



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**STABILIZATION OF TROPICAL FIBROUS PEAT USING ORDINARY  
PORTLAND CEMENT AND ADDITIVES**

**BEHZAD KALANTARI**

**FK 2010 2**





**STABILIZATION OF TROPICAL FIBROUS PEAT  
USING ORDINARY PORTLAND CEMENT AND  
ADDITIVES**

**BEHZAD KALANTARI**

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**2010**





**BEHZAD KALANTARI**

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

**2010**



**STABILIZATION OF TROPICAL FIBROUS PEAT USING ORDINARY  
PORTLAND CEMENT AND ADDITIVES**

By

BEHZAD KALANTARI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in  
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

(February 2010)



Dedicated to my daughter

*“Kimia”*



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

**STABILIZATION OF TROPICAL FIBROUS PEAT USING ORDINARY  
PORTLAND CEMENT AND ADDITIVES**

By

**BEHZAD KALANTARI**

**February 2010**

**Chairman: Bujang B. K. Huat, PhD**

**Faculty: Engineering**

One of the most troublesome of soft and organic soils is fibrous peat due mainly to their high compressibility, and their low shear strength. In this study, fibrous peat has been stabilized with ordinary Portland cement (OPC), as well as OPC and five different types of additives namely; polypropylene fibers, steel fibers, silica fume, blast furnace slag, and fly ash.

Shallow and deep stabilizations have been studied to improve strengths, as well as to reduce compressibility of fibrous peat. For shallow stabilization of fibrous peat, strength evaluation tests (un-soaked and soaked) were unconfined compressive strength (UCS), and California bearing ratios (CBR), and for deep stabilizations were, consolidation undrained triaxial (CU), and Rowe cell consolidation tests.



Three types of curing technique have been studied for their effectiveness, as well as their ease of applications in the field. Curing techniques were; moist curing, moist curing with surcharge load, and air curing. Curing periods used were continued up to 180 days. Based on the results obtained from various curing techniques, air curing technique was chosen to be used for the entire shallow stabilization process. Optimum dosage rates for polypropylene fibers, silica fume, blast furnace slag, and fly ash as additives to be used in the research either in shallow or deep stabilization was determined through UCS tests.

In-order to examine the effectiveness of stabilization method used in the research in the field, fibrous peat with its field moisture contents has been used for stabilized samples. Also, in-order for shallow stabilization process to be more effective, stabilized samples were tested for their strength at their optimum moisture contents (OMC) found from compaction curves.

For deep stabilization of fibrous peat deposits, precast stabilized columns were developed and tested for their effects to improve shear strength parameters, as well as reducing compressibility of fibrous peat. The process of making precast stabilized peat columns included mixing fibrous peat with a specified amount of OPC, (with or without additives) at their optimum moisture contents. Each type of mixture was then compacted in to molds and left to dry. When drying was completed, they were taken out of their molds and inserted in the pre-drilled holes within the undisturbed fibrous peat, and tested for their strength as well as their deformation through CU triaxial, and Rowe cell consolidation tests respectively. Precast stabilized peat columns that were made of

hemic or sapric peats were also tried for their strengths and deformations evaluations as well. The columns were tested for their load bearing capacities in a larger scale test tank. Untreated fibrous peats as well as six different types of precast stabilized fibrous peat columns were tested in the test tank.

As the curing period were increased, more strength obtained by the stabilized peat samples. Among various types of additives used in this research, the most effective dosage rates for polypropylene fibers was found to be 0.15%, and for silica fume 10, and 5% when lower amount of OPC ( $< 25\%$ ) and higher amount of OPC ( $> 25\%$ ) were used respectively. As the amount of steel fibers increased from 2 to 4% in the OPC treated samples, the stabilized samples gained further strength. Joint uses of polypropylene and steel fibers, use of polypropylene fibers, and use of silica fume in OPC treated fibrous peat provide the highest strength during curing period respectively. Use of blast furnace slag and fly ash as chemically active additives to stabilize fibrous peat were positive but the degree of effectiveness was not as effective as when OPC alone was used.

Test results in this study indicate that, stabilization procedures used in either shallow (mass), or deep stabilization improve the load bearing capacities of untreated fibrous peat by increasing its load bearing capacity, as well as decreasing its deformations upon imposed loads.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senta Universti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah.

**PENGUKUHAN TANNAH GAMBUT TROPIKA BERGENTIAN DENGAN  
MENGUNAKAN SIMEN PORTLAND DAN BAHAN TAMBAH**

Oleh

**BEHZAD KALANTARI**

**Februari 2010**

**Pengerusi: Bujang B.K. Huat, PhD**

**Fakulti: Kejuruteraan**

Salah satu masalah utama bagi tanah lembut dan tanah organik adalah tanah gambut gentian yang disebabkan oleh kebolehmampatan yang tinggi dan kekuatan ricih yang rendah. Dalam kajian ini, tanah gambut gentian telah distabilkan dengan simen Potland biasa (OPC) serta lima bahan tambahan; iaitu gentian polipropilena, gentian keluli, wasap silika, sanga relau bagas dan abu cerobong.

Penstabil cetek dan dalam telah dikaji untuk membuktikan kekuatan seperti mengurangkan kebolehmampatan gambut gentian. Bagi penstabil cetek tanah gambut gentian, ujian penilaian kekuatan (rendaman dan tidak direndam) adalah seperti kekuatan mampatan tidak terhad (UCS) dan nisbah gelas California (CBR), manakala kestabilan dalam pula adalah ujian pengukuhan tak bersalir tiga paksi (CU) dan ujian pengukuhan Sel Rowe.



Tiga jenis teknik pemulihan yang telah diuji keberkesanannya adalah sangat mudah diaplikasikan di lapangan. Teknik pemulihan tersebut adalah pemulihan kelembapan, pemulihan kelembapan dengan beban dan pemulihan udara. Tempoh pemulihan yang dilaksanakan dilanjutkan sehingga 180 hari. Berdasarkan keputusan yang diperolehi daripada pelbagai teknik pemulihan, teknik pemulihan udara dipilih untuk digunakan pada keseluruhan proses penstabilan cetek. Pada kadar sukatan optimum, bahan tambah iaitu gentian polipropilena, wasap silika, sanga relau bergas dan abu cerobong digunakan untuk penstabilan cetek atau dalam melalui ujian percubaan dan kesilapan UCS.

Bagi menguji keberkesanan kaedah penstabilan yang digunakan dan kajian di lapangan, gambut gentian beserta kandungan kelembapan asalnya digunakan untuk menstabilkan bahan contoh. Begitu juga untuk proses penstabilan cetek yang lebih berkesan, contoh bahan penstabil diuji kekuatannya pada tahap kandungan kelembapan optimum (OMC) yang diperolehi dari mampatan kelok.

Sementara itu, kaedah tiang penstabil pratuang dihasilkan dan diuji kesannya untuk membuktikan parameter kekuatan ricih juga mengurangkan kebolehmampatan tanah gambut gentian. Proses pembuatan tiang penstabil gambut pratuang termasuk campuran gambut dengan OPC telah yang telah ditetapkan jumlahnya (ditambah bahan tambah atau tanpa bahan tambah) pada kandungan kelembapan yang optimum. Setiap campuran dimampatkan dalam molds dan dibiarkan sehingga kering. Apabila proses pengeringan lengkap, campuran diambil daripada mold dan dimasukkan ke dalam lubang separuh

tebuk di dalam tanah gambut gentian tak terganggu dan diuji kekuatannya melalui ujian pengukuhan tak bersalir tiga paksi (CU) dan ujian pengukuhan Sel Rowe.

Tiang tersebut diuji keupayaan galas bebannya di dalam tangki ujian ukuran besar. Tanah gambut gentian yang tak terurai beserta enam jenis tiang penstabil gambut gentian pratuang juga diuji di dalam tangki ujian.

Keputusan ujian menunjukkan, proses penstabilan yang telah digunakan sama ada bagi penstabil cetek atau dalam telah memperbaiki bearing beban muatan tanah gambut gentian tak terurai. Penggunaan gentian polipropilena, penggunaan bersama polipropilena dan gentian keluli, atau wasap silica memberi kekuatan yang lebih. Antara lima jenis bahan tambahan tersebut, sanga relau bagas dan abu cerobong adalah paling sedikit berkesan.

Apabila tempoh kuring meningkat, kekuatan sampel tanah gambut yang distabilkan juga bertambah. Diantara semua jenis bahan tambah yang digunakan, kadar dos efektif bagi gentian polypropylene ialah 0.15% dan gentian silica 10% (kandungan OPC < 25%) atau 5% (kandungan OPC > 25%). Apabila kandungan gentian besi meningkat dari 2% ke 4% dalam sampel OPC terawat, sampel yang distabilkan akan peroleh lebih kekuatan. Kombinasi penggunaan gentian polipropilena dan gentian keluli, penggunaan gentian polipropilena dan penggunaan wasap silica di dalam tanah gambut terawat memberikan kekuatan yang tinggi semasa tempoh kuring. Penggunaan sanga relau bagas dan abu cerobong sebagai bahan tambah untuk menstabilkan tanah gambut adalah positif, akan tetapi darjah keberkesanannya tidaklah begitu baik.

Keputusan dari kajian ini menunjukkan, prosedur penstabilan yang digunakan sama ada bagi penstabilan cetek atau dalam mampu memperbaiki keupayaan gelas tanah gambut tak terawat dengan meningkatkan keupayaan gelas beban dan mengurangkan perubahan bentuk terhadap beban yang dikenakan.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to Prof. Bujang B.K. Huat for serving as my committee chair. I do appreciate his invaluable guidelines, and supports throughout the course of this research. I would also like to thank Dr. Husaini B. Omar and Dr. Thamer Mohamed for serving on my committee.

My special thanks and prayers are with my wife whom not only took the whole responsibility to care for our daughter, rather kept my mind free during these difficult times.

Some of the individuals whose help toward the completion of this research will always be remembered as well are;

Mr. Yoong - (GDS com. technician)

Mr. Mohd Razalli B. Rahman (Soil mechanic lab.)

Mr. Aminaddin – Hamdan (Water lab.)

Mr. Mohd Halim B. Osman (Concrete lab.)

Mr. Mohd Pairus B. Ismail (Concrete lab.)

Dr. Arun Prasad (Post doctoral scholar, from Banaras Hindu University, India)

Eng. K.A. Ang (GDS com. Malaysia)

Mr. Chen Chin Lai (YTL cement, Malaysia)

Mrs. Azizah - (Bioscience lab.)

Mrs. Norzuwana Wahab (Dep. Secretary)

Mrs. Norhidayah Mad halid (Former Dept. Secretary)

Ms. Norasiah Rosli (Soil lab assistant)



Mr. Imran Abdul rahim (BSc student)

Mr. Yeong Jit Ming (BSc student)

Mr. Mohd Kamarul Bin Sarkani (BSc student)

Mr. Shahrul Naam Mohd Ali (BSc student)

Mr. Ahmad Redha Sharom (BSc student)

Appreciation also to Research University grants (RUGS) provided by UPM, which made it possible to conduct some of the more costly laboratory experiments as well. Also, sincere cooperation from Malaysian agriculture research development institute (MARDI) staff is greatly appreciated towards facilitating soil sampling during the research program. And lastly, thank you dear God.



I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 5th February 2010 to conduct the final examination of Behzad Kalantari on his PhD thesis entitled “Stabilization of tropical fibrous peat, using ordinary Portland cement and additives” in accordance with the Universities and University College Act 1971 and The Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U. (A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination committee were as follows:

**Abdul Halim Ghazali, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Hussain Hamid, PhD**

Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Ir. Abang Abdullah Abang Ali, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Shenbaga Rajaratnam Kaniraj Jeyachandran, PhD**

Professor  
Curtin University of Technology  
Sarawak, Malaysia  
(External Examiner)

---

**BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD**

Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



This thesis was submitted to the Senate Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of philosophy (PhD). The members of the supervisory committee were as follows:

**Bujang B. Kim Huat, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Husaini B. Omar, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Thamer Mohamed, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 8 April, 2010





## **DECLARATION**

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citation which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) or at any other institution.

---

**BEHZAD KALANTARI**

Date:



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	ii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	x
<b>APPROVAL SHEETS</b>	xii
<b>DECLARATION</b>	xiv
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xix
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xxi
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBRIVIATIONS</b>	xxxiii

### CHAPTER

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Problem statement	3
1.3	Objectives	4
1.4	Significance of the study	5
1.5	Scope of the study	5
1.6	Thesis organization	6

#### 2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Introduction	8
2.2	Classification of organic soil and peat	9
2.3	Fibrous peat	10
2.4	Distribution of peat	11
	2.4.1 Distribution of peat in world	12
	2.4.2 Distribution of tropical peat in Malaysia	12
2.5	Description of peat	13
2.6	Engineering properties of peat	13
	2.6.1 Water content, Atterberg limits, linear shrinkage, and grain size distributions	15
	2.6.2 Density and specific gravity	15
	2.6.3 Fiber content	16
	2.6.4 Loss on ignition and organic content	16
	2.6.5 Permeability	17
	2.6.6 Compaction	17
	2.6.7 Unconfined compressive strength (UCS)	18
	2.6.8 California bearing ratio (CBR)	19
	2.6.9 Triaxial	22



2.6.10	Consolidation	28
2.6.11	Field strength evaluation tests	31
2.6.12	pH	33
2.6.13	Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	35
2.6.14	Energy dispersing x-ray analysis (EDXA)	36
2.6.15	Field sample collections	37
2.7	Soft ground improvement	39
2.7.1	Binding agents	41
2.7.2	Additives	42
2.7.3	Cementitious mechanism in soil stabilization	53
2.8	Traditional curing types for cement treated peat	56
2.9	Peat stabilization	56
2.10	Conclusions	65

### **3.0 METHODOLOGY**

3.1	Introduction	67
3.2	Sampling location	67
3.3	Soil sampling	69
3.4	Index property determination tests	71
3.4.1	Field identification tests	72
3.4.2	Moisture content	72
3.4.3	Consistency limits	73
3.4.4	Organic content	73
3.4.5	Grain size distribution	74
3.4.6	Specific gravity	75
3.4.7	Fiber content	76
3.4.8	Linear shrinkage	76
3.4.9	pH	77
3.4.10	Moisture-unit weight relation (compaction)	78
3.5	Mechanical properties determination tests	79
3.5.1	Permeability	80
3.5.2	Unconfined compressive strength (UCS)	80
3.5.3	California bearing ratio (CBR)	82
3.5.4	Consolidation undrained (CU) triaxial	84
3.5.5	Rowe cell consolidation	85
3.6	Static load bearing capacity test	88
3.7	Field vane shear test	91
3.8	Shallow (mass) stabilization of fibrous peat	93
3.8.1	Mixtures preparation for strength evaluation tests	94
3.8.2	Types of curing techniques	97
3.8.3	Linear volume shrinkage index (LVSI)	103
3.9	Test to determine time for saturation by soaking in water	105
3.10	Deep stabilization of fibrous peat	107
3.10.1	Preparation of precast stabilized peat columns, and samples for CU tests	108



3.10.2	Preparation of precast stabilized peat columns, and samples for Rowe cell consolidation tests	112
3.11	Preparation of precast stabilized peat columns for load bearing capacity tests	114
3.12	Triaxial and Rowe cell tests on precast stabilized column made of hemic and sapric peats	118
3.13	SEM and EDX tests for untreated, and OPC treated peat	119
3.14	Testing programs	120

#### **4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

4.1	Introduction	121
4.2	Results organization	121
4.2.1	Evaluating the engineering properties of untreated fibrous peat as control measures	122
4.2.2	Air cured OPC treated fibrous peat strength gain versus conventional curing techniques	126
4.2.3	Strength gain of OPC treated fibrous peat when used with various additives	129
4.2.3.a1	Effect of propylene fibres in strengthening	130
4.2.3.a2	Optimum polypropylene fibers (PPF) dosage rate determination	130
4.2.3.a3	Least soaking period to saturate stabilized samples	133
4.2.3.a4	UCS and CBR values of OPC and polypropylene fibers (PPF) treated fibrous peat using peat's natural moisture content	133
4.2.3.a5	Use of OPC, PPF and optimum moisture content (OMC) values to strengthen fibrous peat	142
4.2.3.b1	Effect of silica fume (SFU) or micro silica in strengthening OPC treated fibrous peat	149
4.2.3.b2	Optimum silica fume (SFU) dosage rate determination	150
4.2.3.b3	UCS and CBR values of OPC, and silica fume (SFU) treated fibrous peat using peat's natural moisture content	151
4.2.3.b4	Use of OPC, silica fume (SFU) and optimum moisture content (OMC) values to strengthen fibrous peat	154
4.2.3c	Effect of steel and polypropylene fibres (StF, and PPF) to strengthen OPC treated fibrous peat	158
4.2.3d	Effect of ground granulated blast furnace slag (BFS) in strengthening OPC treated	



	fibrous peat	165
4.2.3e	Effect of fly ash (FA) in strengthening OPC treated fibrous peat	171
4.2.4	Reinforcing fibrous peat with precast stabilized peat columns to increase load bearing capacity, and to reduce settlement of fibrous peat	183
4.3	Liquid limits for stabilized hemic and sapric peats	205
4.4	Comparison of various techniques to stabilize fibrous peat	206
4.5	Reproducibility of samples	216
<b>5.0</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	
5.1	Conclusions	218
5.2	Recommendations for future researches	226
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	228
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	239
	<b>A</b>	239
	<b>B</b>	247
	<b>C</b>	255
	<b>D</b>	259
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	272
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	273



## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
2.1	Classification of organic soil based on range of organic content	9
2.2	Classification of peat on the basis of degree of decomposition	10
2.3	USDA classification of peat	10
2.4	Percentage of area covered by peat in different countries in rank order	12
2.5	Standard correction factors for strength of cylinders with different ratios of height to diameter	19
2.6	General rating of pavement foundations based on their CBR values and their uses	20
2.7	Angle of internal friction ( $\phi$ ) values for various inorganic soils based on triaxial tests	26
2.8	Shear strength parameters of various types of organic soil and peat in Malaysia based on laboratory shear box test results	26
2.9	Friction angles for various types of fibrous peat based on triaxial compression tests	26
2.10	Main components and chemical compositions of ordinary Portland cement	42
2.11	Portland cement types and their uses	42
2.12	Influencing parameters to classify fly ash	46
2.13	Physical Properties of silica fume	48
2.14	Polypropylene fibers specifications	51
2.15	Hooked steel fibers specifications	53



2.16	Strength enhancing reactions for Portland cements and chemical Additives	54
4.1	Properties of untreated peat	125
4.2	Consolidated undrained shear strength parameter values for undisturbed fibrous peat, and different types of precast stabilized fibrous peat columns reinforcing undisturbed fibrous peat samples	184
4.3	Main parameters used for FEM analysis	197
4.4	Index properties of hemic and sapric peats	200
4.5	Consolidated undrained shear strength parameter values for different types of precast stabilized hemic or sapric columns reinforcing undisturbed fibrous peat samples	205
4.6	Definitions of various notations used in Tables 4.7 a, and 4.7b	208
4.7a	Comparison of strength values, material costs, and ease of field applicability levels for various methods proposed by past researchers to stabilize fibrous peat	208
4.7b	Comparison of strength values, material costs, and ease of field applicability levels used in current study to stabilize fibrous peat	210
4.8	Comparison of strength values, material costs, and ease of field applicability levels using various types of columns proposed by various researchers to stabilize fibrous peat	212
4.9	Results for obtained CBR values using optimum moisture contents	213



## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Page</b>
2.1 Typical CBR results	22
2.2 Mohr – Coulomb failure envelope for obtaining the limiting soil shear strength parameters	24
2.3 Procedure of determining $C_c$ , $C_r$ , and $p_c$ from void ratio versus log pressure curve	30
2.4 SEM images of fibrous peat samples at initial state, a) horizontal section, b) vertical section	36
2.5 Schematic of thin-walled (Shelby) tube and photo of tube with end caps	38
2.6 UPM peat sampler	39
2.7 Polypropylene fibers; a) SEM image, b) Photograph showing the discrete short PP-fibre	50
2.8 Sketch of mechanical behavior at the interface between fiber surface and soil matrix	51
2.9 Schematic diagram of concrete blocks performances under load a) plain concrete and b) Steel fibers reinforced concrete	52
2.10 Hooked end steel fibers a) dimensions, b) photograph	52
3.1 Flow chart of the research	68
3.2 Distribution of peat land in Malaysia, and sampling location for the research	70



3.3	Sampling collection procedures for undisturbed samples (a, b, and c), and for disturbed bulk samples (d)	71
3.4	A test pit to measure the depth of ground water table	72
3.5	Liquid limit (cone penetration) test	73
3.6	Organic content samples in the furnace	74
3.7	prepared peat sample for sieve analysis test	75
3.8	Saturated peat samples in desiccator for specific gravity test	76
3.9	Linear shrinkage test samples a) Before drying, and b) After being dried	77
3.10	Digital calibrated pH probes	77
3.11	Moisture content reduction process of field peat (a) Gradual moisture content reduction or half drying of peat procedure in the oven, and (b) Reduced moisture content samples to be used for compaction tests	79
3.12	Unconfined compressive strength samples, a) Undisturbed sample, b) Reconstructed treated peat (peat mixed with cement) sample after mixing, c) Unsoaked samples, d) Soaked samples	82
3.13	Treated CBR peat samples at their a) air curing, and b) air cured and then soaked conditions, before being tested for their CBR strength values	84
3.14	Computerized consolidated undrained triaxial test in	

