



***COMPARISON OF ARTHROPOD BIODIVERSITY BETWEEN
CONVENTIONAL AND ALLEY-CROPPING SYSTEM IN OIL PALM
PLANTATION IN RELATION TO MICROCLIMATIC AND VEGETATION
STRUCTURES***

MOHAMAD ASHRAF BIN ABDUL MUTALIB

FH 2019 9



**COMPARISON OF ARTHROPOD BIODIVERSITY BETWEEN
CONVENTIONAL AND ALLEY-CROPPING SYSTEM IN OIL PALM
PLANTATION IN RELATION TO MICROCLIMATIC AND VEGETATION
STRUCTURES**

By

MOHAMAD ASHRAF BIN ABDUL MUTALIB

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of
Master of Science**

November 2018

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial uses of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Science

**COMPARISON OF ARTHROPOD BIODIVERSITY BETWEEN
CONVENTIONAL AND ALLEY-CROPPING SYSTEM IN OIL PALM
PLANTATION IN RELATION TO MICROCLIMATIC AND VEGETATION
STRUCTURES**

By

MOHAMAD ASHRAF BIN ABDUL MUTALIB

November 2018

Chairman : Badrul Azhar Bin Md Sharif, PhD
Faculty : Forestry

Oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) is among the most rapidly expanding and cultivated crops due to high global demand for vegetable oils. Large areas of forest have been converted into oil palm plantations to meet the market demand, especially in Southeast Asia. This has caused biodiversity loss and induced climate change. The alley-cropping system has the potential to promote flora and faunal biodiversity, provide natural predation on pests, and improve microclimate features such as air temperature, ground temperature and higher humidity. This study determines the patterns of arthropod biodiversity, arthropod functional groups, vegetation structure and microclimate conditions with different oil palm agricultural practices. It compared arthropod biodiversity, arthropods predators and decomposers using pitfall traps in five alley-cropping treatments (pineapple, bamboo, black pepper, cacao, bacris), where oil palm is intercropped with another species with two monoculture oil palm treatments. We also compared microhabitat quality of vegetation structure such as vegetation cover for grass and non-grass and height for grass and non-grass using 1m x 1m quadrats and 2m x 5m quadrats. We also measure microclimate such as air temperature, relative humidity, light intensity and wind speed and soil conditions such as soil surface temperature, soil pH and soil moisture. A total of 50,155 arthropod individuals were recorded, representing 19 orders and 28 families of which 14 orders belonging to sub-phylum Insecta, three orders from Arachnida (Araneae; Acarinae; Scorpiones) and two orders from Microcoryphia (Chordeumatida; Geophilomorpha). This study found that the number of arthropod orders, families, abundance, and the number of predators and decomposers were significantly greater in alley-cropping farming plots than those in monoculture plots. This study found that the

vegetation structure was significantly greater in the alley-cropping system compared to the oil palm monoculture system. Similarly, this study found that the microclimate and soil condition improved significantly in the alley-cropping system than in the monoculture oil palm system. Moreover, this study found that black pepper crops and cacao crops were the best crop species to be implemented in the alley-cropping system due to having a lower temperature (33.19°C; 33.27°C), higher humidity (60.53%; 59.02%) and a richer vegetation structure compared to other crops. The findings from this study suggest that the alley-cropping system could become a key management strategy to improve biodiversity conservation, ecosystem services, vegetation structure and microclimate features within oil palm production landscapes. Furthermore, careful selection of crop species for intercropping with oil palm crops is important to aid in the preservation of variation of vegetation structure and improvement of microclimate features in the plantation.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**PERBEZAAN KEPELBAGAIAN BIOLOGI ARTROPOD DI ANTARA
SISTEM MONOKULTUR KELAPA SAWIT DAN SISTEM INTEGRASI
TANAMAN BERLORONG DALAM LADANG KELAPA SAWIT DIKAITKAN
DENGAN IKLIM MIKRO DAN STRUKTUR VEGETASI**

Oleh

MOHAMAD ASHRAF BIN ABDUL MUTALIB

November 2018

Pengerusi : Badrul Azhar Bin Md Sharif, PhD
Fakulti : Perhutanan

Kelapa sawit (*Elaeis guineensis*) merupakan di antara tanaman yang paling berkembang dan ditanam kerana di atas permintaan yang tinggi dari peringkat global untuk hasilnya. kawasan hutan yang luas telah ditukarkan kepada ladang kelapa sawit untuk memenuhi permintaan ekonomi terutamanya di Asia Tenggara. Hal ini menyebabkan pengurangan biodiversiti dan menyebabkan perubahan iklim yang drastik. Sistem integrasi tanaman berlorong mempunyai potensi untuk mengembalikan biodiversiti flora dan fauna, mewujudkan rangkaian makanan di antara pemangsa dan serangga perosak, membaik pulih keadaan cuaca seperti suhu udara dan suhu tanah yang lebih baik dan kelembapan yang lebih tinggi. Misi kajian ini ialah untuk mengenal pasti biodiversiti arthropod, kumpulan berfungsi arthropod, struktur vegetasi dan keadaan iklim di dalam sistem agrikultur yang berbeza. Kajian ini membandingkan biodiversiti arthropod, arthropod pemangsa dan pengurai menggunakan perangkap cawan di antara lima sistem integrasi tanaman berlorong (nenas, buluh, lada hitam, koko, baktris) di mana kelapa sawit ditanam bersama spesies lain, bersama dua sistem monokultur kelapa sawit sahaja. Kajian ini uga membandingkan karakter habitat vegetasi struktur (vegetasi tanah untuk rumput dan bukan rumput dan tinggi vegetasi untuk rumput dan bukan rumput) menggunakan kuadrat 1m x 1m dan kuadrat 2m x 5m. Kami juga membandingkan kualiti iklim (suhu udara, kelembapan, intensiti cahaya dan kelajuan angin) dan keadaan tanah (suhu permukaan tanah, ph tanah dan kelembapan tanah). Sejumlah 50, 155 arthropod individu telah ditangkap, mewakili 19 order dan 28 famili di mana 14 order tergolong dalam sub-phylum Insecta, tiga order dari Arachnida (Araneae; Acarinae; Scorpiones) dan dua order dari Microcoryphia (Chordeumatida; Geophilomorpha). Kajian ini mendapati

bilangan order, famili, individu dan bilangan arthropod pemangsa dan pengurai lebih tinggi di sistem integrasi tanaman berlorong dari sistem monokultur sahaja. Kajian ini juga mendapati struktur vegetasi lebih bagus di sistem integrasi tanaman berlorong. Tambahan pula, kajian ini uga mendapati keadaan iklim dan tanah yang lebih bagus di sistem integrasi tanaman berlorong dari sistem monokultur kelapa sawit. Selain itu, kajian ini mendapati lada hitam dan koko merupakan tanaman yang paling sesuai untuk ditanam bersama kelapa sawit kerana mereka mempunyai keadaan suhu (33.19°C ; 33.27°C) dan kelembapan yang lebih baik (60.53%; 59.02%) serta struktur vegetasi yang lebih tinggi dari tanaman lain. Hasil dari kajian ini mencadangkan bahawa sistem integrasi tanaman berlorong boleh menjadi kunci strategi untuk membaik pulih biodiversiti, servis ekosistem, struktur vegetasi dan keadaan iklim di dalam ladang kelapa sawit. Tambahan lagi, pemilihan tanaman untuk diintegrasikan bersama kelapa sawit adalah penting bagi pemuliharaan struktur vegetasi dan pemulihan cuaca iklim di dalam ladang kelapa sawit.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to praise Allah the Al-Mighty, The Most Gracious and The Most Merciful for enlightening my way and directing me with continuous enthusiasms through each and every stage of my study.

I would like to dedicate and express my full appreciation to my chairman of supervisory committee, Senior lecturer Dr. Badrul Azhar bin Md Sharif and my co-supervisors Dr. Ruzana Adibah Binti Mohd Sanusi and Dr. Razak Terhem for their encouragement, support and helpful comments on the thesis and manuscript throughout my research work. It had been my honour and privilege to work under their supervision.

I would like to thank all the Malaysian Palm Oil Board, MPOB Kratong staff especially Dr. Kamil Tohiran and Mr. Jamil for their assistance and sharing their experience in the field. I am also thanking Mrs. Adila Denan, Mr. Khairi dan Mr. Fathi Amree for helping and supporting me during the first pilots underlying this works. I am also grateful to MPOB for granting me GSAS scholarship throughout my study semester.

A special thanks to my beloved parents for their unwavering support, encouragement and providing financial support in times I needed the most. Without them, I would never had finished this study with success. I am also thanking my brother and sister, Mrs. Nurathirah and Mr. Muhammad Airul for their supportive and caring thoughts through my thick and thin situation during this study.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Badrul Azhar Bin Md Sharif, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Ruzana Adibah Binti Mohd Sanusi, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Razak Bin Terhem, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby declare that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Name and Matric No: Mohamad Ashraf Bin Abdul Mutalib (GS45296)

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee:

Dr. Badrul Azhar Bin Md Sharif

Signature: _____

Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee:

Dr. Ruzana Adibah Binti Mohd Sanusi

Signature: _____

Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee:

Dr. Razak Bin Terhem

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| ABSTRACT | i |
| ABSTRAK | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | v |
| APPROVAL | vi |
| DECLARATION | vii |
| LIST OF TABLES | xiii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xiv |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xv |
| CHAPTER | |
| 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 General Review | 1 |
| 1.2 Problem Statement | 2 |
| 1.3 Justification | 4 |
| 1.4 The Objectives of the Study | 5 |
| 2 LITERATURE REVIEW | 6 |
| 2.1 Tropical Deforestation and Industrial Agriculture | 6 |
| 2.2 Oil Palm Expansion | 6 |
| 2.2.1 Oil Palm Characteristics | 7 |
| 2.2.2 Oil Palm-based Products | 7 |
| 2.2.3 Oil Palm History in Malaysia | 8 |
| 2.3 Biodiversity Loss Caused by Oil Palm Expansion | 8 |
| 2.4 Microclimate Fluctuation and Lack of Complexity on Vegetation | 9 |
| 2.5 Agroforestry as an Alternative to Monoculture Agriculture Practices | 10 |
| 2.6 Alley-cropping System Promotes Greater Biodiversity | 11 |
| 2.7 Biodiversity Conservation in Oil Palm Production Landscapes | 12 |
| 2.7.1 Integrated Pest Management (IPM) | 12 |
| 2.7.2 Sustainable Oil Palm Certification | 13 |
| 2.8 Ecosystem Service Provided by Arthropod | 13 |
| 2.8.1 Arthropod as Pollinator | 13 |
| 2.8.2 Arthropod as Biological Indicator | 13 |
| 2.8.3 Arthropod as Natural Predator | 14 |
| 2.8.4 Arthropod as Decomposers | 15 |
| 3 ARTHROPOD BIODIVERSITY AND ECOSYSTEM FUNCTION USING ALLEY-CROPPING SYSTEMS IN OIL PALM PLANTATION | 16 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 16 |
| 3.2 Materials and Methods | 18 |
| 3.2.1 Study Sites | 18 |
| 3.2.2 Sampling design | 19 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 3.2.3 | Arthropod sampling | 20 |
| 3.2.4 | Local-scale habitat quality measurement | 23 |
| 3.2.5 | Data analysis | 23 |
| 3.3 | Results | 24 |
| 3.3.1 | General patterns of arthropod biodiversity | 24 |
| 3.3.2 | Comparison of arthropod order, family and abundance between alley-cropping and monoculture systems | 25 |
| 3.3.3 | Comparison of arthropod abundance grouped into predator and decomposer feeding guilds between alley-cropping and monoculture systems | 27 |
| 3.3.4 | Arthropod composition in alley-cropping and monoculture systems | 29 |
| 3.4 | Discussion | 30 |
| 3.5 | Conclusion | 33 |
| 4 | HABITAT HETEROGENITY AND MODERATE EXTREME MICROLIMATES IN OIL PALM PLANTATION USING ALLEY-CROPPING SYSTEM | 34 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 34 |
| 4.2 | Materials and Method | 35 |
| 4.2.1 | Study area | 35 |
| 4.2.2 | Study design | 35 |
| 4.2.3 | Vegetation structure | 36 |
| 4.2.4 | Microclimate | 36 |
| 4.2.5 | Soil characteristics | 37 |
| 4.2.6 | Data analysis | 37 |
| 4.3 | Results | 38 |
| 4.3.1 | Vegetation structure of alley-cropping and oil palm monoculture systems | 38 |
| 4.3.2 | Microclimate and soil characteristics between alley-cropping and monoculture systems in oil palm production landscape | 41 |
| 4.4 | Discussion | 47 |
| 4.4.1 | Conventional monoculture systems vs. alley-cropping systems | 47 |
| 4.4.2 | Effects of crop choice on vegetation structure in the alley-cropping systems | 48 |
| 4.4.3 | Effects of crop choice on the microclimate and soil characteristics in the alley-cropping system | 48 |
| 4.4.4 | Alley-cropping system as an alternative for sustainable agricultural practice | 50 |
| 4.5 | Conclusion | 50 |
| 5 | SUMMARY, GENERAL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH | 51 |
| 5.1 | Conclusion | 51 |
| 5.2 | Recommendation | 52 |

| | |
|--------------------|----|
| REFERENCES | 54 |
| APPENDICES | 72 |
| BIODATA OF STUDENT | 75 |
| PUBLICATION | 76 |



© COPYRIGHT UPM

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | Page |
|--|------|
| 3.1 Total abundance of arthropod order collected from all treatment levels | 25 |
| 3.2 Pairwise comparison in order composition of seven treatment levels of control (oil palm monoculture aged seven-year and 15-year) and alley-cropping (oil palm intercropped with pineapple, black pepper, cacao, bactris and bamboo) treatments | 29 |
| 4.1 Summary statistics of vegetation, microclimate and soil characteristics of both alley cropping system and monoculture system | 37 |
| 4.2 The differences in vegetation structural characteristics between seven treatment levels of control (oil palm monoculture aged seven-years and 15-years) and alley-cropping. The means that do not differ share an identical letter | 39 |
| 4.3 The differences in microclimate and soil characteristics between seven treatment levels of control (oil palm monoculture aged seven-years and 15-years) and alley-cropping. The means that do not differ share an identical letter | 43 |
| 4.4 The differences in means of: air temperature, light intensity, relative humidity, wind speed, soil surface temperature and soil moisture between the oil palm monoculture treatments aged seven and 15-years to alley-cropping treatments | 46 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | Page |
|--|------|
| 3.1 Map location of all the seven treatments (OP-Bactris, OP-Bamboo, OP-Black pepper, OP-Cocoa, OP-Pineapple, Oil Palm 15yr and Oil Palm 7yr) | 19 |
| 3.2 Alley-cropping/double avenue oil palm system design with the intercropping alley for secondary crops planting area | 20 |
| 3.3 Alley-cropping system designed with seven treatment levels. Oil palm plants were intercropped with cacao (A), black pepper (B), pineapple (C), bactris (D), and bamboo (E). Two conventional oil palm monoculture stands characterized by seven- (F) and 15- (G) year old crops were used as control plots | 22 |
| 3.4 The number of arthropod orders, families and abundance in seven treatment levels of control (oil palm monoculture aged seven-year and 15-year) and alley-cropping (oil palm intercropped with pineapple denoted by OP-Pin, black pepper denoted by OP-BP, cacao denoted by OP-Cac, bactris denoted by OP-Bac and bamboo denoted by OP-Bam) treatments. Each treatment had three replicates | 27 |
| 3.5 Arthropod abundance in terms of feeding guild in seven treatment levels of control (oil palm monoculture aged seven-year and 15-year) and alley-cropping (oil palm intercropped with pineapple denoted by OP-Pin, black pepper denoted by OP-BP, cacao denoted by OP-Cac, bactris denoted by OP-Bac and bamboo denoted by OP-Bam) treatments. Each treatment had three replicates | 28 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|------|---|
| CPO | Crude palm oil |
| PKO | Palm kernel oil |
| POME | Palm oil mill effluent |
| EFB | Empty fruit bunches |
| MW | Mega Watt |
| LAI | Leaf area index |
| CBD | Convention on Biological Diversity |
| COP | Conference of the parties |
| MPOC | Malaysian Palm Oil Council |
| RSPO | Roundtable on Sustainable palm Oil |
| IPM | Integrated Pest Management |
| MSPO | Malaysian Sustainable Palm Oil Standard |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Review

Oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) is the most rapidly expanding cultivated crop in the tropics due to global demand for palm oil as edible oils, non-food products and used as a biofuel feedstock (Fitzherbert et al., 2008; Verheye, 2010). Palm oil is the highest vegetable oil exported and monopolises 60% of the global exports, surpassing other vegetable oil crops such as soybean oil (10%), Rapeseed oil (3%) and sunflower oil (4%) (Carter et al., 2007). Furthermore, palm oil is the highest vegetable oil produced globally since 2009 where it accounts for 34.0% of the world market, while soybean oil, rapeseed oil and sunflower oil had 27.0%, 16.2% and 9.8% of the world market (Teoh, 2010).

Malaysia and Indonesia are currently the largest palm oil producing countries dominating 80% of the world's palm oil production (Koh & Wilcove, 2008; Fitzherbert et al., 2008; Ng et al., 2012). The total oil palm planted in Malaysia recorded an increment of 1.3% from 5.74 million hectares in 2016 to 5.81 million hectares in 2017 (MPOB, 2018). The rapid expansion of oil palm plantations is causing deforestation, especially in tropical regions. This threatens biodiversity as tropical rainforests are home to many endemic and forest species and are the most carbon-rich place on Earth (Green et al., 2005; Koh, 2008; Wilcove & Koh, 2010). Numerous studies have shown that oil palm plantations comprised less avian, mammals and arthropod species compared to the natural forest (Senior et al., 2013; Fitzherbert et al., 2008; Petrenko et al., 2016; Hendrickx et al., 2007). Even though current research suggests that oil palm plantation can preserve a high number of species, these populations are distinct from those in natural forests especially concerning native species assemblages (Danielsen et al., 2009).

Moreover, climate change and environmental pollution due to fertiliser and pesticide or herbicide usage are effects of oil palm expansion (Wilcove & Koh, 2010). Lack of complexity of the understory vegetation coverage and canopy cover in oil palm plantations cause climate change by inducing hotter temperatures and drier humidity especially in young plantations (Tews et al., 2003; Schroth et al., 2004). Oil palm expansion increases greenhouse gases (CO₂) emission, poor rainfall due to deforestation, the release of methane gas from mill effluent treatment and nitrous oxide (N₂O) from the usage of nitrogen fertilisers (Corley & Tinker, 2016).

To apprehend this situation, oil palm growers have implemented several environmentally-friendly farming practices such as maintaining riparian trees

along rivers, preserving forest patches within oil palm plantations, using biological pest control, zero burning and Integrated Pest Management (IPM) (Basiron, 2007; Verheye, 2010). Maintaining riparian reserves can provide wildlife corridors for the wildlife within the plantation. IPM is preferred for controlling pests rather than using pesticides. The application of biological control such as using barn owls to control rodent populations was very promising and can be used to replace chemical pesticide (Basiron, 2007). Zero burning practices have been applied to clear land to prevent pollution and damages to the environment from land clearing activities (Tan et al., 2009).

Agriculture sustainability, especially in oil palm plantations, is important as palm oil is the top most demanded vegetable oil globally. Therefore, oil palm crops need to be planted in a manner to prevent negative risks to the economy, society and environment (Basiron and Chan, 2006). Concerns of how oil palm expansion causes environmental effects led to the formation of the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO) in 2004 (Laurance et al., 2014). RSPO is a non-profit organisation to promote sustainable oil palm practices and providing RSPO-certified palm oil to the global market (Laurance et al., 2014). RSPO imposes principles and criteria which need to be followed by the stakeholders that focus on issues such as minimising the use of herbicides or pesticides, biodiversity loss, pollution, social and even legal concerns (RSPO, 2005). It promises the potential for healthier management and improved environmental performance of producers and palm oil users (Laurance et al., 2010).

1.2 Problem Statement

Oil palm expansion is the main threat to biodiversity especially in Southeast Asia as significant natural habitats are eradicated by land clearing activities (Myers et al., 2000). Tropical forest clearance causes disequilibrium in the ecology of forests. Moreover, oil palm plantations can only support low biodiversity with limited ecosystem functions and frequently changing extreme climates compared to natural forests (Fitzherbert et al., 2008). Even though oil palm plantations can preserve some flora and fauna, it can only provide refuge to a low number of wildlife and plants compared to natural forest areas (Foster et al., 2011). Most specialised species cannot withstand land changes and face extinction. Generalised species, however, can endure the changes in landscapes. Bruhl and Eltz (2010) found that ground-dwelling ant species were lower in oil palm plantations compared to natural forests. Furthermore, they found that most of the dominant species were non-forest species and invasive species (Bruhl and Eltz, 2010).

Land clearing to establish oil palm monoculture plantation greatly reduces the richness and diversity of specific arthropod taxa including beetles, moths and ants (Chung et al., 2000; Chey, 2006; Pfeiffer et al., 2008). This is due to a lack of microhabitat, fewer food sources available and climate changes

within oil palm environments (Fayle et al., 2010). For example, having simplified understory vegetation can have negative effects on the population and interaction between organisms in the oil palm plantation. This relationship was reported in a study conducted by Spear et al. (2017) who observed the population and interaction between *Argyrodes miniaceus* (Araneae: Theridiidae) a cleptoparasitic spider with the host (Araneae: Nephilinae) spider reduced in simplified understory vegetation in oil palm plantations. Moreover, aquatic arthropods ecosystems are affected by oil palm expansion as it modified rivers and streams (Nepstad et al., 2008). Juen et al. (2016) found that modified streams or rivers found in oil palm plantations especially with scarce ground stream cover can only accommodate a small number of aquatic organisms.

Arthropods are beneficial components in an ecosystem as they provide valuable ecosystem services in agricultural areas. They play a major role in crop pollination by contributing to increasing crop yield, quality and higher profit as well as conserving time and space (Garibaldi et al., 2011). Furthermore, they act as biological predators and food source to higher predators in oil palm production landscapes (Fayle et al., 2010). Arthropods are recognised as playing a major role as decomposing by feeding on various types of plant detritus such as dead wood and leaf litter and aiding in nutrient and carbon cycling (Hooper et al., 2005). Besides that, arthropods can assist in seed dispersal especially in agriculture areas. Therefore, changes in the habitat can cause the loss of important functions of arthropods which would have a significant impact on the proper functioning of ecosystems (Hooper et al., 2005).

Forest conversion to oil palm monoculture plantations modifies habitat features dramatically and causes climate change. Large forest areas are cleared when establishing plantations causing significant loss of vegetation species during the process (Butler and Zhao, 2011). Oil palm monoculture plantations lack multi-complexity in vegetation structures such as sparse ground vegetation cover, the absence of multiple canopy strata and having the same aged trees with uniform plantation techniques (Corley & Tinker, 2003; Fischer & Lindenmayer, 2007; Chung et al., 2000). In addition, extreme climates in oil palm plantations such as unusually hot and dry conditions and lesser mean rainfall can threaten species populations (McCain and Colwell, 2011). For example, a study in Australia by Welbergen et al. (2008) showed that severe temperature cases eradicate whole populations of Australian flying-foxes (*Pteropus alecto* and *P. poliocephalus*). Extreme climates tend to have negative impacts on the species as the rate of fluctuation in the climate is much faster than species' capability to adjust to them physiologically or to migrate to new habitats with bearable conditions (Compton et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2011). Thus, the survival of species depends on whether they can adapt to the extreme weather or find refuge which can provide them with an optimum climate (Sears et al., 2011).

Nevertheless, oil palm monoculture plantation can accommodate a certain level of floral and faunal diversity even though it is significantly less compared to natural habitats (Azhar et al., 2011; Asmah et al., 2016; Ghazali et al., 2016). Preserving biodiversity is the key to providing ecosystem functions and creating sustainable agriculture practices (Foster et al., 2011; Dislich et al., 2016). Therefore, essential sustainable management is needed to reduce the negative impacts of oil palm expansion on the biodiversity. Alley-cropping system is an agricultural practice that integrates the main commercial crop cultivated with other commercial crops within an agricultural area (Gold & Garret, 2009). It has the potential to maintain biodiversity by providing habitats, food source, refuge and improved ecosystem services (Quinkenstein et al., 2009; Jose, 2012). Several studies conducted on the alley-cropping system cover on agroforestry crops such as cacao and banana plants (Harvey & Villalobos, 2007; Harvey et al., 2006) but limited empirical evidence supports implementing the alley-cropping system in large-scale oil palm monoculture plantations. As oil palm is now the most cultivated vegetable oil producer globally, its sound environmental management is essential.

1.3 Justification

This study provides insights into whether the alley-cropping system can help improve the biodiversity, especially in large-scale oil palm monoculture plantations. The findings showed that the alley-cropping system could improve arthropod biodiversity within the oil palm monoculture plantation. Arthropods are important components in the ecosystem as they provide various ecosystem services especially as pollinators and potential biological predators. Furthermore, the alley-cropping system aids in providing higher and much more complex habitat heterogeneity with enhanced microclimate conditions compared to conventional oil palm monoculture plantations. This is the first study to examine the effects of the alley-cropping system on arthropod populations in large-scale oil palm monoculture plantations. This research lays the groundwork for future research into answering questions that arise from implementing the alley-cropping system in oil palm monoculture plantations. Thus, the present study promises to offer a new wildlife-friendly mechanism for the stakeholders to improve oil palm management practices.

Oil palm monoculture plantations should be considered as supplementary biodiversity reservoirs and managed sustainably. Implementing eco-friendly farming approaches can have a positive impact on improving oil palm plantation surroundings. Arthropods are identified as biological indicators for assessing the health of an ecosystem. Evaluating the arthropod population can provide information on whether the alley-cropping system can preserve biodiversity more than the conventional monoculture oil palm system. In this study, an arthropod survey was conducted using the pitfall trap method. This study may support the hypothesis that the alley-cropping system contains higher arthropod populations and vegetation structure and that the

microclimate is better than the conventional monoculture oil palm system. This study only focus on predation and decomposers as these two are among the major ecosystem services provided by arthropods related to feeding mechanisms and it is not feasible to focus on too many difference ecosystem services as it is time consuming and too much workload.

1.4 The Objectives of the Study

The main objective was to study the diversity of terrestrial arthropods in the agroforestry alley-cropping system in relation to microclimate and vegetation structure in large oil palm plantations. To achieve this, the study:

1. Compares the total number of arthropod orders, family and abundance observed between the conventional monoculture oil palm system and alley-cropping system.
2. Compares the predator and decomposer arthropod abundance between the conventional monoculture oil palm system and alley-cropping system.
3. Compares the habitat quality concerning vegetation structure, microclimate and soil characteristics between the conventional monoculture oil palm system and alley-cropping system.

REFERENCES

- Abazue, C. M., Er, A. C., Alam, A. F., & Begum, H. (2015). Oil palm smallholders and its sustainability practices in Malaysia. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 6(6 S4), 482.
- Agamuthu, P., & Broughton, W. J. (1985). Nutrient cycling within the developing oil palm-legume ecosystem. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 13(2), 111-123.
- Allen, K., Corre, M. D., Tjoa, A., & Veldkamp, E. (2015). Soil nitrogen-cycling responses to conversion of lowland forests to oil palm and rubber plantations in Sumatra, Indonesia. *PloS One*, 10, e0133325.
- Alonso-Rodríguez, A. M., Finegan, B., & Fiedler, K. (2017). Neotropical moth assemblages degrade due to oil palm expansion. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 26(10), 2295-2326.
- ARAY, K. (1993). Relationship between the decay types of dead wood and occurrence of lucanid beetles (Coleoptera: Lucanidae). *Applied Entomology and Zoology*, 28(1), 27-33.
- Arlettaz, R. (2012). The importance of habitat heterogeneity at multiple scales for birds in European agricultural landscapes. *Birds and habitat: Relationships in changing landscapes*, 177.
- Ashraf, M., Zulkifli, R., Sanusi, R., Tohiran, K. A., Terhem, R., Moslim, R. & Azhar, B. (2018). Alley-cropping system can boost arthropod biodiversity and ecosystem functions in oil palm plantations. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 260, 19-26.
- Asmah, S., Ghazali, A., Syafiq, M., Yahya, M. S., Peng, T. L., Norhisham, A. R., & Lindenmayer, D. B. (2017). Effects of polyculture and monoculture farming in oil palm smallholdings on tropical fruit-feeding butterfly diversity. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology*, 19, 70-80.
- Azhar, B., Lindenmayer, D.B., Wood, J., Fischer, J., Manning, A., McElhinny, C., et al., (2011). The conservation Value of oil palm plantation estates, smallholdings and logged peat swamp forest for birds. *Forest Ecology and Management*. 262, 2306–2315.
- Azhar, B., Puan, C. L., Zakaria, M., Hassan, N., & Arif, M. (2014). Effects of monoculture and polyculture practices in oil palm smallholdings on tropical farmland birds. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 15, 336-346.
- Azhar, B., Saadun, N., Puan, C. L., Kamarudin, N., Aziz, N., Nurhidayu, S., & Fischer, J. (2015). Promoting landscape heterogeneity to improve the biodiversity benefits of certified palm oil production: evidence from Peninsular Malaysia. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 3, 553-561.

- Azhar, B., Saadun, N., Prideaux, M., & Lindenmayer, D. B. (2017). The global palm oil sector must change to save biodiversity and improve food security in the tropics. *Journal of environmental management*, 203, 457-466.
- Bale, J. S., Masters, G. J., Hodkinson, I. D., Awmack, C., Bezemer, T. M., Brown, V. K., ... & Good, J. E. (2002). Herbivory in global climate change research: direct effects of rising temperature on insect herbivores. *Global Change Biology*, 8, 1-16.
- Barbier, E. B., Burgess, J. C., & Markandya, A. (1991). The economics of tropical deforestation. *Ambio*, 55-58.
- Barnes, A. D., Jochum, M., Mumme, S., Haneda, N. F., Farajallah, A., Widarto, T. H., & Brose, U. (2014). Consequences of tropical land use for multitrophic biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. *Nature Communications*, 5, 5351.
- Basiron, Y., & Weng, C. K. (2004). The oil palm and its sustainability. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, 16(1).
- Basiron, Y., & Chan, K. W. (2006). Oil palm: the agricultural producer of food, fiber and fuel for global economy. *Oil Palm Ind Econ J*, 8(1), 1-17.
- Basiron, Y. (2007). Palm oil production through sustainable plantations. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, 109(4), 289-295.
- Basiron, Y. (2008). Malaysia's oil palm—hallmark of sustainable development. *Glob Oils Fats Bus*, 5, 1-7.
- Benhin, J. K. (2006). Agriculture and deforestation in the tropics: a critical theoretical and empirical review. *AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment*, 35(1), 9-16.
- Bland, R. G., & Jaques, H. E. (2010). *How to know the insects*. Waveland Press.
- Bos, M. M., Steffan-Dewenter, I., & Tschamtkke, T. (2007). The contribution of cacao agroforests to the conservation of lower canopy ant and beetle diversity in Indonesia. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 16, 2429-2444.
- Butler, R. A., & Laurance, W. F. (2009). Is oil palm the next emerging threat to the Amazon? *Tropical Conservation Science*, 2(1), 1-10.
- Butler, B. J., & Ma, Z. (2011). Family forest owner trends in the Northern United States. *Northern Journal of Applied Forestry*, 28(1), 13-18.
- Brandle, J. R., Hodges, L., & Zhou, X. H. (2004). Windbreaks in North American agricultural systems. In *New vistas in agroforestry* (pp. 65-78). Springer Netherlands.

- Brühl, C. A., & Eltz, T. (2010). Fuelling the biodiversity crisis: species loss of ground-dwelling forest ants in oil palm plantations in Sabah, Malaysia (Borneo). *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 19, 519-529.
- Burel, F. (1989). Landscape structure effects on carabid beetles spatial patterns in western France. *Landscape Ecology*, 2, 215-226.
- Capinera, J. (2011). *Insects and wildlife: arthropods and their relationships with wild vertebrate animals*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Carter, C., Finley, W., Fry, J., Jackson, D., & Willis, L. (2007). Palm oil markets and future supply. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, 109(4), 307-314.
- Carvell, C., Osborne, J. L., Bourke, A. F. G., Freeman, S. N., Pywell, R. F., & Heard, M. S. (2011). Bumble bee species' responses to a targeted conservation measure depend on landscape context and habitat quality. *Ecological Applications*, 21(5), 1760-1771.
- Chang, M. S., Hii, J., Buttner, P., & Mansoor, F. (1997). Changes in abundance and behaviour of vector mosquitoes induced by land use during the development of an oil palm plantation in Sarawak. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 91(4), 382-386.
- Chapman, R. F., & Douglas A.E. (2013). *The insects: structure and function*. Cambridge University press.
- Chen, I. C., Hill, J. K., Ohlemüller, R., Roy, D. B., & Thomas, C. D. (2011). Rapid range shifts of species associated with high levels of climate warming. *Science*, 333(6045), 1024-1026.
- Chey, V. (2006). Impacts of forest conversion on biodiversity as indicated by moths. *Malayan Nature Journal*, 57(4), 383-418.
- Chung, A. Y. C., Eggleton, P., Speight, M. R., Hammond, P. M., & Chey, V. K. (2000). The diversity of beetle assemblages in different habitat types in Sabah, Malaysia. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 90(6), 475-496.
- Cleugh, H. A. (1998). Effects of windbreaks on airflow, microclimates and crop yields. *Agroforestry systems*, 41(1), 55-84.
- Coleman, D. C., Callahan, M. A., & Crossley Jr, D. A. (2017). *Fundamentals of Soil Ecology*. Academic press.
- Coll, M., & Guershon, M. (2002). Omnivory in terrestrial arthropods: mixing plant and prey diets. *Annual review of entomology*, 47(1), 267-297.

- Compton, T. J., Rijkenberg, M. J., Drent, J., & Piersma, T. (2007). Thermal tolerance ranges and climate variability: a comparison between bivalves from differing climates. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 352(1), 200-211.
- Corley, R. H. V., & Tinker, P. B. (2003). The products of the oil palm and their extraction. *The Oil Palm*, 13, 445-466.
- Corley, R.H. & Tinker, P.B. (2016). *The Oil Palm (Fifth Ed.)*. West Sussex: Blackwell Science Ltd.
- Cornelissen, T. (2011). Climate change and its effects on terrestrial insects and herbivory patterns. *Neotropical Entomology*, 40, 155-163.
- Cullen, R., Warner, K. D., Jonsson, M., & Wratten, S. D. (2008). Economics and adoption of conservation biological control. *Biological control*, 45(2), 272-280.
- Danielsen, F., Beukema, H., Burgess, N. D., Parish, F., Brühl, C. A., Donald, P. F. & Fitzherbert, E. B. (2009). Biofuel plantations on forested lands: double jeopardy for biodiversity and climate. *Conservation Biology*, 23(2), 348-358.
- De Souza, A. R., Venâncio, D. F. A., Zanuncio, J. C., & Prezoto, F. (2011). Sampling methods for assessing social wasp's species diversity in a eucalyptus plantation. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 104(3), 1120-1123.
- Dislich, C., Keyel, A. C., Salecker, J., Kisel, Y., Meyer, K. M., Auliya, M., & Hess, B. (2016). A review of the ecosystem functions in oil palm plantations, using forests as a reference system. *Biological Reviews*, 92, 1539–1569.
- Donald, P. F. (2004). Biodiversity impacts of some agricultural commodity production systems. *Conservation Biology*, 18, 17-38.
- Drescher, J., Rembold, K., Allen, K., Beckschäfer, P., Buchori, D., Clough, Y.... & Irawan, B. (2016). Ecological and socio-economic functions across tropical land use systems after rainforest conversion. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B*, 371, 20150275.
- Duelli, P., & Obrist, M. K. (2003). Biodiversity indicators: the choice of values and measures. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 98(1-3), 87-98.
- Ebeling, A., Meyer, S. T., Abbas, M., Eisenhauer, N., Hillebrand, H., Lange, M. & Weisser, W. W. (2014). Plant diversity impacts decomposition and herbivory via changes in aboveground arthropods. *PLoS one*, 9(9), e106529.

- Edwards, F. A., Edwards, D. P., Hamer, K. C., & Davies, R. G. (2013). Impacts of logging and conversion of rainforest to oil palm on the functional diversity of birds in Sundaland. *Ibis*, 155(2), 313-326.
- Ehui, S. K., Hertel, T. W., & Preckel, P. V. (1990). Forest resource depletion, soil dynamics, and agricultural productivity in the tropics. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 18(2), 136-154.
- Fahrig, L., Baudry, J., Brotons, L., Burel, F. G., Crist, T. O., Fuller, R. J., Martin, J. L. (2011). Functional landscape heterogeneity and animal biodiversity in agricultural landscapes. *Ecology Letters*, 14, 101–112.
- FAO. (2001). *FAO statistics: The status of forests: The Global Forest Resources Assessment 2000*. The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Available at: http://www.fao.org/docrep/003/y0900e/y0900e05.htm#P4_44.
- Fayle, T. M., Turner, E. C., Snaddon, J. L., Chey, V. K., Chung, A. Y. C., Eggleton, P., & Foster, W. A. (2010). Oil palm expansion into rain forest greatly reduces ant biodiversity in canopy, epiphytes and leaf-litter. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 11, 337–345.
- Finke, D. L., & Snyder, W. E. (2010). Conserving the benefits of predator biodiversity. *Biological Conservation*, 143, 2260-2269.
- Finnamore, A. T. (1996). *The advantages of using arthropods in ecosystem management. A brief from the Biological Survey of Canada (Terrestrial Arthropods)*.
- Fischer, J., & Lindenmayer, D. B. (2007). Landscape modification and habitat fragmentation: a synthesis. *Global ecology and biogeography*, 16(3), 265-280.
- Fitzherbert, E. B., Struebig, M. J., Morel, A., Danielsen, F., Bruhl, C. A., Donald, P. F., & Phalan, B. (2008). How will oil palm expansion affect biodiversity? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 23, 538–545.
- Foster, W. A., Snaddon, J. L., Turner, E. C., Fayle, T. M., Cockerill, T. D., Ellwood, M. F., & Yusah, K. M. (2011). Establishing the evidence base for maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem function in the oil palm landscapes of South East Asia. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B*, 366, 3277-3291.
- Ganser, D., Denmead, L. H., Clough, Y., Buchori, D., & Tschamtker, T. (2017). Local and landscape drivers of arthropod diversity and decomposition processes in oil palm leaf axils. *Agricultural and forest entomology*, 19(1), 60-69.

- Garibaldi, L. A., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Kremen, C., Morales, J. M., Bommarco, R., Cunningham, S. A., & Holzschuh, A. (2011). Stability of pollination services decreases with isolation from natural areas despite honey bee visits. *Ecology letters*, 14(10), 1062-1072.
- Ghazali, A., Asmah, S., Syafiq, M., Yahya, M. S., Aziz, N., Tan, L. P., Azhar, B. (2016). Effects of monoculture and polyculture farming in oil palm smallholdings on terrestrial arthropod diversity. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology*, 19, 415–421.
- Gibb, T. J., & Oseto, C. Y. (2006). *Arthropod collection and identification: field and laboratory techniques*. Academic Press.
- Gold, M. A., & Garrett, H. E. (2009). Agroforestry nomenclature, concepts, and practices. *North American Agroforestry: An Integrated Science and Practice 2nd edition*, (North American Agricultural Services), 45-56.
- Grace, J. (1988). 3. Plant response to wind. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 22, 71-88.
- Gradish, A. E., Scott-Dupree, C. D., Shipp, L., Harris, C. R., & Ferguson, G. (2010). Effect of reduced risk pesticides for use in greenhouse vegetable production on *Bombus impatiens* (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Pest management science*, 66(2), 142-146.
- Gray, C. L., & Lewis, O. T. (2014). Do riparian forest fragments provide ecosystem services or disservices in surrounding oil palm plantations? *Basic and applied ecology*, 15(8), 693-700.
- Greathead, D. J. (1995). *Benefits and risks of classical biological control*. Plant and microbial biotechnology research series.
- Green, R. E., Cornell, S. J., Scharlemann, J. P., & Balmford, A. (2005). Farming and the fate of wild nature. *Science*, 307, 550-555.
- Griffiths, G. J., Holland, J. M., Bailey, A., & Thomas, M. B. (2008). Efficacy and economics of shelter habitats for conservation biological control. *Biological control*, 45(2), 200-209.
- Guevara, E., Marschalek, D., & Deutschman, D. (2016). *Arthropod Ecosystem Services as Indicators of Ecosystem Health and Resiliency for Conservation Management and Climate Change Planning*.
- Gurr, G., & Wratten, S. (2000). *Measures of success in biological control*.
- Hafidzi, M. N., & Saayon, M. K. (2001). Status of rat infestation and recent control strategies in oil palm plantations in Peninsular Malaysia. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science*, 24(2), 109-114.

- Hardwick, S. R., Toumi, R., Pfeifer, M., Turner, E. C., Nilus, R., & Ewers, R. M. (2015). The relationship between leaf area index and microclimate in tropical forest and oil palm plantation: Forest disturbance drives changes in microclimate. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 201, 187-195.
- Hartemink, A. E. (2005). Plantation agriculture in the tropics: environmental issues. *Outlook on AGRICULTURE*, 34(1), 11-21.
- Harvey, C. A., Gonzalez, J., & Somarriba, E. (2006). Dung beetle and terrestrial mammal diversity in forests, indigenous agroforestry systems and plantain monocultures in Talamanca, Costa Rica. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 15, 555-585.
- Harvey, C. A., & Villalobos, J. A. G. (2007). Agroforestry systems conserve species-rich but modified assemblages of tropical birds and bats. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 16, 2257-2292.
- Hatfield, J. L., & Prueger, J. H. (2015). Temperature extremes: Effect on plant growth and development. *Weather and climate extremes*, 10, 4-10.
- Hawa, A., Azhar, B., Top, M. M., & Zubaid, A. (2016). Depauperate avifauna in tropical peat swamp forests following logging and conversion to oil palm agriculture: Evidence from mist-netting data. *Wetlands*, 36, 899-908.
- Heimpel, G. E., & Jervis, M. A. (2005). Does floral nectar improve biological control by parasitoids? Plant-provided food for carnivorous insects: a protective mutualism and its applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 267-304.
- Hendrickx, F., Maelfait, J. P., Van Wingerden, W., Schweiger, O., Speelmans, M., Aviron, S., & Burel, F. (2007). How landscape structure, land-use intensity and habitat diversity affect components of total arthropod diversity in agricultural landscapes. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 44, 340-351.
- Hoorman, J. J. (2009). Using cover crops to improve soil and water quality. *Agriculture and Natural Resources. The Ohio State University*.
- Hosonuma, N., Herold, M., De Sy, V., De Fries, R. S., Brockhaus, M., Verchot, L., & Romijn, E. (2012). An assessment of deforestation and forest degradation drivers in developing countries. *Environmental Research Letters*, 7(4), 044009.
- Hooper, D. U., Chapin, F. S., Ewel, J. J., Hector, A., Inchausti, P., Lavorel, S., & Schmid, B. (2005). Effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: a consensus of current knowledge. *Ecological monographs*, 75(1), 3-35.

- Isaacs, R., Tuell, J., Fiedler, A., Gardiner, M., & Landis, D. (2009). Maximizing arthropod-mediated ecosystem services in agricultural landscapes: the role of native plants. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 7(4), 196-203.
- Ismail, S., Khasim, N., & Raja Omar, R. Z. (2009). Double-row avenue system for crop integration with oil palm. *MPOB Information Series*, 465(424), 1-4.
- Jamian, S., Norhisham, A., Ghazali, A., Zakaria, A., & Azhar, B. (2017). Impacts of 2 species of predatory Reduviidae on bagworms in oil palm plantations. *Insect science*, 24(2), 285-294.
- Jonsson, M., Wratten, S. D., Landis, D. A., & Gurr, G. M. (2008). Recent advances in conservation biological control of arthropods by arthropods. *Biological control*, 45(2), 172-175.
- Jose, S., Gillespie, A. R., & Pallardy, S. G. (2004). Interspecific interactions in temperate agroforestry. *Agroforestry Systems*, 61(1-3), 237-255.
- Jose, S., Allen, S. C., & Nair, P. R. (2008). Tree-crop interactions: lessons from temperate alley-cropping systems. *Ecological Basis of Agroforestry*, 15-36.
- Jose, S. (2009). Agroforestry for ecosystem services and environmental benefits: an overview. *Agroforestry systems*, 76(1), 1-10.
- Jose, S. (2012). Agroforestry for conserving and enhancing biodiversity. *Agroforestry Systems*, 85, 1-8.
- Juen, L., Cunha, E. J., Carvalho, F. G., Ferreira, M. C., Begot, T. O., Andrade, A. L., & Montag, L. F. A. (2016). Effects of oil palm plantations on the habitat structure and biota of streams in Eastern Amazon. *River Research and Applications*, 32(10), 2081-2094.
- Karindah, S., Yanuwadi, B., Sulistyowati, L., & Green, P. T. (2011). Abundance of *Metioche Vittalicolis* (Orthoptera: Gryllidae) and natural enemies in a rice agroecosystem as influenced by weed species. *Agrivita*, 33, 133.
- Kearns, P., & Stevenson, R. D. (2012). The Effect of Decreasing Temperature on Arthropod Diversity and Abundance in Horse Dung Decomposition Communities of Southeastern Massachusetts. *Psyche: A Journal of Entomology*, 2012.
- Kelly-Yong, T. L., Lee, K. T., Mohamed, A. R., & Bhatia, S. (2007). Potential of hydrogen from oil palm biomass as a source of renewable energy worldwide. *Energy Policy*, 35(11), 5692-5701.

- Kingsolver, J. G., Woods, H. A., Buckley, L. B., Potter, K. A., MacLean, H. J., & Higgins, J. K. (2011). Complex life cycles and the responses of insects to climate change. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, icr015.
- Kizito, E. B., Masika, F. B., Masanza, M., Aluana, G., & Barrigossi, J. A. F. (2017). Abundance, distribution and effects of temperature and humidity on arthropod fauna in different rice ecosystems in Uganda.
- Klein, A. M., Vaissiere, B. E., Cane, J. H., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Cunningham, S. A., Kremen, C., & Tscharntke, T. (2007). Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world crops. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 274(1608), 303-313.
- Klok, C. J., & Harrison, J. F. (2013). The temperature size rule in arthropods: independent of macro-environmental variables but size dependent. *Integrative and comparative biology*, 53(4), 557-570.
- Koh, L. P. (2008). Can oil palm plantations be made more hospitable for forest butterflies and birds? *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 45(4), 1002-1009.
- Koh, L. P., & Wilcove, D. S. (2008). Is oil palm agriculture really destroying tropical biodiversity? *Conservation Letters*, 1, 60-64.
- Koh, L. P., & Wilcove, D. S. (2009). Oil palm: disinformation enables deforestation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 24(2), 67-68.
- Koh, L. P., Butler, R. A., & Bradshaw, C. J. (2009). Conversion of Indonesia's peatlands. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 7(5), 238-238.
- Krebs, C. J. (1989). *Ecological methodology* (No. QH541. 15. S72. K74 1999.). New York: Harper & Row.
- Lam, M. K., Tan, K. T., Lee, K. T., & Mohamed, A. R. (2009). Malaysian palm oil: Surviving the food versus fuel dispute for a sustainable future. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 13(6-7), 1456-1464.
- Lamarre, G. P., Molto, Q., Fine, P. V., & Baraloto, C. (2012). A comparison of two common flight interception traps to survey tropical arthropods. *ZooKeys*, (216), 43.
- Laurance, W. F., Koh, L. P., Butler, R., Sodhi, N. S., Bradshaw, C. J., Neidel, J. D., & Mateo Vega, J. (2010). Improving the performance of the roundtable on sustainable palm oil for nature conservation. *Conservation Biology*, 24(2), 377-381.
- Laurance, W. F., Sayer, J., & Cassman, K. G. (2014). Agricultural expansion and its impacts on tropical nature. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 29(2), 107-116.

- Lassau, S. A., & Hochuli, D. F. (2004). Effects of habitat complexity on ant assemblages. *Ecography*, 27, 157-164.
- Lawlor, D. W., & Mitchell, R. A. C. (1991). The effects of increasing CO₂ on crop photosynthesis and productivity: a review of field studies. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 14(8), 807-818.
- Lawton, J. H. (1983). Plant architecture and the diversity of phytophagous insects. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 28, 23-39.
- Livingston, G., Jha, S., Vega, A., & Gilbert, L. (2013). Conservation value and permeability of Neotropical oil palm landscapes for orchid bees. *PLoS One*, 8, e78523.
- Longcore, T. (2003). Terrestrial arthropods as indicators of ecological restoration success in coastal sage scrub (California, USA). *Restoration Ecology*, 11(4), 397-409.
- Losey, J. E., & Vaughan, M. (2006). The economic value of ecological services provided by insects. *Bioscience*, 56(4), 311-323.
- Lucey, J. M., & Hill, J. K. (2012). Spillover of insects from rain forest into adjacent oil palm plantations. *Biotropica*, 44(3), 368-377.
- Luke, S. H., Fayle, T. M., Eggleton, P., Turner, E. C., & Davies, R. G. (2014). Functional structure of ant and termite assemblages in old growth forest, logged forest and oil palm plantation in Malaysian Borneo. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 23(11), 2817-2832.
- Luskin, M. S., & Potts, M. D. (2011). Microclimate and habitat heterogeneity through the oil palm lifecycle. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 12(6), 540-551.
- Malaysian Oil Palm Board-MPOB. (2018). Review of the Malaysia Oil Palm Industry 2016. Selangor: Economics and Industry Development Division MPOB.
- Marshall, E. J. P., Brown, V. K., Boatman, N. D., Lutman, P. J. W., Squire, G. R., & Ward, L. K. (2003). The role of weeds in supporting biological diversity within crop fields. *Weed research*, 43(2), 77-89.
- Mattsson, B., Cederberg, C. & Blix, L. (2000). Agricultural land use in life cycle assessment (LCA): Case studies of three vegetable oil crops. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 8(4), 283-292.
- Mayer, P. M., Reynolds, S. K., McCutchen, M. D., & Canfield, T. J. (2007). Meta-analysis of nitrogen removal in riparian buffers. *Journal of environmental quality*, 36(4), 1172-1180.

- McCain, C. M., & Colwell, R. K. (2011). Assessing the threat to montane biodiversity from discordant shifts in temperature and precipitation in a changing climate. *Ecology letters*, 14(12), 1236-1245.
- McNeely, J. A., & Schroth, G. (2006). Agroforestry and biodiversity conservation—traditional practices, present dynamics, and lessons for the future. *Biodiversity & Conservation*, 15(2), 549-554.
- Meijaard, E., & Sheil, D. (2013). Oil-palm plantations in the context of biodiversity conservation. In *Encyclopedia of biodiversity*. Elsevier Science Publishers, Netherlands.
- Meijide, A., Badu, C. S., Moyano, F., Tiralla, N., Gunawan, D., & Knohl, A. (2018). Impact of forest conversion to oil palm and rubber plantations on microclimate and the role of the 2015 ENSO event. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 252, 208-219.
- Moço, M. K. S., Gama-Rodrigues, E. F., Gama-Rodrigues, A. C., Machado, R. C., & Baligar, V. C. (2010). Relationships between invertebrate communities, litter quality and soil attributes under different cacao agroforestry systems in the south of Bahia, Brazil. *Applied soil ecology*, 46(3), 347-354.
- Mohammed, M. A. A., Salmiaton, A., Azlina, W. W., Amran, M. M., Fakhru'l Razi, A., & Taufiq-Yap, Y. H. (2011). Hydrogen rich gas from oil palm biomass as a potential source of renewable energy in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 15(2), 1258-1270.
- Morrison, M. L., Block, W. M., Strickland, M. D., Collier, B. A., & Peterson, M. J. (2008). *Wildlife study design*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Moser, D., Zechmeister, H. G., Plutzar, C., Sauberer, N., Wrška, T., & Grabherr, G. (2002). Landscape patch shape complexity as an effective measure for plant species richness in rural landscapes. *Landscape Ecology*, 17(7), 657-669.
- Murdiyarto, D., Van Noordwijk, M., Wasrin, U. R., Tomich, T. P., & Gillison, A. N. (2002). Environmental benefits and sustainable land-use options in the Jambi transect, Sumatra. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 13(3), 429-438.
- Myers, N., Mittermeier, R. A., Mittermeier, C. G., Da Fonseca, G. A., & Kent, J. (2000). Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature*, 403(6772), 853.
- Nájera, A., & Simonetti, J. A. (2010). Can oil palm plantations become bird friendly? *Agroforestry systems*, 80(2), 203-209.

- Nepstad, D. C., Verssimo, A., Alencar, A., Nobre, C., Lima, E., Lefebvre, P., ... & Cochrane, M. (1999). Large-scale impoverishment of Amazonian forests by logging and fire. *Nature*, 398(6727), 505.
- Nepstad, D. C., Stickler, C. M., Soares-Filho, B., & Merry, F. (2008). Interactions among Amazon land use, forests and climate: prospects for a near-term forest tipping point. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 363(1498), 1737-1746.
- Ng, W. P. Q., Lam, H. L., Ng, F. Y., Kamal, M., & Lim, J. H. E. (2012). Waste-to-wealth: green potential from palm biomass in Malaysia. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 34, 57-65.
- Noss, R. F. (1990). Indicators for monitoring biodiversity: a hierarchical approach. *Conservation biology*, 4(4), 355-364.
- Nurdiansyah, F., Clough, Y., Wiegand, K., & Tschardtke, T. (2016). Local and Landscape Management Effects on Pests, Diseases, Weeds and Biocontrol in Oil Palm Plantations-A Review. *Local and Landscape Management of Biological Pest Control in Oil Palm Plantations*, 14.
- Novais, S., Macedo-Reis, L. E., DaRocha, W. D., & Neves, F. S. (2016). Effects of habitat management on different feeding guilds of herbivorous insects in cacao agroforestry systems. *Revista de Biología Tropical*, 64, 763-777.
- Peck, S. L., Mcquaid, B., & Campbell, C. L. (1998). Using ant species (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) as a biological indicator of agroecosystem condition. *Environmental Entomology*, 27(5), 1102-1110.
- Perfecto, I., & Vandermeer, J. (1996). Microclimatic changes and the indirect loss of ant diversity in a tropical agroecosystem. *Oecologia*, 108(3), 577-582.
- Perfecto, I., & Vandermeer, J. (2002). Quality of agroecological matrix in a tropical montane landscape: ants in coffee plantations in southern Mexico. *Conservation biology*, 16(1), 174-182.
- Perfecto, I., & Vandermeer, J. (2008). Biodiversity conservation in tropical agroecosystems. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1134, 173-200.
- Perfecto, I., Vandermeer, J., & Wright, A. (2009). *Nature's matrix: linking agriculture, conservation and food sovereignty*. Routledge.
- Petrenko, C., Paltseva, J., & Searle, S. (2016). Ecological impacts of palm oil expansion in Indonesia. *International Council on Clean Transportation*, Washington, DC.

- Pfeiffer, M., Cheng Tuck, H., & Chong Lay, T. (2008). Exploring arboreal ant community composition and co-occurrence patterns in plantations of oil palm *Elaeis guineensis* in Borneo and Peninsular Malaysia. *Ecography*, 31(1), 21-32.
- Philpott, S. M., & Foster, P. F. (2005). Nest-site limitation in coffee agroecosystems: Artificial nests maintain diversity of arboreal ants. *Ecological applications*, 15, 1478-1485.
- Porter, W. P., & Kearney, M. (2009). Size, shape, and the thermal niche of endotherms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(Supplement 2), 19666-19672.
- Potts, S. G., Biesmeijer, J. C., Kremen, C., Neumann, P., Schweiger, O., & Kunin, W. E. (2010). Global pollinator declines: trends, impacts and drivers. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 25(6), 345-353.
- Power, A. G. (1996). Arthropod diversity in forest patches and agroecosystems of tropical landscapes. *Forest patches in tropical landscapes*. Island Press, Washington, DC, 91-110.
- Quinkenstein, A., Woellecke, J., Böhm, C., Gruenewald, H., Freese, D., Schneider, B. U., & Huettl, R. F. (2009). Ecological benefits of the alley cropping agroforestry system in sensitive regions of Europe. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 12, 1112-1121.
- Rands, M. R., Adams, W. M., Bennun, L., Butchart, S. H., Clements, A., Coomes, D., & Sutherland, W. J. (2010). Biodiversity conservation: challenges beyond 2010. *Science*, 329(5997), 1298-1303.
- Ratnadass, A., Fernandes, P., Avelino, J., & Habib, R. (2012). Plant species diversity for sustainable management of crop pests and diseases in agroecosystems: a review. *Agronomy for sustainable development*, 32(1), 273-303.
- Ribas, C. R., Schoereder, J. H., Pic, M., & Soares, S. M. (2003). Tree heterogeneity, resource availability, and larger scale processes regulating arboreal ant species richness. *Austral Ecology*, 28(3), 305-314.
- Riechert, S. E., & Lockley, T. (1984). Spiders as biological control agents. *Annual review of entomology*, 29(1), 299-320.
- Rival, A., Montet, D., & Pioch, D. (2016). Certification, labelling and traceability of palm oil: can we build confidence from trustworthy standards? *OCL*, 23(6), D609.
- Robinet, C., & Roques, A. (2010). Direct impacts of recent climate warming on insect populations. *Integrative Zoology*, 5, 132-142.

- Robson, S. K., & Traniello, J. F. (1999). Key individuals and the organisation of labor in ants. In *Information processing in social insects* (pp. 239-259). Birkhäuser, Basel.
- Romeis, J., Babendreier, D., Wäckers, F. L., & Shanower, T. G. (2005). Habitat and plant specificity of *Trichogramma* egg parasitoids—underlying mechanisms and implications. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 6(3), 215-236.
- Romoser, W. S., & Stoffolano, J. G. (1998). *The science of entomology*. WCB.
- Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil-RSPO. (2005). *Principles and Criteria for Sustainable Palm Oil Production*.
- RSPO (Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil). 2008. RSPO membership factsheet. RSPO, Selangor, Malaysia. Available from www.rspo.org/resource_centre/Factsheet-RSPO-Membership.pdf
- Sabajo, C. R., Le Maire, G., June, T., Meijide, A., Roupsard, O., & Knohl, A. (2017). Expansion of oil palm and other cash crops causes an increase of the land surface temperature in the Jambi province in Indonesia. *Biogeosciences*, 14(20), 4619.
- Sailer, R. I. (1983). History of insect introductions. *Exotic plant pests and North American agriculture*, 15-38.
- Schroth, G. (Ed.). (2004). *Agroforestry and biodiversity conservation in tropical landscapes*. Island Press.
- Schmidt, A., Auge, H., Brandl, R., Heong, K. L., Hotes, S., Settele, J., ... & Schädler, M. (2015). Small-scale variability in the contribution of invertebrates to litter decomposition in tropical rice fields. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 16(8), 674-680.
- Sears, M. W., Raskin, E., & Angilletta Jr, M. J. (2011). The world is not flat: defining relevant thermal landscapes in the context of climate change. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 51(5), 666-675.
- Semere, T., & Slater, F. M. (2007). Invertebrate populations in miscanthus (*Miscanthus× giganteus*) and reed canary-grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*) fields. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 31(1), 30-39.
- Senior, M. J., Hamer, K. C., Bottrell, S., Edwards, D. P., Fayle, T. M., Lucey, J. M., & Stewart, C. (2013). Trait-dependent declines of species following conversion of rain forest to oil palm plantations. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 22, 253-268.

- Shuhada, S. N., Salim, S., Nobilly, F., Zubaid, A., & Azhar, B. (2017). Logged peat swamp forest supports greater macrofungal biodiversity than large-scale oil palm plantations and smallholdings. *Ecology and Evolution*, 7, 7187-7200.
- Shuit, S. H., Tan, K. T., Lee, K. T., & Kamaruddin, A. H. (2009). Oil palm biomass as a sustainable energy source: A Malaysian case study. *Energy*, 34(9), 1225-1235.
- Sivaraman, K., Kandiannan, K., Peter, K. V., & Thankamani, C. K. (1999). Agronomy of black pepper (*Piper nigrum* L.)-a review. *Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops*, 8(1), 01-18.
- Stein, A., Gerstner, K., & Kreft, H. (2014). Environmental heterogeneity as a universal driver of species richness across taxa, biomes and spatial scales. *Ecology Letters*, 17(7), 866-880.
- Soderstrom, T. R., & Calderon, C. E. (1979). A commentary on the bamboos (Poaceae: Bambusoideae). *Biotropica*, 161-172.
- Sodhi, N. S., Koh, L. P., Brook, B. W., & Ng, P. K. (2004). Southeast Asian biodiversity: an impending disaster. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 19(12), 654-660.
- Sodhi, N. S., Posa, M. R. C., Lee, T. M., Bickford, D., Koh, L. P., & Brook, B. W. (2010). The state and conservation of Southeast Asian biodiversity. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 19(2), 317-328.
- Somarriba, E., & Beer, J. (2011). Productivity of *Theobroma cacao* agroforestry systems with timber or legume service shade trees. *Agroforestry systems*, 81(2), 109-121.
- Spear, D. M., Foster, W. A., Advento, A. D., Naim, M., Caliman, J. P., Luke, S. H., & Turner, E. C. (2018). Simplifying understory complexity in oil palm plantations is associated with a reduction in the density of a cleptoparasitic spider, *Argyrodes miniaceus* (Araneae: Theridiidae), in host (Araneae: Nephilinae) webs. *Ecology and evolution*, 8(3), 1595-1603.
- Staver, C., Guharay, F., Monterroso, D., & Muschler, R. G. (2001). Designing pest-suppressive multistrata perennial crop systems: shade-grown coffee in Central America. *Agroforestry systems*, 53(2), 151-170.
- Sumathi, S., Chai, S. P., & Mohamed, A. R. (2008). Utilization of oil palm as a source of renewable energy in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 12(9), 2404-2421.
- Tabacchi, E., Lambs, L., Guilloy, H., Planty-Tabacchi, A. M., Muller, E., & Decamps, H. (2000). Impacts of riparian vegetation on hydrological processes. *Hydrological processes*, 14(16-17), 2959-2976.

- Tan, K. T., Lee, K. T., Mohamed, A. R., & Bhatia, S. (2009). Palm oil: addressing issues and towards sustainable development. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, 13(2), 420-427.
- Teoh, C.H. 2010. *Key Sustainability Issues in the Palm Oil Sector*. Washington: The World Bank Group.
- Tewksbury, J. J., Huey, R. B., & Deutsch, C. A. (2008). Putting the heat on tropical animals. *SCIENCE-NEW YORK THEN WASHINGTON-*, 320(5881), 1296.
- Tews, J., Brose, U., Grimm, V., Tielbörger, K., Wichmann, M. C., Schwager, M., & Jeltsch, F. (2004). Animal species diversity driven by habitat heterogeneity/diversity: the importance of keystone structures. *Journal of biogeography*, 31(1), 79-92.
- Thevathasan, N. V., & Gordon, A. M. (2004). Ecology of tree intercropping systems in the North temperate region: Experiences from southern Ontario, Canada. In *New Vistas in Agroforestry* (pp. 257-268). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Torralba, M., Fagerholm, N., Burgess, P. J., Moreno, G., & Plieninger, T. (2016). Do European agroforestry systems enhance biodiversity and ecosystem services? A meta-analysis. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 230, 150-161.
- Tsonkova, P., Böhm, C., Quinkenstein, A., & Freese, D. (2012). Ecological benefits provided by alley cropping systems for production of woody biomass in the temperate region: a review. *Agroforestry Systems*, 85, 133-152.
- Turner, E., & Foster, W. A. (2006). Assessing the influence of bird's nest ferns (*Asplenium* spp.) on the local microclimate across a range of habitat disturbances in Sabah, Malaysia. *Selbyana*, 27, 195-200.
- Turner, E. C., Snaddon, J. L., Fayle, T. M., & Foster, W. A. (2008). Oil palm research in context: identifying the need for biodiversity assessment. *PloS one*, 3(2), e1572.
- Turner, E. C., & Foster, W. A. (2009). The impact of forest conversion to oil palm on arthropod abundance and biomass in Sabah, Malaysia. *Journal of tropical ecology*, 25(1), 23-30.
- Tylianakis, J. M., Laliberté, E., Nielsen, A., & Bascompte, J. (2010). Conservation of species interaction networks. *Biological Conservation*, 143, 2270-2279.
- Van Lenteren, J. C. (2000). A greenhouse without pesticides: fact or fantasy? *Crop protection*, 19(6), 375-384.

- Verheye, W. 2010. Growth and production of oil palm. In W. H. Verheye (Ed.), Land use, land cover and soil sciences (pp. 1-24). Oxford: UNESCO_EOLSS Publishers.
- Vétek, G., Timus, A., Chubinishvili, M., Avagyan, G., Torchan, V., Hajdú, Z., & Nersisyan, A. (2017). Integrated pest management of major pests and diseases in Eastern Europe and the Caucasus.
- Wade, M. R., Zalucki, M. P., Wratten, S. D., & Robinson, K. A. (2008). Conservation biological control of arthropods using artificial food sprays: current status and future challenges. *Biological control*, 45(2), 185-199.
- Wahid, M. B., Abdullah, S. N. A., & IE, H. (2005). Oil palm. *Plant Production Science*, 8(3), 288-297.
- Wang, C., Strazanac, J., & Butler, L. (2001). A comparison of pitfall traps with bait traps for studying leaf litter ant communities. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 94(3), 761-765.
- Wang, X. Q., & Ren, H. Q. (2009). Surface deterioration of moso bamboo (*Phyllostachys pubescens*) induced by exposure to artificial sunlight. *Journal of wood science*, 55(1), 47.
- Welbergen, J. A., Klose, S. M., Markus, N., & Eby, P. (2008). Climate change and the effects of temperature extremes on Australian flying-foxes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 275(1633), 419-425.
- Wheater, C. P., Bell, J. R., & Cook, P. A. (2011). *Practical field ecology: a project guide*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Whittingham, M. J. (2007). Will agri-environment schemes deliver substantial biodiversity gain, and if not why not? *Journal of applied ecology*, 44(1), 1-5.
- Williams-Guillén, K., Perfecto, I., & Vandermeer, J. (2008). Bats limit insects in a neotropical agroforestry system. *Science*, 320, 70.
- Wilcove, D.S. & Koh, L.P. (2010). Addressing the threats to biodiversity from oil-palm agriculture. *Biodivers Conserv*, 999 - 1007.
- Wilson, J. R., & Ludlow, M. M. (1991). The environment and potential growth of herbage under plantations. *Forages for Plantation Crops* (ed. Shelton, HM and Stur, WW) ACIAR Proceedings, 32, 10-24.
- Wilson, R. J., & Maclean, I. M. (2011). Recent evidence for the climate change threat to Lepidoptera and other insects. *Journal of Insect Conservation*, 15, 259-268

- Wolz, K. J., Lovell, S. T., Branham, B. E., Eddy, W. C., Keeley, K., Revord, R. S., ... & DeLucia, E. H. (2018). Frontiers in alley cropping: Transformative solutions for temperate agriculture. *Global change biology*, 24(3), 883-894.
- Wood, B. J. (2002). Pest control in Malaysia's perennial crops: a half century perspective tracking the pathway to integrated pest management. *Integrated Pest Management Reviews*, 7, 173.
- Yaap, B., Struebig, M. J., Paoli, G., & Koh, L. P. (2010). Mitigating the biodiversity impacts of oil palm development. *CAB Reviews*, 5(19), 1-11.
- Yabuki, K., & Miyagawa, H. (1970). Studies on the Effect of Wind Speed upon the Photosynthesis. *Journal of Agricultural Meteorology*, 26(3), 137-141.
- Yahya, M. S., Syafiq, M., Ashton-Butt, A., Ghazali, A., Asmah, S., & Azhar, B. (2017). Switching from monoculture to polyculture farming benefits birds in oil palm production landscapes: Evidence from mist netting data. *Ecology and Evolution*, in press.
- Zalucki, M. P., Clarke, A. R., & Malcolm, S. B. (2002). Ecology and behavior of first instar larval Lepidoptera. *Annual review of entomology*, 47(1), 361-393.