



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***AQUATIC MACROPHYTES PAPERMAKING USING WESTERN
METHOD***

NUR AZNADIA BINTI ABDUL AZIZ

FP 2012 110

**AQUATIC MACROPHYTES PAPERMAKING USING WESTERN
METHOD**

NUR AZNADIA BINTI ABDUL AZIZ

**DEPARTMENT OF AQUACULTURE
FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA
SERDANG, SELANGOR**

2012

**AQUATIC MACROPHYTES PAPERMAKING USING WESTERN
METHOD**

**NUR AZNADIA BINTI ABDUL AZIZ
151832**

**This project report is submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of Bachelor of Agriculture (Aquaculture)**

**DEPARTMENT OF AQUACULTURE
FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA
SERDANG, SELANGOR**

2012

CERTIFICATION OF APPROVAL
DEPARTMENT OF AQUACULTURE
FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

Name of student : Nur Aznadia binti Abdul Aziz
Matric number : 151832
Programme : Bachelor of Agriculture (Aquaculture)
Year : 2012
Name of supervisor : Assoc. Prof. Dr. Muta Harah Zakaria
Title of project : Aquatic Macrophytes Papermaking Using Western Method

This is to certify that I have examined the final project report and all corrections have been made as recommended by the panel of examiners. This report complies with the recommended format stipulated in the AKU4999 project guidelines, Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Signature and official stamp of supervisor:

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Muta Harah Zakaria
Date:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, highest thankful to Allah for providing me courage, patient and grace in my life upon completing this project. The successful completion of this project will not have been possible without assistance from the following people who I would like to express my sincerely thank,

To Dr. Muta Harah Zakaria for her unlimited help and dedicated supervision in guiding me from the start until the end.

To the coordinator, Dr. Natrah Ikhsan for her efforts and guidance in writing a good proposal and thesis.

To lab assistants Nur Shafika, Jasni Mohd Yusoff and Zaiton Basar for their help throughout the experiment process.

To my beloved family especially my parents, Abdul Aziz and Sarina for their love, constant support and encouragement.

To Asyraf Ali, Ainul Mardhiah, Syuhada, Emmclan, and Hapisah for their true friendship, understanding, supports, helps and for always being there when needed.

Finally, to all that I have not mention, you are really helpful and supportive.

Millions of thanks and may Allah bless all of us.

ABSTRACT

This study was carried out to determine the suitable aquatic macrophytes for papermaking based on the examination of its fiber dimensions, chemical compositions and suitable material for paper colouring purposes. Nine species of aquatic macrophytes, *Cyperus digitatus*, *Cyperus halpan*, *Cyperus* sp., *Eichhornia crassipes*, *Eleocharis dulcis*, *Nelumbo nucifera*, *Alisma plantago-aquatica*, *Scirpus grossus*, and *Typha angustifolia* were used in fiber dimensions. Whereas, three species, *Cyperus* sp., *Scirpus grossus* and *Typha angustifolia* used for cellulose determination. Species were collected from wetland area around UPM and Meru, Selangor. Tumeric was used as natural dye and mural colour was used as artificial dye for paper colouring purpose. *Scirpus grossus* (stems and leaves) and *N. nucifera* (blades) were the most suitable aquatic plants for pulp and papermaking based on its fiber dimensions. Its slenderness ratio was more than 60, flexibility coefficient in the range of wood fiber 55-70 and Runkel ratio less than 1. Based on chemical compositions between three species, *T. angustifolia* shows the most suitable for papermaking due to its high cellulose ($44.05 \pm 0.49\%$) and hemicellulose ($54.84 \pm 4.27\%$) contents. The most high quality paper produced based on paper strength and quality was *Cyperus* sp. due to its high tensile strength (1.69 ± 0.18 kN/m), high breaking length (731.68 ± 72.75 m) and low moisture content ($9.54 \pm 1.08\%$). Paper produced using natural dye (turmeric) was more attractive compared to paper coloured by artificial dye due to the aesthetical value of the paper.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini telah dijalankan untuk menentukan tumbuhan akuatik yang sesuai untuk pembuatan kertas berdasarkan pemeriksaan dimensi serat, komposisi kimia dan bahan yang sesuai untuk tujuan pewarnaan kertas. Sembilan spesies tumbuhan akuatik *Cyperus digitatus*, *Cyperus halpan*, *Cyperus* sp., *Eichhornia crassipes*, *Eleocharis dulcis*, *Nelumbo nucifera*, *Alisma plantago-aquatica*, *Scirpus grossus*, dan *Typha angustifolia* telah digunakan untuk dimensi serat. Manakala tiga spesies, *Cyperus* sp., *Scirpus grossus* dan *Typha angustifolia* telah digunakan bagi penentuan selulosa. Spesies telah diambil dari kawasan tanah basah sekitar UPM dan juga Meru, Klang. Kunyit telah digunakan sebagai pewarna semulajadi dan warna mural sebagai pewarna tiruan untuk tujuan pewarnaan kertas. *Scirpus grossus* (batang dan daun) dan *N. nucifera* (laidun) adalah tumbuhan akuatik yang paling sesuai untuk penghasilan pulpa dan kertas berdasarkan dimensi seratnya. Nisbah kelangsingannya adalah lebih besar daripada 60, pekali feksibiliti adalah dalam julat serat kayu 55-70 dan nisbah Runkel kurang daripada 1. Berdasarkan komposisi kimia antara tiga spesies, *T. angustifolia* menunjukkan yang paling sesuai untuk pembuatan kertas kerana mempunyai kandungan selulosa ($44.05 \pm 0.49\%$) dan hemiselulosa ($54.84 \pm 4.27\%$) yang tinggi. Kertas yang paling berkualiti yang dihasilkan adalah dari *Cyperus* sp. kerana mempunyai kekuatan tegangan (1.69 ± 0.18 kN/m), panjang pemecahan (731.68 ± 72.75 m) yang tinggi dan kandungan kelembapan yang rendah ($9.54 \pm 1.08\%$). Kertas yang dihasilkan menggunakan pewarna semulajadi (kunyit) adalah lebih menarik berbanding dengan kertas yang menggunakan warna tiruan berdasarkan nilai estetik.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	i
ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS	ix
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Aquatic macrophytes	5
2.2 History of papermaking	8
2.3 Nonwood plant use in papermaking	9
2.4 Fiber dimensions and chemical composition on nonwood plants	11
2.5 Paper colouring	12
2.6 Paper strength and quality	14
3.0 METHODOLOGY	16
3.1 Sample collection	16
3.2 Fiber dimensions	19
3.3 Chemical compositions	21
3.4 Pulp preparation	23
3.5 Papermaking	25

3.6	Paper colouring	27
3.7	Paper strength and quality	28
3.7.1	Tensile test and breaking length	28
3.7.2	Paper thickness	29
3.7.3	Paper moisture content	29
3.8	Aesthetical value of the paper	30
3.9	Data analysis	30
4.0	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	32
4.1	Fiber dimensions and derived values	32
4.1.1	Fiber dimensions and derived values in leaves part of aquatic macrophytes	32
4.1.2	Fiber dimensions and derived values in stems part of aquatic macrophytes	37
4.1.3	Fiber dimensions and derived values in blades part of aquatic macrophytes	41
4.1.4	Comparison of fiber dimensions and its derived values between parts of aquatic macrophytes	45
4.2	Chemical compositions of aquatic macrophytes	49
4.3	Paper production	52
4.4	Paper strength and quality	58
4.5	Aesthetic values	63
5.0	CONCLUSION	68
	REFERENCES	70

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1	Plant parts used of each species for fiber dimensions	20
Table 2	Fiber dimensions and derived values for linear leaves part of aquatic macrophytes	33
Table 3	Fiber dimensions and derived values in stems part of aquatic macrophytes	38
Table 4	Fiber dimensions and derived values in blades of aquatic macrophytes	42
Table 5	Comparison of fiber dimensions for all parts of the aquatic macrophytes	46
Table 6	Comparison of derived values for all parts of aquatic macrophytes	48
Table 7	Chemical compositions in stems of selected aquatic macrophytes	50
Table 8	Paper production during preliminary study	55
Table 9	Final paper production by using stems of different species aquatic macrophytes	57
Table 10	Parameters measure to determine paper strength and quality	59
Table 11	Aesthetic values parameters measured	65

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1	Types of aquatic plants. 6
Figure 2	Different paper colouring dyes which are (a) paper colouring using natural dyes, and (b) paper colouring using artificial dyes. 13
Figure 3	Methods of measuring paper strength and resistance (Asuncion, 2001). 15
Figure 4	Selected aquatic macrophytes for fiber dimension and papermaking. (a) <i>Alisma plantago-aquatica</i> (b) <i>Cyperus halpan</i> (c) <i>Eleocharis dulcis</i> (d) <i>Nelumbo nucifera</i> (e) <i>Scirpus grossus</i> (f) <i>Cyperus</i> sp. and (g) <i>Typha angustifolia</i> . 17
Figure 5	Uses of aquatic macrophytes collected based on its conditions (fresh and dry). 18
Figure 6	Parts used for fiber dimensions of aquatic macrophytes. 20
Figure 7	Soxhlet apparatus used for cellulose extraction. 22
Figure 8	Summary of pulp preparation and western papermaking process. 24
Figure 9	Papermaking process which are (a) pulp preparation (b) mixture of pulp and starch solution (c) fibrous paper on the felt (d) car jack pressing technique and (e) pressing using tiles. 26
Figure 10	Turmeric used as natural dye. 27
Figure 11	Equipment used for paper quality and strength test. (a) moisture balance (b) INSTRON 3365 tensile test machine. 29
Figure 12	Variations of (a) fiber length and (b) fiber dimensions in selected leaves of aquatic macrophytes. FD-fiber diameter, FLD-fiber lumen diameter and CWT-cell wall thickness. 34

Figure 13	Variations of (a) fiber length and (b) fiber dimension in selected stems of aquatic macrophytes. FD-fiber diameter, FLD-fiber lumen diameter and CWT-cell wall thickness.	39
Figure 14	Variations of (a) fiber length and (b) fiber dimension in selected blades of aquatic macrophytes. FD-fiber diameter, FLD-fiber lumen diameter and CWT-cell wall thickness.	43
Figure 15	Chemical compositions in stem of each species.	50
Figure 16	Aquatic macrophytes parts abundance suitable for paper production.	53
Figure 17	Aquatic macrophytes paper produced during preliminary study, (a) <i>E. crassipes</i> , (b) <i>S. molesta</i> and (c-e) <i>T. angustifolia</i>	55
Figure 18	Final paper produced (left) with its fiber distribution structure (right) of the paper taken under dissecting microscope. (a) <i>T. angustifolia</i> , (b) <i>Cyperus</i> sp. and (c) <i>S. grossus</i> .	57
Figure 19	Variations of (a) tensile strength and (b) breaking length in chosen aquatic macrophytes.	59
Figure 20	Moisture content of paper from different species.	62
Figure 21	Different colour of paper produced by different species of aquatic macrophytes, (a) <i>Cyperus</i> sp. (undyed), (b) <i>S. grossus</i> (undyed), (c) <i>T. angustifolia</i> (undyed), (d) <i>T. angustifolia</i> (artificial dye) and (e) <i>T. angustifolia</i> (natural dye).	64
Figure 22	Colour index (Jennings, 2003).	64

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

ANOVA	Analysis of variance
Cm	centimeter
g	gram
Kg	kilogram
L	liter
m	meter
mL	milliliter
mm	millimeter
μm	micrometer
sp.	species
%	percent
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	degree Celcius
HNO_3	Nitric acid
H_2SO_4	Sulphuric acid
Na_2CO_3	Sodium carbonate

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Aquatic macrophytes are plant, which grow in continuous supply of water or plant that present in soils that covered with water (Penfound, 1956). According to Edwards (1980), macrophyte means larger plants from the phytoplankton. These include conifers, mosses, ferns, flowering plants and any other plant found in moving or stagnant water (Wersal and Madsen, 2012). It grows partially or completely in the water. Mitchell (1969) reported that aquatic macrophytes can be separated into different life forms because there are plants which intermediate or some of it can change their life form depending on the depth of water or stage of growth. Most common forms of aquatic plants are emergent, floating, submerged, and partially submerged.

Aquatic macrophytes can be either freshwater or marine. These plants can be found in lakes, ditches, rivers, ponds, estuaries and oceans. It grows widely in waterways all around the world and produce negative effect due to its abundance in water bodies (Banerjee and Matai, 1990). These unwanted aquatic macrophytes also known as aquatic weeds. Aquatic weeds are plants that grow widespread in the water bodies and cause harm to aquatic environment directly since it completes their life cycle in the water (Lancar and Krake, 2002). The growth of aquatic weeds is difficult to control and it disturb aquatic ecosystem.

Although aquatic plants produce negative effects, it also has their own importance and useful as food for human, fodder, food for aquatic animals, fertilizer, medicine, supply oxygen for aquatic ecosystem, stabilize sediment, improve water quality, and also provide habitats for aquatic organisms. Unfortunately, excessive growth of aquatic plants in natural waterways can influence the water management and its ecosystem. For example, it can affect drainage, aesthetics, fishing, fish wildlife habitat, flood control, human and animal health, irrigation, recreation and land values (Pinmental *et al.*, 2000). Since it hard to control and harmful, it is an innovative idea to use these aquatic macrophytes in papermaking process.

According to Hurter and Riccio (1998), there was about 300 million tons of world paper consumption in 1996 to 1997. It is expected to increase about 400 million tons by the year 2010. Due to the insufficient conventional raw materials for pulping and the increasing demand of paper products, the use of agricultural residues and nonwood plants attracted peoples especially in Spain, Italy and Greece with shortage forest resources (Ververis *et al.*, 2003). There are several advantages of using nonwood plants such as the short growth cycles, moderate fertilization and irrigation requirements and less lignin contents, which can reduce energy and chemicals use during pulping (Hurter and Riccio, 1998).

Paper contain a web of pulp fibers from wood or other plants in which lignin and other non-cellulose components are cooked at high temperature to be separated (Pahkala, 2001). The most abundant pulp component is fiber and aquatic

macrophytes are nonwood plants which contain high fiber that can be use in making paper. Fiber contains the main chemical components, which are cellulose, hemicelluloses and lignin (Alava and Niskanen, 2006). The fiber characteristics of the raw materials affect the quality and use of the paper. Now days, paper made from aquatic plants are not only for writing purpose but also can be commercialized as craft that can handily made. In addition, it can also be used to make tissue paper, food wrapping, book mark and others. However, producing paper by using aquatic plants is not a practice in Malaysia.

Furthermore, papermaking process can be divided into two techniques that are Western papermaking and Japanese papermaking. Nowadays, Western papermaking fell into decline due to the invention and rapid expansion of papermaking machines (Knowlton, 2004). Compared to the papermaking machine, handmade paper produces many desirable qualities that cannot be found in machine made paper. In addition, the strong grains direction of the web fibers in machine made paper resulted from the unidirectional movement of the belt of papermaking machine makes handmade paper have more qualities compared to the machine made paper. This is due to the shaking process of the vat in four directions that resulting little to no grain direction (Hunter, 1947). As a result of this reasons, Western handmade papermaking techniques were used in this project.

Moreover, paper can be made into different colour depending on the material used in the colouring process. The stain use can be natural or artificial dye. Natural dye use is usually fresh or preserved plants materials. These include leaves, roots, stems and flowers that can be boiled in water to produce variety of colours. For examples, red cabbage, spinach leaves, onion skins and others can be use as natural dyes in papermaking process (Egan *et al.*, 2004). People usually used artificial dye, which is fabric dyes in papermaking process. However, compared to artificial dye, natural dye is more cheap and affordable.

Thus, the aims of this study are;

1. To examine fiber dimension and chemical composition of selected aquatic macrophytes
2. To determine the suitable species of aquatic macrophytes for papermaking
3. To determine suitable material for paper colouring purpose

REFERENCES

- Alava, M. and Niskanen, K. (2006). The physics of paper. *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, **69**, 669-723.
- Asuncion, J. (2001). *The Complete Book of Papermaking*. Sterling Publishing, New York.
- Banerjee, A. and Matai, S. (1990). Composition of Indian aquatic plants in relation to utilization as animal forage. *J. Aquat. Plant Manage.*, **28**, 69-73.
- Benazir, J.A.F., Manimekalai, V., Ravichandran, P., Suganthi, R., Dinesh, C. (2010). Properties of fibres/culm strands from mat sedge-*Cyperus pangorei* Rottb. *BioResources.*, **5**, 951-967.
- Beng, C.T. (1984). Papermaking from selected Malaysian fibers: an investigation of its artictic potential through the creation of original paper artworks. *Marilyn Zurmuehlen Working papers in Art Education.*, **3**, 21-24.
- Bhardwaj, K.R. (2005). Potential use of an aquatic weed, *Salvinia molesta*, in paper industry. *Bulletine of the National Institute of Ecology*, **15**, 145-151.
- Brindha, D., Vinodhini, S. and Alarmelumangai, K. (2012). Fiber dimension and chemical contents of fiber from *Passiflora foetida*, L. and their suitability in paper production. *Science Research Reporter.*, **2**, 210-219.
- Caulfield, D.F. and Gunderson, D.E. (1988). Paper testing and strength characteristics. In *TAPPI Proceedings of 1988 Paper Preservation symposium (p. 31-40)*. Wahsington, DC. Atlanta: Tappi Press.
- Chandra, M. (1998). Use of nonwood plant fibers for pulp and paper industry in Asia: potential in China. *Wood Science and Forest Products*, 1-3.
- Chomchalow, N. (2011). Giant *Salvinia* - An invasive alien aquatic plant in Thailand. *AU J. T.*, **15**, 77-82.
- Dutt, D. and Tyagi, C.H. (2011). Comparison of various eucalyptus species for their morphological, chemical, pulp and paper making characteristics. *Indian Journal of Chemical Technology*, **18**, 145-151.
- Edwards, P. (1980). *Food Potential of Aquatic Macrophytes*. International Center for Living Aquatic Resources Management, Manila, Philippines.
- Egan, T.P., Meekins, J.F., Maluso, D. (2004). Dyes, fibers, and paper: a botany lab exercise for non-biology majors. *Bioscience*, **30**, 15-17.

- Fahn, A. (1990). *Plant Anatomy*. Pergamon Press, New York.
- Finell, M. (2003). The use of Reed Canary-Grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*) as a short fiber raw material for the pulp and paper industry. *Unit of Biomass Technology and Chemistry*, 7-50.
- Foelkel, C. Advances in Eucalyptus Fiber Properties and Paper Products. <http://www.celso-foelkel.com.br>. Retrieved March, 22, 2012.
- Frinhani, E.D.M.D. and Oliveira, R.C.D. (2006). The applicability of natural colorants in papermaking. *Tappi J.*, 5, 3-7.
- Green, M. and Green, S. (2012). Paper History. *Hand Papermaking Newsletter*, p.4-5. <http://newsletter.handpapermaking.org/hpn88digital.pdf>. Retrieved April 15, 2012.
- Han, J.S. (1998). Properties of nonwood fibers. *Korean Society of wood and technology annual meeting (p. 3-12). North America, Atlanta: American:Nonwood Symposium*.
- Hiebert, H. (1998). *Papermaking with Garden Plants and Common Weeds*. Storey Publishing, North Adams.
- Horn, R.A. (1978). Morphology of pulp fiber from hardwoods and influence on paper strength. *Research Paper FPL 312, Forest Products Laboratory*, 1-8.
- Hubbe, M.A. and Bowden, C. (2009). Handmade paper: A review of its history, craft and science. *BioResources*, 4, 1738-1792.
- Hunter, D. (1947). *The History and Technique of an Ancient Craft*. Alfred A Knoff., New York.
- Hurter, R.W. and Riccio, F.A. (1998). Why CEO's don't want to hear about nonwoods-or should they? *TAPPI North America Nonwood Fiber Symposium (p. 1-10). Atlanta, Georgia: TAPPI Proceedings*.
- Hurter, R.W. (2001). Nonwood plant fiber characteristics. www.HurterConsult.com. Retrieved November 20, 2012.
- H'ng, P.S., Khor, B.N., Tadashi, N., Aini, A.S.N., Paridah, M.T. (2009). Atomical structure and fiber morphology of new Kenaf varieties. *Asian Journal of Scientific Research*, 2, 161-166.
- Jafari, N. (2010). Ecological and socio-economic utilization of water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes* Mart. Solms). *J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage.*, 14, 43-49.

- Jahan, M.S., Gunter, B.G., Rahman, A.F.M. (2009). Substituting wood with nonwood fibers in papermaking: A win-win solution for *Bangladesh*. *Bangladesh Development Research Working Paper Series*, **4**, 1-14.
- Jennings, S. (2003). *Artist's Color Manual*. Chronical Books LLC, United State.
- Jeyasingam, J.T. (1998). Overview of Selected Non wood Fibers for Papermaking. <http://www.tappi.org>. Retrieved November 17, 2012.
- Joedodibroto, R., Widiyanto, L.S., Soerjani, M. (1983). Potential use of some aquatic weeds as paper pulp. *Aquat. Plant Manage.*, **21**, 29-32.
- Josefsson, M. (2011). NOBANIS – Invasive Alien Species Fact Sheet - *Elodea canadensis*, *Elodea nuttallii* and *Elodea callitrichoides*. www.nobanis.org. Retrieved April 18, 2012.
- Khinder, T.O., Omer, S., Taha, O. (2012). Alkaline pulping of *Typha domingensis* stems from Sudan. *World Applied Sciences J.*, **16**, 331-336.
- Knowlton, A. (2004). Contemporary hand papermaking in North America and Europe. *Technology and Structure Records Materials*, 1-9.
- Koretsky, E. (1988). Carriage House Handmade Paper Works. <http://cool.conservation-us.org/byorg/abbey/ap/ap01/ap01-4/ap01-411.html>. Retrived April 12, 2012.
- Lancar, L. and Krake, K. (2002). Aquatic weeds and their management. *International Commission on Irrigation and Drainage*. 1-65.
- Langeland, K.A. (1996). *Hydrilla verticillata* (L.F.) Royle (Hydrocharitaceae) the perfect aquatic weed. *Castanea*, **61**, 293-304.
- Mabee, W.E. and Pande, H. (1997). Recovered and non-wood fibre: Effects of alternative fibres on global fibre supply. In P.N. Duinker and G. Bull (Eds.), *Global Fibre Supply Study Working Paper Sheets* (p. 1-25). Toronto, Canada.
- Madsen, J.D. (2009). Impact of invasive aquatic plants on aquatic biology. In Lyn A.G., William T.H. and Marc B. (Eds), *Biology and control of aquatic plants* (p. 1-8). United State of America: Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration Foundation, Marietta, Georgia.
- Maureen, G. and Green, S. (2009, October). Paper history. *Hand Papermaking Newsletter*, p.4.
- May, S. (2006). Aquatic and Wetland Plants. Science Online. <http://www.fvhslibrary.com/Biomes/aquatic%20and%20wetland%20plants.pdf>. Retrieved March 30, 2012.

- Minnesota. (2012). Department of Natural Resources. http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/aquatic_plants/emergent_plants/index.html. Retrieved April 15, 2012.
- Mitchell, D.S. (1969). The ecology of vascular hydrophytes on Lake Kariba. *Hydrobiologia*, **34**, 448-464.
- Mossello, A.A. (2010). A review of literatures related of using kenaf for pulp production (beating, fractionation, and recycled fiber). *Modern Applied Science*, **4**, 21-29.
- Mossello, A.A., Harun, J., Resalati, H., Ibrahim, r., Shmas, S.R.F., Paridah, M.T. (2010). New approach to use of Kenaf for paper and paperboard production. *BioResources*, **5**, 2112-2122.
- Naji, H.R., Sahri, M.H., Nobuchi, T., Bakar, E.S. (2012). Clonal and planting density effects on some properties of rubber wood (*Hevea brasiliensis* Muell. Arg.). *BioResources*, **7**, 189-202.
- Ndimela, P.E., Kumolu-Johnson, C.A., Anetekhai, M.A. (2011). The invasive aquatic macrophyte, water hyacinth (*Eichornia crassipes* Mart. Solm.) ; problems and prospects. *Environmental Sciences*, **5**, 509-520.
- Neathery Batsell Fuller. (2002). A Brief History of Paper. <http://users.stlcc.edu/nfuller/paper/>. Retrieved March 30, 2012.
- Norul Izani, M.A. and Sahri, M.H. (2008). Wood and cellular properties of four new Hevea species. *FORTROP II International Conference, Kasetsart University, Thailand*.
- Olotuah, O.F. (2006). Suitability of some loacal bast fibre plants in pulp and paper making. *Journal of Biological Science*, **6**, 635-637.
- Pahkala, K.S. (2001). Non-wood plants as raw material for pulp and paper. *Agricultural and Food Science in Finland (p. 12-85). FIN-31600 Jokioinen, Findland*.
- Pande, H. (1998). Non-wood fibre and global fiber supply. *Unasyuva*, **49**, 44-50.
- Penfound, W.T. (1956). Primary productivity of vascular aquatic plants. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, **1**, 92-101.
- Pfaffli, I. (1995). *Fiber Atlas-Identification of Papermaking Fibers*. Springer, Heidelberg, Germany.

- Pinmental, D., Lach, L., Zuniga, R., Marrison, D. (2000). Environmental and economic cost of nonindigenous species in the United States. *BioScience*, **50**, 53-65.
- Rosazlin, A., Fauziah, C.I., Wan Rasidah, K., Rosenani, A.B., Kala, D.R. (2011). Assessment on the quality of recycled paper mill sludge mixed with oil palm empty fruit bunch compost. *Malaysian Journal of Soil Science*, **15**, 49-62.
- Sadegh, A.N., Rakhshani, H., Samariha, A., Nemati, M., Khosravi, E. (2011). The influence of axial position on fiber features of cotton stems. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*, **10**, 447-449.
- Scora, P.E. and Scora, R.W. (1991). Some observations on the natural of papyrus bonding. *Ethnobiol.*, **11**, 193-202.
- Seth, R.S. and Page, D.H. (1998). Fiber properties and tearing resistance. *Tappi J.*, **71**, 103-107.
- Shah, K.A., Sumbul, S., Andrabi, S.A. (2010). A study on nutritional potential of aquatic plants. department of animal husbandary (Kashmir), *Srinagar.*, **5**, 53.
- Smith, R.E. (2011). The environmental sustainability of paper. *Organizational Dynamics*, **1**, 1-18.
- Ververis, C., Georghiou, K., Christodoulakis, N., Santas, P., Santas, R. (2004). Fiber dimensions, lignin and cellulose content of various plant materials and their suitability for paper production. *Industrial Crops and Product*, **19**, 245-254.
- Watson, B.G. (1949). Historical introduction. handmade paper. In Julius G., James H.Y. and Barry G.W. (Eds.) *Paper and board manufacture* (p. 1-22). Technical Division, The British Paper and Board Industry Federation.
- Weidenmuller, R. (1980). *Papermaking: The Art and Craft of Handmade Paper*. Thorfinn International Marketing Consultants Inc. San Diego and Ralf E. Soderholm, Landon.
- Wersal, R.M. and Madsen, J.D. (2012). *Aquatic plants their uses and risks*. Viale delle terme di Caracalla., Rome, Italy.
- Widyanto, L.S., Sopannata, A. and Usman, S. (1983). Waterhyacinth as a potential plant in a paper factory. *Aquat. Plant Manage.*, **21**, 32-35.
- Wisconsin Paper Council. (2004). The Invention of Paper. <http://www.wipapercouncil.org/invention.htm>. Retrieved April 18, 2012.

Wootton, M., Munn, J., Wallis, T.B. (1996). Observations Concerning the Characteristics of Handmade Paper: The Library of Congress Endpaper Project 1996. <http://cool.conservationous.org/coolaic/sg/bpg/annual/v15/bp15-21.html>. Retrieved November 22, 2012.

Yang, G.B.O. (1997). Papermaking traditions of Asia. *TAPPI.*, **80**, 49-54.

Your Garden Ponds Center. (2009). <http://www.your-garden-ponds-center.com/submerged-aquatic-plants.html>. Retrieved April 15, 2012.

