

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

DEVELOPMENT OF A MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR HYDROCLIMATOLOGICAL DROUGHT FORECASTING

ALIREZA ESLAMI

FK 2012 65

DEVELOPMENT OF A MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR HYDROCLIMATOLOGICAL DROUGHT FORECASTING



By

ALIREZA ESLAMI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

March 2012

DEDICATION



All the Martyrs, Patient's Mother Bountiful and Father Kind-hearted Who, I Alive with His Memories Now and to My Wife "Masoumeh", My Lovely Girl "Faezeh" and Two My Sons "Foad and Farzad" Abstract of thesis presented to the senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

DEVELOPMENT OF A MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR HYDROCLIMATOLOGICAL DROUGHT FORECASTING

By

ALIREZA ESLAMI

March 2012

Chairman: Assoc. Professor Abdul Halim Bin Ghazali, PhD

Faculty: Engineering

Knowledge of hydrological conditions and forecasting its occurrence for planning and for efficient use of water resources are essential. In this regard, the phenomenon of drought poses some destructive environmental effects on various aspects of hydrological processes. Anticipating the start of a drought phenomenon is very complex. Nevertheless, it is crucial to determine the most probable hydrological condition, after a certain condition of meteorological drought has occurred. Determining how long it will take for the hydrological conditions to be affected by the meteorological drought is also an important issue.

The main purpose of this research lies in attaining a method for predicting the occurrence of different hydrological conditions (states) according to various meteorological conditions for a time step ahead, in a basin. For this purpose, a stochastic model that comprises the hydro-climatological variables, based on Markov chain theory is developed. To accomplish this, meteorological and hydrological drought indices were employed. In this case, the time series of precipitation and streamflow were used to determine the different meteorological and hydrological

states. Performance of some of the well known meteorological drought indices were evaluated for the selected study area, which was the Semi-Mediterranean region in the North of Iran. Different meteorological and hydrological states were determined using the appropriate drought indices. Besides, the lag time between different meteorological and hydrological states was recognized using the monthly precipitation and streamflow time series. Probabilities of occurrence of different meteorological states were predicted via a one-dimensional transition probability matrix (ODTPM). Then, with the concept borrowed from the Markov chain theory and with regards to the basin lag time, the ODTPM was further developed into a twodimensional transition probability matrix (TDTPM). This procedure led to a matrix as the main output of this study, which is called the Hydroclimatological Matrix (HCM). Thus, employing the ODTPM and TDTPM, probabilities of occurrence of different hydrological states were forecasted via a Prediction Matrix. Forecast verification was carried out via discrete predictors as a categorical method. Furthermore, the cross-validation approach and root mean square error (RMSE) methods were used for checking the consistence of probability shifts and robustness of the desired matrices. In particular, three study areas, which were Frizi, Joestan and Chalus Basins with various hydroclimatical variables were selected on which the proposed method could be implemented.

From this study, it is found that the non-parametric Deciles meteorological drought index method is the appropriate index. The flow duration curve (FDC) method was selected for categorizing the hydrological drought occurrence as an analogue method with the Deciles index. Following this, threshold levels in the range from Q_{50} to Q_{95} were adopted to distinguish corresponding levels of hydrological drought severity.

iv

The Hydroclimatological matrices (HCM) have shown that a matrix with singletimescale is appropriate with a small basin like the Frizi, and in contrast, for large basins like the Chalus and Joestan, a multi-timescale matrix is more appropriate. Hence, the probabilities of occurrence of events in the Frizi Basin have indicated that hydrologic response of the river basin to climatic variation occurs in a short period of time. Comparison of the probability values related to the Frizi River has illustrated that the probability of occurrence of hydrological drought will increase by about 64.5% when the meteorological state changes from the 'Wet' to the 'Severe Dry' states for the following month in this basin. Notably, the 'Severe Drought' as another meteorological state has a significant impact on the occurrence of hydrological drought during the next three months in this case study. In the Joestan Basin, when the meteorological state changes from the Wet state to the Mild Drought, the river basin will have been trapped in drought with 76% probability. This process will continue with 58% probability, until the following four months for the river basin. In the case of the Chalus Basin, if the basin is attacked by the onset of a Severe meteorological drought in a given month, the hydrological drought streamflow will be experienced with 53.4% probability of occurrence with three months delay.

The probability of detection (Hit rate) shows that the verification of forecast performance of the hydroclimatological matrix (HCM) can be adopted as satisfactory forecast. Likewise, results of the Cross-Validation approach and RMSE method exhibit the fact that the probability shifts are consistent in the matrices obtained, so that the HCM as a reference matrix has excelled in the robustness testing. Accordingly, in terms of the river basin management, the implementation of the proposed method for triggering warning towards an impending drought is its significant benefit. **Key words:** Deciles Index, Hydrological Drought Index, Markov Chain, Lag Time, Transition Probability Matrix. Hydroclimatological Matrix, Prediction Matrix, Hydrological States



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PEMBANGUNAN SATU MODEL MATEMATIK UNTUK RAMALAN KEMARAU HIDRO-IKLIM

Olah

ALIREZA ESLAMI



Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Abdul Halim Bin Ghazali, PhD

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Pengetahuan tentang keadaan hidrologi dan ramalan berlakunya keadaan tersebut untuk tujuan merancang dan penggunaan sumber air yang berkesan adalah sesuatu yang dikira penting. Dalam hal ini, fenomena kemarau memberikan beberapa kesan pemusnahan persekitaran ke atas berbagai-bagai aspek proses hidrologi. Proses meramalkan permulaan fenomena kemarau adalah sesuatu yang sangat sukar. Namun begitu, adalah menjadi satu keperluan untuk mengesan keadaan hidrologi yang paling berkemungkinan, selepas satu kemarau meteorologi tertentu terjadi. Satu lagi isu penting ialah menentukan berapa lama masa yang diambil oleh keadaan hidrologi untuk menerima kesan dari kemarau meteorologi.

Tujuan utama kajian ini ialah membina satu kaedah untuk meramal keadaan hidrologi yang berbeza menurut pelbagai keadaan meteorologi, bagi suatu jarak masa ke hadapan, dalam sesuatu lembangan. Untuk tujuan ini. satu model stokastik yang terdiri dari pembolehubah-pembolehubah hidro-iklim berdasarkan kepada teori rantaian Markov telah dibangunkan. Untuk mencapai tujuan ini, indeks kemarau meteorologi dan hidrologi telah digunapakai. Dalam hal ini, siri masa kerpasan dan aliran sungai telah digunakan untuk menentukan keadaan metereologi dan hidrologi yang berbeza-beza. Prestasi beberapa indeks metereologi yang terkenal telah dinilai untuk kawasan kajian yang telah dipilih, iaitu kawasan rantau separa-Mediterranean di Utara Iran. Keadaan metereologi dan hidrologi yang pelbagai telah ditentukan menggunakan indeks kemarau yang bersesuaian. Di samping itu, tempoh kelewatan di antara keadaan metereologi dan hidrologi yang berlainan telah dikenalpasti menggunakan siri masa kerpasan dan aliran bulanan. Kebarangkalian kejadian keadaan metereologi yang berlainan telah diramal melalui satu matriks kebarangkalian peralihan satu-dimensi (ODTPM). Kemudian, dengan konsep yang dipinjam dari teori rantaian Markov dan dengan mengambilkira masa keterlewatan lembangan, ODTPM telah dikembangkan kepada satu matriks kebarangkalian peralihan dua-dimensi (TDTPM). Prosedur ini membawa kepada matriks sebagai satu output utama kajian ini, yang dikenali sebagai Matriks Hidro-iklim, atau Hydroclimatological Matrix (HCM). Oleh itu, dengan menggunakan ODTPM dan TDTPM, kebarangkalian kejadian keadaan hidrologi yang berlainan telah diramal melalui Matriks Jangkaan. Pengesahan ramalan telah dijalankan melalui peramal nyata sebagai kaedah berkategori. Selain daripada itu, kaedah pengesahan rentas dan kaedah root mean square error (RMSE) telah digunakan untuk memeriksa konsistensi perubahan kebarangkalian dan kekuatan matriks yang dikehendaki. Secara khususnya, tiga kawasan kajian bernama Lembah Frizi, Joestan dan Chalus dengan pelbagai pembolehubah hidro-iklim telah dipilih di mana kaedah yang dicadangkan telah dilaksanakan.

viii

Dari kajian ini, telah didapati bahawa indeks kemarau metereologi Deciles, iaitu satu kaedah bukan-parametrik merupakan indeks yang sesuai. Kaedah lengkuk tempoh masa aliran (FDC) telah dipilih untuk mengkategorikan kejadian kemarau hidrologi sebagai satu pendekatan analog dengan indeks Deciles tersebut. Berikutan ini, aras ambang dalam Q₅₀ dan Q₉₅ telah digunakan untuk membezakan aras-aras keseriusan kemarau hidrologi yang saling berkaitan.

Matriks Hidro-Iklim (HCM) menunjukkan bahawa satu matriks dengan satu skalamasa adalah bersesuaian dengan lembangan kecil seperti Frizi, dan sebaliknya, untuk lembangan besar seperti Chalus dan Joestan, satu matriks skala-pelbagai dikira lebih sesuai. Oleh itu, kebarangkalian berlakunya peristiwa di Lembangan Frizi telah menunjukkan bahawa respon hidrologi ke atas variasi iklim berlaku dalam jangkamasa yang pendek. Perbandingan nilai-nilai kebarangkalian berkaitan dengan Sungai Frizi telah menunjukkan bahawa kebarangkalian berlakunya kemarau akan bertambah sebanyak 64.5% apabila keadaan meterologi berubah dari 'Basah' kepada 'Sangat Kering' pada bulan berikutnya di lembangan ini. Jelaslah bahawa 'Kemarau Teruk' sebagai satu lagi keadaan metereologi mempunyai impak penting ke atas kejadian kemarau hidrologi semasa tiga bulan berikutnya dalam kajian kes ini. Di Lembangan Joestan, sewaktu keadaan metereologi berubah dari keadaan Basah kepada kemarau Sederhana, lembangan sungai itu akan dilanda kemarau dengan kebarangkaliann 76%. Proses ini akan berterusan dengan kebarangkalian 58%, sehingga empat bulan berikutnya di lembangan berkenaan. Dalam kes Lembangan Chalus, sekiranya lembangan itu dilanda dengan permulaan kemarau metereologi yang teruk dalam bulan tertentu, aliran sungai kemarau hidrologi akan berlaku, dengan kebarangkalian kejadian sebanyak 53.4% dengan tiga bulan penangguhan.

ix

Kebarangkalian pengesanan (Kadar melanda) menunjukkan bahawa pengesahan prestasi ramalan matriks hidro-iklim (HCM) boleh diambil sebagai satu ramalan yang memuaskan. Demikian juga, keputusan daripada ujian kaedah pengesahan-rentas dan RMSE menampakkan perubahan kebarangkalian yang konsisten dalam matriks yang diperolehi, yang demikian HCM sebagai satu matriks rujukan tampil cemerlang dalam ujian keteguhan. Sewajarnya, dari sudut pengurusan lembah sungai, perlaksanaan kaedah yang dicadangkan ini dalam memberi amaran terhadap kemarau yang bakal berlaku merupakan satu faedah yang paling ketara.

Kata Kunci: Indeks Deciles, Indeks Kemarau Hidrologi, Rantaian Markov, Masa Keterlewatan, Matriks Kebarangkalian Peralihan. Matriks Hidro-Iklim, Matriks Ramalan, Keadaan Hidrologi

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, praise is to the Merciful Allah, who has enabled me to complete this thesis in sound health. I wish to express my deep sense of appreciation and gratitude towards my Associate Professor Dr Abdul Halim Bin Ghazali for his valuable guidance and supervision of this thesis. Without his supervision, this study could not have been completed successfully. I wish to express my deep sense of appreciation and gratitude towards my joint supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Ali Reza Shokoohi, for his friendly guidance and supervision of this thesis. He provided me with inspiration and encouragement at all stages of my degree program.

I am grateful to Professor Ir Dr Mohd Amin Mohd Soom for useful comments, suggestions and insights which contributed some aspects of this thesis. Also, I am Grateful to Associate Professor Dr Wan Nor Azmin Sulaiman for their recommendations and guidance that lead to successful completion of this research.

I am thankful to all staff of UPM, especially those in the Faculty of Engineering and ITMA who contributed to my learning process. I am deeply indebted to many individuals especially researchers, Associate Professor Dr. Tayib Raziei, Professor Dr. Bahram Saghafian, Associate Professor Ali Akbar Abbasi, Associate Professor Dr. Abdul Rasool Telvari, Associate Professor.Majid Hosseini, Dr. Masoud Sajedi, and other colleagues who have assisted me to perform the research and finalize this thesis by providing scientific, technical, administrative and moral support.

Sentences are not able to express my gratitude to my family for their patience and perseverance during my absence. I am especially grateful to my dear wife 'Masoumeh' for her love and to my lovely daughter Faezeh for their moral support and patience during of my research study. I wish to express my deep sense of my

xi

parents, patient's mother bountiful (Mrs Nazakat) and father (Haji Mohammad) kindhearted who, I alive with his memories now. Without emotional support of my parents, it would be impossible for me to finish this thesis. I would also like to thank, my brother (Reza) and my sisters (Zahra, Masoumeh, Fahimeh and Esmat), and my friends; they always believe in me, encourage me and support me even when they are at the other side of the Indian Ocean.



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of doctor of philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Abdul Halim Bin Ghazali, PhD

Associate Professor, Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Alireza Shokoohi, PhD, P. Eng.

Associate Professor Faculty of Technical and Engineering, Qazvin-Iran International Universiti Imam Khomeini (Joint Supervisor)

Mohd Amin Mohd Soom, PhD, P.Eng., FIEM.

Professor, Ir. Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Wan Nor Azmin Sulaiman, PhD

Associate Professor, Faculty of Environmental Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 14 JUN 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT					
	ABSTRA	K	vii		
	ACKNO	WLEDGEMENTS	xi		
	APPROV	AL	xiii		
	DECLAR	TABLES	XV		
	LIST OF	FIGURES	xix		
	LIST OF	ABBREVIATIONS	xxiii		
	LIST OF	NOTATIONS	xxiii		
1	INTRODU	JCTION	1		
	1.1	General	1		
	1.2	Statement of the Problem	3		
	1.3	Objectives of the Study	4		
	1.4	Significance of the Study	5		
	1.5	Scope of the Study	6		
	1.6	Limitation of the Study	7		
2	LITERAT	URE REVIEW	8		
	2.1	Introduction	8		
	2.2	Meteorological Drought	8		
	2.3	Hydrological Drought	9		
	2.4	Drought Indices	9		
		2.4.1 Meteorological Drought Indices	10		
		2.4.2 Hydrological Drought Indices	16		
	2.5	Hydrological Drought Characteristics	18		
		2.5.1 Low Flow and Flow Duration Curve (FDC)	19		
	2.6	Truncation Level (Threshold)	19		
	2.7	Lag Time between Meteorological and Hydrological Drought	21		
	2.8	Drought Monitoring, Forecasting and Prediction	23		
		2.8.1 Markov Chain Model (Stochastic Model)	25		
		2.8.2 Drought Forecasting using Markov Chain Model	28		
		2.0.5 Categorical Polecasis of Discrete Predictands	30		
3	MATERIA	ALS AND METHODS	32		
	3.1	Introduction	32		

Page

C

	3.2	Compa	rison of Some Meteorological Drought Indices and Selection	of
		Approp	riate Index	33
		3.2.1	Study Area	34
		3.2.2	Data Collection	37
		3.2.3	Classifying Meteorological Drought Conditions via Different	
			Indices	40
		3.2.4	Moving Average Technique	41
		3.2.5	Selecting Appropriate Meteorological Drought Index	44
	3.3	Selection	ng the Proper Hydrological Drought Index	45
	3.4	Forecas	sting Probabilities of Occurrence of the Hydrological States	46
		3.4.1	Study Area	48
		3.4.2	Data	52
		3.4.3	Identification of Lag Time between Different States	54
		3.4.4	Determining the Different Meteorological Conditions	54
		3.4.4	Determining the Different Hydrological Conditions via Propulate	er 55
	3.5	Unifica	tion of Categories of Meteorological and Hydrological India	ces
				58
	3.6	Probab	ilities of Occurrence of Meteorological and Hydrological Sta	tes
				58
		3.6.1	Constitution of Transition-Probability Matrix (TPM) of	
			Markov Chain	59
	3.7	Emplo	ying the Concept of the Markov Chain Theory for a Tw	vo-
		Dimen	sional Process	60
		3.7.1	Calculation of Two-Dimensional Transition Probability Mat	rix
			(TDTPM) (Hydroclimatological Matrix)	61
	3.8	Satisfy	ing the Logical Conditions in the 2D-Matrix	64
	3.9	Valida	tion of Model	64
		3.9.1	Forecast Verification of Matrix via Hit Rate	65
		3.9.2	Leave-One-Out Cross-Validation (LOOCV)	66
		3.9.3	The Root Mean Square Error or Deviation (RMSE / RMSD)	66
	3.10	Predict	tion of Probability of Occurrence Meteorological States	for
		One-S	tep-Ahead	67
	3.11	Foreca	st of the Probabilities of Occurrence of the Differ	ent
		Hydro	logical States for Time Step Ahead	67
4 RES	ULTS	SAND	DISCUSSION	70
, ALL	4.1	Adjust	ment of the Inconsistent Precipitation Data	70
	4.2	Selecti	ing Appropriate Meteorological Drought Index	70
	7.2	4 2 1	Determining Meteorological Conditions by Using Moving	10
		1.2.1	Average and Drought Indices	70
		4.2.2	Comparison of Indices Regarding Their Evaluation of	
			Different Meteorological Conditions	77
	4.3	Identit	fying the Proper Hydrological Drought Index	84
		4.3.1	Selection of the Proper Threshold Levels	86
		4.3.2	Categorization of Hydrological Events by using the FDC	
			Indices	87

 4.4 Lag Time of the Basin System by Using The Truncation Level 94 4.5 Unification categories of the Selected Indices 103 4.6 Transition Probability of Meteorological States 103 4.6 Transition Probability of Meteorological States 103 4.6 Transition Probability of Meteorological States 111 4.7 The Transition Probability of Meteorological States 115 4.7.1 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Frizi River Basin 115 4.7.2 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Frizi River Basin 117 4.7.3 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Joestan River Basin 117 4.7.4 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Joestan River Basin 129 4.7.4 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Joestan River Basin 122 4.7.5 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin 132 4.7.5 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (Reference' Matrix) 152 4.8.1 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (States for the Chalus River Basin 152 4.8.2 The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 162 5.1 Summary 162 5.2 Conclusion of This Study 168 5.4 Recommendations for Future Research 169 		4.3.3	Determination of Different Hydrological Conditions	88
4.5 Unification categories of the Selected Indices 103 4.6 Transition Probability of Meteorological States 103 4.6.1 The Prediction Transition Probability of Meteorological States 103 4.6.1 The Prediction Transition Probability of Meteorological States 111 4.7 The Transition Probability of Meteorological States to Hydrological States 115 4.7.1 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Frizi River Basin 115 4.7.2 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Frizi River Basin 117 4.7.3 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Joestan River Basin 129 4.7.4 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Joestan River Basin 132 4.7.5 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 145 4.8 Verification of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (Reference' Matrix) 152 4.8.1 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 152 4.8.2 The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMM	4.4	Lag Time of the Basin System by Using The Truncation Level 94		
4.6 Transition Probability of Meteorological States 103 4.6.1 The Prediction Transition Probability of Meteorological States 111 4.7 The Transition Probability of Meteorological States to Hydrological States 115 4.7.1 The Transition Probability of Meteorological States to Hydrological States 115 4.7.1 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Frizi River Basin 115 4.7.2 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Frizi River Basin 117 4.7.3 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Joestan River Basin 129 4.7.4 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Joestan River Basin 132 4.7.5 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 145 4.8 Verification of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (Reference' Matrix) 152 4.8.1 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 152 4.8.2 The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157	4.5	Unification categories of the Selected Indices 103		
4.6.1 The Prediction Transition Probability of Meteorological States 111 4.7 The Transition Probability of Meteorological States to Hydrological States 115 4.7.1 The Transition Probability of Meteorological States to Hydrological States 115 4.7.1 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Frizi River Basin 115 4.7.2 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Frizi River Basin 117 4.7.3 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Joestan River Basin 129 4.7.4 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Joestan River Basin 129 4.7.5 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin 132 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin 145 4.8 Verification of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (Reference' Matrix) 152 4.8.1 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 152 4.8.2 The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 162 5.1 Summary 162 5.2 Conclusion 164 5.3 Contributions of This Study 168 5.	4.6	Transit	ion Probability of Meteorological States	103
for the Time Step Ahead1114.7The Transition Probability of Meteorological States to Hydrological States1154.7.1The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Frizi River Basin1154.7.2The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Frizi River Basin1174.7.3The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Joestan River Basin1294.7.4The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Joestan River Basin1294.7.5The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin1324.7.5The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin1414.7.6The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin1414.7.6The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin1454.8Verification of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (Reference' Matrix)1524.8.1The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 152157SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS1625.1Summary1625.2Conclusion1645.3Contributions of This Study1685.4Recommendations for Future Research169REFERENCES170APPENDICES182		4.6.1	The Prediction Transition Probability of Meteorological S	States
4.7 The Transition Probability of Meteorological States to Hydrological States 115 4.7.1 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Frizi River Basin 115 4.7.2 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Frizi River Basin 117 4.7.3 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Joestan River Basin 117 4.7.4 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Joestan River Basin 129 4.7.5 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin 131 4.7.5 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (Reference' Matrix) 152 4.8.1 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 152 4.8.2 The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 162 5.1 Summary 162 5.2 Conclusion 164 5.3 Contributions of Th			for the Time Step Ahead	111
States1154.7.1The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Frizi River Basin1154.7.2The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Frizi River Basin1174.7.3The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Joestan River Basin1294.7.4The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Joestan River Basin1324.7.5The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin1414.7.6The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin1414.7.6The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin1414.7.6The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin1454.8Verification of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (Reference' Matrix)1524.8.1The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 1521524.8.2The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157157SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS1625.1Summary1625.2Conclusion1645.3Contributions of This Study1685.4Recommendations for Future Research169REFERENCES170APPENDICES182	4.7	The Tr	ransition Probability of Meteorological States to Hydrol	ogical
4.7.1 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Frizi River Basin 115 4.7.2 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Frizi River Basin 117 4.7.3 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Joestan River Basin 129 4.7.4 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Joestan River Basin 132 4.7.5 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin 132 4.7.5 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 145 4.8 Verification of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (Reference' Matrix) 152 4.8.1 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 152 4.8.2 The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 162 5.1 Summary 162 5.2 Conclusion 164 5.3 Contributions of This Study 168 5.4 Recommendations for Future Research 169 REFERENCES 170 APPENDICES 182 </td <td></td> <td>States</td> <td></td> <td>115</td>		States		115
Basin1154.7.2The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Frizi River Basin1174.7.3The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Joestan River Basin1294.7.4The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Joestan River Basin1324.7.5The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin1414.7.6The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin1414.7.6The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin1414.7.6The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin1454.8Verification of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (Reference' Matrix)1524.8.1The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 1521524.8.2The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157157SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS1625.1Summary1625.2Conclusion1645.3Contributions of This Study1685.4Recommendations for Future Research169REFERENCES170APPENDICES182		4.7.1	The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Frizi Ri	iver
4.7.2 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Frizi River Basin 117 4.7.3 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Joestan River Basin 129 4.7.4 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Joestan River Basin 132 4.7.5 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin 132 4.7.5 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 145 4.8 Verification of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (Reference' Matrix) 152 4.8.1 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 152 4.8.2 The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 162 5.1 Summary 162 5.2 Conclusion 164 5.3 Contributions of This Study 168 5.4 Recommendations for Future Research 169 REFERENCES 170 APPENDICES 182			Basin	115
States for the Frizi River Basin 117 4.7.3 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Joestan River Basin 129 4.7.4 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Joestan River Basin 132 4.7.5 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 145 4.8 Verification of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (Reference' Matrix) 152 4.8.1 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 152 4.8.2 The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 162 162 5.1 Summary 162 5.2 Conclusion 164 5.3 Contributions of This Study 168 5.4 Recommendations for Future Research 169 REFERENCES 170 APPENDICES 182		4.7.2	The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrologi	cal
4.7.3 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Joestan River Basin 129 4.7.4 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Joestan River Basin 132 4.7.5 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 145 4.8 Verification of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (Reference' Matrix) 152 4.8.1 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 152 4.8.2 The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 162 5.1 Summary 162 5.2 Conclusion 164 5.3 Contributions of This Study 168 5.4 Recommendations for Future Research 169 REFERENCES 170 APPENDICES 182			States for the Frizi River Basin	117
Basin 129 4.7.4 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Joestan River Basin 132 4.7.5 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 145 4.8 Verification of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (Reference' Matrix) 152 4.8.1 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 152 4.8.1 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 152 4.8.2 The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 162 5.1 Summary 162 5.2 Conclusion 164 5.3 Contributions of This Study 168 5.4 Recommendations for Future Research 169 REFERENCES 470 182		4.7.3	The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Joestan	River
4.7.4 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Joestan River Basin 132 4.7.5 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 145 4.8 Verification of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (Reference' Matrix) 152 4.8.1 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 152 4.8.2 The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 162 5.1 Summary 162 5.2 Conclusion 164 5.3 Contributions of This Study 168 5.4 Recommendations for Future Research 169 REFERENCES 170 APPENDICES 182		171	Basin	129
4.7.5 The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus River Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 145 4.8 Verification of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (Reference' Matrix) 152 4.8.1 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 152 4.8.2 The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 162 5.1 Summary 162 5.2 Conclusion 164 5.3 Contributions of This Study 168 5.4 Recommendations for Future Research 169 REFERENCES 170 APPENDICES 182		4.7.4	States for the Losson Piver Pasin	132
Basin 141 4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 145 4.8 Verification of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (Reference' Matrix) 152 4.8.1 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 152 4.8.2 The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 162 5.1 Summary 162 5.2 Conclusion 164 5.3 Contributions of This Study 168 5.4 Recommendations for Future Research 169 REFERENCES 170 APPENDICES 182		4.7.5	The Hydro-Climatological Matrix (HCM) for the Chalus	River
4.7.6 The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrological States for the Chalus River Basin 145 4.8 Verification of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (Reference' Matrix) 152 4.8.1 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 152 4.8.2 The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 162 5.1 Summary 162 5.2 Conclusion 164 5.3 Contributions of This Study 168 5.4 Recommendations for Future Research 169 REFERENCES 170 APPENDICES 182			Basin	141
States for the Chalus River Basin 145 4.8 Verification of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (Reference' Matrix) 152 152 4.8.1 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 152 152 4.8.2 The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 162 5.1 Summary 5.2 Conclusion 164 5.3 5.4 Recommendations for Future Research 169 REFERENCES APPENDICES 170		4.7.6	The Forecast of Probabilities of Occurrence of Hydrologi	ical
4.8 Verification of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (Reference' Matrix) 152 4.8.1 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 152 4.8.1 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 152 4.8.2 The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 162 5.1 Summary 5.2 Conclusion 5.3 Contributions of This Study 5.4 Recommendations for Future Research 169 REFERENCES 170 APPENDICES 182			States for the Chalus River Basin	145
152 4.8.1 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 152 4.8.2 The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 162 5.1 Summary 162 5.2 Conclusion 164 5.3 Contributions of This Study 168 5.4 Recommendations for Future Research 169 REFERENCES 170 APPENDICES 182	4.8	Verific	cation of the Hydroclimatological Matrix (Reference' M	Aatrix)
4.8.1 The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological Matrix 152 4.8.2 The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 162 5.1 Summary 162 5.2 Conclusion 164 5.3 Contributions of This Study 168 5.4 Recommendations for Future Research 169 REFERENCES APPENDICES 170				152
4.8.2The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS1625.1Summary5.2Conclusion5.3Contributions of This Study5.4Recommendations for Future Research169169REFERENCES170APPENDICES182		4.8.1	The Forecast Performance of the Hydroclimatological M	atrix
4.8.2 The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square Error 157 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 162 5.1 Summary 162 5.2 Conclusion 164 5.3 Contributions of This Study 168 5.4 Recommendations for Future Research 169 REFERENCES 170 APPENDICES 182				152
SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS1625.1Summary1625.2Conclusion1645.3Contributions of This Study1685.4Recommendations for Future Research169REFERENCES170APPENDICES182		4.8.2	The Cross-Validation Approach and Root Mean Square I	Error
SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS1625.1Summary1625.2Conclusion1645.3Contributions of This Study1685.4Recommendations for Future Research169REFERENCES170APPENDICES182				157
5.1Summary1625.2Conclusion1645.3Contributions of This Study1685.4Recommendations for Future Research169REFERENCESAPPENDICES170182	SUMMARY	. CONC	CLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	162
5.2Conclusion1645.3Contributions of This Study1685.4Recommendations for Future Research169REFERENCES170APPENDICES182	5.1	Summ	arv	162
5.3 Contributions of This Study1685.4 Recommendations for Future Research169REFERENCES170APPENDICES182	5.2	Conclu	ision	164
5.4 Recommendations for Future Research169REFERENCES170APPENDICES182	5.3	Contri	butions of This Study	168
REFERENCES 170 APPENDICES 182	5.4	Recon	nmendations for Future Research	169
REFERENCES 170 APPENDICES 182				
APPENDICES 182	DEEEDE	NCES		170
	APPEND	ICES		182

APPENDICES BIODATA OF STUDENT

194

LIST OF TABLES

Table

Page 3.1. Major watersheds in the case study area (Source: MRWC, 2009) 34 3.2. Some of the parameters of annual precipitation data at a number of 39 selected climate stations (Zone I) 3.3. Classification of Meteorological Drought Indices (SPI, SIAP, Deciles 42 and PNI) 3.4. Climatic classification and relevant probabilities based on the SPI 43 index (Source; NDMC, 2004) 3.5. Statistical parameters of monthly streamflow (m3/s) in selected case 53 studies 3.6. The one-dimensional transition probability matrix (ODTPM) based on 60 Meteorological States 3.7. A two-dimensional transition-probability matrix (TDTPM) based on 63 meteorological and hydrological States (Three-state matrix) Meteorological drought conditions at Ramsar Station by using 4.1. 73 different Indices 4.2. Streamflow parameters (m3/s) derivation from the FDC at the River 87 Basin 4.3. Identification of hydrological conditions by using the FDC and Deciles 89 indices 4.4. Temporal variation of hydrological condition using FDC indices in the 91 **Chalus River** 4.5. Temporal variation of hydrological condition using Deciles indices in 92 the Chalus River 4.6. Frequencies of occurrence of lag time with different truncation levels 97 (Tr) in the Frizi Basin

4.7. Frequencies of occurrence of lag time with different truncation levels (Tr) in the Joestan Basin	99
4.8. Averages of the relative frequencies of occurrence (percentage) of lag time of the Joestan Basin	100
4.9. Frequencies of the occurrence of lag time with different truncation levels (Tr) in the Chalus Basin	101
4.10. Meteorological states of the Chalus Basin using the Deciles Index	104
4.11. Number of occurrence of meteorological states for the Chalus Basin	106
4.12. The one-dimensional transition probabilities matrix (ODTPM) of meteorological states for the Chalus Basin	106
4.13. Number of occurrence of meteorological states for the Joestan Basin	107
4.14. The one-dimensional transition probabilities matrix (ODTPM) of meteorological states for the Joestan Basin	107
4.15. Number of occurrence of meteorological states for the Frizi Basin	108
4.16. The one-dimensional transition probabilities matrix (ODTPM) of meteorological states for the Frizi Basin	108
4.17. The transition probabilities matrix (TPM) of meteorological states for one- month time step ahead in the Chalus Basin	112
4.18. The transition probabilities matrix (TPM) of meteorological states for two- month time step ahead in the Chalus Basin	112
4.19. The transition probabilities matrix (TPM) of meteorological states for one- month time step ahead in the Joestan Basin	113
4.20. The transition probabilities matrix (TPM) of meteorological states for two- month time step ahead in the Joestan Basin	113
4.21. The transition probabilities matrix (TPM) of meteorological states for one- month time step ahead in the Frizi Basin	114
4.22. The transition probabilities matrix (TPM) of meteorological states for two-month time step ahead in the Frizi Basin	114

	4.23. Frequency of occurrence of hydrological states against meteorological states with 1-month lag time in the Frizi Basin (Total number=323)	115
,	4.24. Probability of occurrence of hydrological states against meteorological states with different lag time in the Frizi Basin	116
	4.25. The Hydro-climatological matrix (HCM) as a (TDTPM) with 1-month lag time in the Frizi Basin	117
	4.26. Probability of different hydrological states (%) based on initial meteorological state (Wet) for various time steps ahead in the Frizi Basin	119
	4.27. Probability of different hydrological states (%) based on initial meteorological state (Normal) for various time steps ahead in the Frizi Basin	120
	4.28. Probability of different hydrological states (%) based on initial meteorological state (Severely Dry) for various time steps ahead in the Frizi Basin	121
	4.29. Three-state Hydro-climatological matrix (HCM) with 1-month lag time in the Frizi Basin	128
	4.30. Probability of occurrence of hydrological states against meteorological states with different lag time in the Joestan Basin	130
	4.31. The Hydro-climatological matrix (HCM) as a (TDTPM) with multi- lag time in the Joestan Basin	132
	4.32. Probability of different hydrological states (%) based on initial meteorological state (Wet) for various time steps ahead in the Joestan Basin	133
	4.33. Probability of different hydrological states (%) based on initial meteorological state (Mild Drought) for various time steps ahead in the Joestan	134
	4.34. Three-state two-dimensional transition probability matrix with different lag time in the Joestan Basin	138
	4.35. Three-state Hydro-climatological matrix (HCM) with multi- lag time in the Joestan Basin	140
	4.36. Probability of occurrence of hydrological states against meteorological states with different lag time in the Chalus Basin	141

1.37. The Hydro-climatological matrix (HCM) as a (TDTPM) with multi- lag time in the Chalus Basin	144
4.38. Probability of different hydrological states (%) based on initial meteorological state (Wet) for various time steps ahead in the Chalus Basin	147
4.39. Probability of different hydrological states (%) based on initial meteorological state (Severe drought) for time steps ahead in the Chalus Basin	148
4.40. Three-state Hydro-climatological matrix (HCM) with multi-lag time in the Chalus Basin	151
4.41. The contingency for the categorical forecast verification state by using the Hit rate fraction in the Frizi River Basin	154
4.42. The contingency for the categorical forecast verification state by using the Hit rate fraction in the Joestan River Basin	155
4.43. The contingency for the categorical forecast verification state by using the Hit rate fraction in the Chalus River Basin	156
4.44. The Hydroclimatological matrices (HCM) in the Frizi River Basin with1-month lag time via the Cross-Validation method	158
4.45. The RMSE values of References' Matrix for the Frizi River Basin with different periods of time	159
4.46. The Hydroclimatological Matrix in the Frizi River Basin with different periods of time	161

6

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

2.1 Schematic representation of the hydrological drought characteristics with the threshold level method (Source: Fleig et al. 2005)	21
2.2. Schematic diagram impacts of drought on environmental factors, and time relationship between meteorological and hydrological droughts (Source: NDMC,2004)	23
2.3 Diagram of transition probability matrix of Markov chain with three states (Source: Stefan, 2004)	28
3.1 Geographical locations of climatology/rain gauge stations in the study area	25
3.2 Methodology to select appropriate meteorological drought index	35
3.3 Three different climatic zones in the study area (Source: MRWC,	36
2009) 3.4 Monthly mean and seasonal variation of precipitation for the study	38
area	40
3.5 Methodology details to compare the performance of the indices with moving average method	45
3.6 Flowchart of the applied methodology of the proposed model	47
3.7 Location of the Chalus Basin	49
3.8 Location of the Joestan Basin	50
3.9 Location of the Frizi Basin	51
3.10 Schematic categories of meteorological drought by Deciles Index for monthly precipitation	55
3.11 Flowchart of the applied methodology for identification of hydrological conditions	56

3.12 States of meteorological transitions regard concept of Markov Chain	59
3.13 Schematics of two-dimensional process	61
3.14 Relationship between counts (letters a-d) of forecast/event pairs for the dichotomous categorical verification situation (Source; Wilks, 1995).	65
3.15 Contingency table for the $I = J = 3$ categorical forecast verification situation (bold), and its reduction to three 2 × 2 contingency tables (Source; Wilks, 1995).	66
3.16 Algorithm of prediction of the probabilities of occurrence of the different hydrological States based on the Markov chain theory	69
4.1 Double Mass Curve for adjusting inconsistent precipitation (Toskatok station)	71
4.2 Annual precipitation curve and the 3-year Moving Average (Ramsar Station)	72
4.3 Illustration of the PNI drought index values based on annual precipitation.	75
4.4 Illustration of the DI, SPI and SIAP drought index values based on annual precipitation.	76
4.5 SIAP and SPI correlation in the study area	76
4.6 ZSI, CZI and SPI indices in the study area	77
4.7 Number of Indices' successes in the three zones	78
4.8 Number of Indices' successes in the study area	78
4.9 Comparison of Indices regarding their evaluation of Normal climatological condition	70
4.10 Comparison of Indices regarding their evaluation of wet and very Wet climatological condition	80
4.11 Comparison of Indices regarding their evaluation of low and Moderately dry climatological condition	80
Moderatery dry enmatorogical condition	81

4.12 Comparison of Indices regarding their evaluation of Severely Dry climatological condition	81
4.13 Comparison of Indices regarding their evaluation of the Extremely dry climatological condition	82
4.14 Histogram of Climatological status using DI, SPI and SIAP indices	83
4.15 Histogram of Meteorological states using DI and SPI index in the study area(Monthly & Yearly time scale)	83
4.16 Relative frequency of meteorological drought prone periods using DI and SPI (monthly time scale)	84
4.17 Flow Duration Curve based on daily data at Polezoqal station (1975–2005)	85
4.18 Flow Duration Curve based on daily data at Joestan station (1992–2004)	05
4.19 Hydrological categories by using the FDC indices versus the Deciles method at the Chalus River	85
4.20 Correlation between states of the FDC and Deciles method at the Chalus River	90
4.21 Temporal variation of hydrological conditions using the FDC in the Chalus River (Oct1974-Sep 2005)	90
4.22 Temporal variation of hydrological conditions using the FDC in the Joestan River (Oct1992-Sep 2005)	93
4.23 Temporal variation of hydrological conditions using the FDC in the Frizi River (Oct1981-Sep 2008)	93
4.24 Plots of standardized values of 3-yr moving average time series of	94
4.25 Plots of Standardized values of 3-yr moving average time series of	95
monthly precipitation and streamflow (Joestan Basin) for (1992-2005)4.26 Plots of the Standardized values of 3-vr moving average time series	96
of monthly precipitation and streamflow (Chalus Basin) for (1969-2005)	96
different truncation levels in the Frizi Basin	98

4.28 Relative frequencies of the occurrence of lag time using different truncation levels in the Joestan Basin	100
4.29 Relative frequencies of the occurrence (%) of lag time using different truncation levels in the Chalus Basin	102
4.30 The transition probabilities matrix chart form in the Chalus Basin	110
4.31 The transition probabilities matrix chart form in the Joestan Basin	110
4.32 The transition probabilities matrix chart form in the Frizi Basin	111
4.33 Maximum probability of different hydrological states based on initial meteorological state (Wet) for time step ahead in the Frizi Basin	124
 4.34 Maximum probability of different hydrological states based on initial meteorological state (Normal) for time step ahead in the Frizi Basin 4.35 Maximum probability of different hydrological states based on 	124
initial meteorological state (Severely Dry) for time step ahead in the Frizi Basin	125
4.36 Maximum probability of hydrological drought based on Wet, Normal and Severely Dry as initial meteorological state in the Frizi Basin	126
4.37 Three-state transition probabilities matrix chart form in the Frizi Basin	128
4.38 Maximum probability of different hydrological states based on initial meteorological state (Wet) for time step ahead in the Joestan Basin	136
4.39 Maximum probability of hydrological states based on initial meteorological state (Mild Drought) for time step ahead in the Joestan Basin	136
4.40 Three-state Transition probabilities matrix chart form in the Joestan Basin	140
4.41 Maximum probability of different hydrological states based on initial meteorological state (Wet) for time step ahead in the Chalus Basin	149
4.42 Maximum probability of hydrological states based on initial meteorological state (Sever drought) for time step ahead in the Chalus Basin	149
4.43 Three-state transition probabilities matrix chart form in the Chalus Basin	152

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AGBM:	Australian Government Bureau of Meteorology
CMI:	Crop Moisture Index
CZI:	China Z-Index
DAI:	Drought Area Index
DI:	Deciles Index
DMCSEE:	Drought Management Centre for South-eastern Europe
DSIe:	Drought Severity Index
ED:	Extreme Drought
EDI:	Effective Drought Index
ESCAP:	Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific
EW:	Extreme Wet
FDC:	Flow Duration Curve
GPCC:	Global Precipitation Climatology Centre
HCM:	Hydro-Climatological Matrix
HDI:	Hydrological Drought Index
IDS:	Incipient Dry Spell
IPCC:	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IWMI:	International Water Management Institute
LOOCV:	Leave-one-out cross-validation
MA:	Moving Average

MAN:	Much Above Normal
MCM:	Markov Chain Model
MCZI:	Modified China Z-Index
MD:	Mild Drought
MDI:	Meteorological Drought Index
MRR:	Mediterranean Regional Roundtable
MRWC: N:	Mazandaran Regional Water Company
NAO:	North Atlantic Oscillation
NCAR:	National Centre for Atmospheric Research
NCEP:	National Centres for Environmental Prediction
ODTPM:	One-Dimensional Transition Probability Matrix
PDF:	Probability Distribution Function
PDSI:	Palmer Drought Severity Index
PHDI:	Palmer Hydrological Drought Index
PNI:	Percent of Normal Index
POD:	Probability of Detection
RAI:	Rainfall Anomaly Index
RCS:	Reference Climate Station
RDI:	Reclamation Drought Index
RMSE:	Root Mean Square Error
SD:	Severe Drought

xxviii

LIST OF NOTATIONS

v_i :	Volume of deficit
<i>t</i> _i :	Time of occurrence
d_i :	Duration
P_{ij} :	Transition probability from the <i>i</i> state to the <i>j</i> state
$E_{\mathbf{V}}$:	Estimation or measure of the precision of the measurement
□:	Mean of data
EF _{Qi} :	Exceedeance frequency
P (N, W):	Transition probability from the N to the W state
$[P_{ij}]$:	Transition Probability Matrix
ΔΤ:	Lag time
(Tqi-Tpi ';Ti)	<i>i</i> th lag time of the Basin
D _m :	Meteorological Drought state
D_h :	Hydrological Drought state
$P_{(D_m, D_h)}$	Transition Probability from the D_m to D_h
S _{mi} :	ith meteorological state
S _{hi} :	j <i>th</i> hydrological state
fs _{mi} :	Frequency of occurrence of ith meteorological state
fs_{hi} :	Frequency of occurrence of jth hydrological state
H:	Hit rate
W_h :	Hydrological Wet state
D_h :	Hydrological Drought state
Dh S.Dm	Hydrological Drought based on Severe meteorological drought

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

A good understanding of the natural drought phenomenon, as well as its meteorological and hydroclimatological causes, is essential for management of the limited water resources. Drought occurs in both low- and high-rainfall areas, in most regions of the world, and often on a yearly basis. It is important to identify the trend over time and to determine whether drought is becoming a more frequent and severe event. Concern exists that the threat of global warming may increase the frequency and severity of extreme climate events such as flood and drought in the future (IPCC, Basically, drought is a phenomenon that originates from a prolonged 2001). deficiency in precipitation over a region. Accordingly, meteorological drought is usually defined on the basis of the departure of precipitation from the normal condition or the long-term average amount and duration of the dry period. Meanwhile, hydrological drought is related to the effect of precipitation deficit on surface water, streamflow or groundwater, reservoirs and lake levels. In other words, it is a sustained and regionally extensive occurrence of below average natural water availability, and can thus be characterized as a deviation from the normal conditions of variables, such as precipitation, soil moisture, groundwater and streamflow (Tallaksen & Lanen, 2004). Due to the complexity caused by atmospheric and climatological phenomena, hydrological drought is a natural hazard and therefore cannot be prevented.

Besides, the impacts of droughts on environmental, natural resources and its other aspects can be reduced through mitigation and preparedness. Constant monitoring of the hydrological system, identification of drought characteristics, forecasting of drought occurrences, and their probability of recurrence, preferably built into an early warning system, can help in the preparation. In more specific, hydrological drought prevails after meteorological events within time lag. Hence, drought monitoring and forecasting can help to improve the decision making process for water resources management, drought mitigation and to reduce the negative impacts. The magnitude of drought impacts may increase in the future as a result of an increased frequency of the occurrence of the natural event (i.e. meteorological drought), changes in the factors that affect vulnerability, or a combination of these elements (Wilhite, 2002).

It is important to note that the characteristics of droughts are expressed in terms of drought index and intensity-duration-frequency. Many methods proposed for drought monitoring using indices, such as standardized precipitation index (SPI), Palmer Hydrological Drought Index (PHDI), and have been developed (Hayes *et al.*, 1999; Heim, 2000; Cancelliere *et al.*, 2007). As for the stochastic nature of climatological phenomenon like precipitation, some of the forecasting techniques for the characterization of meteorological drought have been developed and implemented, such as Markov chain (Isaacson and Madsen, 1976; Moreira *et al.*, 2006; Cancelliere *et al.*, 2007; Paulo, 2005, 2008). Similarly, knowledge of transition probabilities from a certain drought class to other drought conditions and its prediction for time scale ahead have also presented as a useful method (Cancelliere *et al.*, 2007; Paulo 2008). Therefore, a method that relates the

2

hydroclimatological variables which enable hydrological drought to be analyzed based on meteorological drought, would be significant and valuable.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

In general, hydrological droughts arise after meteorological droughts. Hence, first meteorological drought occurs and its persistence over time and space leads to the occurrence of hydrological droughts. Accordingly, it takes more time for the precipitation deficiency to affect the components of the hydrological system, such as soil moisture, stream flow, reservoirs and groundwater levels. Meanwhile, the probability of occurrence of meteorological droughts and hydrological droughts varies accordingly.

Although from the viewpoint of meteorological droughts, the real extent of drought phenomenon is very useful, it does not bring attention to hydrological droughts as water managers are only involved with streamflow at the outlets of basins as points in space. In addition, because of the intricacy of the global hydrological cycle, it is virtually impossible to detect the source of droughts (Nalbantis & Tsakiris, 2009). Hence, forecasting the start of a drought event is practically a very complex issue, and for this reason, relevant investigations by researchers still have not shown any clear results. Some questions such as when a drought begins and when it finishes, how and what unfavourable effects it may cause and many other questions, have obviously not been answered or correctly addressed (Cordery & McCall, 2000; El Hassani, 2002). Meanwhile, identifying when a drought starts varies from place to place, and may also be based on different criteria. According to the above mentioned issues, there has been no index or a single pointer that can pinpoint the onset or severity and frequency of the occurrence of the drought event (ESCAP, 2010). So far, most studies have focused on meteorological droughts in the world. Researchers and hydrologists give more attention to the issue of how meteorological drought or shortage of precipitation will affect the hydrological system. In this respect, some important issues regarding the hydrological drought need to be investigated. The first one is determining how long it will take for the hydrological conditions to be affected by the meteorological drought. The second one is finding the probability of the occurrence of hydrological conditions with respect to lag time. Furthermore, it is crucial to determine the most probable hydrological condition, after a certain condition of meteorological drought has occurred.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The main aim of this research is to attain a method to be used in predicting the probability of the occurrence of various hydrological conditions according to various meteorological conditions by employing appropriate drought indices. Accordingly, the objectives in this thesis work can be summarised in five key objectives elaborated by several specific tasks, as follows:

- i. To determine the appropriate meteorological drought index (MDI);
- To estimate the different meteorological drought conditions using the properly selected index;
- iii. To identify the proper hydrological drought index (HDI);
- iv. To determine the lag time between meteorological and hydrological states and;
- v. To forecast the probability of the occurrence of different hydrological states

based on various meteorological states according to their time lag.

1.4 Significance of the Study

The results of this study are significant in predicting the probability of occurrence for the different hydrological status based on the meteorological conditions for longer time step. In other words, the time lag of the hydrological drought occurrence after an onset of a meteorological drought has been analyzed. Hence, the implementation of this particular method is an important step and a good opportunity for risk reduction and management of water resources. A lot of resources and methods for analyzing meteorological drought have been discussed and proposed (Gibbs & Maher, 1967; Mckee et al., 1993; Hayes et al., 1999; Lloyd and Saunders, 2002; Tsakiris & Vangelis, 2005; Paulo et al., 2007). On the contrary, hydrological drought indices such as Palmer Hydrological Drought Index (PHDI), or Surface Water Supply Index (SWSI) are, overall, data requiring and computationally intensive (Nalbantis & Tsakiris, 2009). It is important to highlight that forecasting hydrological droughts that follow meteorological droughts with a lag time can improve the decision making process for water resources management, drought mitigation and so on. Due to its complexity, however, there are only a few investigations carried out on this issue, and the focus has always been on the meteorological droughts. Recently, several studies were done to obtain a simple and effective hydrological drought index (see for example, Nalbantis, 2008; Nalbantis & Tsakiris, 2009). Similarly, reviews and studies on this issue are also in progress. Therefore, finding a method that focuses on hydrological droughts, based on hydroclimatological variables, is apparently valuable. Meanwhile, the lack of

5

streamflow data or its insufficiency is of major concern for hydrological condition simulation via time series analysis. In those cases where data are adequate, the governing of its probability distribution function (PDF) is an important constraint that makes the technique inefficient. Hence, when the precipitation time series is available, applying the result of such study will be very useful. Apparently, a generalized model for the areas of hydroclimatological homogeneity would be an efficient approach.

1.5 Scope of the Study

The scope of this study covers the development of a model to predict the transition probability of different meteorological states to different hydrological states. For this purpose, the methodology was employed in three regions located in the north, north-west and north-east of Iran with different aspects of size, climate and length of records. The first region is the Joestan watershed, with a semi-wet climate, an annual precipitation of 547 mm, and a drainage area of 412 km² located in the north-west of Tehran, the capital of Iran. The second region is the Chalus watershed, with a semi-arid temperate climate, an annual precipitation of 318 mm, and drainage area of 1600 km² located in the north of Iran near the Caspian Sea. Meanwhile, the third region is the Frizi watershed, with a semi-arid cold climate, an annual precipitation of 372 mm, and a drainage area of 273 km². The following describes the detailed scope of this study:

i. Collecting the daily precipitation and discharge time series data at the related stations and extracting of monthly and yearly data.

ii.

Determining the meteorological drought classes using various indices.

6

iii. Selecting the appropriate meteorological drought index.

 iv. Determining the transition-probability matrix (TPM) in different meteorological status by employing the stochastic method (Markov Chain Model: MCM).

v. Determining different classes of hydrological drought using a proper index.

- vi. Developing the model of one-dimensional transition-probability matrix (ODTPM) by converting it into two-dimensional (TDTPM)
- vii. Employing the model developed for forecasting the probability of occurrence of each hydrological state based on different meteorological states using precipitation and streamflow time series.

1.6 Limitation of the Study

A significant or negligible probability value depends on the sufficient frequency and distribution of events in each class. In this study, two sub-category of drought were considered in the extraction of a two-dimensional matrix. Clearly, more separation of classes for the meteorological/hydrological drought period based on the proposed methodology, a very long-term coincident time series of precipitation and streamflow are demanded. Hence, this theme can be seen as a limitation in the case of this current study.

REFERENCES

- Alatise, M. and Ikumawoyi, O. (2007). Evaluation of Drought From Rainfall Data for Lokoja: A Confluence of Two Major Rivers. Electronic Journal of Polish Agricultural Universities (EJPAU), Vol 10 (1), http://www.ejpau.media.pl/volume10/issue1/art-05.html.
- Araghinejad, S. (2011). An Approach for Probabilistic Hydrological Drought Forecasting. Water Resour Manage (2011) 25:191–200.
- Australian Government Bureau of Meteorology (AGBM). (2005). Verifying Climate Forecasts, Vanuatu, Solomon Islands., Fiji, T., Samoa, Niue, Cook Islands, Tuvalu, Kiribati, Stakeholder Workshop Enhanced Application of Climate Predictions in Pacific Island Countries, Pacific Islands Climate Prediction Project. <u>www.bom.gov.au/climate/pi-cpp/training/nms</u>
- Bhalme, H.N. and Mooley, D.A. (1980). Large-scale droughts/floods and monsoon circulation. Mon. Wea. Rev. 108: 1197–1211.
- Bergaoui, M. (2003). Local and regional droughts in Siliana' catchment, Tunisia. In G. Rossi, Cancelliere, A. Pereira, L.S. Oweis, T. Shatanawi, M. Zairi, A. (Eds.) Tools for Drought Mitigation in Mediterranean Regions (pp. 79-88). Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Bhuiyan, C., Singh, R.P. and Kogan, F.N. (2006). Monitoring drought dynamics in the Aravalli region (India) using different indices based on ground and remote sensing data. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation 8 (2006) 289–302.
- Bokal, S. (2009). Standardized Precipitation Index tool for drought monitoring (examples from Slovenia). Drought Management Centre for Southeastern Europe (DMCSEE). <u>http://www.wamis.org/agm/meetings/slovenia10/S3-Bokal-SPI.pdf</u>
- Borg, D.S. (2009). An Application of Drought Indices in Malta, Case Study. European Water 25/26: 25-38, 2009 E.W.Publications.
- Bradford, R.B. (2000). Drought events in Europe. in J.V. Vogt, and Somma F. (eds) Drought and drought mitigation in Europe. Advances in natural and technological hazards research, Vol. 14. Kluwer Academic Publishers., The Netherlands, pp 7–20.
- Cancelliere, A. and Rossi, G. (2003). Droughts in Sicily and comparison of identified droughts in Mediterranean Regions. In G. Rossi, Cancelliere, A. Pereira, L.S.,

Oweis, T. Shatanawi, M. Zairi, A. (Eds.) *Tools for Drought Mitigation in Mediterranean Regions* (pp. 103-122). Kluwer Academic Publishers.

- Cancelliere, A., Di Mauro, G., Bonaccorso, B. and Rossi, G. (2007). Drought forecasting using the Standardized Precipitation Index. *Journal of Water Resour Manage* 21:801–819.
- Cancelliere, A., Di Mauro, G., Bonaccorso, B. and Rossi, G. (2007), Stochastic Forecasting of Drought Indices, G. Rossi et al. (Eds.) *Methods and Tools for* Drought *Analysis and Management* (pp. 83–100). University of Catania, Italy.
- Ceglar, A. Z., Crepinsek, L. and Kajfez, B. (2008). Analysis of meteorological drought in Slovenia with two drought indices. Paper presented at the conference of the Water Observation and Information Systems. BALWOIS 2008 – Ohrid, Republic of Macedonia – 27, 31 May 2008.
- Clausen, B. and Pearson, C.P. (1995). Regional frequency analysis of annual maximum streamflow drought. *Journal of Hydrol* 173:111–130.
- Cinlar, E. (1975). Introduction to Stochastic Processes. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
- Cordery, I. and McCall, M. (2000). A model for forecasting drought from teleconnections. *Journal of Water Resour Res* 36:763–768.
- Dakova, S. (2004). Low Flow and Drought Spatial Analysis. National Institute of Hydrology & Meteorology, BASc, 66 Tzarigradsko Shosse,1784 Sofia, Bulgaria.Balwois Conference, Ohrid, 25-29 May 2004.
- Demuth, S. and Stahl, K. (2001). ARIDE-Assessment of the Regional Impacts of Drought in Europe. Final Report, EU contract, ENV4-CT-97-0553, Institute of Hydrology, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
- Dracup, JA., Lee, K.S., and Paulson, E.G. Jr. (1980). On the statistical characteristics of drought events. Water Resour Res 16(2):289–296
- Dupuis, D.J. (2010). Statistical Modeling of the Monthly Palmer Drought Severity Index. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, ASCE / October 2010.

- Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP). (2010). Practices in Drought Disaster Monitoring and Early Warning. http://www.unescap.org/idd/working%20papers/IDD TP 10 2 of WP5 2 902
- Edossa, D.C., Mukand, S. B. and Ashim, D.G. (2009). Drought Analysis in the Awash River Basin, Ethiopia. Journal of Water Resour Manage.
- Edwards, D.C. and McKee, T.B. (1997). Characteristics of 20th Century Drought in the United States at Multiple Time Scales. Atmospheric Science Paper No. 634; 1–30.
- El Hassani, T.A. (2002). Drought preparedness and risk management in the Mediterranean Region. Mediterranean Regional Roundtable (MRR). Athens, Greece, http://www.uicnmed.org/web2007/CDCambio_climatico/contenido/F/PDF/CC_f1.pdf)
- Fleig, A.K., Tallaksen, L.M., Hisdal, H. and Demuth, S. (2005). A global evaluation of streamflow drought characteristics. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences. vol. 10, 2006, pp.535–552.
- Gibbs, W.J. and Maher, J.V. (1967). Rainfall deciles as drought indicators. Bureau of Meteorology Bulletin No. 48, Commonwealth of Australia, Melbourne.
- Gottschalk, L. (2004). Time series modelling. In L.M. Tallaksen, Lanen, H.A.J. van. (Eds). *Hydrological Drought Processes and Estimation Methods for Streamflow and Grounwater* (pp.19-51). Elsevier.
- Ju, X.S., Yang, X.W., Chen, L.J. and Wang, Y.M. (1997). Research on determination of indices and division of regional flood/drought grades in China (in Chinese). Quarterly Journal of Applied Meteorology 8(1): 26–33.
- Hayes, M., Wilhite, D.A., Svoboda, M. and Vanyarkho, O. (1999). Monitoring the 1996 drought using the standardized precipitation index. Bull Am Meteorol Soc 80:429–438.
- Hayes, M.J. (1999). Drought indices. National Drought Mitigation Center (NDMC). http://enso.unl.edu/ndmc
- Hayes, M. J. (2006). Drought Indices. National Drought Mitigation Center (NDMC). http:// WWW.drought.unl.edu/

- Heim, R.R. (2000). Drought indices: a review. In Wilhite, D.A. (Ed) *Drought: a global assessment*. Routledge, London and New York.
- Henny A.J. van Lanen, Kundzewicz, Z. W., Tallaksen, L.M., Hisdal, H., Fendekova, M. and Prudhomme, C. (2008). Indices for Different Types of Droughts and Floods at Different Scales. Technical Report No. 11. www.eu-watch.org/nl/
- Hester, J. (2006). Physics Tutorial: Standard Deviation. Clemson University. http://phoenix.phys.clemson.edu/tutorials/stddev/index.htm
- Hisdal, H. and Tallaksen, L.M. (2003). Estimation of regional meteorological and hydrological drought characteristics: a case study for Denmark. J Hydrol 281:230–247.
- Hisdal, H., Tallaksen, L.M., Clausen, B., Peters, E. And Gustard, A. (2004). Hydrological drought characteristics. In: Tallaksen L.M and Van Lanen H.A.J. (Eds) Hydrological drought-processes and estimation methods for streamflow and groundwater. Development in Water science, Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 139–182.
- Hosseini, M. (2011). Effect of Land use Change on Water Balance and Suspended Sediment Yield of Taleghan Catchment, Iran. Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM).
- Karamouz, M., Rasouli, K. and Nazif, S. (2009). Development of a Hybrid Index for Drought Prediction: Case Study. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, ASCE / June 2009.
- Keyantash, J. and Dracup, J.A. (2002). The quantification of drought: an evaluation of drought indices. Bull Amer Meteor Soc 83:1167–1180.
- Khalili, A. (1998). Synthesis of Country Water Master Plan, Energy Ministry of Iran.
- Khalili, A., Hojjam, S. and Bazrafshan, J. (2003). The comparison and categorizing of seven drought indices from succession of drought, normal and wet years point of view in some climatic zones of Iran. In: Proceedings of the Sixth Inter-Regional Conference on Environment-Water "Land and Water Use Planning and management, Albacete, Spain, September 3–5, 2003, pp. 1–12.
- Khalili, D, Farnoud, T., Jamshidi, H., Kamgar-Haghighi, A. and Zand-Parsa, S., (2011). Comparability Analyses of the SPI and RDI Meteorological Drought Indices in Different Climatic Zones Water Resour Manage (2011) 25:1737– 1757, DOI 10.1007/s11269-010-9772-z.

- Khorasan Agricultural and Natural Resources Research Center (KANRRC). (2010). Physiography Report for the Frizi Basin. Jahad-e Keshavarzi Ministry of Iran.
- Kirono , D.G.C., Kent , D.M., Hennessy , K.J. and Mpelasoka, F. (2011). Characteristics of Australian droughts under enhanced greenhouse conditions: Results from 14 global climate models. Journal of Arid Environments 75 (2011) 566-575.
- Kjeldsen, T.R., Lundorf, A. and Dan, R. (2000). Use of two component exponential distribution in partial duration modelling of hydrological droughts in Zimbabwean rivers. Hydrol Sci J 45(2):285–298.
- Kwon, H. J. and Kim, S. J. (2010). Assessment of Distributed Hydrological Drought Based on Hydrological Unit Map Using SWSI Drought Index in South Korea. KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering. 14(6):923-929.
- Lana, X., Serra, C. and Burgueno, A. (2002). Patterns of monthly rainfall shortage and excess in terms of the standardized precipitation index for Catalonia (NE Spain). Int. J. Climate 21(13):1669–1691.
- Lohani. V.K. and Loganathan, G.V. (1997). An early warning system for drought management using the Palmer drought index. *Journal Am Water Resour Assoc* 33(6):1375-1386
- Lohani V.K., Loganathan, G.V. and Mostaghimi, S. (1998). Long-term analysis and short-term forecasting of dry spells by the Palmer drought severity index. Nord Hydrol 29(1):21-40.
- Loukas, A., Vasiliades, L. and Dalezios, N.R. (2003). Intercomparison of meteorological drought indices for drought assessment and monitoring in Greece. In: Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Environmental Science and Technology, Lemnos Island, Greece, 8 – 10 September 2003, full paper Vol. B, pp. 484 - 491.

Mathieu, R. and Richard, Y. (2003). Intensity and spatial extension of drought in South Africa at different time scales. Water SA 29(4):489–500.

Malekifard, F. and Rezazadeh, P. (2005). North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and Its Effects on Temperature and Precipitation Over North-West of Iran. Meteorology Organization, Tehran, Iran. www.balwois.com/balwois/administration/full_paper/ffp-465.pdf

- Mazandaran Regional Water Company (MRWC). (2009). Comprehensive project of West of Mazandaran river engineering project, Hydrology report; Coconsulting Engineers Khazarab- Ab Energy Mohit, Power Ministry of Iran.
- McKee, T.B., Doesken. N.J. and Kleist, J. (1993). The relationship of drought frequency and duration to time scales. Proceedings of 8th conference on applied climatology, pp 179–184, 17–22 January, Anaheim, CA American Meteorological Society.
- McKee, T.B., Doesken, N.J. and Kleist, J. (1995). Drought monitoring with multiple time scales. Presented at the Ninth Conference on Applied Climatology. American Meteorological Society: Dallas, TX; 233–236.
- Mendicino, G., Senatore, A. and Versace, P. (2008). A Groundwater Resource Index (GRI) for drought monitoring and forecasting in a Mediterranean climate.Journal of Hydrology (2008) 357, 282–302.
- Meigh, J., Tate, E. and McCartney, M. (2002). Methods for identifying and monitoring river flow drought in southern Africa. In: FRIEND 2002 - Regional Hydrology: Bridging the Gap between Research and Practice (Ed. by H.A.J. van Lanen & S. Demuth) IAHS Publ. no. 274, 181-188.
- Mishra, A.K. and Desai, V.R. (2005b). Drought forecasting using stochastic models. Stochast Environ Res Risk Assess 19:326–339.
- Mishra, A.K., Desai, V. R. and Singh, V. P. (2007). Drought Forecasting Using a Hybrid Stochastic and Neural Network Model. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, ASCE / November/ December 2007, DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)1084-0699(2007)12:6(626).
- Mishra, A.K., Singh, V. P. and Desai, V. R. (2009). Drought characterization: a probabilistic approach. Stoch Environ Res Risk Assess (2009) 23:41–55.
- Moreira, E.E., Paulo, A.A., Pereira, L.S. and Mexia, J.T. (2006). Analysis of SPI drought class transitions using loglinear models. J. Hydrol 331:349-359.
- Moreira, E.E., Coelho, C.A., Paulo, A.A., Pereira L.S., and Mexia, J.T. (2008). SPIbased drought category prediction using loglinear models. Journal of Hydrology (2008) 354, 116–130.
- Morid. S., Smakhtin, V.U. and Moghaddasi, M. (2005). Comparison of Seven Meterological Indices for Drought Monitoring in Iran, Int. J. Climate. Published

online in Wiley InterScience. drought: Payments and policy. Drought Network News 5(3):11–12. <u>www.interscience.wiley.com.</u>

- Nalbantis I. and Tsakiris, G. (2009). Assessment of Hydrological Drought Revisited. Water Resour Manage (2009) 23:881–897. DOI 10.1007/s11269-008-9305-1.
- Nalbantis I. (2008). Evaluation a Hydrological Drought Index. Europian Water 23/24 : 67-77, 2008. E.W. Publications.
- Naresh Kumar, M., Murthy, C.S., Sesha, Sa. MVR. and Roy, PS. (2009). On the use of standardized precipitation index (SPI) for drought intensity assessment. Meteorol Appl 16: 381–389, DOI 10.1002/met.136.
- National Drought Mitigation Center (NDMC). (2004). Understanding and defining drought: <u>http://enso.unl.edu/ndmc</u>; <u>http://drought.unl.edu.</u>
- Ochola, W.O. and Kerkides, P. (2003). A Markov chain simulation model for predicting critical wet and dry spells in Kenia: Analysing rainfall events in the Kano plains. Irrig Drain 52(4):327–342.
- Oladipo, E.O. (1985). A comparative performance analysis of three meteorological drought indices. J. Climatol 5: 655–664.
- Pandey, R.P., Mishra, S.K., Singh, R. and Ramasastri, K. S. (2008). Streamflow drought severity analysis of Betwa river system (India). Water Resour Manage 22:1127–1141.
- Paulo, A.A., Ferreira, E., Coelho, C. and Pereira, L.S. (2005). Drought class transition analysis through Markov and Loglinear models, an approach to early warning. Agric Water Manag 77:59–81.
- Paulo. A.A. and Pereira, L.S. (2007). Prediction of SPI Drought Class Transitions Using Markov Chains. Water Resour Manage (2007) 21:1813–1827. DOI 10.1007/s11269-006-9129-9.
- Paulo, A.A. and Pereira, L.S. (2008). Stochastic Prediction of Drought Class Transitions. Water Resour Manage (2008) 22:1277–1296. DOI 10.1007/s11269-007-9225-5.
- Paltineanu, C.r., Mihailescu, I. F., Prefac, Z., Dragota, C., Vasenciuc, F. and Claudia, N. (2009). Combining the standardized precipitation index and climatic

water deficit in characterizing droughts: a case study in Romania. Theor Appl Climatol (2009) 97:219–233.

- Pereira, L.S. and Paulo, A.A. (2005). Droughts: Concepts, Indices and Prediction. http://ressources.ciheam.org/om/pdf/b47/05002267 [accessed 25 May 2010].
- Petts, G.E., Bickerton, M.A., Crawford, C., Lerner, D.N. and Evans, D. (1997). Flow management to sustain groundwater-dominated stream ecosystems. Hydrological Processes, 13: 497-513.
- Pyrce, R. (2004). Hydrological low flow indices and their Uses. Watershed Science Centre. *WSC Report No. 04-2004*.
- Raziei, T., Saghafian, B. and Shokoohi, A.R. (2003). Drought monitoring using SPI idex in Yazd province, Iran. In: 6th Inter-Regional Conference on Environment-Water: Land and Water Use Planning and Management, September 3-5, 2003, Albacete, Spain.
- Raziei, T., Bordi, I. and Pereira, L.S. (2008). A precipitation-based regionalization for Western Iran and regional drought variability. Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 12:1309–1321.
- Raziei, T., Saghafian, B., Paulo, A.A., Pereira, L.S. and Bordi, I. (2009). Spatial and temporal variability of drought in western Iran. Water Resour Manag 23:439–455.
- Raziei, T., Bordi, I., Pereira, L.S. and Sutera, A. (2010). Space-time variability of hydrological drought and wetness in Iran using NCEP/NCAR and GPCC Datasets. Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 14: 1919–1930.
- Raziei, T., Bordi, I., Pereira, L.S. and Sutera, A. (2011). An application of GPCC and NCEP/NCAR datasets for drought variability analysis in Iran. Water Resour Manage 25:1075–1086.
- Rees, G., Marsh, T.J. Roald, L., Demuth, S. and Lanen, H.A.J. (2004). Hydrological data. In: Tallaksen LM and Van Lanen HAJ (Eds) Hydrological droughtprocesses and estimation methods for streamflow and groundwater. Development in Water science, Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 139– 182.

- Ries, K.G. and Friesz, P.J. (2000). Methods for estimating low-flow statistics for Massachusetts streams. U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 00-4135.
- Rivera-Ramirez, H.D., Warner, G.S. and Scatena, F.N. (2002). Prediction of master recession curves and baseflow recessions in the Luquillo mountains of Puerto Rico. Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 38: 693-704.
- Rossi, G., Benedini, M., Tsakiris, G. and Giakoumis, S. (1992). On regional drought estimation and analysis. Water Resour. Manag, 6, 249-277.
- Saghafian, B., Shokoohi, A.R. and Raziei, T. (2003). Drought spatial analysis and development of severityduration-frequency curves for an arid region. Hydrology' of the Mediterranean and Semiarid Regions (Proceedings of an international symposium held al Montpellier.'April 2003). IAHS Publ. no. 278.2003.
- Satya, N. M. C. (2002). Water resources engineering principles and practice, Revised second edition, New Age International Ltd. publishers, 306 Pages, ISBN 81-224-1382-X.
- Santos, M.A., Correia, F. N. and Rodrigues, R. (1988). Risk assessment of regional droughts. In F. Siccardi and R. L. Bras (Eds.) *Natural Disasters in European Mediterranean Countries* (pp. 333-350). U. S. Nat. Sci. Foundation and Nat. Res. Council, Italy.
- Seiler, R., Hayes, M. and Bressan, L. (2002). Using the standardized precipitation index for flood risk monitoring. Int J Climate 22:1365–1376.
- Shafer, B.A. and Dezman, L.E. (1982). Development of a Surface Water Supply Index (SWSI) to assess the severity of drought conditions in snowpack runoff areas. In Proceedings of the Western Snow Conference, USA, 1982. Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado. pp. 164–175.
- Shokoohi, A.R., Saghafian, B., Raziei, T. and Arasteh, P. (2002). SDF curves derivation and application of time series in modeling drought occurrence and forecasting drought duration. In: 8th International conference on understanding future dry land environmental changes from past dynamics, Yazd, Iran.
- Sivakumar, M.V.K. (2001). Presentation of Drought Information in Agrometeorological Bulletins: 237-248. www.wamis.org/agm/pubs/agm5/agm5_Sivakumar_Drought_Info_Agromet_ Bulletins.pdf

Smakhtin. V.U. (2001). Low flow hydrology: A review, J Hydrol 240: 147-186.

- Smakhtin, V.U. and Hughes, D. A. (2004). Review, Automated Estimation and Analyses of Drought Indices in South Asia. Working Paper 83. Colombo, Sri Lanka: International Water Management Institute (IWMI).
- Smith, D.I., Hutchinson, M.F. and McArthur, R.J. (1993). Australian climatic and agricultural drought: Payments and policy. Drought Network News 5(3):11–12.
- Stahl, K. and Histal, H. (2004). Hydroclimatology. In L.M. Tallaksen, Lanen, H.A.J. van. (Eds). *Hydrological Drought Processes and Estimation Methods* for Streamflow and Grounwater (pp.19-51). Elsevier.
- Stefan, W. (2004). Finite Mathematics and Finite Mathematics & Applied Calculus, Topic: Markov Systems, <u>http://www.zweigmedia.com/ThirdEdSite/Summary8.html</u>
- Tallaksen, L.M., and Lanen, H.A.J. (2004). Netherlands: Hydrological Drought Processes and Estimation Methods for Streamflow and Grounwater. Elsevier.
- Tate, E.L. and Gustard, A. (2000). Drought definition: a hydrological perspective. In J.V. Vogt and Somma, F. (eds). Drought and drought mitigation in Europe, advances in natural and technological hazards research, Vol. 14. Kluwer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 23–48.
- Tharme, R.E. (2003). A global prespective on environmental flow assessment: emerging trends in the development and application of environmental flow methodologies for rivers. River Research and Applications, 19: 397–441.
- Thompson, S. A. (1990). A Markov and runs analysis of drought in the central united states, Physical Geography, 11 (3), 191-205.
- Tsakiris, G. and Vangelis, H. (2004). Towards a drought watch system based on spatial SPI. Water Resour Manag 18:1–12.
- Tsakiris, G. and Vangelis, H. (2005). Establishing a drought index incorporating evapotranspiration. European Water 9/10:3–11.
- Tsakiris, G., Pangalou, D. and Vangelis, H. (2007). Regional drought assessment based on the Reconnaissance Drought Index (RDI). Water Resour Manag 21:821–833.

- Turkes, M. and Tatli, H. (2009). Use of the standardized precipitation index (SPI) and a modified SPI for shaping the drought probabilities over Turkey. International Journal of limatology, 29: 2270–2282 .Published online 9 February 2009 in Wiley InterScience.
- Lloyd-Hughes, B. and Saunders, M.A. (2002). A drought climatology for Europe. Int. J. Climatol 22:1571–1592.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2001). Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Contributions of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. In Houghton, J.T., Ding, Y., Griggs, D.J., Noguer, M., van de Linden, P.J., Dai, X., Maskell, K. and Johnson, C.A. (Eds). UK and New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Isaacson, D.L. and Madsen, R. (1976). Markov chains: theory and applications. John Wiley; New York, p 267. Karl. T., Quinlan, F. and Ezell, D.S. (1987). Drought termination and amelioration: its climatological probability. J. Clim Appl Meteorol 26:1198–1209.
- Vasiliades, L. and Loukas, A. (2006). Hydrological drought evaluation with the use of meteorological drought indices. Geophysical Research Abstracts, Vol. 8, 04468, 2006. SRef-ID: 1607-7962/gra/EGU06-A-04468. European Geosciences Union 2006.
- Velayati, S., Ghayour, H. and Shafia, S. (2004). Investigation of Frizi River hydrologic regime and its role of recharge in the Mashhad plain. Journal of Geography and Development.35 (3):47-72.
- Vicente-Serrano, S.M. and Lopez-Moreno, J.I. (2005). Hydrological response to different time scale of climatological drought: an evaluation of the Standardized Precipitation Index in a mountainous Mediterranean basin. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 9: 523–533, SRef-ID 1607-7938/hess/2005-9-523.
- Vicente-Serrano, S.M. (2006). Spatial and temporal analysis of droughts in the Iberian Peninsula (1910-2000). Hydrological Sciences Journal 51: 1, 83-97, DOI 10.1623/hysj.51.1.83.
- Western Regional Climate Centre (WRCC). (2000). Standardized Precipitation Index. http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/spi.html [accessed in 2011].

- Wilhite, D.A. and Glantz, M.H. (1985). Understanding the drought phenomenon: the role of definitions. Water International. 10(3):111–120.
- Wilhite, D.A. (2002). Moving toward drought risk management: the need for a global strategy. http://www.nahrim.gov.my/pdf/drought%20management.pdf.
- Willeke, G., Hosking, J. R. M. Wallis, J. R., and Guttman, NB. (1994). The National Drought Atlas. Institute for Water Resources Report 94- NDS-4, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
- Wu, H., Hayes, M.J., Weiss, A. and Hu, Q. (2001). An evaluation of the standardized precipitation index, the China-Z Index and the statistical Z-Score. Int. J. Climate 21(6):745–758.
- Wu, H. Leen-Kiat, S., Samal, A. and Chen, X.H. (2007). Trend analysis of streamflow drought events in Nebraska. Water Resources Management, Published online, Jan 19, 2007.
- Xiaofan, L., Liliang, R., Fei, Y. and Bang, Y. (2009). Meteorological Droughts Forecasting Using Markov Chain Model, vol,2, pp.23-26,2009, International Conference on Environmental Science and Information Application.2009.