

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

DEVELOPMENT OF PREDICTIVE MODEL FOR STOOP AND SQUAT POSTURES ON PHYSIOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOPHYSICAL RESPONSES IN MANUAL LIFTING TASKS

NOR HAFEEZAH BINTI KAMARUDIN

FK 2019 59



DEVELOPMENT OF PREDICTIVE MODEL FOR STOOP AND SQUAT POSTURES ON PHYSIOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOPHYSICAL RESPONSES IN MANUAL LIFTING TASKS

By

NOR HAFEEZAH BINTI KAMARUDIN

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

January 2019

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

DEVELOPMENT OF PREDICTIVE MODEL FOR STOOP AND SQUAT POSTURES ON PHYSIOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOPHYSICAL RESPONSES IN MANUAL LIFTING TASKS

By

NOR HAFEEZAH BINTI KAMARUDIN

January 2019

Chair Faculty : Siti Anom Ahmad, PhD : Engineering

Malaysia's economic growth is led by several industrial sectors and it involves thousands of workers. Even with the increased use of automation and new technology, manual material handling (MMH) still exist in the industry due to high flexibility and low in cost. MMH contributed as major cause of work-related musculoskeletal disorders (MSD) cases in Malaysia as high as 40%. Posture and weight load identified as the leading ergonomic risk factors to MSD during the MMH task. Posture and weight load identified as the leading ergonomic risk factors to MSD during the MMH task. Frequently used postures, stoop and squat were studied since most researchers come to argument about the correct technique as squat were presumed the safest way to lift but stoop were preferred by most workers. The main objective of this study is to develop a predictive model of MAWL for stoop and squat postures in MMH task. The effects of load, frequency and height on both postures were identified together with the effect of physiological and psychophysical responses on male and female subjects. The methodology applied uses a total of 36 healthy male and female subjects between the ages of 20 to 35 years. The experimental lifting task was designed based on different load weights, heights and frequency (independent variables). The effects of the independent variables on physiological (heart rate and energy expenditure) and psychophysical (rating of perceived exertion (RPE) and maximum acceptable weight limit (MAWL)) responses were studied. The predictive models were developed based on the independent and dependent variables analysis. The results showed that the load, frequency and height were significant factors with physiological and psychophysical response of stoop and squat postures. Heart rate, energy expenditure and RPE increases as the load, frequency and height increase. Meanwhile, MAWL decreases as the frequency and height of lifting increases in both postures. Stoop denote higher MAWL than squat posture as it produces lower heart rate and energy expenditure compared to squat. The significant factors (p<0.05) associated with the MAWL predictive models are subjects, height, heart rate and energy expenditure. The model significantly linear towards the stoop (R = 0.957) and squat (R = 0.922). MAWL predictive model successfully demonstrates and provides guidelines in evaluating the safe loads and without exceeding the limits of the workers in stoop and squat lifting postures during MMH task.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PEMBANGUNAN MODEL RAMALAN UNTUK POSTUR STOOP DAN SQUAT BERDASARKAN TINDAK BALAS FISIOLOGIKAL DAN PSIKOFIZIKAL SEMASA TUGAS MENGANGKAT MANUAL

Oleh

NOR HAFEEZAH BINTI KAMARUDIN

Januari 2019

Pengerusi Fakulti : Siti Anom Ahmad, PhD : Kejuruteraan

Pertumbuhan ekonomi Malaysia dipengaruhi oleh beberapa sektor perindustrian dan melibatkan ribuan pekerja. Walaupun dengan peningkatan penggunaan automasi dan teknologi baru, pengendalian bahan manual (MMH) masih wujud dalam industri kerana fleksibel dan kos yang rendah. MMH menjadi penyumbang utama kes gangguan muskuloskeletal (MSD) yang berkaitan dengan kerja di Malaysia iaitu setinggi 40%. Postur dan berat beban dikenalpasti sebagai faktor utama risiko ergonomik yang membawa kepada MSD semasa tugas MMH. Dalam kajian ini, postur yang kerap digunakan dalam tugas MMH, stoop dan squat dikaji. Postur stoop dan squat dikaji kerana sering menjadi pertikaian dikalangan penyelidik, postur sguat dianggap postur yang selamat untuk mengangkat tetapi stoop lebih disukai oleh kebanyakan pekeria. Objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk membangunkan model ramalan MAWL untuk postur stoop dan squat. Kesan beban, kekerapan dan ketinggian kepada postur serta menentukan kesan fisiologi dan psikofizik terhadap subjek lelaki dan perempuan. Metodologi kajian yang digunakan melibatkan seramai 36 subjek lelaki dan perempuan yang sihat, berumur diantara 20 hingga 35 tahun.Tugas mengangkat direka berdasarkan berat beban, ketinggian dan kekerapan yang berbeza (pembolehubah bebas). Kesan pembolehubah bebas terhadap fisiologi (kadar denyutan jantung dan penggunaan tenaga) dan psikofizik (rating of perceived exertion (RPE) dan maximum acceptable weight limit (MAWL)) telah dikaji. Model ramalan telah dibangunkan berdasarkan analisis pembolehubah bergantung dan bebas. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa berat beban, kekerapan dan ketinggian adalah faktor yang signifikan dengan tindak balas fisiologi dan psikofizik terhadap postur stoop dan squat. Kadar denjutan jantung, penggunaan tenaga dan RPE meningkat apabila beban, kekerapan dan ketinggian mengangkat meningkat. Sementara itu, MAWL berkurangan kerana kekerapan dan ketinggian mengangkat meningkat untuk kedua-dua postur. Stoop menunjukkan MAWL yang lebih tinggi daripada squat kerana stoop menyebabkan kadar denyutan jantung dan penggunaan tenaga yang lebih rendah berbanding squat. Faktor-faktor signifikan (p<0.05) yang dikaitkan dengan model ramalan MAWL adalah subjek, ketinggian, kadar denyutan jantung dan penggunaan tenaga. Model ini berkadar linear dengan stoop (R = 0.957) dan squat (R = 0.922). Model ramalan MAWL berjaya menunjukkan dan menyediakan garis panduan dalam menilai berat beban yang selamat dan tidak melampaui had keupayaan pekerja semasa melakukan tugas MMH dalam postur stoop dan squat.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

"In the name of Allah, the Beneficent, the Merciful"

First and foremost, I express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc Prof Ir. Dr. Siti Anom Ahmad, for her tremendous guidance, encouragement, understanding and support in carrying out this work. Also, special thanks to my co-supervisor, Prof Dr. Rosnah Md Yusoff, Assoc. Prof Ir. Dr. Mohd Khair Hassan and Assoc. Prof Ir. Dr Siti Zawiah Md Dawal (Universiti Malaya) for their advice and exchange of ideas during the research works.

I dedicate this work to all of my family members especially my parents, for their prayers and continuous support in my life. My special appreciation to my dearest husband, Muhad Rozi Nawi, sons and daughter, Harith Rasydan, Hafeez Rashidi and Hanis Rania, who have been so supportive and patient throughout this journey.

I am also thankful to my examiners, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Faieza Abdul Aziz, Dr Karmegam a/I Karuppiah and Prof. Keith Case for their comments and suggestions to improve the quality of my thesis.

Apart from them, my sincere thanks and regards to all technical staff of Engineering Laboratory, my fellow colleagues who had helped and supported me during my research works.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on (15 January 2019) to conduct the final examination of Nor Hafeezah binti Kamarudin on her thesis entitled ("Development of Predictive Model for Stoop and Squat Postures on Physiological and Psychophysical Responses in Manual Lifting Tasks") in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Mohd Nizar Hamidon, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Faieza Abdul Aziz, PhD Associate Professor

Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Karmegam a/I Karuppiah, PhD

Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Keith Case, PhD

Professor School of Mechanical, Electrical and Manufacturing Engineering Loughborough University United Kingdom (External Examiner)

> (RUSLI ABDULLAH, PhD) Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Siti Anom Ahmad, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Rosnah Mohd Yusuff, PhD

Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Mohd Khair Hassan, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Siti Zawiah Md Dawal, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti of Malaya (Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:	Date:
Name and Matric No.:	

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

6

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee:	PM
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	V
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	XV
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi

CHAPTER

1	INTR	ODUCTION	1
	1.1	Research Overview	1
	1.2	Problem Statement	3
	1.3	Aim and Objectives	5
	1.4	Scope of Works	5
	1.5	Contributions	6
	1.6	Thesis Outline	6
2	LITE	RATURE REVIEW	8
	2.1	Introduction	8
	2.2	Manual Material Handling	8
		2.2.1 Manual Material Handling Hazard	9
	2.3	Manual Lifting Task	11
		2.3.1 Lifting Posture	12
	2.4	Manual Material Handling Approaches	15
		2.4.1 Physiological Approach	16
		2.4.2 Psychophysical Approach	19
	2.5	Manual Material Handling Factors	22
		2.5.1 Load	22
		2.5.2 Height	23
		2.5.3 Frequency	23
		2.5.4 Anthropometry	24
	2.6	Manual Material Handling Guidelines	25
		2.6.1 Revised NIOSH Lifting Equation	25
		2.6.2 NIOSH Lifting Equation Limitations	30
	2.7	Manual Material Handling Prediction Model	30
		2.7.1 Physiological Prediction Models	30
		2.7.2 Psychophysical Prediction Models	34
	2.8	Comparison of the Manual Lifting Task with	36
		Previous Research	
	2.9	Summary	37
3	MET	HODOLOGY	38
	3.1	Introduction	38

х

3.2	Lifting Variables		
	3.2.1	Load	40
	3.2.2	Frequency	43
	3.2.3	Height	43
3.3	Experime	ntal Apparatus	43
	331	Actiheart	43
	332	Measuring Tools and Pulse Rate	45
	0.0.2	Ovimeter	
21	Exporimo	untal Dosign	45
5.4		Subjects Concenting and Decruitment	40
	3.4.1	Subjects Screening and Recruitment	40
	3.4.2	workstation	47
	3.4.3	Posture	48
	3.4.4	Experimental Lifting Task	49
	3.4.5	Experimental Procedures	50
3.5	Data Coll	ection and Analysis	52
	3.5.1	Physiological	53
	3.5.2	Psychophysical	53
3.6	Statistica	l Analysis	53
3.7	MAWL P	redictive Model Development and	54
	Validation		
	3.7.1	MAWL Predictive Model Development	54
	3.7.2	Predictive Model Validation	55
RESU	LT AND D	ISCUSSION	56
4.1	Introducti	on	56
4.2	Variables		56
	4.2.1	Independent Variable	56
	422	Dependent Variable	57
	423	Controlled Variables	57
43	Lifting Po	sture and Session	58
1.0	431	Lifting Posture	58
	432	Lifting Session	50
11	Physioloc	vical Response Analysis	61
4.4	4 4 1	Posting Heart Pate Steady State Pady	61
	4.4.1	Resting heart Rate Steady State Dody	01
	440	Effect of Lood Erequency and Height	60
	4.4.2	Effect of Load, Frequency and Height	02
		Subjects Heart Rate for Stoop and	
	4.4.0	Squal Postures	07
	4.4.3	Effect of Load, Frequency and Height	67
		on Subjects Energy Expenditure for	
		Stoop and Squat Postures	70
4.5	Psychoph	iysical Response Analysis	72
	4.5.1	Rating of Perceived Exertion (RPE)	/2
	4.5.2	Effect of Posture on MAWL at different	75
		Frequency and Height	
4.6	Relations	hip of MAWL and Physiological	80
	Response	es at different Frequency and Height	
	4.6.1	Effect of Subjects, Frequency and	80
		Height on MAWL and Physiological	
		Response	
	4.6.2	Comparison of MAWL and	81

4

xi

			Physiological Response among Subject and Frequency at Lifting	
			Height of 70 cm	
		4.6.3	Comparison of MAWL and	82
			Physiological Response among	
			Height of 130 cm	
		4.6.4	Relationship of Heart Rate and Energy Expenditure with MAWL	83
	4.7	Maximum Predictive	Acceptable Weight Limit (MAWL)	84
		4.7.1	MAWL Predictive Model for Stoop Posture	84
		4.7.2	MAWL Predictive Model for Squat Posture	85
	4.8	MAWL Pr	edictive Model Validation	86
	4 <u>.</u> 9	Summary		87
5	CONC FUTU	LUSION A	AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR	89
	5.1	Conclu	isions	89
	5.2	Recom	mendations for Future Work	90
REFERENCE	S			91
APPENDICE	S			103
BIODATA OF				134
				135

6

LIST OF TABLES

Table	e	Page
2.1	Physical Ergonomic Risk Factors	10
2.2	The net effect of work and workplace factors on metabolic	18
2.3	Limit energy expenditure for frequent lifting (kcal/min)	19
2.4	RPE Borg Scale	21
2.5	Weight load	22
2.6	Height	23
2.7	Mean Anthropometric Data for Several Regions in the World	24
2.8	RWL Multiplier	27
2.9	Recommended Weight Limit equation variables	27
2.10	Frequency Multiplier	27
2.11	Coupling Multiplier	28
2.12	Lifting Index	29
2.13	Previous Researches of Physiological Prediction Models	31
2.14	Previous Researches of Psychophysical Prediction Models	34
2.15	Comparison of the Manual Lifting Task with Previous	36
3.1	NIOSH Multiplier Factor of RWL and LI	41
3.2	NIOSH Multiplier Factor	41
3.3	RWL and LI for 70 cm lifting height	42
3.4	RWL and LI for 130 cm lifting height	42
3.5	Demographic Data of Subjects	47
4.1	Independent Variables	56
4.2	Dependent Variables	57
4.3	Controlled Variables	57
4.4	Summary of all variables involved in the lifting task and measurement units	58
4.5	Lifting task sessions	59
4.6	Mean and Standard Deviation (SD) of demographic data	61
47	Mean Resting Heart Rate	61
48	Mean Heart Rate of Stoop and Squat Postures at Height	63
	= 70 cm at different I oad and Frequency	
4.9	Mean Heart Rate of Stoop and Squat Postures at Height	64
4.10	Independent t-test comparisons between subjects for	66
4.11	Independent t-test comparisons between subjects for	67
4.12	Mean Energy Expenditure for Stoop and Squat Postures	69
4.13	Mean Energy Expenditure for Stoop and Squat Postures at Height = 130 cm	69
4.14	Independent t-test comparisons of energy expenditure	70

	(kcal/min) between subjects for stoop posture	
4.15	Independent t-test comparisons of energy expenditure	71
	(kcal/min) between subjects for squat posture	
4.16	RPE Stoop at Height = 70 cm and 130 cm	72
4.17	RPE Squat at Height = 70 cm and 130 cm	73
4.18	Mean MAWL of subjects in Stoop and Squat posture at	75
	Height = 70 cm	
4.19	Mean MAWL of subjects in Stoop and Squat posture at	77
	Height = 130 cm	
4.20	Summary of MANOVA analysis	81
4.21	Tukey HSD pairwise comparisons between subject and	81
	frequency at height of 70 cm	
4.22	Tukey HSD pairwise comparisons between subject and	83
	frequency at height of 130 cm	
4.23	Correlations between heart rate, energy expenditure,	84
	height, fr <mark>equency and subje</mark> cts	
4.24	MAWL Regression model summary for stoop posture	84
4.25	MAWL Regression model summary for squat posture	85
4.26	SEE for the MAWL of Stoop posture	86
4.27	SEE for the MAWL of Squat posture	86

 \bigcirc

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Occupational MSD cases reported to SOCSO	2
1.2	Correct lifting versus incorrect lifting	4
2.1	General structure of Literature Review	8
2.2	Manual Materials Handling	9
2.3	Stoop and Squat Postures	12
2.4	Squat Posture	13
2.5	Stoop Posture	14
2.6	Low back biomechanical model of static lifting	15
2.7	Lifting Variables	26
2.8	Variables of NIOSH Lifting Equation	29
3.1	General structure of research methodology	38
3.2	Research Flowchart	39
3.3	Lifting task variables	40
3.4	Actiheart monitoring device	44
3.5	ECG electrode placements	44
3.6	Actineart user interface	45
3.7	Measuring Tools and Pulse Rate Oximeter	45
3.8	Flowchart of Subject Screening during Lifting Task	46
3.9		48
3.10	Lifting task session	49
3.11	Lifting task	50 51
3.1Z	Lifting task duration	51
3.13	Steen and equat posture	52 50
4.1	Moon Heart Pote for Steep and Squat Postures at	00 64
4.2	Height = 70 cm	04
12	Moon Hoort Pate for Steep and Squat Postures at	65
4.5	Height = 130 cm	05
11	Mean RPE Stoon and Squat at H = 70 cm	73
4.4 4.5	Mean RPE Stoop and Squat at H = 130 cm	74
4.6	Mean MAWL of Stoop and Squat at Height = 70 cm	76
4.0	MAWI Stoop and Squat Posture of subjects at Height =	76
7.7	70 cm	10
48	Mean MAWL of Stoop and Squat at Height = 130 cm	78
4.9	MAWI Stoop and Squat Posture of subjects at Height =	79
	130 cm	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
BPM	Beats per minute
DOSH	Department of Occupational Safety and Health
ECG	Electrocardiography
EE	Energy Expenditure
HR	Heart Rate
LI	Lifting Index
MANOVA	Multivariate analysis of variance
MAWL	Maximum Acceptable Weight Limit
MMH	Manual Material Handlings
MSD	Musculoskeletal Disorder
NIOSH	National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health
RPE	Rating of Perceived Exertion
RWL	Recommended Weight Limit
SEE	Standard Error of Estimate
SOCSO	Social Security Organization

(C)

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Overview

Malaysia's economic growth is led by several industrial sectors and that achievement necessarily involves thousands of workers.Recently, even with the increased use of automation systems and the implementation of new technology, the manual handling of large-scale materials still exist in the industry.Manual Material Handling (MMH) usage was preferred over machineries due to high flexibility and quite low in cost. The advantage is in its flexibility to maneuver during simple and light material transfer, if compared to performing the same activity using mechanical aids (Deros et al., 2015).

MMH is defined as moving or handling loads by lifting, lowering, bending, twisting, holding, transporting, supporting and others activities in our daily life, whether in one time or regularly as part of normal work using human energy and forces. It is also characterized as the manually grasping an object of various size and load mass with both hands and move vertically without the mechanical aid.MMH occurs almost in all work environments and industries such as constructions, manufacturing, farms, healthcare, warehouses, transportation, hotels, restaurants and others (Medina and Vina, 2012; Rud, 2011).MMH normally involves in short-distance movement within the confines of a building or between buildings (Coyle et al., 1992).

MMH associated with an increased risk of work-related musculoskeletal disorder (MSD) disease and main contributor of low back pain at workplaces. Since 1980s there has been growing evidence in the scientific literature of link between MMH especially lifting task activity and lumbar spine problem, (Kothiyal et al. 1992). Low back injuries attributed as one of the leading occupational health and safety issue and most expensive, contributing almost 20% of all workplace injuries and illnesses, and contributes nearly quarter of all claims and compensation costs (Schinkel et al., 2013; Berthelette et al., 2012; Daynard et al. 2001; Guo et.al, 1999; Waters et al., 1993).Since then, extensive research is being carried out in U.S.A and other countries to reduce the number, severity and the cost of damaging by MMH injuries.

Malaysian's Social Security Organization (SOCSO) Annual Report, which comprises all the active and registered workers in Malaysia shows the number of industrial accident had increased to 35,304 cases in 2016. Manufacturing industry contributed 12,401 cases, followed by construction industry, 6072 cases (SOCSO, 2016). Meanwhile, the reported numbers of occupational diseases were reached 4270 cases. The occupational MSD increased

tremendously to 1607 cases in 2016, the trend that statistically increased each year since the cases were first recorded of 10 in year 2005 (SOCSO, 2016) as shown in Figure 1.1.



Figure 1.1: Occupational MSD cases reported to SOCSO (SOCSO, 2016)

National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH, 1981) recognized the growing problem of work related MSD injury which contributing to tremendous medical costs and compensation, human suffering and lost productivity in company. NIOSH had published the Work Practices Guide for Manual Lifting in 1981, model proposed by (Drury and Pfeil, 1975) and revised version of NIOSH Lifting Equation (RNLE) in 1991 by adding several task conditions (Waters et al., 1993).

Lifting Equation is an ergonomic interventions assessment tool that used to calculate the Recommended Weight Limit (RWL) and Lifting Index (LI) in evaluating and identify the hazardous lifting tasks and estimating the physical demands of the job related to manual lifting tasks. Even though the NIOSH working guidelines that were designed in the United States, were practiced and followed worldwide, but still the number of MSD cases reportedly increased due to the lack of awareness in work place including in Malaysia.

The hazard and physical ergonomic risk factors of MMH task may be due to an unfavorable ergonomic condition such as incorrect handling method, slipping and falling, awkward working postures, heavy weight lifting, forceful exertion, repetitive movements, vibration, stress, duration, prolonged periods standing or walking and improper lifting techniques while performing the MMH tasks that exceeds its safe handling capacity (DOSH, 2018; Meksawi et al., 2012; Punnett and Wegman, 2004; Kothiyal et al., 1991). Workers' abilities to perform work

duties may vary due to age difference, gender, physical condition and strength of the worker and the environment conditions that different from country to country.

Out of various kinds of MMH tasks risk factors, repetitive movements, improper and awkward lifting postures cited as the common cause of low back problems and have been considered as a major occupational hazard to workers (Kothiyal et al., 1991). In search of safer lifting techniques and optimum lifting methods, it still remains controversial (Dieen et al., 1999). There is a significant argument about the best techniques to employ the low-lying objects off the floor. Selecting the most appropriate lifting posture is an important part of safe lifting task (Vecchio, 2017). Many researchers tried to find out a solution of best posture in MMH to minimize the effects of back problems based on two types of lifting postures namely stoop posture and squat posture (Surata, 2013; Wang et al., 2012; Neumann, 2010; Bazrgari et al., 2007; Hwanget al., 2009; Straker, 2003; Kumar, 1984).Squat posture defined as the back remains as erect as possible the knees are flexed (knee bent and back straight) and stoop posture described as bend forward and down at the waist and/or mid-back while maintaining straight legs (knee straight and back bent).

1.2 Problem Statement

NIOSH lifting guidelines were developed based on lifting posture and anthropometric data of the population also taken into consideration (Xiao et al., 2005; Maiti and Ray, 2004; Wu, 2003; Luk et al., 2003; Lee et al., 1996).Most of the available information in literature of MMH analysis exists around the Western countries and it is believed that racial differences in physique give a significant effect to the manual lifting behavior (Cheng, 2011; Wu, 2003). NIOSH guideline may not be applicable to Malaysian, due to strength capacity and it was found that Malaysian workers have a smaller body size than the Western population (Deros et al., 2015; Mohamad et al., 2010;Taha et al., 2009).

Since for the past 40 years, squat posture were presumed as the safest way to lift and regarded as the correct technique by health professional. Work that requiring stoop postures commonly associated with high incidence of MSD, but workers often preference to stooping because it demands less energy expenditure, exert higher force and increased mobility than squatting. Many researchers come to argument about the 'correct technique' (Vecchio, 2017; Garg & Herrin, 2007; Straker, 2003; Limerick, 2001; Dieen et al., 1999; Burt et. al, 1999; Garg, 1993).

In Malaysia, Department of Occupational Safety and Health, Malaysia (DOSH) reported that MMH activities contribute 40% of reported musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) cases shows one of the critical ergonomic risk factors involving in manual lifting task is caused by lifting postures (DOSH,2018). The most commonly industry that used the squat and stoop posture in MMH were

construction industries involving brickworks and concrete work activities, with uneven level of height (above shoulder height and reaching overhead), heavy weight materials and repetitive frequencies of bend down and straighten up of the body (Suzila et al.,2017). Constructions industry was among the leading industry with highest claims of accidents and injuries of MSD and greater affected body region of (low back, neck/shoulder, hip and knee) than other industries (SOCSO, 2016). DOSH have recommended the correct lifting postures in Guidelines for Manual Handling at Workplace in 2018. Squat lifting stated as a correct lifting compared to the stoop posture as shown in Figure 1.2.



Figure 1.2: Correct lifting versus incorrect lifting (Courtesy of DOSH, 2018)

The statistic of injury also shows that over-exertion in handling objects either in lifting or pushing the heavy objects cited as major factors as 7047 cases recorded in 2016 (SOCSO, 2016). Unloading either light and heavier weight of load in repetitive frequency with uncommon lifting postures can lead the workers to experience muscle pain, fatigue and metabolic energy demands on the body and exposing to physical risk factors of the MSD (Suzila et al., 2017; Leigh et al., 1997; Waters et al., 1993; Kim and Chung, 1995).

The working posture and handling heavier loads in specific lifting height were some of critical factors in working environments (DOSH, 2018). Extensive researches have been conducted to develop a guideline and determine safe limits of workers in MMH task. The maximum acceptable weight of lift (MAWL) used as a guidelines in preventing MSD in MMH task have been discovered by (Chen and Ho, 2016; Syamil and Bahri, 2016; Abadi et al., 2015; Kuijer et al., 2012: Li et al., 2007; Dedik, 2006; Cheng and Lee, 2006; Wu, 2003; Maiti and Ray, 2004). There are three significant criteria's needs to be considered in lifting task activity namely biomechanical, physiological and psychophysical criteria's. Unfortunately, the effect of lifting posture on biomechanical as studied by (Syamimi et al., 2012), physiological and psychophysical aspects is not widely studied and limited reference that focuses on the performance of biomechanical, physiological and psychophysical of Malaysian.

Thus there is a need to study the postures as a problem of MMH task and aims to propose the solutions of the posture and maximum safe limit of MAWL. Workers lifting posture will respond based on physiological and psychophysical criteria during lifting task activity. A guideline and predictive model of MAWL regarding to workers lifting capabilities should be developed in stoop and squat posture. The MAWL predictive model computed the subjects highest acceptable workload that they comfortably lifted based on their physiological and psychophysical experiences. The guidelines would help the industries in designing the ideal condition of MMH tasks that can prevent and reduce the MSD injuries in MMH task. This experimental study was conducted only at Universiti Putra Malaysia. Further studies need to be conducted in other places and industries to acquire more significant result of MAWL that can be used as a guideline throughout the country.

1.3 Aim and Objectives

This research aims to develop the predictive model based on stoop and squat posture during manual lifting task. The lifting task variables designed according to the NIOSH Lifting Equation as the baseline information in identifying the safe MAWL limit.

Objectives through this research are:

- i. To identify lifting variables of load, frequency and height that affected the physiological and psychophysical response of stoop and squat posture.
- ii. To investigate and analyze physiological (energy expenditure, heart rate) and psychophysical (Maximum Acceptable Weight Limit (MAWL) and Rating of Perception Exertion (RPE)) responses on male and female subjects.
- iii. To develop predictive model based on variables that significantly affected relationship between male and female subjects for stoop and squat posture.

1.4 Scope of Works

This study conducted based on stoop and squat lifting posture in developing the predictive model based on physiological and psychophysical response in manual lifting task. As currently practice, the lifting model that was developed by NIOSH lifting equation was based on United States anthropometry. In this study, the physiological and psychophysical responses were analyzed to investigate its behaviors based on stoop and squat posture for male and female subjects. The physiological and psychophysical response collected noninvasively through questionnaires, lifting sessions and selected equipment such as Actiheart in Engineering Laboratory, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM). Subject's age, gender and health condition were selected and observed under controlled lifting tasks period and sessions as approved by Jawatankuasa Etika UPM.

1.5 Contributions

The contribution of this study is the ability to identify variables of the lifting model based on the Malaysian physiological and psychophysical criteria's. Most of the proven lifting model developments design pertinent to Western countries. It is also important to study the performance and effective postures during the MMH tasks, since the studies that correlate the lifting posture on weight load, height and frequency have never been reported in the literatures in Malaysia condition.

The major contribution of this study proposed the new predictive model to determine the highest acceptable workload that comfortably lift without exceeding the safety limit on both stoop and squat posture. Predictive model which were developed based on lifting low-lying objects were formed by lifting variables of gender, height, and frequency together with physiological responses (heart rate and energy expenditure).

The developed MAWL predictive model may be very useful to the subjects and practical for industrial purpose. Further study need to be done in the industry to obtain more significant result of MAWL that can be use all over the country. Predictive model helps in designing the appropriate ergonomic workstations and assessment of MMH tasks in the workplace and also reduce the MMH hazard and fatigue among the workers while performing lifting tasks. Besides, it can predict the maximum load in normally used lifting posture either in stoop or squat posture. In addition, MAWL predictive model benefits as a guideline in assists and creates continuous safety awareness of the people in choosing and performs the correct lifting and posture. The model hopefully meets the human factors design criteria based on Malaysian anthropometric and provides useful contribution in MMH tasks in ensure to increase productivity, physical health, safety and satisfaction together with reducing the risks of MSD in workplace and society.

1.6 Thesis Outline

This thesis consists of five major chapters which are Introduction, Literature Review, Methodology, Result and Discussion and Conclusion and Recommendation of Future Work. The contents of each chapter are outlined as follows:

Chapter 1 gives brief information about the research overview, problems statement, aims and objectives, scope and contributions of the lifting task.

Chapter 2 focuses on the literature review and the methodologies of the previous studies including the dependent and independent variables, procedures of lifting tasks and statistical analysis and predictive model development of previous studies.

Chapter 3 discusses about the research methodology and analysis of the study. This will explain how the study is organized, equipment used and the flow of all the study analysis part. This chapter also describes about the lifting task design and the implementation of physiological and psychophysical analysis.

Chapter 4 presented and discussed the findings, analysis result, predictive model development and validation and details discussion of the lifting task findings.

Chapter 5 shows the conclusions and recommendation for future work of the research. It also explains the objectives achieved through the finding of the lifting task.

REFERENCES

- Abadi, A. S. S., Mazlomi, A., Saraji, G. N., Zeraati, H., Hadian, M. R. and Jafari, A. H. (2015). Effects of Box Size, Frequency of Lifting, and Height of Lift on Maximum Acceptable Weight of Lift and Heart Rate for Male University Students in Iran. *Electronic Physician*, 7(6):1365-1371.
- Andrew, P. H., Najat, M. and Nuala, M.B. (2014). Assessment of Physical Activity and Energy Expenditure: An Overview of Objective Measures. *Front Nutr*, 1:5.
- Anderson, C., & Chaffin, D. (1986). A Biomechanical Evaluation of Five Lifting Techniques. *Applied Ergonomics*. 17(1):2-8.
- Antwi-Afari, M.F., Li, H., Edwards, D.H., Parn, E.A., Seo,J.O. and Wong,A. (2017).Effects of Different Weights and Lifting Postures on Balance Control following Repetitive Lifting Tasks in Construction Workers. *International Journal of Building Pathology and Adaptation*, 35(3):247-263.
- Asfour, S.S., Ayoub, M.M. and Genaidy, A.M. (1985).Computer Models for Energy Cost Prediction of Manual Lifting and Lowering. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 9:376-379.
- Asfour, S. S. (1980). *Energy Cost Prediction Models for Manual Lifting and Lowering Tasks*, PhD dissertation, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX.
- Astrand, P.O. and Rodahl, K. (1986). Textbook of Work Physiology: Physiological bases of Exercise. 3rd Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Ayoub, M. M., Mital, A., Bakken, G.M., Asfour, S.S. and Bethea, N.J (1980). Development of Strength and Capacity Norms for Manual Material handling activities: The State Of The Art. *Human Factors*, 22:271-280.
- Basher A, Nath P, Siddique ZS et al. (2015). Musculoskeletal disorder (MSD) among Agricultural Workers. Mymensingh Med J; 24: 1–8.
- Balderrama, C., Ibarra, G., Riva,D.L. J. and López, S.(2010). Evaluation of Three Methodologies to Estimate the VO2 max in People of different Ages. *Appl Ergon*, 42(1):162-8.
- Basahel, A.M. (2014). Impacts of Postural Stress and Assembling Task Workload Interactions on Individual Performance by Saudis. *International Journal of Current Engineering and Technology,*
- Bazrgari, B., Shirazi-Adl, A. and Arjmand, N. (2007). Analysis of Squat and Stoop Dynamic Liftings: Muscle Forces and Internal Spinal Loads. *Eur Spine J*, 16(5): 687-699.

- Berthelette, D., Leduc, N., Bilodeau, H., Durand, M. and Faye, C. (2012). Evaluation of the Implementation Fidelity of an Ergonomic Training Program Designed to Prevent Back Pain. *Appl Ergon*, 43(1):239–245.
- BLS. (2014). Bureau of Labor Statistics: *Annual Survey of Occupational Injuries and Illnesses*. US Department of Labor.
- Boda, S., Garg, A. and Kyureghyan, N.C. (2012).Can the Revised NIOSH Lifting Equation Predict Low Back Pain Incidence in a '90-day-pain-freecohort'?. *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*.
- Borg, G. (1985). Psychophysical Studies of Effort and Exertion: Some Historical, Theoretical and Empirical Aspects. In Borg G and Ottoson D (Eds): The Perception of Exertion in Physical Work. *Proceedings of an International Symposium. Stockholm: Macmillan*, pp. 3–12.
- Borg, G. (1982). A Category Scale with Ratio Properties for Intermodal and Inter individual Comparisons. (1st edn.) VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin.
- Burt, C.D.B., Henningsen, N. and Consedine, N. (1999). Prompting Correct Lifting Posture using Signs. *Applied Ergonomics*, 30:353–359.
- Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety's (CCOHS) Report on Plans and Priorities for 2012-2013.
- Chaffin, D.B. and Page G.B. (1994).Postural Effects on Biomechanical and Psychophysical Weight-lifting Limits. Ergonomics, 37(4):663-676.
- Chaffin, D.B. and Anderson, G.B.J. (1991). Occupational Biomechanics. 2nd edition. Wiley, New York.
- Chen, J. and Lee, Y. (2006). Maximum Acceptable Weight of Lift for Manual Lifting Tasks. *Chienese Journal of Industrial Hygiene and Occupational Diseases*, 24(4):194-197.
- Chen, Y. L., and Ho, T. K. (2016). Psychophysically Determining the Maximum Acceptable Weight of lift for Polypropylene Laminated Bags. *Ind Health*, 54(6): 550-553.

Cheng, T.-H. L. a. T.-S. (2011). Asymmetric Lifting Capabilities for Different Container Dimensions. *International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics*, 17(2):7.

Cheng, T.S. and Lee, T.H.(2006). Maximum Acceptable Weight of Manual Load Carriage for Young Taiwanese Males. *Industrial Health*, 44:200– 206

- Chuan, K.T., Hartono, M. and Kumar, N. (2010). Anthropometry of the Singaporean and Indonesian Population. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 40.
- Ciriello, V. M. (2007). The Effects of Container Size, Frequency and Extended Horizontal Reach on Maximum Acceptable Weights of Lifting for Female Industrial Workers. *Appl Ergon*, 38(1):1-5.
- Ciriello, V. M., Maikala, R. V., Dempsey, P. G. and O'Brien, N. V. (2011). Gender Differences in Psychophysically determined Maximum Acceptable Weights and Forces for Industrial Workers Observed After Twenty years. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*, 84(5): 569-575.
- Cook, P.F. How to Perform a MANOVA in SPSS.
- Coyle, J.J., Bradi, E. and Langley, C.J. (1992). The Management of Business Logistics (5th ed), West Publishing Company, St. Paul.
- Daynard, D., Yassi, A., Cooper, J.E., Tate, R., Norman, R. and Wells, R. (2001). Biomechanical Analysis of Peak and Cumulative Spinal Loads during Simulated Patient-handling Activities: a Substudy of a Randomized Controlled Trial to Prevent Lift and Transfer Injury of Health Care Workers. *Appl Ergon*, 32:199–214.
- David, G. C. (2005). Ergonomic Methods for Assessing Exposure to Risk factors for Work-related Musculoskeletal Disorders. *Occup Med (Lond)*, 55(3):190-199.
- Dedik, Santoso. (2006). Kapasitas Angkat Beban untuk Pekerja Indonesia. Jurnal Teknik Industri, 8.
- Dempsey, P. G. and Mathiassen, S. E. (2006). On the Evolution of Task-based Analysis of Manual Materials Handling, and its Applicability in Contemporary Ergonomics. *Appl Ergon*, 37(1):33-43.
- Deros, B. M., Daruis, D. D. I. and Basir, I. M. (2015). A Study on Ergonomic Awareness among Workers Performing Manual Material Handling Activities. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 195:1666-1673.
- Dempsey, P. G (1999). Utilizing Criteria for Assessing Multiple-task Manual Material handling. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics* 24.
- Dieen, V.J.H, Hoozemans, M.J. and Toussaint, H.M. (1999). Stoop or Squat: a Review of Biomechanical Studies on Lifting Technique. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 10:685-696.
- Duplessis, D.H., Greenway, E.H., Keene, K.L., Lee, I.E., Clayton, R.L., Metzler, T. and Underwood, F.B. (1998). Effect of Semi-rigid Lumbosacral orthosis use on Oxygen Consumption during Repetitive Stoop and Squat Lifting. *Ergonomics*, 41:790–797.

- Drury C. G. and Pfeil, R. E. (1975). A Task-based model of manual lifting performance, International Journal of Production Research, 13(2):137-148.
- Dwivedi, S. L., 2000. The Factory Act, 1948 with The Maharashtra Factories Rules, 1963. Labour Law Agency, Mumbai.
- Elfeituri, F.E. and Taboun, S.M. (2002). An Evaluation of the NIOSH Lifting Equation: A Psychophysical and Biomechanical Investigation. International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics, 8(2):243– 258.
- Faber, A., Stroyer, J., Hjortskov, N. and Schibye, B. (2010). Changes in Physical Performance Among Construction Workers during Extended Workweeks with 12-hour workdays. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*, 83(1):1-8.
- Field, A. (2012). Repeated Measures ANOVA Issues with Repeated Measures Designs One-Way Repeated Measures ANOVA using SPSS. 1(1959):1-22.
- Gagnon, M. (2005). Ergonomic Identification and Biomechanical Evaluation of Workers' Strategies and their Validation in a Training Situation: Summary of Research. *Clinical Biomechanical*_6:569-580.
- Gallagher, S. and Unger, R.L.(1990). Lifting in Four Restricted Lifting Conditions. *Applied Ergonomics*, 21:237-245.
- Garg, A. and Saxena, U. (1985). Physiological Stresses in Warehouse Operations with a Particular Reference to Lifting Technique and Gender. *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal*, 46(10): 53-59.
- Garg, A. and Saxena, U. (1982). Maximum frequency Acceptable to Female Workers for one-hand Lifts in the Horizontal Plane. Ergonomics 25, pp. 839–853.
- Garg,A. and Banaag,J. (1988). Maximum Acceptable Weights, Heart Rates and RPEs for one hour's Repetitive Asymmetric Lifting. *Ergonomics*, 31:77-96.
- Garg, A. (1993). What basis exists for Training Workers in Correct Lifting Technique? in: The Ergonomics of Manual Work, Taylor and Francis, London, pp. 89–91.
- Garg, A., Chaffin, D. B., and Herrin, G. D. (1978). Prediction of Metabolic Rates for Manual Material Handling Jobs. *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal*, 39:661-673.
- Genaidy, A.M., Asfour, S.S., Mital, A. and Waly, S.M. (1990). Psychophysical Models for Manual Lifting Tasks. *Applied Ergonomics*, 21:295-303

- Giat, Y. and Pike, N. (1992). Mechanical and Electromyography Comparison between the Stoop and Squat lifting Methods. *Journal of Safety Research*, 23: 95-105.
- Gill, M.S.M.(2002). Low Back Disorders: evidence-based Prevention and Rehabilitation. USA. *Human Kinetics*.
- Guo, H.R., Tanaka, S., Halperin, W.E. and Cameron, L.L. (1999). Back pain Prevalence in US Industry and Estimates of lost Workdays. *Am Journal Public Health*, 89:1029–35.
- Hagen, K.B. and Harms-Ringdahl, K. (1994). Ratings of Perceived Thigh and Back exertion in Forest Workers during Repetitive Lifting using Squat and Stoop techniques. *Spine*, 19: 2511–2517.
- Hagen, K.B., Hallen, J. and Harms-Ringdahl, K. (1993). Physiological and Subjective Responses to Maximal Repetitive Lifting employing Stoop and Squat Technique. *European Journal of Applied Physiology and Occupational Physiology*, 67: 291–297.
- Hamilton, B.J. and Chase, R.B. (1969). A work Physiology Study of the Relative Effects of Pace and Weight in a Carton Handling Task. *Transactions of the American Institute of Industrial Engineers*, 1:106-111.
- Harber, P., Pena, L., Billet, E., Greer, D. and Kim, K.(1994). PersonalH, training and Worksite as Predictors of Back pain in Nurses. American Journal of Industrial Medicine, 25:519-526.
- Heiden, B., Weigl, M., Angerer, P. and Müller, A. (2013). Association of Age and Physical Job Demands with Musculoskeletal Disorders in Nurses. *Appl Ergon*, 44:652-658.
- Howell, D. C. (2002). Statistical Methods for Psychology. (5th. ed.). Belmont, CA: Duxberry Press.
- Hsiang, M. S., Brogmus, E. G. and Courtney, K. T. (1997). Low back Pain (LBP) and Lifting Technique- A review. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 19:59-74.
- Hwang, S., Kim, Y. and Kim, Y. (2009). Lower Extremity Joint Kinetics and Lumbar Curvature during Squat and Stoop lifting. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*, 10:15.
- Iqbal, M., Tadjuddin, M. and Hasanuddin, I. (2014). Mathematical Models to Determine the Metabolic Energy Consumption of Stoop and Squat Lifting. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Natural and Environmental Sciences, 81.
- Isa, H, . Rawaida, O., Syed Mohamed, M.S., Rohana, A., Nuradilah, Z., Hafiz, Z. M. and Fatihah, R. A. (2015). Psychophysical Experience and Heart

Rate Analysis in Manual Lifting Task of Malaysian Population. Applied Mechanics and Materials, 761: 659-663.

- Jackson, A.S., Borg, G., Zhang, J.J., Laughery, K.R. and Chen, J. (1997). Role of Physical Work Capacity and Load Weight on Psychophysical Lift Ratings. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 20(3):181-190.
- Karwowski, W., and Ayoub, M. M., (1984a). Effect of Frequency on the Maximum Acceptable Weight of Lift, in Mital, A. (Ed.), *Trends in Ergonomics/Human Factors I*, Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp. 167-172.
- Kongtip, P., Nankongnab, N., Mahaboonpeeti, R., Bootsikeaw, S., Batsungnoen, K., Hanchenlaksh, C., Tipayamongkholgul, M., and Woskie, S. (2018). Differences among Thai Agricultural Workers' Health, Working Conditions, and Pesticide Use by Farm Type. Annals of Work Exposures and Health, 62(2):167–181.
- Kang, M.H., Jung, D.H., An, D.H., Yoo, W.G. and Oh, J.S. (2013). Acute Effects of Hamstring-stretching Exercises on the Kinematics of the Lumbar Spine and Hip during Stoop Lifting. *Journal of Back and Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation*, 26:329–336.
- Khadem, M.M and Anisul, M.I. (2014). Development of Anthropometric Data for Bangladeshi Male Population. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 44(3):407-412.
- Kim, S. and Chung, M. (1995). Effects of Posture, Weight and Frequency on Trunk Muscular Activity and Fatigue during Repetitive Lifting tasks. *Ergonomics*, 38(5):853-863.
- Kothiyal, K., Mazumdar, J. and Noone, G. (1992). A Biomechanical Model for Optimal Postures in Manual Lifting Task. International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 10(3):241-255.
- Konz, S (1998). Work/rest: Part I Guidelines for the Practitioner. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 22: 67-71, 73-99.
- Kremelberg, D. (2011). Pearson's r, Chi-Square, T-Test, and ANOVA. Practical Statistics: A Quick and Easy Guide to IBM® SPSS® Statistics, STATA, and Other Statistical Software, 119-204.
- Kuijer, P.P.F.M., Oostrom, S.H.V, Duijzer, K. and Dieën, J.H. V. (2012). Maximum Acceptable Weight of Lift Reflects Peak Lumbosacral Extension Moments in a Functional Capacity Evaluation Test using free Style, Stoop and Squat lifting. *Ergonomics*, 55(3).
- Kumar, S. (1994). Lumbosacral Compression in Maximal Lifting Efforts in Sagittal Plane with Varying Mechanical Disadvantage in Isometric and Isokinetic Modes. *Ergonomics*, 37:1975-1983.

- Kumar, S. and Garand, D. (1992). Static and Dynamic Lifting Strength at Different Reach Distances in Symmetrical and Asymmetrical Planes. *Ergonomics* 35(7-8): 861-880.
- Kumar, S. (1984). The Physiological Cost of Three different Methods of Lifting in Sagittal and Lateral Planes. *Ergonomics*, 27:425–433
- Kuorinka, I., Lortie, M., & Gautreau, M. (1994). Manual Handling in Warehouses: The Illusion of Correct Working Posture, *Ergonomics*, 37:655 – 661.
- Lawrence, R. C., Helmick, C. G., Arnett, F. C., Deyo, R. A., Felson, D. T., Giannini, E. H. (1998). Estimates of The Prevalence of Arthritis and Selected Musculoskeletal Disorders in the United States. *Arthritis Rheum*, 41(5):778-799.
- Lee, K.S., Hee, S. P. and Young, H.C. (1996). The Validity of the Revised NIOSH Weight Limit in a Korean Young Male Population: A psychophysical approach. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 18:181 – 186.
- Lee, T.H. (2012). Effects of Range and Mode on Lifting Capability and Lifting Time. International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics (JOSE), 18(3):5.
- Leigh, J.P., Markowitz, S.B., Fahs, M., Shin, C. and Landrigan, P.J. (1997). Occupational Injury and Illness in the United States. Estimates of Costs, Morbidity, and Mortality. *Arch Intern Med*, 157:1557-1568.
- Limerick, B.R. (2001). Squat, Stoop or something in between. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 31(3): 143-148.
- Li, K.W., Rui, F.Y. and Xiao, L. H.(2007). Physiological and Psychophysical Responses in Handling Maximum Acceptable Weights under different Footwear–floor Friction Conditions. *Applied Ergonomics*, 38:259–265.
- Lin, Y.C., Wang, M. J. J. and Wang, E.M. (2004). The Comparisons of Anthropometric Characteristics among four Peoples in East Asia. *Applied Ergonomics*, 35:173–178.
- Luka, K.D.K., Lub, W.W., Kwana, W.W., Hub, Y., Wonga, Y.W., Lawa, K.K.P. and Leong J.C.Y. (2003). Isokinetic and Isometric lifting Capacity of Chinese in relation to the Physical Demand of Job. *Applied Ergonomics*, 34:201–204.
- Maiti, R. and Ray, G. G. (2004). Determination of Maximum Acceptable Weight of lift by Adult Indian Female workers. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 34(6):483-495
- Marras, W. S., Parakkat, J., Chany, A. M., Yang, G., Burr, D. and Lavender, S. A. (2006). Spine Loading as a Function of Lift Frequency, Exposure

Duration, and Work Experience. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)*, 21(4):345-352.

- Medina, Y.T. and Vina S. (2012). Evaluation and Redesign of Manual Material Handling in a Vaccine Production Centre's Warehouse, *Journal of Prevention, Assessment and Rehabilitation,* 2487-2491.
- Meksawi, S., Tangtrakulwanich, B. and Chongsuvivatwong, V. (2012). Musculoskeletal Problems and Ergonomic Risk Assessment in Rubber Tappers: A community-based Study in Southern Thailand. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 42.
- Mittal, M. and Malik, S.L. (1991). Subjective Assessment of Lift Postures in adult Koli Females Working at Construction Sites. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 7(1):25-29.
- Mital, A., Nicholson, A. S., & Ayoub, M. M. (1997). A Guide to Manual Materials Handling. London: Taylor & Francis.
- Mital, A. (1984). Maximum Weights of Lift Acceptable to Male and Female Industrial Workers for Extended Work Shifts. *Ergonomics*. 27(11):1115-1126.
- Mital, A., Nicholson, A.S. and Ayoub, M.M. (1997). A Guide to Manual Materials Handling (2nd edn). Taylor & Francis Inc., Bristal, PA, 14-121.
- Mohamad, D., Deros, B., & Ismail, A.R. and Daruis, D. (2010). Development of a Malaysian anthropometric database. *World Engineering Congress, Conference on Manufacturing Technology and Management*, 2nd-5th August 2010, Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia.
- Natalie, R., Anne, D, Odile, K., Domitille, D. and André, T. (2000). Heart rate Response to Two Lifting Techniques. *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 81(7):958-959.
- Nazaruddin, Zahari, T., Sharifah, S., Ariffin, R.R.G. and Iskandar. (2002). Anthropometry of Malaysian Industrial Workers. In. Sheng, W., And Kan, Z. Occupational Ergonomics, 151-154. Tianjin Science and Technology Press.

Neumann, D.A. (2010). Kinesiology of the Musculoskeletal System:Foundations for Rehabilitation. (2nd ed.), St. Louis: Mosby.

- Nevalapuranen, N. E. (1996) Effect of Occupationally-oriented Rehabilitation on Farmers work techniques Musculoskeletal Symptoms, and Work Ability. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation*, 6(3):191-200.
- NIOSH. (1981).Work Practices Guide for Manual Lifting, NIOSH Technical Report No. 81-122, US Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, OH.

- Palmer, P. B., and O'Connell, D. G. (2009). Regression Analysis for Prediction: understanding the Process. *Cardiopulmonary Physical Therapy Journal*, 20(3): 23-6.
- Park, K.S. and Chaffin, B.D. (1974). A Biomechanical Evaluation of Two Methods of manual load lifting. *AllE Transactions*, 6(1): 105-113.
- Pinder, A. D. J., and Boocock, M. G. (2014). Prediction of the Maximum Acceptable Weight of Lift from the Frequency of lift. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 44(2).
- Plamondon, A., Lariviere, C., Denis, D., Mecheri, H. and Nastasia, I. (2017). Difference between Male and Female Workers Lifting the Same Relative Load when Palletizing Boxes. *Appl Ergon*,
- Potvin, J.R., McGill, S.M. and Norman, R.W. (1991).Trunk Muscle and Lumbar Ligament Contributions to Dynamic lifts with Varying Degrees of Trunk Flexion. *Spine*, 16:1099–1107.
- Punnett, L. and Wegman, D. H. (2004). Work-related Musculoskeletal Disorders: the Epidemiologic Evidence and the Debate. J Electromyogr Kinesiol, 14(1):13-23.
- Rabinowitz, D., Bridger, R.S. and Lambert, M.I. (1998). Lifting Technique and Abdominal Belt Usage: a Biomechanical, Physiological and Subjective Investigation. *Safety Science*, 28:155–164.
- Rud, S. (2011).An Ergonomic Analysis of the Current Lifting Techniques in Height Restricted Cargo Bins at Company XYZ, Thesis, University of Wisconsin-Stout.
- Schinkel-Ivy, A., Naim, B.C. and Drake, J.D.M. (2013). Investigation of Trunk Muscle Co-contraction and its Association with Low Back Pain Development During Prolonged Sitting. *Journal Electromyogram Kinesiol*, 23:778–86.
- Sedgwick, A.W. and Gormley, J.T. (1998). Training in lifting: An Unresolved Ergonomic Issue? *Applied Ergonomics*, 29(5): 395-398.
- Singh, S., Sinwal, N. and Rathore, H. (2012). Gender involvement in Manual Material Handling (MMH) tasks in Agriculture and Technology Intervention to Mitigate the Resulting Musculoskeletal Disorders. *Work-a Journal of Prevention Assessment & Rehabilitation*, 41:4333-4341.
- Singh R., Batish A, Singh T.P. (2014). Determination of Safe Limits of Significant Task Parameters during Manual Lifting. Workplace Health and Safety, 62(4):150-160.
- Singh, J., Kalra, P. and Walia, R. (2012). Study of Maximum Acceptable Weight of Lift for Indian Male Industrial Workers. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Technology*, 1 (9):2181-2278.

- Snook, S. H. and Irvine, C. H. (1967). Maximum Acceptable Weights of Lift. *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal*, 28:322-329.
- Snook, S.H. and Ciriello, V.M. (1991). The Design of Manual Handling Tasks: Revised Tables of Maximum Acceptable Weights and Forces. *Ergonomics*, 34: 1197–1213.
- Social Security Organisation (2016). Social Security Organisation Annual Report. Malaysia.
- Straker, L. and Cain, C. (2000). Psychophysical and Physiological Comparison of Squat and Semi-squat lifting by Young Males. Occupational Ergonomics, 1:29–38.
- Straker, L. and Duncan, P. (2000). Psychophysical and Psychological Comparison of Squat and Stoop Lifting by Young Females. *Australian Journal of Physiotherapy*, 46(1):27–32.
- Straker, L.M. (2002). A Review of Research on Techniques for Lifting Low-lying objects: 1 Criteria for Evaluation. *Work*, 19:9–18.
- Straker, L. (2003) Evidence to Support using Squat, Semi-squat and Stoop Techniques to Lift Low-lying Objects. *International Journal Industrial Ergonomics*, 31(3): 149-160.
- Straker, L. (1999a). Evidence to Support Squat lifting. *In Proceedings of the Second International Cyberspace Conference on Ergonomics*, Perth, Australia: pp. 43–50.
- Straker L (1999b). Evidence to Support Stoop lifting. *In Proceedings of the Second International Cyberspace Conference on Ergonomics*, Perth, Australia: pp. 34–42.
- Surata, W. (2013). Teknik Squat dan Stoop Menggunakan Electromyography pada Pekerjaan Manual Materials Handling. *Jurnal Teknik Industri*, 15(1):33-38.
- Suzila, N.L., Izatul, F.M.K., Nasiruddin, M.A.A., Lizawati, A. and Norizan, M.A.(2017). Work-Related to Musculoskeletal Disorder Amongst Malaysian Construction Trade Workers: Bricklayers. *American Institute of Physics*, 020087-1.
- Syamimi, K.N.K.I., Sazali, Y., Murugappan, M., Shafriza, N.B. and Hidayah, N.O. (2012). Modeling Of Lower Extremity For Joint Torques Determination By Performing A Lifting Task. *Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology*, 4(2).
- Syamil, A.M.H. and Bahri, S.M.T. (2016). Recommended Standard For Acceptable Weight For Lifting Activities Among Young Asian Adults. *Malaysian Journal of Human Factors and Ergonomics*, 1 (1): 62 – 67.

- Tan, K.C., Hartono, M. and Kumar, N. (2010). Anthropometry of the Singaporean and Indonesian Populations. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 40.
- Torres, Y. and Vina, S. (2012). Evaluation and Redesign of Manual Material Handling in a Vaccine Production Centre's Warehouse. *Work (Reading, Mass.)*, 41:2487.
- Umetani, K., Singer, D., McCraty, R. and Atkinson, M. (1998). Twenty-four hour Time Domain Heart Rate Variability and Heart Rate: Relations to Age and Gender over Nine Decades. *J Am Coll Cardiol*, 31(3):593-601.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (1994). Public Health Service, Centers For Disease Control, NIOSH, Applications manual for the revised NIOSH lifting equation. Publication No. 94-110.
- Vecchio, L.D. (2017). Choosing a Lifting Posture: Squat, Semi-Squat or Stoop, MOJ Yoga & Physical Therapy, 2(2): 19.
- Verena, C., Knott, A. W. and Klaus, B. (2016). Repetitive Lifting Tasks in Logistics – Effects on Humans at Different Lifting Task Durations. Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting, 60(1):2034 – 2038.
- Vincent, S.M., Tellier, C. and Lortie, M. (1989). Training in Handling: An Evaluative Study. *Ergonomics*, 32:191–210.
- Wang, Z., Wu, L., Sun, J., He, L., Wang, S. and Yang, L. (2012). Squat, Stoop, or Semi-squat: A Comparative Experiment on Lifting Technique. J Huazhong Univ Sci Technolog Med Sci, 32(4):630-636.
- Waters, T.R., Putz-Anderson, V., Garg, A. and Fine, L.J. (1993). Revise NIOSH Equation for the Design and Evaluation of Manual Lifting Tasks. *Ergonomics*, 36:749-776.
- Welbergen, E., Kemper, H.C.G., Knibbe, J.J., Toussaint, H.M. and Clasen, L. (1991). Efficiency and effectiveness of stoop and squat lifting at different frequencies. *Ergonomics*, 34(5): 613-624.
- Wiker, S.F. and Stultz, M. (1992). NIOSH Work Practices Guide for Manual Lifting: Significance of Posturally-based differences in Perceived Stress in Lifting Tasks of equivalent Design Merit. *Advances in Industrial Ergonomics and Safety IV. London: Taylor and Francis*, pp. 607-614

WorkSafeNB. (2010). Ergonomic Guidelines for Manual Material Handling.

- Wu, S.P. (2003). Maximum Acceptable Weights for Asymmetric Lifting of Chinese Females. *Applied Ergonomics*, 34(3):215-224.
- Wu, S. P. (1997). Maximum Acceptable Weight of lift by Chinese Experienced Male Manual Handlers. *Applied Ergonomics*, 28(4):237-244.

- Wu, S.P. (2000). Psychophysically determined Symmetric and Asymmetric Lifting Capacity of Chinese Males for one hour's Work Shifts. Int J Ind Ergon, 25(6):675–82.
- Wu, S. P. and Hsu, S. H. (1993). Psychophysical Modelling of Lifting Capacity of Chinese Males using Strength Variables. *Applied Ergonomics*, 24(4):251-257.
- Xiao, G., Ling, L., Patrick, G. D., Beibei, L. and Youxin, L. (2005). Isometric Muscle Strength and Anthropometric Characteristics of a Chinese Sample. International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 35: 674–679.
- Zahari. T., Ibrahim, M.J. and Hilma, R.Z. (2009). A Study of Anthropometric Characteristics between Malaysian and Saudi Arabian Males Aged 20 to 30 years. Journal of human ergology, 38(1):27-32.



BIODATA OF STUDENT

Nor Hafeezah binti Kamarudin was born on 31st August 1984 in Besut, Terengganu, Malaysia. She received her first education at Sekolah Kebangsaan Seri Payong, Besut and secondary education at Maktab Rendah Sains Mara (MRSM) Kota Putra, Terengganu. She graduated from Universiti Malaysia Pahang (UMP) with a Bachelor of Electrical Engineering (Electronics) then, received her Master of Computer Science from Universiti Malaya (UM) in 2008. She was then enrolled to pursue her Doctor of Philosophy programme in Biomedical Engineering at Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Putra Malaysia.



LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journals

- Ahmad, S.A., Kamarudin, N.H., Yusuff, R.M., Hassan, M.K. and Dawal, S.Z.M. (2017). Electromyography Analysis during Lifting Tasks: A Pilot Study. *Pertanika J. Sci. & Technol*, 25 (1): 201 – 210.
- Ahmad, S.A., Kamarudin, N.H. and Hassan, M.K.,(2018). Physiological Response on Lifting Postures during Manual Lifting Task - Journal of Engineering Science and Technology (Accepted – Publish in November 2018)
- Ahmad, S.A., Kamarudin, N.H., Yusuff, R.M., Hassan, M.K. and Dawal, S.Z.M. Analysis of Stoop and Squat Lifting Postures on Physiological Response in Manual Lifting Task. Journal of Applied Ergonomics (*Submitted Pre-review*)

Chapter in a Book

Yusuff R.M., Kamarudin N.H., Ariffin A.M.K., Ahmad S.A. and Soom M.A.M. (2016) Physiological Analysis of Stoop Lifting Based on Heart Rate for the Malaysian Population. In: Goonetilleke R., Karwowski W. (eds) Advances in Physical Ergonomics and Human Factors. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, Vol 489. Springer, Cham, pp 829-838, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-41694-6_79, ISSN 2194-5357.

Conference Proceedings

- Nor Hafeezah Kamarudin, Siti Anom Ahmad, Mohd Khair Hassan, Rosnah Mohd Yusuff, Siti Zawiah Md. Dawal. A Review of the NIOSH Lifting Equation and Ergonomic Analysis, *2nd International Conference on Ergonomics*, September 2-4, 2013, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Nor Hafeezah Kamarudin, Siti Anom Ahmad, Mohd Khair Hassan, Rosnah Mohd Yusuff, Siti Zawiah Md. Dawal. Electromyography Analysis during Lifting Tasks: A Pilot Study, *World Research and Innovation Convention on Engineering & Technology,* November 25-26, 2014, Putrajaya, Malaysia.
- Nor Hafeezah Kamarudin, Siti Anom Ahmad, Mohd Khair Hassan, Rosnah Mohd Yusuff, Siti Zawiah Md. Dawal. Muscle Contraction Analysis

During Lifting Task, *IEEE Conference on Biomedical Engineering and Sciences*, December 8-10, 2014, Miri, Sarawak, Malaysia.

- Rosnah Mohd Yusuff, Nor Hafeezah Kamarudin, Ariff Mubarak Kamal Ariffin,Siti Anom Ahmad, Mohd Amin Mohd Soom. Physiological Analysis of Stoop Lifting Based on Heart Rate for the Malaysian Population, 7th International Conference on Applied Human Factors and Ergonomics, July 27-31, 2016, Walt Disney World®, Florida, USA.
- Siti Anom, Ahmad, Nor Hafeezah, Kamarudin, Mohd Khair, Hassan. Physiological Response on Lifting Postures during Manual Lifting Task, 10th International Engineering Research Conference 2018 & 1st International Meeting on Sustainable Urbanization, June, 2018.





UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

ACADEMIC SESSION: _

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT:

NAME OF STUDENT:

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

- 1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- 2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
- 3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as:



This thesis is submitted for:

PATENT	Embargo from (date)	until (date)		
		Approved by:		
(Signature of Student) New IC No/ Passport No.: Date :		(Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee) Name: Date :		
[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentially or restricted.]				