

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

BETWEEN HORNED AND POLLED, IS THERE A KARYOTYPIC DIFFERENCE IN SHEEP?

NURUL LIYANA BINTI JAMALUDDIN

FPV 2017 49

BETWEEN HORNED AND POLLED, IS THERE A KARYOTYPIC

i

DIFFERENCE IN SHEEP?

NURUL LIYANA BINTI JAMALUDDIN

A project paper submitted to the

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universiti Putra Malaysia

In partial fulfilment of the requirement for the

DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF VETERINARY MEDICINE

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Serdang, Selangor Darul Ehsan

MARCH 2017

CERTIFICATION

It is hereby certified that I have read this project paper entitled "Between horned and polled, is there a karyotypic difference in sheep?" byNurul Liyana Binti Jamaluddin and in my opinionis satisfactory in terms of scope, quality, and presentation as partial fulfilment of the requirement for the course VPD 4999- Final Year Project.

ASSOC. PROF DR. ROSNINA HJ. YUSOFF

DVM (UPM), PhD (GUELPH)

Lecturer,

Department of Veterinary Clinical Studies,

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

University Putra Malaysia

(Supervisor)

DEDICATIONS

This project paper is dedicated to my family:

Mother

Father

Siblings

and Friends

Thank you for all the support throughout this journey

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof Dr. Rosnina Hj. Yusoff, for her guidance, kindness and support throughout the period of this project. Her motivation and knowledge had contributed to the completion of this project.

I would also like to thank the staff of Theriogenology and Cytogenetics Unit especially to Mr. Ganesamurthi, Mr. Aizad and Mr. Faizal for all the teachings and assistance during the course of the project. In addition, I would like to thank En. Jamil, staff of the equipment laboratory for the help in the completion of this project.

Last but not least, my heartfelt gratitude to my family especially my parents and siblings for their continuous support and love throughout my DVM course. Not to forget my dearest friends, my FYP mate, Nur Ain Sakinah binti Ishak and the student room committee for their support, encouragement and helping hands.

CONTENTS

| | Page | e No. |
|-----------------------|------|-------|
| TITLE | | i |
| CERTIFICATION | | ii |
| DEDICATIONS | | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | | iv |
| CONTENTS | | v |
| LIST OF TABLES | | vii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | | vii |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | viii | |
| ABSTRAK | | ix |
| ABSTRACT | | xi |
| 1.0 INTRODUCTION | | |
| 1.1 Study background | 1 | |
| 1.2 Justification | | 1 |
| 1.3 Hypothesis | | 2 |
| 2.0LITERATURE REVIEW | | |

2.1 Origin of the domestic sheep 3

| 2.2 Sheep breeds | 3 |
|--|----|
| 2.3 Sheep industry in Malaysia | 4 |
| 2.4 Inheritance of horn trait in sheep | 5 |
| 2.5 Sheep chromosome and karyotype | 6 |
| 3.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS | |
| 3.1 Animals | 8 |
| 3.2 Chromosome preparation | 8 |
| 3.2.1 Blood culture | 8 |
| 3.2.2 Harvesting the culture | 9 |
| 3.3 Slide preparation | 9 |
| 3.4 Construction of karyotype | 10 |
| 4.0 RESULTS | 11 |
| 5.0 DISCUSSION | 18 |
| 6.0 CONCLUSION | 20 |
| 7.0 APPENDICES | 21 |
| REFERENCES | 22 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | Page no. |
|----------|--|----------|
| Table 1 | : Distribution of chromosome number per | |
| | cell for Merino | 11 |
| Table 2 | : Distribution of chromosome number per | |
| | cell for Dorper | 11 |
| Table 3 | : Morphological characteristics of chromosomes | |
| | of both sexes | 12 |
| | | |
| LIST OF | FIGURES | |
| Figure 1 | · Karvotype of Dorper female | 13 |
| | . Karyotype of Dorper temate | 15 |
| Figure 2 | : Karyotype of Dorper male | 14 |
| Figure 3 | : Karyotype of Merino female | 15 |
| Figure 4 | : Karyotype of Merino male | 16 |
| Figure 5 | : Well spread chromosomes | 17 |
| Figure 6 | : Chromosomes are close to each other with | |
| | some overlappings | 17 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

.

DITA

| PHA | : Phytohaemagglutinin |
|-------|--|
| PWM | : Pokeweed mitogen |
| ICNDA | :International Cytogenetic Nomenclature of Domestic Animal |
| 2n | : Diploid number |
| NF | :Fundamental number |
| DVS | : Department of Veterinary Services |
| DNA | :Deoxyribonucleic acid |
| Rpm | : Revolutions per minute |
| KCL | : Potassium chloride |

ABSTRAK

Abstrak daripada kertas projek yang dikemukakan kepada Fakulti Perubatan Veterinar untuk memenuhi sebahagian daripada keperluan kursus VPD 4999 - Projek Ilmiah Tahun Akhir

ANTARA BERTANDUK DAN TIDAK BERTANDUK, ADAKAH TERDAPAT PERBEZAAN KARIOTIP PADA BIRI-BIRI?

Oleh

Nurul Liyana Binti Jamaluddin

Mac 2017

Penyelia: Prof Dr. Rosnina Hj. Yusoff

Analisis kariotip telah dijalankan ke atas dua baka biri-biri, Merino (bertanduk) dan Dorper (tidak bertanduk) untuk membandingkan ciri-ciri kromosom mereka. Limfosit diekstrak darpada sampel darah dan dikulturkan dalam media RPMI 1640, yang ditambah dengan serum anak lembu dan penisilin-streptomisin. Kultur pendua telah dihasilkan, menggunakan mitogen, phitohemaglutinin (PHA) dan pokeweed (PWM) untuk merangsang pertumbuhan limfosit. Kultur yang yang telah menggunakan PWM menghasilkan penyebaran metafasa yang lebih berkualiti

dan lebih banyak berbanding kultur PHA. Kariotip telah dibina berasaskan prosedur oleh International Cytogenetic Nomenclature of Domestic Animal (ICNDA). Biribiri domestik mempunyai nombor diploid (2n) 54kromosom. Morfologi kromosom Merino dan Dorper tidak dapat dibezakan melalui kariotip konvensional. Kedua-dua jantan dan betina mempunyai kariotip yang terdiri daripada tiga pasang autosom submetasentrik dan 23 pasang autosome akrosentrik. Kromosom X dikenalpasti sebagai akrosentrik terbesar manakala kromosom Y dikenalpasti sebagai kromosom paling kecil. Nombor asas (NF) untuk kedua-dua baka biri-biri jantan dan betina ialah 60.

Kata kunci: Biri-biri, Merino, Dorper, bertanduk, tidak bertanduk



ABSTRACT

An abstract of the project paper presented to the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine in partial fulfilment of course VPD4999 – Project.

BETWEEN HORNED AND POLLED, IS THERE A KARYOTYPIC DIFFERENCE IN SHEEP?

By

Nurul Liyana Binti Jamaluddin

March 2017

Supervisor: Assoc. Prof Dr. Rosnina Hj. Yusoff

A karyotypic analysis was carried out on two breeds of sheep,Merino (horned) and Dorper (polled) to compare their chromosome features. Lymphocytes were extracted from blood samples and cultured in RPMI 1640 as a culture medium, supplemented with foetal bovine serum and penicillin-streptomycin. The cultures were made in duplicates, using phytohemagglutinin (PHA) and pokeweed (PWM) mitogens to stimulate lymphocytes growth. Cultures with PWM produce better quality and quantity of metaphase spreads compared with PHA. Karyotypes were constructed in accordance with the standard procedure of International Cytogenetic Nomenclature of Domestic Animal (ICNDA). The domestic sheep has a diploid number (2n)of 54. The chromosomes morphology of Merino and Dorper are indistinguishable based on their conventional karyotypes established. Both males and females have karyotypes of three pairs of submetacentric and 23 pairs of acrocentric autosomes. The X chromosome is identified as the largest acrocentric while the Y chromosome is identified as the smallest chromosome. The fundamental number (NF) for the male sheep is 60 and the female is also 60.

Keywords: Sheep, karyotype, Merino, Dorper, horned, polled.



1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The domestic sheep, *Ovis aries* was first dometicated over 10,000 years ago for agricultural purposes(Haenlein, 2007). Undomesticated sheep typically have horns and horns are used by males to compete for mate during breeding season whereas in females the horns are used to compete for food during peri-parturient period (Coltman & Pemberton, 2004). The occurence of polled sheep is increasing as domestication continues; this is because the horned trait is not desirable for farmers and thus, selective breeding is practiced. In some sheep breeds, both sexes are either horned (e.g. Merino) or polled (e.g. Dorper) while in some breeds only the rams have horns (e.g. Rambouillet). Also, there are breeds that have both horned and polled strains. A comparison of the chromosome features of horned breeds like the Merino with those of Dorper (polled) which is overtly normal has not been carried out to date. Therefore, this study is carried out to compare the differences in the total number of chromosomes (diploid number, 2n), fundamental number (NF) and morphology of the chromosomes betweenhorned Merinos andpolled Dorpers.

1.2 Justification

To map out the karyotype to see the morphological differences in chromosomes between the horned and polled species of sheep.

1.3 Hypothesis

- H_o : There are no differences between the karyotypes of Merino and Dorper.
- H_A : There are differences between the karyotypes of Merino and Dorper.



REFERENCE

Chowdhary, B. P., & Raudsepp, T. (2005). Mammalian Genomics. CABI Publishing.

Bunch, T., & Foote, W. (1977). Evolution of the 2n = 54 karyotype of Domestic sheep (Ovis aries). *Genetics Selection Evolution*,9(4), 509-515. doi:10.1186/1297-9686-9-4-509.

- Pickering, N. K., Auvray, B., McEwan, J. C., & Dodds, K. G. (2009). Mapping the horns locus in sheep. *Proc. Assoc. Advmt. Animal Breed Genetics*, (18), 88-91.
- Dyrmundsson, O. R. (2005). Four-hornedness; a rare peculiarity still found in Iceland Sheep. *Icelandic Sheep Breeders of North America*.

Ahmad, S., & Khan, M. S. (2007). No Title, 27(3), 118–120.

Brittinger, G., Hirschhorn, R., Hirschhorn, K., & Weissmann, G. (1969). Effect of pokeweed mitogen (PWM) on lymphocyte lysosomes. *Journal of Cell Biology*, 40(3), 843–846.

Bunch, T. D. (1978). It, 77-80.

- Haenlein, G. F. W. (2007). About the evolution of goat and sheep milk production.
 Small Ruminant Research, 68(1–2), 3–6.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2006.09.021
- Hansen, K. M. (1973). aries) identified by quinacrine mustard staining and fluorescence microscopy, 240, 233–240.

- Mohamed, Z., Chiew, E., & Chong, F. (1995). Government Incentives and Comparative Advantage of the Sheep Industry in Malaysia, *3*(2), 173–179.
- Montgomery, G. W., Henry, H. M., Dodds, K. G., Beattie, A. E., Wuliji, T., & Crawford, A. M. (1993). Mapping the Horns (Ho) Locus in Sheep : A Further Locus Controlling Horn Development in Domestic Animals.
- Movafagh, A., Heydary, H., Mortazavi-Tabatabaei, S. A. R., & Azargashb, E. (2011). The significance application of indigenous Phytohemagglutinin (PHA) mitogen on metaphase and cell culture procedure. *Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, 10(4), 895–903.
- Schwarz, M. R. O. Y. (1968). Transformation of R a t Small Lymphocytes with Pokeweed Mitogen (PWM), 47–58.
- Yusoff, A., Bio-resource, E., Bio-resource, E., Nawi, M., & Bio-resource, E. (2016). Socio- economic factors in relation to small ruminant farming' potential in malaysia: ranchers' perspective, 2, 72–76.
- Breeds of Livestock Dorper Sheep. (1999, June 4). Retrieved February 12, 2017, from http://www.ansi.okstate.edu/breeds/sheep/dorper
- Hirst, K. (2016, October 17). How and When Sheep (Ovis aries) Were First Domesticated. Retrieved February 12, 2017, from http://archaeology.about.com/od/shthroughsiterms/qt/Sheep-History.htm
- Dyce, K. M., Sack, W. O., & Wensing, C. J. (2002). *Textbook of veterinary anatomy*. Philadelphia: Saunders.