

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

# PHOSPHORUS MANAGEMENT FOR TROPICAL ACID SOILS USING AMENDMENTS FROM AGRO-INDUSTRIAL WASTES

**CH'NG HUCK YWIH** 

FSPM 2015 3



### PHOSPHORUS MANAGEMENT FOR TROPICAL ACID SOILS USING AMENDMENTS FROM AGRO-INDUSTRIAL WASTES

By

**CH'NG HUCK YWIH** 

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**MARCH 2015** 

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia

G

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

#### PHOSPHORUS MANAGEMENT FOR TROPICAL ACID SOILS USING AMENDMENTS FROM AGRO-INDUSTRIAL WASTES

By CH'NG HUCK YWIH MARCH 2015

#### Chairman: Associate Professor Ahmed Osumanu Haruna, PhD Faculty: Agriculture and Food Sciences (Bintulu)

Phosphorus (P) deficiency in tropical acid soils is a problem because soluble inorganic phosphorus is fixed by aluminium (Al) and iron (Fe). Organic amendments could be used to overcome P fixation in acid soils. Thus, the objectives of this study were to: (i) produce organic amendments from agro-industrial wastes through co-composting; (ii) improve soil P availability by amending phosphate fertilizers with organic amendments; (iii) determine if the use of organic amendments could improve nutrients uptake, dry matter production, and yield of Zea mays L. cultivation on a tropical acid soil; and (iv) determine the economic viability of amending phosphate fertilizers with organic amendments in maize cultivation on a tropical acid soil. Compost was produced by mixing 20 kg of shredded pineapple leaf residues + 2 kg of chicken feed + 15.5 L of chicken manure slurry + 1 kg of molasses in each polystyrene box and these ratios apply to sago bagasse too. The composts produced had no foul odour, low heavy metals contents, and they had the desired amount of nutrients. To evaluate the quality of the compost produced, an incubation study was carried out for 90 days. Amending P fertilizers with the organic amendments significantly increased the soil pH to near neutral such that exchangeable Al and iron Fe which normally fix soil P were reduced, thus improved the P availability in acid soil. After the incubation study, a pot trial was conducted in a net house so as to evaluate the effects of treatments on maize growth performance in a controlled environment. The test crop used in this study was Thai Super Sweet hybrid F1 maize (Zea mays L.). The results of pot trial showed that amending chemical fertilizers (N-P-K) with the organic amendments improved Zea mays L. nutrients uptake and dry matter production. To further evaluate the promising treatments of the pot trial, a field experiment consisting of two maize planting cycles were carried out. The treatments with chemical fertilizers amended with organic amendments increased soil P availability and Zea mays L. yield in both cycles of planting. A follow up study of the field trial was embarked on to assess the effect of the organic amendments on P sorption and desorption. The results showed that more P was desorbed onto acidic soils with the presence of organic amendments as P application rates increased. The decrease in P sorption was due to the precipitation of exchangeable Al and Fe at the highly negatively charged humic substances functional group surfaces of the organic amendments due to increase in soil pH. To determine the economic viability of amending P fertilizers with organic amendments, an economic viability study was carried out. Net present value (NPV) was used to compute the viability of the different maize cultivation practices. Although the production cost of application of chemical fertilizers only are lower compared to amending chemical fertilizers with organic amendments, the ability of P fertilizer amended with pineapple leaf residues compost to improve soil chemical properties, increase yield and revenue indicate more economic viability.



 $\mathbf{G}$ 

# Abstrak tesis yang dikemukan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

#### PENGURUSAN FOSFORUS TANAH BERASID DENGAN MENGGUNAKAN BAHAN PINDAAN DARIPADA BAHAN BUANGAN AGRO-INDUSTRI

#### Oleh CH'NG HUCK YWIH MAC 2015

#### Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Ahmed Osumanu Haruna, PhD Fakulti: Sains Pertanian dan Makanan (Bintulu)

Kekurangan fosforus (P) di tanah tropik berasid adalah satu masalah sebab P bukan organik berlarut dan diikat oleh aluminium (Al) dan ferum (Fe). Bahan pindaan organik dapat digunakan untuk mengatasi masalah pengikatan fosforus di tanah berasid. Justeru, objektif kajian ini adalah untuk: (i) menghasilkan bahan pindaan organik daripada bahan buangan agro-industri melalui pengkomposan; (ii) meningkatkan ketersediaan P dalam tanah melalui pemindaan baja fosfat dengan bahan pindaan organik; (iii) menentukan sama ada bahan pindaan organik dapat meningkatkan pengambilan nutrisi, bahan kering dan hasil tanaman Zea mays L. di tanah berasid; (iv) menentukan daya maju ekonomi pemindaan baja fosfat dengan bahan pindaan organik dalam penanaman jagung di tanah berasid. Kompos dihasilkan melalui campuran 20 kg sisa daun nanas yang dicincang + 2 kg bahan makanan ayam + 15.5 L larutan tahi ayam + 2 kg gula perang dalam setiap kotak polistirena dan nisbah campuran adalah sama untuk sisa hampas sago. Kompos yang dihasilkan tidak mempunyai bau yang tidak menyenangkan, mengandungi kandungan logam berat yang rendah dan mempunyai kandungan nutrisi yang bagus. Untuk menentukan kualiti kompos yang dihasilkan, satu kajian inkubasi telah dijalankan untuk 90 hari. Pemindaan baja fosfat dengan bahan pindaan organik meningkatkan pH tanah ke tahap hampir neutral dengan ketara melalui pengurangan kandungan Al dan Fe yang mengikat P, justeru meningkatkan kandungan P tersedia ada dalam tanah. Selepas kajian inkubasi, kajian pasu dijalankan dalam rumah jaring untuk menentukan kesan bahan pindaan organik terhadap pembesaran jagung dalam keadaan terkawal. Tumbuhan kajian yang digunakan adalah jagung Thai Super Sweet F1 (Zea mays L.). Hasil kajian menunjukkan pemindaan baja kimia (N-P-K) dengan bahan pindaan organik meningkatkan pengambilan nutrisi dan bahan kering jagung. Untuk menyambung penilaian pilihan rawatan bagus dari kajian pasu, satu kajian ladang yang mengandungi dua tanaman pusingan dijalankan. Rawatan pemindaan baja kimia dengan bahan pindaan organik meningkatkan kandungan P tersedia ada dan hasil jagung dalam kedua-dua tanaman pusingan. Satu lagi kajian penyambungan daripada kajian ladang dijalankan untuk menentukan kesan bahan pindaan organik terhadap tahap penjerapan dan perlepasan P. Kajian menunjukkan bahawa lebih banyak P dilepaskan dengan adanya bahan pindaan organik dalam kandungan larutan P yang tinggi. Kekurangan penjerapan fosforus adalah disebabkan oleh pemendakan Al dan Fe pada permukaan kumpulan berfungsi cas negatif bahan humik di bahan pindaan organik yang disebabkan oleh peningkatan pH tanah. Untuk menentukan daya maju ekonomi melalui pemindaan baja fosforus dengan bahan pindaan organik, satu kajian daya maju ekonomi telah dijalankan. Nilai Kini Bersih telah digunakan untuk mengirakan daya maju cara penanaman jagung yang berbeza. Walaupun kos aplikasi penggunaan baja kimia adalah lebih rendah daripada pemindaan baja kimia

dengan bahan pindaan organik, keupayaan bahan pindaan organik dalam meningkatkan ciriciri kimia tanah, hasil jagung dan keuntungan yang tinggi menunjukkan ia adalah lebih berdaya maju.



 $\mathbf{G}$ 

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my utmost appreciation to my supervisory committee chairman and members, Associate Professor Dr. Ahmed Osumanu Haruna, Professor Dato' Dr. Nik Muhamad Nik Ab. Majid, and Dr. Susilawati Kassim, respectively for their generous help, guidance, concern, assistance, suggestions, and support throughout my research. Special thanks to Mr. Arni Japar Bujang (Soil Laboratory) and Mrs. Elizabeth Andrew Anyah (Analytical Laboratory) for their assistance while conducting laboratory work. I would like to thank Taman Pertanian Universiti (TPU) staffs namely Mr. Razak Bin Mat Sahar, Mr. Ishak Bin Dollah, Mr. Jamarizal Bin Ahmad, Mr. Raymond Lau, Mr. Atin Anak Garit, and Mr. Nazri Bin Ali for their assistance during my field work. Last but not least, my heartiest appreciation goes to my beloved parents Mr. Ch'ng Chin Wooi and Mdm. Tan Suat Poh, sister Ch'ng Yen Li and my friends, Mr. Zhang Zhao Shun, Ms. Latifah Omar, Mr. Hor Jia Sheng, Mr. Ng Liaw Qiang, Mr. Fong Fon Fok, Mr. Chai Yi Xue, Mr. Chong Shen Long, Mr. Lim Weng Kai, Mr. Empi Rambok, Mr. Jeffrey Wong, and Mr. Wong Ngie Sii for their support, help and encouragement in the course of this research. My appreciation also goes to the Ministry of Education, Malaysia and Universiti Putra Malaysia for MyPhD scholarship financial support and cooperation.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on (23 March 2015) to conduct the final examination of (Ch'ng Huck Ywih) on his thesis entitled "Phosphorus management for tropical acid soils using amendments from agro-industrial wastes" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

#### Ahmad Husni bin Mohd Haniff, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

#### Christopher Teh Boon Sung, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

#### Mohammed Selamat Madom, PhD

Senior Lecturer Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI) Malaysia (External Examiner)

#### Muhammad Abid, PhD

Professor Bahauddin Zakariya University Pakistan (External Examiner)

#### ZULKARNAIN ZAINAL, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 13 MAY 2015

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

#### Ahmed Osumanu Haruna, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Agriculture and Food Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia Bintulu Sarawak Campus (Chairman)

#### Susilawati Kassim, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Agriculture and Food Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia Bintulu Sarawak Campus (Committee Member)

#### Nik Muhamad bin Nik Ab. Majid, PhD

Professor Institute of Tropical Forestry and Forest Products (INTROP) Universiti Putra Malaysia (Committee Member)

> **BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD** Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

#### **Declaration by Graduate Student**

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:	Date:	
Name and Matric No.: _		

#### **Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee**

This is to confirm that:

 $\mathbf{G}$ 

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature:	Signature:
Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee:	Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:
Signature:	
Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
ABSTRACT		i
ABSTRAK		iii
ACKNOWL	EDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	·	vi
DECLARAT	ION	viii
LIST OF TA	BLES	xvi
LIST OF FIC	GURES	xviii
	BREVIATIONS	XXX
CHAPTER		
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Background and Problem Statement	1
	1.2 Objectives	3
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1 Phosphorus Cycle in Soil-Plant Systems	4
	2.1.1 Importance of Phosphorus to Plants	5
	2.2 Present Concerns with Soil Phosphorus	5
	2.3 Dynamics of Soil Phosphorus	6
	2.3.1 Phosphorus Forms in Soil	6
	2.3.2 Phosphorus Pools	8
	2.3.3 Phosphorus Movement in Soil	9
	2.3.4 Phosphorus Availability in Soil	10
	2.3.4.1 Clay content and mineralogy	10
	2.3.4.2 Organic Matter	10
	2.3.4.3 Soil pH and exchangeable Al, Fe, and Ca	11
	concentration	
	2.3.5 Soil Phosphorus Retention in Soils	11
	2.3.5.1 Physico-chemical and Chemical Processes	12
	2.3.5.2 Biological Process	14
	2.4 Adsorption and Release of Phosphates in the Soil	14
	2.5 The Adsorption Isotherm	15
	2.5.1 Types of Adsorption Isotherms	15
	2.5.1.1 Langmuir Equation	16
	2.5.1.2 Freundlich Equation	17
	2.5.1.3 Temkin Adsorption Equation	17
	2.5.1.4 Limitations of Sorption Isotherms	18
	2.6 Factors Affecting Phosphorus Sorption from Soil	18
	2.6.1 Soil pH	18
	2.6.2 Soil Carbonate	19
	2.6.3 Ionic Strength of Soil Solution	19
	2.6.4 Organic Matter	19
	2.6.5 Clay Mineralogy	20
	2.6.6 Plant Root Geometry	20

2.7 Common Management of Phosphorus Fixing Soils	21
2.7.1 High-input Strategy	21
2.7.2 Liming	21
2.7.3 Selection of Phosphorus Fertilizers	21
2.7.4 Application of Organic Materials	21
2.8 Agricultural Wastes	22
2.8.1 Pineapple Industry and Utilization of Pineapple	23
Wastes	
2.8.2 Sago Industry and Utilization of Sago Wastes	23
2.9 Co-composting	24
2.9.1 Factors Affecting the Co-composting Process	24
2.9.1.1 Temperature	24
2.9.1.2 Oxygen (Aeration)	25
2.9.1.3 Moisture Content	25
2.9.1.4 pH	26
2.9.1.5 Particle Size	26
2.9.2 Chemical Changes during Co-composting Process	26
2.9.2.1 Carbon to Nitrogen Transformations	26
2.9.2.2 Humic Substances	27
2.9.2.3 Organic Acids	27
2.10 Biochar	27
2.11 Humic Substances	28
2.11.1 Humic Substances Fractions	29
2.11.1.1 Humic Acid and Fulvic Acid	29
2.11.1.2 Humin	30
2.11.2 Chemical Structures of Humic Substances	31
2.11.3 Humic Substances Benefits	31
	32
2.12 Potential of Applying Compost and Biochar in the P-fixing Soils	32
	20
2.12.1 Compost	32
2.12.2 Biochar	33
2.12.3 Mechanism of Biochar and Compost in Reducing	35
Soil P Fixation	~ ~
2.12.3.1 Chelation of Al and Fe by Biochar and	35
Compost	
2.12.3.2 Increase of Soil pH Upon Application	36
of Biochar and Compost	
2.12.3.3 Direct P Source for the Biochar and	37
Compost	
2.13 Summary of Literature Review	37
GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1 Soil Sampling	39
3.2 Soil Samples Preparation and Analysis	40
3.2.1 Bulk Density Determination	40
3.2.2 Soil Texture Determination	40
3.2.3 Soil pH and Electrical Conductivity Determination	41

3.2.4 Soil Total Carbon and Nitrogen Determination 41

 $\bigcirc$ 

3.2.5 Soil Organic Matter Determination	41
3.2.6 Soil Organic Carbon Determination	41
3.2.7 Soil Cation Exchange Capacity Determination	42
3.2.8 Soil Total Phosphorus Determination	42
3.2.9 Soil Available Phosphorus Determination	42
3.2.10 Soil Inorganic and Organic Phosphorus	43
Determination	
3.2.11 Soil Exchangeable Cations Determination	44
3.2.12 Soil Exchangeable Acidity and Exchangeable	44
Aluminium Determination	
<b>RECYCLING OF PINEAPPLE AND SAGO WASTES</b>	
THROUGH CO-COMPOSTING	
4.1 Introduction	45
4.2 Materials and Methods	46
4.2.1 Co-composting Site	46
4.2.2 Pineapple Leaf Residues and Co-composting Process	46
	40
4.2.3 Sago Bagasse and Co-composting Process	
4.2.4 Initial Characterization of Raw Materials Used in Co-	47
composting	17
4.2.4.1 pH Determination	47
4.2.4.2 Total Carbon and Nitrogen Determination	48
4.2.4.3 Organic Matter Determination	48
4.2.4.4 Cation Exchange Capacity Determination	48
4.2.4.5 Total Cations Determination	48
4.2.4.6 Ammonium and Nitrate Determination	48
4.2.4.7 Ash Content Determination	49
4.2.4.8 Extraction of Humic Acid	49
4.2.4.9 Determination of Humification Level and	50
Functional Groups of Humic Acid	
4.2.4.10 Bacterial Count	50
4.2.5 Characterization of Compost Before and After Co-	50
composting	
4.2.5.1 Electrical Conductivity Determination	50
4.2.5.2 Phytotoxicity Test	51
4.2.6 Data Analysis	51
4.3 Results and Discussion	51
4.3.1 Selected Nutrients Composition of the Raw Materials	51
Used in Co-composition	51
4.3.2 Co-composting of Pineapple Leaf Residues with	53
Chicken Manure Slurry	55
4.3.2.1 Co-composing process and temperature	53
profile	55
	55
4.3.2.2 Selected physiological and biochemical	33
changes during co-composting	<i>c</i> 0
4.3.3 Co-composting of Sago Bagasse with Chicken	60
Manure Slurry	<i>c</i> 0
4.3.3.1 Co-composting process and temperature	60
profile	

xii

4.4 Conclusion

LABORATORY ASSESSMENT OF AMENDING PHOSPHATE FERTILIZERS WITH ORGANIC AMENDMENTS IN IMPROVING SOIL PHOSPHORUS AVAILABILITY	
5.1Introduction	68
5.2 Materials and Methods	69
5.2.1 Initial Characterization of Soil Sample	69
5.2.2 Incubation Study	69
5.2.3 Statistical Analysis	72
5.3 Results and Discussion	72
5.3.1 Characteristics of Soil, Organic Amendments, and P	72
Fertilizers	
5.3.2 Effect of Organic Amendments on Soil pH,	77
Exchangeable Acidity, Exchangeable Aluminium,	
Exchangeable Iron, and Exchangeable Calcium	
5.3.3 Effects of Organic Amendments on Total and	84
Available Phosphorus	
5.3.4 Effects of Organic Amendments on Phosphorus	88
Fractions.	
5.4 Conclusion	95

POTTRIALASSESSMENTOFAMENDINGPHOSPHATEFERTILIZERSWITHORGANICAMENDMENTSINIMPROVINGSOILPHOSPHORUSAVAILABILITY,NUTRIENTSUPTAKE,ANDDRYMATTER PRODUCTION OF Zeamays L.6.1Introduction6.2Materials and Methods6.2.1Pot Trial

- 6.2.2 Statistical analysis1006.3 Results and Discussion1006.3.1 Effect of Treatments on Selected Soil Chemical100Properties after Pot Experiment1006.3.2 Effect of Treatments on Soil Phosphorus Availability at10848 Days of Planting of the Pot Trial108
  - 6.3.3 Effect of Treatments on Dry Matter Production and Nutrients Uptake by Zea mays L. after Pot Experiment

6.4 Conclusion

xiii

7	FIELD TRIAL ASSESSMENT OF AMENDING	
	PHOSPHATE FERTILIZERS WITH ORGANIC AMENDMENTS IN IMPROVING SOIL PHOSPHORUS	
	AVAILABILITY, NUTRIENTS UPTAKE, AND YIELD OF	
	Zea mays L.	
	7.1 Introduction	139
	7.2 Materials and Methods	140
	7.2.1 Soil Sampling before Planting	140
	7.2.2 First Cycle of Field Trial	140
	7.2.3 Second Cycle of Field Trial (Residual Effect)	144
	7.2.4 Statistical analysis	144
	7.3 Results and Discussion	145
	7.3.1 Characteristics of Soil	145
	7.3.2 Effects of Treatments on Selected Soil Chemical	146
	Properties at 75 Days of Planting of the First Cycle	
	of Field Trial	
	7.3.3 Effect of Treatments on Soil Phosphorus Availability	153
	at 75 Days of Planting of the First Cycle of Field	
	Trial 7.3.4 Effect of Treatments on Nutrients Uptake and Yield	158
	of Zea mays L.	138
	7.3.5 Effect of Treatments on Selected Soil Chemical	184
	Properties at 85 Days of Planting of the Second	104
	Cycle of Field Trial	
		101
	7.3.6 Effect of Treatments on Soil Phosphorus Availability	191
	at 85 Days of Planting of the Second Cycle of Field Trial	
		196
	7.3.7 Effect of Treatments on Nutrients Uptake and Yield of Zea mays L.	190
	7.5 Conclusion	220
		220
8	LABORATORY ASSESSMENT OF PHOSPHORUS	
	SORPTION, DESORPTION, AND pH BUFFERING	
	CAPACITY UPON APPLICATION OF ORGANIC	
	AMENDMENTS IN TROPICAL ACID SOILS	

SORPTION, DESORPTION	N, AND PH BUFFERING	
CAPACITY UPON APPL	LICATION OF ORGANIC	
AMENDMENTS IN TROPICA	AL ACID SOILS	
8.1 Introduction		221
8.2 Materials and Methods		222
8.2.1 Soil P Sorption and D	Desorption Determination	222
8.2.2 Soil pH Buffering Ca	pacity Determination	222
		222

#### 8.3 Results and Discussion 223 8.4 Conclusion 228

229

- LABORATORY ASSESSMENT PHOSPHATE OF FERTILIZERS WITH AND WITHOUT ORGANIC AMENDMENTS ON SOIL PHOSPHORUS LEACHING 9.1 Introduction
- 9.2 Materials and Methods

	9.2.1 Laboratory Leaching Experiment	230
	9.2.2 Statistical Analysis	231
	9.3 Results and Discussion	231
	9.3.1 Selected Nutrients Availability in Leachate Over 60 Days of Leaching	231
	9.3.2 Soil pH and Soil Nutrients Availability at 60 Days of Leaching	235
	9.4 Conclusion	243
10	ECONOMIC VIABILITY OF INCLUDING BIOCHAR AND PINEAPPLE LEAF RESIDUES COMPOST IN MAIZE CULTIVATION ON ACID SOILS WITH PHOSPHORUS FIXATION	
	10.1 Introduction	244
	10.2 Materials and Methods	245
	10.3 Results and Discussion	243
	10.4 Conclusion	249
	10.4 Conclusion	249
11	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	250
REFERENC BIODATA O	ES DF STUDENT	253 270
	BLICATIONS	271
LIST OF AW		273

 $\bigcirc$ 

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Common phosphate minerals in acid and neutral to calcareous soils.	12
4.1	Selected chemical properties of shredded pineapple leaf residues, sago bagasse, chicken feed, molasses and chicken manure slurry.	92
4.2	Selected chemical properties of before and after co- composting of pineapple leaf residues with chicken manure slurry.	98
4.3	Comparison of ranges of phenolic, carboxylic, total acidity, and $E_4:E_6$ ratio of humic acid of pineapple leaf residues compost with related reports.	99
4.4	Bacteria colony counts for before and after co-composting of pineapple leaf residues with chicken manure slurry.	101
4.5	Summary of phytotoxicity test (seed germination) for co- composted pineapple leaf residues with chicken manure slurry.	103
4.6	Selected chemical properties of before and after co- composting of sago bagasse with chicken manure slurry.	110
4.7	Comparison of ranges of phenolic, carboxylic, total acidity, and $E_4:E_6$ ratio of humic acid of sago bagasse compost with related reports.	111
4.8	Bacteria colony counts for before and after co-composting of sago bagasse with chicken manure slurry.	112
4.9	Summary of phytotoxicity test (seed germination) for co- composted sago bagasse.	113
5.1	Selected physico-chemical properties of Bekenu Series.	122
5.2	Selected chemical properties of chicken litter biochar, pineapple leaf residues compost, and sago bagasse compost.	123
5.3	Selected elemental composition of Triple superphosphate, Egypt rock phosphate and Christmas Island rock phosphate.	124
5.4	Mean square values of analysis of variance (ANOVA) to evaluate the effects of treatments and incubation time on soil pH, exchangeable acidity, exchangeable Al, extractable Fe,	125

# and exchangeable Ca.

(G)

5.5	Mean square values of analysis of variance (ANOVA) to evaluate the effects of treatments and incubation time on soil total P, available P, soluble $P_i$ , Al- $P_i$ , Fe- $P_i$ , reductant soluble- $P_i$ , Ca- $P_i$ , total $P_i$ , and total $P_o$ .	135
7.1	Selected physico-chemical properties of Nyalau Series.	207
8.1	P sorption parameters of the isotherm described by Langmuir equation.	301
10.1	Present value of field materials and other costs standardized across the practices in maize cultivation.	328
10.2	Present value of labour cost standardized across the practices in maize cultivation.	329
10.3	Revenue associated with different practices in maize cultivation.	332

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Phosphorus cycle in soil-plant systems.	7
2.2	Schematic overview of different phosphorus pools in soils.	14
2.3	Precipitation reaction in P fixation process.	21
2.4	Exchange of phosphorus with anions surfaces of Al and Fe oxides.	22
2.5	Anion exchange reaction in P fixation process.	23
2.6	Effect of soil pH on the P sorption.	32
2.7	Model structure of humic acid.	52
2.8	Model structure of fulvic acid.	53
2.9	Model structure of humin.	54
3.1	Location of soil sampling area for the study.	69
4.1	Temperature profiles of co-composting of pineapple leaf residues with chicken manure slurry in the morning (7 a.m.), afternoon (1 p.m.) and evening (7 p.m.).	94
4.2	(a) Colour and texture of shredded and uncomposted pineapple leaf residues; (b) Colour and texture of co-composted pineapple leaf residues.	96
4.3	Temperature profiles of co-composting of sago bagasse with chicken manure slurry in the morning (7 a.m.), afternoon (1 p.m.) and evening (7 p.m.).	105
4.4	(a) Colour and texture of shredded and uncomposted sago bagasse; (b) Colour and texture of co-composted sago bagasse.	107
5.1 5.2	Layout of laboratory incubation study. Effect of treatments on soil pH after 30, 60, and 90 days of incubation (DAI).	120 128
5.3	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable acidity after 30, 60, and 90 days of incubation (DAI).	129
5.4	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable Al after 30, 60, and 90 days of incubation (DAI).	130

5.5	Effect of treatments on soil extractable Fe after 30, 60, and 90 days of incubation (DAI).	132
5.6	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable Ca after 30, 60, and 90 days of incubation (DAI).	133
5.7	Effect of treatments on soil total P after 30, 60, and 90 days of incubation (DAI).	136
5.8	Effect of treatments on soil available P after 30, 60, and 90 days of incubation (DAI).	137
5.9	Effect of treatments on soil Ca- $P_i$ after 30, 60, and 90 days of incubation (DAI).	139
5.10	Effect of treatments on soil soluble $P_i$ after 30, 60, and 90 days of incubation (DAI).	140
5.11	Effect of treatments on soil Al-P <sub>i</sub> after 30, 60, and 90 days of incubation (DAI).	143
5.12	Effect of treatments on soil Fe-P <sub>i</sub> after 30, 60, and 90 days of incubation (DAI).	144
5.13	Effect of treatments on soil reductant soluble- $P_i$ after 30, 60, and 90 days of incubation (DAI).	145
5.14	Effect of treatments on soil total $P_o$ after 30, 60, and 90 days of incubation (DAI).	146
6.1	Experimental setup and arrangement of pot trial in net house.	153
6.2	Effect of treatments on soil pH at harvest (48 days of planting).	155
6.3	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable acidity at harvest (48 days of planting).	156
6.4	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable Al at harvest (48 days of planting).	157
6.5	Effect of treatments on soil extractable Fe at harvest (48 days of planting).	157
6.6	Effect of treatments on soil total N at harvest (48 days of planting).	158
6.7	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable K at harvest (48	159

days of planting).

	6.8	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable Ca at harvest (48 days of planting).	159
	6.9	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable Mg at harvest (48 days of planting).	160
	6.10	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable Na at harvest (48 days of planting).	160
	6.11	Effect of treatments on soil electrical conductivity (EC) at harvest (48 days of planting).	161
	6.12	Effect of treatments on soil organic C at harvest (48 days of planting).	162
	6.13	Effect of treatments on soil total C at harvest (48 days of planting).	162
	6.14	Effect of treatments on soil total P at harvest (48 days of planting).	163
	6.15	Effect of treatments on soil available P at harvest (48 days of planting).	164
	6.16	Effect of treatments on soil $Ca-P_i$ at harvest (48 days of planting).	165
	6.17	Effect of treatments on soil soluble $P_i$ at harvest (48 days of planting).	165
	6.18	Effect of treatments on soil $Al-P_i$ at harvest (48 days of planting).	167
	6.19	Effect of treatments on soil $\text{Fe-P}_i$ at harvest (48 days of planting).	167
	6.20	Effect of treatments on soil reductant soluble- $P_i$ at harvest (48 days of planting).	168
$\bigcirc$	6.21	Effect of treatments on soil total $P_o$ at harvest (48 days of planting).	168
U	6.22	Effect of treatments on dry weight of leaves, stems, and roots of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (48 days of planting).	170
	6.23	Effect of treatments on maize hybrid F1 growth performance (TSP).	171

6.2	ect of treatments on maize hybrid F1 roots (TSP) at harvest days of planting).	172
6.2	ect of treatments on maize hybrid F1 growth performance RP).	173
6.2	ect of treatments on maize hybrid F1 roots (ERP) at vest (48 days of planting).	174
6.2	Tect of treatments on maize hybrid F1 growth performance (RP).	175
6.2	Tect of treatments on maize hybrid F1 roots (CIRP) at vest (48 days of planting).	176
6.2	ect of treatments on total N of leaves, stems, and roots of ize hybrid F1 at harvest (48 days of planting).	178
6.3	ect of treatments on N uptake of leaves, stems, and roots of ize hybrid F1 at harvest (48 days of planting).	179
6.3	ect of treatments on total P of leaves, stems, and roots of ize hybrid F1 at harvest (48 days of planting).	181
6.2	ect of treatments on P uptake of leaves, stems, and roots of ize hybrid F1 at harvest (48 days of planting).	182
6.2	ect of treatments on total K of leaves, stems, and roots of ize hybrid F1 at harvest (48 days of planting).	183
6.2	ect of treatments on K uptake of leaves, stems, and roots of ize hybrid F1 at harvest (48 days of planting).	184
6.2	ect of treatments on total Ca of leaves, stems, and roots of ize hybrid F1 at harvest (48 days of planting).	186
6.2	ect of treatments on Ca uptake of leaves, stems, and roots maize hybrid F1 at harvest (48 days of planting).	187
6.2	ect of treatments on total Mg of leaves, stems, and roots of ize hybrid F1 at harvest (48 days of planting).	188
6.3	Tect of treatments on Mg uptake of leaves, stems, and roots maize hybrid F1 at harvest (48 days of planting).	189
6.3	ect of treatments on total Na of leaves, stems, and roots of ize hybrid F1 at harvest (48 days of planting).	190

	6.40	Effect of treatments on Na uptake of leaves, stems, and roots of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (48 days of planting).	191
	6.41	Effect of treatments on total Fe of leaves, stems, and roots of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (48 days of planting).	192
	6.42	Effect of treatments on Fe uptake of leaves, stems, and roots of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (48 days of planting).	193
	6.43	Effect of treatments on total Al of leaves, stems, and roots of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (48 days of planting).	194
	6.44	Effect of treatments on Al uptake of leaves, stems, and roots of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (48 days of planting).	195
	7.1	Location of field trial and land preparation processes for maize planting.	201
	7.2	Effect of treatments on soil pH at harvest (75 days of planting).	208
	7.3	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable acidity at harvest (75 days of planting).	209
	7.4	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable Al at harvest (75 days of planting).	210
	7.5	Effect of treatments on soil extractable Fe at harvest (75 days of planting).	210
	7.6	Effect of treatments on soil total N at harvest (75 days of planting).	212
	7.7	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable K at harvest (75 days of planting).	212
	7.8	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable Ca at harvest (75 days of planting).	213
$\bigcirc$	7.9	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable Mg at harvest (75 days of planting).	213
$\mathbf{\Theta}$	7.10	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable Na at harvest (75 days of planting).	214
	7.11	Effect of treatments on soil electrical conductivity (EC) at harvest (75 days of planting).	214

	7.12	Effect of treatments on soil cation exchange capacity (CEC) at harvest (75 days of planting).	215
	7.13	Effect of treatments on soil base saturation at harvest (75 days of planting).	216
	7.14	Effect of treatments on soil organic C at harvest (75 days of planting).	216
	7.15	Effect of treatments on soil total C at harvest (75 days of planting).	217
	7.16	Effect of treatments on soil total P at harvest (75 days of planting).	218
	7.17	Effect of treatments on soil available P at harvest (75 days of planting).	218
	7.18	Effect of treatments on soil $Ca-P_i$ at harvest (75 days of planting).	220
	7.19	Effect of treatments on soil soluble $P_i$ at harvest (75 days of planting).	220
	7.20	Effect of treatments on soil $Al-P_i$ at harvest (75 days of planting).	222
	7.21	Effect of treatments on soil $\text{Fe-P}_i$ at harvest (75 days of planting).	222
	7.22	Effect of treatments on soil reductant soluble- $P_i$ at harvest (75 days of planting).	223
	7.23	Effect of treatments on soil total $P_o$ at harvest (75 days of planting).	223
	7.24	Effect of treatments on dry weight of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (75 days of planting).	225
$\bigcirc$	7.25	Effects of treatments on the growth performance of maize in first cycle of field trial.	226
$\mathbf{\Theta}$	7.26	Effect of treatments on total N of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (75 days of planting).	230
	7.27	Effect of treatments on N uptake of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (75 days of planting).	231

hybrid F1 at harvest (75 days of planting).	233 234
	234
7.30 Effect of treatments on total K of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (75 days of planting).	
7.31 Effect of treatments on K uptake of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (75 days of planting).	235
7.32 Effect of treatments on total Ca of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (75 days of planting).	236
7.33 Effect of treatments on Ca uptake of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (75 days of planting).	237
7.34 Effect of treatments on total Mg of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (75 days of planting).	238
7.35 Effect of treatments on Mg uptake of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (75 days of planting).	239
7.36 Effect of treatments on total Na of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (75 days of planting).	240
7.37 Effect of treatments on Na uptake of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (75 days of planting).	241
7.38 Effect of treatments on total Fe of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (75 days of planting).	242
7.39 Effect of treatments on Fe uptake of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (75 days of planting).	243
7.40 Effect of treatments on total Al of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (75 days of planting).	244
7.41 Effect of treatments on Al uptake of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (75 days of planting).	245
7.42 Effect of treatments on cob yield of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (75 days of planting).	247
7.43 Effect of treatments on number of grains of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (75 days of planting).	248

7.44	Effects of treatments on the cobs size and grain yield at harvest (75 days of planting).	249
7.45	Effect of treatments on agronomic efficiency of maize hybrid F1 cultivation at harvest (75 days of planting).	250
7.46	Effect of treatments on soil pH at harvest (85 days of planting).	251
7.47	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable acidity at harvest (85 days of planting).	252
7.48	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable Al at harvest (85 days of planting).	253
7.49	Effect of treatments on soil extractable Fe at harvest (85 days of planting).	253
7.50	Effect of treatments on soil total N at harvest (85 days of planting).	254
7.51	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable K at harvest (85 days of planting).	255
7.52	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable Ca at harvest (85 days of planting).	255
7.53	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable Mg at harvest (85 days of planting).	256
7.54	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable Na at harvest (85 days of planting).	256
7.55	Effect of treatments on soil electrical conductivity (EC) at harvest (85 days of planting).	257
7.56	Effect of treatments on soil cation exchange capacity (CEC) at harvest (85 days of planting).	258
7.57	Effect of treatments on soil base saturation at harvest (85 days of planting).	258
7.58	Effect of treatments on soil organic C at harvest (85 days of planting).	259
7.59	Effect of treatments on soil total C at harvest (85 days of planting).	259

xxv

7.60	Effect of treatments on soil total P at harvest (85 days of planting).	260
7.61	Effect of treatments on soil available P at harvest (85 days of planting).	261
7.62	Effect of treatments on soil $Ca-P_i$ at harvest (85 days of planting).	262
7.63	Effect of treatments on soil soluble $P_i$ at harvest (85 days of planting).	262
7.64	Effect of treatments on soil $Al-P_i$ at harvest (85 days of planting).	264
7.65	Effect of treatments on soil $Fe-P_i$ at harvest (85 days of planting).	264
7.66	Effect of treatments on soil reductant soluble- $P_i$ at harvest (85 days of planting).	265
7.67	Effect of treatments on soil total $P_o$ at harvest (85 days of planting).	265
7.68	Effect of treatments on dry weight of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (85 days of planting).	267
7.69	Effects of treatments on the growth performance of maize in first cycle of field trial.	268
7.70	Effect of treatments on total N of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1.	272
7.71	Effect of treatments on N uptake of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (85 days of planting).	273
7.72	Effect of treatments on total P of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (85 days of planting).	274
7.73	Effect of treatments on P uptake of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (85 days of planting).	275
7.74	Effect of treatments on total K of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (85 days of planting).	276
7.75	Effect of treatments on K uptake of leaves and stems of maize	277

	hybrid F1 at harvest (85 days of planting).	
7.76	Effect of treatments on total Ca of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (85 days of planting).	279
7.77	Effect of treatments on Ca uptake of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (85 days of planting).	280
7.78	Effect of treatments on total Mg of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (85 days of planting).	281
7.79	Effect of treatments on Mg uptake of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (85 days of planting).	282
7.80	Effect of treatments on total Na of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (85 days of planting).	283
7.81	Effect of treatments on Na uptake of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (85 days of planting).	284
7.82	Effect of treatments on total Fe of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (85 days of planting).	285
7.83	Effect of treatments on Fe uptake of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (85 days of planting).	286
7.84	Effect of treatments on total Al of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (85 days of planting).	287
7.85	Effect of treatments on Al uptake of leaves and stems of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (85 days of planting).	288
7.86	Effect of treatments on cob yield of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (85 days of planting).	290
7.87	Effect of treatments on number of grains of maize hybrid F1 at harvest (85 days of planting).	291
7.88	Effects of treatments on the cob size and grain yield at harvest	292
	(85 days of planting).	
7.89	Effect of treatments on agronomic efficiency of maize hybrid F1 cultivation at harvest (85 days of planting).	293
8.1	Effects of treatments on the soil phosphorus isotherms.	301
8.2	Effects of treatments on the amount of P sorbed and desorbed on soils.	303

8.3	Determination of soil pH buffering capacity, the quantity of acid needed to reduce pH by one unit.	304
9.1	Layout of phosphorus leaching from soil in a laboratory study	310
9.2	Effects of treatments on P availability in leachate over 60 days of leaching.	312
9.3	Cumulation amount of P leached over 60 days of leaching.	312
9.4	Effect of treatments on the Ca availability in leachate over 60 days of leaching.	313
9.5	Effect of treatments on the Mg availability in leachate over 60 days of leaching.	313
9.6	Effect of treatments on the Na availability in leachate over 60 days of leaching.	314
9.7	Effect of treatments on pH of leachate over 60 days of leaching.	314
9.8	Effect of treatments on the Al availability in leachate over 60 days of leaching.	315
9.9	Effect of treatments on the Fe availability in leachate over 60 days of leaching.	315
9.10	Effect of treatments on soil pH at 60 days of leaching.	316
9.11	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable acidity at 60 days of leaching.	317
9.12	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable Al at 60 days of leaching.	317
9.13	Effect of treatments on soil extractable Fe at 60 days of leaching.	318
9.14	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable Ca at 60 days of leaching.	319
9.15	Effect of treatments on soil exchangeable Mg at 60 days of leaching.	319
9.16	Effect of treatments on soil total P at 60 days of leaching.	320
9.17	Effect of treatments on soil available P at 60 days of leaching.	321
	xxviii	

9.18	Effect of treatments on soil soluble $P_i$ at 60 days of leaching.	321
9.19	Effect of treatments on soil Ca- $P_i$ at 60 days of leaching.	322
9.20	Effect of treatments on soil $Al-P_i$ at 60 days of leaching.	323
9.21	Effect of treatments on soil Fe- $P_i$ at 60 days of leaching.	323
9.22	Effect of treatments on soil total $P_o$ at 60 days of leaching.	324
10.1	Effect of different practices on maize yield during two cycles of planting.	331

 $\bigcirc$ 

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- 1  $(NH_4)_2Fe(SO_4)_2.6H_2O Ferrous ammonium sulphate$
- 2 ADP Adenosine diphosphate
- 3 AEC Anion exchange capacity
- 4 Al-P<sub>i</sub> Aluminium bound inorganic phosphorus
- 5 ATP Adenosine triphosphate
- 6 BOD Biochemical oxygen demand
- 7 Ca- $P_i$  Calcium bound inorganic phosphorus
- 8 COD Chemical oxygen demand
- 9 DAI Days after incubation
- 10 DAS Days after sowing
- 11  $E_4:E_6 Ratio of absorption intensities at 456 and 665 nm$
- 12 Fe-  $P_i$  Iron bound inorganic phosphorus
- 13 ICP Inductively Coupled Plasma
- 14 MBC Maximum P buffering capacity
- 15 NPV Net present value
- 16  $P_i$  Inorganic phosphorus
- 17  $P_o$  Organic phosphorus
- 18 SE Standard error

 $\mathbf{G}$ 

#### CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

#### **1.1 Background and Problem Statement**

Phosphorus (P) is a key element in both crop production and environmental sustainability. It has been recognised as one of the important elements required to maintain profitable crop production (Sharpley and Tunney, 2000). Phosphorus is classified as a macronutrient in agronomy because of the relatively large amounts in which it is needed by plants. Ultisols and Oxisols are predominant in the tropics. These soils are acidic due to high weathering and high rainfall that results in loss of basic cations. In such soils, acidic cations such as Al and Fe predominate, and depending on soil pH, they fix the applied inorganic P (Adnan *et al.*, 2003). Phosphorus is generally available to crops at soil pH of between slightly acidic to neutral (6 to 7). Below this range, P is fixed due to active forms of Al and Fe oxides and hydroxides whereas at higher pH (>7), P becomes less available due to precipitation with calcium. Therefore, in acidic soils, application of regular P fertilizers such as rock phosphates are required to saturate Al and Fe ions so as to maintain an adequate supply of plant-available P (Rahman *et al.*, 2014). However, this approach has not been successful because it is not economical. The practice is also not environmental friendly as excessive or unbalanced use of P fertilizers causes water pollution such as eutrophication.

One of the challenges in agro-industrial wastes management in Malaysia is to develop new techniques that could put wastes into good use. In Malaysia, approximately 13 t ha<sup>-1</sup> pineapple wastes per cropping cycle on peat soils are produced. However, these pineapple residues are usually managed through open burning (Ahmed et al., 2004). Burning does not only cause haze and pollution, but it also causes peat fires which are difficult to control. Sarawak at present is the principal producer of sago, exporting about 15,000 to 40,000 tonnes of sago starch annually (Apun et al., 2009). The sago palm trunk waste produced by the sago starch industries is a type of lignocellulosic waste material available in large quantities but its commercial value is less exploited (Akmar and Kennedy, 2001). The amount of waste (fiber and water) from processing sago is about 20 times the total starch production (Haska, 2002) and about seven tones of sago fiber are produced daily from a single sago starch processing mill (Bujang et al., 1996). The sago waste is usually washed off into drains. In some situations, the waste from processing sago is drained into nearby rivers or sea and this method of disposal may cause water pollution. This type of waste disposal causes reduction in dissolved oxygen in water for fishes which require more than 10 g m<sup>-3</sup> of dissolved oxygen (Cecil, 2002). Normal chemical oxygen demand (COD) and biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) should be around 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> but Sarawak State Environment Department has reported that water samples from affected rivers showed COD reading of 450 to 700 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and BOD level from 150 to 200 mg L<sup>-1</sup> which contravened the standard limit discharge enacted in the Environmental Quality Act, 1974 (sewage and industrial effluents regulation, 1979) (Awg-Adeni et al., 2010).

In recent times, wastes generated from chicken farms are increasing as a result of rapid growth of the chicken farm industry (Arifin *et al.*, 2006). Thus, the use of chicken farm wastes as sources of nutrients for the agricultural sector has become popular. Currently, chicken manure is applied directly as an organic fertilizer in agriculture. However, direct application of chicken manure in agriculture causes environmental pollution and diseases outbreak (Bowman *et al.*, 2000).

Organic amendments are currently being used to restore the fertility of problem soils such as Ultisols which have high P-fixing capacity. Organic amendments additions have been used in the tropics to improve soil chemical properties and nutrients bioavailability especially P via minimizing P sorption sites (Ohno and Amirbahma, 2010; Ohno et al., 2007). Organic amendments have the ability to enhance soil fertility and crop productivity, soil water retention, and carbon (C) sequestration (Galinato et al., 2011). Besides, several studies have shown that addition of green manures and animal wastes to acid soils improve soil fertility (Berek et al., 1995; Hue, 1992). In order to mitigate soil P fixation and to as well overcome agro-industrial wastes management challenges in Malaysia, co-composting of sago and pineapple wastes with chicken manure slurry in a way that solves the problem of P fixation in acid soils and environmental pollution could be novel. It is the most suitable approach for waste treatment due to the ever-increasing awareness about environmental pollution. This hypothesis was adopted in the present study as pineapple and sago wastes have high C:N ratios and slow to decompose on their own. If these wastes are co-composted with a low C:N material such as chicken manure slurry which is also serves as source of microorganisms, more favourable ratios can be achieved for rapid decomposition of pineapple leaf residues and sago bagasse. This may lead to production of composts that are rich in plant nutrients (Abdulla, 2007).

Co-composting can be defined as biological decomposition and stabilization of two different types of wastes (Angelidaki and Ahring, 1997; Ahring *et al.*, 1992), by producing thermophilic temperatures to produce a compost product that is free from pathogens, heavy metals and weed seeds (Gopinathan and Thirumurthy, 2012). In addition, co-composting allows resource recovery with many advantages such as it costs less than separate treatment systems, developing a better handling and digestibility of the solid waste (Angelidaki and Ahring, 1997). Besides, through co-composting, one is able to produce a better nutrient balance output which in return can save cost besides serving as an alternative to the use of chemical fertilizers that could lead to soil pollution.

Although there exist some information on P sorption and fixation using organic matter (Ohno and Amirbahma, 2010; Ohno *et al.*, 2007), there is dearth of information on the use of compost with large surface area and high negative charges to minimize P fixation in acid soils. The problem of P fixation can potentially be solved by the progressive return of organic materials to soils. This process will fundamentally enable long term chelation of Al and Fe by compost instead of P. This is possible because functional groups such as carboxyls and phenols in of humic substances such as humic acids, fulvic acids, and humin in composts known to be negatively charged in alkaline condition will chelate Al and Fe. This is so

because the functional groups have high affinity for Al and Fe. Hence, P will become available for plants uptake. In addition, compost is alkaline in nature and may increase soil pH (Yan *et al.*, 1996; Haynes and Swift, 1993). The additional benefits are high porosity, high specific surface area and surface functional groups which can sorb the Al and Fe thus minimizing P fixation (Iyamuremye and Dick, 1996; Violante and Gianfreda, 1993). Hence, P and basic cations will become readily and timely available for crop use. In addition, compost will release essential nutrients into soils.

#### **1.2 Objectives**

The objectives of this study were to:

- 1. Produce organic amendments from agro-industrial wastes (pineapple leaf residues and sago bagasse) through co-composting.
- 2. Improve soil phosphorus availability by amending phosphate fertilizers (Triple superphosphate, Egypt rock phosphate, and Christmas Island rock phosphate) with organic amendments.
- 3. Determine if the use of organic amendments could improve nutrients uptake, dry matter production, and yield of *Zea mays* L. cultivation on a tropical acid soil.
- 4. Determine the economic viability of amending phosphate fertilizers with organic amendments in maize cultivation on a tropical acid soil.

### REFERENCES

- Abd-Aziz, S. 2002. Sago starch and its utilization: a review. Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering 94: 526-529.
- Abdul Khalil, H.P.S., M. Siti Alwani, and A.K. Mohd Omar. 2006. Chemical composition, anatomy, lignin distribution, and cell wall structure of Malaysian plant waste fiber. Bioresource Technology 1(2): 220-232.
- Abdulla, H.M. 2007. Enhancement of rice straw composting by lignocellulolytic actinomycete strains. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology 9(1): 106-109.
- Adani, F., P.L. Genevini, F. Gasperi, and F. Tambone. 1999. Composting and Humification. Compost Science and Utilization 7(1):24-33.
- Adnan, A., D.S. Mavinic, and F.A. Koch. 2003. Pilot-scale study of phosphorus recovery through struvite crystallization-examining to process feasibility. Journal of Environmental Engineering and Science 2: 315-324.
- Agbenin, J.O. 1994. Adsorbed phosphorus partitioning in some benchmark soilsfrom Northeast Brazil. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 40: 185–191.
- Agbenin, J.O. 1995. Phosphorus sorption by three cultivated savanna Alfisols asinfluenced by pH. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 44: 107–112.
- Ahmed, O.H., M. H. Husni, A. R. Anuar, and M. M. Hanafi. 2004. Towards sustainable use of potassium in pineapple waste. The Scientific World Journal 4: 1007–1013.
- Ahmed, O.H., M.H.A. Husni, A.R. Anuar, and M.M. Hanafi. 2002. Effect of residue management practices on yield and economic viability of Malaysia pineapple production. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture 20(4): 83-92.
- Ahring, B., I. Angelidaki, and K. Johansen. 1992. Anaerobic treatment of manure together with industrial waste. Water Science and Technology 25(7):311-318.
- Aiken, G.R., D.M. McKnight, R.L. Wershaw, and P. MacCarthy. 1985. An introduction to humic substances in soil, sediment and water. In: Introduction to Humic Subtances in Soil, Sediment, and Water, G.R. Aiken, D.M. McKnight, R.L. Wershaw and P. MacCarthy (eds). New York: John Wiley and Sons, pp. 1-9.
- Aitken, R.L., P.W. Moody, and P.G. McKinley. 1990. Lime requirement of acidic Queensland soils. I. Relationships between soil properties and pH buffer capacity. Australian Journal of Soil Research 28: 695-701.
- Akande, M.O., F.I. Oluwatoyinbo, J.A. Adediran, K.W. Buari, and I.O. Yusuf. 2003. Soil amendments affect the Release of P from rock phosphate and the development and Yield of Okra. Journal Vegetable Crop Production 19: 3 9.
- Akmar, P. F. and J. F. Kennedy. 2001. The potential of oil and sago palm trunk wastes as carbohydrate resources. Wood Science and Technology 35: 467-473.
- Amlinger, F., S. Peyr, J. Geszti, P. Dreher, W. Karlheinz, and S. Nortcliff. 2007. Beneficial effects of compost application on fertility and productivity of soils. Literature Study, Federal Ministry for Agriculture and Forestry, Environment and Water Management, Austria.
- Amonette, J.E. and S. Joseph 2009. Characteristics of biochar: Microchemical properties. In: Biochar for environmental management: science and technology. J. Lehmann and S. Joseph (eds). Earthscan, London: Sterling, VA, pp. 33-52.
- Angelidake, I. and B.K. Ahring. 1997. Codigestion of olive oil mill wastewater with manure, household waste or sewage sludge. Biodegradation 8:221-226.

- Anghinoni, I., V.C. Baligar, and R.J. Wright. 1996. Phosphorus sorption isotherm characteristics and availability parameters of Appalachian acidic soils. Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 27: 2033-2048.
- Anupama, P. and P. Ravindra. 2000. Value-added food: single cell protein. Biotechnology Advances 18: 459-479.
- Apun, K., S. Lihan, M.K. Wong, and L.M. Bilung. 2009. Microbiological Characteristics of Trunking and Non-Trunking Sago Palm Peat Soil. Programme and Abstract. 1st ASEAN Sago Symposium 2009. Current Trend and Development in Sago Research. October 29–30, 2009. Riverside Majestic Hotel, Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia.
- Arai, Y., and D.L. Sparks. 2007. Phosphate reaction dynamics in soils and soil components: A multi-scale approach. Advances in Agronomy 94: 136-174.
- Arifin, B., A. Bono, and J. Janaun. 2006. The transformation of chicken manure into mineralized organic fertilizer. Journal of Sustainability Science and Management 1(1):58-63.
- Aslam, M., M. Sharif, M. Rahmatullah, A. Salim, and M. Yasin. 2000. Application of Freundlich isotherm to determine phosphorus requirement of several rice soils. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology 2: 286-288
- Atkinson, C.J., J.D. Fitzgerald, and N.A. Hipps. 2010. Potential mechanisms for achievingagricultural benefits from biochar application to temperate soils: a review. Plant and Soil 337: 1–18.
- Auldry, C.P., O.H. Ahmed, and N.M. Majid. 2009. Chemical characteristics of compost and humic acid from sago waste (*Metroxylon sagu*). American Journal of Applied Science 6(11):1880-1884.
- Awg-Adeni, D.S., S. Abd-Aziz, K. Bujang, and M.A. Hassan. 2010. Bioconversion of sago residue into value added products. African Journal of Biotechnology 9(14):2016-2021.
- Ayed, L.B., A. Hassen, N. Jedidi, N. Saidi, B. Olfa, and F. Murano. 2007. Microbial C and N dynamics during composting of urban solid waste. Waste Management and Research 25: 24-29.
- Aziz, T., M.A. Rahmatullah, M. Maqsood, I. Tahir, and M.A. Cheema, 2006. Phosphorus utilization by six Brassica cultivars (*Brassica juncea* L.) from tri-calcium phosphate; a relatively insoluble P compound. Pakistan Journal of Botany 38: 1529–1538.
- Bache, B.W. 1964. Aluminum and iron phosphate studies relating to soils. II. Reactions between phosphate and hydrous oxides. Journal of Soil Science 15:110-116.
- Bache, B.W. and E.G. Williams. 1971. A phosphate sorption index for soils. Journal of Soil Science 22: 289-301.
- Baffi, C., M.T.D. Abate, A. Nassisi, S. Silva, A. Benedetti, P.L. Genevini, and F. Adani. 2006. Determination of biological stability in compost: A comparison of methodology. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 39: 1284-1293.
- Baglieri, A., A. Ioppolo, M. Negre, and M. Gennari. 2007. A method for isolating soil organic matter after the extraction of humic and fulvic acids. Organic Geochemistry 38: 140-150.
- Baharuddin, A.S., S.H. Lim, M.Z. Md. Yusof, N.A. Abdul Rahman, U.K. Md. Shah, M.A. Hassan, M. Wakisaka, K. Sakai, and Y. Shirai. 2010. Effects of palm oil mill effluent (POME) anaerobic sludge from 500 m3 of closed anaerobic methane digested tank on pressed-shredded empty fruit bunch (EFB) composting process. African Journal of Biotechnology 9(16): 2427-2436.

- Ball-Coelho, B., I.H. Salcedo, H. Tiessen, and J.W.B. Stewart. 1993. Short- and long-term phosphorus dynamics in a fertilized Ultisol under sugarcane. Soil Science Society of America Journal 57: 1027-1034.
- Barrow, N.J. 1978. The description of phosphate adsorption curves. Journal of Soil Science 29: 447- 462.
- Bauder, J.W. and T.A. Brock. 2001. Irrigation water quality, soil amendment, and crop effects on sodium leaching. Arid Lands Research and Management 15:101-113.
- Berek, A.K., B. Radjagukguk and A. Mass. 1995. Plant-Soil Interactions at Low pH: Principles and Management. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, the Netherlands.
- Bernas, B. 1968. A new method for decomposition and comprehensive analysis of silicates by atomic absorption spectrometry. Analytical Chemistry 40:1682-1686.
- Better Earth Product, 2014. Material safety data sheet. Accessed on 3 November 2014. http://www.better-earth-products.com.au/safety/
- Beznosikov, V. and E. Lodygin. 2009. Characteristics of the structure of humic substances of podzolic and peaty podzolic gleyey soils. Russian Agricultural Sciences 35: 103-105.
- Bianchi, S.R., M. Miyazawa, E.L. De Oliveina, and M.A. Pavan. 2008. Relationship between the mass of organic matter and carbon in soil. Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology 51: 263-269.
- Blackwell, P., G. Riethmuller, and M. Collins. 2009. Biochar application to soil. In: Biochar for Environmental Management: Science and Technology, Lehmann J, Joseph S (eds). Earthscan, London, UK, pp. 207–226.
- Bolan, N.S., R. Naidu, S. Mahimairaja, and S. Baskarans. 1994. Influence of low molecularweight organic acids on the solubilization of phosphates. Biology and Fertility of Soils 18: 311-319.
- Bornermann, L., R.S. Kookana, and G. Welp. 2007. Differential sorption behavior of aromatic hydrocarbons on charcoals prepared at different temperatures from grass and wood. Chemosphere 67: 1033–1042.
- Bot, A. and J. Benites. 2005. The importance of soil organic matter: Key to drought-resistant soil and sustained food production. Food & Agriculture Organization, pp. 78.
- Bourke, J., M. Manley-Harris, C. Fushimi, K. Dowali, T. Nunoura, and M.J.Jr. Antal. 2007. Do all carbonized charcoals have the same chemical structure? A model of the chemical structure of carbonized charcoal. Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research 46: 5954-5967.
- Bouyoucos, G.J. 1962. Hydrometer meter improved for making particle size analysis of soils. Agronomy Journal 54: 464-465.
- Bowman, A., K. Mueller, and M. Smith. 2000. Increased animal waste production from concentrated animal feeding operations: potential implications for public and environmental health. Occasional Paper Series, No, 2. Omaha, USA, Nebraska Centre for Rural Health Research.
- Brady, N.C. and R.R. Weil. 2002. The nature and properties of soils, 13<sup>th</sup> edition. New Jersey: Pearson Education Inc.
- Brandt, M., H. Ejhed, and L. Rapp. 2008. Nutrient loading to the Baltic Sea and the Swedish West Coast 2006: Sweden's contribution to HELCOM's Pollution Load Compilation. Swedish Environment Protection Agency Report 5815, Stockholm, pp. 95.

- Brock, D.T. and T.M. Madigan. 1991. Biology of microorganism. 6th edition, Englewood Cliffs, N.J: Prentice Hall.
- Brodie, H.L., L.E. Carr, and P. Condon. 2000. A comparison of static pile and turned windrow methods for poultry litter compost production. Compost Science and Utilization 8: 178–189.
- Bujang, K., K, Apun, and B. Dieter. 1996. A study in the production and bioconversion of sago waste. In: The future Source of Food and Feed, Jose, C., Rasyad, A. (eds). Riau University Press, Indonesia, pp. 195-201.
- Bunemann, E.K., F. Steinebruner, P.C. Smithson, E. Frossard, and A. Oberson. 2004. Phosphorus dynamics in a highly weathered soil as revealed by isotopic labelling technique. Soil Science Society of America Journal 68:1645-1655.
- Burton, C.H. and C. Turner. 2003. Manure Management Treatment Strategies for Sustainable Agiculture, 2nd edition. Proceedings of the MATRESA, EU Accompanying Measure Project (2003) Silsoe Research Institute, Wrest Park, Silsoe, Bedford, UK.
- Bussman, L., J. Lamb, G. Randall, G. Rehm, and M. Schmitt. 2002. The nature of phosphorus in soils. Regents of the University of Minnesota.
- Calzolari, C., P. Salvador, and D. Torri. 2009. Effect of compost supplies on soil bulk density and aggregate stability. Results from a six years trial in two experimental fields in Northern Italy. Geophysical Research Abstracts 11: 8299.
- Campbell, K.L. and D.R. Edwards. 2001. Phosphorus and water quality impacts. In: Agricultural nonpoint source pollution: Watershed management and hydrology. W.F. Ritter, and A. Shirmohammadi (eds). CRC Press LLC, Florida.
- Carter, M.R., J.B. Sanderson, and J.A. MacLeod. 2004. Influence of compost on the physical properties and organic matter fractions of a fine sandy loam throughout the cycle of a potato rotation. Canadian Journal of Soil Science 84: 211-218.
- Cecil, J. 2002. The development of technology for the extraction of sago. In: New Frontiers of Sago Palm Studies. Proceedings of the International Symposium on SAGO (SAGO 2001). K. Kainuma, M. Okazaki, Y. Toyoda, J.E. Cecil (eds). Universal Academy Press, Tokyo, pp. 83–91.
- Cevik, U. H. Baltas, A. Tabak, and N. Damla. 2010. Radiological and chemical assessment of phosphate rocks in some countries. Journal of Hazardous Materials 182: 531– 535.
- Chan, K.Y., L. Van Zwieten, I. Meszaros, A. Downie, and S. Joseph. 2007. Agronomic values of greenwaste biochar as a soil amendment. Australian Journal of Soil Research 45: 629–634.
- Chefetz, B., P.G. Hatcher, Y. Hadar, and Y. Chen. 1996. Chemical and biological characterization of organic matter during composting of municipal solid waste. Journal of Environmental Quality 25:776-785.
- Cheng, C.H., J. Lehmann, and M.H. Engelhard. 2008. Natural oxidation of black carbon in soils: Changes in molecular form and surface charge along a climosequence. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. 72:1598–1610.
- Cheng, C.H., J. Lehmann, J.E. Thies, S.D. Burton and M.H. Engelhard. 2006. Oxidation of black carbon by biotic and abiotic processes. Organic Geochemistry 37:1477-1488.
- Chew, T.A., A.B. Md. Isa, and M.G. Mohayidin. 1998. The sago industry in Malaysia: present status and future prospects. Proceedings of the 7th International Working

Conference on Stored-Product Protection, 14-19 October 1998, Beijing, China, pp. 1720-1728.

- Chintala, R., T.E. Schumacher, L.M. McDonald, D.E. Clay, D.D. Malo, S.K. Papiernik, S.A. Clay, and J.L. Julson. 2013. Phosphorus sorption and availability from biochars and soil/biochar mixtures. Soil Use and Management 12047: 1-7.
- Coates, J.D., K.A. Cole, R. Chakraborty, S.M. O'Connor, and L.A. Achenbach. 2002. Diversity and ubiquity of bacteria capable of utilizing humic substances as electron donors for anaerobic respiration. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 68: 2445-2452.
- Cole, M.A., X. Liu, and L. Zhang. 1995. Effect of Compost Addition on Pesticide Degradation in Planted Soils. In Bioremediation of Recalcitrant Organics, R.E. Hinchee, D.B. Anderson, and R.E. Hoeppel, O.H. Columbus (eds). Battelle Press, pp. 183-199.
- Costello, R.C. and D.M. Sullivan. 2014. Determining the pH buffering capacity of compost via titration with dilute sulfuric acid. Waste Biomass Valor 5: 505-513.
- Cottenie, A. 1980. Soil testing and plant testing as a basis of fertilizer recommendation. FAO Soils Bulletin 38: 70-73.
- Davet, P. 2004. Microbial Ecology of the Soil and Plant Growth. Science Publishers, Inc., Enfield, New Hampshire.
- Day, M. and K. Shaw. 2000. Biological, chemical and physical processes of composting. In: Compost utilization in horticultural cropping systems, Stofella, P.J. and B.A. Kahn (eds). Boca Raton, USA: Lewis Publishers.
- Debska B, M. Drag, and M. Banach-szott. 2007. Molecular size distribution and hydrophilic and hydrophobic properties of humic acids isolated from forest soil. Soil and Water Research-UZPI 2: 45-53.
- DeLuca, T.H., M.D. MacKenzie, and M.J. Gundale. 2009. Biochar for EnvironmentalManagement: Science and Technology? In: Biochar Effects on Soil Nutrient Transformations. Lehmann, J., Joseph, S. (eds). pp. 251–270.
- Dierolf, T.S., L.M. Arya, and R.S. Yost. 1997. Water and Cation movement in an Indonesian Ultisol. Agronomy Journal 89: 572-579.
- Dils, R.M. and A.L. Heathwaite. 1999. The controversial role of tile drainage in phosphorus export from agricultural land. Water Science and Technology 39:55-61.
- Dixon, R.K. and J. Wisniewski. 1995. Global forest systems: an uncertain response to atmospheric pollutants and global climate change. Water Air Soil Pollution 85: 101-110.
- Downie, A., A. Crosky, and P. Munroe 2009. Physical properties of biochar. In: Biochar for environmental management: science and technology. J. Lehmann and S. Joseph (eds). Earthscan, London: Sterling, VA, pp. 13-32.
- Dufffera, M. and W.P. Robarge. 1999. Soil characteristics and management effects on phosphorus sorption by highland plateau soils of Ethiopia. Soil Science Society of America Journal 63: 1455-1462.
- Eghball, B, D.H. Sander, and J. Skopp. 1995. Phosphorus rate affects phosphorus movement. Fluid Journal 1995: 1-2.
- Eghball, B. 2002. Soil properties as influenced by phosphorus and nitrogen based manure and compost applications. Agronomy Journal 94: 128 135.
- Enwezor, W.O., E.J. Udo, N.J. Usoroh, K.A. Ayotade, J.A. Adepetu, V.O. Chude, and C.I. Udegbe. 1989. Fertilizer use and management practices for crop in Nigeria (Series

No. 2). Produced by the fertilizer procurement and distribution division of the Federal Ministry of Agriculture Water Resources and Rural Development, Lagos, Nigeria.

Epstein, E. 1997. The Science of Composting. Boca Raton, Florida. CRC Press.

- Erich, M.S., C.B. Fitzgerald, and G.A. Porter. 2002. The effect of organic amendment on phosphorus chemistry in a potato cropping system. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 88: 79-88.
- Flaig, W. 1988. Generation of model chemical precursors. Humic substances and their role in the environment. 41: 75-92
- Flaig, W., H. Beutelspacher, and E. Rietz. 1975. Chemical composition and physical properties of humic substances. In: Soil components, Organic Components, Gieseking J. E. S-V (ed). Springer- Verlag, New York, pp. 1-211.
- Fong, S.S. and M. Mohamed. 2007. Chemical characterization of humic substances occurring in the peats of Sarawak, Malaysia. Organic Geochemistry 38: 967-976.
- Freundlich, H. 1926. Colloidal and Capillary Chemistry. Methuen, London.
- Frossard, E., L.M. Condron, A. Oberson, S. Sinaj, and J.C. Fardeau. 2000. Processes governing phosphorus availability in temperate soils. Journal of Environmental Quality 29:15-23.
- Galinato, S., J. Yoder and D. Granatstein. 2011. The economic value of biochar in crop production and carbon sequestration. Energy Policy 39: 6344–6350.
- Garg, S. and G.G. Bahl, 2008. Phosphorus availability to maize as influenced by organic manures and fertilizer phosphorus associated with phosphatase activity in soils. Bioresource Technology 99: 5773–5777.
- Geelhoed, J.S., G.R. Van Riemsdjk, and G.R. Findenegg. 1999. Simulation of the effect of citrate exudation from roots on the plant availability of phosphate adsorbed on goethite. European Journal of Soil Science 50: 379-390.
- Glaser, B., J. Lehmann, and W. Zech. 2002. Ameliorating physical and chemical properties of highly weathered soils in the tropics with charcoal a review. Biology and Fertility of Soils 35:219-230.
- Gopinathan, M. and M. Thirumurthy. 2012. Evaluation of phytotoxicity for compost from organic fraction of municipal solid waste and paper & pulp mill sludge. Environmental Research 1(59):47-51.
- Government of Malaysia, 2006. Ninth Malaysia Plan, Percetakan Nasional Malaysia Berhad, March 2006.
- Gracia, D., J. Cegarra, M.P. Bernal, and A. Navarro. 1993. Comparative evaluation of methods employing alkali and sodium pyrophosphate to extract humic substances from peat. Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 24(13-14): 1481-1494.
- Graves, R.E. and G.M. Hattemer. 2000. Part 637 Environmental engineering national engineering handbook: composting. United States Department of Agriculture.
- Griffin, T.S., C.W. Honeycutt, and Z. He. 2006. Changes in soil phosphorus from manure. Soil Science Society of America Journal 67: 645-653.
- Gudu, S.O., J.R. Okalebo, C.O. Othieno, P.O. Kisinyo, P.A. Obura, and D.O. Ligeyo, 2007. Improving P acquisition and Al tolerance of plants. Presented at the France workshop, October, 2007.

- Guilherme, L.R.G., N. Curi, M.L.N. Silva, N.B. Reno, and R.A.F. Machado. 2000. Phosphorus adsorption in lowland soils from Minas Gerais State [Brazil]. Revista-Brasileira-de-Ciencia-do-Solo 24 (1): 27-34.
- Guppy, C.N., N.W. Menzies, P.W. Moody, and F.P.C. Blamey. 2005. Competitive sorption reactions between phosphorus and organic matter in soil: a review. Australian Journal of Soil Research 43:189-202.
- Hariprasad, P. and S.R. Niranjana. 2008. Isolation and characterization of phosphate solubilizing rhizobacteria to improve plant health of tomato. Plant and Soil 316: 13-24.
- Hartz, T.K., J.P. Mitchell, and C. Giannini. 2000. Nitrogen and Carbon Mineralization Dynamics of Manures and Composts. HortScience 35(2):209-212.
- Harvey, G. and D. Boran, 1985. Geochemistry of humic substances in seawater. Humic Substances in Soil, Sediment, and Water: Geochemistry, Isolation, and Characterization John Wiley and Sons, New York NY, pp. 233-247.
- Haska, N. 2002. The utilization of the fibrous residue of sago palm as a substrate for the cultivation of edible mushrooms. New Frontiers of Sago Palm Studies: Proceedings of the International Symposium on SAGO (SAGO 2011). Kainuma, K, Okazaki, M, Toyoda, Y. and Cecil, J.E. (eds). Tsukuba International Congress Center, Japan. October 15-17, 2001. Universal Academy Press, Inc. Tokyo, Japan, pp: 133-140.
- Havlin, J.L., J.D. Beaton, L.S. Tisdale, and L.W. Nelson. 1999. Soil fertility and fertilizers: An introduction to nutrient management, 6th edn, Prentice Hall, Inc., New Delhi, India.
- Hayes, J.E., A.E. Richardson, and R.J. Simpson. 2000. Components of organic phosphorus in soil extracts that are hydrolysed by phytase and acid phosphatase. Biology and Fertility of Soils 32: 279-286.
- Haygrath, P.M. and S.C. Jarvis. 1997. Soil derived phosphorus in surface runoff from grazed grassland lysimeters. Water Research 31(1): 140-148.
- Haynes, R.J. 1986. The decomposition process: mineralization, immobilization, humus formation and degradation. In: Mineral Nitrogen in the Plant-Soil System, Haynes, R.J. (ed). Orlando: Academic Press, pp. 53-126.
- Haynes, R.J. and M.S. Mokolobate. 2001. Amelioration of Al toxicity and P deficiency in acid soils by additions of organic residues: a critical review of the phenomenon and the mechanism involved. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 59(1): 47-63.
- Haynes, R.J. and R.S. Swift. 1993. Effect of rewetting air-dried soils on pH and accumulation of mineral nitrogen. Journal of Soil Science 40: 341-347.
- Hedley, M.J., J.M. Mortvedt, N.S. Bolan, and J.K. Syers. 1995. Phosphorus fertility management in agroecosystems. pp. 59-92. In: Phosphorus in the global environment: Transfers, cycles and management, H. Tiessen (ed.). J. Wiley and Sons, New York, NY.
- Henry, P.C. and M.F. Smith. 2003. A single point sorption test for the routine determination of the phosphorus requirement of low to moderate P-fixing soils. South Africa Journal of Plant and Soil 20: 132-140.
- Hewitt, T.I. and L. Lohr. 1995. Economic and environmental simulation of alternative cropping sequences in Michigan. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture 5(2): 59-86.
- Hiradate, S., J. Feng Ma, and H. Matsumuta. 2007. Strategies of plants to adopt to mineral stresses in problem soils. Advances in Agronomy 96: 66-112.

- Hocking, P.J. 2001. Organic acids exuded from roots in phosphorus uptake and aluminium tolerance of plants in acid soils. Advances in Agronomy 74: 64-89.
- Holford, I.C.R. 1979. Evaluation of soil phosphate buffering indices. Australian Journal of Soil Research 17:495-504.
- Holford, I.C.R. 1989. Phosphorous behavior in soils. Agricultural Science 12: 15-20.
- Hu, Z., R. Lane, and Z. Wen. 2008. Composting clam processing wastes in a laboratory and pilot-scale in-vessel system. Waste Management 29(1): 180-185.
- Hue, N.V. 1990. Interaction of Ca(H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> applied to an Oxisol andprevious sludge amendment: soil and crop response. Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 21: 61–73.
- Hue, N.V. 1992. Correcting soil acidity of a highly weathered Ultisol with chicken manure and sewage sludge. Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 23: 241-264.
- Hue, N.V., G.R. Craddock, and F. Adams. 1986. Effects of organic acids on aluminium toxicity in subsoil. Soil Science Society of America Journal 25: 3291-3303.
- Hue, N.V., H. Ikawa, and J.A. Silva. 1994. Increasing plant-available phosphorus in an Ultisol with a yard-waste compost. Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 25: 3291–3303.
- Hunt, J. F., T. Ohno, Z. He, C.W. Honeycutt, and D.B. Dail. 2007. Inhibition of phosphorus sorption to goethite, gibbsite, and kaolin by fresh and decomposed organic matter. Biology and Fertility of Soils 44: 277–288.
- Inbar, Y., Y. Chen, and Y. Hadar. 1990. Humic Substances Formed During the Composting of Organic Matter. Soil Science Society of America Journal 54:1316-1323.
- Iyamuremye, F. and R.P. Dick. 1996. Organic amendments and phosphorus sorption by soils. Advances in Agronomy 56: 139-185.
- Iyamuremye, F., R.P. Dick, and J. Baham. 1996. Organic amendments and phosphorus dynamics: 1. Phosphorus chemistry and sorption. Soil Science 161: 426-435.
- Javid, S. and D.L. Rowell. 2003. Effect of soil properties on phosphate adsorption in calcareous soils of Pakistan. Pakistan Journal of Soil Science 21(4):47-55.
- Jenkins, J. 1994. The Humanure Handbook: A Guide to Composting Human Manure. Grove City: Jenkins Publishing.
- Jiao, Y., J.K. Whalen, and W.H. Hendershot. 2007. Phosphate sorption and release in a sandy-loam soil as influenced by fertilizer sources. Soil Science Society of America Journal 71: 118-124.
- Jiao, Y., W.H. Hendershot, and J.K. Whalen. 2008. Modeling phosphate adsorption by agricultural and natural soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal 72:1078-1084.
- Jimenez, E.I., V.P. Garcia, M. Espino, and J.M. Hernandez. 1993. City refuse compost as a phosphorus source to overcome the P-fixation capacity of sesquioxide-rich soils. Plant and Soil 148: 115-127.
- John, N.M., D.F. Uwah, O.B. Iren and J.F. Akpan. 2013. Changes in maize (*Zea mays* L.) performance and nutrients content with the application of poultry manure, municipal solid waste and ash compost. Journal of Agriculture Science 5(3): 270-272.
- Jones, J.B., Jr., B. Wolf, and H.A. Mills. 1991. Plant analysis handbook. Micro-Macro Pub., Athens, GA, pp. 23-26.

- Kaschl, A., V. Romheld, and Y. Chen. 2002. The influence of soluble organic matter from municipal solid waste compost on trace metal leaching in calcareous soils. The Science of Total Environment 291: 45-57.
- Keeney, D.R. and D.W. Nelson. 1982. Nitrogen--inorganic forms. In: Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2, 2nd Edition, Page, A.L. (ed). ASA and SSSA. Madison: WI.
- Kikuchi, R. 2004. Deacidification effect of the litter layer on forest soil during snowmelt runoff: laboratory experiment and its basic formularization for simulation modeling. Chemosphere 54:1163–1169.
- Kimeto, J.M., D.N. Mugendi, C.A. Palm, P.K. Mutuo, C. Gachengo, A. Bationo, S. Nandwa, and J.B. Kungu. 2004. Nitrogen fertilizer equivalencies of organics of differing quality and optimum combination with inorganic nitrogen source in Central Kenya. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 68:127-135.
- Kuhad, R.C., A. Singh, and K. Erikson. 1997. Microorganisms and enzymes involved in the degradation of plant fiber cell walls. In: Advances in Biochemical Engineering: Biotechnology in the Pulp and Paper Industry. Springer-Verleg, New York, pp. 45-125.
- Kuo, S. 1996. Phosphorus. In: Methods of Soil Analysis 3, Chemical Methods. Bartels, J.M., Bigham, J.M. (eds). Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, pp. 869–919.
- Laboski, C.A.M. and J.A. Lamb. 2003. Changes in soil test phosphorus concentration after application of manure or fertilizer. Soil Science Society of America Journal 67(2): 544-554.
- Lair, G.J., F. Zehetner, Z.H. Khan, and M.H. Gerzabek. 2009. Phosphorussorptiondesorption in alluvial soils of a young weathering sequence at the Danube River. Geoderma 149: 39–44.
- Langmuir, I. 1918. The adsorption of gases on the plane surfaces of glass, mica and platinum. Journal American Chemistry Society 40: 1361-1382.
- Larson, E. and L. Oldham. 2008. Corn fertilization, Information Sheet 864, Extension Service of Mississippi State University, cooperating with U.S. Department of Agriculture. Mississippi State University, pp. 2.
- Lavrik, N., A. Sagdiev, and M. Dergacheva. 2004. Fluorescence and Electron Absorption Studies of the Structure of Humic Acids Extracted from the A Horizon of Soils. Chemistry 12: 437-442.
- Lehmann C.J. and M. Rondon. 2006. Biochar soil management on highly-weathered soils in the tropics. In: Biological Approaches to Sustainable Soil Systems, Uphoff, N.T. (ed). CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- Lehmann, J. 2007. Bio-energy in the black. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 5: 381–387.
- Lehmann, J. and S. Joseph. 2009. Biochar for Environmental Management: Science and Technology. Earthscan, London & Sterling, VA, pp. 416.
- Lehmann, J., J.P. da Silva Jr., C. Steiner, T. Nehls, W. Zech, and B. Glaser. 2003. Nutrient availability and leaching in an archaeological Anthrosol and a Ferrasol of the Central Amazon basin: Fertilizer, manure, and charcoal amendments. Plant and Soil 249: 343–357.
- Leita, L., F. Fornasier, C. Mondini, and P. Cantone. 2003. Organic matter evolution and availability of metals during composting of MSW, In: Applying Compost – Benefits and Needs. Seminar Proceedings Brussels, 22 – 23 November 2001, Federal

Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, Environment and Water Management, Austria, and European Communities, ISBN 3-902 338-26-1, Brussels, pp. 201-206.

- Liang, B., J. Lehmann, D. Solomon, J. Kinyangi, J. Grossman, B. O'Neill, J. O. Skjemstad, J. Thies, F. J. Luizao, J. Petersen, and E. G. Neves. 2006. Black carbon increases cation exchange capacity in soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal 70: 1719-1730.
- Liang, C. and K.C. Das McClendon. 2003. The influence of temperature and moisture content regimes on the aerobic microbial activity of a biosolids composting blend. Bioresource Technology 86:131-137.
- Lidon, F.C. and M.G. Barreiro, 1998. Threshold aluminum toxicity in maize. Journal of Plant Nutrition 21: 413–420.
- Linquist, B.A., P.W. Singleton, and K.G. Cassman. 1997. Inorganic and organic phosphorus dynamic during a build-up and decline of available phosphorus in an ultisol. Soil Science 162(4): 254-264.
- Liu J, Q. Xie, Q. Shi, and M. Li. 2008 Rice uptake and recovery of nitrogen with different methods of applying 15N-labeled chicken manure and ammonium sulphate. Plant Production Science 11: 271-277.
- Liu, B., M.L. Gumpertz, S. Hu, and J.B. Ristaino. 2007. Long-term effects of organic and synthetic soil fertility amendments on soil microbial communities and the development of southern blight. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 39: 2302-2316.
- Lucresio, E.S. and C.M. Duque. 1999. Alleviating soil acidity with organic matter, lime and phosphorus application. CMU Journal of Science 8(1): 2-20.
- Luo, W., T.B. Chen, G.D. Zheng, D. Gao, Y.A. Zhang, and W. Gao. 2008. Effect of moisture adjustments on vertical temperature distribution during forced-aeration static-pile composting of sewage sludge. Resources, Conservation and Recycling 52:635-642.
- Mackowiak, C.L., P.R. Grossl, and B.G. Bugbee. 2001. Beneficial effects of humic acid on micronutrient availability to wheat. Soil Science Society of America Journal 65: 1744-1750.
- Maguire, R.O., R.H. Foy, J.S. Bailey, and J.T. Sims. 2001. Estimation of the phosphorus sorption capacity of acidic soils in Ireland. European Journal of Soil Science 52: 479-487.
- Mahdi, S.S., G.L. Hassan, A. Hussain, and R. Faisul. 2011. Phosphorus availability issue- Its fixation and role of phosphate solubilizing bacteria in phosphate solubilization. Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences 2(1): 174-179.
- Makarov, M.I., L. Haumaier, W. Zech, O. Marfenina, and L.V. Lysak. 2005. Can <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy be used to indicate the origins of soil organic phosphates? Soil Biology and Biochemistry 37: 15-25.
- Malaysia Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI). 1993. Jagung manis baru (new sweet corn): masmadu. MARDI, Kuala Lumpur.
- Matsubara, Y.-I., N. Hasegawa, and H. Fukui. 2002. Incidence of Fusarium root rot in asparagus seedlings infected with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus as affected by several soil amendments. Journal of the Japanese Society of Horticultural Science 71: 370–374.
- Mattsson, M. 1998. Influence of nitrogen nutrition and metabolism on ammonia volatilization in plants. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 51: 35-40.
- Meeuwissen, P.C. 1992. Champost can good concurrent met mestsoorten. De champignon cultur 36(2): 95-101.

- Mehlich, A. 1953. Determination of P, Ca, Mg, K, Na and NH<sub>4</sub>. North Carolina State University Soil Test Division, Raleigh, NC.
- Miikki, V., K. Hänninen, J. Knuutinen, J. Hyotylainen, and R. Alen. 1994. Characterization of the humic material formed by composting of domestic and industrial biowastes. Part 1. HPLC of the cupric oxide oxidation products from humic acids. Chemosphere 29(12):2609-2618.
- Mikkelson, R.L. 2005. A closer look at phosphorus uptake by plants. A regional newsletter published by the Potash & Phosphate Institute (PPI) and the Potash & Phosphate Institute of Canada (PPIC), pp: 1-2.
- Mohan, D., C.U. Jr. Pittman, M. Brcika, F. Smith, B. Yancey, J. Mohammad, P.H. Steele, M.F. Alexandre-Franco, V. Gomez-Serrano, and H. Gong. 2007. Sorption of arsenic, cadmium and lead by chars produced from fast pyrolysis of wood and bark during bio-oil production. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science 310: 57–73.
- Moody, P.W. and R.L. Aitken. 1997. Soil acidification under some tropical agricultural systems. I. Rates of acidification and contributing factors. Australian Journal of Soil Research 35: 163-173.
- Morales, M.M., N. Comeford, I.A. Guerrini, N.P.S. Falcao, and J.B. Reeves. 2013. Sorption and desorption of phosphate on biochar and biochar-soil mixtures. Soil Use and Management 10: 1-7.
- Mukherjee, A., A.R. Zimmerman, and W. Harris. 2011. Surface chemistry vari-ations among a series of laboratory-produced biochars. Geoderma 163: 247–255.
- Murphy, J. and J.I. Riley. 1962. A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters. Analytica Chimica Acta 27: 31-36.
- Murphy, P.N.C. and R.J. Stevens. 2010. Lime and gypsum as source measures to decreasephosphorus loss from soils to water. Water, Air and Soil Pollution 212: 101–111.
- Myers, R.J.K. and E. De Pauw. 1995. Strategies for the management of soil acidity. In: Plant-soil interaction at low pH: Principles and management, Date, R.A., N.J. Grundon, G.E. Rayment, M.E. Probert (eds). Springer Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp.729-741.
- Narambuye, F.X. and R.J. Haynes. 2006. Effect of organic amendments on soil pH and Al solubility and use of laboratory indices to predict their liming effect. Soil Science 17110(10):754-763.
- Nigam, J.N. 1999. Continuous ethanol production from pineapple cannery waste. Journal of Biotechnology **72**: 197-202.
- Noble, A.D., I. Zenneck and P.J. Randall. 1996. Leaf litter ash alkalinity and neutralization of soil acidity. Plant and Soil 179(2): 293-302.
- Novak, J.M., W.J. Busscher, D.L. Laird, M. Ahmedna, D.W. Watts, and M.A.S. Niandou. 2009. Impact of Biochar Amendment on Fertility of a Southeastern Coastal Plain Soil. Soil Science 174:105-112.
- Nziguheba, G., C.A. Palm, R.J. Buresh, and P.A. Smithson. 1998. Soil phosphorus fractions and sorption as affected by organic and inorganic sources. Plant and Soil 198: 159-168.
- Oates, C. and A. Hicks. 2002. Sago starch production in Asia and the Pacific--problems and prospects: New Frontiers of Sago Palm Studies. Proceedings of the International Symposium on SAGO (SAGO 2001). Universal Academy Press, Tokyo, pp.27-36.

- Obeng, L.A. and F.W. Wright. 1987. The Co-composting of Domestic Solid and Human Wastes. World Bank Technical Paper No.57.
- Oburger, E., G.J.D. Kirk, W.W. Wenzel, M. Puschenreiter, and D.L. Jones. 2009. Interactive effects of organic acids in the rhizosphere. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 41: 449-457.
- OECD, 2008. Environmental performance of agriculture since 1990: main report. Organization for Economic Co-operation, Paris, France.
- O'Halloran, I.P. 1993. Effect of tillage and fertilizer on the inorganic and organic phosphorus. Canadian Journal of Soil Science 73: 359–369.
- Ohno T. and A. Amirbahma. 2010. Phosphorus availability in boreal forest soils: a geochemical and nutrient uptake modeling approach. Geoderma 155: 46-54.
- Ohno, T., I.J. Fernandez, S. Hiradate and J.F. Sherman. 2007. Effects of soil acidification and forest type on water soluble soil organic matter properties. Geoderma 140: 176-187.
- Okalebo, J.R., C.O. Othieno, A.O. Nekesa, K.W. Ndungu-Magiroi, and M.N. Kifuko-Koech. 2009. Potential for agricultural lime on improved soil health and agricultural production in Kenya. African Crop Science Conference Proceedings 9: 339 – 341.
- Oliveira, F.C., M.E. Mattiazzo, C.R. Marciano, and R. Rossetto. 2002. Organic carbon, electric conductivity, pH and CEC changes in a typic hapludox after repeated sludge amendment. Brazilian Journal of Soil Science 26:505-519.
- Onwuka, M.I., V.E. Osodeke, and A.O. Ano. 2009. Use of Liming Materials to Reduce Soil Acidity and Affect Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Growth Parameters in Umudike, Southeast Nigeria. Production, Agriculture and Technology 5 (2): 386-396.
- Opala, P.A., J.R. Okalebo, and C.O. Othieno. 2012. Effects of organic and inorganic materials on soil acidity and phosphorus availability in a soil incubation study. ISRN Agronomy 597216: 1-10.
- Ouatmane, A., M.R. Provenzano, M. Hafidi, and N. Senesi. 2000. Compost Maturity Assessment Using Calorimetry, Spectroscopy and Chemical Analysis. Compost Science and Utilization 8(2):124-134.
- Ouedraogo, E., A. Mando, and N.P. Zombre. 2001. Use of compost to improve soil properties and crop productivity under low input agricultural system in West Africa. Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment 84: 259-266.
- Ozores-Hampton, M., P.J. Stoffella, T.A. Bewick, D.J. Cantliffe, and T.A. Obreza. 1999. Effect of Age of Cocomposted MSW and Biosolids on Weed Seed Germination. Compost Science and Utilization 7(1):51-57.
- Parfitt, R.L., G.W. Yeates, D.J. Ross, A.D. Mackay, and P.J. Budding. 2005. Relationships between soil biota, nitrogen and phosphorus availability, and pasture growth under organic and conventional management. Applied Soil Ecology 28:1-13.
- Paul, J.W. and E.G. Beauchamp. 1989. Relationship between volatile fatty acids, total ammonia, and pH in manure slurries. Biological Wastes 29(4):313-318.
- Paulin, B. and P.O. Malley. 2008. Compost production and use in horticulture. Department of Agriculture and Food. Government of Western Australia.
- Peech, H.M. 1965. Hydrogen-ion activity. In: Methods of soil analysis, part 2, C.A. Black, D.D. Evans, L.E. Ensminger, J.L. White, F.E. Clark, R.C. Dinauer (eds). Madison, WI: American Society of Agronomy.
- Pierzynski, G.M., J.T. Sims, and G.F. Vance. 2005. Soils and environmental quality, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, London.

- Preston, C.M. and M.W. Schmidt. 2006. Black (pyrogenic) carbon: a synthesis of currentknowledge and uncertainties with special consideration of boreal regions. Biogeosciences 3:397-420.
- Quek, S.Y., D.A.J. Wase, and C.F. Forster. 1998. The use of sago waste for the sorption of lead and copper. Water SA 24:251-256.
- Rahman, Z.A., E. Gikonyo, B. Silek, K. J. Goh, and A. Soltangheis. 2014. Evaluation of phosphate rock sources and rate of application on oil palm yield grown on peat soils of Sarawak, Malaysia. Journal of Agronomy 13(1): 12-22.
- Ramamurthy, V. and K. Shivashankar. 1996. Residual effect of organic matter and phosphorus on growth, yield and quality of maize (*Zea mays*). Indian Journal of Agronomy 41: 247 251.
- Reeves, D. 1997. The role of soil organic matter in maintaining soil quality in continuous cropping systems. Soil and Tillage Research 43: 131-167.
- Richard, T.L., H.V.M. Hamelers, A. Veeken, and T. Silva. 2002. Moisture relationships in composting processes. Compost Science and Utilization 10: 286–302.
- Ritchie, G.S.P. 1994. Role of dissolution and precipitation of minerals in controlling soluble aluminium in acidic soils. Advances in Agronomy 53: 47-83.
- Roeper, H., S. Khan, I. Koerner, and R. Stegmann. 2005. Low-tech options for chicken manure treatment and application possibilities in agriculture. Proceedings Sardinia 2005, Tenth International Waste Management and Landfill Symposium S. Margherita di Pula, Cagliari, Italy; 3 - 7 October 2005.
- Rowell, D.L. 1994. Soil science: Methods and applications. England: Prentice Hall.
- Rowell, D.M., C.E. Prescott, and C.M. Preston. 2001. Decomposition and nitrogen mineralization from biosolids and other organic materials: Relationship with initial chemistry. Journal of Environmental Quality 30(4):1401-1410.
- Russel, E.J. and J.A. Prescott. 1916. The reaction between dilute acid and the phosphorus compound of the soil. The Journal of Agricultural Science-Cambridge 8:65-110.
- Salingar, Y. and M. Kochva. 1994. Solute partitioning in a calcium carbonate-phosphorite acid-water system. Soil Science Society of America Journal 58: 1628-1632.
- Sanchez, C.A. 2006. Phosphorus. In: A.V. Barker and D.J. Pilbeam (eds). Handbook of plant nutrition, CRC Press, Florida.
- Satisha, G.C. and L. Devarajan. 2007. Effect of amendments on windrow composting of sugar industry pressmud. Waste Management 27:1083-1091.
- Saviozzi, A., A. Scagnozzi, R. Riffaldi, and R.R. Levi-Minzi. 1995. Decomposition of crop residues under laboratory conditions. Soil Use and Management 11:193-198.
- Schnitzer, M. and C.M. Preston. 1986. Analysis of humic acids by solution and solid- state carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance. Soil Science Society of America Journal 50: 326-331.
- Schollenberger, C.J. and F.R. Dreibelbis. 1945. Determination of exchange capacity and exchangeable bases in soil Ammonium acetate method. Soil Science 59: 13-24.
- Sharpley, A.N. 2000. Phosphorus availability, In: Handbook of soil Science, M.E. Summer (ed). New York: CRC Press, pp. 18-38.
- Sharpley, A.N., and H. Tunney. 2000. Phosphorus research strategies to meet agricultural and environmental challenges of 21st century. Journal of Environmental Quality 29: 176-181.
- Sharpley, A.N., J.L. Weld, D.B. Beegle, P.J.A. Kleinman, W.J. Gburek, P.A. Moore, and G. Mullins. 2003. Development of phosphorus indices for nutrient management

planning strategies in the United States. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation 58:137-152

- Sharpley, A.N., S.J. Smith, J.R. Williams, O.R. Jones, and G.A. Coleman. 1991. Water quality impacts associated with sorghum culture in the Southern Plains. Journal of Environmental Quality 20:239-244.
- Shiralipour, A., D.B. McConnell, and W.H. Smith. 1997. Phytotoxic Effects of a Short-Chain Fatty Acid on Seed Germination and Root Length of Cucumis Sativus cv. "Poinset". Compost Science and Utilization 5(2):47-52.
- Silber, A., I. Levkovitch, and E.R. Graber. 2010. pH-dependent mineral release and surface properties of cornstraw biochar: agronomic implications. Environmental Science and Technology 44: 9318–9323.
- Sims, J.T., R.O. Maguire, A.B. Leytem, K.L. Gartly, and M.C. Pautler. 2002. Evaluation of Mehlich-3 as an agricultural and environmental soil P test for Mid-Atlantic United states of America. Soil Science Society of America Journal 70: 2016-2032.
- Sloan, D.R., G. Kidder, and R.D. Jacobs. 2003. Poultry manure as a fertilizer. PS1 IFAS Extension. University of Florida, pp: 241.
- Snyder, C.S. and T.W. Bruulsema. 2007. Nutrient use efficiency and effectiveness in North America: Indices of agronomic and environmental benefit. International Plant Nutrition Institute. June 2007. Reference # 07076. Norcross, GA, U.S.A., pp. 4.
- Solar, A. 2008. Considerations on growth characteristics of different pineapple varieties in Côte d'Ivoire, La Reunion and Caribbean Islands. Abstract, VIth International Pineapple Symposium. Joa Pessao, Brazil.
- Spychaj-Fabisiak, E., J. Dlugosz, and R. Zamorski. 2005. The effect of the phosphorus dosage and incubation time on the process of retarding available phosphorus forms in sandy soil. Polish Journal of Soil Science 38(1): 23-30.
- Sriroth, K., V. Santisopasri, C. Petchalanuwat, K. Kurotjanawong, K. Piyachomkwan, and C.G. Oates. 1999. Cassava starch granule structure–function properties: influence of time and conditions of harvest on four cultivars of cassava starch. Carbohydrate Polymers 38: 161–170.
- Steiner, C., W.G. Teixeira, J. Lehmann, T. Nehls, J.L.V. de Macedo, W.E.H. Blum, and W. Zech. 2007. Long term effects of manure, charcoal, and mineral fertilization on crop production and fertility on a highly weathered Central Amazonian upland soil. Plant and Soil 91: 275–290.
- Stevenson, F.J. 1994. Humus Chemistry: Genesis, Composition and Reactions, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Stevenson, F.J., and M.A. Cole. 1999. Cycles of the Soil, second edition, John Wiley and Sons, Inc, New York, NY.
- Strom, P.F. 1985. Identification of thermophilic bacteria on solid-waste composting. Applied Environmental Microbiology 50:906-913.
- Sullivan, D.M. and R.O. Miller. 2000. Compost quality attributes, measurements, and variability. In: Compost utilization in horticultural cropping systems, Stoffella, P.J. and B.A. Kahn (eds). Boca Raton, USA: Lewis Publishers, pp: 95-120.
- Suthipradit, S., D.G. Edwards, and C.J. Asher. 1990. Effects of aluminium on tap-root elongation of soybean, cowpea, and green gram grown in the presence of organic acids. Plant and Soil 124: 233-237.

- Tan, K., W.G. Keltjens, and G.R. Findenegg. 1993. Aluminum toxicity in sorghum genotypes as influenced by solution acidity. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 39: 291-298.
- Tan, K.H. 1998. Principles of Soil Chemistry, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Marcel Dekker, Inc. Madison Avenue, New York.
- Tan, K.H. 2003. Soil Sampling, Preparation and Analysis. New York: Taylor & Francis Inc.
- Tan, K.H. and A. Binger. 1986. Effect of humic acid on aluminium toxicity in corn plants. Soil Science 141: 20-25.
- Tang, C., G.P. Sparling, C.D.A. McLay, and C. Raphael. 1999. Effect of short-term legume residue decomposition on soil acidity. Australian Journal of Soil Research 237 (3):561-573.
- Tiessen, H, J.W.B. Stewart, and C.V. Cole. 1984. Pathways of phosphorus transformations in soils of differing pedogenesis. Soil Science Society of America Journal 48: 853-858.
- Tiessen, H., E. Cuevas, and P. Chacon. 1994. The role of soil organic matter in sustaining soil fertility. Nature 371: 783 -785.
- Tiquia, S.M. and F.Y. Tam. 1998. Elimination of phytotoxicity during cocomposting of spent pig-manure sawdust litter and pig sludge. Bioresource Technology 65:43-49.
- Tiquia, S.M., N.F.Y. Tam, and I.J. Hodgkiss. 1996, Microbial activities during composting of spent pig-manure sawdust litter at different moisture contents. Bioresource Technology 55: 201–206.
- Trautmann, N.M. and M.E. Krasny. 1997. Chapter 1: The science of composting, in: Composting in the classroom, Cornell University, pp: 1-5.
- Troeh, F.R. and L.M. Thompson. 2005. Soils and fertility, 6th edition, Blackwell Publishing, New York.
- Uchimiya, M., I.M. Lima, K.T. Klasson, and L.H. Wartelle. 2010a. Contaminant immobilization and nutrient release by biochar soil amendment: Roles of natural organic matter. Chemosphere 80: 935–940.
- Uchimiya, M., I.M. Lima, K.T. Klasson, S. Chang, L.H. Wartelle, and J.E. Rodgers. 2010b. Immobilization of heavy metal ions (CuII, CdII, NiII, and PbII) by broiler litterderived biochars in water and soil. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 58: 5538–5544.
- Ulen, B., M. Bechmann, J. Folster, H.P. Jarvie, and H. Tunney. 2007. Agriculture as a phosphorus source for eutrophication in the north-west European countries, Norway, Sweden, United Kingdom and Ireland: a review. Soil Use and Management 23: 5-15.
- USEPA, 1993. Standards for the use or disposal of sewage sludge; final rules. Federal Register 58:9248-9415.
- Uzoma, K.C., M. Inoue, H. Andry, H. Fujimaki, A. Zahoor, and E. Niizar. 2011. Effect of cow manure biochar on maize productivity under sandy soil condition. Soil Use and Management 27: 205-212.
- van Zandvoort, I., Y. Wang, C.B. Rasrendra, E.R.H. van Eck, P.C.A. Bruijnincx, H.J. Heeres, and B.M. Weckhuysen. 2013. Formation, molecular structure, and morphology of humins in biomass conversion: Influence of feedstock and processing conditions. ChemSusChem 6: 1745 – 1758.
- Vikineswary, S., Y.L. Shim, J.J. Thambirajah, and N. Blakebrough. 1994. Possible microbial utilization of sago processing wastes. Resources, Conservation and Recycling 11:289–296.

- Villapando, R.R. and Graetz, D.A. 2001. Phosphorus sorption and desorption properties of the spodic horizon from selected Florida Spodosols. Soil Science Society of America Journal 65: 331-339.
- Violante, A. and L. Gianfreda. 1993. Competition in adsorption between phosphate and oxalate on an aluminium hydroxide montmorillonite complex. Soil Science Society of America Journal 57: 1235-1241.
- Wagatsuma, T. 1984. Characteristics of upward translocation of aluminum in plants. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 30:345-358.
- Wandruzska, R. 2006. Phosphorus retention in calcareous soils and the effects of organic matter on its mobility. Geochemistry 7: 6.
- Wang, T., M.C. Arbestain, M. Hedley, and P. Bishop. 2012. Predicting phosphorus bioavailability from high-ash biochars. Plant and soil 357: 173–187.
- Westermann, D.T. 1992. Lime effects on phosphorus availability in a calcareous soil. Soil Science Society of America Journal 56: 489–494.
- Whitelaw, M.A. 2000. Growth promotion of plants inoculated with phosphate solubilizing fungi. Advances in Agronomy 69: 100-144.
- Wiley, J.S. and S.C. Westerberg. 1969. Survival of human pathogens in composted sewage. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 18:994-1001.
- Williams, C.M., J.C. Barker, and J.T. Sims. 1999. Management and utilization of poultry wastes. Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 162: 105-157.
- Withers, P.J.A., A.C. Edwards, and R.H. Foy. 2001. Phosphorus cycling in UK agriculture and implications for phosphorus loss from soil. Soil Use and Management 17:139-149.
- Wong, J.W.C., K.F. Mak, N.W. Chan, A. Lam, M. Fang, L.X. Zhou, Q.T. Wu, and X.D. Liao. 2001. Co-composting of soybean residues and leaves in Hong Kong. Bioresource Technology 76:99-106.
- Wong, M.T.F., S. Nortcliff, and R.S. Swift. 1998. Method for determining the acid ameliorating capacity of plant residue compost, urban waste compost, farmyard manure, and peat applied to tropical soils. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 29(19-20):2927-2937.
- Wood End Research Laboratory 2005. Interpreting waste and compost tests. Woods End Research Laboratory 2(1): 1-4.
- Wright, R.F. 1989. Rain project: role of organic acids in moderating pH change following reduction in acid deposition. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 46: 251-259.
- Wu, L. and L.Q. Ma. 2001. Effects of sample storage on biosolids compost stability and maturity evaluation. Journal of Environmental Quality 30: 222-228.
- Wu, L., L.Q. Ma, and G.A. Martinez. 2000. Comparation of methods for evaluating stability and maturity of biosolids compost. Journal of Environmental Quality 29: 424-429.
- Yamoah, C., M. Ngueguim, C. Ngong, and D.K.W. Dias. 1996. Reduction of P fertilizer requirements using lime and mucuna on high P sorption soils of North West Cameroon. African Crop Science Journal 4: 441-451.
- Yan, F., S. Schubet, and K. Mengel. 1996. Soil pH increase due to biological decarboxylation of organic acids. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 28: 617-623.
- Yang, X., W. Werner, H.W. Scherer, and X. Sun. 1994. Effects of organic manure on solubility and mobility of different phosphate fertilizers in two paddy soils. Fertilizer Research 38: 233-238.

- Yao, Y., B. Gao, M. Inyang, A.R. Zimmerman, X. Cao, P. Pullammanappallil, and L. Yang. 2011. Biochar derived from anaerobically digested sugar beet tail-ings: characterization and phosphate removal potential. Bioresource Technology 102: 6273–6278.
- Yao, Y., B. Gao, M. Zhang, M. Inyang, and A.R. Zimmerman. 2012. Effect of biochar amendment on sorption and leaching of nitrate, ammonium, and in a sandy soil. Chemosphere 89: 1467–1471.
- Yates, L.M. and R. von Wandruszka. 1999. Effects of pH and metals on the surface tension of aqueous humic materials. Soil Science Society of America Journal 63: 1645-1649.
- Yazdanpanah, N., E. Pazira, A. Neshat, M. Mahmoodabadi, and L.R. Sinobas. 2013. Reclamation of calcareous saline sodic soil with different amendments (II): Impact on nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium redistribution and on microbial respiration. Agricultural Water Management 120: 39–45.
- Yuan, J.H., R.K. Xu, W. Qian, and R.H. Wang. 2011(a). Comparison of the amelioratingeffects on an acidic ultisol between four crop straws and their biochars. Journal of Soils and Sediments 11: 741–750.
- Yuan, J.H., R.K. Xu, N. Wang, and J.Y. Li. 2011(b). Amendment of acid soils with cropresidues and biochars. Pedosphere 21: 302–308.
- Zavarzina, A., N. Vanifatova, and A. Stepanov. 2008. Fractionation of humic acids according to their hydrophobicity, size, and charge-dependent mobility by the salting-out method. Eurasian Soil Science 41: 1294-1301.
- Zhang, A., Y. Liu, G. Pan, Q. Hussain, L. Li, J. Zheng, and X. Zhang. 2012. Effect of biochar amendment on maize yield and greenhouse gas emissions from a soil organic carbon pool calcareous loamy soil from central China Plain. Plant and Soil 351: 263-275.
- Zhang, H., J.L. Schroder, J.K. Fuhrman, N.T. Basta, D.E. Storm, and M.E. Patron. 2007. Path and multiple regression analyses of phosphorus sorption capacity. Soil Science Society of America Journal 69:96-106.
- Zhao, Y., P. Wang, J. Li, Y. Chen, X. Ying, and S. Liu. 2009. The effect of two organic manures on soil properties and crop yields on a temperate calcareous soil under a wheat-maize cropping system. European Journal of Agronomy 31: 36-42.
- Zinati, G.M., Y.C. Li, and H.H. Bryan. 2001. Utilization of compost increases organic carbon and its humin, humic and fulvic acid fractions in calcareous soil. Compost Science and Utilization 9: 156 162.
- Zucconi F, A. Pera, M. Forte, and M. de Bertoldi. 1981. Evaluating toxicity of immature compost. BioCycle 22: 54-57.

### **BIODATA OF STUDENT**

Ch'ng Huck Ywih, born in 11 October 1985, originated from Penang, studied in Sekolah Rendah Jenis Kebangsaan Union in 1991. After completing his primary school, he studied in Sekolah Menengah Chung Ling in 1997. He successfully obtained a First-class Honours in Bachelor of Science Bioindustry from Universiti Putra Malaysia in 2009. He was assigned to Training Department and Road Department at Grand Perfect Sdn. Bhd. Plantation, Bintulu, Sarawak, Malaysia during his internship. After graduating from Bachelor of Science Bioindustry, he successfully obtained a Master of Science (Land Resources Management) from Faculty of Agriculture and Food Sciences, Universitii Putra Malaysia Bintulu Sarawak Campus in 2011. He attended 2010 Universiti Brunei Darussalam 1<sup>st</sup> Graduate Science Student Research Conference during his Masters study. He is currently undertaking Doctor of Philosophy (Agronomy) in Faculty of Agriculture and Food Sciences, Universitii Putra Malaysia Bintulu Sarawak Campus. During his PhD study, he attended 2013 2<sup>nd</sup> International Symposium on Tropical Forest Ecosystem Science and Management: Challenges and Solution in Universiti Putra Malaysia Bintulu Sarawak Campus, 2014 Agrobiodiversity and Agroenvironment Symposium in Pullman Hotel, Kuching, Sarawak, and 2014 The International Bioscience Conference and the 5th Joint International PSU-UNS Bioscience Conference in Phuket, Thailand. Besides, he has also won Bronze Medal at Malaysia Innovation Expo (MIExpo 2013) in UPM Serdang, Gold Award and Most Promising Innovation Award in BioMalaysia 2013, and Gold Medal in Pameran Rekacipta, Penyelidikan dan Inovasi (PRPI) 2014.

# LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

### (a) Book

1. Huck Ywih Ch'ng, Osumanu Haruna Ahmed, Susilawati Kasim, Nik Muhamad Ab. Majid. Improving phosphorus availability for plant uptake in tropical acid soil using organic amendments derived from agro-industrial wastes. (Submitted to UPM Press).

## (b) Papers Submitted or Published

- 1. Huck Ywih Ch'ng, Osumanu Haruna Ahmed, Susilawati Kasim, and Nik Muhamad Ab. Majid. 2013. Co-composting of pineapple leaves and chicken manure slurry. International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture 2(25): 1-7.
- 2. Huck Ywih Ch'ng, Osumanu Haruna Ahmed, Susilawati Kasim, and Nik Muhamad Ab. Majid. 2014. Recycling of sago (*Metroxylon sagu*) bagasse with chicken manure slurry through co-composting. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology 16(6): 1441-1454.
- 3. Huck Ywih Ch'ng, Osumanu Haruna Ahmed, Nik Muhamad Ab. Majid 2014. Improving phosphorus availability in an acid soil using organic amendments produced from agroindustrial wastes. The Scientific World Journal 506356(2014): 1-6.
- 4. Huck Ywih Ch'ng, Osumanu Haruna Ahmed, Nik Muhamad Ab. Majid 2014. Biochar and compost influence the phosphorus availability, nutrient uptake, and growth of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in tropical acid soil. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences 51(4): 797-806.
- 5. Huck Ywih Ch'ng, Osumanu Haruna Ahmed, and Nik Muhamad Ab. Majid. Using chicken litter biochar and pineapple waste compost to reduce phosphorus fixation of a tropical acid soil. Canadian Journal of Soil Science (Under review).
- 6. Huck Ywih Ch'ng, Osumanu Haruna Ahmed, and Nik Muhamad Ab. Majid. Improving phosphorus availability, nutrient uptake and dry matter production of *Zea mays* L. on tropical acid soil using chicken litter biochar and pineapple leaves compost. Experimental Agriculture (Under review).

# (c) Presented Works

- Huck Ywih Ch'ng, Osumanu Haruna Ahmed, and Nik Muhamad Ab. Majid. 2014. Use of chicken litter biochar and pineapple leaf compost to reduce soil P-fixation and improving nutrient uptake in maize (*Zea mays*) cultivation on acid soil. Presented at The International Bioscience Conference 2014 and the 5<sup>th</sup> Joint PSU-UNS International Bioscience Conference, Phuket, Thailand, during September 29-30, 2014.
- 2. Huck Ywih Ch'ng, Osumanu Haruna Ahmed, and Nik Muhamad Ab. Majid. 2014. Reducing phosphorus fixation on tropical acid soil using chicken litter biochar and pineapple leaves compost. Presented at Agrobiodiversity and Agroenvironment Symposium A-BES 2014, Pullman Hotel, Kuching, Malaysia, during September 15-18, 2014.

#### (d) Papers to be Submitted

- 1. Huck Ywih Ch'ng, Osumanu Haruna Ahmed, and Nik Muhamad Ab. Majid. Biochar and compost had effects on phosphorus sorption and desorption in tropical acid soil.
- 2. Huck Ywih Ch'ng, Osumanu Haruna Ahmed, and Nik Muhamad Ab. Majid. Leaching study of a tropical acid soil as influenced by incorporation of biochar and pineapple leaves compost.
- 3. Huck Ywih Ch'ng, Osumanu Haruna Ahmed, and Nik Muhamad Ab. Majid. Reducing phosphorus fixation and improving yield of *Zea mays* L. cultivation on a tropical acid soil using biochar and pineapple leaves compost.
- 4. Huck Ywih Ch'ng, Osumanu Haruna Ahmed, and Nik Muhamad Ab. Majid. Effect of incorporation of organic amendments with phosphate fertilizer on yield and economic viability of maize cultivation on tropical acid soil.

### LIST OF AWARDS

- Bronze Medal, "Maximizing yield of crops by reducing phosphorus fixation". Malaysian Innovation Expo (MIExpo 2013) on 26<sup>th</sup>-28<sup>th</sup> September 2013, Banquet Hall, UPM Serdang.
- Gold Medal, "Maximizing yield of crops by reducing phosphorus fixation". BioMalaysia & Bioeconomy Asia Pacific 2013 Conference & Exhibition on 21<sup>st</sup>- 23<sup>rd</sup> October, Persada Johor.
- 3. Most Promising Innovation, ""Maximizing yield of crops by reducing phosphorus fixation". BioMalaysia & Bioeconomy Asia Pacific 2013 Conference & Exhibition on 21<sup>st</sup>-23<sup>rd</sup> October, Persada Johor.
- Gold Medal, "Unlocking fixed nutrients in acid soil to increase crop yield". Pameran Rekacipta, Penyelidikan dan Innovasi (PRPI) 2014 on 30<sup>th</sup>-1<sup>st</sup> October 2014, Dewan Besar PKKSSAAS, UPM Serdang.