



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***IMPACT AND MANAGEMENT OF WEED FLORA IN CASSAVA IN WEST
COAST REGION OF PENINSULAR MALAYSIA***

ABDULLAHI MUSA A'IHI

FP 2018 74



**IMPACT AND MANAGEMENT OF WEED FLORA IN CASSAVA IN WEST
COAST REGION OF PENINSULAR MALAYSIA**

By

ABDULLAHI MUSA A'IHI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

May 2018

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

This is exceptionally dedicated to:

My blessed late Mother and Father who led a successful farming life together.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

IMPACT AND MANAGEMENT OF WEED FLORA IN CASSAVA IN WEST COAST REGION OF PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

By

ABDULLAHI MUSA A'IHI

May 2018

Chairman : Professor Abdul Shukor Juraimi, PhD
Faculty : Agriculture

Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) is an important root crop providing carbohydrate for more than 200 million people mostly in Africa and to some extent in Asia and Latin America. Cassava production in the tropics is confronted with several problems of which weed is the most significant because it causes yield loss. Weeds affecting cassava adversely by competing for light, nutrients, water and space. This research work is embarked upon with the objectives; i. To identify weed species that infest cassava crop, ii. To estimate the yield loss in cassava caused by weed infestation and competition, iii. To determine the critical periods of weed competition in cassava and, iv. To evaluate the most appropriate and efficient weeds management strategy in cassava.

Weed survey was conducted in the cassava fields across west coast of Peninsular Malaysia. A total of 55 weed species were identified in the survey; 22 species belonging to 14 families in Selangor, 16 species belonging to 14 families in Johor and 17 species belonging to 15 families in Perak. The additional one (1) species observed in Perak was the spiderwort species of Commelinaceae family. Poaceae (29.1%) and Asteraceae (27.3%) families dominated cassava fields in peninsular Malaysia. Other families were Cyperaceae (9.1%), Euphorbiaceae and Rubiaceae (5.5% each), Convolvulaceae, Amaranthaceae and Mimosaceae (3.6% each), Malvaceae, Acanthaceae, Leguminaceae, Boraginaceae, Capparidaceae, Portulacaceae and Commelinaceae (1.8% each).

Study on the competition between three varieties of cassava- Sri Kanji 2, Sri Pontian and Sri Medan versus weed species showed that cassava yields were significantly reduced in the weedy plot as follows: Sri Medan (79.4% yield loss) > Sri Kanji 2

(74.9% yield loss) > Sri Pontian (69.7% yield loss). The results of critical periods of weed competition study showed that weed free period in cassava is between 20-170 days after planting at 5% tolerable yield loss and 30-155 days after planting at 10% tolerable yield loss. Study on weed management showed that herbicide treatments are better than mechanical in efficacy and systemic herbicides are better than the contact herbicides. It also showed that diuron is the overall best herbicide for controlling weeds in cassava field.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

KESAN DAN PENGAWALAN RUMPAI DI KAWASAN UBI KAYU DI PANTAI BARAT SEMENANJUNG MALAYSIA

Oleh

ABDULLAHI MUSA A'IHI

Mei 2018

Pengerusi : Profesor Abdul Shukor Juraimi, PhD
Fakulti : Pertanian

Ubi kayu (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) merupakan tanaman akar atau ubi yang penting dalam menyediakan sumber karbohidrat kepada lebih dari 200 juta orang seluruh dunia terutamanya di Afrika, Asia dan Amerika Latin. Pengeluaran ubi kayu di kawasan tropika behadapan dengan berbagai masalah terutamanya persaingan rumput yang menyebabkan kehilangan hasil ubi kayu yang signifikan. Rumput memberi kesan yang buruk kepada ubi kayu kerana bersaing untuk mendapatkan sumber cahaya, nutrisi, air dan ruang. Kajian dilakukan dengan objektif; i. Untuk mengenal pasti sepsis rumput yang tumbuh di kawasan ubi kayu, ii. Untuk menganggarkan kehilangan hasil ubi kayu yang disebabkan oleh persaingan rumput, iii. Untuk menentukan tempoh persaingan kritikal bagi rumput di kawasan ubi kayu dan, iv. Untuk menilai strategi kawalan rumput yang paling baik dan berkesan di kawasan ubi kayu.

Kajian survei rumput dijalankan di ladang ubi kayu di kawasan pantai barat Semenanjung Malaysia. Sebanyak 55 spesies rumput telah dikenalpasti, di mana sebanyak 22 spesis rumput tergolong dalam 14 famili di Selangor, 16 spesis rumput tergolong dalam 14 famili di Johor dan 17 spesis rumput tergolong dalam 15 famili di Perak. Satu (1) spesis rumput yang dikenalpasti di Perak adalah 'Spiderwort' daripada famili Commelinaceae. Rumput dari famili Poaceae (29.1%) dan Asteraceae (27.3%) mendominasi tanaman ubi kayu di seluruh semenanjung Malaysia. Famili lain ialah Cyperaceae (9.1%), Euphorbiaceae dan Rubiaceae (5.5% masing-masing), Convolvulaceae, Amaranthaceae dan Mimosaceae (3.6% masing-masing), Malvaceae, Acanthaceae, Leguminaceae, Boraginaceae, Capparidaceae, Portulacaceae and Commelinaceae (1.8% masing-masing).

Dalam kajian persaingan rumpai dan ubi kayu didapati hasl ubi kayu telah berkurang secara signifikan di dalam plot berumpai mengikut aturan berikut: Sri Kanji 2 (74.9%) > Sri Pontian (69.7%) > Sri Medan (79.4%). Kajian tempoh kritikal persaingan rumpai dan ubi kayu mendapati rumpai perlu dikawal dalam tempoh 20-170 hari selepas tempoh penanaman dan 30-90 hari selepas tempoh penanaman (5% dan 10%, TYL). Kajian pengurusan rumpai menunjukkan kawalan kimia adalah lebih berkesan berbanding kawalan fizikal, kawalan racun sistemik lebih berkesan berbanding racun rumpai sentuh.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All thanks, all praises and all exaltations are solely due to Almighty Allah, The Lord of the universe, who made this task a reality and successful. Alhamdulillah Rabbi I Alamin.

I must afterwards register my appreciation and lifelong gratitude to my amiable supervisor, Professor, Dr. Abdul Shukor Juraimi for his continuous support, invaluable guidance, care and encouragements especially, when I feel like opting out due to domestic challenges. Infact any success recorded of this study is largely as a result of his step by step guidance and enlightments in collaboration with my supervisory committee.

My profound gratitude also goes to every member of my supervisory committee, Dr.Mohd Ridzwan Abdul Halim, Dr. Md. Abdul Hakim and Dr. Mohammad Saiful Ahmad Hamdani.

I also pay special respect and tributes to various authors, writers and senior researchers whose literatures were reviewed or consulted in this work. I also express my profound gratitude to all the Professors and Lecturers in the Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia for their good teachings, encouragements and invaluable suggestions. I am also indebted to all technical staff especially Mr Muhammad Yunus Abdul Wahab, Laboratory Assistant attached to weed science laboratory for all his timely attention and assistance, especially during my Survey of cassava fields in west coast region of peninsular Malaysia.

I am also indebted to Mr P. N. Ojo, My Agric. science teacher in secondary school who laid this foundation for me, Mr Ononamadu E.O., Dr. Agunsoye, J.K. and Mall. Okomanyi, O.A., all of the Agricultural Education Department of Federal College of Education Okene. I am also very much indebted to Professor M. A. K Smith of the Federal University of Technology Akure, Nigeria who set me on my Academic life journey. I thank the Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFUND) of the Federal government of Nigeria, as well as the management of Federal College of Education Okene for their financial support. I am indeed grateful to my wives, children, brothers and sisters of the Muslim Ummah of Nigeria (M.U.N), Ogaminana for all their supports.

As a hopeful Scholar, I humbly accept responsibility for whatever defect found in this work. All praises are solely due to Allah Subhanahu Wa Ta'ala, The All Knowing All Wise.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Abdul Shukor Bn Juraimi, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Mohd Saiful Ahmad Hamdani, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Mohd Ridzwan Abd Halim, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Md Abdul Hakim, PhD

Associate Professor
Institute of Tropical Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No: Abdullahi Musa A'ih, GS42065

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of Chairman
of Supervisory Committee: Professor
Dr. Abdul Shukor Bn Juraimi

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory Committee: Dr. Mohd Saiful Ahmad Hamdani

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory Committee: Associate Professor
Dr. Mohd Ridzwan Abd Halim

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory Committee: Associate Professor
Dr. Md Abdul Hakim

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix

CHAPTER

1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	4
	2.1 Cassava	4
	2.1.1 Introduction	4
	2.1.2 Origin	4
	2.1.3 Morphology	5
	2.1.4 Growth habit	6
	2.1.5 Propagation	6
	2.1.6 Economic importance of Cassava	7
	2.2 Weed Flora	9
	2.2.1 Types of weed flora commonly found in cassava fields	10
	2.2.2 General characteristics of weed species and their dispersal on croplands	11
	2.3 Impacts of weed flora on arable lands	14
	2.3.1 Impacts of weed flora on crops	14
	2.3.2 Competition between cassava and weed flora	16
	2.3.3 Critical periods of weed competition in cassava field	18
	2.3.3.1 Concept of critical period of weed competition	19
	2.3.3.2 Rationale for observing critical period of weed competition in cassava fields	22
	2.4 Management of weeds in cassava fields	23
	2.4.1 Cultural Control	25
	2.4.2 Mechanical Control	25
	2.4.3 Biological Control	26
	2.4.4 Chemical Control	27
	2.4.4.1 Some Herbicides commonly used in cassava fields	28
	2.4.4.2 Integrated weed management	30

2.4.4.3	Common Integrated Weed Managements strategies in Cassava fields	32
2.4.4.4	Prevention Strategies	32
2.4.4.5	Competitive crops and smother crops	32
2.4.4.6	Optimizing plant population	33
2.4.4.7	Cover cropping and mulching	33
2.4.4.8	Improved Crop husbandry	34
2.4.4.9	Irrigation Practices	34
2.4.4.10	Inter-row cultivation and minimum tillage	35
2.4.4.11	Minimum Herbicides usage	35
2.5	Relevance of Stale bed Preparation in Cassava Weeds Management	37
2.6	Summary	37
2.7	Conclusion	38
3	SURVEY OF WEED FLORA IN CASSAVA FIELDS IN WEST COAST OF PENINSULAR MALAYSIA	39
3.1	Introduction	39
3.2	Materials and Methods	40
3.2.1	Surveyed Areas	40
3.2.2	Sites Sampling Scheme	41
3.2.3	Ecological Analysis of weed flora features	42
3.3	Results and Discussion	43
3.3.1	Composition and Distribution of weed flora in Cassava fields in west coast of Peninsular Malaysia	43
3.3.2	Occurrence and Abundance of Weed flora in Cassava fields surveyed in west coast Region of Peninsular Malaysia	47
3.3.3	Taxonomy of weed flora of cassava fields in west coast region of Peninsular Malaysia	50
3.3.4	Frequency (F)	51
3.3.5	Field Uniformity (FU)	52
3.3.6	Mean Field Density (MFD)	52
3.3.7	Relative Abundance Value / Relative Importance Value	53
3.4	Ranking of weed flora in Cassava fields in Peninsular Malaysia	55
3.5	Conclusion and Recommendations	56
4	COMPETITION BETWEEN CASSAVA AND WEED SPECIES	58
4.1	Introduction	58
4.2	Materials and Methods	60
4.2.1	Study area	60
4.2.2	Climate and Soil	60
4.2.3	Land preparation	61
4.2.3.1	Experimental design	61
4.2.3.2	Treatment	62
4.2.4	Experimental field lay out	62

4.2.5	Planting materials	62
4.2.6	Planting	62
4.2.7	Germination	63
4.2.8	Crop Management	63
4.2.8.1	Fertilizer Application	63
4.2.8.2	Supplementary Irrigation	63
4.2.8.3	Weeding of the treatment plots (W) and weed samples collection	63
4.2.8.4	Maturity and Harvesting	64
4.3	Data Collection	64
4.3.1	Weed Data	64
4.3.2	Plant Heights	64
4.3.3	Number of leaf sets	64
4.3.4	Canopy width	64
4.3.5	Number of cassava roots	65
4.3.6	Length of cassava roots	65
4.3.7	Cassava roots weights	65
4.4	Determination of yields	65
4.5	Data Analysis	65
4.6	Results and Discussion	65
4.6.1	Early emerging weed flora on the fields	66
4.6.2	Effect of Weed competition on cassava plant height (PLHT)	67
4.6.3	Effect of weed competition on number of cassava leaf sets	68
4.6.4	Cassava Canopy and Competition with weed flora	69
4.6.5	Effect of weed competition on number of cassava roots	71
4.6.6	Effect of weed competition on length of cassava roots	71
4.6.7	Cassava yields	72
4.6.8	Effects of Weed competition on Cassava yields	73
4.7	Conclusion and Recommendation	75
5	CRITICAL PERIODS OF WEED COMPETITION IN CASSAVA FIELDS	76
5.1	Introduction	76
5.2	Materials and Method	78
5.2.1	Study area	78
5.2.2	Climate and Soil	78
5.2.3	Land preparation	78
5.2.4	Farm lay out, Experimental design and Treatment	78
5.2.5	Planting materials	79
5.2.6	Planting	79
5.2.7	Supplementary Irrigation	79
5.2.8	Germination	80
5.2.9	Fertilizer application	80
5.2.10	Maturity	80
5.3	Data Collection	80
5.3.1	Weed data	80

5.3.2	Harvesting	80
5.3.2.1	Cassava roots uprooting, sorting and counting	80
5.3.2.2	Measurement of harvested root length	81
5.3.2.3	Measurement of harvested root weight	81
5.3.2.4	Determination of yields	81
5.3.3	Data Analysis	81
5.4	Critical Period of Weed Competition	81
5.5	Results and Discussion	82
5.5.1	Early emerging weeds	82
5.5.2	Effect of weed control periods on plant height	84
5.5.3	Canopy of cassava and weed control periods	85
5.5.4	Effect of weed control periods on number of cassava leaf sets	86
5.5.5	Effect of weed control periods on number of cassava roots	87
5.5.6	Effect of weed control period on length of cassava roots	87
5.5.7	Effect of weed control periods on weight of cassava roots	88
5.5.8	Effect of weed control periods and cassava yields	90
5.6	Critical period of weed competition in cassava	92
5.7	Conclusion and Recommendation	93
6	MANAGEMENT OF WEED FLORA IN CASSAVA FIELDS	94
6.1	Introduction	94
6.2	Materials and Method	96
6.2.1	Study area	96
6.2.2	Climate and Soil	96
6.2.3	Land preparation	97
6.2.4	Farm lay out, Experimental design and Treatment	97
6.2.5	Planting materials	97
6.2.6	Planting	97
6.2.7	Supplementary Irrigation	97
6.2.8	Procedures	98
6.2.9	Germination	98
6.2.10	Fertilizer application	98
6.2.11	Weed dry matter determination	99
6.3	Harvesting	99
6.3.1	Uprooting, sorting and counting of cassava roots	99
6.3.2	Measurement of roots lengths	99
6.3.3	Measurement of roots weights	99
6.3.4	Determination of cassava yields	99
6.3.5	Determination of efficiency of the tested weed management methods	100
6.4	Results and Discussion	100
6.4.1	Early emerging weeds	100
6.4.2	Weed spectra before and after weed control treatments	101
6.4.3	Effect of weed control treatments on plant height (PLHT)	102
6.4.4	Effect of weed control treatments on number of cassava leaf sets	103

6.4.5	Effect of weed control treatments on cassava canopy width	104
6.4.6	Effect of weed control treatments on number of cassava roots	105
6.4.7	Effect of weed control treatments on length of cassava roots	106
6.4.8	Effect of weed control treatments on weight of cassava roots	106
6.4.9	Effect of weed control treatments on yields of cassava	107
6.5	Weed management methods Efficiency	108
6.6	Conclusion	109
7	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	110
7.1	Summary	110
7.2	Conclusion	111
7.3	Recommendations	112
	REFERENCES	113
	APPENDICES	123
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	147
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	148

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Nutritional profile of cassava in percentage	8
2.2 Observed yield losses in cassava fields due to weed competition	18
2.3 Critical periods of weed competition observed in cassava fields	22
3.1 Weed flora composition in cassava fields surveyed in the west coast region of peninsular Malaysia	44
3.2 Distribution of weed flora based on Type, family, scientific and common names	45
3.3 Occurrence and Abundance of weed flora in cassava fields in Peninsular Malaysia based on RIV	48
3.4 Taxonomy of weed flora in Cassava fields in peninsular Malaysia	51
3.5 Frequency (F), Field uniformity (FU) and Mean Field Density (MFD) of observed weeds in cassava fields in Peninsular Malaysia	54
3.6 Ranking of ten most common among the twenty predominant weed flora in the Cassava fields in west coast region of Peninsular Malaysia based on RIV	56
4.1 Physico-chemical characteristics of the sub-soil of the experimental site	61
4.2 List and features of early emerging weeds in the experimental fields	67
4.3 Cassava yield and yield loss due to weed competition	74
4.4 Results of variance of the Weeding Regime (Mean comparison)	75
5.1 Treatments for Critical Period of Cassava- Weeds competition	79
5.2 List of emerging weeds in the experimental fields and their features	83
5.3 Effect of competition on Number, Length and Weights of tubers	89
5.4 SAS 9.4 procedure: Treatments Mean comparison	91
5.5 Estimated Critical period from the observations at 5 and 10% TYL	93
6.1 Weeds control treatments schedule	98

6.2	List of emerged weeds in the experimental fields and their features	101
6.3	Weed weights and spectra (before and after control treatment)	102
6.4	Comparison of treatments efficiency means on orthogonal contrast	109



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
3.1 Inverted “W” pattern movement on each Cassava field surveyed	41
4.1 Schematic presentation of the RCBD with Additive model	62
4.2 Effect of weed competition on cassava plant heights	68
4.3 Effect of weed competition on number of sets of cassava leaves	69
4.4 Effect of weed competition on cassava plant canopy width	70
4.5 Effect of weed competition on number of cassava roots	71
4.6 Effect of weed competition on cassava root length	72
4.7 Cassava yields comparison between the weeding regimes	73
4.8 Yield loss comparison within the unweeded plots	74
5.1 Effect of weed control time on plant height	84
5.2 Effect of weed control time on cassava canopy width.	86
5.3 Effect of weed control time on number of cassava leaf sets	86
5.4 Effect of weed control time on the number of cassava roots	87
5.5 Effect of weed control time on the length of cassava roots	88
5.6 Effect of weed control time on the weight of roots of cassava	89
5.7 Effect of weed control time on yields of cassava	90
5.8 Critical Periods of weed competition with cassava	92
6.1 Effect of weed control treatments on plant height	103
6.2 Effect of weed control treatments on number of cassava leaf sets	104
6.3 Effect of weed control treatments on plant canopy width	105
6.4 Effect of weed control treatments on number of cassava roots	105
6.5 Effect of weed control treatments on length of cassava roots	106

6.6	Effect of weed control treatment on weight of cassava roots	107
6.7	Effect of weed control treatments on cassava yields	107
6.8	Emerged weed flora population after treatments in Percentage	108



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABL	Annual Broadleaf
AG	Annual Grass
AI	Active ingredient
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
AS	Annual Sedge
AY	Actual Yield
AYL	Actual Yield Loss
CON	Controlled
CPWC	Critical Period of Weed Competition or
Critical	Period of Weed Control
CNPY	Canopy
CW	Canopy width or canopy girth
D	Density
DF	Degree of Freedom
DAE	Day after Emergence
DAP	Day after Planting
F	Frequency
FU	Field Uniformity
MFD	Mean Field Density
PBL	Perennial Broadleaf
PG	Perennial Grass
PS	Perennial Sedge
PSW	Perennial Spiderwort
RA	Relative Abundance

RD	Relative Density
RF	Relative Frequency
RIV	Relative Importance Value
SDR	Sum Dominance Ratio
TRT	Treatment



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) is an important root crop that provides carbohydrate for over 200 million people mostly in Africa and to some extent in Asia, the Pacific and Latin America (Abdullahi et al., 2014). It is the third largest source of food carbohydrates in the tropics, after rice and maize (Fauquet & Fargette, 1990) and a major staple food in the developing world, providing a basic diet for every household though in diversified manners depending on accorded values and localities. According to Aung (2018), it is one of the major staple foods in the developing world that provides a basic diet for more than half a billion people and required raw materials for the teaming agro allied industries and confectionaries. Evangelio (2000) observed that these and many more potentials of cassava are being jeopardized by the menace of weed flora in our arable lands in the tropics and sub tropics, which call for urgent and adequate attention for sustainable production and maximization of the benefits of the crop.

Weed infestation is a serious problem in the regions because its development is favoured by high humidity, high average temperature and heavy rainfall (around 1900mm) per annum. They are the most common and most prevalent pests of crops in the world especially in the tropics where annual rainfall and relative humidity favours all plant species. Weeds cause more losses to farmers in the tropics than in any other part of the world because of their relative abundance almost all the year round (Moura et al., 2014) and compete with crops for water, light, nutrient and space (Akobundu, 1987).

Weeds are vegetation or plants growing where they are not wanted. They are plants adapted to disturbed or undisturbed habitats (Gorsi et al., 1991) affecting the agricultural crops adversely by competing with them for light, water and nutrients. They compete with crops for these production resources to the disadvantage of the crops (Chauhan et al., 2012).

Apart from economic loss, rising cost of production, waste of labour and time, they also place limitation to the sizes of farm holding and specializations in the tropics (Smith & Akande, 2000). Weed is the most serious pest of cassava plant on the field. It is the number one enemy the farmer has to contend with if he means to sustain production. A weed free cassava field is a healthy environment for viable and vigorous root storage output.

Hitherto, weed science was not accorded befitting significant attention other than general crop agronomy. But the consequences of invasion of weed flora and their menace in tropical agriculture especially in food crop production recently, makes it

now a universal discourse with crop specifications. However there is still scanty information on tropical weeds of arable croplands in the humid and sub humid tropics. This study is therefore focused on Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz), its' assuming status among staple food crops in Africa, Asia and the Pacific as being jeopardized by the menace of tropical weed flora. Cassava farmers are gaining too little from their efforts because of the competition and impacts of weed flora in yield reduction. About 54% cassava yield loss were recorded in Umudike, Nigeria (Melifonwu, 1994) and 69% in Brazil (Albuquerque et al., 2008). Weed could lead to total yield loss in cassava if not checked timely and properly.

Cassava is becoming realistically more acceptable and economically valuable day by day, but the challenges of pests, diseases and weeds in particular constitute fear and setbacks for the development of cassava production business in the tropics (Aung, 2018). For optimum yields, cassava requires prompt and effective weed control, especially during establishment phase of the crop. According to Tan et al., (1995) and Nweke (2004), the constraints in cassava production includes a wide range of technical, institutional and socio economic factors such as crop management problems, land degradation, shortage of necessary inputs, unstable food policies, access to markets, limited processing and storage facilities, inefficient and ineffective extension services for most localized farmers and problems posed by pests and diseases. However the adverse effect of weed species infestation and competition in crop reduction cannot be scored low. The problem of weeds in cassava fields is a major setback to the farmers, right from the onset of production function (Chauhan et al., 2012).

The scanty documentation on Cassava weeds, especially in Asia and Malaysia in particular, prompt the main motive of this study as a little stride for suggesting possible ways of curbing the problems posed by weed flora in cassava fields with the intent of making a blue print as a basal document for weed managers and prospective researchers in the area of study. Weed communities vary differently and also adapt differently to variety of crops depending on agro-ecozone (Akobundu, 1987). Many proficient cassava producers in the zone are toiling day and night to optionally produce the crop for himself, his family and immediate market, but their efforts are almost rendered wasteful by the infestation of unwanted flora of different species. It has been observed that not much is realized from the very much intensified efforts of the farmers to boost production even when they exhaust all available agronomic, cultural, chemical inputs and managerial skills because of the exerting pressure of pests especially weeds (Melifonwu et al., 2000; Nweke, 2004).

Different types of weeds occur in cassava fields causing considerable losses to the farmers apart from increasing the overall cost of production and labour wastages in different areas and localities. Weeds alter the health, vigour, quality and quantity of cassava tubers and crop by competing with the crops for light, water, nutrients and other inputs intended to boost production. According to Albuquerque et al., (2008) the management of these weed flora incurs economic losses and increases cost of

production as well as waste of labour when they become critical. Weeds infestation significantly alters the ecology of the crop and the entire ecosystem in a diversified manner. In most cases weeds are hazardous in our environment even though we consider them useful in some ways.

Previous studies carried out in Africa, Brazil and Canada revealed that cassava is also occasionally damaged by weeds of allelopathic influence and some parasitic weed species. Numerous kinds of weeds were associated with cassava in the regions previously studied which included *Imperata cylindrica* (Spear grass), *Cynodon dactylon* (Bermuda grass), *Panicum maximum* (guinea grass), *Pennisetum polystachion* (the feathery Pennisetum) etc. The sedges occurring on cassava fields include *Mariscus alternifolius* and the purple nut sedge, *Cyperus rotundus*. The broadleaved weeds are either herbs, creepers, climbers or shrubs whose stems are solid and irregular in shape, their leaves are broad, expanded, single or subdivided into leaflets to form compound leaves and highly competitive. They include *Chromolaena odorata* (Siam weed), *Euphorbia heterophylla* (Wild poinsettia), *Mimosa invisa* (giant sensitive weeds), *Tridax procumbens* (Tridax weed), *Ageratum conyzoides* (goat weed), *Talinum fruticosum* (Waterleaf), *Amaranthus spinosus* (Wild Amaranth), and *Commelina benghalensis* which are tropical spiderworts (Smith & Akande, 2000).

Atser et al., (2017) declared that prompt and adequate weed management is the only reassuring trend for successful crop production in the tropics. Thus, the justification of this study includes the need to identify the dominant weed species in the cassava fields and their peculiar characteristics which usually give them edges over our cultured crops; It is also necessary to develop appropriate control measures and weed management technology for minimizing their population in cassava fields if their total elimination is proven difficult. This study is thus necessitated by the need to identify the weed species causing yield loss in cassava plantation, quantifying the quantum of yield loss due to weed competition and the need to make a little effort to reduce the problem of scarce information on cassava weeds and their management strategies in the region for sustainable production. Therefore the research work was embarked upon with the following objectives:

- i. To identify weed species that infest cassava crop,
- ii. To estimate the yield loss in cassava caused by weed infestation and competition,
- iii. To determine the critical periods of weed competition in cassava and,
- iv. To evaluate the most appropriate and efficient weeds management strategy in cassava.

REFERENCES

- Abdullahi, N., Bujang, J. S., & Ahmed, O. H. (2014). Effect of planting methods on growth and yield of cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) grown with polythene-covering. *Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences*, 1(7), 480–487.
- Achim Dobermann. (2005). *Procedure for measuring dry matter, nutrient uptake, yield and components of maize*. Lincoln.
- Akobundu, I. . (1993). Integrated weed management techniques to reduce soil degradation. *Nigeria Research Notes-IITA*, 6, 11–16.
- Akobundu, I. O. (1970). Weed control in cassava. In *Proceedings of the First National Accelerated food production programme (NAFPP) Cassava workshop* (p. 1–11p). Umudike.
- Akobundu, I. O. (1980a). Weed control in cassava cultivation in the subhumid tropics. *International Journal of Pest Management*, 26(4), 420–426.
- Akobundu, I. O. (1980b). Weed science research at the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture and research needs in Africa. *Weed Science*, 439–445.
- Akobundu, I. O. (1987). *Weed science in the tropics. Principles and practices*. John Wiley.
- Akobundu, I. O. (1997). Weed science development in Nigeria yesterday, today and tomorrow. *Nig. J. Weed Sci*, 10, 61–70.
- Alabi, B. S., Ayeni, A. O., Agboola, A. A., & Majek, B. A. (2004). Manual Control of Thorny Mimosa (*Mimosa invisa*) in Cassava (*Manihot esculenta*). *J. Weed Technology*, 18(1), 77–82.
- Albuquerque, J. D., Sedyama, T., Silva, A. D., Carneiro, J. E. S., Cecon, P. R., & Alves, J. M. A. (2008). Interferência de plantas daninhas sobre a produtividade da mandioca (*Manihot esculenta*). *Planta Daninha*.Wasa-ma Vol.26 n 2 p.279-289.
- Aldrich, J. D. (1984). *Weed-crop ecology: Principles and practices*. Breton Publishers.
- Aldrich, R. J. (1987). *Interference between crops and weeds*. American chemical society, Washington DC.
- Alimi, T., & Manyong, V. M. (2000). *Partial budget analysis for on-farm research* (Vol. 65). Ibadan, Nigeria: IITA.
- Aliteri, M. A. . (1995). *Agro ecology: the science of sustainable agriculture* (2nd ed. We). Boulder, Co.

- Altieri, M. A., & Doll, J. D. (1978). (The potential of allelopathy as a tool for weed management in crop fields. *PANS Pest Articles & News Summaries*, 24(4), 495–502.
- Alumai, A., Grunkemeyer, M., Kovach, J., Shetlar, D. J., Cardina, J., Rimelspach, J., & Grewal, P. S. (2010). Implementing integrated pest management in professional lawn care: a case study. *Urban Ecosystems*, 13(1), 37–49.
- Ambe, J. T., Agboola, A. A., & Hahn, S. K. (1992). Studies of weeding frequency in cassava in Cameroon. *International Journal of Pest Management*, 38(3), 302–304.
- Anuebunwa, F. O. (2000). A bio-economic on-farm evaluation of the use of sweet potato for complementary weed control in a yam/maize/egusi/cassava intercrop in pigeon pea hedgerows in the rain forest belt of Nigeria. *Biological Agriculture & Horticulture*, 18(2), 95–102.
- Anyanwu, A. A., & T.I., L. A. A. (1975). *Agricultural science for schools*. London: Macmillan publisher Limited.
- Aspiazú, I., Sediya, T., Ribeiro Jr, J. I., Silva, A. A., Concenco, G., Ferreira, E. A., & Araujo, W. F. (2010). Photosynthetic activity of cassava plants under weed competition. *Planta Daninha*, (28(SPE)), 963–968.
- Atser, G., Dixon, A., Ekeleme, F., Hauser, S., Jalloh, A., Pypers, P., & Dashiell, K. (2017). Reaching farmers with weed management technologies. In *Scaling approaches that work*.
- Aung, M. (2018). Root and tuber crops: Untapped potential for food and nutrition security and rural livelihood development in Myanmar. Results of a scoping study.
- Ayeni, A. O. (1991). Hand mechanical weed management as an option in Nigerian agriculture. *Nigerian Journal of Weed Science*, 4, 75–77.
- Azmi, M., Shukor, J. A., & Najib, M. M. (2007). Critical period for weedy rice control in direct-seeded rice. *J. Trop. Agric. and Fd. Sc*, 35(2), 333–339.
- Bajwa, A. A. (2014). Sustainable weed management in conservation agriculture. *Crop Protection*, 65, 105–113.
- Baltazar, A. M. (2017). Herbicide- resistant weeds in the Philippines: Status and resistance mechanisms. *Weed Biology and Management*.
- Barrios, J. R. (1973). Weed control in Cassava. In C. L. A. (Ed) Lea key (Ed.), In: *Proceedings 3rd group symposium – International Society for Tropical Root crops*, Ibadan (p. 406 – 411p). Ibadan: I.I.T.A.

- Blackshaw, R. E., Anderson, R. L., & Lemerle, D. (2007). Cultural weed management. Non-chemical weed management. Principles, concepts and technology', 35–47.
- Bruinsma, J. (2003a). *World agriculture: towards 2015/2030: an FAO perspective*. Earthscan.
- Bruinsma, J. (2003b). *World agriculture: towards 2015/2030: an FAO perspective*. Earthscan.
- Carvalho, J. E. B. D. (1980). Chemical weed control in cassava. *Chemical Weed Control in Cassava*, 103–106.
- Carvalho, S. J. P. D., & Christoffoleti, P. J. (2008). Competition of Amaranthus species with dry bean plants. *Scientia Agricola*, 65(3), 239–245.
- Centro Internationale De Agricultura Tropical (CIAT). (1990). *Information series*. Bangkok, Thailand.
- Centro Internationale De Agricultura Tropical (CIAT). (1999). *Production bulletin*. Cali, Colombia.
- Chauhan, B. S., Singh, R. G., & Mahajan, G. (2012). Ecology and management of weeds under conservation agriculture: a review. *Crop Protection*, 38, 57–65.
- Chikoye, D., F. Ekeleme, & Ambe, J. T. (1999). "Survey of distribution and farmers' perceptions of speargrass [*Imperata cylindrica* (L.) Raeuschel] in cassava-based systems in West Africa." *International Journal of Pest Management*, 45(4), 305–311.
- Clayton, G. W., Harker, K. N., O'donovan, J. T., Baig, M. N., & Kidnie, M. J. (2002). Glyphosate Timing and Tillage System Effects on Glyphosate-Resistant Canola (*Brassica napus*). 1. *Weed Technology*, 16(1), 124–130.
- Costa, N. V., Ritter, L., Peres, E. J. L., Silva, P. V., & Vasconcelos, E. S. (2013). Weed interference periods in the 'Fécula Branca' cassava. *Planta Daninha*, 31(3), 533–542.
- Cousens, R. (1985). A simple model relating yield loss to weed density. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 107(2), 239–252.
- DeBach, P., & Rosen, D. (1991). *Biological control by natural enemies*. CUP Archive.
- Department, M. M. (2016). *Malaysian Meteorological Department*.
- Doll, J.D. and Piedrahita, W. C. (1976). *Methods of weed control in cassava* (Series EE No. 21). Colombia.
- Doll, J.D. Pinstup Anderson, P. and Piaz, R. (1977). *An agro – economic survey of the weeds and weeding practices in cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) in Colombia* (Weed Research No. 17). Colombia.

- Dufour, R. (2001). Biointensive integrated pest management (IPM). ATTRA.
- Ekeleme, F., Okezie Akobundu, I., Isichei, A. O., & Chikoye, D. (2000). Influence of fallow type and land-use intensity on weed seed rain in a forest/savanna transition zone. *Weed Science*, 48(5), 604–612.
- Ekleme F. (2013). *A report on weeds of cassava and management choices for small scale holders in Africa*.
- Edhirej, A., Sapuan, S. M., Jawaid, M., & Zahari, N. I. (2017). Cassava: Its polymer, fiber, composite, and application. *Polymer Composites*, 38(3), 555-570.
- Encyclopedia Britannica*. (2008). (15th edition) 2: 480–484.
- Evangelio, F. A. (2000). Cassava agronomy research and adoption of improved practices in the Philippines—Major achievements during the past 20 years. *IAS CIAT*, 314.
- FACU. (1992). Proceedings on the 4th Annual national farming systems Research and Extension workshop. In *FACU Reports*. Ibadan, Nigeria.
- FAO. (2014). FAOSTAT Retrieved Feb, 2014.
- Fauquet, C., & Fargette, D. (1990). African cassava mosaic virus: etiology, epidemiology and control. *Plant Dis*, 74(6), 404–411.
- Franciscon, H., da Costa, N. V, da Costa, A. C. P. R Ferreira, S. D., Moratelli, G., Salvalaggi, A. C., & Arrúa, M. A. M. (2016). Eficacia y selectividad de mezclas de herbicidas en el cultivo de mandioca. *Revista de La Facultad de Agronomía, La Plata*, 115(2), 209–219.
- Futch, S. H., Singh, M., Rogers, M. E., Dewdney, M. M., & Spann, T. M. (2010). Weeds. *ME Rogers, MM Dewdney and TM Spann, Eds*, 125-137.
- Ghosheh, H. Z., & Al-Shannag, H. K. (2000). Influence of weeds and onion thrips, Thrips tabaci (*Thysanoptera: Thripidae*), on onion bulb yield in Jordan. *Crop Protection*, 19(3), 175–179.
- Gianessi, L. (2016). Herbicide Adoption Could Greatly Increase Cassava Production in Africa. *Crop Life Foundation*.
- Gorsi, S. Z., Shinwari, K., & M. Arshad. (1991). Preliminary Studies on weeds of rice fields of Rechna-Doab. *Pakistan Journal of Science Research*, 4, 62 – 68.
- Hahn, S. K., & Janet, K. (1985). Cassava: a basic food of Africa. *Outlook on Agriculture*, 14(2), 95–99.
- Harker, K. N., Blackshaw, R. E., & Clayton, G. W. (2001). Timing Weed Removal in Field Pea (*Pisum sativum*). 1. *Weed Technology*, 15(2), 277–283.

- Hartzler, B. (2008). Critical period of competition. *Weed Science*.
- Howeler, R. H. (2004). *Cassava in Asia: Present situation and its future potential in agro-industry*. In: K. Fuglie (Ed.). *Root Crops for Agro-Industry. Proceedings of an International Seminar, held in Bogor, Indonesia. Sept 2003*.
- Ibedu, M. A., Unomma, R. P. A., Ok, B. F. D., & Nyiam Bison, F. M. A. (1990). *On farm evaluation of integrated weed management for cassava (Manihot esculenta) based on intercropping system in: Appropriate Agricultural Technologies for Resource poor farmers*.
- IITA. (2014). *5-year research project on sustainable weed management practices for cassava farms*. Ibadan, Nigeria. Retrieved from <http://www.iita.org/2014-press-release>
- International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA). (1999). *The research horizon for cassava as a cash crop. Annual Report*.
- Johanns, O., & Contiero, R. (2006). Efeitos de diferentes períodos de controle e convivência de plantas daninhas com a cultura da mandioca. *Rev. Ciênc. Agrônôm*, 37(3), 326–331.
- Johnson, D. E., Wopereis, M. C. S., Mbodj, D., Diallo, S., Powers, S., & Haefele, S. M. (2004). Timing of weed management and yield losses due to weeds in irrigated rice in the Sahel. *Field Crops Research*, 85(1), 31–42.
- Juraimi, A. S., Yusof, M., Najib, M., Begum, M., Abd Rahim, A., Man, A., & Puteh, A. (2009). Critical period of weed competition in direct seeded rice under saturated and flooded conditions. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science*, 32(2), 305–316.
- Kamara, A. Y., Akobundu, I. O., Chikoye, D., & Jutzi, S. C. (2000). Selective control of weeds in an arable crop by mulches from some multipurpose trees in Southwestern Nigeria. *Agroforestry Systems*, 50(1), 17–26.
- Karaye, A. K., & Yakubu, A. I. (2006). Influence of intra-row spacing and mulching on weed growth and bulb yield of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) in Sokoto, Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 5(3), 260–264.
- Karim, O. R., Fasasi, O. S., & Oyeyinka, S. A. (2009). Gari yield and chemical composition of cassava roots stored using traditional methods. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 8(12), 1830–1833.
- Kiran, G. G. R., & Rao, A. S. (2013). Survey of weed flora in transplanted rice in Krishna agroclimatic zone of Andhra Pradesh, India. *Pakistan Journal of Weed Science Research*, 19(1), 45–51.

- Knezevic, S. Z., Evans, S. P., Blankenship, E. E., Van Acker, R. C., & Lindquist, J. L. (2002). Critical period for weed control: the concept and data analysis. *Weed Science*, 50(6), 773–786.
- Korieocha, D. S. (2014). Weed control in National Root Crops Research Institute (NCRI), Umudike and its recommendations. *J. Agricultural and Environmental Management*, 4(1), 001–004.
- Kraehmer, H., Almsick, A. Van, Beffa, R., Dietrich, H., Eckes, P., Hacker, E., ... Main, D. F. (2014). Herbicides as Weed Control Agents : State of the Art : II . Recent Achievements [C], 166(November), 1132–1148. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.241992>
- Labrada, R., Caseley, J. C., & Parker, C. (1994). *Weed management for developing countries*. Food and Agriculture Organisation.
- Labrada, R., & Parker, C. (1994). Weed control in the context of integrated pest management. *FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper (FAO)*.
- Leihner, D. E. (1980). Cultural control of weeds in cassava. In *In Cassava cultural practices, Workshop in Brazil*, (pp. 107–111). Brazil: International Development Research Centre..
- Liebman, M. A. T. T., & Staver, C. P. (2001). *Crop diversification for weed management*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Lozano, J. C., Bellotti, A. C., Reyes, J. A., Howeler, R., Leihner, D., & Doll, J. (1981a). *Field problems in cassava*. Cali, Colombia: Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT).
- Lozano, J. C., Bellotti, A. C., Reyes, J. A., Howeler, R., Leihner, D., & Doll, J. (1981b). *Field problems in cassava*. Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT).
- Machado, A., Jakelaitis, A., Ferreira, L., Agnes, E., & Santos, L. (2005). Population dynamics of weeds in no-tillage and conventional crop systems. *Environ. Sci. Heajth B*, 40(1), 119–128.
- Manyong, V. M. (2000). Impact: The Contribution of IITA-improved Cassava to Food Security in Sub-Saharan Africa. IITA. *IITA Publications*.
- MARDI. (2005). *Manual Teknologi Penanaman Ubi Kayu*. Serdang -Selangor, Malaysia.
- Martin, S. G. C. V. A. F. F. (2001). Critical period of weed control in spring canola. *Weed Science*, (49), 326–333.
- Martin, S. G., Van Acker, R. C., & Friesen, L. F. (2001). Critical period of weed control in spring canola. *Weed Science*, 49(3), 326–333.

- McDonald, G. K. (2003). Competitiveness against grass weeds in field pea genotypes. *Weed Research*, 43(1), 48–58.
- Melifonwu, A. A. (1994). Weeds and their control in cassava. *African Crop Science Journal*, 2(4), 519–530.
- Melifonwu, A., James, B., Aihou, K., Weise, S., Awah, E., & Gbaguidi, B. (2000). *Weed control in cassava farms: IPM field guide for extension agents*. Ibadan, Nigeria: IITA.
- Moody, K., & Ezumah, H. C. (1974). Weed control in major tropical root and tuber crops—a review. *PANS Pest Articles & News Summaries*, 20(3), 292–299.
- Moreno, R. A. (1992). *Recent developments in cassava agronomy. Roots, tubers, plantains and bananas in animal feeding*.
- Mortensen, D. A., Egan, J. F., Maxwell, B. D., Ryan, M. R., & Smith, R. G. (2012). Navigating a critical juncture for sustainable weed management. *BioScience*, 62(1), 75–84.
- Moura, E. G., Marques, E. S., Silva, T. M. B., Piedade, A. R., & Aguiar, A. C. F. (2014). Interactions among leguminous trees, crops and weeds in a no-till alley cropping system. *Int. J. Plant Prod*, 8, 441–456.
- Nawaz, A., Farooq, M., Cheema, S. A., & Cheema, Z. A. (2014). *Role of allelopathy in weed management. In Recent Advances in Weed Management*. New York: Springer.
- Nazarko, O. M., Van Acker, R. C., & Entz, M. H. (2005). Strategies and tactics for herbicide use reduction in field crops in Canada: a review. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 85(2), 457–479.
- Nedunchezhiyan, M., Ravindran, C. S., & Velumani, R. (2013). Weed management in root and tuber crops in India: critical analysis. *J. Root Crops*, 39(2), 13–20.
- Nerson, H. (1989). Weed competition in muskmelon and its effects on yield and fruit quality. *Crop Protection*, 8(6), 439–442.
- Nweke, F. I. (1994). Farm level practices relevant to cassava plant protection. *African Crop Science Journal*, 2(4), 563–582.
- Nweke, F. I. (2004). *New challenges in the cassava transformation in Nigeria and Ghana*. Intl Food Policy Res Inst.
- Oerke, E. C. (2006). Crop losses to pests. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 144(1), 31–43.
- Ogunwolu, O. A. (2004). Weeds of our Agricultural crop land. *Nigerian Journal of Weed Science*, 3(111), 32–67.

- Ojeniyi, S. O. (2002). *Soil Management Natural resources and environment*. Ibadan, Nigeria: Adeniran Comm. Press.
- Ojo T.M. (1997). Effect of Weeding frequencies on grain Amaranth (*Amaranthus cruentus*) growth and yield. *Crop Protection*, 16(5), 463–466.
- Olorunmaiye, P. M. Olorunmaiye, K. S. (2008). Weed flora of a maize/cassava intercrop under integrated weed management in an ecological zone of southern Guinea Savanna of Nigeria. *Ethnobotanical Leaflets*, 1, 108.
- Olorunmaiye, P. M. (2010). Weed control potential of five legume cover crops in maize/cassava intercrop in a Southern Guinea savanna ecosystem of Nigeria. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 4(5), 324.
- Oluwatusin, F. M., & Adesakin, M. (2017). Assessment of the Adoption of Improved Agricultural Technologies among Cassava Farmers in Ondo State, Nigeria. *Life Science Journal*, 14(3).
- Onochie, B. E. (1975). Critical periods for weed control in cassava in Nigeria. *PANS Pest Articles & News Summaries*, 21(1), 54–57.
- Onwueme, I. C. (1999). *Cassava in Asia and the Pacific*. Fulton Center for Sustainable living. Wilson College, Chambersburg PA17201, USA.
- Onwueme, I. C. (2002). *Cassava in Asia and the Pacific*. *Cassava: Biology, production and utilization*.
- Onwueme, O. C. (1996). *Roots and Tubers in Fiji-W-Samoa, Tonga and Vanuatu, Mission Report*. Bangkok.
- Onwueme, O. C. (1999). *Cassava in Asia and the Pacific*. Chambersburg PA17201, USA: Fulton Center for Sustainable living, Wilson College.
- Oudhia, P. (2001). Phyto-sociological studies of rainy season wasteland weeds with special reference to pharthenium hysterophorus L.,. *International Perthenium Research News Group*, pp. 1–3. India.
- Peh, K. S. H. (2010). Invasive species in Southeast Asia: the knowledge so far. In *Biodiversity and Conservation* (Vol. 19, pp. 1083–1099).
- S. Z.Knezevic;J.L. Lindquist. (1999). Analyzing data on critical period of weed control. *Weed Science*, (54), 173.
- Sittibusaya, C., Tiraporn, C., TonggJum, A., & Cenpukdee, U. (1995). Recent progress in cassava agronomy research in Thailand. In *In Cassava Breeding, Agronomy Research and Technology Transfer in Asia: Proceedings of the Fourth Regional Workshop* (p. 110). Trivandum,kelala India: CIAT.

- Smith, M. A., & Akande, A. A. (2000). Comparative weed succession in uncropped and cropped land in a tropical rain forest zone. *African Journal of Environmental Studies*, 1(1), 104–114.
- Smith, M. A. K. (1996). *Pattern of growth and development of spear grass (Imperata cylindrical L. Raeuschel) from three selected ecological zones in Nigeria*. University of Ibadan.
- Smith, M. A. K. (2004). Pendimethalin phytotoxicity and seedling weed control in Indian spinach (*Basella alba* L.). *Crop Protection*, 23(3), 201–204.
- Smith, M. A. K., Ojeniyi, S. O., & Oladejo, B. T. (2007). Influence of wood ash based soil amendment on weed occurrence and diversity in humid tropical environment. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture and Environment*, 3, 270 – 275.
- Soares, M. I. R. E. S., Neto, A. C. A., São José, A. I. R., da Silva Lima, R., de Souza Moreira, E. Prado, T. R., & Moreira, G. L. P. (2016). Effect of weeds on yield loss of cassava plants in response to NPK fertilization. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 11(5), 356–370.
- Spitters, C. J. T., & Van Den Bergh, J. P. (1982). *Competition between crop and weeds: a system approach*. In *Biology and ecology of weeds*. (W. Holmer & N. Numata, Eds.). Springer Netherlands: Dr W.Junk Publishers, The Hague.
- Sullivan, P. (2003). *Principles of sustainable weed management for croplands*. Agronomy systems series.
- Swanton, C. J., Mahoney, K. J., Chandler, K., & Gulden, R. H. (2008). Integrated weed management: knowledge-based weed management systems. *Weed Science*, 56(1), 168–172.
- Szumigalski, A., & Van Acker, R. (2005). Weed suppression and crop production in annual intercrops. *Weed Science*, 53(6), 813–825.
- Tan, S. L. (1988). Cassava agronomy research in Malaysia. In R. H. Howler & K. Kawano (Eds.), *In: Cassava Breeding and Agronomy Research in Asia. Proc.2nd Regional workshop* (pp. 309–312). Rayong, Thailand.
- Tan, S. L., & Chan, S. K. (1995). *Recent progress in cassava varietal improvement and agronomy research in Malaysia. Cassava breeding, agronomy research and technology transfer in Asia*, 337-54.
- Thomas, A. G. (1985). Weed survey system used in Saskatchewan for cereal and oilseed crops. *Weed Science*, 34–43.
- Thompson, A. C. (1985). The Chemistry of Allelopathy; Biochemical interaction among plants. In *ACS Symposium series 268* (p. 471). Washington DC: American chemical society.

- Tongglum, A., Suriyapan, P., & Howeler, R. H. (2001). *Cassava agronomy research and adoption of improved practices in Thailand: Major achievements during the past 35 years*.
- Unamma, R. P. A., Ene, L. S. O., Odurukwe, S. O., & Enyinnia, T. (1986). Integrated weed management for cassava intercropped with maize. *Weed Research*, 26(1), 9–18.
- Valadatilde, D., Santos, J. B., Carvalho, F. P., Silva, E. B., & Sebastiatilde, J. Concencedil, G. (2013). Competitive capacity of cassava with weeds: Implications on accumulation of dry matter. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 8(6), 525–531.
- Van Heemst, H. D. J. (1985). The influence of weed competition on crop yield. *Agricultural Systems*, 18(2), 81–93.
- Weerarathne, L. V. Y. Marambe, B., & Chauhan, B. S. (2017). Intercropping as an effective component of integrated weed management in tropical root and tuber crops: a review. *Crop Protection*, 95, 89–100.
- Wikipedia Diuron DCMU. (n.d.). In <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/DCMU>.
- Wikipedia glufosinate. (n.d.). In <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Glufosinate>.
- Zhang, P., Phansiri, S., & Puonti-Kaerlas, J. (2001). Improvement of cassava shoot organogenesis by the use of silver nitrate in vitro. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture*, vol.67(1) 47-54.
- Zimdahl, R. L. (2007). *Weed-crop competition: a review*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Zimdahl, R. L. (2013). *Fundamentals of weed science*. Academic press.
- Zimdahl, R. L., Moody, K., Lubigan, R. T., & Castin, E. M. (1988). Patterns of weed emergence in tropical soil. *Weed Science*, 36 (5) 603–608.