh OPF and NF | 46 |
| | Anatomical Structures of the Fresh OPF and NF | 49 |
| | Factorial Analysis of the Ensiled OPF and NF | 55 |
| | Characteristics of OPF and NF at 15 Days of Ensilage | 60 |
| | Temperature, pHs and Chemical Characteristics of the Fron | nds |
| | at 30 Days of Ensilage | 64 |
| | Temperature, pHs and Chemical Characteristics of OPF | |
| | and NF at 60 and 90 Days of Ensilage | 66 |
| | Changes in Temperature and pHs of OPF and NF at | |
| | Different Ensiling Periods | . 68 |
| | Changes in Chemical Composition of OPF and NF at | |
| | Different Ensiling Periods | 69 |
| | Changes in SS Contents of OPF and NF at Different Ensilin Periods | ng 74 |
| | Discussion | 75 |
| | Characteristics of the Fresh OPF and NF | 75 |
| | Characteristics of the Fermented OPF and NF Silages | 77 |
| | The Quality of the OPF and NF Silages | 81 |
| | Possible Causes of Poor Ensilability of NF | 82 |
| | Possible Causes of Pool Elistrability of Nr | 02 |
| IV | EFFECTS OF TREATMENT OF OIL PALM AND NIPAH FRON | |
| | WITH MOLASSES AND UREA DURING ENSILAGE | 85 |
| | Introduction | 85 |
| | Materials and Methods | 86 |
| | Experiment 1 | 86 |
| | Experiment 2 | 87 |
| | pH Determination | 88 |
| | Analytical Techniques: | 88 |
| | Statistical Analysis | 90 |
| | Results of Experiment 1 | 91 |
| | Chemical Characteristics of Fresh Untreated and Treated | |
| | OPF and NF (Day 0) | 96 |
| | Effects of Ensiling on Untreated OPE and NE at Day 15 | gg |



| | | Page |
|---|--|------------|
| | Effects of Molasses on OPF and NF Silages at Day 15 Effects of Urea on OPF and NF Silages at Day 15 | 102 104 |
| | Effects of Molasses and Urca (M + U) on OPF and NF Silages at Day 15 | 106 |
| | Effects of Ensiling on the Untreated (Control) OPF and NF | 100 |
| | at Day 30 | 109 |
| | Effects of Molasses on OPF and NF Silages at Day 30 | 112 |
| | Effects of Urea on OPF and NF Silages at Day 30 Effects of Molasses and Urea (M + U) on OPF and NF | 114 |
| | Silages at Day 30 Effects of Ensiling on the Untreated and Treated OPF and | 116 |
| | NF at Days 60 and 90 | 118 |
| | Changes in Lactic Acid Concentration during Ensiling | 127 |
| | Results of Experiment 2 | 129 |
| | pH and Chemical Characteristics of OPF and NF at Day 0 pH and Chemical Characteristics of OPF and NF at | 129 |
| | Day 15pH and Chemical Characteristics of Molasses Treated NF | 133 |
| | Silage at day 15pH and Chemical Characteristics of Untreated OPF | 135 |
| | and NF Silages at Day 30pH and Chemical Characteristics of Molasses treated NF | 136 |
| | Silage at Day 30pH and Chemical Characteristics of Untreated OPF and NF | 136 |
| | Silages at Day 60pH and Chemical Characteristics of Molasses Treated NF | 139 |
| | Silage at Day 60 | 140 |
| | Capacity Values of OPF and NF during Ensilage | 141 |
| | Discussion. | 142 |
| V | DEGRADATION CHARACTERISTICS OF FROND SILAGES | |
| | AND RUMEN FERMENTATION | 147 |
| | Introduction | 147 |
| | Materials and Methods Degradability Studies of Silages in Sheep Fed Fresh Grass | 148 |
| | as the Basal Diet Degradability Studies in Sheep Fed Frond Silages as the | 148 |
| | Basal Diet | 150 |
| | Chemical Analysis of the Silages and Incubated Samples Preparation of Rumen Liquor for VFA and Ammonia N | 151 |
| | Analysesxiv | 151 |



| | | Page |
|--------------|--|-------|
| | Determination of VFA | 152 |
| | Determination of Ammonia Nitrogen | 153 |
| | Statistical Analyses | 154 |
| | Results | 154 |
| | Chemical Composition of the Silages and Concentrate | 154 |
| | Degradation Characteristics of Silage in Sheep Fed Grass | 159 |
| | Degradation of the Organic Matter | 164 |
| | Degradation of the Neutral Detergent Fiber | 167 |
| | Degradation of Acid Detergent Fiber | 170 |
| | Degradation of Lignin | 172 |
| | Degradation Characteristics of Silage in Sheep Fed | |
| | Fronds Silage | 176 |
| | Rumen Fluid Characteristics | 180 |
| | Discussion | 192 |
| 3 / T | INTAKE AND DEDECOMANICE OF LANGUE PED | |
| VI | INTAKE AND PERFORMANCE OF LAMBS FED | 1.00 |
| | FROND SILAGES | 199 |
| | Introduction | 199 |
| | Materials and Methods | 201 |
| | In vivo Digestibility Trials | 202 |
| | Chemical Analyses | 202 |
| | Regression Analysis for the Prediction of Intake | 203 |
| | Statistical Analysis of Data | 203 |
| | Results | 204 |
| | Animal Performance | 204 |
| | In vivo digestibility | 210 |
| | Prediction of Intake | 214 |
| | Discussion | 218 |
| VII | GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS | 226 |
| | BIBLIOGRAPHY | 237 |
| | APPENDICES | 254 |
| | BIOGRAPHICAL VITA | . 264 |



LIST OF TABLES

| æ 11 | LIST OF TABLES | ъ |
|-----------|--|------|
| Table 3.1 | Temperatures, pl-Is, Lactic Acid and Buffering Capacity (BC) Values of Oil Palm Fronds (OPF) and Nipah Fronds (NF) at Day 0 | Page |
| 3.2 | Chemical Compositions of Fresh (Day 0) Oil Palm Fronds (OPF) and Nipah Fronds (NF). | 47 |
| 3.3 | Comparisons between OPF and NF in Temperature (°C), pH, Lactic acid (g/kg DM) and Buffering Capacity (m-equ/100g) and the Mean Squares and F Values of Several Influencing Factors, Including Two Frond Types and 15 Time Periods | 56 |
| 3.4 | Comparisons Between OPF and NF in Percentages of Dry Matter, Ash and Organic Matter and their Analysis of Variance Showing Mean Squares and F Values of Several Influencing Factors, Including Two Frond Types and 15 Time Periods | 57 |
| 3.5 | Comparisons Between OPF and NF in their Percentages of Crude Protein, Ether Extractives and Neutral Detergent Fiber and their Analysis of Variance Showing the Mean Squares and F Values of Several Influencing Factors, Including Two Frond Types and 15 Different Time Periods | 58 |
| 3.6 | Comparisons Between OPF and NF in their Percentages of Acid Detergent Fiber, Lignin, Non Structural Carbohydrates, and Soluble Sugars and their Analysis of Variance Showing the Mean Squares and F Values of Several Influencing Factors, Including Two Frond Types and 15 Different Time Periods | 59 |
| 3.7 | Temperatures, pHs and Chemical Characteristics of Oil Palm Fonds (OPF) and Nipah Fronds (NF) at 15 Days of Ensilage | 61 |
| 3.8 | Changes in Buffering Capacity (BC) Values of Oil Palm Fronds (OPF) and Nipah Fronds (NF) during the First Two Weeks of Ensilage. | 63 |
| 3.9 | Temperatures, pHs and Chemical Chacteristics of Oil Palm (OPF) and Nipah Fronds (NF) at 30 Days of Ensilage | 65 |
| 3.10 | Temperatures, pHs and Chemical Characteristics of Oil Palm (OPE) and Nipah Fronds (NF) at 60 and 90 Days of Ensilage | 67 |



| | | Page |
|------|---|------|
| 4.1 | The pH and the Percentage Values of DM and Ash of OPF and NF and their Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Showing the Mean Squares and F Values of Several Factors Including Two Frond Types, Four Different Treatments and Five Time Periods and their Interactions. | 92 |
| 4.2 | The Percentage Values of Crude Protein, Ether Extractives and Neutral Detergent Fiber of OPF and NF and their Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Showing the Mean Squares and F Values of Several Factors Including Two Frond Types, Four Different Treatments and Five Time Periods and their Interactions | 93 |
| 4.3 | The Percentage Values of Acid Detergent Fiber, Soluble Sugars and Lignin of OPF and NF and their Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Showing Mean Squares and F Values of Several Factors Including Two Frond Types Four Different Treatments and Five Time Periods and their Interactions | 94 |
| 4.4 | The Mean Values of Lactic Acid and Buffering Capacity of OPF and NF and their Analysis of Variance Showing the Mean Squares and F Values of Several Factors Including Two Frond Types, Four Different Treatments and Five Different Time Periods and their Interactions. | 95 |
| 4.5 | pH and Chemical Characteristics of Untreated or Treated Oil Palm Fronds (OPF) and Nipah Fronds (NF) at Day 0 of Ensilage | 97 |
| 4.6 | Effects of Treatment of OPF and NF with Molasses (M) and Urea (U) on their pH and Chemical Compositions at Day 0 | 98 |
| 4.7 | pH and Chemical Characteristics of Untreated or Treated Oil Palm Fronds (OPF) and Nipah Fronds (NF) at Day 15 | 100 |
| 4.8 | Effects of Molasses (M) and Urea (U) Treatments on the Silage Characteristics of OPF and NF at Day 15 | 103 |
| 4.9 | pH and Chemical Characteristics of Untreated or Treated Oil Palm Fronds (OPF) and Nipah Fronds (NF) Ensiled at Day 30 | 110 |
| 4.10 | Effects of Molasses (M) and Urea (U) Treatments on the Silage Characteristics of OPF and NF at Day 30 | 111 |



| | | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 4.11 | pH and Chemical Characteristics of Untreated or Treated Oil Palm (OPF) and Nipah Fronds (NF) Ensiled at Day 60 | 119 |
| 4.12 | pH and Chemical Characteristics of Untreated or Treated Oil Palm (OPF) and Nipah Fronds (NF) Ensiled at Day 90 | 120 |
| 4.13 | Effects of Molasses (M) and Urea (U) Treatments on the Silage Characteristics of OPF and NF at Day 60 | 121 |
| 4.14 | Effects of Molasses (M) and Urea (U) Treatments on the Silage Characteristics of OPF and NF at Day 90 | 122 |
| 4.15 | Mean Percentage Values of pH, Dry Matter and Ash of OPF and NF and the Analysis of Variance Showing Mean Squares and F Values of Several Factors Including Two Frond Types, Four Different Treatments and Five Time Periods | 130 |
| 4.16 | Mean Percentage Values of Crude Protein, Neutral Detergent Fiber and Lignin of OPF and NF and the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Showing Mean Squares and F Values of Several Factors Including Two Frond Types, Four Different Treatments and Five Time Periods | 131 |
| 4.17 | Mean Percentage Values of Lactic Acid and Buffering Capacity of OPF and NF and the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Showing Mean Squares and F Values of Several Factors Including Two Frond Types, Four Different Treatments and Five Time Periods | 132 |
| 4.18 | pH and Chemical Characteristics of Fresh Oil Palm (OPF) and Nipah Fronds (NF) at Day 0 | 133 |
| 4.19 | pH and Chemical Characteristics of Untreated Oil Palm (OPF) and Nipah Frond (NF) silages at Day 15 | 134 |
| 4.20 | pH and Chemical Characteristics of Untreated Nipah Fronds (NF-0) or NF Treated with Molasses at the Rate of 10, 20 and 30% of Fronds DM (NF-10, NF-20, and NF-30, Respectively) at 15 Days of Ensilage. | 135 |
| 4 .21 | pH and Chemical Characteristics of Untreated Oil Palm (OPF) and Nipah Frond (NF) Silages at Day 30 | 137 |





| 4.22 | pH and Chemical Characteristics of Untreated Nipah Fronds (NF- | Page |
|------|--|------|
| | 0) or NF Treated with Molasses at the Rate of 10, 20 and 30% of Fronds DM (NF-10, NF-20, and NF-30, Respectively) at 30 Days of Ensilage. | 138 |
| 4.23 | pH and Chemical Characteristics of Untreated Oil Palm (OPF) and Nipah Fronds (NF) Silages at Day 60 | 139 |
| 4.24 | pH and Chemical Characteristics of Untreated Nipah Fronds (NF-0) or NF Treated with Molasses at the Rate of 10, 20 and 30% of Fronds DM (NF-10, NF-20, and NF-30, Respectively) at 60 Days of Ensilage. | 140 |
| 5.1 | The Percentage Values of Dry Matter, Ash and Crude Protein in OPF and NF and the Analysis of Variance of the Different Parameters when Untreated or Molasses Treated Frond Silages were Incubated in the Rumen of Sheep Fed Grass and Concentrate Pellets. | 156 |
| 5.2 | The Percentage Values of Ether Extract, Neutral Detergent Fiber and Lignin in OPF and NF and the Analysis of Variance of the Different Parameters when Untreated or Molasses Treated Frond Silages were Incubated in the Rumen of Sheep Fed Grass and Concentrate Pellets | 157 |
| 5.3 | Percentage of Dry Matter and the Chemical Composition of Oil Palm and Nipah Frond (OPF, NF) Silages Untreated (0) or Treated with 30% Molasses (30) and the Composition of Concentrate Supplement (Conc.) in DM Basis | 158 |
| 5.4 | Percentage Degradability of Dry Matter, Organic Matter and Neutral Detergent Fiber of OPF and NF and Analysis of Variance of the Different Parameters when Untreated or Molasses Treated Frond Silages were Incubated in the Rumen of Sheep Fed Grass and Concentrate Pellets. | 160 |
| 5.5 | Percentage Values of the Degradation of Acid Detergent Fibre and Lignin of OPF and NF and Analysis of Variance of the Different Parameters when Untreated or Molasses Treated Frond Silages were Incubated in the Rumen of Sheep Fed Grass and Concentrate Pellets | 161 |
| | I OHOLD | 101 |



| | Page |
|---|---|
| Percentages of Dry Matter Losses of Oil Palm and Nipah Frond Silages Untreated (0) or Treated with 30% Molasses (30) Incubated for Different Periods in the Rumen of Sheep Fed Fresh Grass and Concentrate Pellets | 162 |
| Percentages of Organic Matter Losses of Oil Palm and Nipah Frond Silages Untreated (0) or Treated with 30% Molasses (30) Incubated for Different Periods in the Rumen of Sheep Fed Fresh Grass and Concentrate Pellets | 165 |
| Percentage Losses of the Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF) of Oil Palm and Nipah Frond Silages Untreated (0) or Treated with 30% Molasses (30) Incubated in the Rumen of Sheep Fed Fresh Grass and Concentrate Pellets | 168 |
| Percentage Losses of Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF) of Oil Palm and Nipah Frond Silages Untreated (0) or Treated with 30% Molasses (30) Incubated in the Rumen of Sheep Fed Fresh Grass and Concentrate Pellets. | 171 |
| Percentage Losses of Lignin of Oil Palm and Nipah Frond Silages Untreated (0) or Treated with 30% Molasses (30) when Incubated in The Rumen of Sheep Fed Fresh Grass and Concentrate Pellets | 173 |
| The Mean Values of DM Degradability of OPF and NF and their Analysis of Variance Showing the Mean Squares and F Values of Several Factors, Including Two Frond Types, Two Different Treatments and Six Different Time Periods and their Interactions | 177 |
| Percentages of DM Losses at Different Incubation Times, the Degradation Constants of Oil Palm and Nipah Frond Silages and the Effect of Molasses Treatment on the Fronds Incubated in the Rumen of Sheep Fed the Respective Type of Silage Supplemented with Concentrate Pellets. | 178 |
| The Mean Values of pH, Buffering Capacity and Ammonia Concentrations of Sheep Fed OPF and NF and their Analysis of Variance Showing the Mean Squares and F Values of Several Factors Including Two Frond Types, Two Different Treatments and Five Different Time Periods and their Interactions | 181 |
| | Silages Untreated (0) or Treated with 30% Molasses (30) Incubated for Different Periods in the Rumen of Sheep Fed Fresh Grass and Concentrate Pellets |



| Page | pH Values of Rumen Samples Collected at Different Periods | 5.14 |
|------|--|------|
| 182 | from Sheep Fed Untreated (0) or 30% Molasses Treated Silage (30) of Oil Palm Fronds (OPF) and Nipah Fronds (NF) Supplemented with Concentrate Pellets | 3.14 |
| 183 | Buffering Capacity (BC) in Milli-equivalents per 15 g Rumen Liquor (RL) Collected at Different Periods from the Rumen of Sheep Fed Silage of Untreated or 30% Molasses Treated Fronds of Oil Palm or Nipah Fronds and Concentrate Pellets | 5.15 |
| 186 | The Mean Values of Acetic, Propionic and Butyric Acids of Sheep Fed OPF and NF and their Analysis of Variance Showing the Mean Squares and F Values of Several Factors Including Two Frond Types, Two Different Treatments and Five Different Time Periods and their Interactions | 5.16 |
| 187 | The Percentage Mean Values of Iso-butyric and Iso-valeric Acids of Sheep Fed OPF and NF and their Analysis of Variance Showing the Mean Squares and F Values of Several Factors Including Two Frond Types, Two Different Treatments and Five Different Time Periods and their Interactions | 5.17 |
| 188 | Total and Individual Concentrations (mM/l) of Volatile Fatty Acids (VFA) and the Percentage Values of Individual Acids in the Rumen of Sheep Fed Untreated (0) or 30% Molasses Treated (30) Oil Palm and Nipah Frond Silages and Concentrate Pellets | 5.18 |
| 191 | Ammonia N Concentrations (mg/l) of Rumen Samples Collected at Different Intervals from the Rumen of Sheep Fed Silages of Oil Palm and Nipah Fronds Untreated or Treated with Molasses and Supplemented with Concentrate Pellets | 5.19 |
| 206 | Total Feed Intake of Rations, Intake per Day and Total Silage Intake by Malaysian Lambs Fed Oil Palm and Nipah Frond Silages with Concentrate Pellets during 63 Days of Experimental Period | 6.1 |
| 207 | Performance Data Including Daily Silage Intake, Total Gain and the Average Live Weight Gain per Day for Malaysian Lambs Fcd Oil Palm and Nipah Frond Silages with Concentrate Pellets during 63 Days of Experimental Period | 6.2 |



| | | Page |
|-----|--|------|
| 6.3 | Performance of Growing Lambs Fed Oil Palm or Nipah Fronds Ensiled Untreated or Treated with 30% Molasses Offcred <i>ad libitum</i> and Supplemented with Concentrate Pellets | 209 |
| 6.4 | Percentage of Dry Matter Digestibility and Organic Matter Digestibility of Oil Palm and Nipah Frond Silages with Concentrate Pellets Fed to Malaysian Lambs during 63 Days of Experimental Period. | 211 |
| 6.5 | Digestibility Measurements of Growing Lambs Fed Oil Palm (OPF) or Nipah Fronds (NF) Ensiled Untreated or Treated with 30% Molasses Offered <i>ad libitum</i> and Supplemented with Concentrate Pellets | 212 |
| 6.6 | Percentage of Crude Protein Digestibility and Neutral detergent Fiber Digestibility of Oil Palm and Nipah Frond Silages with Concentrate Pellets Fed to Malaysian Lambs during 63 Days of Experimental Period. | 213 |
| 6.7 | The Regression Equations, Correlation Coefficients (r ²) and the Level of Significance of r ² , when the Voluntary Feed Intake was Regressed on the Metabolic Weight (W ^{0.75}) of Lambs Fed Rations Containing Frond Silages Supplemented with Concentrate Pellets | 215 |



LIST OF FIGURES

| Figur | Figure | |
|-------|--|----|
| 3.1 | Part of a Mature Oil Palm Frond. | 50 |
| 3.2 | Part of a Mature Nipah Frond Showing Spines of the Abaxial Surface | 50 |
| 3.3 | A Transverse Section of the Lamina of Oil Palm Frond Showing Cuticle, Upper Epidermis, Upper Hypodermis, Mesophyll, Vascular Bundles, Lower Epidermis and Stoma | 52 |
| 3.4a | A Transverse Section of Oil Palm Lamina Showing Cuticle, Upper Epidermis, Hypodermis, Mesophyll, and Vascular Bundles | 52 |
| 3.4b | A Transverse Section of Oil Palm Lamina Showing Mesophyll, Vascular Bundles, Lower Hypodermis, Lower Epidermis and Stoma | 53 |
| 3.5 | A Transverse Section of the Lamina of Nipah Frond Showing Cuticle, Upper Epidermis, Upper Hypodermis, Mesophyll, Palsade, Lower Epidermis, Stoma and Vascular Bundle | 54 |
| 3.6 | A Transverse Section of Nipah Frond Lamina Showing Cuticle, Upper Epidermis, Outer Hypodermis, Inner Hypodermis, Palsade and Vascular Bundles | 55 |
| 3.7 | Lactic Acid Concentrations Produced from Oil Palm Fronds (OPF) and Nipah Fronds (NF) during an Ensiling Period of Three Months | 62 |
| 3.8 | The Buffering Capacity (BC) Values of Oil Palm Fronds (OPF) and Nipah Fronds (NF) during Three Months of Ensilage | 63 |
| 3.9 | Temperatures of Oil Palm Fronds (OPF) and Nipah Fronds (NF) during Three Months of Ensilage | 68 |
| 3.10 | The pH Values of Oil Palm Fronds (OPF) and Nipah Fronds (NF) during Three Months of Ensiling. | 69 |
| 3.11 | Changes in DM Contents of Oil Palm Fronds (OPF) and Nipah Fronds (NF) at Different Days during Three Months of Ensilage | 70 |
| 3.12 | The Ash Contents of Oil Palm Fronds (OPF) and Nipah Fronds (NF) at Different Days during Three Month of Ensilage | 71 |
| 3.13 | The CP Contents of Oil Palm Pronds (OPF) and Nipah Nronds (NF) at Different Days during Three Months of Ensilagexxiii | 71 |

