



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**SCHEDULING AND BANDWIDTH ALLOCATION IN COGNITIVE RADIO
SENSOR NETWORKS**

MOHAMMED AHMED ALI AL-MEDHWAHI

FK 2019 63



**SCHEDULING AND BANDWIDTH ALLOCATION IN COGNITIVE
RADIO SENSOR NETWORKS**

By

MOHAMMED AHMED ALI AL-MEDHWAHI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of
Philosophy**

November 2018

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright ©Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

*In memory of my mother
To my father
To my wife, for her kindness and devotion, and for her endless support
To my son; **Hamzah***

...



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor Of Philosophy

SCHEDULING AND BANDWIDTH ALLOCATION IN COGNITIVE RADIO SENSOR NETWORKS

By

MOHAMMED AHMED ALI AL-MEDHWAHI

November 2018

Chair : Fazirulhisyam Bin Hashim, PhD
Faculty: Engineering

The rapid expansion of wireless monitoring and surveillance applications in several domains reinforces the trend of exploiting emerging technologies such as the cognitive radio (CR). A cognitive radio sensor network (CRSN) is a promising technology to meet the rapid demand for implementing wireless sensor network (WSN) applications in new fields beside the conventional ones. CRSN technology enables sensor nodes to utilize licensed radio channels opportunistically for the new applications, which combine various types of data, traffic patterns, and quality of service (QoS) requirements. However, several challenges arise due to the conventional capability-limitation of end-sensor nodes and the strict obligations required for the licensed users. Hence, there is a critical need to manage the network's limited resources efficiently.

In this research, a new paradigm for CRSN is proposed to achieve a significant balancing between network's complexity, cost, lifetime, scalability and QoS satisfaction. In particular, an efficient resource allocation scheme is proposed to deal with multi-level of heterogeneity that becomes a common advantage in the emerging networks. The proposed scheme tackles provisioning multilevel of QoS for two key resource allocation elements, namely, the scheduling and the radio channel allocation.

This research focuses on proposing a new cognitive radio-based medium access control (MAC) scheme that treats the heterogeneous nature of new networks in terms of the traffic pattern and the required QoS. In addition to addressing and modelling delay, throughput, and power consumption, several other performance metrics such as reliability and scalability have been treated efficiently when designing the scheme. The proposed paradigm decreases the consumed power on several fronts and achieves up to 68% as power-saving for the end-sensor nodes.

The effectiveness of the proposed scheme also includes increasing the opportunity to utilize a wider range of the radio spectrum. Furthermore, to reinforce the scheme's

efficiency and mitigate interference, the impact of data packet size on the performance of the adaptive CRSN is also analysed to determine the appropriate size. As a result, the proposed scheme is quite appropriate for real time monitoring and critical communications applications that acquire significant attention in the next 5G of wireless networks.

In addition, the proposed method is analysed and modelled in both homogeneous and heterogeneous radio environments. Simulation results and the comparisons with related works show that the proposed scheme provides satisfactory levels of latency and spectrum utilization thanks to the efficiency of the scheduling and radio allocation mechanism.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PERUNTUKAN PENJADUALAN DAN LEBAR JALUR DALAM RANGKAIAN SENSOR RADIO KOGNITIF

Oleh

MOHAMMED AHMED ALI AL-MEDHWAHI

November 2018

Pengerusi: Fazirulhisyam Bin Hashim, PhD

Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Perkembangan yang pesat dalam aplikasi pemantauan wayarles dalam beberapa domain telah mendorong trend untuk mengeksploitasi teknologi baru seperti radio kognitif (CR). Rangkaian radio kognitif sensor (CRSN) merupakan sejenis teknologi yang berpotensi tinggi untuk memenuhi keperluan pesat bagi melaksanakan aplikasi rangkaian sensor wayarles dalam medan baru selain daripada medan lazim. Teknologi CRSN membolehkan nod sensor untuk mengambil kesempatan semasa mengakses saluran radio berlesen.

Aplikasi baru mempunyai beberapa jenis data, ciri-ciri traffic, dan keperluan kualiti perkhidmatan (QoS). Walau bagaimanapun, beberapa cabaran timbul disebabkan oleh keupayaan konvensional- nod sensor akhir yang terhad dan kewajipan ketat yang diperlukan terhadap pengguna berlesen. Oleh itu, keperluan untuk mengurangkan sumber rangkaian yang terhad dengan cekap adalah amat kritikal. Dalam kajian ini, paradigma baru untuk CRSN dicadangkan untuk mencapai keseimbangan yang signifikan antara kerumitan rangkaian, kos, masa hayat, kebolehskalaan dan kepuasan QoS. Khususnya, skim peruntukan sumber yang cekap dicadangkan untuk menangani keheterogenan pelbagai tingkat dalam CRSN. Skim yang dicadangkan ini menangani peruntukan QoS pelbagai tingkat untuk dua elemen peruntukan sumber utama, iaitu penjadualan dan peruntukan saluran radio. Penyelidikan ini memberi tumpuan untuk mencadangkan skema kawalan capaian media (MAC) berasaskan radio kognitif baru yang melayan keheterogenan rangkaian baru dari segi ciri-ciri traffic and QoS yang diperlukan.

Di samping menangani dan pemodelan kelewatan, daya tampung, dan penggunaan kuasa, beberapa metrik prestasi seperti kebolehpercayaan dan kebolehskalaan telah dirawat dengan cekap semasa merancang protokol. Paradigma yang dicadangkan telah mengurangkan kuasa yang digunakan pada beberapa bidang dan mencapai 68% sebagai penjimatan kuasa untuk nod sensor akhir. Keberkesanan skim yang dicadangkan juga termasuk peningkatan peluang untuk menggunakan spektrum radio

yang lebih luas. Untuk mengukuhkan kecekapan skim, impak saiz paket data terhadap prestasi CRSN mudah suai juga dianalisis untuk menentukan saiz yang bersesuaian. Oleh itu, skim yang dicadangkan agak sesuai untuk pemantauan masa nyata dan aplikasi komunikasi kritikal yang mendapat perhatian penting dalam rangkaian tanpa wayar 5G seterusnya.

Di samping itu, kaedah yang dicadangkan ini telah dianalisis dan dimodelkan dalam persekitaran radio homogen dan heterogen. Hasil simulasi dan perbandingan dengan kerja yang berkaitan menunjukkan bahawa skema yang dicadangkan menunjukkan kependaman rangkaian dan penggunaan spektrum yang memuaskan kerana efisiensi mekanisme penjadualan dan radio.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost I want to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor Dr. Fazirul-hisyam b. Hashim. It has been an honor to be one of his Ph.D students. I appreciate all his contributions of time, ideas, and continuous support to make my Ph.D. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis.

I would like to extend thanks to the other members of my supervisory committee: Prof. Dr. Borhanuddin b. Mohd. Ali, and Prof. Madya Ir. Dr. Aduwati bt. Sali, who so generously contributed to the work presented in this thesis. I would like to thank them for their insightful comments and encouragement. To my family, you should know that your patience, support and encouragement was worth more than I can express on paper.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 23 Nov. 2018 to conduct the final examination of **Mohammed Ahmed Ali Al-Medhwahi** on his thesis entitled “**Scheduling and Bandwidth Allocation in Cognitive Radio Sensor Networks**” in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the **Doctor Of Philosophy**.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Nor Kamariah bt Noordin, Ph.D.

Professor
Faculty Of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairperson)

Mohd Fadlee bin A Rasid, Ph.D.

Associate Professor
Faculty Of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Raja Syamsul Azmir bin Raja Abdullah, Ph.D.

Professor
Faculty Of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Nelson Luis Saldanha Da Fonseca, Ph.D.

Professor
Institute of Computing
State University of Campinas
Brazil
(External Examiner)

RUSLI HAJI ABDULLAH, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy**.

The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Fazirulhisyam b. Hashim, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairperson)

Borhanuddin b. Mohd. Ali, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Aduwati bt. Sali, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: _____

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Motivation	2
1.4 Research Objectives	3
1.5 Research Scope	3
1.6 Research Contributions	3
1.7 Research Challenges	4
1.8 Thesis Organization	4
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Wireless Sensor Network	6
2.2.1 Network Topologies	8
2.2.2 IEEE 802.15.4	10
2.2.3 Carrier Sensing Multiple Access with Collision Avoidance	15
2.2.4 Scheduling in WSN	16
2.3 Cognitive Radio Network	24
2.3.1 Spectrum Sensing (SS)	24
2.3.2 Interference	27
2.3.3 IEEE 802.22	28
2.4 Cognitive Radio Sensor Network	28
2.4.1 Scheduling in CRSN	29
2.5 Summary	32
3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	34
3.1 Introduction	34
3.2 General Structuring of the Research	35
3.3 Network Configuration	36
3.3.1 CRSN Architecture	36
3.3.2 First Tier of the CRSN Network	36

3.3.3	Second Tier of the CRSN Network	37
3.4	Proposed MAC Protocol in Homogeneous Radio Environments	37
3.4.1	Homogeneity and Heterogeneity	38
3.4.2	Interference and Accurate Spectrum Sensing	38
3.5	Proposed MAC Protocol in Heterogeneous Radio Environments	39
3.6	Packet Size Impact on the Performance	39
3.7	Analysing Proposed Paradigm Performance and the Validation	40
3.8	Summary	41
4	ADAPTIVE CRSN ARCHITECTURE AND WORKING MODEL	42
4.1	Introduction	42
4.2	Adaptive Network's Architecture and Working Model	42
4.2.1	Self Configuration and Self Healing Network	45
4.2.2	Description of CRSN's First Tier	47
4.3	Adaptive CRSN Architecture and Working Model Evaluation	49
4.4	Summary	51
5	PLIABLE COGNITIVE MAC PROTOCOL	52
5.1	Introduction	52
5.2	Prioritizing Impact on WSN Performance	52
5.3	PCMAC Protocol Description	55
5.3.1	PCMAC Network Model	57
5.4	Analysing the performance of the PCMAC	62
5.4.1	PU Behavior	68
5.5	Evaluation of PCMAC Protocol	69
5.6	Summery	75
6	IMPROVED PCMAC PROTOCOL	80
6.1	Introduction	80
6.2	Heterogeneous Radio Environment and Dynamic Channel Allocation	80
6.3	iPCMAC Performance Analysing	82
6.3.1	Imperfect Spectrum Sensing	83
6.4	iPCMAC Performance Evaluation	84
6.5	Packet Size Impact on CR-based Networks	88
6.6	Impact of Packet Size on PCMAC Performance	90
6.6.1	Interference Mitigation	90
6.6.2	Packet Size Impact Simulation	91
6.7	Summary	96
7	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK RECOMMENDATIONS	99
7.1	Conclusion	99
7.2	Future Work Recommendations	100
	REFERENCES	101
	APPENDICES	109
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	109
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	110

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Radio Specifications of a Sensor Node	9
2.2 CSMA-based vs TDMA-based Protocols	13
2.3 QoS Requirements by Traffic Type	30
3.1 Additional Performance Metrics	41
4.1 Backoff Random Values	50
5.1 Simulation Parameters for Prioritizing Evaluation	54
5.2 Comparison of Service Times Models.	67
5.3 Comparison of Throughput Models.	68
5.4 Simulation Parameters for PCMAC Protocol	69
6.1 Simulation Parameters for iPCMAC Protocol	84

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Short-Range networks comparison	7
2.2 Typical Wireless Sensor Network	8
2.3 Logical WSN topologies: a) Flat topology, b) Tree-based topology and c) Cluster-based topology	9
2.4 Typical WSN Networking Protocol Layers	11
2.5 IEEE 802.15.4 Superframe [26].	14
2.6 Conventional CSMA/CA Slotted Mechanism [26].	17
2.7 MAC Priority Classification	19
2.8 PriorityMAC Time Slot Structure	20
2.9 PRIMA Protocol frame Structure	21
2.10 iQueue Packet Structure	23
2.11 Opportunistic Spectrum Access.	25
2.12 Block Diagram of Conventional Energy Detector.	26
2.13 Hardware Structure of CRSN's Node	29
2.14 Typical Cognitive Radio Sensor Network.	30
3.1 Adopted Framework of Research Methodology	34
4.1 Adaptive CRSN architecture	43
4.2 Requests Evaluation Matrix	46
4.3 MAC Priority Classification	47
4.4 Adaptable Work Model of the CRSN's First Tier	48
4.5 Power Consumption Comparison	50
5.1 Received Packets Breakdown with priority	55
5.2 Received Packets ratio	55
5.3 Packets Reception Rate	56
5.4 Typical Cognitive Radio Sensor Network.	57
5.5 Spectrum access driven by the CSMA/CA-based common control channel	59
5.6 Virtual queues for packets	63
5.7 Two-State Markovian Model for PU Behavior	68
5.8 Relationship between λ_{HQ} and the delay in case of various values of N ; $\tau_{off}=0.5$, $\lambda_{LQ}=3$ [pkt/sec]	70
5.9 Relationship between λ_{HQ} and the delay in case of various values of τ_{off} ; $N=10$, $\lambda_{LQ}=3$ [pkt/s]	71
5.10 Relationship between N and the delay in case of various values of τ_{off} ; $\lambda_{LQ}=\lambda_{HQ}= 3$ [pkt/s]	72
5.11 Average delay comparison between PCMAC and DOSS MAC protocols; $C=5$; $\lambda_{LQ}=\lambda_{HQ}= 2$ [pkt/s]; $L= 3$ [kb]	73
5.12 Relationship between N and throughput in case of various values of C ; $\tau_{off}= 0.5$, $L= 1$ [kb]	74
5.13 Relationship between C and the throughput in case of various values of N ; $\tau_{off}=0.5$, $L= 1$ [kb]	75

5.14 Relationship between C and the throughput in case of various values of τ_{off} ; $N=10$, $L= 1$ [kb]	76
5.15 Relationship between C and the aggregated throughput in case of various values of τ_{off} ; $N=10$, $L= 1$ [kb]	77
5.16 Channel utilization ratio as a function of node density for different values of C ; $\tau_{off}=0.5$, $L= 1$ [kb]	78
5.17 Comparison curves of the aggregated throughput for PCMAC and DOSS MAC in various lengths of the data frame; $\tau_{off}=0.5$, $C=5$	79
6.1 US Radio Spectrum Bands (Source: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, National Telecommunications and Information Administration, 2016)	81
6.2 iPCMAC Delay and the Comparison with PCMAC; $\tau_{off}=0.5$, $C=10$	85
6.3 Relationship between N and the average delay in case of various values of τ_{off} , $C=10$	86
6.4 Average delay comparison between iPCMAC, PCMAC and DOSS protocols; $C = 10$.	87
6.5 Average throughput relationship with nodes density; $C=7$	88
6.6 Relationship between channel utilization ratio and number of channels; $N = 10$.	89
6.7 Average delay versus nodes density.	91
6.8 Average delay versus channel's busy time.	92
6.9 Average delay versus number of the available channels.	93
6.10 Impact of the packet length comparison between PCMAC and DOSS.	94
6.11 Throughput versus nodes density.	95
6.12 Throughput versus channel's idle time.	96
6.13 Throughput versus number of channels.	97
6.14 Achieved Throughput Comparison Between PCMAC and DOSS.	98

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

5G	5th Generation
ACK	Acknowledgement
ASK	Amplitude Shift Keying
AWGN	Additive White Gaussian Noise
BC	Business Continuity
BE	Backoff Exponent
BE	Best Effort
BPSK	Binary Phase Shift Keying
BS	Base Station
CBR	Constant Bit Rate
CC	Common Control
CCA	Clear Channel Assessment
CH	Cluster Head
CR	Cognitive Radio
CRSN	Cognitive Radio Sensor Network
CS	Carrier Sensing
CSMA	Carrier Sensing Multiple Access
CTS	Clear to Send
CW	Contention Window
DCA	Dynamic Channel Allocation
DDT	Dynamic Distribution Table
DIFS	Distributed Inter Frame Space
DOSS	Dynamic Open Spectrum Sharing
DSA	Dynamic Spectrum Access
DSSS	Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum
ECC	European Communications Committee
ED	Energy Detection
EDF	Earliest Deadline First
FCA	Fixed Channel Allocation
FCC	Federal Communications Commission
FCFS	First Come First Served
HQ	High Queue
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
IFS	Interframe Space
IoT	Internet of Things
ISM	Industrial, Scientific and Medical
IT	Interference Temperature
ITU	International Telecommunication Union
IWSAN	Industrial Wireless Sensor and Actuator Network
LQ	Low Queue
MAC	Medium Access Control
NAV	Network Allocation Vector
NB	Number of Backoff
NRT	Non Real Time
O-QPSK	Offset Quadrature Phase Shift Keying
OSA	Opportunistic Spectrum Access
PAN	Personal Area Network

PCMAC	Pliable Cognitive MAC
PU	Primary User
QoS	Quality of Service
RT	Real Time
RTS	Ready to Send
SIFS	Short Inter Frame Space
SNR	Signal to Noise Ratio
SS	Spectrum Sensing
SU	Secondary User
TDMA	Time Division Multiple Access
TRAMA	Traffic Adaptive Medium Access
WRAN	Wireless Regional Area Network
WSN	Wireless Sensor Network



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Wireless sensor networks (WSNs) are the most pervasive networks that have rapid increasing applications. Their main work concept is to sample physical values from the application environment and convey them to the management and controlling system where they can be processed and the useful information will be concluded. WSN application fields include e-Health, habitat monitoring, security, home control, industrial control and monitoring, asset tracking, supply chain management and smart cities. Typically, WSN consists of numerous number of small, low power, low transmission range, low data rate and low cost units, called the sensor nodes, deployed in a large scale area. WSN has a sink node that acts as a network gateway and it is responsible for collecting data from the end-sensing nodes.

Internet of things (IoT) is a new concept for enabling the connectivity anywhere, any time for any thing. The interoperability will be available between heterogeneous applications. The IoT concept is mainly driven by the WSN's applications market [1] [2]. WSN operates in the licensed-free radio spectrum bands, i.e., industrial, scientific and medical (ISM) bands, where technologies like cordless phones, Bluetooth, remote controls and WiFi also use the same bands. Sensing-node's resources limitation is the toughest obstacle against achieving high performances in such a hostile radio environment with a sever contention caused by the radio spectrum scarcity. Additionally, the inevitable interference induces high rates of data loss. The resulted excessive energy consumption will ultimately shorten the WSN network's lifetime [3].

Meanwhile, emerging monitoring applications and services employ various forms of data traffic and they are described as heterogeneous networks which means that they are built-up of various sensor types and serve different services. Because of this, there is a rapid need for new solutions that take into consideration the necessity of provisioning multiple QoS levels.

In the same time the new solutions should overcome challenges that can lead to significant performance degradation or even to a network failure, they must cope with WSN common characteristics [4]. In contrast to the congestion situation in the unlicensed bands, most of the licensed bands that are assigned to licensed users tend to become underutilized. Recent studies have shown that most licensed radio bands are underutilized for 15 - 85% of the time depending on the spatial location [5][6]. Utilizing unused radio spectrum becomes a promising solution to alleviate the congestion problem in the unlicensed radio spectrum.

Opportunistic spectrum access (OSA) is a recent concept that describes the dynamic utilizing of the radio spectrum holes in order to increase the efficiency of the spectrum utilization. Owing to that concept, cognitive radio (CR) technology employs the OSA strategy to permit unlicensed users, called secondary users (SUs), to share the

spectrum with licensed users, primary users (PUs). The latter users still have the priority of using the spectrum.

The cognition concept in CR implies obtaining knowledge about the geographical and operational conditions of the working field and exploit this knowledge to achieve deployment objectives through operational changes and then to learn from the resulted status in the next cognition cycle. Similarly, cognitive radio sensor network (CRSN) can be described as a WSN network that has the capability to utilize spectrum holes and it resembles other CR networks in their main principles.

1.2 Problem Statement

Beside the capability constrains that is the key attribute of the sensor nodes, there are strict obligations towards the licensed users in the CRSN. Moreover, the nature of the emerging applications requires providing multilevel of QoS. Currently, the available conceptions of dealing with such challenges are not efficient in terms of managing the available resources.

Existing solutions result in more negative effects regarding the network's complexity, power consumption, interference and cost aspects. In other words, the proposed solutions do not ensure the balancing between the required provisioning of QoS levels for the applications and maintaining the conventional characteristics of the WSN end-sensing nodes.

The majority of those studies employ inefficient architectures for the network and deal with end-sensor nodes as high-capability devices when they design their MAC protocols. Moreover, most of the current studies have not either treat the heterogeneity in the radio spectrum to be utilized in the CRSNs or treat the heterogeneity in the adjacent radio spectrum bands. Furthermore, no real attention to the absolute constraints that the CRSN's system must comply within order to protect primary users. In our study, we present a comprehensive solution that allocates network's resources in such a way that ensures provisioning multi-levels of QoS in the same time of requiring no more significant computational changes in the WSN.

1.3 Motivation

Managing the limited resources of a CRSN is of great significance in the trends of implementing the CR technology in the emerging WSN applications. In this research, we aim at stepping forward to deploy CR technique in WSN applications via proposing an efficient scheduling and radio resources scheme. The scheme copes with the common characteristics of the traditional WSN, ensures provisioning of multilevel of QoS and meets the obligations towards the licensed users.

1.4 Research Objectives

This research aims at proposing an efficient scheduling and resource allocation scheme. Accordingly, the objectives of this study can be summarized as follows:

1. Proposing an appropriate network's architecture with efficient work flow that not only incorporate CR technique, but also maintain sensor common characteristics.
2. Proposing a robust MAC protocol that encompasses an efficient scheduling and resource allocation scheme for a heterogeneous network operating in homogeneous CRSN's radio environment.
3. Enhancing the proposed MAC protocol to be suitable for heterogeneous networks that operate in heterogeneous CRSN's radio environments.
4. Investigating the impact of the packet size on the performance of the CRSN and determining the appropriate size, which is a key element to mitigate interference problem.

1.5 Research Scope

This research is mainly concerned about the affordable techniques and methods by which the traditional WSN can exploit CR technique to enhance its performance and to mitigate effects of the existing problems that associate CRSN implementation. Designing the network architecture and dividing it into two tiers and studying and analyzing the important issues in the each tier shape the general frame of the work. That enables us to focus more on the main issues that related to our main concern in the second tier in which the CR technology is exploited. Evaluating the performance of the proposed MAC protocol out of modelling the main performance metrics of wireless networks, namely delay and throughput.

1.6 Research Contributions

The main contributions of this research include:

1. Reinforcing the trends toward implementing CR technology in WSN domains through overcoming the significant challenges facing WSN technology such as the spectrum scarcity and the hostile radio environment.
2. Presenting a technical feasibility study of how to employ the CR technology in new monitoring fields in an efficient way that adds as less complexity as possible and maintains the conventional advantages of the WSN.

3. Proposing an efficient scheduling and resource allocation scheme for the CRSN that ensures multilevel of QoS provisioning with a robust performance even in various conditions of the radio environment. This scheme treats the real time and critical communications efficiently in terms of the delay and the correlated transmissions.
4. Allowing targeting wider ranges of the radio spectrum by enabling utilization of more channels belonging to different radio environments.
5. Extensive simulations are conducted to study the performance of the proposed scheme under various network settings, and comparisons are made with the related existing works

1.7 Research Challenges

Proposing a scheduling and resource allocation scheme for CRSN networks encounters many more challenges than that for other traditional networks. These challenges include:

- **Delay:** Delay is one of the key performance metrics and QoS measurements. For many emerging applications, the average packet delay must be in its minimal bounds.
- **Power consumption:** In designing the operations of the protocol, it is essential to consider the difficulties to renew the ideal sensor's source of energy. Decreasing the average delay time for the data packets does not ensure increasing the network's life time since it might require more computational capabilities and more complex operations.
- **Heterogeneous data traffic:** Regarding the emerging surveillance and monitoring applications, it is important to treat the diversity of data traffic, traffic pattern, and the required QoS. Special data transmissions such as the correlated transmissions for the event-based measurements is, also, an aspect of this issue.
- **Obligation towards the primary network:** Lacking of accuracy in the spectrum sensing functions would definitely cause interference between secondary users and primary users signals, which will be harmful for both networks.

1.8 Thesis Organization

This thesis is organized as follows:

- **Chapter 1** generally introduces the research's background, scope, contributions and difficulties.
- **Chapter 2** gives an overview of WSN and CRSN technologies and their mechanisms for scheduling and resource allocation. The key issues were explored with the related problems that have been addressed to date.

- **Chapter 3** explores the framework of the research and presents an idea about the research stages and the methods we take to treat each stage. It also shows the systematically the study is based on.
- **Chapter 4** presents the proposed architecture and working model of the CRSN's network. It also shows the analytical modelling and the evaluation of the network's performance in the first tier of the network architecture.
- **Chapter 5** introduces, in details, the proposed MAC protocol, Pliable Cognitive MAC (PCMAC). It also presents the analysing and modelling of the performance of the proposed protocol. Eventually, it evaluates the performance of the proposed protocol with a simulation and a comparison with a well-known work.
- **Chapter 6** shows the improved version of the proposed protocol, iPCMAC. It presents iPCMAC's outperformance that makes it suitable for the heterogeneous radio environments. It also highlights the significant impact of the packet size on the performance of the protocol and presents performances of packets with various sizes: small, medium and large. It concludes with suggestion about the appropriate size that results in the optimal performance.
- **Chapter 7** concludes the research and recommends the future works.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Peng Hu. A system architecture for software-defined industrial internet of things. In *IEEE International Conference on Ubiquitous Wireless Broadband (ICUWB)*, pages 1–5. IEEE, 2015.
- [2] Zhengguo Sheng, Chinmaya Mahapatra, Chunsheng Zhu, and Victor Leung. Recent advances in industrial wireless sensor networks towards efficient management in iot. *IEEE access*, 3:622–637, 2015.
- [3] Ian F Akyildiz, Won-Yeol Lee, Mehmet C Vuran, and Shantidev Mohanty. Next generation/dynamic spectrum access/cognitive radio wireless networks: A survey. *Computer networks*, 50(13):2127–2159, 2006.
- [4] Shuang-Hua Yang. *Wireless Sensor Networks Principles, Design and Applications*. Springer-Verlag London, 2014.
- [5] Ahmed Khattab, Dmitri Perkins, and Magdy Bayoumi. *Cognitive radio networks: from theory to practice*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [6] ET FCC. Docket no 03-222 notice of proposed rule making and order, December 2003.
- [7] Markus Becker. *Services in Wireless Sensor Networks: Modelling and Optimisation for the Efficient Discovery of Services*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2014.
- [8] Riccardo Cavallari, Flavia Martelli, Ramona Rosini, Chiara Buratti, and Roberto Verdone. A survey on wireless body area networks: Technologies and design challenges. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 16(3): 1635–1657, 2014.
- [9] Fabio Sebastiano, Lucien J Breems, and Kofi AA Makinwa. *Mobility-based time references for wireless sensor networks*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [10] Shahin Farahani. *ZigBee wireless networks and transceivers*. newnes, 2011.
- [11] Kun Yang. Wireless sensor networks. *Principles, Design and Applications*, 2014.
- [12] Quazi Mamun. A qualitative comparison of different logical topologies for wireless sensor networks. *Sensors*, 12(11):14887–14913, 2012.
- [13] P. Grazioso, V Tralli, P. Kulakowski, A. Carniani, and L Dobos. Advances in Wireless Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks. In *Pervasive Mobile and Ambient Wireless Communications*, pages 519–544, London, 2012. Springer London. Chapter 12.
- [14] Kien Nguyen et al. Efficient mac protocol design for wireless sensor networks. 2012.
- [15] Zusheng Zhang and Fengqi Yu. Performance analysis of cluster-based and tree-based routing protocols for wireless sensor networks. In *2010 International Conference on Communications and Mobile Computing (CMC)*, volume 1, pages 418–422. IEEE, 2010.

- [16] Adwitiya Sinha and Daya Krishan Lobiyal. Performance evaluation of data aggregation for cluster-based wireless sensor network. *Human-Centric Computing and Information Sciences*, 3(1):13, 2013.
- [17] Gyanendra Prasad Joshi and Sung Won Kim. A survey on node clustering in cognitive radio wireless sensor networks. *Sensors*, 16(9):1465, 2016.
- [18] Stefano Tennina, Anis Koubâa, Roberta Daidone, Mário Alves, Petr Jurčík, Ricardo Severino, Marco Tiloca, Jan-Hinrich Hauer, Nuno Pereira, Gianluca Dini, et al. *IEEE 802.15. 4 and ZigBee as enabling technologies for low-power wireless systems with quality-of-service constraints*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [19] Mario Collotta, Luca Gentile, Giovanni Pau, and Gianfranco Scata. Flexible IEEE 802.15. 4 deadline-aware scheduling for DPCSs using priority-based CSMA-CA. *Computers in Industry*, 65(8):1181–1192, 2014.
- [20] Joseph Kabara and Maria Calle. MAC protocols used by wireless sensor networks and a general method of performance evaluation. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*, 2012.
- [21] Shuguo Zhuo, Ye-Qiong Song, Zhi Wang, and Zhibo Wang. Queue-mac: A queue-length aware hybrid csma/tdma mac protocol for providing dynamic adaptation to traffic and duty-cycle variation in wireless sensor networks. In *2012 9th IEEE International Workshop on Factory Communication Systems (WFCS)*, pages 105–114. IEEE, 2012.
- [22] S. Ullah, B. Shen, Riazul I., P. Khan, S. Saleem, and K. Sup Kwak. A study of mac protocols for wbans. *Sensors*, 10(1):128–145, 2009.
- [23] Y. Rana, B. Liu, A. Nyandoro, and S. Jha. Bandwidth aware slot allocation in hybrid mac. In *Local Computer Networks, Proceedings 2006 31st IEEE Conference on*, pages 89–96. IEEE, 2006.
- [24] Mangesh Chitnis, Paolo Pagano, Giuseppe Lipari, and Yao Liang. A survey on bandwidth resource allocation and scheduling in wireless sensor networks. In *International Conference on Network-Based Information Systems*, pages 121–128. IEEE, 2009.
- [25] Bharat Shrestha, Ekram Hossain, and Kae Won Choi. Distributed and centralized hybrid csma/ca-tdma schemes for single-hop wireless networks. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 13(7):4050–4065, 2014.
- [26] IEEE 802 Working Group et al. Ieee standard for local and metropolitan area networkspart 15.4: Low-rate wireless personal area networks (lr-wpans). *IEEE Std*, 802:4–2011, 2011.
- [27] Zhefu Shi, Cory Beard, and Ken Mitchell. Analytical models for understanding space, backoff, and flow correlation in CSMA wireless networks. *Wireless networks*, 19(3):393–409, 2013.
- [28] Wei Yuan, Xiangyu Wang, and Jean-Paul MG Linnartz. A coexistence model of ieee 802.15. 4 and ieee 802.11 b/g. In *14th IEEE Symposium on Communications and Vehicular Technology in the Benelux*, pages 1–5. IEEE, 2007.

- [29] IEEE Standards Association et al. 802.11-2012-ieee standard for information technology–telecommunications and information exchange between systems local and metropolitan area networks–specific requirements part 11: Wireless lan medium access control (mac) and physical layer (phy) specifications. *IEEE Std*, 802, 2012.
- [30] N Ahmed, H Rahman, and Md I Hussain. A comparison of 802.11 ah and 802.15. 4 for iot. *ICT Express*, 2(3):100–102, 2016.
- [31] Kaixin Xu, Mario Gerla, and Sang Bae. How effective is the ieee 802.11 rts/cts handshake in ad hoc networks. In *IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference (GLOBECOM)*, volume 1, pages 72–76. IEEE, 2002.
- [32] A. Kolen, J. Lenstra, C. Papadimitriou, and F. Spieksma. Interval scheduling: A survey. *Naval Research Logistics (NRL)*, 54(5):530–543, 2007.
- [33] A. Allahverdi, C. Ng, T. Edwin Cheng, and M. Kovalyov. A survey of scheduling problems with setup times or costs. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 187(3):985–1032, 2008.
- [34] Wei Shen, Tingting Zhang, Filip Barac, and Mikael Gidlund. Prioritymac: A priority-enhanced mac protocol for critical traffic in industrial wireless sensor and actuator networks. *Industrial Informatics, IEEE Transactions on*, 10(1): 824–835, 2014.
- [35] Anis Koubâa, Mário Alves, Eduardo Tovar, and André Cunha. An implicit gts allocation mechanism in ieee 802.15. 4 for time-sensitive wireless sensor networks: theory and practice. *Real-Time Systems*, 39(1-3):169–204, 2008.
- [36] S Chatterjea, LFW Van Hoesel, and PJM Havinga. Ai-lmac: An adaptive, information-centric and lightweight mac protocol for wireless sensor networks. In *Intelligent Sensors, Sensor Networks and Information Processing Conference, 2004. Proceedings of the 2004*, pages 381–388. IEEE, 2004.
- [37] Pei Huang, Li Xiao, Soroor Soltani, Matt W Mutka, and Ning Xi. The evolution of mac protocols in wireless sensor networks: A survey. *Communications Surveys & Tutorials, IEEE*, 15(1):101–120, 2013.
- [38] Deji Chen, Mark Nixon, Song Han, Aloysius K Mok, and Xiuming Zhu. Wireless-hart and ieee 802.15. 4e. In *Industrial Technology (ICIT), 2014 IEEE International Conference on*, pages 760–765. IEEE, 2014.
- [39] Mohammad Arifuzzaman, Mitsuji Matsumoto, and Takuro Sato. An intelligent hybrid mac with traffic-differentiation-based qos for wireless sensor networks. *Sensors Journal, IEEE*, 13(6):2391–2399, 2013.
- [40] Jalel Ben-Othman, Lynda Mokdad, and Bashir Yahya. An energy efficient priority-based qos mac protocol for wireless sensor networks. In *Communications (ICC), 2011 IEEE International Conference on*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2011.
- [41] Navrati Saxena, Abhishek Roy, and Jitae Shin. A qos-based energy-aware mac protocol for wireless multimedia sensor networks. In *Vehicular Technology Conference, 2008. VTC Spring 2008. IEEE*, pages 183–187. IEEE, 2008.

- [42] Krishna Kant Chintalapudi and Lakshmi Venkatraman. On the design of mac protocols for low-latency hard real-time discrete control applications over 802.15. 4 hardware. In *Information Processing in Sensor Networks, 2008. IPSN'08. International Conference on*, pages 356–367. IEEE, 2008.
- [43] Matthias Ringwald and K Romer. Burstmacan efficient mac protocol for correlated traffic bursts. In *Networked Sensing Systems (INSS), 2009 Sixth International Conference on*, pages 1–9. IEEE, 2009.
- [44] Injong Rhee, Ajit Warriar, Mahesh Aia, Jeongki Min, and Mihail L Sichitiu. Z-mac: a hybrid mac for wireless sensor networks. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking (TON)*, 16(3):511–524, 2008.
- [45] Lanny Sitanayah, Cormac J Sreenan, and Kenneth N Brown. Er-mac: A hybrid mac protocol for emergency response wireless sensor networks. In *Sensor Technologies and Applications (SENSORCOMM), 2010 Fourth International Conference on*, pages 244–249. IEEE, 2010.
- [46] Shuguo Zhuo, Zhi Wang, Ye-Qiong Song, Zhibo Wang, and Luis Almeida. iqueue-mac: A traffic adaptive duty-cycled mac protocol with dynamic slot allocation. In *Sensor, Mesh and Ad Hoc Communications and Networks (SECON), 2013 10th Annual IEEE Communications Society Conference on*, pages 95–103. IEEE, 2013.
- [47] Venkatesh Rajendran, Katia Obraczka, and Jose Joaquin Garcia-Luna-Aceves. Energy-efficient, collision-free medium access control for wireless sensor networks. *Wireless Networks*, 12(1):63–78, 2006.
- [48] Huaming Li and Jindong Tan. An ultra-low-power medium access control protocol for body sensor network. In *Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, 2005. IEEE-EMBS 2005. 27th Annual International Conference of the*, pages 2451–2454. IEEE, 2005.
- [49] Nidal Nasser, Lutful Karim, and Tarik Taleb. Dynamic multilevel priority packet scheduling scheme for wireless sensor network. *Wireless Communications, IEEE Transactions on*, 12(4):1448–1459, 2013.
- [50] Alexandros G Fragkiadakis, Elias Z Tragos, and Ioannis G Askoxylakis. A survey on security threats and detection techniques in cognitive radio networks. *IEEE communications surveys and tutorials*, 15(1):428–445, 2013.
- [51] Alexander M Wyglinski, Maziar Nekovee, and Thomas Hou. *Cognitive radio communications and networks: principles and practice*. Academic Press, 2009.
- [52] ITU Radiocommunication Sector. Definitions of software defined radio (sdr) and cognitive radio system (crs) report. *ITU-R SM*, 2152, 2009.
- [53] Maria-Gabriella Di Benedetto and Faouzi Bader. *Cognitive Communication and Cooperative HetNet Coexistence: Selected Advances on Spectrum Sensing, Learning, and Security Approaches*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2014.
- [54] Amir Sepasi Zahmati, Sattar Hussain, Xavier Fernando, and Ali Grami. Cognitive wireless sensor networks: emerging topics and recent challenges. In *Science and Technology for Humanity (TIC-STH), 2009 IEEE Toronto International Conference*, pages 593–596. IEEE, 2009.

- [55] Monisha Ghosh. Cognitive radios in television white spaces. In *Television Goes Digital*, pages 187–207. Springer, 2009.
- [56] Naima Kaabouch. *Handbook of Research on Software-Defined and Cognitive Radio Technologies for Dynamic Spectrum Management*. IGI Global, 2014.
- [57] Oliver Holland, Hanna Bogucka, and Arturas Medeisis. *Opportunistic spectrum sharing and white space access: The practical reality*. John Wiley & Sons, 2015.
- [58] Danijela Cabric, Shridhar Mubaraq Mishra, and Robert W Brodersen. Implementation issues in spectrum sensing for cognitive radios. In *Conference record of the thirty-eighth Asilomar conference on systems and computers Signals*, volume 1, pages 772–776. IEEE, 2004.
- [59] Won-Yeol Lee and Ian F Akyildiz. Optimal spectrum sensing framework for cognitive radio networks. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 7(10):3845–3857, 2008.
- [60] Saman Atapattu, Chintha Tellambura, and Hai Jiang. *Energy detection for spectrum sensing in cognitive radio*. Springer, 2014.
- [61] Sener Dikmese, Tero Ihalainen, and Markku Renfors. Analysis and optimization of energy detection for non-flat spectral characteristics. In *Cognitive Communication and Cooperative HetNet Coexistence*, pages 47–69. Springer, 2014.
- [62] IEEE Standards Association et al. Part 22: Cognitive wireless ran medium access control (mac) and physical layer (phy) specifications: Policies and procedures for operation in the tv bands. *IEEE Std*, pages 802–22, 2011.
- [63] Andrea Mariani, Andrea Giorgetti, and Marco Chiani. Recent advances on wideband spectrum sensing for cognitive radio. In *Cognitive Communication and Cooperative HetNet Coexistence*, pages 1–31. Springer, 2014.
- [64] Amir Ghasemi and Elvino S Sousa. Spectrum sensing in cognitive radio networks: requirements, challenges and design trade-offs. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 46(4):32–39, 2008.
- [65] Ian F Akyildiz, Brandon F Lo, and Ravikumar Balakrishnan. Cooperative spectrum sensing in cognitive radio networks: A survey. *Physical communication*, 4(1):40–62, 2011.
- [66] Bruce Alan Fette. *RF & wireless technologies*. Newnes, 2008.
- [67] Bo Yang. *Competition, cooperation and cognition in wireless resource allocation*. PhD thesis, City University of Hong Kong, 2009.
- [68] ChallapaliK CordeiroC et al. Ieee 802.22: an introduction to the first wireless standard based on cognitive radios. *Journal of Communications*, 1(1):328, 2006.
- [69] Ozgur B Akan, Osman B Karli, and Ozgur Ergul. Cognitive radio sensor networks. *IEEE network*, 23(4), 2009.
- [70] I. Demirkol, C. Ersoy, and F. Alagoz. Mac protocols for wireless sensor networks: a survey. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 44(4):115–121, 2006.

- [71] B. Yahya and J. Ben-Othman. Towards a classification of energy aware mac protocols for wireless sensor networks. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 9(12):1572–1607, 2009.
- [72] Peng Hu and Mohamed Ibnkahla. A mac protocol with mobility support in cognitive radio ad hoc networks: Protocol design and analysis. *Ad Hoc Networks*, 17:114–128, 2014.
- [73] ITUT ITU-T. Recommendation g. 114. *One-Way Transmission Time, Standard G*, 114, 2003.
- [74] Lynda Mokdad, Jalel Ben-Othman, Bashir Yahya, and S Niagne. Performance evaluation tools for qos mac protocol for wireless sensor networks. *Ad Hoc Networks*, 12:86–99, 2014.
- [75] Tatiana Onali. *Quality of service technologies for multimedia applications in next generation networks*. PhD thesis, Università degli Studi di Cagliari, 2008.
- [76] Yosef Alayev. *Scheduling and resource allocation in wireless sensor networks*. PhD thesis, THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, 2014.
- [77] Ghalib Shah, Ozgur B Akan, et al. Cognitive adaptive medium access control in cognitive radio sensor networks. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 64(2):757–767, 2015.
- [78] Ghalib A Shah and Ozgur B Akan. Performance analysis of csma-based opportunistic medium access protocol in cognitive radio sensor networks. *Ad Hoc Networks*, 15:4–13, 2014.
- [79] Isameldin Suliman and Janne Lehtomäki. Queueing analysis of opportunistic access in cognitive radios. In *Second International Workshop on Cognitive Radio and Advanced Spectrum Management*, pages 153–157. IEEE, 2009.
- [80] Zhongliang Liang and Dongmei Zhao. Quality of service performance of a cognitive radio sensor network. In *IEEE international conference on Communications (ICC)*, pages 1–5. IEEE, 2010.
- [81] S Feng and D Zhao. Design and performance analysis of a cognitive radio sensor network. In *Proc. IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC)*, 2010.
- [82] L. Ma, X. Han, and C. Shen. Dynamic open spectrum sharing mac protocol for wireless ad hoc networks. In *IEEE International Symposium on New Frontiers in Dynamic Spectrum Access Networks*, pages 203–213. IEEE, 2005.
- [83] Jong-Hong Park, Yeonghun Nam, and Jong-Moon Chung. Analysis of channel access with spectrum handoff in cluster based cognitive radio sensor networks. In *International Conference on ICT Convergence (ICTC)*, pages 232–233. IEEE, 2013.
- [84] Burhan Gulbahar and Ozgur B Akan. Information theoretical optimization gains in energy adaptive data gathering and relaying in cognitive radio sensor networks. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 11(5):1788–1796, 2012.

- [85] Kyung Jae Kim, Kyung Sup Kwak, and Bong Dae Choi. Performance analysis of opportunistic spectrum access protocol for multi-channel cognitive radio networks. *Journal of Communications and Networks*, 15(1):77–86, 2013.
- [86] Ruilong Deng, Jiming Chen, Chau Yuen, Peng Cheng, and Youxian Sun. Energy-efficient cooperative spectrum sensing by optimal scheduling in sensor-aided cognitive radio networks. *Vehicular Technology, IEEE Transactions on*, 61(2):716–725, 2012.
- [87] Fereshteh Aalamifar, Parisa Abedi Khoozani, and Mohamed Ibnkahla. Multiple cognitive nodes in wireless sensor networks. In *26th Biennial Symposium on Communications (QBSC)*, pages 194–197. IEEE, 2012.
- [88] Miriam Carlos-Mancilla, Ernesto López-Mellado, and Mario Siller. Wireless sensor networks formation: approaches and techniques. *Journal of Sensors*, 2016, 2016.
- [89] S. Ullah, D. Kwak, C. Lee, H. Lee, and K. Kwak. Numerical analysis of csma/ca for pattern-based wban system. In *Biomedical Engineering and Informatics, 2009. BMEI'09. 2nd International Conference on*, pages 1–3. IEEE, 2009.
- [90] Marek Miśkiewicz. Analysis of mean access delay in variable-window csm. *Sensors*, 7(12):3535–3559, 2007.
- [91] Ozgur Ergul and Ozgur B Akan. Energy-efficient cooperative spectrum sensing for cognitive radio sensor networks. In *IEEE Symposium on Computers and Communications (ISCC)*, pages 000465–000469. IEEE, 2013.
- [92] Ozgur Ergul and Ozgur B Akan. Cooperative coarse spectrum sensing for cognitive radio sensor networks. In *IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC)*, pages 2055–2060. IEEE, 2014.
- [93] Won-Yeol Lee and Ian F Akyldiz. A spectrum decision framework for cognitive radio networks. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, 10(2):161–174, 2011.
- [94] Sheldon M Ross. *Introduction to probability models*. Academic press, 2014.
- [95] John A Buzacott and J George Shanthikumar. *Stochastic models of manufacturing systems*, volume 4. Prentice Hall Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1993.
- [96] Val Andrei Fajardo. A generalization of m/g/1 priority models via accumulating priority. 2016.
- [97] Haitao Zhao, Emiliano Garcia-Palacios, Jibo Wei, and Yong Xi. Accurate available bandwidth estimation in ieee 802.11-based ad hoc networks. *Computer Communications*, 32(6):1050–1057, 2009.
- [98] Giuseppe Bianchi. Performance analysis of the ieee 802.11 distributed coordination function. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 18(3): 535–547, 2000.

- [99] Rayadurgam Srikant and Lei Ying. *Communication networks: an optimization, control, and stochastic networks perspective*. Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [100] Yasir Saleem and Mubashir Husain Rehmani. Primary radio user activity models for cognitive radio networks: A survey. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 43:1–16, 2014.
- [101] Daniel Willkomm, Sridhar Machiraju, Jean Bolot, and Adam Wolisz. Primary user behavior in cellular networks and implications for dynamic spectrum access. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 47(3), 2009.
- [102] D. Kang, S. Park, C. Joo, and S. Bahk. Address-free contention in wireless access networks with common control channel for throughput improvement. *Computer Networks*, 64:112–124, 2014.
- [103] W. Tang, M. Shakir, M. Imran, R. Tafazolli, and M. Alouini. Throughput analysis for cognitive radio networks with multiple primary users and imperfect spectrum sensing. *Communications, IET*, 6(17):2787–2795, 2012.
- [104] W. Ejaz, H. S. Kim, et al. Frame size selection in csma-based cognitive radio wireless local area networks. *Transactions on Emerging Telecommunications Technologies*, 2014.
- [105] T. O. Kim, A. S. Alfa, and B. D. Choi. Performance analysis of a csma/ca based mac protocol for cognitive radio networks. In *Vehicular Technology Conference Fall (VTC 2010-Fall), 2010 IEEE 72nd*, pages 1–5. IEEE, 2010.
- [106] Fazirulhisyam H. Al-Medhwahi, M. The adaptive cognitive radio sensor network: A perspective towards the feasibility. In *Telematics and Future Generation Networks (TAFGEN), 2015 1st International Conference on*, pages 6–11. IEEE, 2015.

BIODATA OF STUDENT

Mohammed Al-Medhwahi was born in Kohlan Affar district, Hajjah province, Yemen on the 21st of September in 1976. He graduated from Althoura secondary school in Hajjah in 1995. He studied the Electronic Engineering at Faculty of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering of Tishreen University, Syria, and graduated in 2002.

From 2002 to 2013, he was working at Yemen Telecom and Yemen Mobile companies as an engineer of operation and maintenance for Electronic Switches and Intelligent Networks. He obtained his MSc in Communication Engineering from the Faculty of Engineering of Sana'a University, Yemen, in 2011. His research interests include wireless sensor networks, RFID and cognitive radio networks.



LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journals Papers

- M. Al-Medhwahi**, F. Hashim, B. M. Ali, A. Sali. 2016. Pliable Cognitive MAC for Heterogeneous Adaptive Cognitive Radio Sensor Networks. *PLoS one Journal*, 11(6), p.e0156880.
- M. Al-Medhwahi**, F. Hashim, B. M. Ali and A. Sali. 2018. Impact of Packet Size in Adaptive Cognitive Radio Sensor Network. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*. vol. 2018. Article ID 3051204.
- M. Al-Medhwahi**, F. Hashim, B. M. Ali, A. Sali and A. Alkholidi. 2018. Resource Allocation in Multilevel Heterogeneous Cognitive Radio Sensor Networks. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*. (Submitted)

Conferences Papers

- M. Al-Medhwahi** and F. Hashim. 2015. The adaptive Cognitive Radio Sensor Network: A perspective towards the feasibility. In *IEEE 1st International Conference on Telematics and Future Generation Networks (TAFGEN)*, 2015 May 26 (pp. 6-11).
- M. Al-Medhwahi**, F. Hashim, B. M. Ali and A. Sali. 2016. Medium Access Prioritizing in the Heterogeneous Low-Rate Wireless PANs. In *IEEE 3rd International Symposium on Telecommunication Technologies (ISTT)*, Kuala Lumpur, 28-30 Nov 2016.