

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

CROPPING PATTERN OPTIMIZATION FOR WATER RESOURCES ALLOCATION IN NEKUABAD IRRIGATION NETWORK, IRAN

HAMIDREZA SALEMI

FK 2012 58

CROPPING PATTERN OPTIMIZATION FOR WATER RESOURCES ALLOCATION IN NEKUABAD IRRIGATION NETWORK, IRAN



By

HAMIDREZA SALEMI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, University Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

September 2012

DEDICATION

To my father, my mother, my brothers and my sisters who had helped me built the bridge

To my beloved wife, Simin and my twins, Bahar and Babak who had helped me finished and cross the bridge



Hamidreza Salemi

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctoral of Philosophy

CROPPING PATTERN OPTIMIZATION FOR WATER RESOURCES ALLOCATION IN NEKUABAD IRRIGATION NETWORK, IRAN

By

HAMIDREZA SALEMI

JUNE 2012

Chairman: Professor Mohd Amin Mohd Soom, PhD, P. Eng.

Faculty: Engineering

During the last three decades in Iran, a high population growth rate had resulted in an increase in acreage and yield of summer crops with subsequent reduction in the fallow lands. Significant change occurred in the trend of cropping patterns towards crops with lesser water requirements from the limited water resources. Against this background a multi-criteria strategy is needed to improve the productivity of water and solve some major problems in the Nekuabad irrigation network located in the central part of Iran. The main aim of this study was to develop a multi-dimensional model that can optimize a cropping pattern not only to maximize the net benefit, agro-economic water productivity (net return to the volume of water used) and labor employment but also minimizing water consumption and total nitrate leaching. The ET_o calculator software which calculates ET_o using the long term weather data as input to the Penman-Monteith equation was used. Then, net crop water requirements were calculated with the AquaCrop model. The potential of the AquaCrop model in deficit irrigation practice for seven main crops in dry area of Nekuabad irrigation network were studied. A set of second-order, seasonal crop water production functions were developed using the multi-crop simulation model for each crop in the study area. Ultimately, allocations of cropped area and irrigation water were made at seasonal levels through non linear deterministic programming, considering economy, social and environmental aspects. In this way, four critical objective functions subjected to a number of constraints with the use of the general algebra modeling system program were proposed for optimal cropping pattern in dry, wet and normal climatic conditions. These functions were then applied to the predicted optimal cropping pattern and optimal allocated water.

In the previous research using linear programming models, for each crop, fixed deficit irrigation ratio alternatives were imposed as input and the models compute optimal water and cropped area. In this study however, for each crop, crop water production functions ranging from 100 to 60% irrigation levels have been applied in the non linear programming model and the model computes the optimum irrigation volume ratio.

The calibrated AquaCrop model performed well under full and water stress conditions to predict crop yields, biomass and canopy cover. The coefficient of determination of the regressed crop water production equation showed good correlation between applied water and yield for all the crops. After optimization of the cropping patterns, the highest agro-economic water productivity in the irrigation network was found for potato in the dry year, followed by potato, rice and silage maize. The highest magnitude of global agro-economic water productivity was for the dry season followed by normal season and wet season. The results show that an increase of 116.8 % in net income is attained according to the model for the entire Nekuabad network. A 19.8 % increase in labor employment in wet season was found as compared to the current situation. There was 11% decrease in nitrate leaching for dry season as compared to the current situation. The total optimal amount of applied irrigation water in the study area can be reduced by up to 16.3 % for dry periods. These results demonstrated considerable improvements for the entire Nekuabad compared to the current condition. Cropped area of rice with high water demand decreased as compared to current condition. In contrast, potato and silage maize areas with relatively low water requirement increased. This shows that the multi dimensional model developed in this study has successfully optimized the water allocation in the study area regarding economy, unemployment and pollution aspects.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PENGOPTIMUMAN CORAK TANAMAN UNTUK PENGAGIHAN SUMBER AIR DALAM RANGKAIAN PENGAIRAN NEKUABAD, IRAN

Oleh

HAMIDREZA SALEMI



Pengerusi: Profesor Mohd, Amin Mohd Soom, PhD, P. Eng.

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Dalam tempoh tiga dekad yang lalu di Iran, kadar pertumbuhan penduduk yang tinggi telah menyebabkan peningkatan dalam hasil keluasan dan tanaman musim panas dengan pengurangan berikutnya dalam tanah yang terbiar. Perubahan yang ketara telah berlaku dalam corak tanaman terhadap tanaman yang mempunyai keperluan air yang rendah dari sumber air yang terhad. Dengan latar belakang ini, strategi multi-kriteria adalah diperlukan untuk meningkatkan produktiviti air dan menyelesaikan beberapa masalah utama dalam rangkaian sistem pengairan Nekuabad yang terletak di bahagian tengah Iran. Tujuan utama kajian ini adalah untuk membangunkan model pelbagai dimensi yang boleh mengoptimumkan corak tanaman bukan sahaja untuk memaksimumkan faedah bersih, produktiviti ekonomi air pertanian (pulangan

bersih kepada jumlah air yang digunakan) dan penggunaan buruh tetapi juga mengurangkan penggunaan air dan jumlah larut resap nitrat.

Perisian pengira ET, yang menghitung ET, menggunakan data cuaca jangka panjang sebagai input terhadap persamaan Penman-Monteith telah digunakan. Kemudian, keperluan air bersih tanaman dikira dengan model AquaCrop. Potensi model AquaCrop dalam amalan pengairan defisit untuk tujuh tanaman utama di kawasan kering rangkaian sistem pengairan Nekuabad telah dikaji. Satu set tertib kedua, fungsi pengeluaran air tanaman bermusim telah dibangunkan dengan menggunakan model simulasi pelbagai tanaman untuk setiap tanaman di kawasan kajian. Akhirnya, peruntukan kawasan tanaman dan dibuat mengikut musim melalui pengaturcaraan air pengairan telah berketentuan tak lelurus dengan menimbangkan aspek ekonomi, sosial dan alam sekitar. Dengan cara ini, empat fungsi objektif kritikal yang tertakluk dengan penggunaan pemodelan Sistem beberapa kekangan kepada Pemodelan Algebra Am telah dicadangkan untuk corak tanaman yang optimum dalam musim kering, keadaan basah dan iklim yang biasa. Fungsi ini telah diramalkan penanaman optimum dan yang digunakan untuk corak pengoptimuman air yang diperuntukkan.

Dalam kajian sebelum ini, yang menggunakan model pengaturcaraan lelurus bagi setiap tanaman, nisbah pengairan alternatif defisit tetap telah digunakan sebagai input dan model itu telah mengira air yang optimum dan kawasan tanaman. Walau bagaimanapun, dalam kajian ini, bagi setiap tanaman, fungsi pengeluaran air tanaman yang terdiri dari 100 hingga 60% paras pengairan telah digunakan dalam model pengaturcaraan tak lelurus bagi mengira nisbah isipadu pengairan optimum.

Model AquaCrop yang ditentukur berprestasi baik dalam keadaan pengairan penuh dan keadaan kekurangan air untuk meramalkan hasil tanaman, kanopi menutupi dan biojisim. Pekali penentuan regresi persamaan pengeluaran air tanaman menunjukkan korelasi yang baik antara air yang digunakan dan hasil untuk semua tanaman. Selepas pengoptimuman, produktiviti pertanian ekonomi air pertanian tertinggi telah ditemui dalam rangkaian pengairan untuk corak tanaman ubi kentang dalam tahun kering, diikuti oleh kentang, padi dan jagung silaj. Keputusan model, menunjukkan magnitud tertinggi produktiviti ekonomi air pertanian global adalah untuk musim kemarau yang diikuti dengan musim biasa dan musim basah. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa peningkatan 116.8% dalam pendapatan bersih telah dicapai mengikut model tersebut untuk seluruh rangkaian pengairan Nekuabad. Peningkatan sebanyak 19.8% dalam pekerjaan buruh pada musim basah telah diperolehi berbanding dengan keadaan semasa.Terdapat penurunan sebanyak 11% pada larut lesap nitrat untuk musim kemarau berbanding dengan situasi semasa. Jumlah optimum penggunaan air pengairan di dalam kawasan kajian boleh dikurangkan sehingga 16.3% bagi musim kering. Keputusan ini menunjukkan penambahbaikan besar bagi seluruh Nekuabad di bandingkan dengan keadaan semasa. Kawasan padi dengan permintaan air yang tinggi telah menurun berbanding dengan keadaan semasa. Sebagai perbandingan kawasan ubi kentang dan jagung silaj dengan keperluan air yang relatif nya rendah telah meningkat. Ini menunjukkan model multi-kriteria yang dibangunkan telah berjaya mengoptimumkan pengagihan sumber air berasaskan aspek ekonomi, penganggur dan pencemaran alam sekitar di kawasan kajian.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to organizations and individuals to whom this thesis was made possible with the support of them. Most of the data used in this study have been derived from technical reports and raw data in the Esfahan Agricultural and Natural Resources Research Center (EANRC), Iranian Agricultural Engineering Research Institute (IAERI), Esfahan Agricultural Jihad Organization, Esfahan Water Company (EWC) and Agro-meteorological station of Kabutarabad and Najafabad, Iran. Many thanks are due to the staff of these organizations especially Dr. M. Khanahmadi for their support.

My warm appreciation goes to my supervisor, Prof. Mohd Amin. I wish to express my deepest gratitude to the several people who have walked with me along the journey of this dissertation:

Dr. A.R. Tavakoli and Dr. B. Andarzian, from Ghazvin and Khuzestan Agricultural and Natural Resources Research Center, Dr. V. Rezaverdinejad, from University of Urmia, Dr. A. Nikouei, Dr. O. Taki, Dr. M. Torabi and Eng. M. Miranzadeh from EANRC, Eng. J. Zoofan from the Master Plan Organization, Eng. Asadi and Kazemi from EWC, Ms Samanian from Isfahan meteorological organizatrion, Dr. H. Tarkesh from Isfahan University of Technology, Iran, and Dr. Lee Kheng Heng from IAEA–Soil and Water Management and Crop Nutrition Section, Vienna, Austria. I would like to extend my gratitude to Iranian PhD students from whom I have received valuable help: M.M. Saberion and B.

Х

Khayambashi from Universi Putra Malaysia and K. Shirani from Isfahan University.

Finally, I am extremely grateful to my wife and my twins. I am sure that it would not have been possible to carry out my PhD research without their patience, emotional support and love.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	П
ABSTRACT	ш
ABSTRAK	VI
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	X
APPROVAL	XII
DECLARATION	XIV
LIST OF TABLES	XIX
LIST OF FIGURES	XXIII
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XXVIII

CHAPTER

1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Arid area agriculture	4
1.3 Statement of the problems	8
1.4 Objectives	0
1.5 Scope of the study	10
1.6 Limitations of the work	10
TO Elimitations of the work	11
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	13
2.1 Enhancement AWP	13
2.2 Modelling crop water production	16
2.2.1 Crop model simulation and capabilities	16
2.2.2 Pervious work on case studies using AquaCrop model	18
2.2.3 Previous studies related to CWPFs	20
2.3 Cropping pattern optimization	21
2.3.1Previous studies related to network issues	21
2.3.2 Optimization models and capabilities	23
2.3.3 Previous GAMS studies	26
2.4 Summary	29
3 ASSESSING CURRENT NET RETURN (NR) AND AGRO-	32
ECONOMIC WATER PRODUCTIVITY IN THE STUDY AREA	
3.1 Introduction	32
3.2 Methodology	32
3.2.1 WP concept	33
3.2.2 Assessment of irrigation system performance	34
3.2.3 Data collection	35
3.2.4 General description of the study area	37
3.2.4.1 The study area	37
3.2.4.2 Soil	40
3.2.4.3 Irrigated agriculture in the NK network	41
3.2.4.4 Crops and cropping calendars	44

3.2.4.5 Crop rotation and agronomic practices	45
3.2.5 Classification of network to sub network	48
3.2.6 Hydrology	48
3.2.7 Assessment of AWP in dry, wet and normal periods	50
3.2.7.1 Basin level status for water availability to agriculture	50
3 2 7 2 Water productivity in irrigated areas	52
3 2 7 3 Assessing water supply for Irrigation network	54
3.2.7.4 Assessing demand for Irrigation Water	65
3 2 7 5 AWP Assessment procedure	70
3.3 Results and Discussion	70
3.3.1 Variation of surface and groundwater resources	75
3.3.2 Analysis of changes in water table levels in irrigated areas	91
2.2.2. Analysis of changes in water table levels in imgated areas	01
2.2.4. Monthly variation of supply and demand in NIC naturals	03
3.3.4. Monthly variation of supply and demand in NK network	80
3.3.5. Cropping patterns for the different irrigation schemes	90
3.3.6. Determining the AWP for each crop and planting pattern	95
3.3.7. Identifying interventions to improve AWP	103
3.3.7.1. Examples of interventions	103
3.4 Summary	107
	110
4. Introduction	110
4.1 Introduction	110
4.2 1 Experimental data analysis and treatments datails	112
4.2.1 1 Wheet form	112
4.2.1.1 Wheat failing	112
4.2.1.2 Dalley failing	113
4.2.1.3 Fauly failin	113
4.2.1.4 Silaye maize failin	114
4.2.1.5 Polato farm	114
4.2.1.7 Onion farm	114
4.2.1.7 Collon ratio	115
4.2.2 Simulation methodology	115
4.2.2.1 AquaCrop model	115
4.2.2.2 Model input data	118
4.2.2.3 Simulative Capabilities of the AquaCrop Model	120
4.2.2.4 Model simulation	120
4.2.3 Estimation of ETo	123
4.2.4 Management component of AquaCrop	126
4.2.5 Water management parameters	126
4.2.6 Determination of net water requirement	127
4.2.7 Sensitivity analysis test of simulation model	129
4.2.8 Model calibration	130
4.2.9 Model evaluation	130
4.2.10 Crop-water-production functions	132
4.2.10.1 Quadratic CWPF	133
4.2.10.2 Statistical analysis of CWPFs	133
4.3 Results and Discussions	136

4.3.1 ETo outputs	136
4.3.2 Crop output	138
4.3.3 Biomass and Grain yield production	138
4.3.4 Field management	138
4.3.5 Water management	139
4.3.6 Water requirement	139
4.3.7 Model calibration	148
4.3.7.1 Winter wheat	149
4.3.7.2 Barley	150
4.3.7.3 Paddy	152
4.3.7.4 Silage maize	153
4.3.7.5 Potato	155
4.3.7.6 Onion	157
4.3.7.7 Cotton	158
4.3.8 Model validation	160
4.3.8.1 GY. B.CC simulation-wheat	161
4.3.8.2 GY, B,CC simulation-barley	165
4.3.8.3 GY, B.CC simulation-paddy	169
4.3.8.4 GY, B.CC simulation-silage maize	171
4.3.8.5 GY, B.CC simulation- potato	174
4 3 8 6 GY B CC simulation- onion	177
4.3.8.7 GY B CC simulation-cotton	180
4.3.8.8 Performance of AquaCrop model	183
4 3 9 Crop-water-production functions	190
4 3 9 1 Statistical analysis of CWPEs	194
4 4 Summary	198
5. OPTIMIZATION ANALYSIS OF CROPPING PATTERN AT	201
IRRIGATION NETWORK LEVEL	
5.1 Introduction	201
5.2 Methodology	202
5.2.1 Optimization concept and methods	202
5.2.2 Algorithms in optimization programming	204
5.2.3 GAMS model	205
5.2.3.1 Structure of model	206
5.2.3.2 Set	207
5.2.3.3 Data entry by list	207
5.2.3.4 Variables	208
5.2.3.5 Equations	208
5.2.3.6 Objective function and constraints	209
5.2.3.7 Solve statements	210
5.2.3.8 GAMS output	211
5.2.3.9 GAMS solver (CONOPT3)	211
5.2.4 NLP Programming	212
5.2.5 The objective functions and decision variables	213
5.2.5.1 AWP maximization	213
5.2.5.2 Maximization of labor employment	215

5.2.5.3 Applied water minimization	216
5.2.5.4 Nitrate leaching minimization	217
5.2.6 Constraint Functions	218
5.2.6.1 Total water requirement- network level	218
5.2.6.2 Total water requirement- scheme level	219
5.2.6.3 Total crop land area	220
5.2.6.4 Scheme land area	220
5.2.6.5 Water supply restriction for the schemes NK network	221
5.2.6.6 Water supply restriction for the entire NK district	221
5.2.6.7 Suitable area	222
5.2.6.8 Non-negativity of constraints	222
5.2.6.9 Planted cropped area based on policy	223
5.2.6.10 National food self sufficiency	223
5.2.7 Weighting for the objectives	224
5.2.8 Model assumptions	225
5.2.9 Data definition	225
5.2.10 Procedure of cropping pattern optimization	226
5.2.10.1 First objective function	228
5.2.10.2 Second objective function	229
5.2.10.3 Third objective function	230
5.2.10.4 Fourth objective function	231
5.3 Results and Discussions	236
5.3.1 Maximization of NR and AWP for different climate condition	ıs 237
5.3.2 Maximization of labor employment	242
5.3.3 Minimization of nitrate leaching for different climate	243
conditions	
5.3.4 Minimization of applied water	245
5.3.5 Optimal cropped area for different climate conditions	247
5.3.6 Optimization model performance (validation)	250
5.3.6 Crop pattern change	252
5.4 Summary	254
C CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMONDATIONS	256
6.1 Conclusions AND RECOMMONDATIONS	256
6.2 Moior adventages of the study	258
6.2 Major advantages of the study	258
6.3 Major contributions of the study	200
6.4 Recommendation	200
6.5 Suggestions for future studies	201
REFERENCES	263
APPENDICES	278
BIODATA OF STUDENT	319
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	320

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF TABLES		
Table	Page	
3.1: Data used in the ZRB study	36	
3.2: Different water availability to agriculture (current condition)	52	
3.3: Canal length, number of offtakes, farmlands and water delivery to the left Bank scheme for 3 climatic conditions	58	
3.4: Canal Length, number of offtakes, command area and water delivery to the Right Bank scheme for 3 climatic conditions	59	
3.5: Cropping pattern and coefficients to distribute district-level cropped areas among irrigation systems within that district	68	
3.6: Economic parameters and their values for AWP	73	
3.7: Monthly water demand (m ³ .ha ⁻¹) for the command area (Dry year)	83	
3.8: Monthly water demand water (m ³ .ha ⁻¹) for the command area (Wet year)	84	
3.9: Monthly water demand (m ³ .ha ⁻¹) for the command area (Normal year)	84	
3.10: Economic analysis of applied irrigation water	86	
3.11. Cropping pattern (ha) collected from village-level data, 2007-2008 (dry year)	91	
3.12: Cropping pattern (ha) estimated from village-level data, 2004-2005 (normal year)	92	
3.13: Cropping pattern (ha) estimated from village-level data, 2006-2007 (wet year)	93	
3.14: Calculating values of NR and AWP for different crops for the year 2004- 2005 (normal year)	97	
3.15: Calculating values of NR and AWP for different crops for the year 2007-2008 (dry year)	98	
3.16: Calculating values of NR and AWP for different crops for the year 2006- 2007 (wet year)	99	

4.1: Some relevant crop parameters used in the AquaCrop model simulation	118
4.2: Results of soil samples analysis in Kabutarabad station	119
4.3: Results of soil samples analysis in Najafabad station	120
4.4: Calculated irrigation water requirement of wheat in the region	140
4.5: Calculated irrigation water requirement of barley in the region	141
4.6: Calculated irrigation water requirement of paddy in the region	142
4.7: Calculated irrigation water requirement of silage maize in the region	143
4.8: Calculated irrigation water requirement of potato in the region	144
4.9: Calculated irrigation water requirement of onion in the region	145
4.10: Calculated irrigation water requirement of cotton in the region	146
4.11: Monthly water requirement (mm) for main crops in NK area	147
4.12: AquaCrop model parameters for winter wheat simulation for 3 years in NK	150
4.13: AquaCrop model parameters for Barley simulation for 2 years in NK	151
4.14: AquaCrop model parameters for Paddy simulation for 2 years in NK	153
4.15: AquaCrop model parameters for Silage Maize simulation for 3 years in NK	155
4.16: AquaCrop model parameters for Potato simulation for 2 years in NK	157
4.17: AquaCrop model parameters for onion simulation for 2 years in NK	158
4.18: AquaCrop model parameters for cotton simulation for 2 years in NK	160
4.19: Statistical indicators for evaluating the model performance in	164

winter wheat simulating GY, B and CC for validation 4.20: Statistical indicators for evaluating the model performance in barley simulating GY, B and CC for validation	168
4.21: Statistical indicators for evaluating the model performance in paddy simulating GY, B and CC for validation	171
4.22: Statistical indicators for evaluating the model performance in silage maize simulating GY, B and CC for validation	174
4.23: Statistical indicators for evaluating the model performance in potato simulating GY, B and CC for validation	177
4.24: Statistical indices derived for evaluating the performance of the model in onion simulating GY, B and CC for validation	180
4.25: Statistical indicators for evaluating the model performance in cotton simulating GY, B, and CC for validation	183
4.26: Comparison between simulated and measured values of GY and B	189
4.27: Production function coefficients of crops Included In Model	197
4.28: Regression analysis to relate simulated GY to irrigation application depths. GY is actual yield (tonne.ha ⁻¹) and AW is seasonal irrigation depth (mm)	200
5.1: Estimated labor requirements (person-day. ha ⁻¹) for different activities of Wheat crop plantation	230
5.2: Amount of TNL by different crops in NK network in current condition	232
5.3: Total net return gained from the irrigation network resulted in multi and single objective models (Million US\$)	238
5.4: Optimal and current AWP under different water regimes for each crop (US\$.m ⁻³)	240
5.5: Global AWP in the irrigation network resulted in multi and single objective models (US\$.m ⁻³)	241
5.6: Total labor employed in the irrigation network resulted in multi and single objective models (person-day)	243
5.7: TNL in the irrigation network resulted in multi and single objective	244

models (tonne) 5.8: Optimal allocation water for each crop under different climate conditions (mm) 5.9: Applied water in the irrigation network resulted in multi and single objective models (MCM Y ⁻¹)	246 246
5.10: Optimal model parameters in the irrigation network resulted in multi objective model	247

5.11: Optimal cropping pattern (cropped area) under different water 248 regimes (ha per season)



LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure	Page
3.1: Location of ZRB and water offtakes which were monitored in the NK irrigation district during the study	38
3.2: Layout of the ZRB and the location of the study area	40
3.3: The general soil map of the ZRB (Droogers and Torabi, 2002)	41
3.4: Changes in cropped area and cropping patterns, 1965-2008	43
3.5: View of the NK irrigation network crop classification	44
3.6: Typical crop calendar, NK network	44
3.7: Average monthly inflows and releases from Chadegan reservoir, 1988-2008	49
3.8: Average annually releases from Chadegan reservoir, 1971-2008	52
3.9: Location map, showing wells in the irrigation networks and study area	64
3.10: overlaying of administrative district boundaries and irrigation network map	67
3.11: Four administrative districts contributed in the irrigated part of the network	69
3.12: Schematic diagram of current AWP assessment	74
3.13: Total available water estimated in the NK-LB and NK-RB for normal year	76
3.14: Total available water estimated in the NK-LB and NK-RB for drought year	76
3.15: Total available water estimated in the NK-LB and NK-RB for wet year	77
3.16: Water withdrawal from agricultural wells in the NK-LB and NK-RB in the normal year	79

3.17: Water withdrawal from agricultural wells in the NK-LB and NK-RB in the drought year3.18: Water withdrawal from agricultural wells in the NK-LB and NK-RB in the wet year	79 80
3.19: Average water table depth, NK, Jan - June 2007 (source: Esfahan Regional Water Authority)	82
3.20: Comparison of the overall supply and demand corresponding to 3 different climatic conditions	89
3.21: Comparison of AWP for main crops under different water regimes	101
3.22: Trend of AWP for main crops under different water regimes	102
3.23: Comparison of overall NK irrigation network productivity based on current cropping patterns under different water regimes	102
4.1: Main menu of AquaCrop indicating the main components of the soil-plant-atmosphere continuum	117
4.2: Main menu of the ETo calculator	125
4.3: Specification of the time and application depth for irrigation events	127
4.4: Specification of the output set and net irrigation depth	129
4.5: Flowchart of AquaCrop model for crop simulation	135
4.6: ETo computed from daily meteorological data for Kabutarabad station (1978 to 2007)	137
4.7: ETo computed from daily meteorological data for Najafabad station (1979 to 2007)	137
4.8: Graphical test of the simulated versus observed GY of wheat	163
4.9: Graphical test of the simulated versus observed B of wheat	163
4.10: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in fully irrigated treatments	163
4.11: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in 80% irrigated treatments	164
4.12: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in	164

60% irrigated treatments

4.13: Graphical test of the simulated versus observed GY of barley	166
4.14: Graphical test of the simulated versus observed B of barley	167
4.15: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in fully irrigated treatments (T1)	167
4.16: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in DI treatments (T2)	167
4.17: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in DI treatments (T3)	168
4.18: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in DI treatments (T4)	168
4.19: Graphical test of the simulated versus observed GY of paddy	169
4.20: Graphical test of the simulated versus observed B of paddy	170
4.21: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in DI treatments (T1)	170
4.22: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in DI treatments (T2)	170
4.23: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in DI treatments (T3)	171
4.24: Graphical test of the simulated versus observed B of silage maize	172
4.25: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in fully irrigated treatments (T1)	173
4.26: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in irrigated treatments (T2)	173
4.27: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in irrigated treatments (T3)	173
4.28: Graphical test of the simulated versus observed yield of potato	175
4.29: Graphical test of the simulated versus observed B of potato	175

4.30: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in fully irrigated treatments (T1)	175
4.31: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in irrigated treatments (T2)	176
4.32: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in irrigated treatments (T3)	176
4.33: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in irrigated treatments (T4)	176
4.34: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in irrigated treatments (T5)	177
4.35: Graphical test of the simulated versus observed bulb yield of onion	178
4.36: Graphical test of the simulated versus observed B of onion	178
4.37: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in fully irrigated treatments (T1)	179
4.38: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in irrigated treatments (T2)	179
4.39: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in irrigated treatments (T3)	179
4.40: Graphical test of the simulated versus observed GY of cotton	181
4.41: Graphical test of the simulated versus observed B of cotton	181
4.42: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in fully irrigated treatments (T1)	181
4.43: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in irrigated treatments (T2)	182
4.44: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in irrigated treatments (T3)	182
4.45: Comparison between simulated and measured values of CC in irrigated treatments (T4)	182
4.46: Quadratic response function for wheat GY versus water use	191

4.47: Quadratic response function for barley GY versus water use	191
4.48: Quadratic response function for paddy GY versus water use	192
4.49: Quadratic response function for S-M aboveground B versus water use	192
4.50: Quadratic response function for potato TY versus water use	192
4.51: Quadratic response function for onion DBY versus water use	193
4.52: Quadratic response function for cotton GY versus water use	193
5.1: The basic components of GAMS viewed on the screen	206
5.2: The list of data in GAMS viewed on the screen	207
5.3: The list of some variables in GAMS viewed on the screen	208
5.4: The list of some equations in GAMS viewed on the screen	209
5.5: The objective functions in GAMS viewed on the screen	210
5.6: The final solution in GAMS viewed on the screen	211
5.7: Flowchart of whole methodology for optimization cropping pattern	235
5.8: Comparison of AWP according to optimal cropping pattern under different climate regimes	239
5.9: Comparison of global AWP based on optimal cropping pattern under different water regimes	241
5.10: Optimal allocated water of each crop under different climate conditions	247
5.11: The comparison between existing and proposed cropping pattern	250



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AW	Applied water
AWP	Agroeconomic water productivity
В	Biomass
Bd	Bulk density
СС	Canopy cover
CCo	Initial canopy cover
CDC	Canopy decline coefficient
CGC	Canopy growth coefficient
CWPFs	Crop water production functions
DAS	Days after sowing
DBY	Dry bulb-yield
DI	Deficit irrigation
Es	Evaporation from soil surface
ET	Evapotranspiration
ET.	Reference evapotranspiration
EWP	Economic water productivity
FAO	Food and agriculture organization
FPM	FAO Penman-Montieth
FC	Field capacity
GAMS	General algebraic modeling system
GDD	growing degree days

XXVIII

GWR GY	Gross water requirement Grain yield
н	Harvest index
HIo	Reference harvest index
I	Irrigation water
IWMI	International water management institute
LAI	Leaf area index
LB	Left bank
LE	Labor employment
LP	Linear programming
MCM	Million cubic meters
MO	Multi-objective
MOP	Multi-objective programming
NK	Nekuabad
NLP	Non linear programming
NR	Net return
NWR	Net Water requirement
Р	Precipitation
PWP	Permanent wilting point
RAW	Readily Available Water
RB	Right bank
SA	Sensitivity analysis
SM	Saturated moisture
S-M	Silage maize

SWC TNL	Soil water content Total nitrate leaching
Tr	Crop transpiration
Trx	Maximum crop transpiration
ΤY	Tuber-yield
UTM	Universal transverse Mercator
WP	Water productivity
Y	Yield
ZR	Zone root
ZRB	Zayandehrud river basin

C

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

The forces of survival and the need for additional food supplies require a rapid expansion of irrigation in the world. In many areas of the world, the available water supply is restricted and expensive, which makes it impossible to irrigate the farmlands. In these situations, farmers must choose between full irrigation in a small area for maximum yield and reduction in the depth of water applied per unit area to increase the amount of cropped area. The latter policy is called deficit irrigation, which reduces crop yield per hectare but increases the net return per cubic meter (Kibe et al., 2006). The available water resources are consumed to meet the increasing water demands, and most river basins are now at the edge of developing to their maximum capacity.

In Iran, a developing country and subjected to frequent droughts and water shortages, the productivity of irrigation schemes could be improved by more efficient methods and management of existing water resources. Due to the lack of sufficient rainfall and unfavorable temporal and spatial distribution, Iran has been ranked among the arid and semi-arid countries in the world with serious problems. This is compounded by a high population growth rate during the last three decades that had caused an increase in water demand for the limited water resources. In order to obtain maximum efficiency from the constructed irrigation networks, it is required that the flow control system shall be operated to meet the crop water demand rather than on some previously fixed irrigation schedules. Agriculture in Iran is highly dependent on the irrigation water, as around 80% of the agricultural product comes from irrigated crops (Salemi et al., 2011). In Iran, producing enough food to better feed the people and generate adequate income for the farmers is a great challenge. This challenge is likely to intensify, considering population growth that is projected to increase to 100 million by 2030. Irrigated agriculture is an important contributor to food supplies and plays a major role in supply of food for the growing population. However, irrigation accounts for about 72% and 90% of the globe and developingcountries water withdrawals, respectively. Considering rapidly increase in demand of water for industry, domestic and environmental purposes water availability for irrigated agriculture will be less in future in many part of the world, especially in the arid and semi-arid regions. With growing demand for water in agriculture and in order to fulfill food security objectives, and moreover competition across water-using sectors for more water, has faced of Iran with the challenge of producing more food with less water. This goal will be met only if appropriate strategies are sought for water savings and for more efficiently use of water in agriculture.

One important strategy is to better manage the water and increase the productivity of water (Molden et al., 2006). The determination of water productivity (WP) index in Iran is essential to obtain suitable methods for better water use in agriculture sector. The concept of WP has become increasingly

important and is a measure of the effectiveness of water use in contrast to land productivity. One of the methods for increasing productivity of water consumed in the agriculture sector is through improved water demand management. In the case of agricultural WP there are different descriptions to be considered (Khaledi and Ehsani, 2005). The most common descriptions are "agricultural WP from the view point of crop yield or benefits". The concepts of these two descriptions are briefly as follows:

- Productivity from the view point of crop yield: According to this definition, the higher productivity of agricultural water means more production per unit volume of water.
- Productivity from the view point of financial definition: According to this description, the highest productivity of agricultural water is obtained from most benefit per unit volume of water.

In limited-water resources areas, particularly in developing countries, seeking a trade-off between full irrigating to maximize production and to reduce the amount of irrigation water applied per unit region (deficit irrigation, DI) is an interesting problem. Since water planners should consider water scarcity in their economical analysis of cropping patterns, it is suggested that special attention should be paid to selected crops which require less water, but while providing the same level of benefit. Salemi and Amin (2010) revealed that the inappropriate supply and demand in the Zayandehrud River Basin (ZRB) in central Iran have indicated a non-sustainable agriculture in the study area.

3

The allocation of water between different networks in the area should be related to the overall water supply estimated at the time canals were scheduled to be opened in April each year. If water supplies are about average or above, current water allocations appeared satisfactory and should be maintained. But in dry years, a more rigid reduction in discharges to all irrigation networks should be considered. This is a trade-off between productivity and net return (NR). Thus, it is needed to make an extensive study for increasing AWP and NR in Nekuabad (NK) scheme by optimum water allocation in the cropping pattern and applying irrigation water management. During the last few years, the volume of water provided by the main canal has been variable and not enough to fulfill all water demands in the agricultural area. Therefore strategies need to be developed in advance for distributing the allocated water resources and optimizing the cropping pattern.

1.2 Arid area agriculture

By 2025, population of Iran is expected to reach around 97 million. To meet the food demand of the growing population, grain production has to be raised from 34 million tons in the year 1999-2000 to 48 million tons by 2025 (Ahmadi, 2008). In 2005, the country has become self-sufficient in wheat production. This could not have been attained without putting much pressure on groundwater withdrawal (even in the arid areas of the country) and substituting cultivation of wheat for other cereals. Part of the needed water was supplied through building of numerous new dams, better water management, better cultivation practices,

and other managements at the field level. Unfortunately, this self-sufficiency did not last long (was not sustainable) and in the drought of 2008 the country had to import wheat again (Ardekanian, 2005). In spite of all the efforts to mitigate the problem of water scarcity, reasonable management and judicious utilization of available water still need more planning and actions (Roohani, 2006). Considering the decrease of water resources, climate change and reduction of the share of agricultural allocation, improvement of AWP based on more production per unit of water used in ZRB is very vital. Furthermore, the conflict between agriculture, domestic and industrial sector is likely to shift towards increasing the urban share. On the other hand, the uncertainty in the hydrologic phenomena will add to the potential crisis. So, for the overall economic growth of the country, efficient management of water resources in the face of uncertainty is of great importance. Surface and groundwater provide critical water supplement for crop production in central Iran. Agricultural activities have several impacts on the environment such as land use changes, water and soil pollution and competition between natural ecosystem components and agricultural demands.

In a river basin, surface water is usually being controlled behind dams to provide agricultural water requirements. However, the storage of water in the upstream areas affects downstream users. Generally, in a river basin, water management is a challenge due to the following reasons:

- High spatial variability of land and water resources;
- Multiple uses of water resources;

- High diversity of stakeholders;
- Phases of basin development;
- River basins as sources and sinks of salts
- Links with wetlands, swamps and other natural ecosystem components.

Some of the general problems in arid area agriculture such as ZRB can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Increasing food demand due to growing population.
- 2. The amount of water to the agriculture sector is likely to be insufficient due to the increasing domestic and industrial needs.
- 3. The main hydrological planning problem in these basins is due to water resources deficit, which is aggravated by the high climatic variability (typical of arid climates).
- 4. The main problem in Iran's river basins is that the agriculture sector uses most of the water resources with low equitable contribution to the economic development.
- 5. Global warming leads to climate change, which consequently affects water resources, water and soil quality, soil moisture, evapotranspiration, rainfall frequency, type of precipitation and its intensity, and all of these, affect AWP directly or indirectly.

Some of the specific problems in arid area agriculture are as follows:

- The future improvements in AWP seem to be limited by economic rather than undeveloped technological considerations. So, there is a need to focus on improving the economic gains of water application.
- The most important problem is the lack of trade-off between different crops in the agricultural sector itself to improve AWP through the irrigation scheme.
- 3. There is lack of an appropriate simulation model to focus on the effects of water on yield of different crops. Water managers in the ZRB are providing water according to the farmers' request and available water in the Chadegan dam's reservoir without the knowledge of AWP in relation to profitability of the crops grown. Hence, there is an urgent need to provide an irrigation scheduling system that can benefit all stakeholders in the river basin.

There is water scarcity in many irrigation networks in the country. Values of the reported irrigation WP, compared with global figures, are very low (Akbari et al., 2008). One of the essential steps to increase WP is optimizing the cropping pattern and crop density. By using a multi-objective function optimization approach and developing a comprehensive optimum cropping pattern model, crop density and water allocation can be optimized. Due to these complexities of water management in the ZRB higher AWP is difficult to achieve. Traditionally, the agricultural sector has been blamed for deficit of water resources, since it is the major water user in the country. It is estimated that around 80% of available

water is used for irrigation purposes. Within the agricultural sector itself, there is a need to adjust the existing cropping patterns to optimize the net return from water uses based on the limitation of available water. The main drawback in the existing management is that the agricultural sector has been using most of the vital water resources in the ZRB without considering expected economical output.

1.3 Statement of the problem

Although there are many aspects where the components of water-saving agriculture can be manipulated, such as water-saving irrigation and soil management, but improvements in the AWP of crops by cropping pattern optimization has not yet been fully achieved. The main problem that water-saving agricultural research has to solve is how to raise the water utilization rate and achieve a high yield in irrigated farms with minimum consumptive water. Although an understanding of WP is required to develop improved water management strategies, yet little is known about it in irrigated networks of the river basins in Iran.

Modeling studies using different software in agricultural practices is quite new in Iran. Many studies have been carried out during the last decade on various aspects of optimization models, but rarely have physiological and agroeconomic analyses been integrated. For example, crop growth simulation models have been used by agricultural researchers (Kiani et al., 2003; Kiani et al., 2004; Soltani et al., 2005; Majnoni Haris et al., 2006; Sepaskhah et al., 2006) and optimization models for agricultural planning by economists (Torkmani and Khosravi, 2001; Asadpour et al., 2005; Solimanipouri et al., 2005).

To date, the previous researches done at agricultural optimization field on addressing WP issues has come about indirectly from those researches in the last decade to increase farm benefit. But this study focus on increase WP include the NR, improvement of occupation, and less water use that emphasize less nitrate leaching in the soil. The hypothesis tested in this research is whether or not optimization of the cropping pattern will lead to improved AWP and NR to irrigators in the NK irrigation network.

1.4 Objectives

There is need to assess serious technical issues in NK irrigation network when conflict between water supply and demand in a multiple-cropping irrigation schemes arises. The intent of this research is to develop a model that can optimize a cropping pattern to maximize the NR and labor employment (LE) and minimize applied water (AW) and total nitrate leaching (TNL).

The specific objectives are:

- 1. To study the current cropping pattern and assess current agroeconomic water productivity levels of the study area.
- 2. To assess and predict crop yield under water deficit conditions

1000706626

using the AquaCrop model.

 To apply a multi-objective function optimization approach to develop an optimum cropping-pattern model and using General Algebra Modeling System (GAMS) software to solve the optimization problem.

This thesis aims to apply new techniques on different aspects of optimization constraints including NLP and MOP. As a new finding on deterministic optimization technique combined with a simulation approach and in order to fully understand it, a CONOPT solver proposed by Rosenthal (2008) was applied to solve the aforementioned quadruplet issues in the region simultaneously. This technique is significantly superior to conventional methods such as single and two objective methods which are based on only one or two of the decision variables.

1.5 Scope of the study

The scope of this research includes:

- Selection of the major river sub-basin in ZRB with adequate agronomic and climatic data.
- 2. Collecting of hydrological and meteorological data, crops, soils, farming practices, and economic data.
- 3. Determining the parameters required as input data set for running the

simulation and optimization models. Calculating net irrigation water requirements using the AquaCrop model (without any water stress).

- Calculating net irrigation water requirements using the AquaCrop model (without any water stress).
- Calibration of water-stress model for simulation of essential parameters.
- Providing local crop water production functions (CWPFs) (describe the relationship of grain yield (GY) response to different levels of applied water input) using simulation model outputs and field experiments for main staple crops.
- Investigating the validity of simulation model using field experiments for each crop in the study area.
- Optimization of the cropping pattern, crop density and water allocation for various hydrologic regimes.

1.6 Limitations of the work

The following six limitations to the present study:

1) For the study period, there have been variations to the production costs (both the fixed and variable ones) as well as to the sale prices of the agricultural products, which is due to the variations in the supply and demand situation in the market. As these variations are unavoidable, the weighted average of the prices over the last 10 years was used in this study and the expected value. Value was calculated in this way.

- 2) Currently, the Iranian state is modifying the price of fuel and agricultural subsidies. Omission of subsidies will markedly impact the balance in the agricultural market during a long period in the future. These changes may be far beyond the normal variations which make it impossible to make appropriate provisions in advance.
- 3) To estimate net water requirement for major crops in the irrigation network, data on irrigation efficiencies for individual crops for a given climate across the network is required. Because of the lack of this data, irrigation efficiencies measured for experimental farms by other researchers were used instead.
- 4) In estimation of annual depletion from groundwater resource there have been some errors from a number of boreholes, illegal operation of boreholes or the ones without permission for operation. An average estimated is made in view of this predicament.
- 5) Currently, data collection concerning the cultivated area for individual crops is achieved on the basis of district or village classifications. Apparently, this method will not result in high accuracies estimation of the cultivated area within the irrigation network.
- 6) The required climatic parameters for calculation of ET_o were obtained from two synoptic meteorology stations (Kabutarabad and Najafabad). To compute this basic parameter more precisely, more weather station should be installed over the network.

REFERENCES

- Abadie, J. and Carpentier, J. (1969). Generalization of the wolfe reduced gradient method to the case of Nonlinear Constraints," in Optimization, Gletcher, R. (Ed.), Academic Press, New York.
- Ahmadi, M.T. (2008). Estimation growth rates and decomposition analysis of agricultural production in Iran. *Trends in Agriculture Economic*. 1:14-26.
- Ajdary, K., Singh, D.K., Singh, A.K. and Khanna, M. (2007). Modeling of nitrogen leaching from experimental onion field under drip fertigation. *Agricultural Water Management*. 89: 15-28.
- Akbari, M., Mamanpoush, A. R., Gieske, A., Miranzadeh, M., Torabi, M. and Salemi, H. R. (2006). Crop and land cover classification in Iran using Landsat 7 imagery. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*. 27(19): 411-4135.
- Akbari, M., Toomanian, N., Droogers, P., Bastiaanssen, W. and Gieske, A. (2007). Monitoring irrigation performance in Esfahan, Iran, using NOAA satellite imagery. *Agricultural Water Management*. 88: 99-109.
- Akbari, M., Dehghani sanij, H. and Heydari, N. (2008). Estimation of irrigation area, evapotranspiration and irrigation management using remote sensing. *Iranian journal of irrigation and drainage*. 2(1):43-54.
- Ali, M.H. and Talukder, M.S.U. (2008). Increasing water productivity in crop production-A synthesis. Agricultural Water Management. 95 (11):1201-1213.
- Allen, R.G., Pereira, L.S., Raes, D. and Smith, M. (1998). Crop evapotranspiration Guidelines for computing crop water requirements. *Irrigation and Drainage. Paper 56, FAO*, Rome, Italy. P. 300.
- Al-Jamal, M.S., Sammis, T.W., Ball, S. and Smeal, D. (2000). Computing the crop water production function for onion. *Agricultural Water Manage*. 46: 29-41.
- Alvarez, J., Valero, J., Benito, J. and Mata E. (2004). MOPECO: an economic optimization model for irrigation water management. *Irrig Sci*, 23: 61–75.
- Aminpour, R. and Dehghani, M. (2007).Effect of irrigation regime and plant density on quantitative and qualitative traits of onion (Allium cepa L.) in Isfahan. Isfahan Agricultural and Natural Resources Research Center. Agricultural Extension, Education and Research Organization. Ministry of

Jihad-e-Agriculture. *Final research report.* Project No: 3-036-121800-00-0000-84085.p.13. (In Persian).

- Amir, I. and Fisher, F.M. (1999). Analyzing agricultural demand for water with an optimizing model. *Agricultural System*. 61:45–56.
- Amiri, E. (2008). Evaluation of the rice growth model ORYZA 2000 under water management. Asian journal of plant science. 7(3): 291-297.
- Andarziana, B., Bannayanb, M., Stedutoc, P., Mazraeha, H., Baratie, M.A. and Rahnamaa, A. (2011). Validation and testing of the AquaCrop model under full and deficit irrigated wheat production in Iran. Agricultural Water Management. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2011.08.023.
- Anonymous, (1993). Comprehensive Studies for Agriculture Development of the Zayandehrud basin, Environment. Yekom Consulting Engineers, Water Resources, Vol. 25, Ministry of Agriculture, Iran.
- Anonymous, (1998). Detailed soil survey and land classification of oushian area (Isfahan). *Ministry of agriculture and natural resources soil institute of Iran,* pub. No. 396.
- Anonymous, (2002). SPSS Inc. SPSS 11.0 Guide to Data Analysis, Prentice Hall, NJ.
- Anonymous, (2008a). Statistics report of Isfahan Province: Agricultural year 2004-2005. Planning, Administrative & Financial Affairs of the Agricultural Organization, Isfahan, Iran.
- Anonymous, (2008b). Statistics report of Isfahan Province: Agricultural year 2006-2007. Planning, Administrative & Financial Affairs of the Agricultural Organization, Isfahan, Iran.
- Anonymous, (2008c). Statistics report of Isfahan Province: Agricultural year 2007-2008. *Planning, Administrative & Financial Affairs of the Agricultural Organization*, Isfahan, Iran.
- Anonymous, (2011a). Iranian Labor, Unemployment on the Rise. Online available at: *http://planet-iran.com/index.php/news/20625.* Accessed on 13 October 2011. 13 Oct. 2011.
- Anonymous, (2011b). General Algebraic Modeling System. Online available at: <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/General Algebraic Modeling System</u>. Accessed on 12 December 2009. 12 Dec. 2009.

- Araya, A., Habtub, S., MelesHadguc, K., Kebedea, A. and Dejene, T. (2010a). Test of AquaCrop model in simulating biomass and yield of water deficient and irrigated barley. *Agricultural Water Management*. 97: 1838-1846.
- Araya, A., Keesstra, S.D. and Stroosnijder, L. (2010b). Simulating yield response to water of teff (Eragrostis tef) with FAOs AquaCrop model. *Field Crops Research.* 116: 196-204.
- Ardekanian, R. (2005). Overview of water management in Iran. Proc. of an Iranian-American Workshop on Water Conservation, Reuse and Recycling, Tunis, Tunisia, National Academies Press, Washington, DC, pp. 18-33.
- Arzucan, O. (2004). Supervised and unsupervised machine learning techniques for text document categorization. *Master Thesis*, Bogazi, ci University.
- Asadpour, H., Khalilian S. and Paikani G. (2005). Theory and application of fuzzy linear goal-programming in the crop pattern optimization. *Agricultural Economics and Development.* **13**: **307-3**38. (In Persian).
- Ashley, R. O. Neibling, W. H. and King, B. A. (1998). Irrigation Scheduling-Using Water-use. Cooperative extension system. *Agricultural experiment report*. University of Idaho extension system and U. S. bureau of reclamation. P.12.
- Ashwini, P. and manjunath, B.L. (2010). Calibration and Validation of AquaCrop Model for Local Rice Grown in Goa, India. UNW-DPC Publication Series. 7: 46-48.
- Bamba, A. (1998). An optimization procedure for allocating water in a largescale irrigation project. *M.Sc. thesis*, Utah State University.1998, P. 157.
- Bekele, S. and Tilahun, K. (2007). Regulated deficit irrigation scheduling of onion in a semiarid region of Ethiopia. *Agricultural water management*. 89:148-152.
- Beletse, Y.G. Laurie, R. Plooy, C.P. van den Berg, A. and Laurie, S. (2010). Calibration and validation of AquaCrop model for orange fleshed sweet potatoes. UNW-DPC Publication Series. 7: 72-74.
- Benli, B. and Kodal, S. (2003). A non-linear model for farm optimization with adequate and limited water supplies Application to the South-east Anatolian Project (GAP) Region. *Agricultural Water Management*. 62:187-203.

- Beuerlein, J. E. (2001). Wheat Growth stages and associated management. *The ohio state university extension*, AGF-126-01.
- Bharati, L., Rodgers, C., Erdenberger, T., Plotnikova, M., Shumilov,S., Vlek, P. and Martin, N. (2008). Integration of economic and hydrologic models: Exploring conjunctive irrigation water use strategies in the Volta basin. Agricultural Water Management. 95: 925-936.
- Brooke, A., Kendrick, D., Meeraus, A. and Raman, R. (1998). GAMS a user's guide GAMS development cooperation. *User's Guide*. Washington DC 20007 USA.
- Cabello, M.J., Castellanos, M.T., Romojaro, F., Martinez-Madrid, C. and Ribas, F. (2009).Yield and quality of melon grown under different irrigation and nitrogen rates. *Agricultural water management*. 96: 866-874.
- Cai, X., McKinney, D. and Lasdon, L. (2001). Solving nonlinear water management models using a combined genetic algorithm and linear programming approach. *Advances in Water Resources*, 24(6):667-676.
- Cai, X., Ringlerb, C. and Youa J. (2008). Substitution between water and other agricultural inputs: Implications for water conservation in a river basin context. *ECOLOGICAL ECONOMICS*. 66: 38-50.
- Cao, Y. and Petzold, L. (2006). Accuracy limitations and the measurement of errors in the stochastic simulation of chemically reacting systems. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 212: 6-24.
- Carvallo, H.O., Holzapfel, E.A., Lopez, M.A. and Marino, M.A. (1998). Irrigated cropping optimization. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*.
- Chen, C., Wang, E. and Yu, Q. (2009). Modeling the effects of climate variability and water management on crop water productivity and water balance in the North China Plain. *Agricultural Water Management*. doi:10.1016/j.agwat. 2008.11.012.
- Claudio, O., Stockle, E., Kjelgaard, J. and Bellocchi, G. (2004). Evaluation of estimated weather data for calculating Penman-Monteith reference crop evapotranspiration. *Irrigation Science* 23: 39-46.
- Confalonieri, R. and Bocchi, S. (2005). Evaluation of CropSyst for simulating the yield of flooded rice in northern Italy. European Journal of Agronomy. 23: (4), 315-326.

- Confalonieri, R., Mariani, L. and Bocchi, S. (2005). Analysis and modelling of water and near water temperatures in flooded rice (Oryza sativa L.). *Ecological Modelling.* 183: (2-3), 269-280.
- Dabrowski, J.M., Murray, K., Ashton, P.J. and Leaner, J.J. (2009). Agricultural impacts on water quality and implications for virtual water trading decisions. *Ecological Economic*. 68: 1074-1082.
- David, C., Jeuffroy, M., Recous, S. and Dorsainvil F. (2004). Adaptation and assessment of the Azodyn model for managing the nitrogen fertilization of organic winter wheat. *Europ. J. Agronomy*. 21: 249–266.
- DehghaniSanija, H., Yamamotoa, T. and Rasiahb, V. (2004). Assessment of evapotranspiration estimation models for use in semi-arid environments. *Agricultural water management*. 64:91-106.
- Deng, X.P., Shan, L., Zhang, S.Q. and Kang, S.Z. (2006). Improving agricultural water use efficiency in arid and semiarid areas of China. *Agricultural Water Management*.80:23-40.
- Dinar, A. and Letey, J. (1996). Agricultural water marketing, allocative efficiency, and drainage reduction. *J. Enriron. Econ. Mgmt.* 20: 210-223.
- Doorenbos, J. and Kassam, A.H. (1979). Yield response to water. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 33. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- Droogers, P., Salemi, H.R. and Mamanpoush. A. (2001). Exploring basin scale salinity problems using a simplified water accounting model: the example of Zayandeh Rud Basin, Iran. J. *Irrigation and drainage*. 50 (4): 335-348.
- Droogers, P. and Torabi, M. (2003). Field scale scenarios for water and salinity management by simulation models, Isfahan province, Iran, *Research Report No.12*, Iranian Agriculture Engineering Research Institute and IWMI.
- Duchemin, B., Maisongrande, P., Boulet, G. and Benhadj, I. (2008). A simple algorithm for yield estimates: Evaluation for semi-arid irrigated winter wheat monitored with green leaf area index. *Environ. Model. Softw.* 23: 876-892.
- Farahani, HJ., Gabriella, I. and Oweis, T.Y. (2009). Parameterization and evaluation of the AquaCrop model for full and deficit irrigated cotton. *Agron. J.* 101: 469-476.
- Farre, I. and Faci, J.M. (2006). Comparative response of maize (Zea mays L.) and sorghum (Sorghumbicolor L. Moench) to deficit irrigation in a Mediterranean environment. *Agricultural Water Management*. 83, P 135-

143.

- Ferreira, T.C. and Goncalves, D.A. (2007). Crop-yield/water-use production functions of potatoes (Solanum tuberosum, L.) grown under differential nitrogen and irrigation treatments in a hot, dry climate. Agricultural Water Management. 90: 45–55.
- Gabele, T. (2002). United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), *National Agricultural Library*. http://www.wiz.uni-kassel.de/model_db/mdb/ceres-maize.htm.
- Ganji, A., Ponnambalam, K., Khalili, D. and Karamouz, M. (2006). Grain yield reliability analysis withcrop water demand uncertainty. *Stoch. Environ. Res.* Risk Assess, DOI 10.1007/s00477.
- García-Vila, M., Fereres, E., Mateos, L., Orgaz, F. and Steduto, P. (2009). Deficit irrigation optimization of cotton with AquaCrop. *Agron. J.* 101: 477-487.
- Geerts, S., Raes, D., Garcia, M., Miranda, R., Cusicanqui, J.A., Taboada, C., Mendoza, J., Huanca, R., Mamani, A., Condori, O., Mamani, J., Morales, B., Osco, V. and Steduto, P. (2009). Simulating yield response of quinoa to water availability with AquaCrop. Agron. J. 101: 498-508.
- Gengxin, C., Jaradat, A., Banerjee, N., Tetsuya, S., Tanaka, M. S. H. and Zhang, M. Q. (2002). Evaluation and comparison of clustering algorithms in anglyzing ES cell gene expression data. Statistica Sinica. 12: 241-262.
- Georgiou, P. E., Papamichail, D. M. and Vougioukas, S. G. (2006). Optimal irrigation reservoir operation and simultaneous multi-crop cultivation area selection using simulated annealing. *Irrigation and Drainage*, 55, (2): 129 -144.
- Gieske, A., Miranzadeh, M. and Mamanpoush. A. (2000). Groundwater Chemistry of the Lenjanat District, Isfahan Province, Iran. *Research Report No.4*, Iranian Agriculture Engineering Research Institute and IWMI.
- Gieske, A. and. M. Miranzadeh. (2000). Groundwater Resources Modeling of the Lenjenat Aquifer System. *IAERI-IWMI Research Reports* 15.
- Gürlük, S. and Ward, F. A. (2009). Analysis Integrated basin management: Water and food policy options for Turkey. *Ecological Economics*. 68: 2666-2678.
- Hansen, J.W. and Jones, J.W. (2000). Scaling-up crop models for climate variability applications. *Agricultural Systems*. 65: 43-72.

- Hassanli, A. M., Ebrahimizadeh, M.A., Beecham, S. (2009). The effects of irrigation methods with effluent and irrigation scheduling on water use efficiency and corn yields in an arid region. *Agricultural water management*. 96: 93-99.
- Heng, L.K., Hsiao, T., Evett S, Howell, T. and Steduto, P. (2009). Validating the FAO AquaCrop model for irrigated and water deficient field maize. Agron. J. 101: 487–498.
- Holzapfel, E. A., Mariiio, M. A. and Valenzuela, A. (1990). Drip irrigation nonlinear optimization model. *Journal of irrigation and drainage engineering*, *ASCE*, 116(4): 479-496.
- Hsiao, T.C., Heng, L.K., Steduto, P., Raes, D. and Fereres, E. (2009). AquaCrop-The FAO crop model to simulate yield response to water. III. Parameterization and testing for maize. *Agron. J.* 101: 448-459.
- Hussain, I., Hussain, Z., Sial, M.H., Akram, W. and Hussain, M.F. (2007). Optimal cropping pattern and water productivity: A case of Punjab canal. *Journal of Agronomy*, 6(4): 526-533.
- Igbadun, H.E., Tarimo, A.K., Salim, B.A. and Mahoo, H.F. (2007). Evaluation of selected crop water production functions for an irrigated maize crop. *Agricultural water management*. 94: 1-10.
- Jafaraghaei, M. and Dehghani, M. (2010). Study of Irrigation magement effect on qualitative and quantities characteristic in two cotton varieties in Esfahan. Isfahan Agricultural and Natural Resources Research Center. Ministry of Jihad-e-Agriculture. *Final research report*. No: 3-03-18160000-0000-84002. 25 pages. (In Persian)
- Jalali, M. (2005). Nitrates leaching from agricultural land in Hamadan, western Iran. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment. 110: 210-218.
- Jatoea, D., Yiridoeb, K., Weersinka, A. and Stephen Clark, J. (2008). Economic and environmental impacts of introducing land use policies and rotations on Prince Edward Island potato farms. *Land Use Policy*. 25: 309-319.
- Jensen, D.T., Hargreaves, G.H., Temesgen, B. and Allen, R.G. (1998). Computation of ETo under nonideal conditions. *Journal of irrigation and drainage engineering.* 123 (5): 394-400.
- Jones, J.W., Hoogenboom, G., Porter, C.H., Boote, K.J., Batchelor, W.D., Hunt, L.A., Wilkens, P.W., Singh, U., Gijsman, A.J. and Ritchie, J.T. (2003). The DSSAT cropping system model. *European Journal Agronomy*. 18:235-265.

- Jompakdee, W. (2006). Water and agriculture. *Regional journalist workshop on water_issues in Asia in partnership with Inter Press Service (IPS)*, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Khaledi, H. and Ehsani, M. (2005). *Identifying agricultural water productivity indices in seven Iranian irrigation networks*. International Commission on Irrigation and Drainage. In Proceedings of the 19th international conference. Beijing, China. June 2005.
- Kiani, A., Kocheki, A.R., Banaeian M. and Nasiri Mahalati M. (2003). Evaluation of CERES-Wheat in two locations with different climate in Khorasan Province: I. Yield forecast. *Biaban.* 8(2): 264-275. (In Persian)
- Kiani, A., Kocheki, A.R., Nasiri Mahalati M. and Banaeian M. (2004). Evaluation of CERES-Wheat in two locations with different climate in Khorasan Province: II. Simulation of phenology and growth parameters. *Biaban*. 9(1): 125-142. (In Persian)
- Kibe, A.M., Singh, S. b. and Kalra, N. (2006). Water–nitrogen relationships for wheat growth and productivity in late sown conditions. *Agricultural Water Management*. 84: 221-228.
- Kipkorira, E.C., Raesa, D. and Massaweb, B. (2002). Seasonal water production functions and yield response factors for maize and onion in Perkerra, Kenya. *Agricultural Water Management*. 56: 229-240.
- Kondili, E., Kaldellis, J.K. and Papapostolou, C. (2010). A novel systemic approach to water resources optimization in areas with limited water resources. *Desalination*, 250: 297-301.
- Kumar, C.N., Indrasenan, N. and Elango, K. (1998). Non-linear programming model for extensive irrigation. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering.*
- Kumar, S., Imtiyaz M., Kumar, A. and Singh, R. (2007). Response of onion (Allium cepa L.) to different levels of irrigation water. *Agricultural water management.* 89:16-166.
- Lefkoff, L. and Kendall, D. (1996). Yields from Ground-Water Storage for California State Water Project. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management.* 122: 72-74.
- Lobell, D.B. and Ortiz-Monasterio, J.I. (2006). Evaluating strategies for improved water use in spring wheat with CERES. *Agricultural water management*.

84: 249-258.

- Mahluji M., Mamanpush A.R. and Jafari A. (2006). Deficit irrigation application in new barley genotypes. Agricultural science. Research Journal. 16(3): 23-32.
- Majnoni Haris, A., Zand Parsa, S., Sepaskhah, A.R. and Kamkar Haghighi, A.A. (2006). Evaluation of MSM model and its application in prediction of yield and water requirement of silage maize for determination of appropriate sowing date. Agricultural and Natural Resources Sciences and Technology 10(3): 83-95. (In Persian)
- Mamanpush, A.R., Abbasi, F. and Mousavi, S.F. (2002). Evaluation of application efficiency in surface irrigation of some field in Isfahan providence. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research*. 2(9), pp 43-58, (In Persian)
- Mao, X., Li, M., Wang, X., Liu, C., Hou, Z. and Shi, J. (2003). Effects of deficit irrigation on yield and water use of greenhouse grown cucumber in the North China Plain. *Agricultural Water Management*. 61:219-228.
- Mariano-Romero, C.E., Yamanaka, H.A. and Morales, E.F. (2007). Multiobjective optimization of water-using systems. *European Journal of Operational Research*. 181: 1691-1707.
- Mermoud, A., Tamini, T.D. and Yacouba, H. (2005). Impacts of different irrigation schedules on the water balance components of an onion crop in a semi-arid zone. *Agricultural Water Management*, **77**: 282–295.
- Midmore, D.J., Berrios, D. and Roca, J. (1986). Potato in the hot tropics. II. Soil temperature and moisture modification by mulch in contrasting environments. *Field Crops Res.*, 15: 97-108.
- Mirakzadeh, A. and Ghiasvand Ghiasy, F. (2011). Effective factors on the employment status of agricultural graduates in Iran. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 6(2): 432-439.
- Miranzadeh, M. and Mamanpoush, A. R. (2008). Estimation of monthly abstracted ground water rate in Zayandehrud irrigation main network. *Irananian water research Journal*. 2(2): 19-26.
- Moayeri, M., Dehghanisanij, H., Sedgi, H., Farahani, H., Abbasi, F., Nato,S. and Pazira, E. (2007). Assessment of wheat water productivity and methods of improvement in south Karkheh river basin. Proceeding of the International Workshop on improving water productivity and livelihood resilience in Karkheh river basin, Karaj, Iran. September 10-11, 2007.

- Moghaddasi, M., Morid, S., Araghinejad, S. and Aghaalikhani, M (2009). Assessment of irrigation water allocation based on optimization and equitable water reduction approaches to reduce agricultural drought losses: The 1999 drought in the Zayandeh rud irrigation system (Iran). *Irrigation and Drainage*. DOI: 10.1002/ird.499.
- Montazar, A. and Zadbagher, E. (2010). An analytical hierarchy model for assessing global water productivity of Irrigation networks in Iran. *Water Resour Manage*. DOI 10.1007/s11269-010-9581-4.
- Molden, D. (2006). Accounting for water use and productivity. In: User-producer conference on water accounting for integrated water resources management. The Netherlands. May 22-24, 2006. 27 Pages.
- Montazar, A. and Rahimikhob, A. (2008). Optimal water productivity of irrigation networks in arid and semi-arid regions. *Irrigation and Drainage*. 57: 411-423.
- Montazar, A., Riazi, H. and Behbahani, S. M. (2010). Conjunctive Water Use Planning in an Irrigation Command Area. *Water Resources Manage*. 24:577-596.
- Moosavi Haghighi, M.H. and Shamsudin, M.N. (2008). Dynamic demand function for the Iranian agricultural labor. *American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci.*, 2 (Supple): 80-85.
- Morid, S., Massah, A.R., Agha Alikhani, M., Mohammadi, K. and Lasage, R. (2003). Water, Climate, Food, and Environment in the Zayandehrud Basin. Contribution to the project ADAPT. Adaptation strategies to changing environments. *Technical report*. College of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modarres University, Tehran, Iran.
- Moradi-Jalal, M., Bozorg Haddad, O., Karney, B. and Marino, M. (2007). Reservoir operation in assigning optimal multi-crop irrigation areas. *Agricultural water management*. 90:149-159.
- Mortazavibak, A. Aminpour, R. and Mousavi, S.F. (2003). Effect of deficit irrigation at early growth stages on yield of commercial potato cultivars. Isfahan Agricultural and Natural Resources Research Center. Agricultural Extension, Education and Research Organization. Ministry of Jihad-e-Agriculture. *Final research report*. 15 Pages. (In Persian)
- Moosavi Haghighi, M. H., Kowsar, S. A. and MadNasir, S. (2008). Dynamic Demand Function for the Iranian Agricultural Labour. *American-Eurasian J. of Agricultural & Environ. Sci.*, 2: 80-85.

- Mostafazadeh-Fard, B., Heidarpour, M. and Hashemi, S.B. (2009). Species factor and evapotranspiration for an ash and cypress in an arid region. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*. 3(2): 71-82.
- Munoz-Carpena, R., Ritter, A., Bosch, D.D., Schaffer, B. and Potter, T.L. (2008). Summer cover crop impacts on soil percolation and nitrogen leaching from a winter corn field. *Agric. Water Manage*. 95, 633-644.
- Murray-Rust, H., Sally, H., Salemi, H.R. and Mamanpoush. A. (2000). An overview of the hydrology of the Zayandehrud Basin. *Research Report No.3*, Iranian Agriculture Engineering Research Institute and IWMI.
- Nairizi, S. (2010). On-Farm Water Management towards more cropprod. Presentation on the Strategy theme (On-Farm). Proceeding of the 61st International Executive Council Meeting-ICID. Yogyakarta, Indonesia. October 10-16, 2010.
- Neelam, P., Kumar, P. and Neetu, S. (2010). Performance evaluation of AquaCrop in simulation potato yield under varying water availability conditions. *Research report.* Water technology centre, Indian Agricultural Research Institute.
- Pandey, R.K., Maranville, J.W. and Admou, A.(2000). Deficit irrigation and nitrogen effects on maize in a Sahelian environment: I. Grain yield and yield components. *Agricultural Water Management*, 46(1): 1–13.
- Playan, E. and Mateos, L. (2006). Modernization and optimization of irrigation systems to increase water productivity. *Agricultural Water Management*. 80: 100-116.
- Raes, D., Steduto, P., Hsiao, TC., Fereres, E. (2009a). AquaCrop-The FAO crop model to simulate yield response to water: II. Main algorithms and software description. *Agron J.*101: 438-447.
- Raes, D., Steduto, P., Hsiao, T. C. and Fereres, E. (2009b). AquaCrop, Reference Manual, Annexes, Chapter 2. AquaCrop Network. 42 Pages.
- Raju, K.S. and Kumar, D.N. (2000). Multicriterion decision making in irrigation planning. *Agricultural System*. 62: 117-129.
- Ramazani-Etedali, H., Nazari, B., Tavakoli, A. and Parsinejad, M. (2009). Evaluation of CROPWAT model in deficit irrigation management of wheat and barley in Karaj. *Journal of water and soil*. 23(1):119-129.
- Reca, J., Roldan, J., Alcaide, M., Lopez, E. and Camacho, A. (2001). Optimisation model for water allocation in deficit irrigation system, II.

Application to the Bembezar irrigation system. *Agricultural Water Management*, 48: 117-132.

- Ribbea, L., Delgadob, P., Salgadob, E. and Flügelc, A. (2008). Nitrate pollution of surface water induced by agricultural non-point pollution in the Pocochay watershed, Chile. *Desalination*. 226: 13-20.
- Ritchie, J.T. (1972). Model for predicting evaporation from a row crop with incomplete cover. *Water Resour Res.* 8:1204-1213.
- Roohani, N. (2006). An assessment of water resources availability and food trade in Iran. MSc. *Thesis*, College of Agriculture, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran. 121 Pages.
- Rosenthal, R. E. (2008). GAMS A User's Guide. GAMS Development Corporation, Washington, DC, USA.
- Salemi H.R., Mamanpoush, A., Miranzadhe, M., Akbari, M., Torabi, M., Toomanian, N., Murray-Rust, H., Droogers, P., Sally, H. and Gieske. A. (2000). Water Management for Sustainable Irrigated Agriculture in the Zayandeh Rud basin, Esfahan Province, Iran. *IAERI-IWMI Research Reports 1*.
- Salemi, H.R. and H Murray-Rust. (2002). Water supply and demand forecasting in the Zayandehrud basin, Iran. *IAERI-IWMI. Research Reports 13.*
- Salemi, H. R., Sabzi, H. and Mosharaf, L. (2003). Effects of deficit irrigation on quality indices and yield of maize in Isfahan region. Isfahan Agricultural Research Center (EARC), Iran. Research Final Report.1-38. (In Persian, abstracted in English)
- Salemi, H. R. and Abedi. H. (2006). Investigation on response of rice cultivars and lines to various water irrigation depths in Lengjan district of Isfahan. Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research. 28 (7):79-92. (In Persian, abstracted in English)
- Salemi, H. R. and Mosharaf, L. (2006). Effects of deficit irrigation on quality characteristics and yield of grain maize in Isfahan region. Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research. 7(26):71-84. (In Persian, abstracted in English)
- Salemi, H.R. and Afyuni, D. (2005). The effect of deficit irrigation on grain yield and yield components of new wheat cultivars. Sciences and natural resourses. 3 (12):11-20. (In Persian, abstracted in English)

- Salemi, H. R. and Tavakoli, A. R. (2007). Improving irrigation water productivity for rice varieties at Esfahan region, Iran. Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research. 1(8):61-74. (In Persian, abstracted in English).
- Salemi, H.R. and Amin, M.S.M. (2010). Water resources development and water utilization in the Gavkhuni River Basin, Iran. *Journal of Agricultural Scince and Technology*. 4 (3): 60 65.
- Salemi, H.R., Amin, M.S.M., Lee, T.S. and Yusoff, M. K. (2011). Impact of water resources availability on agricultural sustainability in the Gavkhuni River Basin, Iran. *Journal of Science and Technology (JST), Pertanika J. Trop. Agric. Sci.* 34 (2): 207-216.
- Salemi, H.R., Amin, M. S., Lee, T.S., Mousavi, S.F., Ganji, A. and Yusoff, K. (2011a). Application of AquaCrop model in deficit irrigation management of Winter wheat in arid region. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 610: 2204-2215.
- Salemi, H.R., Amin, M. S., Lee, T.S., Mousavi, S.F., Ganji, A. and Yusoff, K. Verdinejad, V.R. (2011b). Irrigated silage maize yield and water productivity response to deficit irrigation in an arid Region. *Polish Journal* of Environmental Studies. 20 (5): 1295-1303.
- Salemi, H.R., Amin, M.S.M., Lee, T.S., and Yusoff, M. K. and Ahmad, D. (2011c). Effects of deficit irrigation on water productivity and maize yields in arid regions of Iran. *Pertanika J. Trop. Agric. Sci.* 34(2): 207-216.
- Sally, H., Murray-Rust, H., Mamanpoush, A.R. and Akbari. M. (2001). Water supply and demand in four major irrigation systems in the Zayandeh Rud Basin, Iran. *IAERI-IWMI, Research Reports* 8.
- Saaty, T. L. (1992). Decision making for leaders. RWS Publication, Pittsburgh, USA.
- Seckler, D., Molden, D. and Barker, R. (1999). Water Scarcity in the Twenty first century. *Water Brief 1*, IWMI, Colombo, Sri Lanka.
- Sepaskhah, A.R., Aghaiari, F. and Tavakoli, A.R. (2006). Evaluation and correction of MEDIWY to simulation of the yield of rainfed and irrigated wheat in Maragheh region. *Agricultural Engineering Research*. 28(7): 133-150. (In Persian, abstracted in English)
- Sethi, L.N., Panda, S.N. and Nayak, M.K. (2006). Optimal crop planning and water resources allocation in a coastal groundwater basin, Orissa, India. *Agricultural Water Management*. 83: 209-220.

- Setiyono, D. (2007). Hybrid-Maize: A Simulation Model for Maize Growth and Yield. *University of Nebraska-Lincoln*. http://www.hybridmaize.unl.edu/.
- Shani, U. and Dudley, L.M., (2001). Field Studies of Crop Response to Water and Salt Stress. Soil SCI. SOC. AM. J. 65.
- Singh, D.K., Jaiswal, C.S., Reddy, K.S., Singh, R.M. and Bhandarkar, D.M. (2001). Optimal cropping pattern in a canal command area. *Agricultural Water Management*. 50: 1-8.
- Solimanipouri, A., Nikoei, A., Bagheri, A. and Soltani, G. (2005). Agricultural regional planning based on the economical priorities in Esfahan province. Fifth Iranian Agricultural Economics Conference, Zahedan, Iran.
- Soltani, A., Gholipour, M. and Hajizadeh, A.H. (2005). SBEET: A simple model to simulation of crop growth and yield of sugar beet. *Agricultural Industries and Science*. 19(2): 11-26. (In Persian, abstracted in English)
- Stancalie, G., Maricaa, A. and Touliosb, L. (2010). Using earth observation data and CROPWAT model to estimate the actual crop evapotranspiration. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*. 35: (1–2), 25–30.
- Steduto, P., Hsiao, T.C. and Fereres, E. (2007). On the conservative behaviour of biomass water productivity. *Irrigation Science*. 25: 189-207.
- Steduto, P., Hsiao, T.C., Raes, D. and E. Fereres. (2009). AquaCrop-The FAO crop model to simulate yield response to water: I. Concepts and underlying principles. *Agron. J.* 101: 426-437.
- Tarjuelo, J.M., de Juan, J.A., Valiente, M. and Garcia, P. (1996). Model for optimal cropping patterns within the farm based on crop water production functions and irrigation uniformity II: A case study of irrigation scheduling in Albacete, Spain. Agricultural water management. 3:145-163.
- Tarkalson, D.D., Payero, J.O., Ensley, S.M. and Shapiro, C.A. (2006). Nitrate accumulation and movement under deficit irrigation in soil receiving cattle manure and commercial fertilizer. *Agric. Water Manage*. 85, 201-210.
- Toda, O., Yoshida, K., Hiroaki, S., Katsuhiro, H. and Tanji, H. (2005). Estimation of irrigation water using Cropwat model at KM34 project site, in Savannakhet, LAO PDR. (PP 17-24). Role of Water Sciences in Transboundary River Basin Management, Thailand.
- Todorovic, M., Albrizio, R., Zivotic, L., Abi Saab, M.T., Stöckle, C. and Steduto, P. (2009). Assessment of AquaCrop, CropSyst, and WOFOST models in the simulation of sunflower growth under different water regimes. Agron. J. 101: 508-521.

- Toomanian, N., Jalalian, A. and Zolanvar, A. (1999). Geologic Sources of Gypsum of Northwest of Isfahan, Iran. J. Sci & Tech. Agric. & Nat. Resour. 3 (3): 25-40.
- Torkmani, J. and Khosravi, E. (2001). Mathematic scheme for determination of desired agricultural plan. *Agricultural Economics and Development*. 35: 13-38. (In Persian)
- Upali, A. and Sharma, R. (2008). Crop water productivity in India: some potential improvements. *Agricultural Water Management*. 96(4): 358-368.
- Vazifedoust, M., van Dam, J.C., Feddes, R.A. and Feizi, M. (2008). Increasing water productivity of irrigated crops under limited water supply at field scale. *Agricultural Water Management*. 95:89-102.
- Verma, M.K., Shrivastava, R.K. and Tripathi, R.K. (2010). Evaluation of min-max, weighted and preemptive goal programming techniques with reference to Mahanadi Reservoir Project Complex. Water Resour Manag. 24: 299-319.
- Wang, L., Fang, L. and Hipel, K. W. (2008). Basin-wide cooperative water resources allocation. *European Journal of Operational Research*. 190: 798-817.
- Wanga, Y., Zhangb, B., Lina, L. and Zeppd, H. (2011). Agroforestry system reduces subsurface lateral flow and nitrate loss in Jiangxi Province, China Agriculture. *Ecosystems and Environment*. 140: 441-453.
- Wikarmpapraharn, C. and Kositsakulchai, E. (2010). Evaluation of ORYZA2000 and CERES-Rice Models under Potential Growth Condition in the Central Plain of Thailand. Thai Journal of Agricultural Science. 43(1): 17-29.
- Zhang, H. and Oweis, T. (1999). Water-yield relations and optimal irrigation scheduling of wheat in the Mediterranean region. *Agric. Water Manage*. 38: 195-211.
- Zwart, S.J., Bastiaanssen, W.GM. (2004). Review of measured crop water productivity values for irrigated wheat, rice, cotton and maize. *Agric. Water Manage.* 69: 115-133.