

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

CHANGES IN PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF HEMIC AND SAPRIC PEAT INFLUENCED BY LIMING AND FERTILIZER APPLICATION

AMEERA BINTI ABDUL REEZA

FP 2015 61



# CHANGES IN PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF HEMIC AND SAPRIC PEAT AS INFLUENCED BY LIME AND FERTILIZER APPLICATION

By

AMEERA BINTI ABDUL REEZA

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

May 2015

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation to text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia

 $\mathbf{G}$ 



# DEDICATION

To m<mark>y wonderful parents,</mark> Abdul Reeza Abdul Rahman and Sharifah Sham Mustafa Aljofri,

My supportive husband, Mohd Zuhairi Mohammad Sabri,

and

My precious son, Muhammad Aqief Imran Mohd Zuhairi

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

# CHANGES IN PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF HEMIC AND SAPRIC PEAT MATERIAL AS INFLUENCED BY LIME AND FERTILIZER APPLICATION

By

### AMEERA BINTI ABDUL REEZA

May 2015

Chair: Aminuddin Hussin, PhD

### **Faculty: Agriculture**

Studies on peat of tropical regions on their physical and chemical changes as a consequence of liming and fertilizers are mostly inconclusive due to the variations in the type of peat, climate, hydrology as well as the botanical origin of the peat lands. Hence, this study was conducted to determine the changes in physical and chemical properties specifically in Hemic and Sapric peat material upon liming and fertilizer application; to compare the rate of carbon dioxide release between Hemic and Sapric peat material upon lime and fertilizer application under a small-scale laboratory incubation study; to evaluate the effect of liming and fertilizer on availability of Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P), Potassium (K) in Hemic and Sapric material; to examine the changes in the level of Carbon (C) and N content in the two types of peat materials as a result of lime and fertilizer application during the course of planting maize and the availability of N for the plant.

Experiments were conducted both in laboratory and netted-house where the first and final objectives were conducted using the same experiment where maize were grown until tasselling stage (8 weeks) in the netted-house of Ladang 16, UPM. Four treatments in triplicates were applied (C) control; (T1) compound fertilizer NPK (12:12:17:2); (T2) dolomite adjusted to pH5.5; (T3) combination of compound fertilizer NPK and dolomite adjusted to pH5.5. The second objective was conducted using an open chamber incubation approach to capture  $CO_2$  release while the third objective was assessed by using basins of 32 x 15 cm dimension with temperature setting of 30°C. Three treatments applied in the latter two studies in triplicates were (T1) no additives; (T2) addition of lime at 2.5 g per 100 g of peat; (T3) addition of lime and fertilizer NPK (12:12:17:2) at 3 g per 100 g of peat. The peat materials were left to decompose aerobically for 8 weeks and samples were taken for the determination of  $CO_2$ –C, available N, P and K at every 7 days interval.

The addition of lime (T2) and fertilizers (T3) caused significant increases in pH from pH 3.7-3.8 to pH 5.6-5.79 and CEC from an average of 121.1 meq 100g<sup>-1</sup> to 233.9 meq  $100g^{-1}$  while significantly reducing the organic carbon (average of 53.6% to 50.0%), MWD (average of 4.4 mm to 3.1 mm) and water availability (average of 78.7% to 34.1%) of the two types of peat material. The use of FTIR further justify the enhanced decomposition in the T2 and T3 by the disappearance of aliphatic and aromatic C=C stretch at 1700 and 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Sapric material often showed higher carbon dioxide release than Hemic regardless of treatment application. The response patterns on nutrient availability were similar between the two peat materials, only that they differ between treatments. Although  $NH_4^+$  was significantly lower in T2 (202.13 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) compared to T1 (264.07 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in Hemic, however the release may persist longer in T2 as the response was linear compared to T1 where response was quadratic. The introduction of lime (T2) did not improve the release of P (58.7-73.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) compared to under controlled condition (79-96.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). Liming peat material also resulted in lower amounts of exchangeable K<sup>+</sup> (19.27- 27.72 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) compared to under controlled condition  $(21.73-33.89 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ .

Maize plants were unable to grow in the control and T1 due to the extreme acidity of the peat materials as they grew only when the peat materials were amended with lime in T2 and T3. Although no significant differences found on N concentrations in maize plants when planted on the two types of peat material, yet the maize plants showed better growth when planted on Sapric. However, the N content in the plant was significantly reduced in the second planting for T2 (15.25-26.34 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) compared to the same treatment during the first planting (65.63-90.88 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) due to the decline of ammonium supply in these peat materials. Treatment with both application of lime and fertilizer (T3) showed highest dry matter weight in the two plantings. Although the practice of lime and fertilizer application in Hemic and Sapric material resulted in higher nutrient availability (N, P and K), however, this practice also resulted in higher amounts of carbon dioxide release with time, breakdown of larger-sized aggregates of organic material as well as reduction in water retention capability which in turn may induce the susceptibility of wind and water erosion of this fragile peat materials.

Abstrak thesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

# PERUBAHAN FIZIK DAN KIMIA PADA HEMIK DAN SAPRIK KESAN DARI PENGAPLIKASIAN KAPUR DAN BAJA

Oleh

### AMEERA BINTI ABDUL REEZA

Mei 2015

#### Pengerusi: Aminuddin Hussin, PhD

### Fakulti: Pertanian

Kajian mengenai gambut di kawasan tropika membabitkan perubahan fizik dan kimia oleh tanah tersebut kesan dari pengkapuran serta pembajaan masih lagi tidak muktamad disebabkan kepelbagaian jenis gambut, cuaca, topografi, hidrologi serta asal tumbuhan tanah gambut itu. Dengan itu, kajian ini telah dijalankan bagi menentukan perubahan fizik dan kimia khususnya pada jenis gambut yang dinamakan Hemik dan Saprik kesan dari pengkapuran dan pembajaan; membandingkan kadar pelepasan karbon dioksida di antara Hemik dan Saprik setelah pengkapuran dan pembajaan melalui cara inkubasi skala kecil di makmal; menilai mineralisasi nutrien seperti Nitrogen (N), Fosforus (P) dan Kalium (K) di dalam Hemik dan Saprik kesan dari pengkapuran dan pembajaan; menyelidiki perubahan pada kandungan Karbon (C) dan N di dalam kedua-dua jenis gambut ini akibat pengaplikasian kapur dan baja sepanjang penanaman jagung serta ketersediaan nutrien N bagi penyerapan oleh pokok jagung tersebut.

Kajian telah dijalankan di dalam makmal dan juga rumah jaring di mana objektif pertama dan terakhir telah dijalankan menggunakan eksperimen yang sama dengan menanam jagung sehingga fasa pengeluaran bunga jantan (8 minggu) di ladang 16, UPM. Terdapat 4 jenis rawatan dalam 3 replikasi telah digunakan iaitu (C) kawalan; (T1) baja kompoun NPK (12:12:17:2); (T2) kapur ditambah sehingga mencapai pH 5.5; (T3) penggabungan kapur dan baja kompoun NPK (12:12:17:2). Objektif kedua telah diuji dengan pendekatan inkubasi bekas terbuka dengan pemerangkapan  $CO_2$  yang berterusan manakala objektif ketiga telah dijalankan menggunakan besen yang bersaiz 32 x 25 cm dimensi pada ketetapan suhu 30 °C. Tiga jenis rawatan dalam 3 replikasi telah dijalankan iaitu (T1) kawalan; (T2) penambahan kapur pada 2.5 g bagi setiap 100 g gambut; (T3) penambahan kapur serta baja kompoun NPK (12:12:17:2) pada 3 g bagi setiap 100 g gambut. Gambut tersebut dibiarkan mereput dengan kehadiran oksigen selama 8 minggu di mana sampel tanah diambil setiap 7 hari sekali bagi penentuan karbon dioksida serta perubahan N, P dan K tersedia.

Penambahan kapur (T2) dan baja (T3) telah mengakibatkan kenaikan pH sebanyak 2.0 dari pH 3.75 serta CEC sebanyak 112.8 meq 100g<sup>-1</sup> dari 121.1 meq 100g<sup>-1</sup>, manakala penurunan pada karbon organic (dari purata sebanyak 53.6% kepada 50%), MWD (purata dari 4.4 mm kepada 3.1 mm) serta ketersediaan air (purata dari 78.7% kepada 34.1%) pada kedua-dua jenis gambut ini. Penggunaan FTIR membuktikan peningkatan dalam pereputan pada T2 dan T3 disebabkan hilangnya rangkaian alifatik dan aromatic C=C pada 1700 dan 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Rawatan T3 kerap mencatatkan jumlah penghasilan CO<sub>2</sub> yang tertinggi berbanding rawatan lain tidak kira jenis gambut. Respon yang serupa dalam ketersediaan nutrien dapat dilihat antara kedua-dua jenis gambut, ianya hanya berbeza mengikut rawatan. Walaupun NH4<sup>+</sup> yang dihasilkan dalam rawatan T2 lebih rendah (202.13 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) dari T1 (264.07 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), tetapi pelepasan NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> di T2 mampu bertahan lebih lama disebabkan respon yang linear berbanding kuadratik di T1. Rawatan kapur (T2) tidak menunjukkan kenaikan dalam pelepasan P inorganik (58.7-73.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) berbanding rawatan T1 (79-96.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). Rawatan T2 juga menyebabkan jumlah ketersediaan K<sup>+</sup> boleh ganti yang lebih rendah (19.27- 27.72 mg  $kg^{-1}$ ) berbanding T1(21.73-33.89 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>).

Pokok jagung ini didapati tidak dapat hidup dalam rawatan kawalan dan T1 disebabkan keasidan yang tinggi pada tanah gambut. Ianya hanya dapat hidup pada rawatan yang mengandungi kapur seperti di T2 dan T3. Meskipun tiada perbezaan yang nyata pada kepekatan N dalam jagung yang ditanam pada kedua-dua jenis gambut, namun pertumbuhan jagung kelihatan lebih baik apabila ditanam pada Saprik berbanding Hemik. Namun begitu, kandungan N pada jagung ini berkurangan dengan sangat ketara pada penanaman yang kedua bagi rawatan T2 (15.25-26.34 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) berbanding penanaman yang pertama (65.63-90.88 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) disebabkan kekurangan bekalan ammonium di dalam kedua-dua gambut ini. Rawatan T3 bagi kedua-dua jenis gambut ini menunjukkan berat kering jagung yang tertinggi dalam kedua-dua penanaman. Meskipun penggunaan baja dan kapur pada kedua-dua jenis gambut ini menunjukkan peningkatan pada ketersediaan nutrient N, P dan K, tetapi ianya juga menyebabkan kenaikan pada pelepasan gas karbon dioksida, perpecahan pada agregat bahan organik yang lebih besar serta pengurangan pada keupayaan kedua-dua gambut ini untuk menyerap lebih banyak air. Ini mungkin akan menyebabkan kebarangkalian yang lebih tinggi untuk terjadinya hakisan air dan angin pada Hemik dan Saprik tersebut.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to the Almighty as I would never have been able to complete this doctoral thesis without the strength, courage and blessings He had given me. Indeed, it was a very challenging yet exciting journey throughout my learning process and I am very grateful that He had given me the opportunity to do so.

I would like to extend my deepest gratitude to my most amazing parents Abdul Reeza Abdul Rahman and Sharifah Sham Mustafa Aljofri for their endless, support, encouragement and aspiring advice which my mere expression of gratitude likewise does not suffice. Also, I would like to thank my husband, Mohd Zuhairi Mohammad Sabri for his patience and support at all times. Also my sincere thanks to my parent inlaw as without their help in taking care of my adorable son, I wouldn't have been able to complete my writing within the stipulated dateline.

This thesis would not have been possible without the help, enthusiasm and immense knowledge of my remarkable supervisory committee, Associate Professor Dr. Aminuddin Hussin, Associate Professor Dr. Ahmad Husni Mohd Hanif and Professor Aspollah Md. Sukari. They are the best supervisors a student could ever had who have helped me through thick and thin at all times that I could never imagined having better supervisors and mentor for my doctoral study.

Last but never the least are the lab staff of the Department of Land Resource Management which I would gladly name a few; Mr. Ramli, Mdm. Fouzaiah (Kak Ogy), Mr. Din, Mdm. Fariz and Mr. Fuzi for their support and assistance in completing my lab works. It was such a fun and wonderful experience working together with them.

However, the best part of all throughout this journey was the birth of my incredible son, Muhammad Aqief Imran Mohd Zuhairi to whom I dedicate this thesis as well as my entire life to him. I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 11<sup>th</sup> May 2015 to conduct the final examination of Ameera Binti Abdul Reeza on her thesis entitled "Changes in Physical and Chemical Properties of Hemic and Sapric as Influenced by Lime and Fertilizers" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

# Mohd Khanif Yusop, PhD

Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

# Rosenani Abu Bakar, PhD

Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

# Hamdan Jol, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

#### Inayatullah Rajpar, PhD

Associate Professor Sindh Agriculture University Pakistan (External Examiner)

# ZULKARNAIN ZAINAL, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 17 June 2015

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

# Aminuddin Hussin, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

# Ahmad Husni Mohd Hanif, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

# Mohd Aspollah Md. Sukari, PhD

Professor Faculty of Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

# **BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD**

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

# **Declaration by graduate student**

# I hereby confirm that:

- This thesis is my original work;
- Quotations, illustrations and citation have been duly referenced;
- This thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- Intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of the thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- Written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- There is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The theis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:	Da	ite:

Name and Matric No.: AMEERA BINTI ABDUL REEZA (GS 24217)

# **Declaration by Member of Supervisory Committee**

- This is to confirm that the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- Supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature:

Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee:

Signature:

Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:

Signature:

Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

1

ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTRAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
APPROVAL	V
DECLARATION	ix
LIST OF TABLES	XV
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx

CHAPTER

1

2

# INTRODUCTION

#### LITERATURE REVIEW 4 2.1 **Definition of Tropical Peat** 4 2.2 Decomposition of Organic Matters in Peat 5 2.3 Types of Peat Soil Material 7 7 2.3.1**Definition of Fibres** 8 2.3.2 Fibric 2.3.3 Hemic 8 2.3.4 Sapric 8 2.4 8 Types of Peat Based on Depth 2.5 Types of Peat Based on Hydrology 9 Formation of Peat 9 2.62.7 Factors Influence Formation of Tropical Peat 10 Climate 2.7.1 10 2.7.2 Hydro-topography 10 2.7.3 Types of Vegetation 11 2.8 Distribution of Peatland in Malaysia 11 2.9 Main Characteristics of Tropical Peat 12 2.9.1 **Physical Characteristics** 12 2.9.2 Chemical Properties of Tropical Peat 18 2.10 Lime Requirement in Peat Soils 32 Types of Liming Material 34 2.10.1 Method in Lime Application 35 2.10.2 2.11 Fertilizer Application in Peat Soils 35 2.12 Influence of Lime and Fertilizer on Physical 36 Properties of Peat Soils 3.0 Maize 38

EFFEC	CT OF L	IME AND FERTILIZER	
APPLI	CATION	N ON PHYSICAL AND	
CHEM	ICAL P	ROPERTIES OF HEMIC AND	40
SAPRI	C PEAT		40
3.1	Introduc	ction	40
3.2	Method	ology	42
	3.2.1	Soil Sampling and Preparation	42
	3.2.2	Assignment of Treatments	43
3.2.3	Analysi	s on Chemical Properties	44
	3.2.4	Analysis on Physical Properties	45
	3.2.5	Statistical Analysis	46
3.3	Results	and Discussion	46
	3.3.1	Physical and Chemical Characteristics	
		of Hemic and Sapric Prior to Planting	46
	3.3.2	Changes in Chemical Characteristics	
		uponTreatment Applications	49
	3.3.3	Changes in Physical Characteristics	
		upon Treatment Applications	56
3.4	Conclus	sion	69
COMP	ARISON	NON CARBON DIOXIDE	
EMISS	SION IN	HEMIC AND SAPRIC PEAT	
MATE	RIAL U	PON LIMING AND FERTILIZER	
APPLI	CATION	J	70
4.1	Introdu	ction	70
4.2	Method	ology	71
	4.2.1	Determination of CO <sub>2</sub> Emission from the	э
		Decomposition of Hemic and Sapric	71
	4.2.2	Statistical Analysis	72
4.3	Results	and Discussion	73
	4.3.1	CO <sub>2</sub> Emission of Hemic and Sapric	
		under Aerobic Condition without any	
		Additives	73
	4.3.2	CO <sub>2</sub> Emission of Hemic and Sapric	
		under Aerobic Condition with Liming	
		Application	75
	4.3.3	CO <sub>2</sub> Emission of Hemic and Sapric	
		under Aerobic Condition with Liming	
		and Fertilizer Application	77
44	Conclus	sion	78
т.т	Concru	51011	70
FFFF	TOFU	IME AND FERTH IZER	
		JON AVAILABILITV OF	
NITRO	CATION CEN P	HOSPHORUS AND POTASSIUM	
IN HEI	MIC AN	D SADDIC DEAT MATERIAI	70
5 1	Introdu	ction	70
5.1	Mathed		/7 Q1
5.2	5 2 1	Nutriant Availability Study	01 Q1
	J.2.1 5 2 2	Analysis on Ammonium N	01 01
	5.2.2	Analysis on Ammonium-N	81
	5.2.3	Analysis on Available Phosphorus	82

 $\bigcirc$ 

	5.2.4	Analysis on Available Potassium	82
	5.2.5	Statistical Analysis	82
5.3	Results	and Discussion	83
	5.3.1	Nitrogen Availability	83
	5.3.2	Comparison on Extractable $NH_4^+$ at	
		8 weeks Incubation between	
		Treatments	86
	533	Phosphorus Availability	87
	534	Comparison on Extractable D at 8	07
	5.5.4	Weeks Incubation between Treatments	00
	525	Detessium Availability	90
	5.5.5	Polassium Availability	90
	5.3.6	Comparison on Extractable K at 8	0.4
		Weeks Incubation between Treatments	94
	5.3.7	Changes in Total Carbon	95
5.4	Conclus	sion	96
EFFEC	T OF LI	ME AND FERTILIZER	
APPLI	CATION	NON CARBON FLUXES AND	
NITRO	GEN AV	VAILABILITY FOR MAIZE	
PLANT	ED IN H	HEMIC AND SAPRIC PEAT	97
6.1	Introduc	ction	97
6.2	Method	ology	98
	6.2.1	Maize Planting and Treatment	
		Application	98
	6.2.2	Soil and Plant Sampling	99
	623	Statistical Analysis	100
63	Results	and Discussion	100
0.5	6 2 1	Changes in Carbon Contant in Hamia	100
	0.5.1	end Sapria Post Materials under	
		Controlled Condition (C)	100
	(2)	Controlled Condition (C)	100
	0.3.2	Changes in Carbon Content in Hemic	
		and Sapric Peat Materials under	101
	600	Fertilizer Treatment (11)	101
	6.3.3	Changes in Carbon Content in Hemic	
		and Sapric Peat Materials under Limed	
		Treatment (T2)	102
	6.3.4	Changes in Carbon Content in Hemic	
		and Sapric Peat Materials under Limed	
		and Fertilizer Treatment (T3)	104
	6.3.5	Comparison on Carbon Changes	
		between Treatment in Hemic and	
		Sapric Peat	105
	6.3.6	Changes in Total Nitrogen Content in	
		Controlled Treatment (C)	108
	6.3.7	Changes in Total Nitrogen Content in	
		Fertilizer Treatment (T1)	109
	6.3.8	Changes in Total Nitrogen Content in	/
	5.2.0	Limed Treatment (T2)	110
	639	Changes in Total Nitrogen Content in	
	0.5.7	Limed and Fertilizer Treatment (T2)	111
		Linea and Fertilizer Treatment (15)	111

6

 $\bigcirc$ 

6.3.10	Ammonium Release during First	
	Planting	113
6.3.11	Ammonium Release during Second	
	Planting	115
6.3.12	Nitrate Release during First Planting	117
6.3.13	Nitrate Release during Second	
	Planting	119
6.3.14	C/N Ratio	120
6.3.15	Dry Matter Weight of Maize	122
6.3.16	Nitrogen in Maize	123
Conclus	ion	126

128

# 7 SUMMARY, GENERAL CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

6.4

 $\mathbf{G}$ 

REFERENCES	131
APPENDICES	147
BIODATA OF STUDENT	157
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	158
STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS	159

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	Treatments applied in Hemic and Sapric	43
3.2	Selected physical properties prior to planting in Hemic and Sapric peat material	47
3.3	Selected chemical properties prior to planting	48
3.4	Comparison on the reduction of organic carbon from initial condition (%) between Hemic and Sapric peat material	52
3.5	Comparison on increment in exchange capacity from initial condition (%) between Hemic and Sapric peat material	54
3.6	Comparison on the reduction of available water upon treatment application between Hemic and Sapric peat materials	66
5.1	Comparison on the amount of extractable $NH_4^+$ (mg/kg) between initial and 8 weeks of incubation in Hemic and Sapric peat material	86
5.2	Extractable P (mg/kg) prior to incubation for Hemic and Sapric peat material	90
5.3	Comparison on the amount of exchangeable K <sup>+</sup> (mg/kg) between initial at 8 weeks incubation in Hemic and Sapric peat material	94
6.1	Treatments applied in Hemic and Sapric peat	98

 $\left( \mathbf{G}\right)$ 

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Distribution of Peat Soils In Malaysia	11
2.2	Distribution of major peat soil areas that are categorized as Well-decomposed peat, Saprist in West Malaysia	12
2.3	Diagram of moisture removal from peat soils upon reclamation and intensive drying	17
2.4	Nitrogen cycle	25
2.5	Phosphorus cycle	29
2.6	Potassium cycle	31
3.1	Images from the location where peat materials were collected in A secondary forest in Kampung Kundang, Tanjung Sepat, Selangor, Malaysia	42
3.2	pH in Hemic and Sapric during initial and final week of planting (week 17)	49
3.3	Percentage of organic carbon content in Hemic and Sapric during initial and final week of planting	51
3.4	CEC in Hemic and Sapric material prior to and at the end of planting weeks (week 17)	53
3.5	Comparison of MWD between initial and final week of planting (week 17) for treatment in Hemic peat material	57
3.6	Sampling of Hemic material at the end of planting (week 17) for all treatment; control (A), T1 (B), T2 (C) and T3 (D)	58
3.7	Percentage of Hemic particles of more than 2mm in all treatments	59
3.8	Distribution of particle size (%) in Hemic peat material during initial and final week of planting	59
3.9	Comparison on MWD between initial and final week of planting (week 17) for treatment in Sapric peat material	60
3.10	Samples of Sapric material at the end of planting (week 17) for all treatments; control (A), T1 (B), T2 (C) and T3 (D)	61
3.11	Percentage of Sapric particles more than 2mm all treatments	62

6

3.12	Distribution of particle size (%) in Sapric peat material during initial and final week of planting	62
3.13	Available water content (%) between prior and at the end of planting weeks for all treatments in Hemic peat material	64
3.14	Available water content (%) between prior and at the end of planting weeks for all treatments in Sapric peat material	65
3.15	SEM micrographs of Hemic material at the end of planting on selected treatment; (A) control-T1, (B) treatment with lime-T2 (C) treatment with combination of lime and fertilizer-T3	68
3.16	SEM micrographs of Sapric material at the end of planting on selected treatment; (A) control-T1, (B) treatment with lime-T2 (C) treatment with combination of lime and fertilizer-T3	69
4.1	Difference in the release of $CO_2$ from Hemic and Sapric under aerobic condition without any additives	74
4.2	Difference in the release of $CO_2$ from Hemic and Sapric under aerobic and liming condition	76
4.3	Difference in the release of $CO_2$ from Hemic and Sapric under aerobic and liming with fertilizer condition	76
5.1	Extractable ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> ) extracted throughout 8 weeks of incubation for Hemic and Sapric peat material under controlled condition (T1)	83
5.2	Extractable ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> ) extracted throughout 8 weeks of incubation for Hemic and Sapric peat material under limed condition (T2)	83
5.3	Extractable ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> ) extracted throughout 8 weeks of incubation for Hemic and Sapric peat material under limed and fertilizer condition (T3)	85
5.4	Phosphorus mineralization in Hemic (H) and Sapric (S) for T1 and T2	87
5.5	Extractable P in Hemic (H) and Sapric (S) for T3	89
5.6	Potassium release as indicated by the quantity of exchangeable $K^+$ in Hemic and Sapric peat material under controlled (T1) condition	91

Potassium release as indicated by the quantity of exchangeable $K^+$ in Hemic and Sapric peat material under limed (T2) condition	92
Potassium release as indicated by the quantity of exchangeable $K^+$ in Hemic and Sapric peat material under limed fertilizer (T3) condition	93
Total carbon (%) in Hemic and Sapric peat according to treatment	95
Comparison on carbon fluxes between Hemic and Sapric peat material under controlled (C) condition throughout two consecutive plantings	101
Comparison on carbon fluxes between Hemic and Sapric peat material under fertilizer (T1) condition throughout two consecutive plantings	102
Comparison on carbon fluxes between Hemic and Sapric peat material under limed (T2) condition throughout two consecutive plantings	104
Comparison on carbon fluxes between Hemic and Sapric peat material under limed and fertilizer (T3) condition throughout two consecutive plantings	105
Carbon fluxes in Hemic and Sapric peat material throughout two consecutive plantings (a) comparison on carbon fluxes between treatments in Hemic peat, (b) comparison on carbon fluxes between in Sapric peat	106
Comparison on total N between Hemic and Sapric peat material under controlled (C) condition throughout two consecutive plantings	109
Comparison on total N between Hemic and Sapric peat material under T1 (fertilizer only) throughout two consecutive plantings	110
Comparison on total N between Hemic and Sapric peat material under T2 (lime only) throughout two consecutive plantings	111
Comparison on total N between Hemic and Sapric peat material under T3 (lime and fertilizer only) throughout two consecutive plantings	112
	<ul> <li>Potassium release as indicated by the quantity of exchangeable K' in Hemic and Sapric peat material under limed (T2) condition</li> <li>Potassium release as indicated by the quantity of exchangeable K' in Hemic and Sapric peat material under limed fertilizer (T3) condition</li> <li>Total carbon (%) in Hemic and Sapric peat according to treatment</li> <li>Comparison on carbon fluxes between Hemic and Sapric peat material under controlled (C) condition throughout two consecutive plantings</li> <li>Comparison on carbon fluxes between Hemic and Sapric peat material under fertilizer (T1) condition throughout two consecutive plantings</li> <li>Comparison on carbon fluxes between Hemic and Sapric peat material under fertilizer (T2) condition throughout two consecutive plantings</li> <li>Comparison on carbon fluxes between Hemic and Sapric peat material under limed (T2) condition throughout two consecutive plantings</li> <li>Comparison on carbon fluxes between Hemic and Sapric peat material under limed and fertilizer (T3) condition throughout two consecutive plantings</li> <li>Carbon fluxes in Hemic and Sapric peat material throughout two consecutive plantings (a) comparison on carbon fluxes between treatent in Hemic peat, (b) comparison on carbon fluxes between in Sapric peat material under controlled (C) condition throughout two consecutive plantings</li> <li>Comparison on total N between Hemic and Sapric peat material under T1 (fertilizer only) throughout two consecutive plantings</li> <li>Comparison on total N between Hemic and Sapric peat material under T2 (time only) throughout two consecutive plantings</li> <li>Comparison on total N between Hemic and Sapric peat material under T3 (time and fertilizer only) throughout two consecutive plantings</li> </ul>

6.10	Ammonium release in Hemic and Sapric peat material during the first planting	115
6.11	Ammonium release in Hemic and Sapric peat material during the second planting	117
6.12	Nitrate release from Hemic and Sapric material during the first planting	118
6.13	Nitrate release from Hemic and Sapric material during the second planting	120
6.14	C/N ratio of Hemic and Sapric material throughout the two Consecutive plantings	121
6.15	Comparison on maize dry matter weight (g) between the first and second planting of the two types of peat material in T2 and T3	123
6.16	Comparison on N concentration in Hemic and Sapric peat between first and second planting for T2 and T3	124
6.17	Comparison on N uptake in Hemic and Sapric peat between first and second planting for T2 and T3	125

 $\bigcirc$ 

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Ν	Nitrogen
Р	Phosphorus
K	Potassium
$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	Ammonium
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	Nitrate
ОН	Hydroxyl
СООН	Carboxyl
ppm	parts per million
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	Calcium ion
$Mg^{2+}$	Magnesium ion
$\mathbf{H}^{+}$	Hydrogen ion
$SO_4^-$	Sulfate ion
CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Calcium carbonate
CaMg(CO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	Dolomite
CEC	Cation exchange capacity
С	Carbon
FTIR	Fourier Transform Infra-Red
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
MWD	Mean Weight Diameter

 $\bigcirc$ 

#### **CHAPTER 1**

# **INTRODUCTION**

Peat (malay term: *gambut*), being an organic soil is distinctively different from mineral soils where specific care and attention has to be given regarding its land use and management. Peats are soils that are usually dark in colour and while many presume that when a soil is dark in colour, these soils are very likely to be very fertile and productive. However, this is not always true. Although peat is developed from incomplete decomposition and transformation of plant remains that defines the term 'organic', however such soils are generally classified as poor soils for farming. This is due to both physical limitations where it is waterlogged with high water table during most parts of the year as well as chemical constraints since most nutrients such as potassium, zinc, boron and copper are highly deficient.

Despite such restrictions, some agrarian societies have resorted to utilize peat soils for farming, driven by the need to make a living, in the absence of better alternative mineral soils (Foong et al., 2010). The tropical peat lands are currently under tremendous pressure from agriculture since most of the desirable 'dry' lands (mineral soil) are occupied by urbanization development. As such, peat lands have been deforested at a rapid rate of 1.5% per year on average. While the world demand for palm oil is forecast to increase especially in Europe for biofuel, consequently greater peat land areas have been reclaimed to make way for large scale oil palm plantations. Such activities on peat land pose environmental instability where once the peat is being deforested, it alters the flux of the dominant greenhouse gases (GHG) especially when peat is being drained for agriculture to commence (Hooijer et al., 2006). Apart from that, subsidence which is the lowering of the surface of reclaimed peat is also a major problem and a permanent constraint when attempting for sustainable agricultural activities.

With regard to many of the controversial issues surrounding peat reclamation, henceforth there is a crucial need to focus on several fundamental aspects of the characteristics and behaviour of peat in order to manage the land use properly and efficiently without compromising their benefits for the future. In spite of this, studies on peat of tropical regions regarding the behaviour of organic soils by emphasizing on its physical and chemical changes as a consequence of agricultural practices such as liming and fertilization are mostly inconclusive. This is due to the variations in the type of peat, climate, topography, hydrology as well as the botanical origin of the peat lands. Although it has been well documented that liming increases decomposition rate of organic matter in soils (Fuentes et al., 2006; Marschner and Wilczynski, 1991; Ivarson, 1977;) however several studies found that liming in peat soils cause a decrease in nutrient availability (Chew et al., 1976a and b; O'Toole, 1968; Hardon and Polak, 1941). Still, it should be remarked here that these studies were done on a temperate climate as well as having different parental vegetation. Thus, this present study might be able to clarify the conflicting reports which focus on tropical Histosols that are of dipterocarp vegetation.

While the debate on the global warming issue has been attracting many attention and special emphasis was given by the western counterpart on oil palm planted on peat land, the agricultural use of tropical peat has since commonly viewed with great scepticism. Thus there is a need to provide some solid scientific data to support, counteract and if possibly to shift their frame of mind that cropping in peat land is possible with a moderate compromise view that agriculture should be given concessions in areas which can be permanently drained on shallow and moderatelydeep peat at reasonable cost without endangering the environment. In fact, while peat soils are progressively being converted into agricultural purposes that might lead to the release of carbon, other major nutrients are being released as well. Major nutrients such as nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) are also being released along with energy, water and resynthesized organic carbon compounds. Soil organisms especially microorganisms utilize soil organic matter that is abundant in peat (65-100% organic matter) as food. As they break down the organic matter, any excess nutrients (N, P and S) are released into the soil in forms that plants can use. Although many studies have been done on nutrient release (Chapin et al., 2003; Lopez-Hernandez and Nino, 1992; McGill and Cole, 1981; Leeper, 1952) however, none of such findings focus on the types of peat material especially in tropical Histosols. This is important as the chemical composition (pH, nutrient content, CEC) consequently, the inherent fertility of the organic soil is influenced by the type of peat material (Mohd Tayeb, 2005).

Apart from the agronomic aspects of peat materials, the physical characteristics of peat materials upon anthropogenic activities such as liming and fertilizer application should also be highlighted as such aspect also influence on the fertility of the peat materials. In spite of this, very limited studies, if any, were done on such attributes especially on the structural changes upon agricultural practices (Ciarkowska, 2010). Therefore, this current study may provide important information in anticipating changes not only in chemical, but also in physical attributes of the different types of peat material that have been brought upon by the application of lime and fertilizers.

In a nutshell, this study may contribute fairly on information regarding the effect of agricultural practices towards the physical aspect as well as on the nutritional supply of the peat materials chiefly Hemic and Sapric peat respectively so as to facilitate in better planning and application of lime and fertilizers. Therefore, despite of viewing on the negative issues, we should optimize on the benefits that peat land can provide and manage its potential sufficiently and efficiently.

Thus, the objectives of this study were:

- 1. To determine the changes in physical and chemical properties of Hemic and Sapric peat upon liming and fertilizer application.
- 2. To compare the rate of carbon dioxide release between Hemic and Sapric peat material upon lime and fertilizer application.

- 3. To investigate the effect of liming and fertilizer application on availability of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and exchangeable potassium (K) in Hemic and Sapric material.
- 4. To examine the changes in the level of carbon (C) and N content in the two types of peat materials as a result of lime and fertilizer application and the availability of N for maize.



 $\mathbf{G}$ 

#### REFERENCES

- Abdul Reeza, A., Hussin, A., Mohd. Hanif, A.H. and Md. Sukari., M.A. 2014. Effect of liming and fertilizer application in Hemic and Sapric of tropical peat: Phosphorus mineralization, infra-red spectroscopy and microscopy. American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences, 9(3): 321-333.
- Adams, S.N. and Conforth, I.S. 1973. Some short-term effects of lime and fertilisers on a Sitka spruce plantation. 1. Laboratory studies on litter decomposition and nitrogen mineralisation. Forestry, 46: 39-47.
- Adams F. 1984. Crop response to lime in Southern United States. In *Soil Acidity* and Liming, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Fred Adams (Eds.), American Society of Agronomy, Inc, Madison, Wisconsin, USA: Crop Science Society of America, Inc and Soil Science Society of America, Inc. Pp: 212-259.
- Anderson, J.A.R. 1964. The structure and development of peat swamps of Sarawakand Brunei. Journal of Trop. Geog. 18: 7-16
- Andriesse, J.P. *Nature and Management of Tropical Peat Soils*. FAO Soils Bulletin, 59. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: Rome. 1988.
- ASTM (American Society for Testing and Materials). 1988. Annual Book of ATM Standards. Vol 04.08. Philadelphia: ASTM.
- Aldrich, S.R., Scott, W.O. and Leng, E.R. 1976. Modern Corn Production. Second Edition. 378 pp. USA: A & L Publications.
- Barrow, N.J., 1972. Influence of solution concentration of calcium on the adsorption of phosphate, sulphate and molybdate by soils. Soil Sci., 113: 175-180.
- Bertrand, I., Delfosse, O. and Mary B. 2007. Carbon and nitrogen mineralization in acidic, limed and calcareous agricultural soils: Apparent and actual effects. Soil Biol. Biochem. 39 (1): 276-288.
- Biasi, C., Lind, S.A, Pekkarinen, N.M., Huttunen, J.T., Shurpali, N.J., Hyvönen, N.P., Repo, M.E. and Martikainen, P.J. 2008. Direct experimental evidence for the contribution of lime to CO<sub>2</sub> release from managed peat soil. Soil Biol. & Biochem. 40: 2660-2669.
- Bloomfield, C.B. and Coulter, J.K. 1973. Genesis and management of acid sulphate soils. Advances in Agron. 25: 265-326.
- Boelter, D.H. and Blake, G.R. 1964. Importance of volumetric expressions of water contents of organic soils. Proceedings of the Soil Science Society of America, 28: 176-178.

- Boelter, D.H. The hydrologic characteristics of undrained organic soils in the Lake States. In: Histosols, their Characteristics, Classification and Use, M. Stelly (Eds.), Soil Science Society of America Special Publication No. 6: 33-46.
- Bord na Mona. 1984. Fuel Peat in Developing Countries. Study report for World Bank, Dublin.
- Bot, A. and Benites J. 2005. The Importance of Soil Organic Matter: Key to Drought-Resistant Soil and Sustained Food and Production. FAO Soils Bulletin (80), Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization.
- Brady, N.C. and Weil, R.R. 2002. The Nature and Properties of Soils. 13<sup>th</sup> Edition. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Braekke, F.H. 1987. Nutrient relationships in Forest Stands: Effects of drainage and fertilization on surface peat layers. Forest Ecology and Management, 21: 269-284.
- Braekke, F.H. and L. Finér. 1991. Fertilization effects on surface peat of pine bogs. Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research, 6(1-4): 433-449.
- Bray, R.H. and L.T. Kurtz, 1945. Determination of total, organic and available forms of phosphorus in soils. Soil Sci., 59: 39-46.
- Bronick, C.J. and Lal, R. 2005. Soil structure and management: a review. Geoderma, 124(1-2): 3-22.
- Brussard, L. 1994. Interrelationships between biological activities, soil properties and soil management. In Soil Resilience and Sustainable Land Use, D.J. Greenland and I. Szabolcs (Eds), pp:309-329. United Kingdom: CAB International.
- Burns, R.G. and Davies, J.A. 1986. The microbiology of soil structure. In The Role of Microorganisms in a Sustainable Agriculture, J.M Lopez-Real and R.D Hodges (Eds.), pp: 9-27. Berkhamstead: Academic Publishers.
- Busman, L., J. Lamb, G. Randall, G. Rehm and M. Schmitt, 2002. The Nature of Phosphorus in Soils. Minesota: Regents of the University of Minnesota.
- Byron, N. and G. Shepherd, 1998. Indonesia and the 1997-98 El Niño: Fire Problems and Long-Term Solutions. Natural Resource Perspectives, Number 28. Portland House: Overseas Development Institute. 10pp.
- Campbell, C.R. 2000. Reference Sufficiency Ranges for Plant Analysis in the Southern Region of the United States. Southern Cooperative Series Bulletin #394, North Carolina: North Carolina Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services Agronomic Division.

- Castro, C. and Logan T.J. 1991. Liming effects on the stability and erodibility of some Brazilian Oxisols. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 55: 1407-1413.
- Celik, I., Ortas, I. and Kilic, S. 2004. Effects of compost, mycorrhiza, manure and fertilizer on some physical properties of a Chromoxerert soil. Soil and Tillage Research 78(1): 59-67.
- Celik, I., H. Gunal, M. Budak and C. Akpinar. 2010. Effects of long-term organic and mineral fertilizers on bulk density and penetration resistance in semi-arid Mediterranean soil conditions. Geoderma, 160 (2): 236-243.
- Chan, K.Y. and Heenan, D.P. 1999. Lime-induced loss of soil organic carbon and effect on aggregate stability. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 63(6): 1841-1844.
- Chang, F-H and Alexander, M. 1984. Effects of simulated acid precipitation on decomposition and leaching of organic carbon in forest soils. Soil Science 138: 226-234.
- Chapin, C.T., S.D. Bridgham, J. Pastor and K. Updegraff. 2003. Nitrogen, phosphorus and carbon mineralization in response to nutrient and lime additions in peatlands. Soil Science 168(6): 409- 420.
- Chaplain, V., A. Brault, D. Tessier and P. Défossez. 2008. Soil hydrophobicity: A contribution of diuron sorption experiments. Europ. J. Soil Sci. 59:1202-1208.
- Chaplain, V., Defossez, P., Delarue, G., Roger-Estrade, J., Dexter, A.R., Richard, G. and Tessier, D. 2011. Impact of lime and mineral fertilizers on mechanical strength for various soil pHs. Geoderma, 167-168: 360-368.
- Chew, W.Y., Williams, C.N., Joseph, K.T and Ramli, K. 1976. Studies on the availability to plants of soil nitrogen in Malaysian tropical oligotrophic peat. a. Effect of liming and pH. *Tropical Agriculture* 53: 69-78.
- Chew, W.Y., Williams, C.N. and Ramli, K. 1976. Studies on the availability to plants of soil nitrogen in Malaysian tropical oligotrophic peat. b. Effects of N, P and K and micronutrients. *Tropical Agriculture* 53: 79-87.
- Chimner, R.A. 2004. Soil respiration rates of tropical peatlands in Micronesia and Hawaii. *Wetlands* 24: 51-56.
- Christensen, B.T., 1988. Effects of animal manure and mineral fertilizer on the total carbon and nitrogen contents of soil size fractions. Biology and Fertility of Soils, 5(4): 304-307.
- Ciarkowska, K. 2010. Effect of fertilization on the structure of upland grassland soil. Polish J. of Environ. Stud. 19(4): 693-697.

- Cocozza, C., D'Orazio, V., Miano, T.M. and Shotyk, W. 2003. Characterization of solid and aqueous phases of a peat bog profile using molecular fluorescence spectroscopy, ESR and FT-IR, and comparison with physical properties. Organic Geochemistry, 34: 49–60.
- Condron, L.M., Tiessen, H., Trasar-Cepeda, C., Moir, J.O. and Stewart, J.W.B. 1993. Effects of liming on organic matter decomposition and phosphorus extractability in an acid humic Ranker soil from northwest Spain. Biology and Fertility of Soils, 15: 279-284.
- Coulter, J.K. 1950. Peat formations in Malaya. Malayan Agric. J. 33(2): 63-81.
- Coulter, J.K. 1957. Development of the peat soils in Malaya. Malaysian Agricultural Journal 40: 188-199.
- Curtin, D., Campbell, C.A. and Jalil, A. 1998. Effects of acidity on mineralization: pH –dependence of organic matter mineralization in weakly acidic soils. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 30: 57-64.
- Dikici, H. and Yilmaz, C.H. 2006. Peat fire effects on some properties of an artificially drained Peatland. Journal of Environmental Quality, 35(3): 866-870.
- Dong W, Zhang, X., Wang, H., Dai, X. and Sun, X. 2012. Effect of different fertilizer application on the soil fertility of paddy soils in red soil region of Southern China. PLoS ONE.7(9):e44504.
- Driessen, P.M. and Rochimah, L. 1976. The physical properties of lowland peats from Kalimantan and their significance for land suitability appraisal. In: Research on peat and podzolic soils in Indonesia and their potential for agriculture. Bogor, Indonesia: Soil Research Institute.
- Drissen, P.M. and Suhardjo, H. 1977. Reclamation and use of Indonesian lowland peats and their effect on soil conditions. 3<sup>rd</sup> Asian Soil Conference, Kuala Lumpur.
- Dumale Jr., W.A., Miyazaki, T., Hirai, K. and Nishimura, T. 2011. SOC turnover and lime-CO<sub>2</sub> evolution during liming of an acid Andisol and Ultisol. Open Journal of Soil Science 1: 49-53.
- Dunn, L.E. 1943. Lime requirement of soil by means of titration curve. Soil Sci. 56: 341-351.
- Edmeades, D.C., Judd, M. and Sarathchandra, S.U. 1981. The effect of lime on nitrogen mineralization as measured by grass growth. Plant and Soil 60: 177-186.
- Ekono. 1981. Report on Energy Use of Peat. Contribution to U.N Conference on New and Renewable Sources of Energy, Nairobi.

- Evenett, S.J. and Jenny, F. 2012. Trade, Competition, and the Pricing of Commodities. Centre for Economic Policy Research, London, ISBN (eBook): 978-1-907142-50-5, pp: 161.
- Farnham, R.S. and Finney, H.R. 1965. Classification and properties of organic soils. Advances in Agronomy, 17: 115-162.
- Foong K. H., Kalyana S. and Yusof B. 2010. Estimation of GHG emissions from peat used for agriculture with special reference to oil palm. J. of Oil Palm & The Environment 1: 17-25.
- Foster, N.W., Beauchamp E.G. and Corke, C.T. 1980. Microbial activity in a *Pinus banksiana* Lamb. Forest floor amended with nitrogen and carbon. Canadian Journal of Soil Science, 60: 199-209.
- Fuentes, J.P., Bezdicek, D.F. Flury, M., Albrecht, S. and Smith, J.L. 2005. Microbial activity affected by lime in a long term no-till soil. Soil and Tillage Research, 88 (2006): 123-131.
- Gillbanks, R.A., 2003. Standard Agronomic Procedures and Practices. In: Oil Palm: Management for Large and Sustainable Yields, Fairhaust, T. and R. Härdter, (Eds.), Potash and Phosphate Institute, Singapore, pp: 115-150.
- Gondar, D., Lopez, R., Fiol, S., Antelo, J. M., & Arce, F. 2005. Characterization and acid–base properties of fulvic and humic acids isolated from two horizons of an ombrotrophic peat bog. Geoderma 126: 367–374.
- Greidanus, T., Peterson, L.A, Schrader, L.E. and Dana, M.N. 1972. Essentiality of ammonium for cranberry nutrition. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 97:272-277.
- Gurmit, S., Tan Y.P., Rajah Padman C.V and Lee, F.W. 1987. Experiences on the cultivation and management of oil palms on deep peat in United Plantations Berhad. Planter. 63(733): 143-157.
- Haberhauer, G., Rafferty, B., Strebl F. and Gerzabek, M.H. 1998. Comparison of the composition of forest soil litter derived from three different sites at various decompositional stages using FTIR spectroscopy. Geoderma 83: 331-342.
- Hardon, H. J. and Polak B. 1941. The Chemical Composition of Some Veins in the Dutch East Indies. The Netherlands: Archipelago Printing.
- Hati, K.M., Swarup, A., Dwivedi, A.K., Misra A.K and Bandyopadhyay, K.K. 2007. Changes in soil physical properties and organic carbon status at the topsoil horizon of a Vertisol of central India after 28 years of continuous cropping, fertilization and manuring. Agric., Eco. & Env. 119 (1-2): 127-134.

- Hati, K.M., Swarup, A., Mishra, B., Manna, M.C., Wanjari, R.H., Mandal, K.G. and Misra A.K. 2008. Impact of long-term application of fertilizer, manure and lime under intensive cropping on physical properties and organic carbon content of an Alfisol. Geoderma 148(2): 173-179.
- Havlin, J.L., Beaton, J.D., Tisdale, S.L. and Nelson, W.L. 1999. Soil Fertility and Fertilizers-An Introduction to Nutrient Management. 6<sup>th</sup> Edition. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Havlin, J.L., Beaton, J.D., Tisdale, S.L. and Nelson, W.L. 2005. Soil fertility and fertilizers: An introduction to nutrient management. 7<sup>th</sup> Edition. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice Hall. pp: 515.
- Haynes, R.J., 1982. Effects of liming on phosphate availability in acid soils. Plant Soil, 68: 289-308.
- Haynes, R.J. and Beare, M.H. 1996. Aggregation and organic carbon storage in meso-thermal humid soils. In Structure and Organic Matter Storage in Agricultural Soils, M.R. Carter and B.A. Stewart, (Eds) pp. 213-253. Boca Raton: Lewis Publishers.
- Haynes, R.J. and Naidu, R. 1998. Influence of lime, fertilizer and manure applications on soil organic matter content and soil physical conditions: a review. Nutrient Cycling in Agrosystems 51: 123-137.
- Hazelton, P. and Murphy, B. 2007. Interpreting Soil Test Results: What Do All the Numbers Mean? Australia: CSIRO Publishing.
- He, W., F. Chen, 2013. Evaluating status change of soil Potassium from path model. PLoS ONE 8(10):e76712.
- Heiskanen, J. 1992. Comparison of three methods for determining the particle density of soil with liquid pycnometers. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 23(7 &8): 841-846.
- Hempfling R. F. Ziegler, W. Zech and H.R. Schulten. 1987. Litter decomposition and humification in acidic forest soils studied by chemical degradation, IR and NMR spectroscopy and pyrolysis field ionization mass spectrometry. Z. Pflanzenernaehr. Bodenk. 150: 179-186.
- Hirano, T., Segah, H., Harada T., Limin, S., June, T., Hirata, R. and Osaki M. 2007. Carbon dioxide balance of a tropical peat swamp forest in Kalimantan, Indonesia. Global Change Biology 13: 1-14.
- Hirano, T., Jauhiainen, J., Inoue, T. and Takahashi, H. 2009. Controls on the carbon balance of tropical peatlands. Ecosystem 12: 873-887.

- Hooijer, A., Silvius, M., Wösten, H. and Page, S. 2006. PEAT-CO<sub>2</sub>: Assessment of CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions from Drained Peatlands in SE Asia. The Netherlands: Delft Hydraulics report Q3943.
- Hooijer, A. Page, S.. Canadell, J.G., Silvius, M., Kwadijk, J., Wösten H. and Jauhiainen, J. 2010. Current and future CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from drained peatlands in Southeast Asia. Biogeosciences 7: 1505-1514.
- Holford, I.C.R., 1983. Effects of lime on phosphate sorption characteristics and exchangeable and soluble phosphate in fifteen acid soils. Australian J. Soil Res. 21: 333-342.
- Hue, N.V., 1991. Effects of organic acids/anions on P sorption and phytoavailability in soils with different minerologies. Soil Sci. 152: 463-471.
- Inubushi, K., Y. Furukawa, A. Hadi, E. Purnomo, H. Tsuruta. 2003. Seasonal changes of  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  fluxes in relation to land-use change in tropical peatlands located in coastal area of South Kalimantan. Chemosphere 52: 603-608.
- Ismail, A.B. 2009. Towards Wise Use of Tropical Peatland: From Agriculture Perspective, In Peatland Development: Wise Use and Impact Management, Proceedings of the International Symposium and Workshop on Tropical Peatland, Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia, Aug. 19-22, 2008. Abang, F., Jusoh, I. Hang, E.S.U, Hoy, A.W.H, Roslan, H.A. (Eds.); UNIMAS/MARDI/CARBOPEAT, 2008.
- Ivarson, K.C. 1977. Changes in decomposition rate, microbial population and carbohydrate content of an acid peat bog after liming and reclamation. Can. J. Soil Sci. 57:129-137.
- Jauhiainen, J., Heikkinen, J.E.P., Martikainen, P.J. and Vasander, H. 2001.  $CO_2$ and  $CH_4$  fluxes in pristine peat swamp forest and peatland converted to agriculture in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. International Peat Journal 11: 43-49.
- Jauhiainen, J., Vasander, H., Jaya, A., Takashi, I., Heikkinen, J.E.P. and Martikainen, P.J. 2004. Carbon Balance in Managed Tropical Peat in Central Kalimantan, In *Wise Use of Peatlands*, Proceedings of the 12<sup>th</sup> International Peat Congress, Tampere, Finland, June. 6-11, 2004. Päivänen, J. Ed.; International Peat Society: Finland, 2004.
- Jauhiainen, J., H. Takahashi, J.E.P. Heikkinen, P.J. Martikainen and H. Vasanders. 2005. Carbon fluxes from a tropical peat swamp forest floor. Global Change Biology 11: 1788-1797.
- Jauhiainen, J., Limin, S., Silvennoinen, H. and Vasander H. 2008. Carbon dioxide and methane fluxes in drainage affected tropical peat before and after hydrological restoration. Ecology 89: 3503-3514.

- Johnston, A.M. and Dowbenko, R. 2004. Essential Elements in Corn. In: Advances in Silage Corn Management. S. Bittman and C.G. Kowalenko (Eds.) Pp: 23-27. Canada: Pacific Field Corn Association.
- Jordan, S., Velty, S. and Zeitz, J. 2007. The influence of degree of peat decomposition on phosphorus binding forms in fens. Mires and Peat 2: Art. 7.
- Juma, N.G. 1998. The Pedosphere and its Dynamics: A Systems Approach to Soil Science. Vol. 1. Canada: Quality Color Press, 315 pp.
- Kakei, M. and Clifford, P.E. 2000. Long-term effects of lime application on <sup>15</sup>N availability to Sitka spruce seedlings growing in pots containing peat soils. Forestry, 73(4): 393- 401.
- Kamprath, E.J. 1971. Potential detrimental effects from liming highly weathered soils to neutrality. Soil Crop Sci. Soc. Fla. Proc. 31: 200-203.
- Kanapathy, K. 1976. Fertilizer requirement in peat soils. Malaysian Agricultural Journal 50: 292-307.
- Keeney, D.R. and Nelson, D.W. 1982. Nitrogen in Organic Forms. In: Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. A.L. Page, R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeney (Eds). Agronomy No. 9. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisconsin. pp: 643-698.
- Keller, J.K., Bridgham, S.D., Chapin, C.T. and Iversen, C.M. 2005. Limited effects of six years of fertilization on carbon mineralization dynamics in a Minnesota fen. Soil Biol. & Biochem. 37: 1197-1204.
- Kevin, M.T.S., Ahmed, O.H., Asrina, W.Y.W., Rajan, A. and Ahzam, M. 2007. Towards growing Bario Rice on lowland soils: A preliminary Nitrogen and Potassium fertilization trial. Am. J. Agric. Biol. Sci., 2: 99-105.
- Kurbatov, I.M. 1968. The question of the genesis of peat and its humic acids. Transactions of the 2<sup>nd</sup> International Peat Congress, Leningrad 1: 133-137.
- Lal, R. and Shukla. M.K. 2004. Principles of Soil Physics. New York: Marcel Dekker Incorporation. 716 pp.
- Lampman, G. M., Pavia, D. L., Kriz, G. S., and Vyvyan, J. R. 2010. Spectroscopy. 4<sup>th</sup> Edition. United States: Brooks/Cole Cengage Learning.
- Latter, P.M., Cragg, J.B. and Heal, O.W. 1967. Comparative studies on the microbiology of four moorland soils in the northern Pennines. Journal of Ecology 55: 445-464.

- Law, W.M. and Selvadurai, K. 1968. The 1968 Reconnaissance Soil Map of Malaya.Proceedings of 3<sup>rd</sup> Malaysian Soil Conference, Sarawak. Kuching, Sarawak: Department of Agriculture, Sarawak.
- Leeper, G.W. 1952. Factors affecting availability of inorganic nutrients in soils with special reference to micronutrient metals. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 3: 1-16.
- Lewandowski, A. 2002. Soil scientist. Accessed April 10, 2013. <u>http://www.extension.umn.edu/distribution/cropsystems/components/7</u> <u>402\_02.html</u>
- Li, J., Lu, J., Li, X., Ren, T., Cong, R. and Zhou, L. 2014. Dynamics of Potassium release and adsorption on rice straw residue. PLoS ONE 9(2):e90440.
- Lickacz, J., Penny, D. 2001. Soil organic matter. Accessed April 6, 2013. http://www1.agric.gov.ab.ca/\$department/deptdocs.nsf/all/agdex890.
- Lim, J.S. 1989. Major Soil Mapping Units in Peninsular Malaysia. Proc.Workshop on Recent Development in Soil Genesis and Classification. Oct 1989. Kuala Lumpur: Malaysian Society of Soil Science.
- Lim, K.H., Lim S.S., Parish F., Suharto R. 2012. RSPO Manual on Best Management Practices (BMPs) for Existing Oil Palm Cultivation on Peat, Kuala Lumpur: RSPO.
- Liu E, Yan, C., Mei, X., Zhang, Y. and Fan, T. 2013. Long-term effect of manure and fertilizer on soil organic carbon pools in dryland farming in Northwest China. PLoS ONE 8(2): e56536.
- Lohman, D.J., Bickford, D. and Sodhi, N.S. 2007. The burning issue. SCIENCE, vol. 316.
- Lopez-Hernandez, D. and Nino, M. 1993. Phosphorus mineralization during laboratory incubation in soils derived from different textured parent materials. Geoderma 56: 527-537.
- Lubis, A.U. and Suwandi. 1994. The Utilization of Peatland for Oil Palm in Indonesia, In *Tropical Peat*, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Tropical Peatland, Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia, May, 6-10, 1991. Aminuddin, B.Y., Tan, S.L., Aziz, B., Samy, J., Salmah, Z., Siti Petimah, H. and Choo, S.T. (Eds.), Malaysia: Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute.
- Lucas, R.E. 1982. Organic soils (Histosols). Formation, distribution, physical and chemical properties and management for crop production. Michigan State University Agricultural Experiment station.

- Lycklama, J.C. 1963. The absorption of ammonium and nitrate by perennial ryegrass. Acta Botan. Neerl. 12: 361-423.
- Manna, M.C., Swarup, A., Wanjari, R.H., Mishra, B. and. Shahi. D.K. 2007. Long-term fertilization, manure and liming effects on soil organic matter and crop yields. Soil and Tillage Research 94 (2007): 397-409.
- Marschner, B. and Wilczynski, A.W. 1991. The effect of liming on quantity and chemical composition of soil organic matter in a pine forest in Berlin, Germany. Plant and Soil 137: 229-236.
- Marschner, B., Stahr, K and Renger, M. 1989. Potential hazards of lime application in a damaged pine forest ecosystem in Berlin, Germany. Water, Air and Soil Pollution 48: 45-57.
- Mathur, S.P. and Lévesque, N.P., 1989. Soil tests for copper, iron, manganese and zinc in Histosols. 4. Selection on the basis of soil chemical data and uptakes by oats, carrots, onions and lettuce. Soil Sci., 148: 424-432.
- Maynard, D.G., Kalra Y.P., Crumbaugh, J.A. 2008. Nitrate and exchangeable ammonium nitrogen. In: Carter, M.R., Gregorich, E.G. (Eds.) Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. United States: CRC Press Taylor and Francis Group.
- McGreevy, J.M. and Farrell, E.P. 1984. The influence of lime on some decomposition characteristics of Irish peats. Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> International Peat Congress, 4: 358-382.
- Melling, L., Hatano, R. and Goh, K.J. 2005. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux from three ecosystems in tropical peatland of Sarawak, Malaysia. Tellus 57B: 1-11.
- Mengel, D.B and Kamprath, E.J. 1978. Effect of pH and liming on growth and nodulation of soyabeans in Histosols. Agron. J. 70: 959-963.
- Minami, K. and Yagi, K. 1988. Method for measuring methane flux from rice paddies. Jpn. J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 59:458-463.
- Mohd Tayeb, D., 2005. Technologies for Planting Oil Palm on Peat. 1st Edn., Kuala Lumpur: Malaysian Palm Oil Board, 84 pp.
- Mohd. Yusuf, H. 1984. Potential and problems of peat for agriculture in Malaysia. Proceedings Workshop on Classification and Management of Peat in Malaysia. Kuala Lumpur: Malaysian Society of Soil Science.
- Muniandy, M., Ahmed, O.H. Ab. Majid, N. M. and Yusop, M. K. 2009. Effects of converting secondary forest to oil palm plantation on peat soil carbon and nitrogen and other soil chemical properties. American Journal of Environmental Sciences 5(3): 406-412.

- Murayama, S. and Zahari, A.B. 1991. Biochemical Decomposition of Tropical Peats, In *Tropical Peat*, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Tropical Peatland, Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia, May, 6-10, 1991. Aminuddin, B.Y., Tan, S.L., Aziz, B., Samy, J., Salmah, Z., Siti Petimah, H. and Choo, S.T. (Eds.), Malaysia: Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute.
- Murayama, S. and Zahari, A.B. 1996. Decomposition of tropical peat soils. b. Estimation of in situ decomposition by measurement of  $CO_2$  flux. Japan Agricultural Research Quarterly 30: 153-158.
- Mutalib, A.A., Lim, J.S., Wong, M.H. and Koonvai, L. 1991. Characterization, distribution and utilization of peat in Malaysia. Tropical Peat: Proceedings of the International Symposium on Tropical Peatland, Kuching, Sarawak. Malaysia: Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI).
- Neale, S.P, Shah Z., Adams W.A. 1997. Changes in microbial biomass and nitrogen turnover in acidic organic soils following liming. Soil Biol. Biochem., 29(9/10): 1463-1474.
- Niemeyer, J., Chen, Y., Bollag, J.M. 1992. Characterization of humic acids, composts, and peat by diffuse reflectance Fourier-Transform infrared-spectroscopy. Soil Science Society of America Journal 56, 135–140.
- Nyborg, M. and Hoyt, P.B. 1978. Effects of soil acidity and liming on mineralization of soil Nitrogen. Can. J. Soil Sci. 58: 331-338.
- Olsen, S.R. and Khasawneh, F.E. 1980. Use and limitations of physicalchemical criteria for assessing the status of Phosphorus in soils. In *The Role of Phosphorus in Agriculture*, ed. F.E. Khasawneh, E.C. Sample, E.J. Kamprath, Tennessee Valley Authority and American Society of Agronomy, pp. 361-410. Madison, Wisconsin: American Society of Agronomy.
- O'Toole, M.A. 1968. Lime Requirements for Pasture Establishment on Blanket Peat, Transactions of the 2<sup>nd</sup> International Peat Congress, Leningrad, 1968. Robertson, R.A Ed.; HMSO: Edinburgh.
- Paramanathan, S. 2000. Soils of Malaysia, their characteristics and identification. Vol. 1. Kuala Lumpur: Academy of Sciences Malaysia and Param Agricultural soil Surveys.
- Peech, M and Bradfield, R. 1943. The effect of lime and magnesia on the soil potassium and on the absorption of potassium by plants. Soil Science 55(1):37-48.
- Pernes-Debuyser, A. and Tessier, D. 2004. Soil physic properties affected by long term fertilizer. Europ. J. Soil Sci. 55:505-512.

- Perrott, K.W., Sarathchandra S.U. and Waller, J.E. 1990. Seasonal storage and release of phosphorus and potassium by organic matter and the microbial biomass in a high producing pastoral soil. Australian Journal of Soil Research 28(4):593–608.
- Persson, T., Lundkvist, H., Wirén, A., Hyvönen, R. and Wessén B. 1989. Effects of acidification and liming on carbon and nitrogen mineralization and soil organisms in mor humus. Water, Air and Soil Pollution 45: 77-96.
- Rangeley, A. and Knowles A. 1988. Nitrogen transformations in Scottish peat under laboratory conditions. Soil Biol. Biochem. 20: 385–391.
- Richards, L.A. 1947. Pressure-membrane apparatus construction and use. Agric. Eng. 28: 451-454.
- Rieley, J.O and Page, S.E. 2005. Wise Use of Tropical Peatlands: Focus on Southeast Asia. Wageningen: ALTERRA.
- Romao, L.P.C., Lead, J.R., Rocha, J.C., Camargo de Oliveira, L., Rosa, A.H., Mendonca, A.G.R. and Ribeiro, A.S. 2007. Structure and properties of Brazilian peat: Analysis by spectroscopy and microscopy. J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 18(4): 714-720.
- Roth, C.H. and Pavan, M.A. 1991. Effects of lime and gypsum on clay dispersion and infiltration in samples of a Brazilian Oxisol. Geoderma 48: 351-361.
- Roth, C.H. 1992. Soil sealing and crusting in tropical South America. In Advances in Soil Science. Soil Crusting- Chemical and Physical Processes, M.E. Summer and B.A Stewart (Eds.), pp. 267-300. Boca Raton: Lewis Publishers.
- Samra, J.S. and Swarup, A. 2001. Impact of Long-Term Intensive Cropping on Soil Potassium and Sustainability of Crop Production. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Importance of Potassium in Nutrient Management for Sustainable Crop Production in India, Dec, 3-5, New Delhi, India, pp167-.182.
- Satrio, A.E., Gandaseca, S., Ahmed O.H., and Majid, N.M.A. 2009a. Effect of precipitation fluctuation on soil carbon storage of a tropical peat swamp forest. Am. J. Applied Sci., 8: 1484-1488.
- Satrio, A. E., Gandaseca, S., Ahmed O.H. and Majid, N.M.A. 2009b. Effect of logging operation on soil carbon storage of a tropical peat swamp forest. Am.J. Environ. Sci., 5: 748-752.
- Schrader, L.E., Domska, D., Jung Jr., P.E. and Peterson, L.A. 1972. Uptake and assimilation of ammonium-N and nitrate-N and their influence in the growth of Corn (Zea mays L.). Agron. J., 64: 690-695.

- Schulte, E.E. and Kelling, K.A. 1992. Soil and Applied Potassium. Understanding Plant Nutrients, Volume 2521, Cooperative Extension Publications, University of Wisconsin-Extension, Wisconsin, Madison, pp:2.
- Shah, Z., Adams, W.A. and Haven, C.D.V. 1990. Composition and activity of the microbial population in an acidic upland soil and effects of liming. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 22(2): 257-263.
- Simansky, V. 2012. Soil structure stability and distribution of carbon in wterstable aggregates in different tilled and fertilized Haplic Luvisol. Acta uni. Agric. Et. silvic. Mendel. Brun. LX(3): 173-178.
- Simmard R.R. 1993. Ammonium Acetate-Extractable Elements. In Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis, Carter, M.R., (Eds.), Boca Raton: Lewis Publishers. pp: 39-42.
- Skaven-Haug, SV. 1972. Volumetric relations in soil materials. Proceedings of 4<sup>th</sup> International Peat Congress, Espoo.
- Smolander, A. and Mälkönen, E. 1994. Microbial biomass C and N in limed soil of Norway spruce stands. Soil Biol. Biochem., 26(4): 503-509.
- Soil Survey Staff. 1975. Soil Taxonomy: A Comprehensive System. USDA.
- Soil Survey Staff. 2014. Keys to Soil Taxonomy. 12<sup>th</sup> Edition. USA: USDA and Natural Resources Conservation Service.
- Spratt, E.D. and Gasser, J.K.R. 1970. The effect of ammonium sulphate treated with a nitrification inhibitor, and calcium nitrate, on growth and N-uptake of spring wheat, ryegrass and kale. J. Agr. Sci. Camb. 74:111-117.
- Stevenson, F.J. 1986. Cycles of Soil Carbon, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Sulfur and Micronutrients. New York: Wiley Interscience.
- Stevenson, F.J. 1994. Humus Chemistry: Genesis, Composition and Reactions, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. New York, USA: Wiley Interscience.
- Strack, M., 2008. Peatlands and Climate Change. 1<sup>st</sup> Edn., Finland: International Peat Society, 223pp.
- Tan, K.H. 2005. Soil Sampling, Preparation and Analysis. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Boca Raton, Florida: Taylor & Francis Group. 623pp.
- Tay, T.H. 1969. The distribution, characteristics, uses and potential of peat in West Malaysia. J. Trop. Geograph. 29:58-63.
- Teh, C.B.S. and Talib, J. 2006. Soil Physics Analyses: Volume 1. Serdang: Universiti Putra Malaysia Press.

- Tie, Y.L. and Kueh, H.S. 1979. A Review of Lowland Organic Soils of Sarawak. Department of Agriculture, Technical Paper 4, Research Branch, Sarawak, Malaysia: Department of Agriculture.
- Tung, P.G.A., Yusoff, M.K., Majid, N.M., Joo, G.K. and Huang, G.H. 2009. Effect of N and K fertilizers on nutrient leaching and groundwater quality under mature Oil Palm in Sabah during the monsoon period. Am. J. Applied Sci. 6: 1788-1799.
- Ulrich, B. 1983. A concept of ecosystem stability and of acid deposition as driving force for destabilization. In Effect of Accumulation of Air Pollutants in Forest Ecosystem, Eds. Ulrich B. and J. Pankrath. D. Reidel, Dordrecht, The Netherlands. Pp: 1-29.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2008. Soil Quality Indicators: Available water capacity.
- Van Bavel, C.H.M. 1950. Mean weight-diameter of soil aggregates as a statistical index of aggregation. Soil Science Society of America Journal 14: 20-23.
- Van Breemen, N. and Pons, L.J. 1978. Soils and Rice. Phillipines: International Rice Research Institute.
- Vasander, H. and Jauhiainen, J. 2008. Land Use Change in Tropical Peatlands and Current Uncertainties in Greenhouse Gas Emissions, In Peatland Development: Wise Use and Impact Management, Proceedings of the International Symposium and Workshop on Tropical Peatland, Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia, Aug. 19-22, 2008. Abang, F., Jusoh, I. Hang, E.S.U, Hoy, A.W.H, Roslan, H.A. (Eds.)
- Verde, B.S., Danga, B.O. and Mugwe, J.N. 2013. Effects of manure lime and mineral P fertilizer on soybean yields and soil fertility in a humic nitisol in the Central Highlands of Kenya. International Journal of Agricultural Science Research 2(9): 283-291.
- Verhoeven, J.T.A. and Arts, H.H.M. 1987. Nutrient dynamics in small mesotrophic fens surrounded by cultivated lands. II N and P accumulation in plant biomass in relation to the release of inorganic N and P in the peat soil. Oecologia 72: 557-561.
- Verhoeven, J.T.A., Maltby, E. and Schmitz, M.B. 1990. Nitrogen and phosphorus mineralization in fens and bogs. J. Ecol. 78: 713-726.
- Volrovich, M.P. and Churev, N.V. Application of the Methods of physics and physical chemistry to the study of peat. Transactions of the 2<sup>nd</sup> International Peat Congress, Leningrad, R.A. Robertson (Ed.) Edinburgh: HMSO, 2: 819-831.

- Waksman, S.A. 1942. The Peats of New Jersey and their Utilization. New Jersey State Department of Conservation, Geological Series Bulletin No. 55.
- Waksman, S.A. and Purvis, E.R. The microbiological population of peat. Soil Science 34: 95-113.
- Wang W., C. Wei-cai, W. Kai-rong, X. Xiao-li, Y. Chun-mei and C. An-lei. 2011. Effects of long-term fertilization on the distribution of carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus in water-stable aggregates in paddy soil. Agricultural Sciences in China, 10(12): 1932-1940.
- Waters, A.G. and Oades, J.M. 1991. Organic Matter in Water Stable Aggregates. In: Advances in Soil Organic Matter Research. The Impact on Agriculture and Environment, W.S. Wilson (Eds.), Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge. pp: 163-175.
- West, T.O. and McBride, A.C. 2005. The contribution of agricultural lime to carbon dioxide emissions in the United States: dissolution, transport and net emissions. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environement 108: 145-154.
- Wright, A.L., Hanlon, E.A. and McCray, J.M. 2012. Fate of phosphorus in everglades agricultural soils after fertilizer application. University of Florida: Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences.
- Wu, J. and Brookes, P.C. 2005. The proportional mineralization of microbial biomass and organic matter caused by air-drying and re-wetting of a grassland soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 37: 507-515.
- Yli-Halla, M. and Lumme, I. 1987. Behaviour of certain phosphorus and potassium compounds in a sedge peat soil. Silva Fennica 21: 251-257.
- Zhang, J.B., Zhu1, T.B., Cai1, Z.C., Qin, S.W. and Müller, C. 2012. Effects of long-term repeated mineral and organic fertilizer applications on soil nitrogen transformations. Eur. J. of Soil Sci. 63(1): 75-85.
- Zelles, L., Stepper, K. and Zsolnay, A. 1990. The effect of lime on microbial activity in spruce (*Picea abies L.*) forests. Biol. Fertil. Soils 9: 78-82.