



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***TRANSNATIONAL PLACE ATTACHMENT THROUGH CAMPUS
PHYSICAL DESIGN FOR A UNIVERSITY IN MALAYSIA***

EZAZ FATEMI

FRSB 2018 8



**TRANSNATIONAL PLACE ATTACHMENT THROUGH CAMPUS
PHYSICAL DESIGN FOR A UNIVERSITY IN MALAYSIA**

By

EZAZ FATEMI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

August 2018

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

In the name of God, the most beneficent, the most merciful.

I dedicate this work to:

My family and those who are in my heart forever.

Those try to add values and meanings to our world and make a better place for people.

And I dedicate it to all the teachers and lecturers in my life.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

TRANSNATIONAL PLACE ATTACHMENT THROUGH CAMPUS PHYSICAL DESIGN FOR A UNIVERSITY IN MALAYSIA

By

EZAZ FATEMI

August 2018

Chair: Mohammad Yazah Mat Raschid, PhD
Faculty: Design and Architecture

Malaysia inspires to become a premier international education hub with expected intake of more international students' enrollment into the country. In line with this scenario, the infrastructural development of universities must also follow international standard and environmentally safe to attract international students. Malaysia essentially needs to understand the adjustment problems faced by international students and provides the right kind of support by enhancing sense of place attachment amongst international students in Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) campus. The physical planning of UPM Serdang main campus is outspread due to its large area and seems to contribute to the lack of social interactions and attachment to the University; a factor which is considered as a hindrance to its effort to be a premier global education hub. This study aims to investigate the actual locations and level of place attachment amongst international students, current planning and design characteristics of places that provide sense of place attachment in UPM campus, key stakeholders' views on the importance of place attachment in UPM and finally, to formulate appropriate design strategies and recommendations that can assist UPM to be a global education hub.

A mixed quantitative and qualitative methods, acknowledged as explanatory sequential mixed methods are employed. The first method involves questionnaires survey conducted amongst UPM international students to understand students' perceptions on level of campus attachment, identifying and ranking of specific places of attachment. Meanwhile, the later qualitative phases include morphological study, physical observation to identify the physical characteristics of the places of attachment in eight specific locations in UPM. Finally, interviews with main stakeholders' also are conducted to gauge their opinion on the importance of place attachment through the planning and design methods of UPM facilities.

The result demonstrates that the international students are lacking sense of place attachment towards the University. The international students in UPM seem to have

difficulties in adjusting to cultural differences and educational experience that is needed in the process of place attachment. Despite the efforts being made to upgrade the physical appearance or visibility of UPM, there are still several glaring weaknesses in facilitating and supporting place attachments amongst the international students that further hinder the internationalization of UPM as a global education hub. The lack of urban spine physical development for social and cultural connectivity from the main administrative area to other campus core areas is considered as the main contributor to the problem which is also exacerbated by decentralization of decision making and discontinuation of planning policies at the top management levels apart from the maintenance aspects. This study is expected to assist in formulating an appropriate set of planning and design strategies for a global educational campus hub in Malaysia by taking into consideration of the existing planning conditions and the views of stakeholders that can be employed worldwide.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

KETERKAITAN TEMPAT TRANSNASIONAL MELALUI REKA BENTUK FIZIKAL KAMPUS BAGI UNIVERSITI DI MALAYSIA

Oleh

EZAZ FATEMI

Ogos 2018

Pengerusi: Mohammad Yazah Mat Raschid, PhD
Fakulti: Rekabentuk dan Senibina

Malaysia beraspirasi untuk menjadi hab pendidikan antarabangsa yang utama dengan jangkaan pengambilan lebih ramai pelajar antarabangsa masuk ke negara ini. Selaras dengan senario ini, pembangunan prasarana universiti mestilah juga mengikut piawaian antarabangsa dan selamat alam sekitar untuk menarik pelajar antarabangsa. Malaysia pada dasarnya perlu memahami masalah penyesuaian yang dihadapi oleh pelajar antarabangsa dan memberikan sokongan yang tepat dengan meningkatkan keterkaitan tempat di kalangan pelajar antarabangsa di kampus Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM). Perancangan fizikal kampus utama UPM Serdang yang terbentang kerana kawasannya yang luas menyumbang kepada kurangnya interaksi sosial dan keterkaitan tempat kepada Universiti; satu faktor yang dianggap sebagai penghalang kepada usahanya menjadi hab pendidikan global yang utama.

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji lokasi sebenar dan tahap keterkaitan tempat di kalangan pelajar antarabangsa, ciri perancangan dan reka bentuk semasa tempat yang memberi gambaran tentang keterkaitan tempat di kampus UPM, pandangan pihak berkepentingan utama mengenai kepentingan keterkaitan tempat di UPM dan akhirnya untuk merumuskan strategi reka bentuk dan saranan sesuai yang boleh membantu UPM menjadi hab pendidikan global. Kaedah kuantitatif dan kualitatif bercampur, dikenali sebagai kaedah bercampur berturutan juga diterangkan. Kaedah pertama melibatkan soal selidik yang dijalankan di kalangan pelajar antarabangsa UPM untuk memahami persepsi pelajar terhadap tahap keterkaitan kampus, mengenal pasti lokasi sebenar dan kedudukan tempat keterkaitan yang khusus. Sementara itu, fasa kualitatif kedua termasuk kajian morfologi, pemerhatian fizikal untuk mengenal pasti ciri fizikal tempat keterkaitan di lapan lokasi spesifik di UPM. Akhirnya, wawancara dengan pihak berkepentingan utama juga dijalankan untuk menilai pendapat mereka tentang kepentingan keterkaitan tempat melalui kaedah perancangan dan reka bentuk kemudahan UPM. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa pelajar antarabangsa kurang merasai keterkaitan tempat pada universiti. Pelajar antarabangsa di UPM nampaknya mengalami kesukaran menyesuaikan diri dengan perbezaan budaya dan pengalaman pendidikan yang

diperlukan dalam proses keterkaitan tempat. Walaupun terdapat usaha untuk menaik taraf penampilan fizikal atau visibiliti UPM, masih terdapat beberapa kelemahan menonjol dalam memudahkan dan menyokong keterkaitan tempat di kalangan pelajar antarabangsa yang menghalang proses pengantarabangsaan UPM sebagai hab pendidikan global. Kekurangan pembangunan fizikal tulang belakang bandaran untuk hubungan sosial dan budaya dari kawasan pentadbiran utama ke lokasi teras kampus yang lain dianggap sebagai penyumbang utama kepada masalah yang diburukkan lagi oleh desentralisasi pengambilan keputusan dan ketidak-kesinambungan dasar perancangan di peringkat pengurusan utama selain dari aspek penyelenggaraan. Kajian ini dijangka membantu dalam merangka strategi perancangan dan reka bentuk yang sesuai untuk kampus hab pendidikan global di Malaysia dengan mengambil kira keadaan perancangan yang sedia ada dan pandangan pihak berkepentingan yang boleh digunakan di seluruh dunia.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indeed thankful to Allah for giving me ideal, sympathetic, irreplaceable and kind parents with unlimited support. I thank God for giving loyal, amiable and affectionate spouse with unlimited patient throughout the course of the study. I would also extend my sincere appreciation to my delightful brothers and their lovely families.

I express my sincere appreciation to Allah for granting me a considerate, patience and knowledgeable chairman Dr. Mohammad Yazah Mat Raschid who had a significant role in this study; and also many thanks to my practical supervisory committee Assoc. Prof. Dr. Suhardi Maulan and Dr. Mohd Yazid Mohd Yunus for their major roles in every aspect of this work.

Many thanks to all my 482 survey respondents and 6 interviewees from Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia, Ministry of Culture and Tourism of Malaysia and senior staffs of Universiti Putra Malaysia for taking time to support the study despite their tight schedule.

Once again, I am indeed very thankful to Allah for giving me such a great blessed people in my journey in this wonderful world.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 8 August 2018 to conduct the final examination of Ezaz Fatemi on his thesis entitled "Transnational Place Attachment Through Campus Physical Design for a University in Malaysia" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Mohd Johari bin Mohd Yusof, PhD

Associate Professor Gs.
Faculty of Design and Architecture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Faziawati binti Abdul Aziz, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Design and Architecture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Osman bin Mohd Tahir, PhD

Associate Professor LAr.
Faculty of Design and Architecture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Azilah binti Kasim, PhD

Associate Professor
Universiti Utara Malaysia
Malaysia
(External Examiner)



RUSLI HAJI ABDULLAH, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 27 September 2018

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohammad Yazah Mat Raschid, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Design and Architecture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Suhardi Bin Maulan, PhD

Associate Professor LAr.
Faculty of Design and Architecture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Mohd Yazid Mohd Yunus, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Design and Architecture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Ezaz Fatemi / GS35693

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee:

Signature: _____

Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee:

Signature: _____

Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	Page
<i>ABSTRAK</i>	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
APPROVAL	v
DECLARATION	vi
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
	xvii
 CHAPTER	
 1 INTRODUCTION	 1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Background Study	1
1.3 Problem Statement	5
1.4 Research Questions	7
1.5 Research Aim	8
1.6 Research Focus	9
1.7 Assumption	10
1.8 Significance of Study	10
1.8.1 Significance to Global Prominence	10
1.8.2 Significance to Financial Sustainability	10
1.8.3 Significance to International Students' Life	11
1.8.4 Significance to Campus Design Knowledge	11
1.9 The Thesis Structure	12
 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	 14
2.1 Introduction	14
2.2 Definition of Terms	14
2.3 Transnationalism	16
2.3.1 Internationalization of Higher Learning Institute	17
2.3.2 Challenges and Behaviours of Transnational Student	18
2.3.3 Place Attachment of University	21
2.3.4 Demographic	23
2.3.5 Benefits of Place Attachment	23
2.3.6 The Notion of Place	24
2.3.7 Process of Attachment	25
2.3.8 Person (Transnational Student)	26
2.4 Physical Campus Planning	27
2.4.1 Open Space	29
2.4.2 Built Space	32
2.5 Campus/ Urban Morphology	35
2.6 Malaysian Education Policy	37

2.7	Culture and Identity	47
2.8	Public Preferences toward Open Space	39
2.3	Summary of Literature Review	40
3	RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY	43
3.1	Introduction	43
3.2	Research Question	43
3.3	Research Design Framework	43
3.4	Research Theoretical Framework	44
3.5	Research Methodology	46
3.5.1	Universiti Putra Malaysia as the Case Study	46
3.5.2	Universiti Putra Malaysia as Single Case Study	48
3.5.3	Choice of Methodology	48
3.6	Mixed Methodologies	49
3.7	Quantitative Design – Questionnaire Survey	50
3.7.1	Population	51
3.7.2	Sampling	52
3.7.3	Questionnaire Data Collection and Analysis	53
3.8	Pilot Study	54
3.9	Qualitative Research Design	55
3.9.1	Morphological Study	55
3.9.2	Non-Participant Observation	57
3.9.3	Interview	59
3.10	Reliability and Validity	61
3.11	Conclusion	62
4	FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS OF SURVEY METHODOLOGY	63
4.1	Introduction	63
4.2	Primary Questionnaire Survey	63
4.3	Reflecting on the Methodology	65
4.4	Demographic Background	66
4.5	Descriptive Statistics of the Variables	68
4.5.1	Level of Campus Attachment	68
4.5.2	Ranking of Campus Specific Locations	71
4.5.3	Campus Physical Characteristics	71
4.5.4	Campus Emotional Attachment	72
4.5.5	Perceptions on Social Attachment	73
4.6	Correlation Analysis	74
4.6.1	Campus Physical Characteristics and Campus Attachment	74
4.6.2	International Students' Campus Experience and Campus Attachment	75
4.6.3	International Students' Social Bonding and Campus Attachment	76
4.7	T-Test Analysis	77
4.8	Regression Analysis	78
4.9	Discussion	80

4.10	Conclusion	81
5	QUALITATIVE FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS IN MORPHOLOGICAL STUDY	87
5.1	Introduction	87
5.2	Reflecting on the Morphological Study Approach	87
5.3	Morphological Studies	89
5.3.1	Campus Figure Ground Plan	89
5.3.2	Street Pattern	89
5.3.3	Building Pattern	96
5.3.4	Building Form	103
5.3.5	Space Programming	112
5.3.6	Land Use Pattern	124
5.3.7	Campus Development Over Time	127
5.4	Conclusion	130
5.4.1	Summary of Findings	131
6	QUALITATIVE FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS OF NON-PARTICIPANT OBSERVATION	135
6.1	Introduction	135
6.2	Reflecting on the Non-Participant Observation Research Methodology	135
6.3	Findings and Analysis	136
6.3.1	Physical Plan and Design Characteristics	136
6.3.2	Focused Observation Behaviour of International Students	141
6.3.3	Selected Observation: Maintenance and Planning Policy of the Campus	148
6.4	Conclusion	151
7	QUALITATIVE FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS OF INTERVIEW	156
7.1	Introduction	156
7.2	Reflecting on the Methodology	156
7.3	The Findings in the Interview	157
7.3.1	Opinion on Transnationalism and Education in Malaysia	157
7.3.2	Opinion on Campus Planning and Place Attachment of Universiti Putra Malaysia	162
7.3.3	Opinion on the Barriers towards Place Attachment	168
7.3.4	Stakeholders' Recommendations for Better Place Attachment	170
7.3.5	The Findings of Atlas.ti	172
7.4	Conclusion	175
8	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	179
8.1	Introduction	179
8.2	Reflecting on the Research	179
8.3	Reflecting on Research Methodology	180
8.4	Discussion on Main Findings	181

8.5	Triangulation	185
8.6	Recommendations	186
8.7	Contribution of the Study	190
8.8	Limitation and Delimitation of Study	192
8.9	Suggestion for Future Stud	192
8.10	Conclusion	193
BIBLIOGRAPHY		194
APPENDICES		219
BIODATA OF STUDENT		254
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		255



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Top Ten Host Countries	17
2.2 The Tripartite Model of Place Attachment (PPP)	42
3.1 Case Study Inventory	48
3.2 Sample Size Various Sampling at 95% Confident Level	52
3.3 Criteria of Non-Participant Observation	59
3.4 List of Participants	60
3.5 Cronbach's Alpha of Study	62
4.1 Level of Place Attachment on Campus	63
4.2 Descriptive Statistics, Correlation Coefficient of Primary Survey	64
4.3 Ranking of Places in Universiti Putra Malaysia	64
4.4 Demographical Findings	67
4.5 Top Faculties	68
4.6 Level of Variables	68
4.7 Campus Attachment	69
4.8 Ranking of Places	71
4.9 Perceptions on Physical Campus Planning and Design Characteristics	72
4.10 Perceptions of UPM Emotional Attachment	73
4.11 Perceptions of Social Attachment	74
4.12 Correlation between Campus Attachment and Physical Characteristics	74
4.13 Correlation between Campus Attachment and Emotional Feeling	75
4.14 Social Bonding and Campus Attachment	76
4.15 Gender Differences on Emotional Perception	77
4.16 Gender Differences on Physical Perceptions	78
4.17 One Sample Test	78
4.18 Campus Place Attachment Coefficient Based on Physical Characteristics	79
4.19 Campus Place Attachment Coefficients Based on Emotional Feelings	80
4.20 Chapter Findings	84
5.1 The Main Components of Morphological Studies	88
5.2 Case Study Inventory	88
5.3 Street Patterns of UPM Campus	90
5.4 Street and Building Patterns of UPM Campus	100
5.5 Building Form	108
5.6 Space Programming	114
5.7 Classification of Focused Areas in UPM Campus	126
5.8 Land Utilization	127
5.9 Campus Development	128
5.10 Findings and Discussions of the Campus Development	130
5.11 Summary of Morphological Studies	131
6.1 Summary of International Students' Behaviour	145
6.2 Summary of the Chapter	153
7.1 Findings of the Chapter	176

LIST OF FIGURES

Table	Page
2.1 The Common Area of the University of St Thomas	28
2.2 Harvard University is using movable chairs and outdoor performance to turn open spaces into stages and places of social interaction	32
2.3 The Scientific Mission of Green Space of University	33
3.1 Research Design Framework	44
3.2 Research Theoretical Framework	45
3.3 Proposed Site	47
3.4 Research Methodological Process and Its Values	50
3.5 Determine Sample Size	53
3.6 The 3D Morphological Output from Faculty of Engineering	56
3.7 The Figure Ground Plan of Faculty of Engineering	56
4.1 Number of Daily Responses	66
4.2 Length of Stay	67
4.3 Gender and Campus Attachment	70
4.4 Gender Differences	70
5.1 Street Patterns Difference between Two Areas	91
5.2 Locations of Public Space along UPM Campus	93
5.3 Bicycle Path and Safe Pavement in Administrative Area	93
5.4 Decrease Car-Oriented Street Pattern by Providing Bicycle Path and Safe Pavement	94
5.5 Main Entrance as Significance UPM Image	95
5.6 Administrative Grid Street Pattern	95
5.7 Integrated Building Pattern in Administrative Areas	98
5.8 Square and Rectangle Forms in UPM	104
5.9 Appropriate Size and Scale in Main Library Environments	106
5.10 Main Library of UPM at Administrative Area	112
5.11 Campus Location with its Occupied Land	124
5.12 Campus Layout	125
5.13 Campus 3D Model Layout	126
6.1 Open Space at UPM Administrative Area	137
6.2 New Building with Islamic Arch – Culture Value	137
6.3 UPM International Students Accommodation	138
6.4 Courtyards in the Faculties	139
6.5 Student Center and Main Cafeteria Building Facade	139
6.6 Poor Security in Accommodation	140
6.7 Library's Environment has Attracted International Students	142
6.8 Cafeteria's Environment	142
6.9 Common Area in a Faculty in UPM	142
6.10 Bicycle Path in Administrative Area	142
6.11 Weak Social Interaction	144
6.12 Low Density Students Area in Faculty of Design and Architecture	144

6.13	High Density Student Area in the main Library	144
6.14	New Improvement in the Campus	149
6.15	UPM Maintenance	149
6.16	The Main Common Area	150
6.17	Faculty's Common Area	150
7.1	The Interviewees from Ministry of Higher Education	158
7.2	The Interviewee 4	160
7.3	The Interviewee 3	162
7.4	The Interviewee 5	167
7.5	Barrier's Graph	175
7.6	Place Attachment's Graph	175
8.1	Focused Areas of Study	182
8.2	Discontinuity of Campus Patterns, Common Areas of Campus	183
8.3	Common Areas of Main Library	183
8.4	Triangulation Process	185
8.5	Triangulation of Objectives	186
8.6	Conceptual UPM Campus Development	190
8.7	Specific Factors and Variables for UPM Place Attachment	191

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CoSComm	Corporate Strategy and Communications Office
CQA.	Centre of Quality Assurance
ha	Hectare
HEI	Higher Education Institution
HLI	Higher Learning Institute
IAQ	Indoor Air Quality
IEQ	Indoor Environment Quality
M	Mean
MD	Mean Difference
MED	Malaysian Education Blueprint
N	Number of Participants
OSHMO	Occupational Safety and Health Committee
PNC	Office of the Vice-Chancellor
POS	Public Open Spaces
PPP	Place, Person, Process
SD	Standard Deviation.
SEM	Standard Error Mean
SGS	School of Graduated Studies
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
TVET	Technical and Vocational Education and Training
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia
Ver	Version

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

This chapter initially discusses the research background and problem statement that trigger this study. The roles of campus planning, place attachment and transnationalism in education system are scrutinized to identify the real issue in hand that could lead to better resolutions to enhance the internationalization of Malaysian campus and transnational students' enrollment.

Subsequently, this chapter describes the aim, objectives and questions which were generated from the identified issues and put forward the hypothesis and assumptions of this research. These descriptions revolve around the selected main case study area, University Putra Malaysia, Serdang. Finally, this is followed by the discussion on the significance and structure of the thesis at the end of this chapter.

1.2 Background Study

The author is an Iranian student with a background in architecture, building and planning studies. The interest in place attachment study is based on the author's curiosity in making a campus environment as not only a place to study but as a 'temporary home' where social interactions could happen in a 'homely' built environment. Being a transnational student, the concept of place attachment will not only placates the feeling of being an 'alien' in a foreign campus but also gradually assists the process of blending into the local community which could further increase productivity in terms of academic results and lifestyles satisfactions in the campus. Whilst current university internationalization programs do assist in the adjustment of international students; however, meaningful intercultural connection often occurs at an interpersonal level, not at an institutional level. Knight (1994) described internationalization as the "process of integrating an international and intercultural dimension into the teaching, research and service functions of the institution". Despite the efforts intended to support international students, many students still have the adjustment problem towards the campus environment and educational system in Malaysia. The findings of the previous studies revealed that international students need to deal with academic challenges, social isolation, and cultural adjustment in Malaysian universities. Additionally, international students may feel significant misfortune when living far from their family and friends (Lee et al. 2004). While this is the case, inadvertently, when international students visit a campus they create enthusiastic connections with the campus, a factor crucial in understanding international students' behaviour (Wei et al., 2015). The built environment of the university campus provides much of the physical context for an international students' experience in Malaysia. The result showed that the physical development planning of UPM campus is weak and not well-planned (Abd-Razak et al., 2011). They emphasized that from the view of UPM physical campus planning, a

widespread planning contrasts to the concept of sustainability as it increases the distance between areas, thus increasing reliance on cars.

Contextually, in terms of planning and design, campuses could reflect the multicultural curriculum, cultural background of the students, and the educational profile of the community by incorporating more diverse architectural form and organization, human scale, outward-facing orientation, prayer or ritual spaces, and even art from diverse cultures (Ali & Bagheri, 2009).

The concept of 'third place' has become integral in this study. Although people may become attached to many kinds of places, those referred to as 'third places', often create an atmosphere ideal for connecting with others (Oldenburg, 1999). He reiterated that 'third places' are those that "host the regular, voluntary, informal, and happily anticipated gathering of individuals". People grow attached to 'third places', in part, because these places promote productivity by providing resources, services or amenities to accomplish tasks outside of the work or home environment (Lewicka, 2011; Waxman, 2006a). Accomplishing tasks in a relaxed and pleasant setting often leads to a renewed sense of purpose, achievement, increased well-being (Cicognani, Menezes, & Nata, 2011; Najafi & Shariff, 2011; and Rollero & De Piccolo, 2010).

Parallel to the above notions, place of attachment needs to be identified and investigated to fill in the gap in the planning and design of campus on the manner it could enhance the internationalization of Malaysian universities in attracting foreign students' recipient. The current global universities' destinations lifecycles are much dependent on how successful are they in attracting the best minds from around the world with the hope that this will boost up their international ranking status. The idea of being out of place will not only alienate local students but it will also result in an undesirable domino effect of less international students' recipient to Malaysia. Those who went through bad educational experience and lack of sense of belonging would relay their negative opinions influencing other prospective students to study in other places. It can be concluded that the places where international students choose to live and study is ostensibly the most vital choice and decision they will ever make.

Subsequently, the preliminary author's apprehension on the topic of this research has led the author to design the background of study based on five main concepts which have influential effect on attachment among international students in Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) campus; Malaysian education aspiration and internationalization, transnationalism and their challenges, place attachment and role of campus planning and design. The background also take into considerations of previous studies research protocols and outcomes pertaining to place attachment in justifying the need for this study to be conducted.

Transnational education has grown in importance in Asia and the Pacific region, with a growing number of cross-border educational institutions being founded to offer teaching and learning opportunities. Various Asian states such as Japan, Malaysia, and Singapore have also tried to develop themselves into regional hubs of higher education by capturing

the opportunities to turn higher education into a service industry (Mok, 2006). The number of international students in Malaysia has increased from 30,000 in the year 2003 to 70,000 in 2010 (Mahmud et al., 2010). According to Higher Education director-general Datin Paduka Ir Dr Siti Hamisah Tapsir, in 2017 a total of 177,000 foreign students were accepted to pursue their education at ten international campuses in the country. Building upon this foundation, Malaysia aspires to achieve its aim of becoming an international education hub by 2020, with a targeted of 200,000 international student enrollment into the country (Aziz & Abdullah, 2012) with further increase to 250,000 by 2025 (Ministry of Higher Education, 2015). The Malaysian Education Blueprint 2015-2025 (Ministry of Higher Education, 2015) was developed to further equip and support Malaysian government initiative towards becoming a high-income nation through quality education which implies the much needed improvement on the physical campus facilities planning and design to attract more international students recipient.

However, to become a major player and enjoy the benefits of having international students, Malaysia essentially needs to understand the adjustment problems faced by international students and provides the right kind of support (Malaklollunthu & Selan, 2011). Tseng and Newton (2002) summarized the key adjustment problems faced by international students into four categories: (a) general living adjustment, (b) socio-cultural adjustment, (c) personal psychological adjustment, and (d) academic adjustment. General living adjustment includes areas such as adjusting to weather/climate, transportation systems, paying bills, health care systems, food and housing arrangements while socio-cultural adjustment refers to experiencing culture shock, discrimination and getting used to new values, norms, holidays and customs. Depression, loneliness, feelings of isolation, homesickness and frustration make up the issue of personal psychological adjustment while academic adjustment is linked to learning a new educational system, lack of language proficiency, and acquiring new learning strategies. Further studies have shown international students experienced challenges adjusting to food, weather, financial arrangement, health care, accommodation and local language (Tseng & Newton, 2002; and Poyrazli & Grahame, 2007).

To help facilitate relationships between international students and their domestic hosts, many universities engage their students in extra-curricular activities on campus such as physical and social programs, multicultural events, and discussion groups for international students to learn colloquialisms with their domestic peers, or the hosting of cross-cultural meals (Dey, Hurtado, & Gurin, 2002). Despite various university efforts intended to support international students, many students still reported psychological, social, and academic challenges to their integration into the university culture. Hechanova et al. (2002) found that international students experienced less social support than domestic students, most likely because their family and friends were not close and visiting them was difficult and infrequent. Meanwhile, Ward et al. (2009) suggested that these methods may result in quick and superficial collisions among students, but not meaningful relationships and strong social ties—those that may result from place attachment. In addition to social obstacles, international students are often unaccustomed to certain pedagogical styles within the western learning environment which emphasizes competitiveness, assertiveness, individualism, questioning, discussion and a focus on the personal development of the whole student (Cruickshank, Chen, & Warren, 2012; and Moores & Popadiuk, 2011).

The process of adjustment by international students has resulted in the need to focus on the concept of place attachment investigating people's interactions with natural and cultural landscapes (Chung et al., 2011 and Ramkissoon et al., 2013b). Place attachment is one of the most influential factors in humans' psychological health, and is therefore powerful in constructing an individual's identity (Tuan, 2007) and may reduce the socio-cultural and psychological problems among international students (Shal et al., 2011). They argued that secure attachment style can also reduce the homesickness and is reflected emotionally with the influence of the physical and the cultural characteristics of the people and the setting. Place attachment is an important concept affecting people's willingness to take care of a place and have independent effects on willingness to engage in behaviors that maintain or enhance valued attributes of the setting (Stedman, 2002).

Due to the above factors, the Malaysian higher education sector needs to streamline its strategy for internationalization with greater improvement on the course, quality of student services such as accommodation and recreation facilities, and others relating to the teaching resources, in particular well-qualified lecturers and capable administrators (Malaklolunthu & Selan, 2011). Sedaghatnia et al. (2015) argued one of the ways education institutions reach this goal is meeting the needs and expectations of students. Therefore, the infrastructural development must be of international standard to attract foreign students, staff and recognition; and must be environmentally safe and of high sanitary standard (Musa et al., 2012). University campus is the place, where international students spend most of their time, and thereby affect their views on the host country. As a result, the facilities and programs for the overseas students became an important issue for the contemporary university (Wei et al., 2015). Meanwhile, Brown (2005) pointed out that a well-designed physical environment could result in students' to be proud of themselves. Not only classrooms, but the whole university campus can potentially be an effective learning space (Brown & Long, 2006). Similarly, Natasha et al. (2012) agreed that building facilities and design influenced students' learning efficiency in the high education institution. Likewise, Dober (1992) approved that physical environment are among the most important factors influencing students' engagement, learning and personal development. In summary, many researchers have agreed upon the importance of the physical elements of campus such as safety, comfort, accessibility and campus layout (Marcus and Francis, 1998). In addition, for a university campus to be sustainable, it must preserve the environment, stimulate economic growth, and improve society (Alshuwaikhat & Abubakar, 2008).

Though many researches have been conducted on how place attachment can support the accomplishment of tasks, identity formation, personal restoration, and socialization, (Lewicka, 2011), only a handful focuses specifically on place attachment among international students. There have been recent calls for more research on how place attachment develops and evolves (Cross, 2015; Lewicka, 2011; and Manzo & Devine-Wright, 2014). Furthermore, place attachment studies have mostly been performed and tested in North and South America and in Europe but not in Asian countries (Sakurai et al., 2017). Most importantly observed is that although research on the integration of international students into campus life is available, it rarely intersects with the role that the design of the built environment plays in this process. By understanding the relationship between place attachment and internationalization of campuses, various suggestions for environmental planning and design, such as strategies for encouraging

participation and interaction between users as well as international students in the campus, could be recommended.

1.3 Problem Statement

Malaysia is progressively becoming a hub for education as international students are constantly flocking the corridors of Malaysian universities and colleges are still attracting many international students from different parts of the world (Aziz & Abdullah, 2012). However, these opportunities do not come without challenges. Students are faced with a lot of problems when arriving to a new campus, such as finding living accommodations, the problems of adjusting to different challenges in getting familiar with a new living environment, which is different from their hometowns (Poyrazli & Grahame, 2007). Despite the cultural diversity international students bring, this group faces a variety of challenges in adjustment. Misra et al. (2003) identified three major areas of challenges that international students which are encounter academic, emotional and social difficulties. International students also experience difficulties in adjusting to cultural differences, language challenges, and educational system (Olivas & Li, 2006) resulting in the need to adapt to their new educational and social environment (Ginter & Glauser, 1997). Additionally, international students may feel significant misfortune when living far from their family and friends (Lee et al. 2004).

It was revealed that international students in Malaysian campuses have several challenges too. Many researchers have agreed upon the importance of the physical elements of campus such as safety, comfort, accessibility and maintenance in Malaysian campuses (Najib et al., 2012). Results have shown that social interactions, accommodation quality, academic services, facilities and comfort affect international students at UPM campus (Abd-Razak et al., 2011).

Malaysia is not a nation that just has a culture which is uniquely tied to one ethnicity or language. Malaysia has become a truly multi-cultural and multi-racial society with three major ethnic groups. The Malays are the largest ethnic group, the Chinese is the second largest community with twenty-three percent of the population, and seven percent are Indians. Despite university efforts intended to support international students, many students still reported psychological, social, and academic challenges to their integration into the University's culture. Left unaddressed, these challenges may lead to isolation, reduced retention and cultural shock or the affective, behavioral, and cognitive disorientation that sojourners experience when in an unfamiliar culture (Hotta & Ting-Toomey, 2013) and miscommunication which can lead to lack of social support are the big challenges that international students experience in new environment (Chen, 1999). In fact, the cultural similarity between international students' way of life and host culture has likewise risen as an essential factor, with more prominent likeness inferring less trouble amid the abroad experience (Ward et al., 2001).

Campus places can facilitate restoration by helping people forget the personal or social pressures encountered in daily life and can take the form of a positive change in mood, a renewal of directed attention capacity, or a reduction of stress, which regulates the self (Rollero & De Piccolo, 2010). Campus places also enforce personal identity by providing

a physical context that reflects users' values, and lays the foundation for memories and experiences (Najafi & Shariff, 2011; and Rollero & De Piccolo, 2010) and evoke passion, creativity, and self-exploration merely by being physically interesting or full of interesting people (Uptis, 2004).

Exploratory studies indicate that place attachments develop to different intensities within different spatial scales such as house, neighborhood, and city (Hidalgo & Hernandez, 2001). Whereas, when people are not able to develop strong bonds with their place of residence, they are prone to leave (Twigger-Ross & Uzzell, 1996). Steadman (2003) noted that the physical features do not produce a sense of place directly, but influence the symbolic meanings of the settings, which relates to the strength of place attachment. Although research on the integration of international students into campus life is available, it rarely intersects with the role that the design of the built environment plays in this process. This integration may reduce the aforementioned challenges faced by international students while increasing empathy, compassion, respect, and perceived value of diversity among all students (Al-Sharideh & Goe, 1998; Clements, 2000; and Hechanova-Alampay et al., 2002). On a university campus, it is often the places outside the classroom where pleasant and voluntary intercultural contact and social connections may occur (Tupper et al., 2008). Research also suggests that when users have pleasant experiences in a place, they may become attached to the place and the people inside (Waxman, 2006a). It added a practical support to the current literature and identified campus physical planning and design quality as a significant dimension of an inclusive campus environment.

Malaysian campus physical development plan is an effective tool to shaping campuses' life, especially among students to promote a sustainable living community (Abd-Razak et al., 2011). However, there are many problems that exist in campus under this background, due to international students' demands often ignored in campus life (Wei et al., 2015). The campus planning of UPM seems to have adverse impact towards students' lifestyle and educational experience (Abd-Razak et al., 2011). It also pointed out the importance of social integration with other students in the campus environment. A clear image of an area can enable residents to feel comfortable, secure and emotionally involved and to identify with that area (Casakin, Hernández, & Ruiz, 2015). Meanwhile, understanding international student's needs is a cornerstone for any well-designed campus, the design that attracts more students, facilitates their activities and encourages them to spend more time when undertaking these activities (Francis, 2003).

The critical note on the Malaysian campus demonstrated that the physical development planning of UPM campus is weak and not well-planned (Abd-Razak et al., 2011). They emphasized that from the view of UPM physical campus planning, a wide-spread planning contrasts to the concept of sustainability as it increases the distance between areas; thus, increasing reliance on cars. All these problems hinder international students from feeling comfortable themselves in their context.

In the making of places, designers largely focus on the quality of the physical components. However, the aspect of attachment is not sufficiently considered in the planning and decision making process in Malaysia (Ujang & Zakariya, 2015). In

emphasizing the making of places, researchers stressed on research related to sustaining the physical elements and activities. Nonetheless, the importance of place attachment amongst the users is less explored in campus studies. The cultural characteristics, physical planning and design aspects combined with the students affective perceptions and functional needs form place attachment (Ujang, 2012a).

The statement of problem for this study is listed as follows:

Transnational University students of UPM campus need to adapt to their new educational and social environment to feel comfortable, secure and emotionally involved which are integral in their adjustment process. Despite this, international students in Universiti Putra Malaysia seems to have difficulties in adjusting to cultural differences and educational experience that is needed in the process of place attachment. While campus physical development plan is an effective tool to shaping campuses' life, especially amongst international students to promote a sustainable living community, the planning and design of UPM Serdang campus which is widely spread out seems to be lacking in assisting the process. There is an urgent need to identify the key locations for place attachment; planning and design characteristics, the level of place attachment amongst international students and views of experts to understand the manner the campus planning and design promotes and further enhances the place attachments among the international students. This is to assist UPM to be established as a global education hub that attracts international students' recipient.

The findings will have the potential to impact campus design to facilitate more opportunities for interaction and positive campus experiences for all students.

1.4 Research Questions

To address the aim of this research, the following research questions are formulated as guidance throughout the process. The main research question for this study is stipulated as below:

***Main RQ:* How does the physical planning and design of Universiti Putra Malaysia campus support and enhance the sense of place or place attachment amongst international students to assist them in adapting with educational and social environments in the process of campus internationalization?**

To answer the main research question, the following four sub-research questions are essentially articulated:

Sub-RQ1: What are the specific locations and their rankings; and the actual level of place attachment from the international students' perception in UPM campus?

There are specific locations in the campus which are able to attract more international students because of their value. The level of the place attachment in the campus may have a positive connection with users' experiences and meaning in UPM's environments. In this manner, the students may have an affective tie and bond with certain areas in the campus.

Sub-RQ2: What are the physical planning and design characteristics of the specific locations of place attachment for international students in UPM campus?

The physical planning characteristics such as accessibility, safety, well-maintained amenities and planning and design based on international students' needs have become a key factor to enhance the sense of place in the campus areas. It can be said that where users feel more comfortable and secure they will spend more time, so the comfortable feeling and satisfaction of the campus areas and environments are directly related to the basic information from the architectural planning and design.

Sub-RQ3: What are the views of stakeholders on place attachment in relation to the design and planning of UPM campus as an educational hub?

The opinions of stakeholders could be a reliable reference to understand the importance of place attachment in UPM campus among international students. Meanwhile, their opinion may reveal the physical design and planning's weaknesses and gaps in the campus which international students face in UPM.

Sub-RQ4: What are the planning and design strategies to improve place attachment and internationalization of UPM based on Sub-RQ1, 2 and 3?

Based on physical planning and design strategies of the specific locations of the campus and awareness of stakeholders' opinion, the author would be able to recommend a comprehensive planning and design strategy to enhance sense of attachment in the campus lifestyle.

1.5 Research Aim

The main research aim is as follows:

To investigate planning and design characteristics, level of place attachments amongst the international students and experts views on the manner in which physical planning and design of Universiti Putra Malaysia campus supports and enhances the place attachment amongst international students to adapt with educational and social environments through campus internationalization. In fact, this study aims to investigate how the campus planning and design could be improved to support and facilitate the campus attachment amongst UPM international students with appropriate recommendations on campus planning and design strategies in order to establish UPM as a global education hub.

To pursue the main aim of the study there are four objectives, which are explained hereunder:

1. To ascertain the specific locations of place attachment of international students and actual level of place attachment in UPM campus amongst international students.
2. To investigate the design and planning characteristics where international students prefer to stay on-campus.
3. To seek experts opinions on the importance of facilitating sense of attachment amongst international students in UPM campus.
4. To recommend design and planning strategies to improve sense of attachment in UPM campus based on the investigation objective 1, 2 and 3.

1.6 Research Focus

The focus of this study is on the relationship between the main variables which are campus planning and place attachment in UPM. The campus environments are understood as a place represented by international students' experience and its physical planning and design. In this manner, the research is viewed based on international students' perceptions, physical planning and design settings, views of stakeholders and users' needs in the campus.

The context of the study is the UPM campus. The areas consist of the most significant places such as faculties, libraries, cafeteria, international accommodation and common area by main administrative buildings. These areas are selected by questionnaire surveys of the international students from the University. Faculties of Agriculture, Engineering and Design and Architecture, Sultan Abdul Samad and Engineering - Architecture libraries, Muhammad Rashid Accommodation and the main cafeteria at the

administrative building are eight top areas where international students spend their time in the campus.

1.7 Assumption

The main assumption of this study is summarized as follows:

The place attachments amongst the international students could be facilitated and supported with appropriate campus planning strategies which will further elevate the internationalization of Universiti Putra Malaysia as a global educational hub.

1.8 Significance of Study

This section briefly discusses the significance of the study to the campus planning and design into four main factors.

1.8.1 Significance to Global Prominence

While the world market for higher education is dominated by the traditional major players such as the United States, United Kingdom and Australia, new players have emerged in recent years. These include China, Malaysia and Singapore where each is estimated to have a respective market share of 7%, 2% and 2% (Lasanowski, 2009). According to (Mahmud, Amat, Rahman, & Ishak, 2010) the number of international students in Malaysia has increased to 70 thousand in 2010. (Mazzarol et al., 2002) highlights several pull factors that can affect the relative attractiveness of a host country for international students. These include among others, the reputation and profile of a country, the cost of education as well as the local environment.

Global prominence is one of the most important shift of Malaysia Education Blueprint which focus on enablers for the higher education ecosystem. In fact, Universiti Putra Malaysia will be known as an international education hub with a difference, one that provides values-driven and globally relevant education, and is recognized by students for its balance of quality and affordability, good quality of life and rich cultural experiences. Above all, UPM will be a globally-connected higher education player that is renowned for its academic and research expertise.

1.8.2 Significance to Financial Sustainability

Without doubt, Universiti Putra Malaysia needs to move from a system that is highly dependent on government resources. One way to achieve financial sustainability is to focus on the number of international students in the campus. The physical planning and design strategy can enhance sense of place in the campus and create a friendlier environments for individuals. In fact, one of the plans proposed in the Higher Education blueprint is to achieve the international hub status by increasing the number of

international students, through the promotion and marketing of Malaysia as an excellent international hub for higher education.

In this manner, the research's strategy may enhance sense of identity and make UPM as a global brand in educational system and attract more international students to the country.

1.8.3 Significance to International Students' Life

If Malaysian Higher Education aims to attract more international students and plays important role globally, Malaysian campuses should improve their facilities. This improvement should be based on stakeholders' opinion and try to solve transnational student's problem.

In this scenario, by discovering international students' opinion, UPM campus will be able to enhance its design and characteristics planning in UPM campus and build appropriate environment and places where oversea students can establish emotional like. In fact, places play a vital role in developing and maintaining self and group identity of the people (Davenport & Anderson, 2005).

The main purpose of the new design and planning strategy for the campus is to improve the international students' campus life. Indeed, the new strategy has a key factor to reduce distress and grief among individual, encourage the use of campus spaces by improving physical and social activities among the students and less fear of campus crime.

1.8.4 Significance to Campus Design Knowledge

This study aims to enhance sense of place attachment amongst international students through planning and design of UPM campus and make a comfortable and friendly campus design and planning strategy to encourage students to spend more time in the campus. In the course of these interactions, anonymous spaces turn into places endowed with meaning which serve as objects of attachment (Williams, 2003). It should also be mentioned that attachments may not only be to the campus areas solely as physical entities, but may be primarily associated with the meanings of and experiences in place which often involve relationships with other people. In reality, people's relationship to their own living environment is a key issue for a better understanding of their well-being and quality of life (Altman & Low, 1992).

Most importantly, the study is significant in formulating planning and design strategies for internationalization of Malaysian campus. High level facilities with user friendly campus design and planning are built to generate greater degrees of active and collaborative learning, more interaction with faculty members, potential for increased interaction with other students of diverse backgrounds and beliefs, and easy access to the campus programs which directly support the educational and social goals of the

University. Meanwhile, psychological and socio-cultural problems will be reduced amongst international students in the campus.

1.9 The Thesis Structure

This thesis consists of eight main chapters. First chapter describes and states the general topic and gives the background which provides the literature that are related to the topic. The chapter also includes the thesis statement; the outline of the current situation of the campus planning and design and the challenges that transnational students face in UPM. In fact, the first chapter evaluates the current situation of the campus and identifies the gap. In short, chapter one answer the questions of “Why is the author doing the study?” and “What is the significance of it?”

Chapter 2 discusses literature field of knowledge related to the topic. This chapter is simply a summary of what existing scholars know about the particular topic. That is, what other researchers have already written on the campus planning design and also it is a prelude to further research, a digest of scholarly opinion. The author referred to as much of the existing research as possible by reviewing major scholarly books and journal articles which give more up to date material and knowledge. In a nutshell, this chapter tries to answer “What is known and unknown?” in terms of campus planning and enhancing sense of place attachment among transnational students in UPM campus.

Chapter 3 establishes the conceptual and methodological approaches and strategies to understand the context. The chapter demonstrates mixed method techniques; and how the data was collected, recorded and analyzed. Meanwhile, rational for sampling or choice of cases, representatively of samples are discussed. In fact, the third chapter answers “How is author going to discover his aims and objectives?”

Chapter 4 gauges the level of attachment amongst the international students; to find out the perceptions of transnational students about their campus environments. Furthermore, the fourth chapter discovers the top places where the individuals prefer to enjoy and spend their time on-campus. Most importantly, the chapter demonstrates the relationship between variables of place attachment and the campus physical planning and design characteristics.

Chapter 5 morphologically analyses the planning characteristics of UPM campus. This chapter explores the patterns of the campus environments in both built and open spaces where transnational students enjoyed to be on-campus. The considerations of these patterns have a significant role in attracting more individuals to the site; furthermore, they can demonstrate the advantages and disadvantages of the campus environments.

Chapter 6 examines campus places and place attachment dimensions through observations. The non-participant observation is the active acquisition of information from a primary source. The 21 variables which have a crucial key to attract or avert individuals to the campus areas are selected for this method.

Chapter 7 discovers stakeholder views to present appropriate strategy development. The chapter demonstrates unique insight into the campus internationalization and its planning and design; because they are secure resources to assist the author with his decisions. The chapter also increases transparency and leads to superior decision making for creating a friendly and unique campus environments.

Finally, Chapter 8 discusses the findings and concludes the thesis by constructing principles and recommendations for the campus design and planning strategy which cause a greater place attachment in the campus amongst international students. The recommendations are based on the four methods that the researcher discovered.



BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abd-Razak, M. Z., Mustafa, N. K. ., Che-Ani, a. I., Abdullah, N. a. G., & Mohd-Nor, M. F. . (2011). Campus Sustainability: Student's Perception on Campus Physical Development Planning in Malaysia. *Procedia Engineering*, 20, 230–237. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2011.11.160>
- Abdullah, I. C., Muslim, M. H., & Karim, H. A. (2013). An Assessment on Variable Reliability in Investigating Students' Living Satisfaction in Private Housing Environment. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 101, 354–367. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.07.210>
- Abdullah, J. (2009). The Internationalization of Public Universities in Malaysia.
- Abramson, P. (2009). Downsizing Residence Halls: Space and Costs. *Living on Campus*, 2009 College Housing Report, 12(5), 20,22,24,26,27.
- Adevi, A. a., & Lieberg, M. (2012). Stress rehabilitation through garden therapy. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 11(1), 51–58. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2011.09.007>
- Adevi, Anna A., Mårtensson, Fredrika, 2013. Stress rehabilitation through garden therapy: the garden as a place in the recovery from stress. *Urban For. Urban Green*. 12 (2), 230–237.
- Alavi, M., & Mansor, S. M. S. (2011). Categories of Problems among International Students in Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 30, 1581–1587. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.10.307>
- Alegre, J., & Juaneda, C. (2006). Destination loyalty: Consumers' economic behavior. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 33(3), 684–706.
- Alfieri, T., Damon, D., dan Smith, Z. 2009. From Living Building to Living Campuses. *Planning for Higher Education*. Vol 38, No 1, pp 51-59.
- Alshuwaikhat, H. M., & Abubakar, I. (2008). An integrated approach to achieving campus sustainability: assessment of the current campus environmental management practices. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(16), 1777–1785. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2007.12.002>
- Altbach, P.G. (2002). Perspectives on international higher education. *Change*, 34(3), 29-31.
- Altman, I. and Chemers. M. (1984). *Culture and environment*. Ca: Brooks/ Cole Pub. Company
- Altman, I., Low, S.M., 1992. *Place attachment. A conceptual inquiry*. Plenum Press, New York.

- Amole, D. (2005). Coping Strategies for Living in Student Residential Facilities in Nigeria. *Environment and Behaviour*, 200-219.
- Amole, D. (2009). Residential satisfaction in students' housing. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 29(1), 76-85.
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2008.05.006>
- Andersen RV, Toftum J, Andersen KK, Olesen BW. Survey of occupant behaviour and control of indoor environment in Danish dwellings. *Energy Build* 2009;41(1):11e6.
- Anderson, J., 2010. Understanding Cultural Geography: Places and Traces. Routledge, London.
- Andrade, M. S. (2006). International students In English-Speaking Universities: Adjustment Factors. *International Education*, 5, 24.
- Anton, C. E., & Lawrence, C. (2016). The relationship between place attachment, the theory of planned behaviour and residents' response to place change. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 47, 145-154.
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2016.05.010>
- Arambewela, R., & Hall, J. (2011). The Role of Personal Values in Enhancing Student Experience and Satisfaction Among International Postgraduate Students: an Exploratory Study. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 29, 1807-1815.
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.11.428>
- Arum, R., & Roska, J. (2011). Academically adrift: Limited learning on college campuses. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Astin, A. W. (1993). What matters in college? Four critical years revisited. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Aziz, M. I. A., & Abdullah, D. (2012). Towards sustainability in Malaysian international education management. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 40, 424-428.
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.03.210>
- Babcock, P., & Marks, M. (2011). The falling time cost of college: Evidence from half a century of time-use data. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 93, 468-478.
- Bachman, D. L. (2007). Designing Beds for More Sophisticated Heads: A Modern Campus Success Story. *College Planning and Management*, 10(1), 78-80.
- Baharun, R. (2002). A study of market segmentation in tertiary education for local public higher learning institutes. *Malaysian Management Review*, 37(1).
- Baker, S. E., & Edwards, R. (2012). How many qualitative interviews is enough? *National Centre for Research Methods Review Paper*, 1-42.
<http://doi.org/10.1177/1525822X05279903>
- Balyer, A., & Gunduz, Y. (2012). Effects of Structured Extracurricular Facilities on

- Students' Academic and Social Development. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 46, 4803–4807. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.06.338>
- Banyard, V. L. (2014). Improving College Campus–Based Prevention of Violence Against Women. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 15(4), 339–351. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1524838014521027>
- Barham R, Memoli G, Dawson D, Grounds M. Distributed noise monitoring in intensive care units. In: Proceedings in Acoustics, Nantes; 23e27 April 2012.
- Bektaş, D. (2008). Counselling International Students in Turkish Universities: Current Status and Recommendations. *International Journal for the Advancement of Counselling*, 30(4), 268-278.
- Benages-Albert, M., Di Masso, A., Porcel, S., Pol, E., & Vall-Casas, P. (2015). Revisiting the appropriation of space in metropolitan river corridors. *Journal of Environ-mental Psychology*, 42,1-15. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2015.01.002>, 0272e4944.
- Bennett, M. A., & Benton, S. L. (2001). What Are the Buildings Saying? A Study of First-Year Campus Architecture, 38(2), 159–178.
- Billig, M. (2006). Is my home my castle? Place attachment, risk perception, and religious faith. *Environment and Behavior*, 38, 248e265.
- Birkhamshaw, A. J., & Whitehand, J. W. R. (2012). Conzenian urban morphology and the character areas of planners and residents. *URBAN DESIGN International*, 17(1), 4–17. <http://doi.org/10.1057/udi.2011.22>
- Blaikie, N. (2000). *Designing social research: The logic of anticipation*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Bluyssen, P. M., Janssen, S., van den Brink, L. H., & de Kluizenaar, Y. (2011). Assessment of wellbeing in an indoor office environment. *Building and Environment*, 46(12), 2632–2640. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2011.06.026>
- Bogac, C. (2009). Place attachment in foreign settlement. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 29, 276-278.
- Bonaiuto, M., Aiello, A., Perugini, M., Bonnes, M., Ercolani, A.P., 1999. Multidimensional perception of residential environmental quality and neighbourhood attachment in the urban environment. *J. Environ. Psychol.* 19, 331–352.
- Bonaiuto, M., Carrus, G., Martorella, H., Bonnes, M., 2002. Local identity processes and environmental attitudes in land use changes: the case of natural protected areas. *J. Econ. Psychol.* 23, 631–653.
- Brandon, A., Hirt, J. B., & Cameron, T. (2008). Where You Live Influences Who You Know: Differences in Student Interaction Based on Residence Hall Design. *Journal of College & University Student Housing*, 35(2), 62-79.

- Brehm, J. M., Eisenhauer, B. W., & Krannich, R. S. (2004). Dimensions of Community Attachment and Their Relationship to Well-Being in the Amenity-Rich Rural West. *Rural Sociology*, 69(3), 405–429. <http://doi.org/10.1526/0036011041730545>
- Brewer, J., & Hunter, A. (1989). *Multimethod research: A synthesis of styles*. Newbury Park, NJ: Sage.
- Bricker, K. S., & Kerstetter, D. (2000). Level of specialization and place attachment: An exploratory study of white water recreationists. *Leisure Sciences*, 22, 233–257.
- Brown, B. B. & Werner, C. M. (1985). Social cohesiveness, territoriality and holiday decorations. *Environment and Behavior*, 27, 539–565.
- Brown, G., Raymond, C. M., & Corcoran, J. (2015). Mapping and measuring place attachment. *Applied Geography*, 57, 42–53. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2014.12.011>
- Brown, B., Perkins, D. D., & Brown, G. (2003). Place attachment in a revitalizing neighborhood: Individual and block levels of analysis. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 23, 259–271.
- Brown, M. (2005). Learning spaces. *Educating the net generation*, 174–195.
- Brown, M., & Long, P. (2006). Trends in Learning Space Design. In D. G. Oblinger (Ed.), *Learning Spaces: EDUCAUSE*.
- Brundtland Report 1987. *Our Common Future: The World Commission for the Environment and Development*. Madrid: Alianza Publication.
- Budde-Sung, A. E. K. (2011). The increasing internationalization of the international business classroom: Cultural and generational considerations. *Business Horizons*, 54(4), 365–373. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2011.03.003>
- Butcher, M., 2010. From ‘fish out of water’ to ‘fitting in’: the challenge of re-placing home in a mobile world. *Popul. Space Place* 16 (1), 23–36
- Burmeister, E., & Aitken, L. M. (2012). Sample size: How many is enough? *Australian Critical Care*, 25(4), 271–274. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.aucc.2012.07.002>
- Campelo, A. (2015). Rethinking Place Branding, 51–60. <http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-12424-7>
- Carmichael, B. A. (2006). Linking quality tourism experiences, residents’ quality of life, and quality experiences for tourists. In G. Jennings, & N. P. Nickerson (Eds.), *Quality tourism experiences* (pp. 115–135). Oxford: Elsevier Butterworth Heinemann.
- Carmona M, Heath T, Oc T, Tiesdell S (2003) *Public places, urban spaces: the dimensions of urban design*. Architectural Press, Oxford

- Casakin, H. P., & Kreitler, S. (2008). Place Attachment as a Function of Meaning Assignment, 80–87.
- Chapin, F. S., & Knapp, C. N. (2015). Sense of place: A process for identifying and negotiating potentially contested visions of sustainability. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 53, 38–46. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2015.04.012>
- Charkhiyan, Maryam (2009). Explanatory Model of Attachment to Place and Explore its Different Aspects, *Fine Art, Summer*, 38, pp37-38
- Chen, P. Y (2014). Quality Management for universities' transnational education in Taiwan: An ISO 9004-based evaluation approach. *KEDI Journal of Educational Policy*, 11(1), 95-118
- Chen, P.-Y. (2015). University's Transnational Expansion: Its Meaning, Rationales and Implications. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 171, 1420–1427. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.263>
- Cheng, C.-K., & Kuo, H.-Y. (2015). Bonding to a new place never visited: Exploring the relationship between landscape elements and place bonding. *Tourism Management*, 46, 546–560. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2014.08.006>
- Chida, Y., Hamer, M., 2008. Chronic psychological factors and cute physiological responses to laboratory-induced stress in healthy populations: a quantitative review of 30 years of investigations. *Psychol. Bull.* 134, 829–885.
- Chow, K., & Healey, M. (2008). Place attachment and place identity: First-year undergraduates making the transition from home to university. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 28(4), 362–372. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2008.02.011>
- Cohen, D. A., McKenzie, T. L., Sehgal, A., Williamson, S., Golinelli, D., & Lurie, N. (2007). Contribution of public parks to physical activity. *American Journal of Public Health*, 97(3), 509–514.
- Conzen, M.R.G. (1988) Morphogenesis, morphological regions and secular human agency in the historic town- scape as exemplified by Ludlow. In: D. Denecke and G. Shaw (eds.) *Urban Historical Geography: Recent Progress in Britain and Germany*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 253–272.
- Coombes, E., Jones, A. P., & Hillsdon, M. (2010). The relationship of physical activity and overweight to objectively measured green space accessibility and use. *Social Science & Medicine*, 70(6), 816–822.
- Corcoran, M. P. (2002). "Place Attachment and Community Sentiment in Marginalised Neighbourhoods: A European Case Study." *Canadian Journal of Urban Research*, 11(1), 47–67. Retrieved from <http://eprints.maynoothuniversity.ie/1216/1/MCplaceattachment.pdf>
- Cradock, A. L., Melly, S. J., Allen, J. G., Morris, J. S., & Gortmaker, S. L. (2007). Characteristics of school campuses and physical activity among youth. *American*

Journal of Preventive Medicine, 33(2), 106–113.
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2007.04.009>

Cresswell, T., 2006. *On the Move: Mobility in the Modern Western World*. Routledge, London.

Creswell, J. W. (2002). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative approaches to research*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill/Pearson Education.

Creswell, J. W. (2003). *Research design: Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methods approaches* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage

Creswell, J. W., Plano Clark, V. L., Gutmann, M. L., & Hanson, W. E. (2003). Advanced mixed methods research designs. In A. Tashakkori & C. Teddlie (Eds.), *Handbook of mixed methods in social and behavioral research* (pp. 209–240). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. SAGE (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, California.

D'amico L. A. & Brooks, W. D. 1968. *The Spatial Campus. A Planning Scheme and Annotated Bibliography*.

Davis, A. (2016). Experiential places or places of experience? Place identity and place attachment as mechanisms for creating festival environment. *Tourism Management*, 55, 49–61. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2016.01.006>

Davenport, M. A., & Anderson, D. H. (2005). Getting from sense of place to place-based management: An interpretive investigation of place meanings and perceptions of landscape change. *Society & Natural Resources*, 18, 625–641. DBKL.

Day, J. K., & Gunderson, D. E. (2014). Understanding high performance buildings: The link between occupant knowledge of passive design systems, corresponding behaviors, occupant comfort and environmental satisfaction. *Building and Environment*, 84, 114–124. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2014.11.003>

De Giuli, V., Da Pos, O., & De Carli, M. (2012). Indoor environmental quality and pupil perception in Italian primary schools. *Building and Environment*, 56, 335–345. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2012.03.024>

Delbanco, A. (2014). *College: What it was, is, and should be*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

De Vaus, D. (2002). *Survey in social research* (5th ed.). New South Wales: Allen & Unwin

Devine-Wright, P., & Howes, Y. (2010). Disruption to place attachment and the protection of restorative environments: A wind energy case study. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 30, 271–280.

Dictionary.com Unabridged. <online> Retrieved June 5, 2015. Available <http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/charrette>.

Diette, G. B., Lechtzin, N., Haponik, E., Devrotes, A., & Rubin, H. R. (2003). Distraction therapy with nature sights and sounds reduces pain during flexible bronchoscopy: a complementary approach to routine analgesia. *Chest*, 123, 941–948.

Dixon, J., Durrheim, K., 2000. Displacing place-identity: a discursive approach to locating self and other. *British Journal of Social Psychology* 39, 27–44.

Dober, R. (1992). *Campus Design*. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons.

Downey, H., Threlkeld, G., & Warburton, J. (2017). What is the role of place identity in older farming couples' retirement considerations? *Journal of Rural Studies*, 50, 1–11. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2016.12.006>

Dudek, Mark (2000). *Architecture of Schools: The new learning environment*. Oxford:Architectural Press.

Dyer, W. G., Jr, Wilkins, A. L., & Eisenhardt, K. M. (1991). Better stories, not better constructs, to generate better theory: A rejoinder to Eisenhardt; better stories and better constructs: The case for rigor and comparative logic. *The Academy of Management Review*, 16 (3), 613.

Eckert, E. (2012). *Examining The Environment: The Development Of A Survey Instrument To Assess Student Perceptions Of The University Outdoor Physical Campus Kent State University*.

Elias, H., Ping, W. S., & Abdullah, M. C. (2011). Stress and Academic Achievement among Undergraduate Students in Universiti Putra Malaysia. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 29, 646–655. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.11.288>

Evans, G.W., McCoy, J.M., 1998. When buildings don't work: the role of architecture in human health. *J. Environ. Psychol.* 18, 85–94.

Farnum, J., Hall, T., Kruger, L.E., 2005. *Sense of Place in Natural Resource Recreation and Tourism: An Evaluation and Assessment of Research Findings*. USDA Forest Service, Portland.

Fatemi, E., Yazah, M., & Raschid, M. (2016). Transnationalism Place Attachments Towards The Establishment Sustainable Campus Planning. In 4th . International Congress on Civil Engineering , Architecture and Urban Development 27-29 December 2016, Shahid Beheshti University , Tehran , Iran.

Fatemi, E., Yazah, M., Raschid, M., Maulan, S., Fatemi, E., & Kalantari, F. (2017). Does University Campus Place Attachment Matter Among Transnational Students ? In 5th .International Congress on Civil Engineering, Architecture And Urban Development, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran 26–28 Dec.

Fatemi, E., Yazah, M., Raschid, M., Maulan, S., & Yazid, M. (2017). Trans-Nationalism and Place Attachment in Universiti Putra Malaysia Campus Planning : A Critical

View from Figure Ground Morphological Perspective. In 2nd.International Conference on Civil Engineering, Architecture and Urban Design 31 Aug. 2017, Kasem Bundit University, Bangkok, Thailand.

- Felsten, G. (2009). Where to take a study break on the college campus: An attention restoration theory perspective. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 29(1), 160–167. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2008.11.006>
- Fisher, S., Murray, K., & Frazer, A. (1985). Homesickness, health and efficiency in first year students. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 5(2), 181–195.
- Fried, M. (2000). Continuities and discontinuities of place. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 20, 193–205, doi:10.1006/jenvp.1999.0154.
- Frontczak, M., Andersen, R. V., & Wargocki, P. (2012). Questionnaire survey on factors influencing comfort with indoor environmental quality in Danish housing. *Building and Environment*, 50, 56–64. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2011.10.012>
- Frontczak, M., & Wargocki, P. (2011). Literature survey on how different factors influence human comfort in indoor environments. *Building and Environment*, 46(4), 922–937. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2010.10.021>
- Galster, G. (1987). Identifying the correlates of residential satisfaction: An empirical critique. *Environment and Behaviour*, 19, 539–568.
- Gall, T. L., Evans, D. R., & Bellerose, S. (2000). Transition to first-year university: Patterns of change in adjustment across life domains and time. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 19(4), 544–567.
- Gargano, T. (2009). (Re) conceptualizing international student mobility: The potential of trans- national social fields. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 13, 331–346.
- Gehl, J., 2011. *Life Between Buildings: Using Public Space*. Island Press, Washington, DC.
- Gerson, K., Stueve, C. A. & Fischer, C. S. (1977). Attachment to place. In C. S. Fischer, R. M. Jackson, C. A. Stueve, K. Gerson, L. Jones, M. Baldassare (Eds), *Networks and Places*, New York: The Free Press.
- Gerring, J. (2004). What Is a Case Study and What Is It Good for? *The American Political Science Review*, 98 (2), 341–354.
- Gesler, W., 1991. *The Cultural Geography of Health Care*. University of Pittsburgh Press, Pittsburgh, PA.
- Gieryn, T.F., 2000. A space for place in sociology. *Annual Review of Sociology* 26, 463–496.
- Gifford R. (2002). *Environmental psychology: Principles and practice*. Boston: Allyn &

Bacon.

- Gillham, B. (2008). Observation Techniques: Structured to Unstructured. *Education Review//Reseñas Educativas*.
- Ginter, E. J., & Glauser, A. (1997, April). A developmental life skills model: A comprehensive approach for students. Presentation made at the 22nd Annual University System of Georgia's Learning Support/Developmental Studies Conference, Augusta, Georgia.
- Greene, J. C., Caracelli, V. J., & Graham, W. F. (1989). Toward a conceptual framework for mixed-method evaluation designs. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 11, 255–274.
- Greif, M. J., & Nii-Amoo Dodoo, F. (2015). How community physical, structural, and social stressors relate to mental health in the urban slums of Accra, Ghana. *Health & Place*, 33, 57–66. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2015.02.002>
- Gross, M. J., & Brown, G. (2008). An empirical structural model of tourists and places: Progressing involvement and place attachment into tourism. *Tourism Management*, 29(6), 1141–1151. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2008.02.009>
- Gu, H., & Ryan, C. (2008). Place attachment, identity and community impacts of tourism—the case of a Beijing hutong. *Tourism Management*, 29(4), 637–647. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2007.06.006>
- Guillian MV, Feldman R. Place attachment in a developmental and cultural context. *J Environ Psychol* 1993; 13: 267-74.
- Gunderson, K., Watson, A., 2007. Understanding place meanings on the Bitterroot National Forest, Montana. *Soc. Nat. Resour.* 20 (8), 705–721.
- Gustafson, P., 2001. Roots and routes: exploring the relationship between place attachment and mobility. *Environ. Behav.* 33 (5), 667–686.
- Gustavo C. (2005). The Mexico-North report on transnationalism. *An article delivered at the 63th Annual conference of the Midwest Political Science Association, Chicago* (pp. 7-10).
- Haar, S. (2012). Book Review: University Planning and Architecture: The Search for Perfection. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 32(3), 378–381. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X12450413>
- Hair, J., Black, W., Babin, B., & Anderson, R. (2020). *Multivariate data analysis* (Seventh Ed.). New Jersey: Prentice-Hall. Inc
- Hajrasouliha, A. (2017). Campus score: Measuring university campus qualities. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 158, 166–176. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2016.10.007>
- Hamshire, C., Barrett, N., Langan, M., Harris, E., & Wibberley, C. (2017). Nurse

- Education Today Students' perceptions of their learning experiences : A repeat regional survey of healthcare students. *YNEDT*, 49, 168–173. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2016.11.019>
- Hanan, H. (2013). Open Space as Meaningful Place for Students in ITB Campus. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 85, 308–317. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.08.361>
- Haney, T., 2007. Broken windows and self-esteem: subjective understandings of neighborhood poverty and disorder. *Soc. Sci. Res.* 36, 968–994.
- Hants, P. Y. (1986). School of Architecture , King Henry Building Portsmouth Polytechnic , (June 1985), 49–63.
- Hartig, T., Kaiser, F. G., & Bowler, P. A. (2001). Psychological restoration in nature as a positive motivation for ecological behavior. *Environment and Behavior*, 33, 590–607.
- Hay, R. B. (1998). A rooted sense of place in cross-cultural perspective. *Canadian Geographer*, 42, 245–266.
- Heerwagen, J. H., & Orians, G. H. (1986). Adaptations to windowlessness: a study in the use of visual décor in windowed and windowless offices. *Environment and Behavior*, 18, 623–639
- Heinzerling, D., Schiavon, S., Webster, T., & Arens, E. (2013). Indoor environmental quality assessment models : A literature review and a proposed weighting and classification scheme. *Building and Environment*, 70, 210–222. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2013.08.027>
- Hernández, B., Carmen Hidalgo, M., Salazar-Laplace, M. E., & Hess, S. (2007). Place attachment and place identity in natives and non-natives. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 27(4), 310–319. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2007.06.003>
- Hidalgo, M. C., & Hernández, B. (2001). Place Attachment: Conceptual and Empirical Questions. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 21(3), 273–281. <http://doi.org/10.1006/jevp.2001.0221>
- Hillier, B., & Iida, S. (2005). Network and psychological effects in urban movement. In A. J. Cohn, & D. M. Mark (Eds.), *Proceedings of spatial information theory: International conference, COSIT 2005* (pp. 475–490). Springer.
- Holdsworth, C., 2006. “Don’t you think you’re missing out, living at home?”: student experiences and residential transitions. *Sociol. Rev.* 54 (3), 495–519
- Holton, M., 2014. “I already know the city, I don’t have to explore it”: adjustments to ‘sense of place’ for ‘local’ UK University students. *Popul. Space Place*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/psp.1866>.
- Hossini, S. B., Azemati, S., Elyasi, N., & Mozaffar, F. (2015). The Effect of the Vitality Level of University Campuses on Increasing Social Interactions and Making.

Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 170, 225–233.
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.032>

- Hotta, J., & Ting-Toomey, S. (2013). Intercultural adjustment and friendship dialectics in international students: A qualitative study. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 37(5), 550–566. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2013.06.007>
- Houtman I, Douwes M, de Jong T, et al. New forms of physical and psychological health risks at work. IP/A/EMPF/ST/2007-19, PE 408.569. Brussels, Belgium: European Parliament, Policy department Economic and Scientific Policy; 2008.
- Huang, L., Zhu, Y., Ouyang, Q., & Cao, B. (2012). A study on the effects of thermal, luminous, and acoustic environments on indoor environmental comfort in offices. *Building and Environment*, 49, 304–309. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2011.07.022>
- Hull, R., Lam, M., Vigo, G., 1994. Place identity: symbols of self in the urban fabric. *Landscape Urban Plann.* 28 (2–3), 109–120.
- Hummon, D. M. (1992). Community attachment: local sentiment and sense of place. In I. Altman & S. Low (Eds), *Place Attachment*, New York: Plenum.
- Hus, P. Y. (2003). An Assessment of Counseling Needs of International Students at University of Wisconsin-Stout Unpublished Master of Science Thesis, University of Wisconsin-Stout, Menomonie.
- Hwang, S-N., Lee, C., & Chen, H-J. (2005). The relationship among tourists' involvement, place attachment and interpretation satisfaction in Taiwan's national parks. *Tourism Management*, 26(2), 143–156.
- Ibem, E. O., & Aduwo, E. B. (2013). Assessment of residential satisfaction in public housing in Ogun State, Nigeria. *Habitat International*, 40, 163–175. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2013.04.001>
- Ibrahim, M. B., & Omer, O. A. (2014). Evolution and changes in the morphologies of Sudanese cities. *Urban Geography*, 35(5), 735–756. <http://doi.org/10.1080/02723638.2014.919798>
- Jorgensen BS, Stedman, RC. Sense of place as an attitude: lake-shore property owners' attitudes toward their properties. *J Environ Psychol* 2001; 21: 233–248.
- Jorgensen, B.S., Stedman, R.C., 2006. A comparative analysis of predictors of Sense of Place dimensions: attachment to, dependence on, and identification with lakeshore properties. *J. Environ. Manage.* 79, 216–327.
- Just A Comfortable Place to Sit: Davis Sittable Space Study - Scientific Figure on ResearchGate. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/283326472_fig3_Figure-5-Harvard-University-is-using-movable-chairs-and-outdoor-performances-to-turn [accessed 14 Dec, 2017]
- Kaczynski, A. T., & Henderson, K. A. (2008). Parks and recreation settings and active

- living: A review of associations with physical activity function and intensity. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health*, 5(4), 619–632.
- Kaplan, S. (1995). The restorative benefits of nature: toward an integrative frame- work. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 15, 169–182.
- Kasarda, J. D. & Janowitz, M. (1974). Community attachment in mass society. *American Sociological Review*, 39, 328-339.
- Kawachi, I., Berkman, L., 2001. Social Ties and Mental Health. *J. Urban Health* 78, 458–467.
- Kelly, G., & Hosking, K. (2008). Nonpermanent residents, place attachment, and “sea change” communities. *Environment and Behavior*, 40, 575- 594.
- Kinnafick, F.-E., & Thøgersen-Ntoumani, C. (2014). The effect of the physical environment and levels of activity on affective states. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 38, 241–251. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2014.02.007>
- Kirillova, K., Fu, X., Lehto, X., & Cai, L. (2014). What makes a destination beautiful? Dimensions of tourist aesthetic judgment. *Tourism Management*, 42, 282e293.
- Klepeis NE, Nelson WC, Ott WR, Robinson JP, Tsang AM, Switzer P, et al. The national human activity pattern survey (NHAPS): a resource for assessing exposure to environmental pollutants. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol* 2001; 11(3):231e52.
- Knight, J. & De Wit, H. (Eds) (1999). *Quality and Internationalization in Higher Education*. Paris: OECD.
- Koohsari, M. J., Kaczynski, A. T., Giles-Corti, B., & Karakiewicz, J. A. (2013). Effects of access to public open spaces on walking: Is proximity enough? *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 117, 92–99. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2013.04.020>
- Korpela, K. M., Hartig T., Kaiser, F. G. & Fuhrer, U. (2001) Restorative experience and self-regulation in favorite places, *Environment and Behaviour* 33, pp. 572–589.
- Kuh, G. D., Kinzie, J., Schuh, J. H., & Whitt, E. J. (2005). Student success in college: Creating conditions that matter. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Kyle, G. T., Mowen, A. J., & Tarrant, M. (2004). Linking place preferences with place meaning: An examination of the relationship between place motivation and place attachment. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 24, 439e454.
- Kyle, G., Graefe, A. R., Manning, R., & Bacon, J. (2004b). Effect of activity involvement and place attachment on recreationists' perception of setting density. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 36(2), 209e231.
- Kyle, G. (2005). Testing the Dimensionality of Place Attachment in Recreational Settings. *Environment and Behavior*, 37(2), 153–177. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0013916504269654>

- Lane, Jason E. 2007. "The Spider Web of Oversight: An Analysis of External Oversight of Higher Education." *The Journal of Higher Education* 78 (6): 615.
- Lasanowski, V. (2009). International Student Mobility: Status Report 2009. The Observatory on Borderless Higher Education (OBHE) Report, June.
- Lau, Stephen S.Y., Yang, Feng, 2009. Introducing healing gardens into a compact university campus: design natural space to create healthy and sustainable campuses. *Landsc. Res.* 34 (1), 55–81.
- Lau, S. S. Y., Gou, Z., & Liu, Y. (2014). Healthy campus by open space design: Approaches and guidelines. *Frontiers of Architectural Research*, 1–16. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.foar.2014.06.006>
- Lawton, M.P., 1982. Competence, environmental press, and the adaptation of older people. In: Lawton, M.P., Windley, P.G., Byerts, T.O. (Eds.), *Aging and the Environment*. Springer Publishing, New York, pp. 33–59.
- Lee, B. K., Sohn, S. Y., & Yang, S. (2014). Design guidelines for the Dashilar, Beijing Open Green Space Redevelopment Project. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 13(2), 385–396. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2013.12.008>
- Lee, J., & Rice, C. (2007). Welcome to America? International student perceptions of discrimination. *Higher Education*, 53(3), 381–409.
- Lee, J.-S., Koeske, G.F., Sales, E., 2004. Social support buffering of acculturative stress: a study of mental health symptoms among Korean international students. *Int. J. Intercult. Relat.* 28, 399–414.
- Lee, M. C., Mui, K. W., Wong, L. T., Chan, W. Y., Lee, E. W. M., & Cheung, C. T. (2012). Student learning performance and indoor environmental quality (IEQ) in air-conditioned university teaching rooms. *Building and Environment*, 49, 238–244. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2011.10.001>
- Lee, M. N. N. (1999). Education in Malaysia: Towards Vision 2020. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 10(1), 86–98. <http://doi.org/10.1076/sesi.10.1.86.3514>
- Lee, M. N. N. 2004. "Global Trends, National Policies and Institutional Responses: Restructuring Higher Education in Malaysia." *Educational Research for Policy and Practice* 3 (1): 31–46.
- Lee, M. N. N. (2004). Global trends, national policies and institutional responses: Restructuring higher education. In M. N. N. Lee (Ed.), *Restructuring higher education in Malaysia* (pp. 63–76). Penang: Universiti Sains Malaysia.
- Lewicka, M. (2011). On the varieties of people's relationships with places: Hummon's typology revisited. *Environment and Behavior*, 43(5), 676–709.
- Lewicka, M. (2011). Place attachment: How far have we come in the last 40 years? *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 31(3), 207–230. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2010.10.001>

- Liang, H.-H., Chen, C.-P., Hwang, R.-L., Shih, W.-M., Lo, S.-C., & Liao, H.-Y. (2014). Satisfaction of occupants toward indoor environment quality of certified green office buildings in Taiwan. *Building and Environment*, 72, 232–242. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2013.11.007>
- Lin, C.-C., & Lockwood, M. (2014). Forms and sources of place attachment: Evidence from two protected areas. *Geoforum*, 53, 74–81. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2014.02.008>
- Lobo, C. (2004). The role of environmental perceptions in sense of place: Case studies of neighborhoods in Phoenix, Arizona.
- Lombard, M. (2014). Constructing ordinary places: Place-making in urban informal settlements in Mexico. *Progress in Planning*, 94, 1–53. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.progress.2013.05.003>
- Long, P., Perdue, R., & Allen, L. (1990). Rural resident perceptions and attitudes by community level of tourism. *Journal of Tourism Research*, 28(3), 3–9.
- Low, S.M.; Altman, I. 1992. Place Attachment: A Conceptual Inquiry. In I. Altman; Low, S.M. eds. *Place Attachment* (pp. 1-12). NY: Plenum Press.
- Lui, M. (2009). Addressing the Mental Health Problems of Chinese International College Students in The United states. 10.
- Lukose, R. (2007). Reflections from the field: The difference diaspora makes: Thinking through the Anthropology of immigrant education in the United States. *Anthropology and Education Quarterly*, 38 (4), 405–418.
- Lynch, K. (1960). *The Image of the City*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: M.I.T. Press.
- McAndrew, F.T., 1998. The measurement of 'rootedness' and the prediction of attachment to home-towns in college students. *J. Environ. Psychol.* 18, 409–417, doi:10.1006/jevp.1998.0078.
- Madge, C., Raghuram, P., & Noxolo, P. (2009). Engaged pedagogy and responsibility: A postcolonial analysis of international students. *Geoforum*, 40(1), 34–45. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2008.01.008>
- Mager, U., & Nowak, P. (2012). Effects of student participation in decision making at school. A systematic review and synthesis of empirical research. *Educational Research Review*, 7(1), 38–61. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2011.11.001>
- Mahmud, Z., Amat, S., Rahman, S., & Ishak, N. M. (2010). Challenges for International Students in Malaysia: Culture, Climate and Care. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 7(C), 289–293. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.10.040>
- Malaklolunthu, S., & Selan, P. S. (2011). Adjustment problems among international students in Malaysian private higher education institutions. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 15, 833–837. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.03.194>

- Manzo, L., 2003. Beyond house and haven: toward a revisioning of emotional relationships with places. *J. Environ. Psychol.* 23 (1), 47–61.
- Manzo, L. C., & Perkins, D. D. (2006). Neighborhoods as common ground: The importance of place attachment to community participation and development. *Journal of Planning Literature*, 20, 335-350.
- Marcus, C. C., & Francis, C. (1998). *People places: Design guidelines for urban open space*: Wiley.
- Marmolejo, F. (2010b, July 19). International migration outlook: Lessons and experiences for international education. *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, pp. 1-2.
- Marshall S (2005) *Streets & patterns*. Spon Press, Taylor & Francis Group, New York
- Martin, J., & Allen, M. (2009). Students in My Backyard: Housing at the Campus Edge and Other Emerging Trends in Residential Development. *Planning for Higher Education*, 34-43.
- Martin, N. D. (2011). The Privilege of Ease: Social Class and Campus Life at Highly Selective, Private Universities. *Research in Higher Education*, 53(4), 426–452. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11162-011-9234-3>
- Martinelli, L., Battisti, A., & Matzarakis, A. (2014). Multicriteria analysis model for urban open space renovation: An application for Rome. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 1–11. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2014.07.002>
- Marzukhi, M. A., Karim, H. A., & Latfi, M. F. (2012). Evaluating the Shah Alam City Council Policy and Guidelines on the Hierarchy of Neighborhood Open Space. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 36(June 2011), 456–465. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.03.050>
- Maslow, A. (1968). *Toward a Psychology of Being* (2nd ed.). New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- Matloob, F. A., Sulaiman, A. B., Ali, T. H., Shamsuddin, S., & Mardyya, W. N. (2014). Sustaining Campuses through Physical Character—The Role of Landscape. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 140, 282–290. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.04.421>
- Mazzarol, T., & Soutar, G. N. (2002). “Push-pull” factors influencing international student destination choice. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 16, 82-90.
- Mazzarol, T., Soutar, G.N. & Seng, M.S.Y. (2003). The third wave: future trends in international education. *The International Journal of Educational Management*. 17(3), 90-99.
- Miller, G.E., Cohen, S., Ritchey, A.K., 2002. Chronic psychological stress and regulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines: a glucocorticoid-resistance model. *Health Psychol.* 21, 531–541.

- Milligan M. Interactional past and potential. *Symbolic Interaction*, 1998; 21: 1-33.
- Mingaleva, Z., & Mirskikh, I. (2012). Globalization in Education in Russia. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 47, 1702- 1706.
- Ministry of Higher Education. 2007. *Pelan Strategik Pengajian Tinggi Negara Melangkau Tahun 2020*. Putrajaya: Ministry of Higher Education.
- Misra, R., Crist, M., & Burant, C. J. (2003). Relationships Among Life Stress, Social Support, Academic Stressors, and Reactions to Stressors of International Students in the United States. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 10, 137–157. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/1072-5245.10.2.137>
- Mok, K. H. (2006). *Education reform and education policy in East Asia*. London: RoutledgeCurzon.
- Mok, K. H. (2007). Questing for Internationalization of Universities in Asia: Critical Reflections. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 11(3–4), 433–454. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1028315306291945>
- Moore, J. (2000). Placing Home in Context. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 20(3), 207–217. <http://doi.org/10.1006/jevp.2000.0178>
- Moore, K.D., 2004. Interpreting the “hidden program” of a place: an example from dementia day care. *Journal of Aging Studies* 18, 297–320.
- Moore, R.; Graefe, A. 1994. Attachments to recreation settings: The case of rail-trail users. *Leisure Sciences*. 16: 17-31.
- Morgan, P. (2010). Towards a developmental theory of place attachment. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 30(1), 11–22. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2009.07.001>
- Mouzourides, P., Kyprianou, A., & Neophytou, M. K. A. (2013). A Scale-Adaptive Approach for Spatially-Varying Urban Morphology Characterization in Boundary Layer Parametrization Using Multi-Resolution Analysis. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, 149(3), 455–481. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-013-9848-4>
- Mui KW, Wong LT. A method of assessing the acceptability of noise levels in air conditioned offices. *Building Services Engineering Research and Technology* 2006;27(3):249e54.
- Muslim, M. H., Karim, H. A., Abdullah, I. C., & Ahmad, P. (2013). Students' Perception of Residential Satisfaction in the Level of Off-Campus Environment. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 105, 684–696. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.11.071>
- Najib, N. 'Ulyani M., Yusof, N., & Sani, N. M. (2012). The Effects of Students' Socio-Physical Backgrounds onto Satisfaction with Student Housing Facilities. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 62(2011), 64–74. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.013>

- Natasha, K., Husrul Nizam, H., & Abdul Hadi, N. (2012). Evaluation and concept of building performance towards sustainability in Malaysia higher institution. *Asian Journal of Environment-Behaviour Studies*, 3(8). Retrieved from <http://fspu.uitm.edu.my/cebs/images/stories/cebs/ajebsv3n8c3.pdf>
- Neuman, D. J. 2004. Campus planning. Building Type Basics For College And University Facilities. John Wiley & Sons. Canada.
- Nielson, K.J. & Taylor, D.A. (2011). *Interiors: An Introduction* (5th Edition). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Niemiec, R. M., Ardoine, N. M., Wharton, C. B., & Brewer, F. K. (2017). Civic and natural place attachment as correlates of resident invasive species control behavior in Hawaii. *Biological Conservation*, 209, 415–422. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2017.02.036>
- Oleksy, T., & Wnuk, A. (2017). Catch them all and increase your place attachment! The role of location-based augmented reality games in changing people - place relations. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 76, 3–8. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.06.008>
- Olivas, M., & Li, C. (2006). Understanding stressors of international students in higher education: What college counselors and personnel need to know. *Journal of Instructional Psychology*, 33, 217–222.
- Palmer, M., O’Kane, P., Owens, M., 2009. Betwixt spaces: student accounts of turning point experiences in the first-year transition. *Stud. Higher Educ.* 34 (1), 37–54.
- Parvizi, E. (2009). National architecture from the perspective of cultural identity, *Journal of national studies*, 3.
- Pearce, P. L., Moscardo, G., & Ross, G. (1996). Tourism community relationships. Oxford: Elsevier.
- Pellerin N, Candau V. Effects of steady-state noise and temperature conditions on environmental perception and acceptability. *Indoor Air* 2004;14(2): 129e36.
- Payne, Sarah, 2009. Open space: people space. *J. Environ. Psychol.* 29 (4), 532–533.
- Poyrazli, S., & Grahame, K. M. (2007). Barriers to Adjustment: Needs of International Students within a Semi-Urban Campus Community. *Journal of Instructional Psychology*, 34(1).
- Pretty, G. H., Chipuer, H. M., & Bramston, P. (2003). Sense of place amongst adolescents and adults in two rural Australian towns: The discriminating features of place attachment, sense of community and place dependence in relation to place identity. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 23(3), 273–287. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-4944\(02\)00079-8](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-4944(02)00079-8)
- Proshansky, H. M. (1978). The city and self-identity. *Environment and Behavior*, 10, 147–170.

- Qingjiu, S., & Maliki, N. Z. (2013). Place Attachment and Place Identity: Undergraduate Students' Place Bonding on Campus. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 91, 632–639. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.08.463>
- Ram, Y., Björk, P., & Weidenfeld, a. (2016). Authenticity and place attachment of major visitor attractions. *Tourism Management*, 52, 110–122. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2015.06.010>
- Ramkissoon, H. (2015). Authenticity, satisfaction, and place attachment: A conceptual framework for cultural tourism in African island economies. *Development Southern Africa*, (May 2015), 1–11. <http://doi.org/10.1080/0376835X.2015.1010711>
- Ramkissoon, H., & Mavondo, F. T. (2015a). The satisfaction-place attachment relationship: Potential mediators and moderators. *Journal of Business Research*, 68(12), 2593–2602. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2015.05.002>
- Ramkissoon, H., & Mavondo, F. T. (2015b). The satisfaction–place attachment relationship: Potential mediators and moderators. *Journal of Business Research*. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2015.05.002>
- Rapoport, A. (1977). Human aspects of urban form: Towards man-environment approach to urban form and design. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- Raschid, M. Y. M., Yunus, M. Y., & Fatemi, E. (2015). TRANSNATIONALISM AND PLACE ATTACHMENT : SUSTAINABLE CAMPUS PLANNING TOWARDS THE ESTABLISHMENT OF GLOBAL EDUCATIONAL HUB Department of Architecture , Faculty of Design and Architecture , Universiti Putra Malaysia . Malaysia, 8(1), 20–27.
- Read, B., Archer, L., Leathwood, C., 2003. Challenging cultures? Student conceptions of 'belonging' and 'isolation' at a post-1992 University. *Stud. Higher Educ.* 28 (3), 261–277.
- Reay, D., 2001. Finding or losing yourself?: working-class relationships to education. *J. Educ. Policy* 16 (4), 333–346
- Reay, D., Crozier, G., Clayton, J., 2010. 'Fitting in' or 'standing out': working-class students in UK higher education. *Brit. Educ. Res. J.* 36 (1), 107–124.
- Relf, Edward. 1976. Place and Placelessness. London: Pion Limited.
- Relf, E. (1985). The instant environment machine and the reclamation of place. Plenary paper. Place and Place making Conference, PAPER 85, Melbourne, Australia.
- Richards, G., & Wilson, J. (2006). Developing creativity in tourist experiences: a solution to the serial reproduction of culture? *Tourism Management*, 27 (6), 1209e1233.
- Rioux, L., Scrima, F., & Werner, C. M. (2017). Space appropriation and place attachment: University students create places. *Journal of Environmental*

Psychology, 50, 60–68. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2017.02.003>

- Roche, C. R., Flanigan, M. A., & P. Kenneth Copeland, J. (2010). Student Housing: Trends, Preferences and Needs. In 2010 EABR & ETLIC Conference (pp. 270-275), 7-10 June 2010, Dublin. Ireland: 2010 EABR & ETLIC Conference Proceedings.
- Rodman, M.C., 1992. Empowering place: multilocality and multivocality. *American Anthropologist* 94 (3), 640–656.
- Rollero, C., & De Piccoli, N. (2010). Does place attachment affect social well-being? *Revue Européenne de Psychologie Appliquée/European Review of Applied Psychology*, 60(4), 233–238. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.erap.2010.05.001>
- Ryan, C., & Cooper, C. (2004). Residents' perceptions of tourism development: The case of Raglan, New Zealand. *Tourism Review International*, 8(1), 1–17.
- Saelens, B.E. & Handy, S. L. (2010). Built Environment Correlates of Walking: a Review. *Med Sci Sports*, 40(7), 550-566.
- Salas-Olmedo, H. 2008. Spatial And Transport Planning Integrated Policies: Guidelines For Northwest Spain. Transport Studies Unit, Oxford University Centre for the Environment.
- Sakurai, R., Ota, T., & Uehara, T. (2017). Sense of place and attitudes towards future generations for conservation of coastal areas in the Satoumi of Japan. *Biological Conservation*, 209, 332–340. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2017.02.041>
- Salas-Olmedo, H. 2008. Spatial And Transport Planning Integrated Policies: Guidelines For Northwest Spain. Transport Studies Unit, Oxford University Centre for the Environment.
- Sarason, S. (1974). *The Psychological Sense of Community: Prospects for a Community Psychology*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Sawir, E., et al., 2008. Loneliness and international students: an Australian study. *J. Stud. Int. Educ.* 12, 148–180.
- Scannell, L., & Gifford, R. (2010). Defining place attachment: A tripartite organizing framework. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 30(1), 1–10. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2009.09.006>
- Scannell, L., & Gifford, R. (2017). The experienced psychological benefits of place attachment. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 51, 256–269. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2017.04.001>
- Sedaghatnia, S., Lamit, H., Abdullah, A. S., & Ghahramanpouri, A. (2015). Experience of Social Inclusion among Students in University Campuses of Malaysia. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 170, 89–98. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.018>

- Seddon, G., Duany, A., Tredennick, M., 1972. *Sense of Place: A Response to an Environment, the Swan Coastal Plain Western Australia*. University of Western Australia Press, Western Australia.
- SEGI, U. (2010). Malaysia Education. Retrieved February, 2011, from <http://www.malaysiaeducationguide.com/>
- Shal, R. S., Sharbaf, H. A., Abdekhodae, M. S., Masoleh, S. M. K., & Salehi, I. (2011). Survey the Relationship Between Attachment Style and General Self Efficacy with Homesickness Among College Students. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 30, 538–541. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.10.105>
- Shamsuddin, S., Bahauddin, H., & Aziz, N. A. (2012). Relationship between the Outdoor Physical Environment and Student's Social Behaviour in Urban Secondary School. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 50(July), 148–160. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.08.023>
- Siggelkow, N. (2007). Persuasion with case studies. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 50 (1), 20-24.
- Sirat, M., & Azman, N. (2014). Malaysia's National Higher Education Research Institute (IPPTN): narrowing the research-policy gap in a dynamic higher education system. *Studies in Higher Education*, 39(8), 1451–1462. <http://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2014.949532>
- Sıramkaya, S. B., & Aydın, D. (2014). "Social Space" Concept in the Psycho – Social Development of University Youth and its Exemplification in Faculty Buildings*. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 140, 246–254. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.04.416>
- Smaldone, D. (2006). THE ROLE OF TIME IN PLACE ATTACHMENT 2. 0 PLACE ATTACHMENT CONCEPTS AND TIME — THEORY OVERVIEW, 47–56.
- Smith, J. W., & Floyd, M. F. (2013). The urban growth machine, central place theory and access to open space. *City, Culture and Society*, 4(2), 87–98. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccs.2013.03.002>
- Sobré-Denton, M. (2011). The emergence of cosmopolitan group cultures and its implications for cultural transition: A case study of an international student support group. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 35(1), 79–91. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2010.09.007>
- Sovic, S. (2009). Hi-bye friends and the herd instinct: international and home students in the creative arts. *Higher Education*, 58(6), 747-761.
- Srinurak, N., Mishima, N., Fuchikami, T., & Duangthima, W. (2016). Analysis of Urban Morphology and Accessibility Character to Provide Evacuation Route in Historic Area. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 216(October 2015), 460–469. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.12.061>
- Srivanit, M., & Hokao, K. (2013). Evaluating the cooling effects of greening for

- improving the outdoor thermal environment at an institutional campus in the summer. *Building and Environment*, 66, 158–172. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2013.04.012>
- Stedman, R.C., 2002. Toward a social psychology of place: predicting behavior from place- based cognitions, attitude, and identity. *Environ. Behav.* 23 (5), 561–581.
- Stokols, D.; Schumaker, S.A. 1981. People in places: A transactional view of settings. In J. Harvey, ed. *Cognition, Social behavior and the Environment*. NJ: Erlbaum.
- Stokowski, P.A., 2002. Languages of place and discourses of power: constructing new senses of place. *Journal of Leisure Research* 34 (4), 368–382.
- Stylos, N., Bellou, V., Andronikidis, A., & Vassiliadis, C. A. (2017). Linking the dots among destination images, place attachment, and revisit intentions: A study among British and Russian tourists. *Tourism Management*, 60, 15–29. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2016.11.006>
- Tashakkori, A., & Teddlie, C. (1998). *Mixed methodology: Combining qualitative and quantitative approaches*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Tashakkori, A., & Teddlie, C. (Eds.). (2003). *Handbook of mixed methods in social and behavioral research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Tapsuwan, S., Leviston, Z., & Tucker, D. (2011). Community values and attitudes towards land use on the Gnangara Groundwater System: A Sense of Place study in Perth, Western Australia. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 100(1–2), 24–34. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2010.09.006>
- Tardy, Christine M. (2014). Discourses of internationalization and diversity in US universities and writing programs. In D. S. Martins (Ed.), *Transnational Writing Program Administration* (pp. 256–275). Logan, UT: Utah State University Press [Kindle version].
- Tham, S. Y., & Kam, A. J. Y. (2007). Trade and Investment Linkages in higher education Services in Malaysia. In *Studies in trade and investment 62: Towards coherent policy framework: Understanding trade and investment Linkages*, chapter 6. New York: Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP).
- Tham, S. Y., Mahmood, N. K. N., & Alavi, R. (2013). Assessing policies for attracting international students: case of Malaysia. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 33(1), 112–126. <http://doi.org/10.1080/02188791.2012.759536>
- The Common Area of University of St Thomas, February 16, 2015, <http://www.usgo.org/news/2015/02/2015-us-go-congress-registration-launches/>
- Theodori, G. L. (2001). Examining the effects of community satisfaction and attachment on individual well-being. *Rural Sociology*, 66(4), 618e628.
- Thompson, Catharine Ward, 2011. Linking landscape and health: the recurring theme. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* 99, 187–195.

Top ten host countries, German Academic Exchange Service, accessed October 21, 2015, <https://www.daad.de>

Tsitoura, M., Tsoutsos, T., & Daras, T. (2014). Evaluation of comfort conditions in urban open spaces. Application in the island of Crete. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 86, 250–258. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2014.04.059>

Tuan, Y.-F. (2007). Attachment to homeland. In B. Miller (Ed.), *Housing and Dwelling: Perspective on modern domestic architecture* (pp. 408-415). New York: Routledge.

Tuan, Y.F., 1977. *Space and Place: The Perspective of Experience*. University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis.

Tucker, D., Johnston, C., Leviston, Z., Jorgensen, B.S., Nancarrow, B.E., 2006. Sense of Place: towards a methodology to value externalities associated with urban water systems (The Hawkesbury-Nepean Case Study). CSIRO Water for a Healthy Country Flagship Report, Western Australia.

Turumbetova, L. (2014). Changes in the System of Higher Professional Education in Multiethnic Kazakhstan due to Globalization. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 116, 4889-4893.

Ujang, N. (2012a). Place Attachment and Continuity of Urban Place Identity, 49, 156–167. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.07.014>

Ujang, N. (2012b). Place Attachment and Continuity of Urban Place Identity. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 49, 156–167. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.07.014>

Ujang, N., & Zakariya, K. (2015). Place Attachment and the Value of Place in the Life of the Users. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 168, 373–380. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.10.243>

Van der Pol, H. (2009). *New trends in international student mobility*. Paris: United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization.

Van de Ven, C. (1978). *Space in Architecture*. Amsterdam: Van Goraum Assen.

Venturi, R. (1966). *Complexity and Contradiction in Architecture*. New York: New York Museum of Art.

Vorkinn, M., & Riese, H. (2001). Environmental concern in a local context: The significance of place attachment. *Environment and Behavior*, 33, 249-263.

Waitt, G. (2003). Social impacts of the Sydney Olympics. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 30(1), 194–215.

Wallace, B., Maire, B., & Lachance, A. (2004). *Aboriginal Post-Secondary Student Housing: Research Summary*. Saskatoon: Bridges and Foundations Project on Urban Aboriginal Housing Report.

- Ward, C., Bochner, S., & Furnham, A. (2001). *The psychology of culture shock*. Philadelphia, PA: Routledge, New York, NY.
- Wargocki P, Sundell J, Bischof W, Brundrett G, Fanger PO, Gyntelberg F, et al. Ventilation and health in nonindustrial indoor environments: report from a European multidisciplinary scientific consensus meeting (EUROVEN). *Indoor Air* 2002;12(2):113e28.
- Wei, H., Shafray, E., Shin, J., & Kim, S. (2015). ScienceDirect Space for International Educational Process in the Campus: Focused on social survey for Korea University. *Procedia -Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 170, 243–251. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.034>
- Whitehand, J.W.R. (2009) The structure of urban landscapes: Strengthening research and practice. *Urban Morphology* 13(1): 5–27.
- Velarde, Ma.D., Fry, G., Tveit, M., 2007. Health effects of viewing landscapes – landscape types in environmental psychology. *Urban For. Urban Green*. 6 (4), 199–212.
- Wen, W., 2014. Bridging the gap between Western and Chinese evidence in the international education market. *China World Econ*. 22 (6), 87–102.
- Wen, W., Hu, D., & Hao, J. (2017). International Journal of Educational Development International students ' experiences in China : Does the planned reverse mobility work? *International Journal of Educational Development*, (2016). <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2017.03.004>
- Wengreen HJ, Moncur C. Change in diet, physical activity, and body weight among young-adults during the transition from high school to college. *Nutr J* 2009;8:32.
- Wiens, J. (2010). Furniture Evolution. *College Planning and Management*, 13(3), 32,34,36,38.
- Wiersma, E. C. (2008). The experiences of place: veterans with dementia making meaning of their environments. *Health & Place*, 14(4), 779–94. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2008.01.001>
- Williams, A., 2002. Changing geographies of care: employing the concept of therapeutic landscapes as a framework in examining home space. *Social Science and Medicine* 55, 141–154.
- Williams, C. T., & Johnson, L. R. (2011). Why can't we be friends?: Multicultural attitudes and friendships with international students. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 35(1), 41–48. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2010.11.001>
- Williams, D. E. (2007). *Sustainable Design, Ecology, Architecture and Planning*. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey, USA.
- Williams, D.R., and J.W Roggenbuck. 1989. Measuring place attachment: Some preliminary results. P. 32 in Abstracts of the 1989 Symp.on Leisure Research.

National Recreation and Park Assoc., Alexandria, VA.

Williams, D., Stewart, W., & Kruger, L. (2013). Place-based conservation: advancing social theory and practice. In *Place-based conservation: Perspectives from the social sciences* (pp. 2e28). New York: Springer.

Wissenschaft weltoffen., (2010). *Data: Mobility of students by international comparison*. Hanover, Germany: Wissenschaft weltoffen.

Xi, T., Li, Q., Mochida, A., & Meng, Q. (2012). Study on the outdoor thermal environment and thermal comfort around campus clusters in subtropical urban areas. *Building and Environment*, 52(July 2007), 162–170. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2011.11.006>

Yakaboski, T., Sheridan, R. S., & Dade, K. (2013). U.S. Engineering Degrees for Improving South Indian Graduate Students' Marriage and Dowry Options. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 18(1), 45–63. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1028315313497060>

Yeh, C. J., & Inose, M. (2003). International students' reported English fluency, social support satisfaction, and social connectedness as predictors of acculturative stress. *Counseling Psychology Quarterly*, 16(1), 15–28.

Yazah, M., Raschid, M., Fatemi, E., Sharif, R., & Ismail, A. H. (2017). Transnational Students' Attachment To Place In Campus : Towards The Internationalization Of Universiti Putra Malaysia, 1, 27–35.

Yin, R.K. (2002). Case study research: Design and methods.

Yusliza, M. Y. (2010). Demographic Differences among International Undergraduate Students at a Malaysian Public University. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research*, 10(2), 6.

Yusliza, M. Y., & Chelliah, S. (2010). Adjustment In International Students In Malaysian Public University. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 2010 International Conference on Innovation, Management and Service

Zailan, Moris, ed. 2010. 50 Years of Higher Education Development in Malaysia, 1957–2007. Penang: National Higher Education Research Institute and Universiti Sains Malaysia Publisher.

Zambrana, R. E., & Holton, J. K. (2007). Disparity/Inequity, Knowledge Production and Public Policy. *Journal of Ethnic And Cultural Diversity in Social Work*, 16(3–4), 169–178. <http://doi.org/10.1300/J051v16n03>

Zeisel, J. (1980). Inquiry by design: Tools for environment-behaviour research. Books/ Cole Publishing Company

Zhang, J., & Goodson, P. (2011). Acculturation and psychosocial adjustment of Chinese international students: Examining mediation and moderation effects. *International*

Journal of Intercultural Relations, 35, 614–627.

Zhang, X., Ai, T., Stoter, J., & Molenaar, M. K. M. (2013). Building pattern recognition in topographic data: examples on collinear and curvilinear alignments, 1–33. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10707-011-0146-3>

Zhang, Z., Brunton, M., 2007. Differences in living and learning: Chinese international students in New Zealand. *J. Stud. Int. Educ.* 11, 124–140.

Zhou, C., Wang, Z., Chen, Q., Jiang, Y., & Pei, J. (2014). Design optimization and field demonstration of natural ventilation for high-rise residential buildings. *Energy and Buildings*, 82, 457–465. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.06.036>

Zhou, J., 2006. Higher Education in China (Foreign Language Teaching and Research Press, Trans.). Thomson Learning, Singapore.