



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***BAYESIAN APPROACH TO META-ANALYSIS WITH JOINT MODELLING
OF
LONGITUDINAL AND TIME-TO-EVENT OUTCOMES IN DEMENTIA AND
SUBTYPES***

CHRIS BAMBAY GUURE

FS 2018 91



**BAYESIAN APPROACH TO META-ANALYSIS WITH JOINT MODELLING OF
LONGITUDINAL AND TIME-TO-EVENT OUTCOMES IN DEMENTIA AND
SUBTYPES**

By

CHRIS BAMBAY GUURE

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of
Philosophy**

August 2018

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright ©Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

To all members of my family



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

BAYESIAN APPROACH TO META-ANALYSIS WITH JOINT MODELLING OF LONGITUDINAL AND TIME-TO-EVENT OUTCOMES IN DEMENTIA AND SUBTYPES

By

CHRIS BAMBEY GUURE

August 2018

Chairman : Professor Noor Akma Ibrahim, PhD
Faculty : Faculty of Science

Meta-analysis is a statistical approach that combines results from published literature in order to obtain an overall grand mean effect estimate. The main problem that affects meta-analysis is publication bias; the first part of this thesis thus seeks to address this problem. This work goes further to address heterogeneity which affects the mean effect being evaluated due to the combination of different studies. Meta-analyses of cognitive decline, Alzheimers disease, vascular dementia and all causes of dementia are undertaken to evaluate the effect of physical activity on these diseases. Dementia is an organic disorder, related to the physical deterioration of the human brain tissue that is detected after a number of medical examinations. The relationship between exercise and the risk of developing cognitive decline is further evaluated using data from the Osteoporotic Fracture Study in the United States. Meta-analytic data is obtained and used as a prior information to the secondary data. The final part of this thesis looks at a study in dementia where measurements are collected on death of participants in addition to other covariates over a period of time. These types of repeated measurements collected from each individual over time violate a number of statistical models assumptions, especially when the

interest is to determine the risk factors that affect the study outcome. The aim of this approach is to examine and use these measurements to predict dementia patients probability of survival in the future.

Copas selection model which was developed to assess and account for publication bias is implemented in this research. One major disadvantage of this model is that, it relies on a number of sensitivity analysis which results in many effect size estimates with even a single meta-analytic data. In order to overcome the problems of the Copas selection model, a new Bayesian prior known as triangular prior has been developed and used to fit the parameters of the Copas model via a probability distribution. The developed prior is assessed through sensitivity analysis with comparison to other priors. It is also applied to antidepressant meta-analytic dataset. The newly developed prior is further applied to a meta-analyses of dementia and its subtypes. In order to control for the heterogeneity (between-study variation), a proposed Bayesian non-parametric modelling is implemented via a Dirichlet Process. A power prior is also proposed and applied to the meta-analytic (historical) data that is used as a prior to determine whether exercise has any effect on cognitive decline. The power prior is transformed into probabilistic values out of which posterior estimates are obtained. To analyse the repeated measurements and the time to event data in order to assess their effect on dementia, we propose to use a joint modelling approach. The proposed modelling framework involves the standard and extended relative risk models as well as linear mixed effects sub-models on the repeated measures of the longitudinal covariate.

The results from the simulations indicate that the triangular prior should be used. The estimated number of studies was similar to that of the frequentist trim and fill method. Our analysis reveal a protective effect of 21% for high physical activity on all cause dementia with an odds ratio of 0.79, 95% Credible Interval (CI) (0.69,0.88), a higher and better protective effect of 38% for Alzheimer's disease with an odds ratio of 0.62, 95% CI (0.49,0.75), a 33% for cognitive decline with odds ratio of 0.67, 95% CI (0.55, 0.78) and a non-protective effect for vascular dementia of 0.92, 95% CI (0.62, 1.30). Statistically significant results were obtained when the informative prior formulated from the meta-analytic data was used at face value for higher against lowest with odds of 0.69 95% CI (0.58, 0.80) and moderate against lowest 0.63 95% CI (0.50, 0.79) physical activity. The joint modelling approach found a strong relationship between the 3MS scores and the risk of mortality, where every unit decrease in 3MS scores results in a 1.135 (13%) increased risk of death via cognitive impairment with a 95% CI of (1.056, 1.215).

The triangular prior is a better alternative prior to use. The prior gives an

overall or grand mean effect that is far better than conducting several sensitivity analysis. The implementation of the Dirichlet process in the meta-analyses overcomes the problem of heterogeneity. In evaluating the effect of exercise on cognitive decline with the power prior, it becomes clear that elderly women who engage in moderate exercise will have a reduced risk of developing cognitive decline. In the joint modelling of the longitudinal measurements, the results show that a decrease in 3MS scores has a significant increase risk of mortality due to cognitive impairment when implemented via the joint model but insignificant under the standard relative risk model.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PENDEKATAN BAYES BAGI ANALISIS-META DENGAN PEMODELAN
TERCANTUM BAGI KESUDAHAN LONGITUD DAN PERISTIWA MASA
DIMENSIA DAN SUBJENISNYA**

Oleh

CHRIS BAMBEY GUURE

Ogos 2018

Pengerusi : Professor Noor Akma Ibrahim, PhD
Fakulti : Fakulti Sains

Analisis-meta adalah pendekatan berstatistik yang menggabungkan hasil daripada literatur yang diterbitkan untuk memperoleh anggaran kesan min keseluruhan. Masalah utama yang mempengaruhi analisis-meta ialah kepincangan penerbitan; bahagian pertama tesis ini bertujuan untuk mengatasi masalah ini. Kajian ini dilanjutkan untuk menangani keheterogenan yang menjejaskan kesan min dinilai kerana gabungan pengajian berbeza. Analisis-Meta bagi kemerosotan kognitif, penyakit Alzheimer, demensia vaskular dan semua penyebab demensia dijalankan untuk menilai kesan aktiviti fizikal terhadap penyakit ini. Demensia adalah gangguan berorganik, yang berkaitan dengan kemerosotan fizikal tisu otak manusia yang dikesan selepas beberapa ujian perubatan. Hubungan antara senaman dan risiko menyebabkan kemerosotan kognitif akan dinilai selanjutnya menggunakan data dari Kajian Retakan Osteoporotik di Amerika Syarikat. Data Analitik-Meta diperoleh dan digunakan sebagai maklumat prior untuk data sekunder. Bahagian akhir tesis ini melihat kajian demensia di mana pengukuran diambil terhadap kematian peserta selain daripada kovariat lain dalam tempoh masa tertentu. Jenis pengukuran berulang yang dikumpulkan dari setiap individu dari masa ke

masa melanggar beberapa andaian model berstatistik terutamanya apabila kepentingannya adalah untuk menentukan faktor risiko yang mempengaruhi hasil kajian. Tujuan dari pendekatan ini adalah untuk mengkaji dan menggunakan ukuran ini untuk meramal kebarangkalian kemandirian pesakit demensia di masa hadapan.

Model pemilihan Copas yang telah dibangunkan untuk menilai dan mengambil kira kepincangan penerbitan dilaksanakan dalam kajian ini. Satu kelemahan utama model ini adalah ia bergantung kepada beberapa analisis kepekaan yang menyebabkan terdapat banyak anggaran kepada ukuran kesan walaupun untuk satu data analitik-meta. Untuk mengatasi masalah model pemilihan Copas, prior Bayesian yang baharu yang dikenali sebagai prior segi tiga telah dibangunkan dan digunakan untuk menyesuaikan parameter model Copas melalui taburan kebarangkalian. Prior yang dibangunkan dinilai melalui analisis kepekaan berbanding dengan prior yang lain. Ia juga digunakan untuk set data meta-analitik antidepresan. Prior yang baharu dibangunkan ini selanjutnya digunakan untuk analisis meta bagi demensia dan subjenisnya. Untuk mengawal keheterogenan (variasi antara kajian), model tak berparameter Bayesian telah dilaksanakan melalui Proses Dirichlet. Suatu prior kuasa dicadangkan dan diaplikasikan ke atas data analitik-meta (bersejarah) dan digunakan sebagai prior untuk menentukan sama ada senaman mempunyai kesan keatas kemerosotan kognitif. Prior kuasa dijemakan kepada nilai kebarangkalian yang mana penganggar posterior diperolehi. Untuk menganalisis pengukuran berulang dan data peristiwa masa untuk menilai kesannya terhadap demensia, kami mencadangkan untuk menggunakan pendekatan pemodelan tercantum. Rangka kerja pemodelan yang dicadangkan melibatkan model risiko relatif piawai dan model risiko lanjutan serta sub-model kesan campuran linear pada ukuran berulang kovariat berlongitud.

Keputusan daripada simulasi menunjukkan bahawa, prior segi tiga patut digunakan. Anggaran bilangan kajian adalah sama dengan pangkas kaedah dan isi. Analisis kami menunjukkan kesan perlindungan sebanyak 21% untuk aktiviti fizikal yang tinggi pada semua penyebab demensia dengan nisbah kemungkinan sebanyak 0.79, Selang Kredibiliti (SK) 95% (0.69,0.88), kesan perlindungan yang lebih tinggi dan lebih baik sebanyak 38% untuk penyakit Alzheimer dengan nisbah kemungkinan 0.62, SK 95% (0.49,0.75), 33% untuk penurunan kognitif dengan nisbah kemungkinan 0.67, SK 95% (0.55, 0.78) dan kesan bukan perlindungan untuk demensia vaskular 0.92, SK 95% (0.62, 1.30). Keputusan statistik yang signifikan telun diperolehi apabila prior informatif yang dirumus daripada data analitik-meta pada nilai muka dengan nisbah kemungkinan 0.69, SK 95% (0.58, 0.80) terhadap aktiviti fizikal yang tinggi dan paling rendah; 0.63 dengan SK 95% (0.50, 0.79) terhadap yang sederhana dan paling rendah. Pendekatan pemodelan

tercantum mendapati hubungan kuat antara skor 3MS dan risiko kematian yang mana keputusan menunjukkan setiap penurunan unit dalam skor 3MS terdapat peningkatan 1.135 (13%) risiko kematian melalui kemerosotan kognitif dengan SK 95% (1.056, 1.215).

Prior segi tiga adalah alternatif yang lebih baik untuk digunakan. Prior ini memberi kesan keseluruhan atau min keseluruhan yang jauh lebih baik daripada melakukan beberapa analisis kepekaan. Pelaksanaan proses Dirichlet dalam analisis-meta dapat mengatasi masalah keheterogenan. Dalam menilai kesan senaman terhadap kemerosotan kognitif dengan prior kuasa, didapati secara jelas wanita tua yang terlibat dalam senaman sederhana akan mempunyai risiko yang lebih rendah untuk mengalami penurunan kognitif. Dalam pemodelan tercantum pengukuran longitud, keputusan menunjukkan penurunan dalam skor 3MS mempunyai risiko peningkatan mortaliti yang signifikan disebabkan oleh penurunan kognitif apabila dilaksanakan melalui model tercantum tetapi ianya tidak signifikan dibawah model risiko relatif piawai.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My most sincerest gratitude is to God Almighty for given me the strength and the resilience to overcome all the odds and obstacles that came my way since the start of my Doctor of Philosophy journey till this very end. May His name forever be exalted.

I am absolutely and sincerely grateful to my very understanding, supportive and inspiring Supervisor and Mentor, Professor Dr. Noor Akma Ibrahim, for the encouragement, her belief in me and that of her incredible, outstanding and exceptional supervision, our magnificent and very fruitful discussions and her directions. I am also grateful to her for the support she gave me, which enabled me to secure a scholarship (International Graduate Student Scholarship) during the very first semester of my studies. She has been a phenomenal mentor. I would also like to take this opportunity to thank Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Bakri Adam and Dr Salmiah Md Said, both members of my supervisory committee for their creative guidance, comments, contributions and suggestions. I appreciate their individual contributions towards the successful completion of this thesis.

I am indeed and highly grateful to Ghana Education Trust Fund (GETFund) for sponsoring my PhD studies.

I thank every member of my family for their prayers, support, motivation and encouragement towards this triumphant success.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 27 August 2018 to conduct the final examination of Chris Bambey Guure on his thesis entitled "Bayesian Approach to Meta-Analysis with Joint Modelling of Longitudinal and Time-to-Event Outcomes in Dementia and Subtypes" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Mohd Rizam b Abu Bakar, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Hayati binti Kadir @ Shahar, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Shamarina bt Shohaimi, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Patrick Musonda, PhD

Professor
University of Zambia
Zambia
(External Examiner)



RUSLI HAJI ABDULLAH, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 27 September 2018

..

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Noor Akma Ibrahim, Ph.D.

Professor
Institute for Mathematical Research
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairperson)

Mohd Bakri Adam, Ph.D.

Associate Professor
Institute for Mathematical Research
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Salmiah Md Said, MD.

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, Ph.D.

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No: Chris Bambey Guure, GS46403

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee

**Profesor Dr
Noor Akma Ibrahim**

Signature: _____

Name of Member of Supervisory Committee

**Associate Professor Dr
Mohd Bakri Adam**

Signature: _____

Name of Member of Supervisory Committee

Dr. Salmiah Md Said

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
1 BACKGROUND	1
1.1 Bayesian Statistics	1
1.2 Meta-Analysis	1
1.3 Joint Modelling of Longitudinal and Survival outcomes	2
1.4 Dementia	3
1.5 Problem Statement	3
1.6 Justification of the Study	6
1.7 Research Objectives	6
1.8 Thesis Outline/Organisation	7
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1 Epidemiology of Dementia and its Subtypes	9
2.2 Publication Bias and Heterogeneity in Meta-Analysis	10
2.3 Prior Specification	14
2.3.1 Non-Informative Prior	15
2.3.2 Informative Prior	16
2.3.3 Formulation of the Power Prior	17
2.4 Linear Mixed Effects Models	20
2.5 Relative Risk Survival Model	21
2.6 Joint or Shared-Parameter Models	23
3 TRIANGULAR PRIOR FOR THE COPAS SELECTION MODEL ON PUBLICATION BIAS EXAMINED VIA SIMULATED META-ANALYTIC DATA	26
3.1 Introduction	26
3.2 Methods	27
3.2.1 Structure of the Model	28
3.2.2 Prior Specifications	30
3.2.3 Prior for the Copas Selection Model	31
3.2.4 Simulation Study	32
3.2.5 Applications	33

3.3	Results	34
3.3.1	Simulated Data	34
3.3.2	Application of the FDA Dataset	38
3.4	Discussion	42
3.5	Chapter Summary	43
4	IMPACT OF PHYSICAL ACTIVITY ON COGNITIVE DECLINE, DEMENTIA AND ITS SUBTYPES: META-ANALYSIS OF PROSPECTIVE STUDIES	44
4.1	Introduction	44
4.2	Methods	45
4.2.1	Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	45
4.2.2	Data Extraction	46
4.2.3	Search Strategy	47
4.2.4	Group and Subgroup Comparisons	48
4.2.5	Bayesian Parametric Hierarchical Models	49
4.2.6	Bayesian Non-Parametric Hierarchical Models	51
4.2.7	Prior on Copas Model Parameters	52
4.3	Results	53
4.3.1	Dementia	53
4.3.2	Alzheimer's disease	55
4.3.3	Vascular dementia	57
4.3.4	Cognitive Decline	58
4.4	Discussion	60
4.5	Chapter Summary	62
5	EXAMINING THE EFFECT OF EXERCISE ON GENERAL COGNITIVE FUNCTION AMONG OLDER WOMEN: THE OBJECTIVE AND SUBJECTIVE BAYESIAN VIEWS	64
5.1	Introduction	64
5.2	Methods	65
5.2.1	Participants	65
5.2.2	Measure of Cognitive Function	66
5.2.3	Measure of Primary Exposure Variable	66
5.2.4	Confounding Variables	67
5.2.5	Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria for the Meta-Analytic Data	67
5.2.6	Statistical Analysis	68
5.2.7	Structure of the Power Prior	69
5.3	Results	69
5.3.1	Descriptive Statistics	69
5.3.2	Age and Fully Adjusted Covariates	70
5.4	Discussion	73
5.5	Chapter Summary	75
6	JOINT MODELLING OF LONGITUDINAL 3MS SCORES AND	

THE RISK OF MORTALITY AMONG COGNITIVELY IMPAIRED INDIVIDUALS	76
6.1 Introduction	76
6.2 Methods	77
6.2.1 Data Source and Description	77
6.2.2 All-Cause Mortality	77
6.2.3 Measure of Cognitive Function	78
6.2.4 Covariates	80
6.2.5 Statistical Analysis	80
6.2.6 Joint Modelling Framework	81
6.2.7 Dynamic Predictions of Disease-Free Survival	82
6.3 Results	83
6.3.1 Survival Submodel	83
6.3.2 Joint Model	85
6.3.3 Future Predictions	88
6.4 Discussion	91
6.5 Chapter Summary	94
7 CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION AND FUTURE WORK	95
7.1 Conclusions	95
7.2 Recommendations	97
7.3 Future Work	97
REFERENCES	99
APPENDICES	113
A.0.1 Appendice A1: The Joint Likelihood Function	114
A.0.2 Appendice A2: Formulation of the triangular prior	115
B.0.1 Appendice B1: The Dirichlet Process	117
B.0.2 Appendice B2: Characteristics of the meta-analysis	119
B.0.3 Appendice B3: Meta-Analysis check-list	128
C.0.1 Appendice C1: R and OpenBUGS Codes for Objective One	134
C.0.2 Appendice C2: OpenBUGS Codes for Objective Two	140
C.0.3 Appendice C3: R programming Codes for Objective Four	142
BIODATA OF STUDENT	145
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	146

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
3.1 The estimated posterior mean effects, absolute bias, mean squared errors and coverage probabilities of the simulated data are reported as well as the estimated number of studies and their corresponding credible intervals (in brackets). The actual effect size (d) used for the simulated data is 0.6 and that of the actual number of studies (TS) = 25	35
3.2 The estimated posterior mean effects, absolute bias, mean squared errors and coverage probabilities of the simulated data are reported as well as the estimated number of studies and their corresponding credible intervals (in brackets). The actual effect size (d) used for the simulated data is 0.6 and that of the actual number of studies (TS) = 50	36
3.3 The estimated posterior mean effects, absolute bias, mean squared errors and coverage probabilities of the simulated data are reported as well as the estimated number of studies and their corresponding credible intervals (in brackets). The actual effect size (d) used for the simulated data is 0.6 and that of the actual number of studies (TS) = 75	37
3.4 The estimated posterior mean effects, absolute bias, mean squared errors and coverage probabilities of the simulated data are reported as well as the estimated number of studies and their corresponding credible intervals (in brackets). The actual effect size (d) used for the simulated data is 0.6 and that of the actual number of studies (TS) = 100	38
3.5 Posterior mean estimates of the published data with the newly developed non-informative Triangular prior (TP) and the Mavridis informative prior (MP), and that of the actual FDA registry data represented by TP(FDA Data) and MP(FDA Data) and their corresponding credible intervals (in brackets).	39

4.1	Posterior summaries of the odds ratio (OR), publication bias, heterogeneity, posterior probabilities and estimated number of studies of physical activity on dementia with different sensitivity analysis	54
4.2	Posterior summaries of the odds ratio, publication bias, heterogeneity, posterior probabilities and estimated number of studies of physical activity on Alzheimer's disease with different sensitivity analysis.	56
4.3	Posterior summaries of the odds ratio, publication bias, heterogeneity, posterior probabilities and estimated number of studies of physical activity on cognitive decline with different sensitivity analysis.	60
5.1	Baseline characteristics of the Osteoporotic Fracture Study with $n=1,241$ older women according to physical activity levels and those with and without cognitive decline.	70
5.2	Adjusted posterior odds ratios of the outcome variable (cognitive decline) and primary exposure variable (walking for exercise) with α representing probabilities of the power transform prior	72
6.1	Baseline demographic characteristics of the study population using proportions in brackets for categorical variables and a Bayesian analogy to the classical t-test (BEST) for continuous variables with their posterior means and standard deviations in brackets	84
6.2	Coefficient and risk ratios of the standard relative risk model to determine the risk of mortality using baseline covariates and with the assumption of a time-independent covariate for 3MS.	86
6.3	Joint modelling of survival (the standard relative risk model) and longitudinal (linear mixed effects model) data with the effects of baseline covariates and also with the extended relative risk model assuming exogenous time-varying covariate for 3MS.	87
B.1	Characteristics of the studies included in meta-analysis for physical activity on Alzheimer's disease and Dementia	119

B.2	Characteristics of the studies included in meta-analysis for physical activity & cognitive decline	125
B.3	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses 2009 Check-list	128
B.4	Quality Assessment of Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses	131
B.5	Methodological Quality Assessment	132



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
3.1 Posterior caterpillar plot for the study's specific effects and their overall posterior mean effect estimates with the triangular prior using the FDA data.	41
3.2 Posterior caterpillar plot for the study's specific effects and their overall posterior mean effects estimates with the Mavridis informative prior using the FDA data.	41
4.1 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses flow chart	48
4.2 Posterior box plots describing the 95% credible intervals for each study's specific odds ratio (OR) estimate where (a) represents high and (b) represents moderate against no/low physical activity for dementia	55
4.3 Posterior box plots describing the 95% credible intervals for each study's specific odds ratio (OR) estimate where (a) represents high and (b) represents moderate against no/low physical activity for alzheimer's disease	57
4.4 Posterior box plots describing the 95% credible intervals for each study specific odds ratio (OR) estimate for high against no/low physical activity for vascular dementia	58
4.5 Posterior box plots describing the 95% credible intervals for each study's specific odds ratio (OR) estimate where (a) represents high and (b) represents moderate against no/low physical activity for cognitive decline.	59
5.1 Posterior odds ratios and their corresponding 95% credible intervals of age adjusted high (a) and moderate (b) PA for different power transform priors for discounting evidence obtained from meta-analysis.	71

- 5.2 Posterior odds ratios and their corresponding 95% credible intervals of fully adjusted high (c) and moderate (d) PA for different power transform priors for discounting evidence obtained from meta-analysis. 72
- 6.1 Individual longitudinal trajectories for 100 participants. The left figure represents participants who did not experience the event (mortality due to cognitive impairment) and right are those who experienced the event. The red solid lines denote the observed average longitudinal trajectories. 79
- 6.2 Individual longitudinal trajectories for 16 participants irrespective of whether the event was observed or not. These individual trajectories show clearly how each person's earlier score cannot be used to predict their subsequent measurements. 79
- 6.3 Kaplan-Meier survival probability among individuals smoking status categorised as never, past and current smokers [a] and those who rated their health status compared to age mates as poor, good and excellent[b]. The horizontal line indicates median survival. 85
- 6.4 Dynamic predictions of survival probabilities comparing subjects 2307, 4823, 3 and 82 at the end of the entire follow-up with their individual 3MS scores. The asterisks represent individual's 3MS scores at each visit 89
- 6.5 A complete dynamic predictions of survival probabilities comparing subjects 2307 and 3 at each time point for their individual 3MS scores during the entire follow-up period for the purpose of observing these participants survival at every visit with the dotted vertical line indicating the score obtained for that individual at that time point 90
- 6.6 Dynamic predictions of the longitudinal trajectories comparing subjects 2307, 4823, 3 and 82 at the end of the entire follow-up to determine their next 3MS scores. The horizontal red line indicate the current 3MS scores and that of the future predictive scores with the vertical dotted lines indicating the last time the individual was alive. 91

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ETS	Environmental Tobacco Smoke
K-L	Kullback-Leibler
DIC	Deviance Information Criterion
RCT	Randomised Clinical Trials
LOCF	Last Observation Carried Forward
HIV	Human Immunodeficiency Virus
AIDS	Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome
CD4	Cluster of Differentiation 4
MMSE	Mini Mental State Examination
PSA	Prostate Specific Antigen
IgG	Immunoglobulin G
IgM	Immunoglobulin M
3MS	Modified Mini Mental State Examination
USA	United States of America
FDA	Food and Drugs Administration
IG	Inverse Gamma
MSE	Mean Squared Error
MCMC	Markov Chain Monte Carlo
TS	Total Studies
CI	Credible Interval
CP	Coverage Probability
PA	Physical Activity
DSM	Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorder
APOE-e4	Apolipoprotein E gene
JBIMASARI	Meta-Analysis of State Assessment and Review Instrument
NINDS-AIREN	National Institute and Communicative Disorders and Association Internationale Pour la Reserche et l'Enseignement en Neuroscience Criteria
PICOS	Population/Patient/Problem, Intervention, Comparisons, Outcomes and Study Designs
PsycINFO	Psychological Information Database
MESH	Medical Subject Headings
PRISMA	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses
OR	Odds Ratio
PP	Posterior Probability
ENS	Estimated Number of Studies
f-Up	Follow-Up
PS	Published Studies

HPA	High Physical Activity
MPA	Moderate Physical Activity
P_{low}	Probability of Low
P_{large}	Probability of Large
SOF	Study of Osteoporotic Fractures
GDS	Geriatric Depression Scale
CAPE	Clifton Assessment Procedures for the Elderly
BMI	Body Mass Index
BEST	Bayesian Estimation Supersedes the t - Test
SD	Standard Deviation
HDI	Highest Posterior Interval
SS	Smoke Status
CHD	Coronary Heart Disease
MoCA	Montreal Cognitive Assessment
ICD-9-CM	International Classification of Disease, 9th Revision Clinical Modification
LME	Linear Mixed Effects
COF	Coffee
COMP	Health Comparison
CVD	Cardiovascular Disease
HYP	Hypertension

CHAPTER 1

BACKGROUND

1.1 Bayesian Statistics

Bayesian statistics dates back to the eighteenth century by a British Clergyman and mathematician named Thomas Bayes. In Bayes and Price (1763) landmark paper titled, "An essay towards solving a problem in the doctrine of chance", the foundations were laid. It was not until Laplace rediscovered the Bayesian principle in a much greater clarity and generality and then proceeded to apply it solve to problems in population statistics and meteorology that it gained wider attention (Jaynes, 1986).

Bayesian statistics is based on theory of conditional probabilities. In Bayesian methods, the data can be represented by y and the model parameters θ and these parameters are observed to be random quantities. The data can either be continuous or discrete with the likelihood, distribution or density function represented by $p(y|\theta)$, which is then taken to be the plausibility of the data given the parameters of the model. In the Bayesian context, θ is the unknown random variable and y represents the sample of n independent and identically distributed observations. Bayesian statistics deals with joint distributions which is expressed as the likelihood of the data y given the parameter θ , and denoted as $L(y|\theta)$.

The model parameters, described as unknown quantities and assigned prior distributions, are specified for the parameters of the model. These prior distributions can be obtained on the bases of external evidence available to the analyst and related to the current study of interest, by either soliciting experts opinion (Sutton et al., 2000) or previous studies (Bradlow et al., 1999; Smith et al., 1995; Cohen et al., 2013). These types of priors are referred to as *informative* or *subjective* priors (refer to Subsection 2.3.2 for details). The second type of prior are known as the *non-informative*, and these are based on *Jeffreys* prior which is invariant under parametrization. These priors are used in situations where scientific objectivity are of much interest to the analyst (Berger, 2006).

1.2 Meta-Analysis

The science of meta-analysis, which is the combination of results from independent multiple studies in a defined area of interest has witnessed an explosive growth in the scientific literature especially of recent times. However, it was not until the 20th

century that Simpson and Pearson (1904), applied the idea of combining results from clinical trials. A high number of research reports by the middle of the 20th century compelled researchers to focus on delivering and applying methods to synthesize results (Pratt et al., 1940; Light and Smith, 1971; Smith and Glass, 1977). The term meta-analysis according to Glass (1976), is defined as “statistical analysis of a large collection of analysis results from individual studies for the purpose of integrating findings”.

The works of Simpson and Pearson (1904) and Pratt et al. (1940), led to the recognition of meta-analysis by many authors (Rosenthal, 1978; Hedges and Olkin, 2014; Elwood, 2006). Berlin et al. (1989) described meta-analysis as the quantitative formalization of the literature review process. Elwood (2006) applied the idea of meta-analysis to determine the effectiveness of aspirin on the recurrence of heart attack. The idea behind meta-analysis is to quantitatively synthesise evidences from different studies on a specific area to provide a numerical summary of a pooled or overall estimate for an outcome of interest to possibly resolve conflicting issues or reports.

1.3 Joint Modelling of Longitudinal and Survival outcomes

Longitudinal data emanate from observational and clinical trials studies that are measured repeatedly on subjects over a specified time period. These type of repeated measurements are encountered in health sciences that aids the health practitioners to comprehend and appreciate the level and development of a particular type of disease under investigation. Most of these longitudinal data are collected alongside time-to-event outcomes that may be of interest to the practitioner (Rizopoulos, 2012b). Longitudinal studies are also used to characterize human growth and ageing. It is also used to determine factors associated with some human health as well as evaluate the treatment effects. Some of the advantages of longitudinal studies are their ability to measure the change in outcome or exposure on an individual. With longitudinal study, one is able to measure the occurrence or timing of the disease onset which may be correlated with changes in patient exposure (Rizopoulos, 2012b).

Two main disadvantages of analysing longitudinal data are; there is risk of bias due to incomplete follow-up or drop-out of participants during the study period. This becomes more of a problem if follow-up subjects to the end of the study differ from subjects who discontinued. Also, analyses of longitudinal data requires statistical methods that can properly account for the intra-subject correlation of the response measurements while determining the risk of an outcome of interest. These types of scenarios occur for instance in HIV clinical trials where CD4 cell counts are considered as biomarkers measured repeatedly over a period of time alongside time to the occurrence of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS) or death (Rizopoulos, 2012a). There are two main types of methods used in jointly modelling longitudinal and time-to-event outcomes, these are; linear mixed effects and survival models. These models are elaborated in details in Sections 2.4 and 2.5.

1.4 Dementia

Dementia constitute a number or set of behaviours or symptoms that result in difficulties with individuals cognitive functions. One is said to have dementia when that person is observed not to be able to undertake everyday task pertaining to his/her daily activities, is unable to live independently without being cared for. Dementia is an organic disorder, related to the physical deterioration of the human brain tissue that is detected through a brain scan of autopsy after death. Dementia patients symptoms get worse over time which is not just due to normal ageing (Alzheimer-Disease-Association, 2017). Due to the progressive nature of the disease, it is possible that by the time one is diagnosed of it, it would have reached an advanced stage making it difficult for a realistic cure to be carried out. Some of the primary symptoms include confusion, personality changes, memory loss, unable to plan and unable to do task orderly (Alzheimer-Disease-Association, 2017).

1.5 Problem Statement

Most of the methods used by researchers for data analysis focus on single survey types. In most of the cases, a study is conducted by either a researcher or group of researchers and the data collected by these researchers are analysed in a way that other previous studies are not incorporated into the statistical model. In analysing these types of data, the general assumptions are that the previous studies are either prone to bias or were conducted elsewhere which may not be relevant or appropriate to be combined with the current study.

The inappropriate nature of this approach stems from the fact that in this scientific world a single problem affect almost everybody irrespective of one's geographical location. There are significant number of scientist or researchers who have previously investigated similar studies that there is the need for the present researchers to understand and incorporate these previous findings into their "current" research so as to make more informed decisions.

The statistical approach that combines all relevant findings from previous studies to enable researchers obtain a pooled estimate about an outcome of interest that might not have been observed from individual studies is known as meta-analysis (Sutton et al., 2000). The term meta-analysis according to Glass (1976) is defined as "statistical analysis of a large collection of analysis results from individual studies for the purpose of integrating findings".

The commonly used methods for meta-analysis are the fixed effects (Yusuf et al., 1985) and random effects (DerSimonian and Laird, 1986). Since the purpose of combining results is to ensure an efficient overall pooled estimate of all findings, it is

important that, any statistical model specified for these analyses take into consideration all relevant information other than just synthesizing and obtaining statistical summaries. Though the frequentist random effects model has a similar hierarchical form as that of the Bayesian random effects model, it does not incorporate external sources of information that will enable a better estimate of the overall pooled effect size. Studies that are combined to obtain a pooled effect size estimate are mostly heterogeneous and with different sample sizes ranging from small to very large. It is therefore important, that external information or different statistical approaches are sought and incorporated or applied to the model in order to reduce the effect of heterogeneity for a more accurate summary estimates.

The two most important issues that affect meta-analysis results as indicated in Subsection 2.2 are publication bias and heterogeneity among studies (Sutton and Abrams, 2001). There is a limited literature on publication bias from the Bayesian perspective. The first Bayesian methodological approach to estimate and adjust for publication bias was by Givens et al. (1997). Givens et al. (1997) proposed a data augmentation approach via a hierarchical model. The number of outcomes of the studies assumed missing or unobserved were simulated and added to the observed effect size estimates for analysis.

The second approach was an implementation of the Copas selection model via a Bayesian paradigm by Mavridis et al. (2013). These authors examined and applied it in network meta-analysis. The Copas model assumes that very large studies (with small standard errors) have high probabilities of being published than small studies (with large standard errors) and the probability that a study will be published and selected depends on its effect size. They obtained informative prior for the model parameters by soliciting external data and eliciting experts opinions. The Copas selection model involves both the probability of publication and effect size. The Copas model assumes that in the presence of publication bias, the propensity of publication will be correlated with the effect size. This Copas model relies on sensitivity analysis such that the probability a study is published is determined under different possibilities or scenarios Copas and Shi (2001).

The difficulty in using this Copas model proposed by Copas and Li (1997) via the Bayesian methods is the use of more than four or five different prior distributions on the model parameters which yields about four or five different posterior estimates thereby resulting in conflicting interpretations with just one outcome of interest. The first part of this study addresses this problem by developing a prior distribution to estimate the Copas model parameters (without one necessarily conducting sensitivity analysis) and this is evaluated and applied to both randomised clinical trials and observational (dementia and its subtypes) studies. A Bayesian nonparametric approach is also proposed to control for the non-normality of the meta-analytic data.

Studies (such as dementia) collect information on deaths of participants in addition to other covariates of interest which are often measured intermittently at different time points (Rizopoulos, 2012a). These are measurements that are taken repeatedly for

each participant over a time period. For instance, the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE). This instrument is not predictive in nature and hence should be considered as an instrument that is measured with some degree of errors. Measurements of this type violates a number of statistical models assumptions, especially when the interest is to determine the risk factors that affect the survival of dementia patients. It is therefore imperative that a more robust statistical approach is adopted to be able to handle the repeated measurements of the MMSE scores while determining the factors that affect the survival of these group of people. The prognostic values of this covariate is also usually of interest in this type of studies because they shed light on the natural history of the disease (Rizopoulos, 2012b).

For one to study the relationship between these covariates and the survival outcome of interest, it is possible to use the covariates as time-dependent or time-varying covariates in a relative risk model or better known as a Cox proportional hazards regression model (Cox and Hinkley, 1979). The Cox model stipulates the necessity of having a complete knowledge of the covariate history for all individuals in order to maximize the partial likelihood and thereby estimate the model parameters accurately. In other to again, implement this approach, we must understand that the covariate value need to be a time-continuous process measured without errors. Though the approach of using the covariate as a time-varying measured without errors have been adopted in literature to determining the risk of survival among dementia patients. The most important variables of interest (3MS) violates this assumption. This therefore causes the estimated parameters to be biased towards the null.

In this study we have proposed and implemented the Joint or Shared parameter modelling to;

1. the longitudinal measurements of the modified Mini-Mental State Examination over a 21-year follow-up dataset, considered to be measured with errors,
2. the 3MS variable directly to mortality of individuals via cognitive impairment,
3. jointly model the relationship between the repeated measures of the variable 3MS and the risk of mortality using standard and extended relative risk, and linear mixed effects models,
4. establish the possibility of predicting participants' survival probability based on their 3MS scores to enable physicians have a better understanding of their patients' risk of mortality via cognitive impairment,
5. propose a model that is capable of accurately predicting future 3MS scores based on their previous measurement(s) to enable practitioners keep track of their patients' health.

1.6 Justification of the Study

1. The development of a robust Bayesian prior for the Copas model parameters to be used to assess and account for publication bias in meta-analysis based on which just one overall effect estimate can be obtained. This ameliorates the problem of conducting sensitivity analysis which results in a more number of effect size estimates with likely different posterior mean effects. This culminates into varied interpretations from just a single meta-analysis.
2. This study further develops a new approach that deals with the issues of heterogeneity and non-normality in meta-analytic data. Heterogeneity of studies has the potential to seriously bias the grand/overall mean effect which is of essence in evidence-based medicine (meta-analysis). It is a well established fact that in any data analysis, parametric (linear) models are preferred to non-parametric ones if and only if the variables of interest satisfy the assumptions of normality especially with relatively small samples. This study sort to advance this statistical fact by proposing and applying the idea of a Bayesian non-parametric methodological approach to meta-analysis of observational studies when normality is a problem.
3. In the Bayesian framework, there are two types of approaches; these are subjective and objective. One of the purposes of this study is to establish the point that meta-analytic data can be used to construct Bayesian prior that has the potential of satisfying these two approaches in a single analysis. This makes interpretation of the results more general and acceptable to all.
4. Finally, there are two types of variables, endogenous (measured with errors) and exogenous (measured without errors). Therefore, any statistical approach should clearly delineate between these two variables for a better, precise and accurate estimation of the specified model's parameters/coefficients. This will allow for a meaningful interpretation and conclusion to be drawn. The weakness of ignoring or assuming that all variables can be considered as exogenous or time-varying covariates which has the potential to give misleading results to both researchers and practitioners have been considered in this work.

1.7 Research Objectives

This study develops Bayesian prior distribution to assess and account for publication bias and heterogeneity and as well proposes a more robust Bayesian statistical approach to model data collected repeatedly and apply to dementia and its sub-types. This research seek:

1. To develop a Bayesian new prior for the Copas selection model parameters to assess and account for publication bias in meta-analysis.
2. To propose a Bayesian non-parametric approach to handle heterogeneity in meta-analysis.

3. To formulate an informative prior using meta-analytic data through a power transformation approach to determine the effect of exercise on the risk of cognitive decline among older women.
4. To explore, develop and implement a joint modelling approach to a longitudinal measurement of a marker which is measured with some degrees of errors.

1.8 Thesis Outline/Organisation

This thesis is organized into seven different chapters. The first two chapters give an overview (background) and literature review. The first introduces the concept of Bayesian analysis, meta-analysis, joint modelling as well as the problem statement and objectives of the study. It also introduces the concept of cognitive decline, dementia and its subtypes which constitute the basis for this research. The second chapter includes some literature reviews on meta-analysis, the relative risk survival model (Cox proportional hazards model) and linear mixed effects model. The next four chapters constitute the four main objectives of this thesis. The final part summarizes the results of all chapters presiding it and with some recommendations and suggested future work.

Chapter Three of this thesis, develops a Bayesian prior referred to as *triangular prior*. Its performance is assessed against other known choices of prior distributions for the Copas selection model through sensitivity analysis with simulated meta-analytic data. It is validated using meta-analytic data from the United States Food and Drugs Administration.

Chapter Four applied the proposed prior in a meta-analysis of physical activity on cognitive decline, Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia and all cause-dementia. In the same Chapter, we proposed a Bayesian non-parametric approach to meta-analysis via the *Dirichlet Process*. The purpose of this approach is to overcome issues with non-normality of the meta-analytic data.

Chapter Five, details a completely different way of analysing data from the subjective and objective Bayesian perspective taking into consideration an informative prior formulated from previous studies obtained through meta-analysis. This is used to determine the effect of physical activity on cognitive decline.

In Chapter Six of this thesis, a proposal on Bayesian methodological approach to handle observations measured repeatedly overtime is presented. For instance, 3MS in cognitive impairment or dementia. This approach will be extended into predicting survival probabilities as well as the repeated measurements that will enable practitioners to have a better understanding of their patients health. Chapter Seven provides conclusions, recommendations and suggestions for future

work/research.



REFERENCES

- Abbott, R. D., White, L. R., Ross, G. W., Masaki, K. H., Curb, J. D., and Petrovitch, H. (2004). Walking and dementia in physically capable elderly men. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 292(12):1447–1453.
- Ahtiluoto, S., Polvikoski, T., Peltonen, M., Solomon, A., Tuomilehto, J., Winblad, B., Sulkava, R., and Kivipelto, M. (2010). Diabetes, alzheimer disease, and vascular dementia a population-based neuropathologic study. *Neurology*, 75(13):1195–1202.
- Albert, M. S., Jones, K., Savage, C. R., Berkman, L., Seeman, T., Blazer, D., and Rowe, J. W. (1995). Predictors of cognitive change in older persons: Macarthur studies of successful aging. *Psychology and Aging*, 10(4):578–589.
- Alhamzawi, R. and Yu, K. (2010). Power prior elicitation in bayesian quantile regression. *Journal of Probability and Statistics*, 2011(9):1–16.
- Alzheimer-Disease-Association (2017). 2016 alzheimer’s disease facts and figures. *Alzheimer’s & Dementia*, 12(4):459–509.
- An, R. and Liu, G. G. (2016). Cognitive impairment and mortality among the oldest-old chinese. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 31(12):1345–1353.
- Andersen, P. K. and Gill, R. D. (1982). Cox’s regression model for counting processes: a large sample study. *The Annals of Statistics*, 10(4):1100–1120.
- Andrinopoulou, E.-R., Rizopoulos, D., Eilers, P. H., and Takkenberg, J. J. (2016). Improved dynamic predictions from joint models of longitudinal and survival data with time-varying effects using p-splines. Accessed 19 December 2015 from <https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.03439>.
- Armero, C., Forte, A., Perpiñán, H., Sanahuja, M. J., and Agustí, S. (2016). Bayesian joint modeling for assessing the progression of chronic kidney disease in children. Accessed 19 December 2015 from <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0962280216628560>.
- Baumgart, M., Snyder, H. M., Carrillo, M. C., Fazio, S., Kim, H., and Johns, H. (2015). Summary of the evidence on modifiable risk factors for cognitive decline and dementia: a population-based perspective. *Alzheimer’s & Dementia*, 11(6):718–726.
- Bayes, T. and Price, M. (1763). An essay towards solving a problem in the doctrine of chances. by the late rev. mr. bayes, frs communicated by mr. price, in a letter to john canton, amfrs. *Philosophical Transactions*, 53(1):370–418.
- Berger, J. (2006). The case for objective bayesian analysis. *Bayesian Analysis*, 1(3):385–402.
- Berger, J. O. and Bernardo, J. M. (1989). Estimating a product of means: Bayesian analysis with reference priors. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 84(405):200–207.
- Berger, J. O., Bernardo, J. M., and Mendoza, M. (1988). *On priors that maximize expected information*. Purdue University.

- Berlin, J. A., Begg, C. B., and Louis, T. A. (1989). An assessment of publication bias using a sample of published clinical trials. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 84(406):381–392.
- Bernardo, J. M. (1979). Reference posterior distributions for bayesian inference. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 41(2):113–147.
- Berry, S. M., Carlin, B. P., Lee, J. J., and Muller, P. (2010). *Bayesian adaptive methods for clinical trials*. Chapman & Hall/CRC press.
- Blackwell, D. and MacQueen, J. B. (1973). Ferguson distributions via pólya urn schemes. *The Annals of Statistics*, 1(2):353–355.
- Blondell, S. J., Hammersley-Mather, R., and Veerman, J. L. (2014). Does physical activity prevent cognitive decline and dementia?: A systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *BMC Public Health*, 14(1):510–522.
- Bradlow, E. T., Wainer, H., and Wang, X. (1999). A bayesian random effects model for testlets. *Psychometrika*, 64(2):153–168.
- Broe, G., Creasey, H., Jorm, A., Bennett, H., Casey, B., Waite, L., Grayson, D., and Cullen, J. (1998). Health habits and risk of cognitive impairment and dementia in old age: a prospective study on the effects of exercise, smoking and alcohol consumption. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*, 22(5):621–623.
- Brooks, S. P. and Gelman, A. (1998). General methods for monitoring convergence of iterative simulations. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 7(4):434–455.
- Buchman, A., Boyle, P., Yu, L., Shah, R., Wilson, R., and Bennett, D. (2012). Total daily physical activity and the risk of ad and cognitive decline in older adults. *Neurology*, 78(17):1323–1329.
- Burr, D., Doss, H., Cooke, G. E., and Goldschmidt-Clermont, P. J. (2003). A meta-analysis of studies on the association of the platelet pla polymorphism of glycoprotein and risk of coronary heart disease. *Statistics in Medicine*, 22(10):1741–1760.
- Carlin, J. B. (1992). Meta-analysis for 2×2 tables: A bayesian approach. *Statistics in Medicine*, 11(2):141–158.
- Carlson, M. C., Helms, M. J., Steffens, D. C., Burke, J. R., Potter, G. G., and Plassman, B. L. (2008). Midlife activity predicts risk of dementia in older male twin pairs. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, 4(5):324–331.
- Carpenter, J., Rucker, G., and Schwarzer, G. (2009a). copas: An r package for fitting the copas selection model. *The R Journal*, 1(2):31–36.
- Carpenter, J. R., Schwarzer, G., Rucker, G., and Künstler, R. (2009b). Empirical evaluation showed that the copas selection model provided a useful summary in 80% of meta-analyses. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 62(6):624–631.
- Chaimani, A., Vasiliadis, H. S., Pandis, N., Schmid, C. H., Welton, N. J., and Salanti, G. (2013). Effects of study precision and risk of bias in networks of interventions: a network meta-epidemiological study. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 42(4):1120–1131.

- Chen, M. H., Harrington, D. P., and Ibrahim, J. G. (2002). Bayesian cure rate models for malignant melanoma: a case-study of eastern cooperative oncology group trial e1690. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied Statistics)*, 51(2):135–150.
- Chen, M. H., Ibrahim, J. G., and Shao, Q.-M. (2000). Power prior distributions for generalized linear models. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 84(1):121–137.
- Chen, M.-H., Ibrahim, J. G., and Yiannoutsos, C. (1999). Prior elicitation, variable selection and bayesian computation for logistic regression models. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*, 61(1):223–242.
- Chodzko-Zajko, W. J. and Moore, K. A. (1994). Physical fitness and cognitive functioning in aging. *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews*, 22(1):195–220.
- Cohen, J., Cohen, P., West, S. G., and Aiken, L. S. (2013). *Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Taylor & Francis/Routledge.
- Copas, J. and Shi, J. (2001). A sensitivity analysis for publication bias in systematic reviews. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, 10(4):251–265.
- Copas, J. B. and Li, H. (1997). Inference for non-random samples. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*, 59(1):55–95.
- Coursol, A. and Wagner, E. E. (1986). Effect of positive findings on submission and acceptance rates: A note on meta-analysis bias. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 17(2):136–137.
- Cox, D. R. and Hinkley, D. V. (1979). *Theoretical statistics*. Chapman & Hall/CRC Press.
- Cruz-Oliver, D. M., Malmstrom, T., Allen, C., Tumosa, N., and Morley, J. (2012). The veterans affairs saint louis university mental status exam (slums exam) and the mini-mental status exam as predictors of mortality and institutionalization. *The Journal of Nutrition, Health & Aging*, 16(7):636–641.
- Cummings, S. R., Nevitt, M. C., Browner, W. S., Stone, K., Fox, K. M., Ensrud, K. E., Cauley, J., Black, D., and Vogt, T. M. (1995). Risk factors for hip fracture in white women. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 332(12):767–774.
- De Geest, T., Fennema, P., Lenaerts, G., and De Loore, G. (2015). Adverse effects associated with the direct anterior approach for total hip arthroplasty: a bayesian meta-analysis. *Archives of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery*, 135(8):1183–1192.
- De Gruttola, V. and Tu, X. M. (1994). Modelling progression of cd4-lymphocyte count and its relationship to survival time. *Biometrics*, 50(4):1003–1014.
- De Santis, F. (2007). Using historical data for bayesian sample size determination. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society)*, 170(1):95–113.
- DerSimonian, R. and Laird, N. (1986). Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Controlled Clinical Trials*, 7(3):177–188.
- Duan, Y. (2005). *A modified bayesian power prior approach with applications in water quality evaluation*. PhD thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.

- Duan, Y., Ye, K., and Smith, E. P. (2006). Evaluating water quality using power priors to incorporate historical information. *Environmetrics*, 17(1):95–106.
- DuMouchel, W. (1990). Bayesian meta-analysis. *Statistical Methodology in the Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 104:509–529.
- Duval, S. and Tweedie, R. (2000). A nonparametric trim and fill method of accounting for publication bias in meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 95(449):89–98.
- Egger, M., Smith, G. D., Schneider, M., and Minder, C. (1997a). Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *British Medical Journal*, 315(7109):629–634.
- Egger, M., Smith, G. D., Schneider, M., and Minder, C. (1997b). Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *British Medical Journal*, 315(7109):629–634.
- Elwood, P. (2006). The first randomized trial of aspirin for heart attack and the advent of systematic overviews of trials. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, 99(11):586–588.
- Escobar, M. D. (1994). Estimating normal means with a dirichlet process prior. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 89(425):268–277.
- Escobar, M. D. and West, M. (1995). Bayesian density estimation and inference using mixtures. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 90(430):577–588.
- Etgen, T., Sander, D., Huntgeburth, U., Poppert, H., Förstl, H., and Bickel, H. (2010). Physical activity and incident cognitive impairment in elderly persons: the invade study. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 170(2):186–193.
- Etnier, J., Johnston, R., Dagenbach, D., Pollard, R. J., Rejeski, W. J., and Berry, M. (1999). The relationships among pulmonary function, aerobic fitness, and cognitive functioning in older copd patients. *CHEST*, 116(4):953–960.
- Etnier, J., Johnston, R., Rejeski, W., Dagenbach, D., Berry, M., and Pollard, R. (1998). Predictors of cognitive functioning in copd patients. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 30(5):333–338.
- Fabrigoule, C., Letenneur, L., Dartigues, J. F., Zarrouk, M., Commenges, D., and Barberger-Gateau, P. (1995). Social and leisure activities and risk of dementia: a prospective longitudinal study. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 43(5):485–490.
- Faucett, C. L. and Thomas, D. C. (1996). Simultaneously modelling censored survival data and repeatedly measured covariates: a gibbs sampling approach. *Statistics in Medicine*, 15(15):1663–1685.
- Fisher, L. D. (1996). Comments on bayesian and frequentist analysis and interpretation of clinical trials. *Controlled clinical Trials*, 17(5):423–434.
- Flicker, L., Almeida, O., Acres, J., Le, M., Tuohy, R., Jamrozik, K., Hankey, G., and Norman, P. (2005). Predictors of impaired cognitive function in men over the age of 80 years: results from the health in men study. *Age & Ageing*, 34(1):77–80.
- Fraser, G. E., Singh, P. N., and Bennett, H. (1996). Variables associated with cognitive function in elderly california seventh day adventists. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 143(12):1181–1190.

- Ganguli, M., Dodge, H. H., Shen, C., Pandav, R. S., and DeKosky, S. T. (2005). Alzheimer disease and mortality: a 15-year epidemiological study. *Archives of Neurology*, 62(5):779–784.
- Givens, G. H., Smith, D., and Tweedie, R. (1997). Publication bias in meta-analysis: a bayesian data-augmentation approach to account for issues exemplified in the passive smoking debate. *Statistical Science*, 12(4):221–240.
- Glass, G. V. (1976). Primary, secondary, and meta-analysis of research. *Educational Researcher*, 5(10):3–8.
- Gómez-Pinilla, F., So, V., and Kesslak, J. P. (1998). Spatial learning and physical activity contribute to the induction of fibroblast growth factor: neural substrates for increased cognition associated with exercise. *Neuroscience*, 85(1):53–61.
- Gureje, O., Ogunniyi, A., Kola, L., and Abiona, T. (2011). Incidence of and risk factors for dementia in the ibadan study of aging. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 59(5):869–874.
- Hamer, M. and Chida, Y. (2009). Physical activity and risk of neurodegenerative disease: a systematic review of prospective evidence. *Psychological Medicine*, 39(01):3–11.
- Hebert, L., Bienias, J., Aggarwal, N., Wilson, R., Bennett, D., Shah, R., and Evans, D. (2010). Change in risk of alzheimer disease over time. *Neurology*, 75(9):786–791.
- Hebert, L. E., Weuve, J., Scherr, P. A., and Evans, D. A. (2013). Alzheimer disease in the united states (2010–2050) estimated using the 2010 census. *Neurology*, 80(19):1778–1783.
- Hedges, L. V. (1992). Modeling publication selection effects in meta-analysis. *Statistical Science*, 7(2):246–255.
- Hedges, L. V. and Olkin, I. (2014). *Statistical method for meta-analysis*. Academic press.
- Hensel, A., Angermeyer, M. C., and Riedel-Heller, S. G. (2007). Measuring cognitive change in older adults: reliable change indices for the mini-mental state examination. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, 78(12):1298–1303.
- Higgins, J. and Whitehead, A. (1996). Borrowing strength from external trials in a meta-analysis. *Statistics in Medicine*, 15(24):2733–2749.
- Higgins, J. P. and Green, S. (2011). *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Hildreth, K. L., Pelt, R. E., and Schwartz, R. S. (2012). Obesity, insulin resistance, and alzheimer’s disease. *Obesity*, 20(8):1549–1557.
- Ho, S. C., Woo, J., Sham, A., Chan, S. G., and Ashley, L. (2001). A 3-year follow-up study of social, lifestyle and health predictors of cognitive impairment in a chinese older cohort. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 30(6):1389–1396.
- Hobbs, B. P., Carlin, B. P., Mandrekar, S. J., and Sargent, D. J. (2011). Hierarchical commensurate and power prior models for adaptive incorporation of historical information in clinical trials. *Biometrics*, 67(3):1047–1056.

- Hsieh, F., Tseng, Y.-K., and Wang, J.-L. (2006). Joint modeling of survival and longitudinal data: likelihood approach revisited. *Biometrics*, 62(4):1037–1043.
- Hughes, M. D. (1993). Reporting bayesian analyses of clinical trials. *Statistics in Medicine*, 12(18):1651–1663.
- Ibrahim, J. G. and Chen, M.-H. (2000). Power prior distributions for regression models. *Statistical Science*, 15(1):46–60.
- Ibrahim, J. G., Chen, M.-H., Gwon, Y., and Chen, F. (2015). The power prior: theory and applications. *Statistics in Medicine*, 34(28):3724–3749.
- Ibrahim, J. G., Chen, M.-H., and Sinha, D. (2004). Bayesian methods for joint modeling of longitudinal and survival data with applications to cancer vaccine trials. *Statistica Sinica*, 14(3):863–883.
- Ibrahim, J. G., Chen, M.-H., Xia, H. A., and Liu, T. (2012). Bayesian meta-experimental design: Evaluating cardiovascular risk in new antidiabetic therapies to treat type 2 diabetes. *Biometrics*, 68(2):578–586.
- Ibrahim, J. G., Ryan, L. M., and Chen, M.-H. (1998). Using historical controls to adjust for covariates in trend tests for binary data. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 93(444):1282–1293.
- Institute, J. B. et al. (2010). Jbi qari critical appraisal checklist for interpretive & critical research. Accessed 20 September 2015 from <http://joannabriggs.org/research/critical-appraisal-tools.html>.
- Irony, T. Z. and Singpurwalla, N. D. (1997). Non-informative priors do not exist a dialogue with José M. Bernardo. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 65(1):159–177.
- Iwasa, H., Yoshida, Y., Kai, I., Suzuki, T., Kim, H., and Yoshida, H. (2012). Leisure activities and cognitive function in elderly community-dwelling individuals in Japan: a 5-year prospective cohort study. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 72(2):159–164.
- Jang, H., Lee, S., and Kim, S. W. (2010). Bayesian analysis for zero-inflated regression models with the power prior: Applications to road safety countermeasures. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 42(2):540–547.
- Jaynes, E. T. (1986). Bayesian methods: General background. Retrieved 20 May 2015 from <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.41.1055>.
- Jeffreys, H. (1946). An invariant form for the prior probability in estimation problems. *The Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 186(1007):453–461.
- Jeffreys, S. H. (1967). *Theory of Probability: 3d Ed.* Clarendon Press.
- Jessen, F., Amariglio, R. E., Van Boxtel, M., Breteler, M., Ceccaldi, M., Chételat, G., Dubois, B., Dufouil, C., Ellis, K. A., Van Der Flier, W. M., et al. (2014). A conceptual framework for research on subjective cognitive decline in preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, 10(6):844–852.

- Jones, D. R. (1995). Meta-analysis: Weighing the evidence. *Statistics in Medicine*, 14(2):137–149.
- Kalmijn, S., Launer, L., Stolk, R., De Jong, F., Pols, H., Hofman, A., Breteler, M., and Lamberts, S. (1998). A prospective study on cortisol, dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate, and cognitive function in the elderly. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 83(10):3487–3492.
- Karp, A., Paillard-Borg, S., Wang, H.-X., Silverstein, M., Winblad, B., and Fratiglioni, L. (2006). Mental, physical and social components in leisure activities equally contribute to decrease dementia risk. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 21(2):65–73.
- Kerola, T., Hiltunen, M., Kettunen, R., Hartikainen, S., Sulkava, R., Vuolteenaho, O., and Nieminen, T. (2011). Mini-mental state examination score and b-type natriuretic peptide as predictors of cardiovascular and total mortality in an elderly general population. *Annals of Medicine*, 43(8):650–659.
- Kim, J.-M., Stewart, R., Bae, K.-Y., Kim, S.-W., Yang, S.-J., Park, K.-H., Shin, I.-S., and Yoon, J.-S. (2011). Role of bdnf val66met polymorphism on the association between physical activity and incident dementia. *Neurobiology of Aging*, 32(3):551–e5.
- Kishimoto, H., Ohara, T., Hata, J., Ninomiya, T., Yoshida, D., Mukai, N., Nagata, M., Ikeda, F., Fukuhara, M., Kumagai, S., et al. (2016). The long-term association between physical activity and risk of dementia in the community: the hisayama study. *European Journal of Epidemiology*, 31(3):267–274.
- Korczyn, A. D. and Halperin, I. (2009). Depression and dementia. *Journal of the Neurological Sciences*, 283(1):139–142.
- Kruschke, J. K. (2013). Bayesian estimation supersedes the t test. *The Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 142(2):573–603.
- Kujala, U. M., Kaprio, J., Sarna, S., and Koskenvuo, M. (1998). Relationship of leisure-time physical activity and mortality: the finnish twin cohort. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 279(6):440–444.
- Laird, N. M. and Ware, J. H. (1982). Random-effects models for longitudinal data. *Biometrics*, 38(4):963–974.
- Lambert, P. C., Sutton, A. J., Burton, P. R., Abrams, K. R., and Jones, D. R. (2005). How vague is vague? a simulation study of the impact of the use of vague prior distributions in mcmc using winbugs. *Statistics in Medicine*, 24(15):2401.
- Larson, E. B., Wang, L., Bowen, J. D., McCormick, W. C., Teri, L., Crane, P., and Kukull, W. (2006). Exercise is associated with reduced risk for incident dementia among persons 65 years of age and older. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 144(2):73–81.
- Laurin, D., Verreault, R., Lindsay, J., MacPherson, K., and Rockwood, K. (2001). Physical activity and risk of cognitive impairment and dementia in elderly persons. *Archives of Neurology*, 58(3):498–504.
- Lee, I.-M. and Paffenbarger, R. S. (1998). Physical activity and stroke incidence the harvard alumni health study. *Stroke*, 29(10):2049–2054.

- Lee, S., Choi, J., and Kim, S. W. (2010). Bayesian approach with the power prior for road safety analysis. *Transportmetrica*, 6(1):39–51.
- Lee, S., Yuki, A., Nishita, Y., Tange, C., Kim, H., Kozakai, R., Ando, F., and Shimokata, H. (2013). Research relationship between light-intensity physical activity and cognitive function in a community-dwelling elderly population: an 8-year longitudinal study. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 61(3):452–453.
- Lesaffre, E. and Lawson, A. B. (2012). *Bayesian biostatistics*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Light, R. and Smith, P. (1971). Accumulating evidence: Procedures for resolving contradictions among different research studies. *Harvard Educational Review*, 41(4):429–471.
- Link, A. M. (1998). Us and non-us submissions: an analysis of reviewer bias. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 280(3):246–247.
- Luck, T., Riedel-Heller, S., Lupp, M., Wiese, B., Köhler, M., Jessen, F., Bickel, H., Weyerer, S., Pentzek, M., and König, H.-H. (2014). Apolipoprotein e epsilon 4 genotype and a physically active lifestyle in late life: analysis of gene–environment interaction for the risk of dementia and alzheimer’s disease dementia. *Psychological medicine*, 44(6):1319–1329.
- Lyness, J. M., Noel, T. K., Cox, C., King, D. A., Conwell, Y., and Caine, E. D. (1997). Screening for depression in elderly primary care patients: a comparison of the center for epidemiologic studies depression scale and the geriatric depression scale. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 157(4):449–454.
- Lytle, M. E., Vander Bilt, J., Pandav, R. S., Dodge, H. H., and Ganguli, M. (2004). Exercise level and cognitive decline: the movies project. *Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders*, 18(2):57–64.
- Marchetta, C. M., Devine, O. J., Crider, K. S., Tsang, B. L., Cordero, A. M., Qi, Y. P., Guo, J., Berry, R. J., Rosenthal, J., and Mulinare, J. (2015). Assessing the association between natural food folate intake and blood folate concentrations: a systematic review and bayesian meta-analysis of trials and observational studies. *Nutrients*, 7(4):2663–2686.
- Maritz, J. S. and Lwin, T. (1989). *Empirical bayes methods*. Chapman & Hall/CRC London.
- Mavridis, D. and Salanti, G. (2014). Exploring and accounting for publication bias in mental health: a brief overview of methods. *Evidence Based Mental Health*, 17(1):11–15.
- Mavridis, D., Sutton, A., Cipriani, A., and Salanti, G. (2013). A fully bayesian application of the copas selection model for publication bias extended to network meta-analysis. *Statistics in Medicine*, 32(1):51–66.
- Mavridis, D., Welton, N. J., Sutton, A., and Salanti, G. (2014). A selection model for accounting for publication bias in a full network meta-analysis. *Statistics in Medicine*, 33(30):5399–5412.
- McCallum, J., Simons, L. A., Simons, J., and Friedlander, Y. (2007). Delaying dementia and nursing home placement. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1114(1):121–129.

- Menke, J. (2014). Bayesian bivariate meta-analysis of sensitivity and specificity: summary of quantitative findings in 50 meta-analyses. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, 20(6):844–852.
- Menke, J. and Kowalski, J. (2015). Diagnostic accuracy and utility of coronary ct angiography with consideration of unevaluable results: A systematic review and multivariate bayesian random-effects meta-analysis with intention to diagnose. *European Radiology*, 25(2):1–8.
- Middleton, L., Kirkland, S., and Rockwood, K. (2008). Prevention of cind by physical activity: different impact on vci-nd compared with mci. *Journal of the Neurological Sciences*, 269(1):80–84.
- Middleton, L. E., Manini, T. M., Simonsick, E. M., Harris, T. B., Barnes, D. E., Tylavsky, F., Brach, J. S., Everhart, J. E., and Yaffe, K. (2011). Activity energy expenditure and incident cognitive impairment in older adults. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 171(14):1251–1257.
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., and Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the prisma statement. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 151(4):264–269.
- Møller, A. P. and Jennions, M. D. (2001). Testing and adjusting for publication bias. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 16(10):580–586.
- Moreno, S. G., Sutton, A. J., Ades, A., Stanley, T. D., Abrams, K. R., Peters, J. L., and Cooper, N. J. (2009a). Assessment of regression-based methods to adjust for publication bias through a comprehensive simulation study. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 9(1):2–18.
- Moreno, S. G., Sutton, A. J., Turner, E. H., Abrams, K. R., Cooper, N. J., Palmer, T. M., and Ades, A. (2009b). Novel methods to deal with publication biases: secondary analysis of antidepressant trials in the fda trial registry database and related journal publications. *British Medical Journal*, 339(8):1–7.
- Morgan, G. S., Gallacher, J., Bayer, A., Fish, M., Ebrahim, S., and Ben-Shlomo, Y. (2012). Physical activity in middle-age and dementia in later life: findings from a prospective cohort of men in caerphilly, south wales and a meta-analysis. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 31(3):569–580.
- Murphy, S, K. K. X. J. and Heron, M. (2014). National vital statistics reports. *National Vital Statistics Reports*, 63(6):1–117.
- Muthukumarana, P. S. (2010). *Bayesian methods and applications using WinBUGS*. PhD thesis, Simon Fraser University.
- Niti, M., Yap, K.-B., Kua, E.-H., Tan, C.-H., and Ng, T.-P. (2008). Physical, social and productive leisure activities, cognitive decline and interaction with apoe-[straight epsilon] 4 genotype in chinese older adults. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 20(2):237–251.
- Organization, W. H., of Hypertension Writing Group, I. S., et al. (2003). 2003 world health organization (who)/international society of hypertension (ish) statement on management of hypertension. *Journal of Hypertension*, 21(11):1983–1992.

- Paganini-Hill, A., Kawas, C. H., and Corrada, M. M. (2016). Lifestyle factors and dementia in the oldest-old: The 90+ study. *Alzheimer Disease and Associated Disorders*, 30(1):21–26.
- Park, M., Kwon, D., Jung, J., Han, C., Jo, I., and Jo, S. (2013). Mini-mental status examination as predictors of mortality in the elderly. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 127(4):298–304.
- Pignatti, F., Rozzini, R., Trabucchi, M., and Yaffe, K. (2002). Physical activity and cognitive decline in elderly persons. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 162(3):361–362.
- Plassman, B. L., Langa, K. M., Fisher, G. G., Heeringa, S. G., Weir, D. R., Ofstedal, M. B., Burke, J. R., Hurd, M. D., Potter, G. G., and Rodgers, W. L. (2007). Prevalence of dementia in the united states: the aging, demographics, and memory study. *Neuroepidemiology*, 29(1-2):125–132.
- Podewils, L. J., Guallar, E., Kuller, L. H., Fried, L. P., Lopez, O. L., Carlson, M., and Lyketsos, C. G. (2005). Physical activity, apoe genotype, and dementia risk: findings from the cardiovascular health cognition study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 161(7):639–651.
- Pratt, J. G., Smith, B. M., Rhine, J., Stuart, C. E., and Greenwood, J. A. (1940). *Extra-sensory perception after sixty years: A critical appraisal of the research in extra-sensory perception*. Henry Holt and Company.
- Prince, M., Wimo, A., Guerchet, M., Ali, G., Wu, Y., and Prina, M. (2015). World alzheimer report 2015. the global impact of dementia. an analysis of prevalence, incidence, cost & trends; alzheimers disease international: London. Accessed February 17, 2016 from <https://www.alz.co.uk/research/world-report-2015>.
- Proust-Lima, C. and Taylor, J. M. (2009). Development and validation of a dynamic prognostic tool for prostate cancer recurrence using repeated measures of posttreatment psa: a joint modeling approach. *Biostatistics*, 10(3):535–549.
- Pullenayegum, E. M. (2011). An informed reference prior for between-study heterogeneity in meta-analyses of binary outcomes. *Statistics in Medicine*, 30(26):3082–3094.
- Qiu, C., Kivipelto, M., and von Strauss, E. (2009). Epidemiology of alzheimer’s disease: occurrence, determinants, and strategies toward intervention. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience*, 11(2):111–128.
- R Core Team (2016). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0.
- Raab, G. M. and Elton, R. A. (1993). Bayesian analysis of binary data from an audit of cervical smears. *Statistics in Medicine*, 12(23):2179–2189.
- Ravaglia, G., Forti, P., Lucicesare, A., Pisacane, N., Rietti, E., Bianchin, M., and Dalmonte, E. (2008). Physical activity and dementia risk in the elderly findings from a prospective italian study. *Neurology*, 70(19):1786–1794.
- Reisberg, B. and Gauthier, S. (2008). Current evidence for subjective cognitive impairment (sci) as the pre-mild cognitive impairment (mci) stage of subsequently manifest alzheimer’s disease. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 20(01):1–16.

- Rhodes, K. M., Turner, R. M., and Higgins, J. P. (2015). Predictive distributions were developed for the extent of heterogeneity in meta-analyses of continuous outcome data. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 68(1):52–60.
- Rizopoulos, D. (2011). Dynamic predictions and prospective accuracy in joint models for longitudinal and time-to-event data. *Biometrics*, 67(3):819–829.
- Rizopoulos, D. (2012a). Fast fitting of joint models for longitudinal and event time data using a pseudo-adaptive gaussian quadrature rule. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 56(3):491–501.
- Rizopoulos, D. (2012b). *Joint models for longitudinal and time-to-event data: With applications in R*. Chapman & Hall/CRC Press.
- Rizopoulos, D. (2014). The r package jmbayes for fitting joint models for longitudinal and time-to-event data using mcmc. Accessed 20 September 2016 from <https://arxiv.org/abs/1404.7625>.
- Rizopoulos, D., Verbeke, G., and Lesaffre, E. (2009). Fully exponential laplace approximations for the joint modelling of survival and longitudinal data. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*, 71(3):637–654.
- Rogers, R. L., Meyer, J. S., and Mortel, K. F. (1990). After reaching retirement age physical activity sustains cerebral perfusion and cognition. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 38(2):123–128.
- Rosenthal, R. (1978). Combining results of independent studies. *Psychological Bulletin*, 85(1):185.
- Rovio, S., Kåreholt, I., Helkala, E.-L., Viitanen, M., Winblad, B., Tuomilehto, J., Soininen, H., Nissinen, A., and Kivipelto, M. (2005). Leisure-time physical activity at midlife and the risk of dementia and alzheimer's disease. *The Lancet Neurology*, 4(11):705–711.
- Rovio, S., Kåreholt, I., Viitanen, M., Winblad, B., Tuomilehto, J., Soininen, H., Nissinen, A., and Kivipelto, M. (2007). Work-related physical activity and the risk of dementia and alzheimer's disease. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 22(9):874–882.
- Rücker, G., Carpenter, J. R., and Schwarzer, G. (2011). Detecting and adjusting for small-study effects in meta-analysis. *Biometrical Journal*, 53(2):351–368.
- Rufibach, K. (2011). Selection models with monotone weight functions in meta analysis. *Biometrical Journal*, 53(4):689–704.
- Scarmeas, N., Levy, G., Tang, M.-X., Manly, J., and Stern, Y. (2001). Influence of leisure activity on the incidence of alzheimers disease. *Neurology*, 57(12):2236–2242.
- Scarmeas, N., Luchsinger, J. A., Brickman, A. M., Cosentino, S., Schupf, N., Xin-Tang, M., Gu, Y., and Stern, Y. (2011). Physical activity and alzheimer disease course. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 19(5):471–481.
- Scarmeas, N., Luchsinger, J. A., Schupf, N., Brickman, A. M., Cosentino, S., Tang, M. X., and Stern, Y. (2009). Physical activity, diet, and risk of alzheimer disease. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 302(6):627–637.

- Schmid, C. H., Trikalinos, T. A., and Olkin, I. (2014). Bayesian network meta-analysis for unordered categorical outcomes with incomplete data. *Research Synthesis Methods*, 5(2):162–185.
- Schuit, A. J., Feskens, E., Launer, L. J., and Kromhout, D. (2001). Physical activity and cognitive decline, the role of the apolipoprotein e4 allele. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 33(5):772–777.
- Schwarzer, G., Carpenter, J., and Rücker, G. (2010). Empirical evaluation suggests copas selection model preferable to trim-and-fill method for selection bias in meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 63(3):282–288.
- Sethuraman, J. (1994). A constructive definition of dirichlet priors. *Statistica Sinica*, 4(1994):639–650.
- Sethuraman, J. and Tiwari, R. C. (1981). Convergence of dirichlet measures and the interpretation of their parameter. Technical report, DTIC Document.
- Shadish, W. R. and Haddock, C. K. (2009). *Combining estimates of effect size*. Russell Sage Foundation New York.
- Shore, H. (1982). Simple approximations for the inverse cumulative function, the density function and the loss integral of the normal distribution. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series C (Applied Statistics)*, 31(2):108–114.
- Simons, L. A., Simons, J., McCallum, J., and Friedlander, Y. (2006). Lifestyle factors and risk of dementia: Dubbo study of the elderly. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 184(2):68–70.
- Simpson, R. and Pearson, K. (1904). Report on certain enteric fever inoculation statistics. *The British Medical Journal*, 5(2):1243–1246.
- Singh-M, A., Hillsdon, M., Brunner, E., and Marmot, M. (2005). Effects of physical activity on cognitive functioning in middle age: evidence from the whitehall ii prospective cohort study. *American Journal of Public Health*, 95(12):2252–2258.
- Smith, D., Givens, G. H., and Tweedie, R. Adjustment for publication bias and quality bias in bayesian meta-analysis. <http://avesbiodiv.mncn.csic.es/estadistica/smith97adjustment.pdf>. Retrieved 10 August 2015.
- Smith, M. L. and Glass, G. V. (1977). Meta-analysis of psychotherapy outcome studies. *American Psychologist*, 32(9):752–760.
- Smith, T. C., Spiegelhalter, D. J., and Thomas, A. (1995). Bayesian approaches to random-effects meta-analysis: a comparative study. *Statistics in Medicine*, 14(24):2685–2699.
- Sofi, F., Capalbo, A., Marcucci, R., Gori, A., Fedi, S., Macchi, C., Casini, A., Surrenti, C., Abbate, R., and Gensini, G. (2007). Leisure time but not occupational physical activity significantly affects cardiovascular risk factors in an adult population. *European Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 37(12):947–953.
- Sofi, F., Valecchi, D., Bacci, D., Abbate, R., Gensini, G., Casini, A., and Macchi, C. (2011). Physical activity and risk of cognitive decline: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Journal of Internal Medicine*, 269(1):107–117.

- Spiegelhalter, D. J., Best, N. G., Carlin, B. P., and Van Der Linde, A. (2002). Bayesian measures of model complexity and fit. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*, 64(4):583–639.
- Spiegelhalter, D. J., Freedman, L. S., and Parmar, M. K. (1994). Bayesian approaches to randomized trials. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series A (Statistics in Society)*, 157(3):357–416.
- Stigum, B. P. (1990). *Toward a formal science of economics: the axiomatic method in economics and econometrics*. Mit Press.
- Sturtz, S., Ligges, U., Gelman, A., et al. (2005). R2winbugs: a package for running winbugs from r. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 12(3):1–16.
- Su, Y.-P., Chang, C.-K., Hayes, R. D., Perera, G., Broadbent, M., To, D., Hotopf, M., and Stewart, R. (2014). Mini-mental state examination as a predictor of mortality among older people referred to secondary mental healthcare. *PloS One*, 9(9):e105312.
- Sumic, A., Michael, Y. L., Carlson, N. E., Howieson, D. B., and Kaye, J. A. (2007). Physical activity and the risk of dementia in oldest old. *Journal of Aging and Health*, 19(2):242–259.
- Sutton, A. J. and Abrams, K. R. (2001). Bayesian methods in meta-analysis and evidence synthesis. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, 10(4):277–303.
- Sutton, A. J., Song, F., Gilbody, S. M., and Abrams, K. R. (2000). Modelling publication bias in meta-analysis: a review. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, 9(5):421–445.
- Suzuki, A. K., Salasar, L. E. B., Leite, J., and Louzada-Neto, F. (2010). A bayesian approach for predicting match outcomes: the 2006 (association) football world cup. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 61(10):1530–1539.
- Sy, M. M., Ancelet, S., Henner, P., Hurtevent, P., and Simon-Cornu, M. (2015). Foliar interception of radionuclides in dry conditions: a meta-analysis using a bayesian modeling approach. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 147(1):63–75.
- Taaffe, D. R., Irie, F., Masaki, K. H., Abbott, R. D., Petrovitch, H., Ross, G. W., and White, L. R. (2008). Physical activity, physical function, and incident dementia in elderly men: the honolulu–asia aging study. *The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*, 63(5):529–535.
- Taylor, J. M., Park, Y., Ankerst, D. P., Proust-Lima, C., Williams, S., Kestin, L., Bae, K., Pickles, T., and Sandler, H. (2013). Real-time individual predictions of prostate cancer recurrence using joint models. *Biometrics*, 69(1):206–213.
- Taylor, J. M., Yu, M., and Sandler, H. M. (2005). Individualized predictions of disease progression following radiation therapy for prostate cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 23(4):816–825.
- Therneau, T. M. and Grambsch, P. M. (2000). *Modeling survival data: extending the Cox model*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Thomas, A., Spiegelhalter, D. J., and Gilks, W. (1992). Bugs: A program to perform bayesian inference using gibbs sampling. *Bayesian Statistics*, 4(9):837–842.

- Tolppanen, A.-M., Solomon, A., Kulmala, J., K areholt, I., Ngandu, T., Rusanen, M., Laatikainen, T., Soininen, H., and Kivipelto, M. (2015). Leisure-time physical activity from mid-to late life, body mass index, and risk of dementia. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, 11(4):434–443.
- Tseng, Y., Su, Y., Mao, M., and Wang, J. (2015). An extended hazard model with longitudinal covariates. *Biometrika*, page asu058.
- Tsiatis, A., Degruttola, V., and Wulfsohn, M. (1995). Modeling the relationship of survival to longitudinal data measured with error. applications to survival and cd4 counts in patients with aids. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 90(429):27–37.
- Turner, E. H., Matthews, A. M., Linardatos, E., Tell, R. A., and Rosenthal, R. (2008). Selective publication of antidepressant trials and its influence on apparent efficacy. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 358(3):252–260.
- Turner, R. M., Davey, J., Clarke, M. J., Thompson, S. G., and Higgins, J. P. (2012). Predicting the extent of heterogeneity in meta-analysis, using empirical data from the cochrane database of systematic reviews. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 41(3):818–827.
- V azquez-Polo, F.-J., Moreno, E., Negr ın, M. A., and Martel, M. (2015). A bayesian sensitivity study of risk difference in the meta-analysis of binary outcomes from sparse data. *Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics & Outcomes Research*, 15(2):317–322.
- Vergheze, J., Lipton, R. B., Katz, M. J., Hall, C. B., Derby, C. A., Kuslansky, G., Ambrose, A. F., Sliwinski, M., and Buschke, H. (2003). Leisure activities and the risk of dementia in the elderly. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 348(25):2508–2516.
- Vergheze, J., Wang, C., Katz, M. J., Sanders, A., and Lipton, R. B. Leisure activities and risk of vascular cognitive impairment in older adults. *Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology*, 22(2):110–118.
- Waldmann, E. and Taylor-Robinson, D. (2016). Bayesian quantile-based joint modelling of repeated measurement and time-to-event data, with an application to lung function decline and time to infection in patients with cystic fibrosis. Accessed 19 December 2015 from <https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.02696>.
- Walley, P., Gurrin, L., and Burton, P. (1996). Analysis of clinical data using imprecise prior probabilities. *The Statistician*, pages 457–485.
- Wang, H.-X., Karp, A., Winblad, B., and Fratiglioni, L. (2002). Late-life engagement in social and leisure activities is associated with a decreased risk of dementia: a longitudinal study from the kungsholmen project. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 155(12):1081–1087.
- Wannamethee, S. G. and Shaper, A. G. (2001). Physical activity in the prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Sports Medicine*, 31(2):101–114.
- Waring, S. C., Doody, R. S., Pavlik, V. N., Massman, P. J., and Chan, W. (2005). Survival among patients with dementia from a large multi-ethnic population. *Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders*, 19(4):178–183.

- Wilson, R. S., De Leon, C. F. M., Barnes, L. L., Schneider, J. A., Bienias, J. L., Evans, D. A., and Bennett, D. A. (2002). Participation in cognitively stimulating activities and risk of incident alzheimer disease. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 287(6):742–748.
- Wu, W., Brickman, A. M., Luchsinger, J., Ferrazzano, P., Pichiule, P., Yoshita, M., Brown, T., DeCarli, C., Barnes, C. A., Mayeux, R., et al. (2008). The brain in the age of old: the hippocampal formation is targeted differentially by diseases of late life. *Annals of Neurology*, 64(6):698–706.
- Wulfsohn, M. S. and Tsiatis, A. A. (1997). A joint model for survival and longitudinal data measured with error. *Biometrics*, 53(1):330–339.
- Yaffe, K., Barnes, D., Nevitt, M., Lui, L.-Y., and Covinsky, K. (2001). A prospective study of physical activity and cognitive decline in elderly women: women who walk. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 161(14):1703–1708.
- Yaffe, K., Middleton, L. E., Lui, L.-Y., Spira, A. P., Stone, K., Racine, C., Ensrud, K. E., and Kramer, J. H. (2011). Mild cognitive impairment, dementia, and their subtypes in oldest old women. *Archives of Neurology*, 68(5):631–636.
- Yoshitake, T., Kiyohara, Y., Kato, I., Ohmura, T., Iwamoto, H., Nakayama, K., Ohmori, S., Nomiya, K., Kawano, H., Ueda, K., et al. (1995). Incidence and risk factors of vascular dementia and alzheimer’s disease in a defined elderly japanese population the hisayama study. *Neurology*, 45(6):1161–1168.
- Yu, M., Law, N. J., Taylor, J. M., and Sandler, H. M. (2004). Joint longitudinal-survival-cure models and their application to prostate cancer. *Statistica Sinica*, 14(3):835–862.
- Yu, M., Taylor, J. M. G., and Sandler, H. M. (2008). Individual prediction in prostate cancer studies using a joint longitudinal survival–cure model. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 103(481):178–187.
- Yusuf, S., Peto, R., Lewis, J., Collins, R., and Sleight, P. (1985). Beta blockade during and after myocardial infarction: an overview of the randomized trials. *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases*, 27(5):335–371.