



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**RESPONSE OF OKRA PLANT TO SUBSURFACE DRIP IRRIGATION
USING MIXED AGRICULTURAL DRAINAGE WATER**

YOUSEF ABDULAZIZ AL-MOLHEM

FK 2009 63



**RESPONSE OF OKRA PLANT TO SUBSURFACE DRIP IRRIGATION
USING MIXED AGRICULTURAL DRAINAGE WATER**

By

YOUSEF ABDULAZIZ AL-MOLHEM

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

January 2009



Dedicated

To

The souls of my parents

My lovely family, wife, sons and daughters

Abstract of the thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**RESPONSE OF OKRA PLANT TO SUBSURFACE DRIP IRRIGATION
USING MIXED AGRICULTURAL DRAINAGE WATER**

By

YOUSEF ABDULAZIZ AL-MOLHEM

January 2009

Chairman: Professor Mohd Amin Mohd Soom, PhD,

Faculty: Engineering

Agriculture in arid areas with its limited water resources will tremendously benefit from drip irrigation. Subsurface drip irrigation system applies water below the soil surface through emitters. It has many advantages over surface drip including better use of low quality drainage water. However there is a need to determine the best irrigation rate and placement of the emitters such that optimal yield can be obtained. A study was carried out to see the response of various moisture regimes supplied through subsurface drip irrigation system using mixed drainage water on the growth and yield of okra *Abelmoschus esculentus* (Climson Spineless CV). An experiment was conducted during November 2006 to May 2007 inside a greenhouse in the Agriculture Research Station at the University of King Faisal in Al-Hasa, Saudi Arabia. The experiment was laid out following a split plot design. The experiment was divided into two main categories of fresh water and mixed-drainage water. Every category consisted of three water quantities (WQ) viz. V1=100%, V2=75% and V3=50% of the water needs. Every water quantity had three replications R1, R2, and R3. Every replication was divided into five irrigation emitter lateral depths D0



cm, D10 cm, D20 cm, D30 cm, and D40 cm. Statistical analysis was performed for all crop performance parameters. The effect of irrigation emitter lateral depths on okra growth parameters such as plant height, number of leaves per plant, okra moisture, root zone width, root zone depth, okra fruit diameter, and average weight of single okra fruit were analyzed. Results were compared for various irrigation emitter lateral depths (LD) and water quantities (WQ) applied. Irrigation emitter lateral at 10 cm depth was found to be the best to grow okra plant using both fresh and mixed drainage water due to higher concentration of roots even with deficit irrigation supplying only 73% of the required water quantity. Yields were lower with emitters at depths of 30 cm and 40 cm due to lower concentration of roots as the root zone width decreased from the ground to D40 cm. The emitter placement beyond 20 cm depth is not recommended for the okra plants, as the root concentration is less beyond that depth. The average okra plant height with full irrigation using fresh water and emitters at the soil surface was 23 cm; however, it was 31 cm using the mixed water, probably due to some salts present in the mixed drainage water. The study showed that good okra harvest is still possible with mixed drainage water at 4.2 dS/m applied using only about three-quarter of the irrigation water requirement as compared to 2.2 dS/m for fresh water. The possibility of using mixed drainage water under deficit irrigation will increase the water availability for agriculture in arid areas. Consequently, better fresh water conservation is possible. A drip irrigation system design that can be considered as a typical system to grow okra plant in arid areas was developed in this study. The results of the experiment and the crop production models achieved from the statistical analysis provide a good example for growing okra using mixed drainage

water under deficit irrigation for conservation of fresh water resources in the arid areas.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**RESPON TANAMAN KACANG BENDI TERHADAP
PENGAIRAN TITIS SUBPERMUKAAN MENGGUNAKAN
ADUNAN AIR SALIRAN PERTANIAN**

Oleh

YOUSEF ABDULAZIZ AL-MOLHEM

Januari 2009

Pengerusi: Professor Mohd Amin Mohd Soom, PhD,

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Pertanian di kawasan beriklim gersang dengan sumber air yang terhad akan mendapat manfaat daripada pengairan titis. Pengairan titis subpermukaan menyampaikan air di bawah permukaan tanah melalui pemancar. Ia mempunyai banyak kelebihan daripada pengairan titis permukaan termasuklah penggunaan lebih berkesan sumber air saliran berkualiti rendah. Namun, terdapat keperluan untuk menentukan kadar pengairan dan kedudukan terbaik pemancar supaya hasil tuaian optimum dapat diperolehi. Satu kajian telah dijalankan untuk melihat respon pada tumbesaran dan hasil tanaman kacang bendi *Abelmoschus esculentus* (Climson Spineless CV). Ujikaji telah dijalankan dari bulan November 2006 sehingga Mei 2007 dalam sebuah rumah hijau di Stesen Penyelidikan Pertanian Universiti Raja Faisal di Al-Hasa, Arab Saudi. Ujikaji tersebut dibuat mengikut satu rekabentuk plot berasingan. Ujikaji dibahagikan kepada dua kategori utama iaitu air tawar dan air saliran campuran. Setiap kategori mempunyai tiga kuantiti air (WQ) iaitu $V1 = 100\%$, $V2 = 73\%$ dan $V3 = 50\%$ daripada keperluan air untuk tanaman kacang bendi. Setiap kuantiti air mempunyai tiga replikasi R1, R2 dan R3. Setiap replikasi

dibahagikan kepada lima kedalaman pemancar di saluran sisi pengairan D0 cm, D10 cm, D20 cm, D30 cm, dan D40 cm. Analisis statistik dijalankan bagi mencapai objektif kajian untuk semua parameter prestasi tumbesaran tanaman. Kesan daripada kedalaman pemancar pengairan telah dianalisis pada parameter bendi seperti ketinggian pokok, bilangan daun per pokok, kelembapan buah bendi, kelebaran zon akar, kedalaman zon akar, garispusat bendi, dan purata berat buah bendi. Hasil yang didapati dibandingkan dengan pelbagai kedalaman pemancar (LD) dan kuantiti air (WQ) yang digunakan. Kedalaman pemancar pengairan D10 cm diperhatikan sebagai kedalaman terbaik untuk tumbesaran pokok kacang bendi menggunakan kedua-dua jenis air kerana konsentrasi akar yang tinggi walaupun dengan memenuhi hanya 73% keperluan air. Hasil tuaian adalah rendah pada D30 cm dan D40 cm dengan kehadiran akar yang berkurangan kerana zon akar mengecil dari permukaan hingga 40 cm. Kedudukan pemancar melewati kedalaman 20 cm adalah tidak disyorkan untuk tanaman kacang bendi ini kerana konsentrasi akar berkurangan melewati kedalaman tersebut. Purata ketinggian pokok bendi adalah 23 cm dengan menggunakan air tawar memenuhi 100% keperluan tanaman, tetapi 31 cm dengan air campuran, mungkin kerana kehadiran berbagai garam dalam air saluran campuran. Kajian menunjukkan hasil tuaian bendi masih boleh tinggi dengan air saluran campuran berkonduksian elektrik 4.2 dS/m dan memenuhi hanya tiga suku keperluan air tanaman tersebut berbanding dengan air tawar berkonduksian elektrik 2.2 dS/m. Potensi untuk menggunakan campuran air saluran di bawah pengairan defisit akan meningkatkan lagi sumber air untuk pertanian di kawasan gersang. Oleh itu, pemuliharaan air tawar akan menjadi lebih baik. Dalam kajian ini, satu rekabentuk sistem pengairan titis subpermukaan menggunakan air saluran campuran telah berjaya dibangunkan bagi tanaman kacang bendi. Keputusan daripada ujikaji

dan model hasil pengeluaran yang dicapai daripada analisis statistik memberi satu contoh baik untuk tanaman bendi menggunakan air saliran campuran dengan pengairan defisit bagi tujuan pemuliharaan sumber air tawar di kawasan gersang.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praises and thanks go to ALLAH, the Supreme Ruler of the universe for the spiritual and gift bestowed upon me in the performance of my duties.

It is not easy to thank enough in so few words everyone who in one way or another has enabled me to successfully complete my PhD program. I wish to acknowledge the following individuals with gratitude and appreciation for their contributions that made this thesis what it is.

My sincere thanks and gratitude goes to the members of my supervisory committee, Professor Dr Ir Mohd Amin Mohd Soom, Assoc Prof Dr Abdul Rashid Mohamed Shariff and Assoc Prof Dr Thamer Ahmed Mohamed Ali for the advice, guidance and support throughout this study.

My appreciation and thanks also goes to Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Maiyas, Wael Al-Aghbari, and also to members of the Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, UPM without their valuable advice and encouragement this study would not have reached this fruitful end.

I would like to extend my thanks to the following people in the Faculty of Agriculture, University of King Faisal: Dr. Abdulaziz Alshathri, Dr. Hasan Al-Hashim, Dr. Abdulaziz Almolhem, Dr. Abdulrahman Almoaqel. My brother Waleed, my cousins Abdulaziz bin Mohamed Al-Molhem (RAHIMAHULLAH), and Mr. Ahmed Almolhem.



My appreciation and gratitude also goes to the Government of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, the Embassy of the Saudi Arabia in Malaysia, and the Cultural Mission who gave me the opportunity to continue my studies in Malaysia, the financial support, and encouragement without which this study would not have been possible.

Last but not least, my thanks and appreciation to my beloved wife and children for their patience and encouragements throughout the study period.

I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 9 January 2009 to conduct the final examination of Yousef A. Al-Molhem on his PhD thesis entitled “Response Of Okra Plant To Subsurface Drip Irrigation Using Mixed Agricultural Drainage Water” in accordance with Universities and University colleges Act 1971 and Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree.

Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Ir. Lee Teang Shui, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Ir. Daud Mohamed Daud, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Ir. Desa Ahmad, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Rod Smith, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering and Surveying
University of Southern Queensland
Australia
(External Examiner)

BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 19 February 2009



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohd Amin Mohd Soom, PhD, P.Eng., FIEM

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Abdul Rashid Bin Mohamed Sharif, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Thamer Ahmed Mohamed Ali, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 9 April 2009



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institution.

YOUSEF ABDULAZIZ AL-MOLHEM

Date:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	x
APPROVAL	xii
DECLARATION	xiv
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
LIST OF FIGURES	xx

CHAPTER

1	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Introduction	1.1
1.2	Drip Irrigation with Unconventional Water	1.3
1.3	Problem Statement	1.4
1.4	Hypothesis	1.6
1.5	Objectives	1.6
1.6	Scope of the Study	1.7
1.7	Thesis Organization	1.7
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Introduction	2.1
2.2	Water Problem in Saudi Arabia	2.1
2.2.1	Water resources	2.2
2.2.2	Irrigation and Drainage Development	2.2
2.3	Irrigation Methods	2.4
2.4	Natural Conditions	2.7
2.5	Soil Moisture and Wetting Pattern	2.9
2.5.1	Soil Moisture Distribution Pattern calculator	2.12
2.6	Drip Irrigation using Waste Water	2.13
2.7	Subsurface Drip Irrigation System	2.16
2.8	Water Quality and Salinity	2.23
2.8.1	Classification of Saline Waters	2.28
2.8.2	Irrigation Strategies	2.30
2.9	Fertigation	2.32
2.9.1	Using Fertigation by Microirrigation	2.36
2.9.2	Simulation of Soil Fertigation	2.36
2.10	Greenhouses	2.38
2.10.1	Environmental Requirements for Greenhouses	2.39
2.11	Okra	2.41



2.12	Conclusion	2.44
3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1	Study Area	3.1
3.2	Experimental Design	3.2
3.2.1	Water Tank for Irrigation Water Supply	3.2
3.2.2	Control Head	3.2
3.2.3	Operation condition and environmental data	3.7
3.2.4	Irrigation Distribution System	3.10
3.3	Method	3.12
3.3.1	Description of the Experiment	3.16
3.3.2	Soil Moisture and Wetting Pattern	3.26
3.3.3	Estimation Irrigation Water for Okra	3.28
3.4	Statistical Analysis	3.30
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Introduction	4.1
4.2	Experimental Result	4.1
4.2.1	Total Weight of Okra Fruit	4.2
4.2.2	Average Weight of Single Okra Fruit	4.6
4.2.3	Product Moisture	4.9
4.2.4	Root Zone Length	4.13
4.2.5	Root Zone Width	4.16
4.2.6	Average Length of a Single Okra Fruit	4.19
4.3	Results of Statistical Analysis	4.22
4.3.1	Height of Okra Plant	4.26
4.3.2	Average Length of a Single okra Fruit	4.31
4.3.3	Total Weight	4.35
4.3.4	Average Weight of a Single Okra	4.39
4.3.5	Okra Moisture	4.43
4.3.6	Okra Diameter	4.47
4.3.7	Root Zoon Depth	4.50
4.3.8	Root Zone Width	4.54
4.3.9	leaves of the Okra Plant	4.58
4.4	Soil Moisture Distribution	4.62
4.5	Soil and Water Electric Conductivity	4.69
4.6	Relationship Between the Height of okra plant and the period of the experiment	4.72
5	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1	Summary	5.1
5.2	Conclusion	5.2
5.3	Recommendations	5.6
R.1	References	R.1
A.1	Appendix	A.1
B.1	Biodata of the Student	B.1

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Growth of Water Use in Saudi Arabia, 1980–2010 (millions of cubic meters)	2.2
2.2 Irrigation and Drainage Estimation for Some Crops	2.4
2.3 Classification of Saline Waters	2.28
2.4 Amount of various nitrogen fertilizers required to give 20, 30 and 40 lbs of nitrogen per acre	2.34
2.5 Recommended Air Temperatures in Greenhouses (modified from Papadopoulos, 1991).	2.39
2.6 Estimated Crop Water Requirement for Okra (Modified from Tiwari et al., 1998)	2.43
2.7 Nutritional Value of Okra	2.49
3.1 Variation of temperature and humidity during the experiments	3.10
3.2 Irrigation Treatment	3.12
3.3 Laboratory Analysis of Calculated and Actual Salinity of Mixing Agricultural Drainage and Fresh Water	3.14
3.4 Chemical Analysis of Irrigation Water	3.14
3.5 Experimental process	3.18
3.6 Some properties of the soil in the greenhouse	3.28
3.7 Some chemical properties of the soil in the greenhouse	3.28
3.8 Statistical Samples	3.32
4.1 Mean of replications plant weight in different volumes and depths on fresh (FW) and mixed drainage water (MDW)	4.5
4.2 Mean of replications of average of single weight in different volumes and depths on fresh (FW) and mixed drainage water (MDW).	4.9
4.3 Mean of replications of moisture (%) in different volumes and depths on fresh (FW) and drainage water (MDW).	4.12
4.4 Mean of replications of Root Zone Length in different volumes and depths on fresh (FW) and drainage water (MDW).	4.15
4.5 Mean of replications of Root Zone Width in different volumes and depths on fresh (FW) and drainage water (MDW).	4.18



4.6	Table 4.6: Mean of replications of Average of Single Okra Product Length in different volumes and depths on fresh (FW) and drainage water (MDW).	4.22
4.7	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects of Okra Plant Length in Fresh Water	4.28
4.8	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects of Okra Plant Length in Mixed Drainage Water	4.28
4.9	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects of Average Single Okra length in Fresh Water	4.32
4.10	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects of Average Single Okra length in Mixed Drainage Water	4.32
4.11	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects of Total Weight in Fresh Water	4.36
4.12	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects of Total Weight in Mixed Drainage Water	4.37
4.13	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects of Average Single Okra Weight in the Fresh Water	4.40
4.14	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects of Average Single Okra Weight in Mixed Drainage Water	4.40
4.15	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects of Moisture in Fresh Water	4.44
4.16	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects of Moisture in Mixed Drainage Water	4.44
4.17	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects of Diameter in Fresh Water	4.47
4.18	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects of Diameter in Mixed Drainage Water	4.48
4.19	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects of Root Zone Depth the in Fresh Water	4.51
4.20	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects of Root Zone Depth the in Mixed Drainage Water	4.51
4.21	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects of Root Zone Width in the Fresh Water	4.55
4.22	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects of Root Zone Width in the Mixed Drainage Water	4.55

4.23	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects of Okra Plant Leaves in Fresh Water	4.59
4.24	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects of Okra Plant Leaves in Mixed Drainage Water	4.60
4.25	Comparison between the results of this study and those of Al-Harbi et al. (2008)	4.62
4.26	Comparison of moisture distribution pattern with previous studies.	4.69
4.27	Linear Model of Okra Plant at Water Volume V1 in the Fresh Water	4.72
4.28	Linear Models of Okra Plant at Water Volume V2 in the Fresh Water	4.75
4.29	Linear Models of Okra Plant at Water Volume V3 in the Fresh Water	4.77
4.30	Linear Models of Okra Plant at Water Volume V1 in the Mixed Drainage Water	4.79
4.31	Linear Models of Okra Plant at Water Volume V2 in the Mixed Drainage Water	4.81
4.32	Linear Models of Okra Plant at Water Volume V3 in the Mixed Drainage Water	4.83

LIST OF FIGURES



Figure		Page
2.1	Relationships between E _{Ce} (saturation extract basis), E _{Ciw} and leaching fraction under conventional irrigation management (modified from Rhoades 1982)	2.29
3.1	Location of the Study Area	3.1
3.2	Two Fiberglass Water Tanks Connected to the Irrigation Systems in the Greenhouse	3.4
3.3	Storing Drainage Water in Tank	3.4
3.4	Water Tankers Used to Supply Water for the Study	3.5
3.5	Pump Used in the Irrigation System for Different Pressure	3.5
3.6	Pressure Gauge Connected to the Main Line	3.6
3.7	Solenoid Valve	3.6
3.8	Automatic Irrigation Controller	3.7
3.9	Ventilation Fan to drive out hot air from inside the greenhouse	3.8
3.10	Cooling pad with water circulation pumped from outside the greenhouse.	3.9
3.11	Sub-main and Lateral Lines	3.11
3.12	Emitter used in the Experimental Study	3.11
3.13	Layout of the Experimental Setup	3.19
3.14	Flowchart of the Fresh Water Supply	3.20
3.15	Flowchart of the Mixed Drainage Water Supply	3.21
3.16	Emitter Installed at Different Depths	3.22
3.17	Nursery Plats before Transplanting to the Study Site	3.23
3.18	Transplanting Plant in the Study Site	3.23
3.19	Harvesting of the Okra	3.24
3.20	Collecting Yield Samples	3.24
3.21	Drying the Okra Product	3.25
3.22	Measurement the Length and Width of the Plant	3.25
3.23	Collecting Samples for Soil Moisture and Wetting Pattern	3.26
3.24	Soil Moisture Depths and Diameter	3.27
4.1	Total Weight of the Okra from the Fresh Water Treatment	4.4
4.2	Total Weight of the Okra from the Mixed Drainage Water	4.4

	Treatment	
4.3	Average Weight of Single Okra Fruit from the fresh Water Treatment	4.7
4.4	Average Weight of Single Okra Fruit from the Mixed Drainage Water Treatment	4.7
4.5	Moisture Content of Product in the fresh Water	4.11
4.6	Moisture Content of Product in the Mixed Drainage Water	4.11
4.7	Root Zone Length of Plant in the Fresh Water	4.14
4.8	Root Zone Length of Plant in the Mixed Drainage Water	4.14
4.9	Root Zone Width of Okra Plant in the fresh Water Treatment	4.17
4.10	Root Zone Width of Okra Plant in the Mixed Drainage Water Treatment	4.17
4.11	Average of Single Okra Product Length in the Fresh Water	4.20
4.12	Average of Single Okra Product Length in the Mixed Drainage Water	4.21
4.13	Interaction Effect of WQ and ID on Height of Okra Plant Using Fresh Water	4.30
4.14	Interaction Effect of WQ and ID on Height of Okra Plant Using Mixed Drainage Water	4.30
4.15	Interaction Effect of WQ and LD on Length of Single Okra Fruit Using Fresh Water	4.34
4.16	Interaction Effect of WQ and LD on Length of Single Okra Fruit Using Mixed Drainage Water	4.35
4.17	Interaction Effect of WQ and LD on Total Weight of Okra Using Fresh Water	4.38
4.18	Interaction Effect of WQ and LD on Total Weight of Okra Using Mixed Drainage Water	4.39
4.19	Interaction Effect of WQ and LD on Average Weight of a Single Okra Using Fresh Water	4.42
4.20	Interaction Effect of WQ and LD on Average Weight of a Single Okra Using Mixed Drainage Water	4.43
4.21	Interaction Effect of WQ and LD on Okra Moisture Using Fresh Water	4.46

4.22	Interaction Effect of WQ and LD on Okra Moisture Using Mixed Drainage Water	4.46
4.23	Interaction Effect of WQ and LD on Okra Diameter Using Fresh Water	4.49
4.24	Interaction Effect of WQ and LD on Okra Diameter Using Mixed Drainage Water	4.50
4.25	Interaction Effect of WQ and LD on Zone Depth Using Fresh Water	4.53
4.26	Interaction Effect of WQ and LD on Root Zone Depth Using Mixed Drainage Water	4.54
4.27	Interaction Effect of WQ and LD on Root Zone Width Using Fresh Water	4.57
4.28	Interaction Effect of WQ and LD on Root Zone Width Using Mixed Drainage Water	4.57
4.29	Interaction Effect of WQ and LD on Number of Okra Leaves Using Fresh Water	4.60
4.30	Interaction Effect of WQ and LD on Number of Okra Leaves Using Mixed Drainage Water	4.61
4.31	Moisture Distribution in the Soil in Water Quantity V1 for Different Depths	4.66
4.32	Moisture Distribution in the Soil in Water Quantity V2 for Different Depths	4.67
4.33	Moisture Distribution in the Soil in Water Quantity V3 for Different Depths	4.68
4.34	Soil EC (dS/m) before the Experiment	4.70
4.35	Soil EC (dS/m) in the Fresh Water after the Experiment	4.71
4.36	Soil EC (dS/m) in the Mixed Drainage Water the Experiment	4.71
4.37	Linear Model for fresh water in V1	4.74
4.38	Linear Model for Fresh Water at V2	4.76
4.39	Linear Model for fresh water in V3	4.78
4.40	Linear Model for Mixed Drainage Water in V1	4.80
4.41	Linear Models for Mixed Drainage Water in V2	4.82
4.42	Linear Model for Mixed Drainage Water in V3	4.84

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Increasing human population has resulted in increasing water demands. In the past these increased demands had been met by large scale water projects consisting of dams and series of distributing canals. The opportunity for more water projects is diminishing as the cheapest and best opportunities have already been exploited. Thus, it becomes imperative to manage irrigation water with the highest degree of efficiency. Drip irrigation potentially provides that opportunity. With drip irrigation, the salt stress is relatively low because the soil solution is diluted continuously and salt accumulation around the roots is partially leached.

The traditional approach to deal with shallow groundwater problems is to install subsurface drainage systems for water table control and improved leaching. Proper operation of the drainage systems requires disposal of the subsurface drainage water.

The scarcity of fresh water in arid regions makes drainage water a valuable alternative water source for irrigation. However drainage-water use for agricultural irrigation is associated with some reduction in crop yield. The drainage problem must be addressed through options such as better management of irrigation water to reduce drainage below



the root zone, increasing crop water use of the shallow groundwater without any yield reductions, and drainage water reuse for irrigation (Hanson and Ayars, 2002). One option for improving irrigation water management is to convert from surface or sprinkler irrigation to drip irrigation. Drip irrigation can apply water both precisely and uniformly compared with surface and sprinkler irrigation resulting in the potential to reduce subsurface drainage control soil salinity, and increase yield. The main disadvantage of drip irrigation is its cost. For drip irrigation to be at least as profitable as the other irrigation methods, more income from higher yields and reduced irrigation and cultural costs must occur.

Most of the previous researches are directed towards developing the technology such as appropriate filter systems and emitter types, as well as investigating irrigation efficiency. Relatively little attention has been given to the salt distribution, particularly as related to placement of the drip system at the surface or at various depths below the surface.

The choice of the best irrigation system is to be achieved by a major role in assuring that crops receive the desired water quantity and/or quality with minimum non-beneficial water losses occurring in the process. One of the first goals of water management should be to select and use irrigation systems which allow the application of water at frequencies and amounts necessary to achieve high water-use efficiency (Phene et al., 1988).



Since the present agricultural situation is not likely to improve in the near future and large new water supplies are unlikely to be developed, irrigated agriculture must improve its use of water in the semi-arid and arid areas and/or alternative water sources must be sought (Vaux, 1990). Present cost of alternative water sources makes them expensive for most agricultural uses for instance most water desalinization methods in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia as well as in California, USA, would produce costly water (Brickson, 1991).

Drip irrigation, combined with wastewater reuse, may offer the most effective and efficient way to cope with water shortage for crops and protect the environment receiving wastewater. However, emitter and filter clogging are the main problems in the operation of drip systems in developing countries and small communities where treated wastewater is of poor quality. The main results of experimental trials on the behavior of several kinds of filter and drip emitters using poor quality municipal wastewater show that the performance of the emitters and filters depends on the quality of the wastewater (Capra and Scicolone, 2006).

1.2 Drip Irrigation with Unconventional Water

The water supply in Saudi Arabia, to meet the growing agricultural requirements, increased from 1.75 billion m³ in 1975 to 22.93 billion m³ in 1992. The total amount of wastewater available is around 1.32 million m³/d (Hussain and Al-Saati, 1999). The total water salinity ranges between 1000–7273 mg L⁻¹ in different areas. The quality of

