



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***SEARCH ALGORITHMS FOR PATH PLANNING PROBLEMS IN  
MULTIPLE WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORK ENVIRONMENT***

**HONG SIAW SWIN**

**FSKTM 2017 67**



**SEARCH ALGORITHMS FOR PATH PLANNING PROBLEMS IN  
MULTIPLE WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORK ENVIRONMENT**

**By**

**HONG SIAW SWIN**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in  
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**July 2017**

## **COPYRIGHT**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purpose from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**SEARCH ALGORITHMS FOR PATH PLANNING PROBLEMS IN HARSH WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORK ENVIRONMENT**

By

**HONG SIAW SWIN**

**July 2017**

**Chairman : Shamala Subramaniam, PhD**  
**Faculty: Computer Science and Information Technology**

This dissertation presents three heuristic search algorithms which all of them descended from the origin Dijkstra's algorithm that solve multiple different problems arise from path planning in WSN environment. The three addressed problems are 1) long initial computation delay in dynamic environment, 2) slow reaction towards rapid change of goal points and massive number of node depending on single source of computational power, and 3) tradeoffs between optimality and computational effort to achieve optimality in TSP path planning problem. Literatures are reviewed, restructured in chronological form, and discussed. Open issues of reviewed algorithms had been briefed in this dissertation. In order to solve the addressed problem, this dissertation proposed three algorithms which are Enhanced D\*, Natural Behaviour Navigation (NBN), and Frothing Waypoint Navigation (FWN). Each algorithm solves its respective problem with Enhanced D\* solving long initial delay in dynamic environment, NBN solves harsh conditions with limited computational power, and FWN optimized the result of TSP path planning problem with minimal computational effort. Experiments have been done for each algorithm by comparing with other suitable algorithms. Multiple performance metrics have been defined and discussed for all experiments. The metrics included but not limited to the few following: Average Path Length, Initial Delay, Computational Time, Cell Visit, and so on. Finally, conclusion is drawn from the results of all experiments at the end of the dissertation and future works are proposed along.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**ALGORITMA CARIAN UNTUK MASALAH PERANCANGAN PERJALANAN  
DALAM PERSEKITARAN WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORK YANG PENUH  
KEPAYAHAN**

Oleh

**HONG SIAW SWIN**

**Julai 2017**

**Pengerusi: Shamala Subramaniam, PhD**

**Fakulti: Sains Komputer dan Teknologi Maklumat**

Disertasi ini membentangkan tiga algoritma carian heuristik yang kesemua adalah asal dari keturunan algoritma Dijkstra. Ketiga-tiga algoritma ini adalah bertujuan untuk menyelesaikan pelbagai masalah yang berbeza timbul dari perancangan perjalanan dalam persekitaran WSN. Tiga masalah yang ditangani adalah 1) penggunaan masa yang panjang semasa permulaan pengiraan dalam persekitaran yang dinamik, 2) tindak balas yang perlahan terhadap situasi penukaran titik matlamat yang amat pantas serta jumlah besar nod yang bergantung kepada sumber kuasa pengiraan tunggal, dan akhirnya 3) keseimbangan antara optimaliti dan keusahaan pengiraan untuk mendapatkan keputusan yang optimum dalam masalah perancangan perjalanan *TSP*. Literatur telah dikaji semula, disusun semula dalam bentuk kronologi, dan dibincangkan. Isu terbuka algoritma-algoritma telah dikaji dan diberikan penerangan dalam disertasi ini. Untuk menangani masalah yang ditunjukkan, ketiga-tiga algoritma yang dicadangkan dalam disertasi ini adalah *Enhanced D\**, *Natural Behaviour Navigation (NBN)*, dan *Frothing Waypoint Navigation (FWN)*. Setiap algoritma menyelesaikan masalah masing-masing dengan *Enhanced D\** menangani masalah penggunaan masa yang panjang dalam pengiraan untuk persekitaran dinamik, *NBN* menyelesaikan keadaan amat payah dengan kuasa pengiraan yang terhad, dan akhirnya masalah perancangan laluan *TSP* telah diselesaikan oleh *FWN* dengan usaha pengiraan yang amat minimum. Eksperimen telah dilakukan bagi setiap algoritma dengan membandingkan dengan algoritma lain yang sesuai. Pelbagai metrik prestasi telah ditetapkan dan dibincangkan untuk semua eksperimen. Metrik-metrik yang ditentukan adalah termasuk tetapi bukan terhadap seperti yang berikut: Purata Panjang Perjalanan, Kelewatan Pengiraan apabila Permulaan, Masa Pengiraan, Lawatan Sel, dan sebagainya. Akhirnya, kesimpulan telah disediakan pada bahagian terakhir disertasi ini dengan menggunakan keputusan-keputusan yang terdapat dalam eksperimen-eksperimen yang telah dibuat. Selain itu, keupayaan penambahan masa depan telah pun dibincangkan dan dinyatakan.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisor Prof. Dr. Shamala Subramaniam for the guidance and support through my whole research progress. With her vast knowledge and endless patience, I've been given the chance to obtain the most invaluable experience of my life, deepen my shallow mind with her wisdom, and widen up my short-sighted view with her experiences.

I would also like to pay my gratitude to Dr. Nor Asila Wati Abdul Hamid and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Zuriati Ahmad Zukarnain who both always been patient to help me and gave me a lot of valuable opinions. The opinions allow me to look into more possibilities and further improve my research works.

To all my family members and friends, I would like to say that I'm really appreciate the support had been given to me for the entire time. Without the encouragement, I would have missed this wonderful experience in my life.

Finally, a special thanks to my brother who always share his insights with me to inspire me into developing new ideas especially all the algorithms in this dissertation.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 19 July 2017 to conduct the final examination of Hong Siaw Swin on his thesis entitled “Search Algorithms for Path Planning Problems in Harsh Wireless Sensor Network Environment” in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A)106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Masrah Azrifah binti Azmi Murad, PhD**

Associate Professor

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Chairman)

**Rohaya binti Latip, PhD**

Associate Professor

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Internal Examiner)

**Azizol bin Hj Abdullah, PhD**

Senior Lecturer

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Internal Examiner)

**Bala Srinivasan, PhD**

Professor

Monash University Clayton Campus

Australia

(External Examiner)

---

**NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD**

Professor and Deputy Dean

School of Graduate Studies

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 30 November 2017

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Shamala Subramaniam, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Zuriati Ahmad Zukarnain, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Nor Asila Wati Abdul Hamid, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia(Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia(Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and Matric No.: \_\_\_\_\_

## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of  
Chairman of  
Supervisory  
Committee: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of  
Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of  
Chairman of  
Supervisory  
Committee: \_\_\_\_\_

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	i
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	ii
<b>APPROVAL</b>	iii
<b>DECLARATION</b>	iv
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	vi
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	x
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xii
	xvi

### CHAPTER

1	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	1
	1.1 Research Issues	2
	1.2 Path Planning in WSN	4
	1.3 Problem Statement	5
	1.4 Research Objectives	6
	1.5 Dissertation Organization	6
2	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	8
	2.1 Algorithm Review	10
	2.2 Taxonomy of Literature Review	21
	2.3 Open Issues	26
	2.4 Fundamental Algorithms	29
3	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	30
	3.1 Path Planning Analysis Strategies	31
	3.2 Model Development	35
	3.2.1 The Proposed and Developed DES	37
	3.2.2 Performance Metrics	43
	3.3 Result and Discussion	44
	3.4 Summary	46
4	<b>ENHANCED D*</b>	47
	4.1 Focused D* algorithm	51
	4.2 Proposed Enhanced D* Algorithm	52
	4.3 Performance Metrics	57
	4.4 Experiment Design	59
	4.5 Results & Discussion	62
	4.6 Summary	97
5	<b>NATURAL BEHAVIOR NAVIGATION (NBN)</b>	98
	5.1 Critical Analysis of D* Lite Algorithm	99
	5.2 Motivation and Inspiration	106
	5.3 Proposed NBN Algorithm	107
	5.4 Performance Metrics	112
	5.5 Performance Analysis Design	114
	5.6 Results & Discussion	116
	5.7 Summary	142

6	<b>FROTHING WAYPOINT NAVIGATION (FWN)</b>	143
6.1	Motivation	144
6.2	Proposed FWN Algorithm	144
6.2.1	FWN Mechanism	149
6.3	Performance Analysis	151
6.3.1	Experimental Design	151
6.3.2	Performance Metrics	153
6.4	Results & Discussion	154
6.5	Summary	171
7	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	172
7.1	Future Work	173
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	174
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	183
	<b>PUBLICATION</b>	184

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
2.1	Taxonomy of Literature Review	21
2.2	Open Issues	26
3.1	Terms denotation of A* algorithm	36
3.2	Parameter initialization of the proposed simulator	38
3.3	Terms denotation of node generation algorithm	39
3.4	Event Description of Proposed Simulator	41
3.5	Results comparison for validation	46
4.1	Terms denotation of D* Algorithm	47
4.2	Terms denotation of Enhance D* Algorithm	53
4.3(a)	Number of Execution Line	72
4.3(b)	Computational Time	73
4.3(c)	Initial Delay	74
4.3(d)	Average Path Length	75
4.3(e)	Cell Visit	76
4.4(a)	Number of Execution Line	78
4.4(b)	Initial Delay	79
4.4(c)	Computational Time	80
4.4(d)	Average Path Length	81
4.4(e)	Cell Visit	82
4.5(a)	Execution Line	85
4.5(b)	Initial Delay	86
4.5(c)	Computational Time	87
4.5(d)	Average Path Length	88
4.5(e)	Cell Visit	89
4.6(a)	Execution Line	91
4.6(b)	Initial Delay	92
4.6(c)	Computational Time	93
4.6(d)	Average Path Length	94
4.6(e)	Cell Visit	95
4.7	Denotation of algorithm names and environment used	96
4.8	Comparison of metrics between Static and Dynamic Obstacles	96
5.1	Terms denotation of D* Lite	99
5.2(a)	Experiment result of Sven's paper – Terrain with random obstacles	103
5.2(b)	Experiment result of Sven's paper – Fractal terrain	103
5.3	Terms denotation of NBN	107
5.4(a)	Number of Execution Line comparison	119
5.4(b)	Initial Delay comparison	120
5.4(c)	Replanning Time comparison	121
5.4(d)	Average Path Length comparison	122
5.4(e)	Cell Expansions comparison	123
5.5(a)	Number of Execution Line comparison	125
5.5(b)	Initial Delay comparison	126
5.5(c)	Replanning Time comparison	127
5.5(d)	Average Path Length comparison	128
5.5(e)	Cell Expansions comparison	129

5.6(a)	Estimated Movement Time comparison	130
5.6(b)	Replanning Time comparison	131
5.6(c)	Delay due to Replanning comparison	132
5.6(d)	Actual Time Taken comparison	133
5.7(a)	Number of Execution Line comparison	135
5.7(b)	Replanning Time comparison	136
5.7(c)	Initial Delay comparison	137
5.7(d)	Average Path Length comparison	138
5.7(e)	Estimated Movement Time comparison	139
5.7(f)	Delay due to Replanning comparison	140
5.7(g)	Actual Time Taken comparison	141
5.7(h)	Cell Expansions comparison	142
6.1	Terms denotation of FWN	144
6.2(a)	Average Path Length comparison	156
6.2(b)	Number of Execution Line comparison	157
6.2(c)	Computational Time comparison	158
6.3(a)	Average Path Length comparison	160
6.3(b)	Number of Execution Line comparison	161
6.3(c)	Computational Time comparison	162
6.4(a)	Average Path Length comparison	166
6.4(b)	Number of Execution Line comparison	167
6.4(c)	Computational Time comparison	169
6.4(d)	Cell Visit comparison	170
6.4(e)	Cell Expansion comparison	171

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>		<b>Page</b>
2.1	Chronological Chart of various Path Planning Algorithms	9
3.1	Framework of overall research methodology	31
3.2	Simulation flow model of the research	34
3.3	Simulation model for this research	35
3.4	Pseudo code for A* algorithm	37
3.5	Pseudo code for node generation algorithm	40
3.6	Graphic User Interface of Proposed Simulator	42
3.7	Simulation Validation Environment	44
3.8	Cell visit metric comparison between proposed simulator and Sven's result	45
3.9	Cell expansion metric comparison between proposed simulator and Sven's result	45
4.1(a)	Function <i>PROCESS_STATE</i> ( ) used in D* algorithm to update cells for path searching	50
4.1(b)	Function <i>MODIFY_COST</i> ( ) used in D* to update altered path cost	50
4.1(c)	Function <i>MAIN</i> ( ) used in D* to move from <i>Start</i> to <i>Goal</i>	51
4.2(a)	Basic D*	52
4.2(b)	Focused D*	52
4.2(c)	Basic D* with removal of partial codes	52
4.2(d)	Enhanced D*	52
4.3(a)	Function <i>PROCESS_STATE</i> ( ) used in Enhance D* algorithm to update cells for path searching	55
4.3(b)	Function <i>MODIFY_COST</i> ( ) used in Enhance D* to update altered path cost	56
4.3(c)	Function <i>MAIN</i> ( ) used in Enhance D* to move from <i>Start</i> to <i>Goal</i>	57
4.4(a)	Sample User Interface of Experiment #1	60
4.4(b)	Sample User Interface of Experiment #2	61
4.5(a)	Step by step comparison between Basic D*, Focused D*, and Enhanced D*	63
4.5(b)	Maze-like map comparison between Basic D*, Focused D*, and Enhanced D*	64
4.5(c)	Randomized map comparison between Basic D*, Focused D*, and Enhanced D*	66
4.5(d)	Single point obstacle map comparison between Basic D*, Focused D*, and Enhanced D*	68
4.5(e)	Comparison of repair cells between Basic D*, Focused D*, and Enhanced D* across various kind of map	69
4.5(f)	Comparing impact of removing <i>Partial-Code</i> in Basic D* and Focused D*	70
4.6	Various distance comparison for Basic D*, Focused D*, and Enhanced D*	71
4.7(a)	Execution Line comparison	72
4.7(b)	Computational Time comparison	73
4.7(c)	Initial Delay comparison	74
4.7(d)	Average Path Length comparison	75

4.7(e)	Cell Visit comparison	76
4.8	Various No. of Nodes comparison for Basic D*, Focused D*, and Enhanced D*	77
4.9(a)	Execution Line comparison	78
4.9(b)	Initial Delay comparison	79
4.9(c)	Computational Time comparison	80
4.9(d)	Average Path Length comparison	81
4.9(e)	Cell Visit comparison	82
4.10	Various number of obstacles comparison for Basic D*, Focused D*, and Enhanced D*	84
4.11(a)	Execution Line comparison	85
4.11(b)	Initial Delay comparison	86
4.11(c)	Computational Time comparison	87
4.11(d)	Average Path Length comparison	88
4.11(e)	Cell Visit comparison	89
4.12	Various number of dynamic obstacles comparison for Basic D*, Focused D*, Enhanced D*	90
4.13(a)	Execution Line comparison	91
4.13(b)	Initial Delay comparison	92
4.13(c)	Computational Time comparison	93
4.13(d)	Average Path Length comparison	94
4.13(e)	Cell Visit comparison	95
4.14	Graph for metrics comparison among algorithms for Static / Dynamic Obstacles	97
5.1(a)	<i>CalcKey</i> function used in D* Lite for comparison using keys	100
5.1(b)	<i>UpdateVertex</i> function used in D* Lite for updating node whenever needed	100
5.1(c)	<i>ComputeShortestPath</i> function used in D* Lite for maintaining shortest path in each instance	101
5.1(d)	<i>Initialize</i> function used in D* Lite for parameters initialization	102
5.1(e)	The main function used in D* Lite to invoke all other functions	102
5.2(a)	Graphical explanations in Sven's paper for initial search	104
5.2(b)	Graphical explanations in Sven's paper for replanning search	105
5.2(c)	Replanning time between D* Lite and Focused D*	106
5.3(a)	<i>PartialPath</i> function used in NBN to retrieve path result	110
5.3(b)	The main function used in NBN to implement partial path planning mechanism	110
5.4(a)	NBN Node Expansion progress	111
5.4(b)	Node Expansion comparison between D* Lite and NBN	111
5.5	Steps of NBN solving maze-like map problem	112
5.6(a)	20% obstacles movement rate before and after	117
5.6(b)	40% obstacles movement rate before and after	117
5.6(c)	60% obstacles movement rate before and after	117
5.6(d)	80% obstacles movement rate before and after	118
5.6(e)	100% obstacles movement rate before and after	118
5.7(a)	Number of Execution Line comparison	119
5.7(b)	Initial Delay comparison	120
5.7(c)	Replanning Time comparison	121
5.7(d)	Average Path Length comparison	122
5.7(e)	Cell Visits comparison	123
5.8(a)	40 Mobility Nodes	124



5.8(b)	80 Mobility Nodes	124
5.8(c)	120 Mobility Nodes	124
5.8(d)	160 Mobility Nodes	124
5.8(e)	200 Mobility Nodes	124
5.9(a)	Number of Execution Line comparison	125
5.9(b)	Initial Delay comparison	126
5.9(c)	Replanning comparison	127
5.9(d)	Average Path Length comparison	128
5.9(e)	Cell Visits comparison	129
5.10(a)	Estimated Movement Time comparison	130
5.10(b)	Replanning Time comparison	131
5.10(c)	Delay due to Replanning comparison	132
5.10(d)	Actual Time Taken comparison	133
5.11(a)	2-Goal Point Changes	134
5.11(b)	3-Goal Point Changes	134
5.11(c)	4-Goal Point Changes	134
5.11(d)	5-Goal Point Changes	134
5.11(e)	6-Goal Point Changes	135
5.12(a)	Number of Execution Line comparison	135
5.12(b)	Replanning Time comparison	136
5.12(c)	Initial Delay comparison	137
5.12(d)	Average Path Length comparison	138
5.12(e)	Estimated Movement Time comparison	139
5.12(f)	Delay due to Replanning comparison	140
5.12(g)	Actual Time Taken comparison	141
5.12(h)	Cell Visits comparison	142
6.1(a)	<i>ComputePath</i> function used in FWN to calculate path	146
6.1(b)	<i>ConstructPath</i> function used in FWN to join up all subpaths	148
6.2(a)	Initial Stage	150
6.2(b)	After <i>Goal</i> point frothing	150
6.2(c)	<i>Cross</i> points found	150
6.2(d)	<i>Sub-paths</i> are formed	150
6.2(e)	Shortest full path is constructed	150
6.3(a)	2 goals without obstacles	154
6.3(b)	4 goals without obstacles	154
6.3(c)	6 goals without obstacles	155
6.3(d)	8 goals without obstacles	155
6.3(e)	10 goals without obstacles	155
6.3(f)	Cell expansion sample	155
6.4(a)	Average Path Length comparison	156
6.4(b)	Number of Execution Line comparison	157
6.4(c)	Computational Time comparison	158
6.5(a)	2 goals with obstacles	159
6.5(b)	4 goals with obstacles	159
6.5(c)	6 goals with obstacles	159
6.5(d)	8 goals with obstacles	159
6.5(e)	10 goals with obstacles	159
6.5(f)	Cell Expansion sample	159
6.6(a)	Average Path Length comparison	160
6.6(b)	Number of Execution Line comparison	161
6.6(c)	Computational Time comparison	162

6.7(a)	2 goals with 2000 obstacles and half movement rate	163
6.7(b)	4 goals with 2000 obstacles and half movement rate	164
6.7(c)	6 goals with 2000 obstacles and half movement rate	164
6.7(d)	8 goals with 2000 obstacles and half movement rate	165
6.7(e)	10 goals with 2000 obstacles and half movement rate	165
6.8(a)	Average Path Length comparison	166
6.8(b)	Number of Execution Line comparison	167
6.8(c)	Example of long route between two close displaced goal points	168
6.8(d)	Computational Time comparison	169
6.8(e)	Cell Visit comparison	170
6.8(f)	Cell Expansion comparison	171



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACK	Acknowledgement
CPA	Cooperative Path finding Algorithm
DES	Discrete Event Simulation
FWN	Frothing Waypoint Navigation
GA	Genetic Algorithm
GNA	Genetic Navigation Algorithm
GPS	Global Positioning System
IDE	Integrated Development Environment
IoT	Internet of things
LPA*	Lifelong Pathplanning A*
MSVS	Microsoft Visual Studio
NBN	Natural Behaviour Navigation
PSO	Particle Swarm Optimization
RTS	Request-to-send
SA	Simulated Annealing
TSP	Travelling Salesmen Problem
VD	Visual Domain
WSN	Wireless Sensor Network
ACK	Acknowledgement
CPA	Cooperative Path finding Algorithm
DES	Discrete Event Simulation

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

In our current Information Age, connecting each device in order to obtain first hand information has become a routine for every individual. Due to such needs, Internet that from the past that had been connecting people has emerged into connecting devices more than people in the year 2008 (Steven E Collier, 2015). Such scenario has already been foresaw by a British visionary back in year 1999 who then introduced a term called “Internet of things” (IoT) (Wood, 2015). IoT is defined as the network that connecting physical objects instead of people. The physical objects include devices, buildings, vehicles, and any possible items through embedding sensors, software, and networking connectivity to enable the data exchange in between (International Telecommunication Union, 2015). The applications of IoT are smart grid, smart homes, smart water networks, intelligent transportation, and so on. These applications are established via distributed sensor network which is also addressed as Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) (Li Li, 2011; Ahmad El Kouche, 2012; Mehaseb Ahmed Mehaseb, 2013). WSN is a network region which consists of multiple nodes that connect to each other using ad-hoc mechanism for surrounding monitoring purpose. Currently WSN is vastly implemented in real-time applications. Applications include temperature monitoring (Ricardo Badia-Melis, 2014), construction site monitoring (Sun-Chan Bae, 2013), slope disaster prediction and monitoring (Che-I Wu, 2014), vehicular traffic control (Juan Pedro Muñoz-Gea, 2013).

Despite WSN’s enormous growth of applications, it still has constraints which are mainly due to the limited resources in its processing, storage, as well as life energy (Uthman Baroudi, 2014). Thus, these justifying the constant focus of several areas worthy of research. These research areas include routing (Shazana MdZin, 2014), nodes deployment (Fan Tiegang, 2014; Uthman Baroudi, 2014), scheduling (Tarek Sheltami, 2013), localization (Jaehun Lee, 2013), energy management (Elhadi M. Shakshuki, 2014), and path planning (Juan Pedro Muñoz-Gea, 2013).

## 1.1 Research Issues

The limitation of resources in WSN causes the routing protocols to be unable to be mapped to the general network routing protocols (Shazana MdZin, 2014). The manner of operation, frequently change of networking topology, inconsistent route establishment, and interference between communications. Properties of WSN created severe challenges for routing algorithm to be developed (Shazana MdZin, 2014). There are several literatures specific for ad-hoc network protocols but none of them can be applied to WSN due to its limitation of resources (Yih-Chun and Perrig, 2004; Hu et al., 2005; Boukerche et al., 2011). These constraints have made WSN routing to become a specific research area up until now. There is a substantial survey publication on this research area by Shazana which compares various routing strategies in WSN. This survey concludes that only a few routing protocols has fully achieved the security needs. Thus, it provides critical analysis as well as open issues on most noteworthy protocols in order to stimulate researchers to further improve them (Shazana MdZin, 2014).

In each WSN, there is at least one base-station which has high capacity in all aspect of resources. All other nodes in WSN will transmit their gathered information back to the base-station either directly or via other nodes through multiple hops (Elhadi M. Shakshuki, 2013). Therefore, the transmissions among all nodes would be uneven. Uneven transmissions would cause the WSN imbalance life energy and finally a WSN unable to function even though most of the nodes are still alive (Fan Tiegang, 2014). In order to balance the load, the deployment of the nodes cannot be uniform. Thus, nodes deployment has become another important research area in WSN (Fan Tiegang, 2014). The recent research in this area by Fan is by using an energy allocation theorem and an integer programming model to minimize the cost per unit area (Fan Tiegang, 2014).

The transmission in WSN is very massive, and most of the transmissions are not universal target. There are many transmissions are not desired to the receivers has become wasted when the receivers have used their life energy to sense from the sender. In order to solve this problem, the sensor nodes are to schedule by turning on and off to prevent unnecessary transmissions wastage (Tarek Sheltami, 2013). Therefore, scheduling the nodes in WSN for activeness has become a worthy research area. There is a recent research in WSN scheduling using cooperative Rank Based Sleep Scheduling protocol to solve the problem by Tarek (Tarek Sheltami, 2013).

WSN is usually deployed in a huge number of nodes with very minimum number of location known nodes. The locations known nodes are called as anchor node. All those non-anchor nodes will have their location unknown. The simplest way to get the location of these nodes would be using GPS. However, GPS implementation is costly and highly consumes the life energy of the nodes. And yet the location of each node is representing one of the most important information in almost all WSN application (Jaehun Lee, 2013). Therefore, to know the location of these nodes would require certain algorithms by referencing those anchor nodes. And this method and research area is called as localization. Localization further divided into two categories which are range-based and range-free algorithms. There is a recent research of range-free localization by using kernelized approach in WSN proposed by Jaehun to solve localization problem in cheaper sensors which does not have the range-measurement feature (Jaehun Lee, 2013).

As the life energy is one of the limited resources in WSN, preserving the life energy of the entire network is one of the most important factors to prolong the WSN operation period (Fan Tiegang, 2014). However, it is hard to avoid life energy wastage on the deployment of WSN. The life energy wastage includes frequency channel competing among nodes, network self-forming among nodes, data transmissions collisions resulting retransmissions, and excessive redundant transmissions (Elhadi M. Shakshuki, 2013). Therefore, energy management becomes a very important research area in WSN. There is a recent research in this area by using Software Agent approach in energy management (Elhadi M. Shakshuki, 2013).

The deployment of WSN nodes is hard to control in precise. Therefore, due to this, it is hard to pre-program the topology and routing table for the network. In dynamic environment, it is even harder to predict the changes that would affect the deployed WSN. In order to overcome this issue, mobile sink is introduced. Mobile sink is a data collector node which could traverse physically. Thus, it is able to visit logically networking isolated nodes to collect the sensed data (Majid I. Khan, 2013). However, the deployed nodes including the mobile sink are no longer in control either locally or remotely. Thus, the mobile sinks have to discover their own path in order to visit those self-organized WSN nodes (Tzung-Shi Chen, 2013). As the environment is usually unknown or even dynamic, dynamic path planning algorithm is required for the mobility nodes to navigate (Juan Pedro Muñoz-Gea, 2013). Therefore, WSN path planning has become a recent research area after the introduction of mobile sink.

## 1.2 Path Planning in WSN

As stated in previous section, path planning in WSN is introduced after the implementation of mobile sink. However, mobility in WSN is not only used on the mobile sink, it can be used in solving various other tasks. One of the examples would be mobile anchor-based localization (Chia-Ho Ou, 2013). Therefore, this dissertation has categorized the path planning into two sections which are WSN logical path planning and WSN physical path planning. The need of separating two sections of path planning is because the objectives of them are completely different hence producing very distinct results. Their performance metrics comparisons are contradictory as well. WSN logical path planning would be involving the movement pattern to achieve certain objectives such as visiting all nodes, within range of transmissions, and so on (Chia-Ho Ou, 2013; Tzung-Shi Chen, 2013; Yung-Liang Lai, 2009; Kap-Ho Seo, 2012). While WSN physical path planning would be involving avoiding obstacles, selecting the lowest path cost by measuring the physical terrain of the surrounding (Juan Pedro Muñoz-Gea, 2013). One of the examples of implementing physical path planning algorithm in its mobility WSN in the recent research is a vehicular traffic control application by Juan. The physical path planning algorithm he used is Dijkstra's algorithm (Juan Pedro Muñoz-Gea, 2013; E. W. Dijkstra, 1959). The research of this dissertation is focus on the physical path planning in WSN. The motivation of selecting this research area is due to the lack of research focusing the different requirement between general path planning and WSN path planning in terms of physical movement. Although the physical movement of mobility nodes in WSN is similar with other mobility applied applications, but the harsh environment of WSN has made its physical path planning having different requirement compared to other mobility applications.

## 1.3 Problem Statement

There are several scenarios of environment where WSN usually deployed are being observed. First scenario, an environment where sensors deployed needs to be updated dynamically due to environmental change but in a very minor scale, path from start to destination needs to be pre-planned, changes in environment expected would not alter the entire planned path. Second scenario, an environment which changes extremely rapid, each time the changes could alter all pre-planned path, more than one more sensors. Third scenario, the environment is static, but mobile sensor needs to move to more than one destination without any weighted path information. Therefore, The problems identified in this dissertation based on scenarios are as follows:



- In first scenario, the solution would need a path planning algorithm with path repair mechanism while maintaining most of the information from the pre-planned path. However, such existing path planning algorithms have long initial delay.
- In second scenario, the existing path planning algorithms would waste its computational resources by calculating the whole path which will be altered during the middle of the node's journey. This would result in overall longer time taken as well as higher energy consumption.
- In third scenario, current way of solving the problem will be by viewing multiple destinations problem as travelling salesman problem (TSP) and discovering weight of path as path planning problem separately. There is no known problem that describes the third scenario as one combined problem. Thus, this dissertation has addressed it as multiple goal point problem. The isolation of these two problems has resulted in the computational delay greatly increases as the number of destination increased.

#### **1.4 Research Objectives**

- To modify an existing algorithm in order to reduce its long initial delay while maintaining its suitability in the first scenario stated in section 1.3.
- To develop an algorithm that would solve dynamic path planning partially and massive amount of node at one time. This allows adaptation to frequently change of environment. This solution is to solve the problem stated in the second scenario of section 1.3.
- To develop an algorithm that view TSP and path planning problem as one combined problem, and then solve them conjunctively in that algorithm. The solution would highly reduce wastage of computation resources and greatly speed up the computational time. Therefore, it allows high number of destination with an almost constant of low delay which this situation is stated in the third scenario of section 1.3.



## 1.5 Dissertation Organization

In Chapter 2, a detail review of the algorithms has been done. After that, a list of related path planning literature will be reviewed and each will be summarized with their advantages and disadvantages. A taxonomy that discusses all the reviewed literature will be given and explained. Further, there will be an open issue section that discussed the advantages and disadvantages observation of each reviewed literature.

In Chapter 3, an introduction related to the current trend of methodology in this research area will be given. And then a selected base algorithm will be selected and explained followed by a detailed explanation of the created simulation model for all the experiments in this dissertation. Experiment to verify the created simulation model will be performed and explained. After that, the performance metrics being selected in the verification experiment will be discussed. And finally, the results and outcome of the verification experiment will be shown and discussed.

In Chapter 4, there will be an introduction of the proposed algorithm (Enhanced D\*) and the comparing existing algorithm followed by the motivation of Enhanced D\* algorithm. After that, detailed explanation of Enhanced D\* will be given. And then the performance metrics and experiment design will be stated and explained for all the experiments to verify Enhanced D\*. Multiple experiments are performed and objectives of each experiment will be explained. Results will be discussed for all experiments outcome. Finally, there will be a summary for this whole chapter.

In Chapter 5, there will be an introduction of the proposed algorithm (Natural Behavior Navigation – NBN) and the comparing existing algorithm followed by the motivation of NBN algorithm. After that, detailed explanation of NBN will be given. And then the performance metrics and experiment design will be stated and explained for all the experiments to verify NBN. Multiple experiments are performed and objectives of each experiment will be explained. Results will be discussed for all experiments outcome. Finally, there will be a summary for this whole chapter.

In Chapter 6, there will be an introduction of the proposed algorithm (Frothing Waypoint Navigation – FWN) and the comparing existing algorithm followed by the motivation of FWN algorithm. After that, detailed explanation of FWN will be given. And then the performance metrics and experiment design will be stated and explained for all the experiments to verify FWN. Multiple experiments are performed and objectives of each experiment will be explained. Results will be discussed for all experiments outcome. Finally, there will be a summary for this whole chapter.

In Chapter 7, which is also the final chapter of this dissertation, there will be two detail sections which are conclusion for the whole dissertation and the possible future work for all three proposed algorithms.



## REFERENCES

- A.S. Poornima, B.B. Amberker (2011): Secure data collection using mobile data collector in clustered wireless sensor networks, *IET Wireless Sensor Systems*, 85–95
- Abdulmuttalib Turkey Rashid, Abduladhem Abdulkareem Ali, Mattia Frasca, Luigi Fortuna (2013): Path planning with obstacle avoidance based on visibility binary tree algorithm, *Robotics and Autonomous Systems* 61, 1440–1449
- Ahmad El Kouche (2012): Towards a Wireless Sensor Network Platform for the Internet of Things, *IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*, 632–636
- Andreas C. Nearchou (1999): A Genetic Navigation Algorithm For Autonomous Mobile Robots, *Cybernetics and Systems: An International Journal*, Vol. 30 No. 7, 629–661
- Andrew U. Frank (2009): Comment on “Taxonomy of Human Wayfinding Tasks” by Wiener, Büchner, and Hölscher, *Spatial Cognition & Computation: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, Vol. 9, No. 2, 166–170
- Anthony Stentz (1993): Optimal and Efficient Path Planning for Unknown and Dynamic Environments, *International Journal of Robotics and Automation*, 89–100
- Anthony Stentz (1994): Optimal and Efficient Path Planning for Partially-Known Environments, *Proceedings IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, Vol. 4, 3310–3317
- Anthony Stentz (1995): The Focussed D\* Algorithm for Real-Time Replanning, In *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 1652–1659
- Bi Yu Chen, William H.K. Lam, Agachai Sumalee, Zhi-lin Li (2012): Reliable shortest path finding in stochastic networks with spatial correlated link travel times, *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 26:2, 365–386
- Carlos Hernández, Jorge Baier, Tansel Uras, Sven Koenig (2012): Time-bounded adaptive A\*, *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, Vol. 2, 997–1006
- Carlos Hernández, Xiaoxun Sun, Sven Koenig, Pedro Meseguer (2011): Tree Adaptive A\*, *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, 123-130
- Chao Wang, Huadong Ma, *Member, IEEE*, Yuan He, *Member, IEEE*, Shuguang Xiong (2012): Adaptive Approximate Data Collection for Wireless Sensor Networks, *IEEE Transactions On Parallel And Distributed Systems*, Vol. 23, No. 6, 1004–1016

- Che-I Wu, Hsu-Yang Kung, Chi-Hua Chen, Li-Chia Kuo (2014): An intelligent slope disaster prediction and monitoring system based on WSN and ANP, *Expert Systems with Applications* 41, 4554–4562
- Chia Hsun Chiang, Po Jui Chiang, Jerry Chien-Chih Fei, Jin Sin Liu (2007): A Comparative Study of Implementing Fast Marching Method and A\* Search for Mobile Robot Path Planning in Grid Environment: Effect of Map Resolution, *IEEE Workshop on Advanced Robotics and Its Social Impacts*, 1–6
- Chia-Ho Ou, *Member, IEEE*, Wei-Lun He (2013): Path Planning Algorithm for Mobile Anchor-Based Localization in Wireless Sensor Networks, *IEEE Sensors Journal*, Vol. 13, No. 2, 466–475
- Chomchana Trevai, Jun Ota, Tamio Arai (2007): Multiple mobile robot surveillance in unknown environments, *Advanced Robotics*, Vol. 21, No. 7, 729–749
- Chong Yu, Qiwen Qiu, Xiong Chen (2013): A hybrid two-dimensional path planning model based on frothing construction algorithm and local fast marching method, *Computers and Electrical Engineering* 39, 475–487
- Dongliang Xie, Guoying Wang (2011): Spae: A Dynamic Wireless Sensor Network Performance Analysis And Evaluation Platform, *Proceedings of IEEE IC-BNMT*, 545–548
- E. W. Dijkstra (1959): A note on two problems in connexion with graphs, *Numerische Mathematik*, Vol. 1, No. 1, 269–271
- Ekta Jain, Qilian Liang (2005): Sensor Placement and Lifetime of Wireless Sensor Networks: Theory and Performance Analysis, *IEEE Globecom proceedings*, 173–177
- Elhadi M. Shakshuki, Haroon Malik, Tarek Sheltami (2014): WSN in cyber physical systems: Enhanced energy management routing approach using software agents, *Future Generation Computer Systems* 31, 93–104
- Fan Tiegang, Teng Guifa, Huo Limin (2014): Deployment strategy of WSN based on minimizing cost per unit area, *Computer Communications* 38, 26–35
- Farah Nasri, Neila Moussa, Abdellatif Mtibaa (2014): Internet of Things: Intelligent system for healthcare Based on WSN and Android, *Computer Applications and Information Systems World Congress*, 1–6
- G. Ramalingam, Thomas Reps (1996): An incremental algorithm for a generalization of the shortest-path problem, *Journal of Algorithms*, Vol. 21 No. 2, 267–305
- G.Sandhya Rani, T.S.Shri Krishnan, Vinita Daiya, Jemimah Ebenezer, S.A.V. Satya Murty (2014): Performance Analysis of Wireless Sensor Network, *Advance Computing Conference IEEE International*, 282–287

- Garey, Michael R., Johnson, David S. (1979): *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*, W. H. Freeman, ISBN 0-7167-1045-5
- Geoffrey A. Hollinger, *Member, IEEE*, Sunav Choudhary, *Student Member, IEEE*, Parastoo Qarabaqi, *Student Member, IEEE*, Christopher Murphy, *Student Member, IEEE*, Urbashi Mitra, *Fellow, IEEE*, Gaurav S. Sukhatme, *Fellow, IEEE*, Milica Stojanovic, *Fellow, IEEE*, Hanumant Singh, *Member, IEEE*, Franz Hover, *Member, IEEE* (2012): Underwater Data Collection Using Robotic Sensor Networks, *IEEE Journal On Selected Areas In Communications*, Vol. 30, No. 5, 899–911
- Haider A Abdulkarim, Ibrahim F Alshammari (2015): Comparison of Algorithms for Solving Traveling Salesman Problem, *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology* Vol. 4, No. 6, 76–79
- Hemanta Kumar Kalita, Avijit Kar (2011): Simulator based Performance Analysis of Wireless Sensor Network—a New Approach, *IEEE 7th International Conference on Wireless and Mobile Computing, Networking and Communications*, 461–465
- Hong Qu, KeXing, TakacsAlexander (2013): An improved genetic algorithm with co-evolutionary strategy for global path planning of multiple mobile robots, *Neurocomputing* 120, 509–517
- Hui Miao, Yu-Chu Tian (2013): Dynamic robot path planning using an enhanced simulated annealing approach, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 222, 420–437
- J. Lopes, J. Bento, E. Huang, C. Antoniou, M. Ben-Akiva (2010): Traffic and Mobility Data Collection for Real-Time Applications, *13th International IEEE Annual Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 216–223
- Jaehun Lee, Wooyong Chung, Euntai Kim (2013): A new kernelized approach to wireless sensor network localization, *Information Sciences* 243, 20–38
- Jan M. Wiener, Simon J. Büchner, Christoph Hölscher (2009): Taxonomy of Human Wayfinding Tasks: A Knowledge-Based Approach, *Spatial Cognition & Computation: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, Vol. 9, No. 2, 152–165
- Jianjun Ni, *Member, IEEE*, and Simon X. Yang, *Senior Member, IEEE* (2011): Bioinspired Neural Network for Real-Time Cooperative Hunting by Multirobots in Unknown Environments, *IEEE Transactions On Neural Networks*, Vol. 22, No. 12, 2062–2077
- Jillian Beardwood, J. H. Halton, J. M. Hammersley (1959): The shortest path through many points, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 55, 299–327
- Jin Hong Jung, Sujin Park, Seong-Lyun Kim (2010): Multi-robot path finding with wireless multihop communications, *IEEE Communications Magazine* , Vol. 48, No. 7, 126–132

- Juan Pedro Muñoz-Gea, Pilar Manzanares-Lopez, Josemaria Malgosa-Sanahuja, Joan Garcia-Haro (2013): Design and implementation of a P2P communication infrastructure for WSN-based vehicular traffic control applications, *Journal of Systems Architecture* 59, 923–930
- Jungtae Kim, Munsang Kim, Daijin Kim (2011): Variants of the Quantized Visibility Graph for Efficient Path Planning, *Advanced Robotics*, Vol. 25, No. 18, 2341–2360
- K. Martinez, P. Basford (2011): Robust wireless sensor network performance analysis, *Sensors IEEE*, 203–206
- Kangsoo Kim, Tamaki Ura (2009): Optimal Guidance for Autonomous Underwater Vehicle Navigation within Undersea Areas of Current Disturbances, *Advanced Robotics*, Vol. 23, No. 5, 601–628
- Kap-Ho Seo, Jin-Ho Suh (2012): Path Planning of Mobile Robot in Partitioned Wireless Sensor Networks, 9th International Conference on Ubiquitous Robots and Ambient Intelligence (URAI), 619–622
- Khammapun Khantanapoka, Krisana Chinnasarn (2009): Pathfinding of 2D & 3D Game Real-Time Strategy with Depth Direction A\*Algorithm for Multi-Layer, Eighth International Symposium on Natural Language Processing, 184–188
- Konstantinos Ioannidis, Georgios Ch. Sirakoulis, Ioannis Andreadis (2011): A Path Planning Method Based On Cellular Automata for Cooperative Robots, *Applied Artificial Intelligence: An International Journal*, Vol. 25, No. 8, 721–745
- Lei Tang, Songyi Dian, Gangxu Gu, Kunli Zhou, Suihe Wang, Xinghuan Feng (2010): A Novel Potential Field Method for Obstacle Avoidance and Path Planning of Mobile Robot, *Computer Science and Information Technology 3rd IEEE International Conference on Vol. 9*, 633–637
- Li Li, Hu Xiaoguang, Chen Ke, He Ketai (2011): The Applications Of WiFi-based Wireless Sensor Network In Internet Of Things And Smart Grid, 6th IEEE Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications, 789–793
- Liang He, Jun Tao, Jianping Pan, Jingdong Xu (2011): Adaptive Mobility-Assisted Data Collection in Wireless Sensor Networks, *Wireless Communications and Signal Processing*, 1–6
- Majid I. Khan, Wilfried N. Gansterer, Guenter Haring (2013): Static vs. mobile sink: The influence of basic parameters on energy efficiency in wireless sensor networks, *Computer Communications* 36, 965–978
- Mansoor Davoodi, Fatemeh Panahi, Ali Mohades, Seyed Naser Hashemi (2012): Multi-objective path planning in discrete space, *Applied Soft Computing* 13, 709–720



- Maxim Likhachev, Geoff Gordon, Sebastian Thrun (2004): ARA\*: Anytime A\* with Provable Bounds on Sub-Optimality, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 16, 1–8
- Michael Hoy, Alexey S. Matveev, Andrey V. Savkin (2012): Collision free cooperative navigation of multiple wheeled robots in unknown cluttered environments, *Robotics and Autonomous Systems* 60, 1253–1266
- Mingsheng Gao, Jun Jiang, NG Kien Ming, Teo Kwong Meng, Kim-Leng Poh (2013): Cooperative Path Planning for UAVs with UAV Loss Considerations, *IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence for Security and Defense Applications*, 38–44
- Minseok Kim, Elise Miller-Hooks, Rahul Nair (2011): A Geographic Information System-Based Real-Time Decision Support Framework for Routing Vehicles Carrying Hazardous Materials, *Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems: Technology, Planning, and Operations*, Vol. 15, No. 1, 28–41
- Noriko Takemura, Yutaka Nakamura, Yoshio Matsumoto, Hiroshi Ishiguro (2012): A Path-Planning Method for Human-Tracking Agents Based on Long-Term Prediction, *IEEE Transactions On Systems, Man, And Cybernetics—Part C: Applications And Reviews*, Vol. 42, No. 6, 1543–1554
- P.B. Sujit, Randy Beard (2007): Cooperative Path Planning for Multiple UAVs Exploring an Unknown Region, *Proceedings of the American Control Conference*, 347–352
- Peng Li, Xinhan Huang, Min Wang (2011): A novel hybrid method for mobile robot path planning in unknown dynamic environment based on hybrid DS<sub>m</sub> model grid map, *Journal of Experimental & Theoretical Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 23, No. 1, 5–22
- Peter E. Hart *Member, IEEE*, Nils J. Nilsson *Member, IEEE*, Bertram Raphael (1968): A Formal Basis for the Heuristic Determination of Minimum Cost Paths, *IEEE Transactions on Systems Science and Cybernetics*, Vol. 4, No. 2, 100–107
- Ping Song, *Member, IEEE*, Jiangfeng Li, Kejie Li, Jinlong Liang (2009): Hybrid Multi-robot Path Planning strategy based on RRT and Fuzzy control in Wireless Sensor Network, *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Biomimetics*, 877–881
- Praneel Chand, Dale A. Carnegie (2012): A two-tiered global path planning strategy for limited memory mobile robots, *Robotics and Autonomous Systems* 60, 309–321
- Qingbao Zhua, Jun Hu, Wenbin Cai, Larry Henschen (2011): A new robot navigation algorithm for dynamic unknown environments based on dynamic path re-computation and an improved scout ant algorithm, *Applied Soft Computing* 11, 4667–4676

- Raghvendra V. Cowlagi, *Member, IEEE*, Panagiotis Tsiotras, *Senior Member, IEEE* (2012): Multiresolution Motion Planning for Autonomous Agents via Wavelet-Based Cell Decompositions, *IEEE Transactions On Systems, Man, And Cybernetics—Part B: Cybernetics*, Vol. 42, No. 5, 1455–1469
- Raghvendra V. Cowlagi, Panagiotis Tsiotras (2008): Multiresolution Path Planning with Wavelets: A Local Replanning Approach, *American Control Conference*, 1220–1225
- Raj Jain (1991): *The Art of Computer Systems Performance Analysis: Techniques for Experimental Design, Measurement, Simulation, and Modeling*, ISBN-13: 978-0471503361 Print
- Ralf Regele, Paul Levi (2006): Cooperative Multi-Robot Path Planning by Heuristic Priority Adjustment, *Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, 5954–5959
- Ricardo Badia-Melis, Javier Garcia-Hierro, Luis Ruiz-Garcia, Tatiana Jiménez-Ariza, José Ignacio Robla Villalba, Pilar Barreiro (2014): Assessing the dynamic behavior of WSN motes and RFID semi-passive tags for temperature monitoring, *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 103, 11–16
- Richard Wiener (2003): Branch and Bound Implementations for the Traveling Salesperson Problem, *Journal of Object Technology* Vol. 2, No. 2, 65–86
- Ronghui Hou, *Student Member, IEEE*, Haoshan Shi (2006): A Localized Algorithm for Finding Disjoint Paths in Wireless Sensor Networks, *IEEE Communications Letters*, Vol. 10, No. 12, 807–809
- Salim Belkhou, Adel Azzouz1 Maarouf Saad, Chahe´ Nerguizian, Vahe´ Nerguizian (2005): A Novel Approach for Mobile Robot Navigation with Dynamic Obstacles Avoidance, *Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems*, 44, 187–201
- Scott A. Wallace, Robert McCartney, Ingrid Russell (2010): Games and machine learning: a powerful combination in an artificial intelligence course, *Computer Science Education*, Vol. 20, No. 1, 17–36
- Shazana Md Zin, Nor Badrul Anuar, MNo Laiha Mat Kiah, Al-Sakib Khan Pathan (2014): Routing protocol design for secure WSN: Review and open research Noues, *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, Vol. 41, 517–530
- Shuai Gao, Hongke Zhang, and Sajal K. Das, *Senior Member, IEEE* (2011): Efficient Data Collection in Wireless Sensor Networks with Path-Constrained Mobile Sinks, *IEEE Transactions On Mobile Computing*, Vol. 10, No. 5, 592–608
- Simon X. Yang, Guangfeng Yuan, Max Meng (2001): Real-time Collision-free Path Planning and Tracking Control of a Nonholonomic Mobile Robot using a Biologically Inspired Approach, *Proceedings IEEE International Symposium on Computational Intelligence in Robotics and Automation*, 113–118



- Stéphanie Vanhove, Veerle Fack (2012): An effective heuristic for computing many shortest path alternatives in road networks, *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, Vol. 26, No. 6, 1031–1050
- Steven E Collier, *Member, IEEE* (2015): The Emerging Eternet: Convergence of the Smart Grid with the Internet of Things, *IEEE Rural Electric Power Conference*, 65–68
- Sun-Chan Bae, Won-Suk Jang, Sungkwon Woo, Do Hyoung Shin (2013): Prediction of WSN placement for bridge health monitoring based on material characteristics, *Automation in Construction* 35, 18–27
- Sven Koenig, Maxim Likhachev, David Furcy (2005): Lifelong Planning A\*, *Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 155, No. 1–2, 93–146
- Sven Koenig, *Senior Member, IEEE*, and Maxim Likhachev (2005): Fast Replanning for Navigation in Unknown Terrain, *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, Vol. 21, No. 3, 354–363
- Tao Shu, Marwan Krunz (2010): Coverage-Time Optimization for Clustered Wireless Sensor Networks: A Power-Balancing Approach, *IEEE/ACM Transactions On Networking*, Vol. 18, No. 1, 202–215
- Tarek Sheltami, Abdul Jabbar Siddiqui, Hamza Ijaz Abbasi, Uthman Baroudi, Lahouari Ghouti (2013): Implementation of Rank Based Sleep Scheduling (RBSS) Protocol for WSNs in a Fixed Grid Topology, *Procedia Computer Science* 19, 348–355
- Thomas S. Collett, Paul Graham (2004): Animal Navigation: Path Integration, Visual Landmarks and Cognitive Maps, *Current Biology*, Vol. 14, R475–R477
- Ting-Wei Hou , Bey-Ling Su, Yueh-Min Huang (2009): Rose algorithm for fast and even routing in sensor networks, *Journal of the Chinese Institute of Engineers*, Vol. 32, No. 7, 993–1007
- Tristan Cazenave (2006): Optimizations of data structures, heuristics and algorithms for path-finding on maps, *IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence and Games*, 27–33
- Tzung-Shi Chen, Hua-Wen Tsai, Yu-Hsin Chang, Tzung-Cheng Chen (2013): Geographic convergecast using mobile sink in wireless sensor networks, *Computer Communications* 36, 445–458
- Uthman Baroudia, Anas Al-Roubaieya, Samir Mekidb, Abdelhafid Bouhraouaa (2014): Delay characterization and performance evaluation of cluster-based WSN with different deployment distributions, *Future Generation Computer Systems*, Vol. 39, 100–110

- Veljko Milutinovic (1995): A Research Methodology in the Field of Computer Engineering for VLSI, Proc. 20th International Conference On Microelectronics (Miec95), Vol 2, Nis, Serbia, 811–816
- Wang Jinghua, Guan Tingting, He Huan, Chen Yuanyuan (2009): Research of Improved GA in WSN Mobile Agent Routing Algorithm, International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Software Engineering, 1–4
- Wenjie Wang, Wooi-Boon Goh (2012): Multi-robot Path Planning with the Spatio-Temporal A\* Algorithm and Its Variants, AAMAS 2011 Workshops, LNAI 7068, 313–329
- Wenjing Lou, *Member, IEEE*, Younggoo Kwon (2006): H-SPREAD: A Hybrid Multipath Scheme for Secure and Reliable Data Collection in Wireless Sensor Networks, IEEE Transactions On Vehicular Technology, Vol. 55, No. 4, 1320–1330
- X. Wang, M. Chen, T. Kwon, H.C. Chao (2010): Multiple mobile agents' itinerary planning in wireless sensor networks: survey and evaluation, IET Communications Vol. 5, No. 12, 1769–1776
- Xia Li, Jinqiang He, Xiaoping Liu (2009): Ant intelligence for solving optimal path-covering problems with multi-objectives, International Journal of Geographical Information Science, Vol. 23, No. 7, 839–857
- Xiao-wei Fu, Xiao-guang Gao (2009): Multiple UCAVs Cooperative Path Planning in Dynamic Environments, IEEE Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications, 1708–1711
- Xiaoxun Sun, William Yeoh, Po-An Chen, Sven Koenig (2009): Simple Optimization Techniques for A\*-Based Search, 8th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 931–936
- Xufei Mao, *Member, IEEE*, Yunhao Liu, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Shaojie Tang, *Student Member, IEEE*, Huafu Liu, Jiankang Han, Xiang-Yang Li, *Senior Member, IEEE* (2012): Finding Best and Worst  $k$ -Coverage Paths in Multihop Wireless Sensor Networks, IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems Vol. 24, No. 12, 2396–2406
- Yanjun Zhang, Siye Wang, Zhenyu Liu, Wenbiao Zhou, Dake Liu (2012): Performance Analysis of Wireless Sensor Network Based on NS-2, International Conference on Systems and Informatics, 1445–1448
- Yanyan Chen, Michael G. H. Bell, Klaus Bogenberger (2010): Risk-Averse Autonomous Route Guidance by a Constrained A\* Search, Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems: Technology, Planning, and Operations, Vol. 14, No. 3, 188–196
- Yasser Gadallah, Hadia El-Hennawy (2013): WSN Application Traffic Characterization for Integration within the Internet of Things, IEEE 9th International Conference on Mobile Ad-hoc and Sensor Networks, 318–323

- Ye Sun (2011): A reliability-based approach of fastest routes planning in dynamic traffic network under emergency management situation, *International Journal of Computational Intelligence Systems*, Vol. 4, No. 6, 1224–1236
- Yibiao Lu, Xiaoming Huo, Panagiotis Tsiotras (2010): Beamlet-like Data Processing for Accelerated Path-Planning Using Multiscale Information of the Environment, 49th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, 3808–3813
- Yibiao Lu, Xiaoming Huo, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Oktay Arslan, and Panagiotis Tsiotras, *Senior Member, IEEE* (2011): Incremental Multi-Scale Search Algorithm for Dynamic Path Planning With Low Worst-Case Complexity, *IEEE Transactions On Systems, Man, And Cybernetics—Part B: Cybernetics*, Vol. 41, No. 6, 1556–1570
- Yong Zhang, Dun-wei Gong, Jian-hua Zhang (2013): Robot path planning in uncertain environment using multi-objective particle swarm optimization, *Neurocomputing* 103, 172–185
- Yung-Liang Lai, Jehn-Ruey Jiang (2009): Optimal Path Planning for Fault-Tolerant and Energy-Efficient Target Surveillance in Wireless Sensor and Actor Networks, *Tenth International Conference on Mobile Data Management: Systems, Services and Middleware*, 531–535