



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***A FRAMEWORK FOR ESTABLISHING INDUSTRIAL EFFLUENT LIMITS
WITH APPLICATION TO THE IRON AND STEEL INDUSTRY IN IRAN***

MARYAM MAHJOURI

FPAS 2018 22



**A FRAMEWORK FOR ESTABLISHING INDUSTRIAL EFFLUENT LIMITS
WITH APPLICATION TO THE IRON AND STEEL INDUSTRY IN IRAN**

By

MARYAM MAHJOURI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

December 2017

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to the memory of my late father, Ali Ashraf Mahjouri, for his passion for knowledge.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**A FRAMEWORK FOR ESTABLISHING INDUSTRIAL EFFLUENT LIMITS
WITH APPLICATION TO THE IRON AND STEEL INDUSTRY IN IRAN**

By

MARYAM MAHJOURI

December 2017

Chairman: Associate Professor Mohd. Bakri Bin Ishak, PhD
Faculty: Environmental Studies

The establishment of Emission Limit Values (ELVs), especially in the industrial sector, is one of the most problematic environmental issues in developing countries. In addition, industrial effluent limitations should be established regarding the special characteristics of each sector. In Iran, with a uniform “Wastewater Effluent Standard”, a scientific methodology for determining ELVs at the sector level is an essential need. The objective of this study is to present a reliable and pragmatic methodology for establishing ELV thresholds at the sector level with an emphasis on the Best Available Technology (BAT) concept. In general, the most common approach for technology evaluation and ELVs identification, in both developed and developing countries, is expert judgment. Therefore, this research employs a multi-dimensional approach. A hybrid Fuzzy multiple-criteria decision-making (FMCDM), consisting of the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) and the Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) in combination with fuzzy logic is structured to make use of the combined benefits of several methods. The modelling framework includes three main sections that is: a) determining the most appropriate Wastewater Treatment Technologies (WTTs); b) computing the emission levels associated with the Best Practicable Control Technology Currently Available (BPT) concept; and c) identifying the final ELVs based on the results of the two previous sections. Iran’s iron and steel industry, which constitutes a fundamental sector in the country’s economy, is selected as the case study. The results obtained indicate that experts have considered the country-specific information, which consists of the most appropriate WTTs and ELVs related to BPT, as a reliable reference in their decisions. According to the findings, corrective measures in accordance with the BAT considerations should be implemented in many of the plants under consideration and the experts largely prefer the more advanced WTTs, because of their high system efficiency and compatibility with environmental impact criteria. This transparent stepwise process has resulted in defensible country-specific ELVs for the iron and steel industry, which can be developed for other sectors. As the main conclusion, this study demonstrates that FMCDM is a systematic and robust operational decision tool for this comprehensive

assessment regarding the data availability limitations in developing countries and emphasises industrial sustainability. This hybrid model of AHP, TOPSIS and Fuzzy logic offers better results and provides a higher degree of confidence for this sophisticated judgment. It is a multi-dimensional approach that considers the sector characteristics; the interaction of the technical, environmental and economic aspects; and the specific preferences in developing countries.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

RANGKA KERJA UNTUK MENETAPKAN HAD EFFLUEN INDUSTRI DENGAN APLIKASI DALAM INDUSTRI BESI DAN KELULI DI IRAN

Oleh

MARYAM MAHJOURI

Disember 2017

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Mohd Bakri bin Ishak, PhD
Fakulti: Pengajian Alam Sekitar

Pembentukan Emission Limit Values (ELVs), terutama dalam sektor industri, merupakan salah satu isu persekitaran yang paling bermasalah dalam negara membangun. Sebagai tambahan, had efluen perindustrian seharusnya ditubuhkan meliputi ciri-ciri khusus setiap sektor. Di Iran, "Wastewater Effluent Standard", satu metodologi saintifik untuk menentukan ELVs pada sesuatu sektor merupakan satu keperluan yang penting. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menunjukkan metodologi yang boleh dipercayai dan pragmatik dalam menubuhkan ambang ELVS dalam peringkat sektor yang menekankan konsep Best Available Technology (BAT). Secara umum, pendekatan yang lazim digunakan dalam penilaian teknologi dan pengenpastian ELVs, dalam negara maju dan membangun adalah penilaian pakar. Oleh itu, kajian ini menggunakan pendekatan multi-dimensi. Pembuat keputusan hibrid kabur pelbagai kriteria, meliputi proses Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) dan Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) dengan kombinasi bersama logik kabur telah distrukturkan dengan menggunakan faedah gabungan beberapa kaedah. Rangka kerja permodelan meliputi 3 seksyen utama iaitu a) menentukan Wastewater Treatment Technology (WTTs) yang paling berkesan, b) pengiraan tahap pelepasan berhubung dengan konsep Best Practicable Control Technology (BPT) dan c) mengenal pasti ELV terakhir berdasarkan hasil dua seksyen sebelumnya. Industri besi dan keluli di Iran, yang mana meliputi sektor asas dalam ekonomi negara seperti yang dipilih oleh kajian kes. Keputusan yang diperolehi menunjukkan para pakar telah mengambil kira maklumat spesifik negara, yang mana mengandungi WTTs dan ELVs yang paling bersesuaian dengan BPT, yang merupakan rujukan yang boleh dipercayai dalam keputusan mereka. Berdasarkan kepada penemuan kajian, langkah-langkah pembetulan yang sesuai dengan pertimbangan BAT harus dilaksanakan pada tanaman-tanaman yang dipertimbangkan dan para pakar lebih memilih WTTs yang lebih maju, kerana kecekapan yang tinggi dan kesesuaian sistem mereka dengan kriteria impak alam sekitar. Proses langkah bijak yang telus ini telah menyebabkan ELVs bagi negara khusus untuk industri besi dan keluli tidak dapat dipertahankan, yang mana ia boleh dibangunkan untuk sektor lain. Sebagai

kesimpulan utama, kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa FMCDM adalah alat untuk membuat keputusan operasi yang sistematik dan mantap untuk penilaian komprehensif mengenai keterbatasan ketersediaan data di negara-negara membangun dan menekankan kemampanan industri. Model hibrid AHP, TOPSIS dan Fuzzy Logic ini menawarkan hasil yang lebih baik dan memberikan keyakinan yang lebih tinggi untuk penghakiman yang canggih ini. Ini adalah pendekatan pelbagai dimensi yang mempertimbangkan ciri-ciri sektor; interaksi teknikal, aspek alam sekitar dan ekonomi serta keutamaan tertentu di negara membangun.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of God, the most beneficent, the most eternally merciful. All praises to God for the courage, strength and his blessing in completing this study. This thesis appears in its current form due to the assistance and guidance of several people over the years. I would therefore, like to thank all of them who contributed in some way to the work presented in this thesis.

First of all, I would like to express my deep gratitude to my chairman supervisory committee, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd. Bakri Bin Ishak, for all his tremendous guidance, encouragement, advice, support and patience throughout my PhD program. It was an honor to be supervised by him and thanks for believing in me and my abilities. Without his precious support it would not be possible to conduct this research.

Also, I would like to acknowledge my advisory committee members, Prof. Dr. Ali Torabian, Associate Prof. Dr. Latifah Binti Abd Manaf, and Dr. Normala Halimoon, for being very supportive and helpful during the work process of this thesis. I am gratefully indebted to Prof. Dr. Ali Torabian who had contributed so significantly to this research. His insightful discussions and suggestions helped me widen my research from various perspectives. Similarly, I would like to offer my sincere appreciations to Associate Prof. Dr. Latifah Binti Abd Manaf, for her impressive support and giving generously of her time and vast knowledge. My appreciation is also extended to Dr. Normala Halimoon for her helpful comments and advices.

My special gratitude goes to the office staffs of Iran's Department of Environment for providing the monitoring emission data used in this thesis. In addition, I would like to thank all the experts who were involved in the survey for this research. Without their passionate participation and input, the survey could not have been successfully conducted.

I am also very thankful to all the staffs at faculty of Environmental Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, for their co-operations.

And finally, last but by no means least, my deepest gratitude goes to my family and friends. First and foremost, my heartfelt thanks and love go to my mother, Gity, for her constant love and support throughout my life, and more specifically, during recent years. My thanks also go to my beloved sister, Leila, and to my dear brother, Mohammad, for their love and care. I wish to express my extra thanks to my dear husband, Shahram, who has encouraged me along the way to achieve my study dreams, for his love and strong moral support. I owe a lot to my lovely daughters, Yasaman and Hasti, who experienced all of the ups and downs of my research, for having a sensitive mind, for enduring my absence all the time when I was away from home and above all bringing daily joy to my life. Finally I would like to thank my dearest friend, Dr. Tahoor Sheikhy, whose help and kindness means a lot to me. Thank you very much.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohd Bakri Bin Ishak, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Environmental Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Latifah Binti Abd Manaf, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Environmental Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Normala Halimoon, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Environmental Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Ali Torabian, PhD

Professor
University of Tehran
Iran
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Maryam Mahjouri, GS 28966

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of
Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee: Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Bakri Bin Ishak

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Associate Professor Dr. Latifah Binti Abd Manaf

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Dr. Normala Halimoon

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Professor Dr. Ali Torabian

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx
 CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statement	2
1.3 Scope of the study	4
1.4 Research objectives	4
1.5 Significance of the study	5
1.6 Limitation of the study	5
1.7 Thesis organization	6
 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Environmental Regulations and Standards	8
2.2 Effluent standard setting approaches	9
2.2.1 Technology-based approach	10
2.2.2 Environmental Quality Objective-based approach	11
2.3 Effluent standard establishing among different countries	12
2.3.1 Frameworks in standard establishing	13
2.3.2 A comparative study on different frameworks	17
2.4 The Islamic Republic of Iran	20
2.4.1 Water resources management and institutional roles	21
2.4.2 Water and wastewater standards, guidelines and criteria	22
2.5 Iron and Steel industry	24
2.5.1 Importance and production in Iran	26
2.5.2 Operational activities	28
2.5.3 Industrial water and wastewater	31
2.5.4 Best water and wastewater management	33
2.5.5 End-of-pipe wastewater treatment systems	33
2.6 Environmental data analysing	38
2.6.1 Methods for analyzing censored data	39
2.6.2 Probability distributions of censored data	40
2.6.3 95% Upper Confidence Levels (UCLs) of the mean	40
2.6.4 Mean differences	43
2.6.5 Computing the variability factors and BPT- AELs	44
2.6.6 Selecting the effluent quality parameters	44

2.7	Expert judgment methods	44
2.7.1	Fuzzy Delphi Method	45
2.7.2	The Multi-Criteria Decision-Making methods	47
3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1	Methodological framework	53
3.2	Description of the Study Area	55
3.3	Questionnaire design	56
3.4	Analysing the Best Practicable Control Technology Currently Available (BPT) for wastewater management in Iran's Iron and Steel Industry	58
3.4.1	Examining the BPT in the related plants	58
3.4.2	Identifying the plants in line with BPT	58
3.5	Estimating the BPT Associated Emission Levels (BPT-AELs)	59
3.5.1	Gathering emission data on the determined plants with BPT	59
3.5.2	Analyzing the emission datasets	60
3.5.2.1	Preparing the emission dataset by appropriate statistical methods	60
3.5.2.2	Determining the probability distributions	60
3.5.2.3	Calculating the 95% UCLs of the mean	61
3.5.2.4	Examining the mean differences and defining the final datasets for analyzing	61
3.5.3	Computing the variability factors and BPT- AELs	61
3.5.6.1	Non-censored data set	62
3.5.6.2	Censored data with single detection limit	62
3.5.6.3	Censored data with multiple detection limits	63
3.6	Expert judgment methods	64
3.6.1	Fuzzy Delphi Method	65
3.6.1.1	Establishing the initial criteria and indicators	66
3.6.1.2	Collecting the opinions of the decision group	66
3.6.1.3	Setting up triangular fuzzy numbers	67
3.6.1.4	Defuzzification	68
3.6.1.5	Screening the evaluation indicators	68
3.6.2	Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) method	68
3.6.2.1	Constructing the hierarchy structure	68
3.6.2.2	Establishing pair-wise comparison matrices	69
3.6.2.3	Collecting experts' opinions	69
3.6.2.4	Aggregating group decisions	72
3.6.2.5	Determining the weights and the consistency ratio	72
3.6.3	Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solutions (TOPSIS) method	72
3.6.3.1	Determining the candidate alternatives	73
3.6.3.2	Conducting the expert survey	73
3.6.3.3	Performing the stepwise procedure	73
3.6.4	Combination of Fuzzy logic and multi-criteria decision – making	74
3.6.4.1	Fuzzy AHP	75
3.6.4.2	Fuzzy weights	77

3.6.4.3	Fuzzy TOPSIS	78
3.7	Software used in the thesis	81
3.7.1	ProUCL statistical support software	81
3.7.2	NCSS software	81
3.7.3	Expert Choice software	82
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	BPT and its related ELVs	83
4.1.1	BPT implementation and its related plants	83
4.1.2	BPT Associated Emission Levels	85
4.1.2.1	Parameters classification in datasets determining	85
4.1.2.2	Probability distributions of datasets	87
4.1.2.3	95% UCL of each dataset's mean	91
4.1.2.4	Mean differences comparison	93
4.1.2.5	Computed BPT - AELs	95
4.2	Optimal wastewater treatment alternatives based on key evaluation criteria and indicators	98
4.2.1	Fuzzy Delphi Method	98
4.2.1.1	Identified evaluation criteria and indicators	98
4.2.1.2	Screened assessment indicators	100
4.2.2	AHP Method	103
4.2.2.1	Weights of the criteria and indicators	103
4.2.2.2	Matrix consistencies	108
4.2.3	Fuzzy AHP method	109
4.2.4	Fuzzy weighting	115
4.2.5	Candidate best available technologies	116
4.2.6	TOPSIS Method with AHP weights	117
4.2.7	Fuzzy TOPSIS method with AHP weights	119
4.2.8	Fuzzy TOPSIS method with fuzzy weights	122
4.2.9	Comparison of the applied MCDM methods	123
4.3	Country-specific ELVs according to the expert's judgments	125
4.3.1	Pairwise comparisons of the main criteria	126
4.3.2	Ranks of different ELVs for each pollutant	128
4.3.2.1	Conventional pollutants	130
4.3.2.2	Toxic pollutants	130
4.3.2.3	Sensitivity analysis	132
5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1	Summary and conclusion	136
5.1.1	BPT in the country and its associated emission levels	137
5.1.2	Evaluation criteria and indicators of wastewater treatment alternatives and the selected optimal systems	139
5.1.3	Country-specific ELVs	142
5.2	Recommendation for future works	142

REFERENCES	144
APPENDICES	162
BIODATA OF STUDENT	222
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	223



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Various aspects of effluent standards and their differences among countries	17
2.2 Major Steel-producing countries	26
2.3 Direct reduced iron production	27
2.4 Wastewater treatment and sludge handling technologies	35
2.5 Skewness as a function of σ , sd of $\log(X)$	41
2.6 A summary of UCL calculation methods for normal, lognormal or gamma distributions	42
2.7 Computation of a 95% UCL of the unknown mean of a lognormal population	42
3.1 The production contribution of Iron and Steel Production plants based on Iranian calendar 2014-2015	56
3.2 The production contribution of Ferrous Metals Processing plants based on Iranian calendar 2014-2015	56
3.3 Demographic information of invited experts for content validity	58
3.4 Demographic information of invited experts for screening the criteria and indicators	67
3.5 Scoring pattern of relative judgments based on Satty scale	70
3.6 Demographic information of invited experts for WTTs evaluation	70
3.7 Demographic information of invited experts for ELVs evaluation	71
3.8 Random Consistency Index (RCI)	72
3.9 Membership function of fuzzy numbers	75
3.10 Scoring pattern of relative judgments for crisp and fuzzy weights	78
3.11 Linguistic labels and scoring pattern used in the fuzzy TOPSIS method	79
4.1 The BAT related to the water and wastewater management in Iron and Steel plants	84

4.2	Classification of parameters in different dataset types	87
4.3	Tests for Lognormal distribution at 0.05 level of significance for non-censored datasets in plant 1	87
4.4	Tests for Lognormal distribution at 0.05 level of significance for non-censored datasets in plant 2	88
4.5	Tests for Lognormal distribution at 0.05 level of significance for censored datasets in plant 1	88
4.6	Tests for Lognormal distribution at 0.05 level of significance for censored datasets in plant 2	89
4.7	Coefficient of Variation of lognormal distribution for one plant's datasets	89
4.8	95% UCL of one plant's parameters with non-censored datasets	92
4.9	95% UCL of one plant's parameters with censored datasets	92
4.10	Raw statistics of the parameters' datasets	93
4.11	Analyzing the mean differences of censored and non-censored parameters by related hypothesis tests	94
4.12	The results of BPT – AELs computation for non-censored data in plant 1	95
4.13	The results of BPT – AELs computation for non-censored data in plant 2	95
4.14	The results of BPT – AELs computation for censored data with one DL in plant1	96
4.15	The results of BPT – AELs computation for censored data with one DL in plant 2	96
4.16	The results of BPT – AELs computation for censored data with multiple DLs	96
4.17	The final estimated BPT-AELs	97
4.18	Comparing the estimated BPT-AELs with World Bank effluent levels and Iran's existing effluent standard	98
4.19	Criteria and indicators for evaluating WTTs	99
4.20	FDM analysis of technological criteria and indicators in WTT selection	101
4.21	FDM analysis of economic criteria and indicators in WTT selection	102
4.22	FDM analysis of environmental criteria and indicators in WTT selection	102

4.23	FDM analysis of social criteria and indicators in WTT selection	102
4.24	Aggregated pairwise comparison of criteria with reference to the main goal	104
4.25	Aggregated pairwise comparison of “Reliability” indicators	104
4.26	Aggregated pairwise comparison of “System Complexity” indicators	104
4.27	Aggregated pairwise comparison of “System Efficiency” indicators	105
4.28	Aggregated pairwise comparison of “Costs” indicators	105
4.29	Aggregated pairwise comparison of “Environmental Impacts” indicators	105
4.30	Aggregated pairwise comparison of “Sustainability” indicators	105
4.31	Matrix inconsistencies	109
4.32	Fuzzy pairwise comparison of the criteria with reference to the main goal	109
4.33	Calculation of fuzzy synthetic extent value for the main criteria	110
4.34	Degree of possibility and normalized weights for the main criteria	110
4.35	Fuzzy pairwise comparison and normalized weights of the “Reliability” indicators	111
4.36	Fuzzy pairwise comparison and normalized weights of the “System Complexity” indicators	111
4.37	Fuzzy pairwise comparison and normalized weights of the “System Efficiency” indicators	112
4.38	Fuzzy pairwise comparison and normalized weights of the “Costs” indicators	112
4.39	Fuzzy pairwise comparison and normalized weights of the “Environmental Impacts” indicators	113
4.40	Fuzzy pairwise comparison and normalized weights of the “Sustainability” indicators	113
4.41	The fuzzy weights of the main criteria for expert 1	116
4.42	The final fuzzy weights of the criteria	116
4.43	Steps 1 to 4 of TOPSIS algorithm for criterion 1	118
4.44	Alternatives ranking of TOPSIS algorithm	119

4.45	Steps 1 to 4 of Fuzzy TOPSIS algorithm for indicators of criterion 1	120
4.46	Alternatives ranking in Fuzzy TOPSIS algorithm	121
4.47	Steps 3 and 4 of Fuzzy TOPSIS algorithm with fuzzy weights for indicators of criterion 1	122
4.48	Alternatives ranking in Fuzzy TOPSIS with fuzzy weights	123
4.49	Comparison of the different applied MCDM methods	124
4.50	Ranking the candidate alternatives by different approaches	124
4.51	Inconsistencies of pairwise comparison matrices related to the conventional pollutants	126
4.52	Inconsistencies of pairwise comparison matrices related to the toxic pollutants	126
4.53	Aggregated pairwise comparison of criteria for conventional pollutants	126
4.54	Aggregated pairwise comparison of criteria for toxic pollutants	126
4.55	Aggregated crisp values resulting from the experts' ranking	128
4.56	Final ranking results for different suggested alternatives for each pollutant	129
4.57	Final derived ELVs for each involved pollutant	131
4.58	Priorities of alternatives before and after sensitivity analysis related to "Economic feasibility"	132

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Average growth rates of world crude steel production	25
2.2 Integrated Steel and Iron Production Processes	29
2.3 Integrated Steel Finishing Processes	29
2.4 Steelmaking and Hot Forming and Related Environmental Releases	31
2.5 Cold Forming and Finishing and Related Environmental Releases	32
3.1 Methodological framework for setting effluent standards in Iron and Steel industry	54
3.2 Detailed analysis on the emission datasets	59
3.3 Main structural framework used in decision – making process	65
3.4 Fuzzy AHP algorithm	75
3.5 The intersection between fuzzy numbers M_1 and M_2	77
3.6 Fuzzy TOPSIS algorithm	79
4.1 Effluent emission data of Toxic and Conventional pollutants in one plant (From March 2011 to March 2016)	86
4.2 Lognormal Q – Q plots of COD	90
4.3 Lognormal Q – Q plots of Oil and grease	90
4.4 Lognormal Q – Q plots of TSS	90
4.5 Lognormal Q – Q plots of Turbidity	91
4.6 The hierarchy model for WTT in the Iron and Steel Industry	103
4.7 The calculated weights of the main criteria with respect to the main goal	106
4.8 The calculated weights of all indicators with respect to the main goal	106
4.9 The calculated weights of the indicators with respect to the criterion of “Reliability”	107

4.10	The calculated weights of the indicators with respect to the criterion of “System complexity”	107
4.11	The calculated weights of the indicators with respect to the criterion of “System Efficiency”	108
4.12	The calculated weights of the indicators with respect to the criterion of “Costs”	108
4.13	The calculated weights of the indicators with respect to the criterion of “Environmental Impacts”	108
4.14	The calculated weights of the indicators with respect to the criterion of “Sustainability”	108
4.15	The hierarchical decomposition of the criteria, indicators and alternatives for WTT selection in Iran’s Iron and Steel industry	117
4.16	Priorities with respect to the main goal for conventional pollutants	127
4.17	Priorities with respect to the main goal for toxic pollutants	127
4.18	Dynamic synthesis analysis for COD	133
4.19	Dynamic synthesis analysis for Pb	134
4.20	Gradient sensitivity for nodes below goal of determining the ELVs for COD	134
4.21	Gradient sensitivity for nodes below goal of determining the ELVs for Pb	135

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AHP	Analytic Hierarchy Process
ANP	Analytic Network Process
BAT	Best Available Technology
BATNEEC	Best Available Technology Not Entailing Excessive Cost
BCT	Best Conventional Pollutant Control Technology
BOD ₅	Biochemical Oxygen Demand
BPT	Best Practicable Control Technology Currently Available
BPT-AELs	BPT - Associated Emission Levels
BREFs	Best Available Technology Reference documents
CC	Closeness Coefficient
Cd	Cadmium
CI	Consistency Index
CN	Cyanide
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
COV	Coefficient Of Variation
Cr	Chromium
CR	Consistency Ratio
Cu	Copper
CVI	Content Validity Index
CWA	Clean Water Act
DL	Detection Limit
DMs	Decision - Makers
DOE	Department of Environment
DR	Direct Reduction

DRI	Direct Reduced Iron
EAF	Electric Arc Furnace
ELVs	Emission Limit Values
EQO	Environmental Quality Objective
EQSs	Environmental Quality Standards
EU	European Union
F	Fluoride
FAHP	Fuzzy AHP
FCC	Fuzzy Closeness Coefficient
FDM	Fuzzy Delphi Method
Fe	Iron
FMCDM	Fuzzy multiple-criteria decision-making
FNIS	Fuzzy Negative Ideal Solution
FPIS	Fuzzy Positive Ideal Solution
Hg	Mercury
HSE	Health, Safety and Environment
GOF	Goodness-of-Fit
GRA	Grey Relational Analysis
IPPC	Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control
ISESs	Industry - Specific Effluent Standards
K-M	Kaplan-Meier
MCDM	Multi-Criteria Decision-Making
MHME	Ministry of Health and Medical Education
MLE	Maximum Likelihood Estimation
MOA	Ministry of Jihad-e-Agriculture

MOE	Ministry of Energy
NAFTA	North American Free Trade Agreement
ND	Non-Detect
Ni	Nickel
NIS	Negative Ideal Solution
NPDES	National Pollution Discharge Elimination System
NSPS	New Source Performance Standards
Pb	Lead
PIS	Positive Ideal Solution
PROMETHEE	Preference Ranking Organization Method for Enrichment of Evaluations
PSES	Pretreatment Standards for Existing Sources
PSNS	Pretreatment Standards for New Sources
RCI	Random Consistency Index
ROS	Regression on Ordered Statistics
TBELs	Technology-Based Effluent Limitations
TFN	Triangular Fuzzy Number
TOPSIS	Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution
TSS	Total Suspended Solid
T-W	Tarone-Ware
UCL	Upper Confidence Level
USEPA	USA Environmental Protection Agency
VF _s	Variability Factors
VIKOR	Multi criteria Optimization and Compromise Solution (A Serbian word)
WAD	Water Affairs Department

WEPA	Water Environment Partnership in Asia
WFD	Water Framework Directive
WMW	Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney
WQBELs	Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations
WTTs	Wastewater Treatment Technologies
Zn	Zinc



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

In most developing countries, the improvement of environmental policy is a crucial issue. Environmental problems are linked with social and economic aspects which must be considered in the development of any environmental program or regulation (Gumus, 2009). According to the Europe 2020 Strategy (European Commission, 2010), the promotion of greener, more competitive and more efficient economy is a priority in sustainable growth (Giner-Santonja et al., 2012). In general, water plays a key role in the long term national sustainable development. The growing water demands, decreasing water availability and increasing water pollution highlight the extreme importance of an integrated effective water and wastewater management. However, sustainability concerns consider environmental aspects in a more integrated outlook (Alley and Leake, 2004). Water impacts on all three development aspects consisting of social, economic and environmental. To enhance the efficiency of water management, the related policies should be planned in conjunction with all these aspects. To attain the progressive water resources management, each country needs to identify its priority actions. In a realistic approach, all the challenges have to be addressed with respect to the local perspectives, cooperation of decision-makers from different sectors and participation of all related stakeholders.

The control of pollution sources, consisting pollution prevention and reduction, is considered to be one of the cornerstones for sustainable water management. Industrial wastewater is one of the most vital contributors to environmental pollution. Each year, an estimated 300-400 million tons of wastes consisting: heavy metals, solvents, toxic sludge and etc. are discharged by industries (UN-Water, 2011).

Unfortunately, in Iran, during previous decades, unsustainable industrial development has resulted in the water resources pollution. According to the report of “Water Comprehensive Plan”, in 2002, industrial water demand and the produced wastewater were 1079 and 579 million m³, respectively. In 2012, less than 30% of this industrial wastewater had efficient WTTs (Tajrishy, 2010). It is predicted, in 2022, industrial water demand and the resulted wastewater would be 2101 and 1088 million m³, respectively (Iranian Ministry of Energy, 2010).

The effective wastewater regulations are essential in environmental protection. The direct regulation (command and control) is one of the main subdivisions of the environmental regulation and it includes specific standards such as mandatory limitations and prohibitions which forces companies to adapt to new environmental changes and then checks their compliance with regulations (Camison, 2010) through inspections and controls (Testa et al., 2014). Such regulations are performed through defining, applying and enforcing effluent standards for waste water discharges

(Konterman et al., 2003; Ragas et al., 2005). In most of countries, water-related legal instruments have already been established. In many developing countries, there is an identified need to improve the existing laws and regulations to make their implementation strong and effective. It highlights the necessity of a targeted, transparent and rational standards supported by compliance and enforcement. Therefore, the procedure of establishing and implementing effluent standards is an essential pre-requisite in solving water pollution problem (Ragas et al., 2005) and a dynamic and pragmatic standard should consider different environmental, technical, institutional and economic dimensions in the country.

1.2 Problem statement

There is no doubt about the fact of serious water crisis in Iran (Madani, 2014). Now, Iran faces water scarcity, as a critical national security issue (Future Directions, 2014), and in 2035 will be faced with water stress (Poorasghar and Mohammadnejad, 2007). Regarding the ratio of total withdrawals to total renewable supply, Iran is considered as an extremely high stress country (World Resources Institute, 2013). Long-term water and wastewater mismanagement and thirst for development are among the main reasons for current situation (Madani, 2014).

There are many challenges in dealing with industrial wastewater (Tajrishy, 2010). The rapid industrialization has resulted in an increasing proportion of industrial water consumption and wastewater production in the country (National Research Council, 2005), which plays a critical role in threatening of the existing water resources (Tamaab Organization, 2004). Therefore, a significant water reform is required in Iran and water and wastewater management should shift from crisis management to preventive management which benefits from non-structural measures such as regulations, monitoring and controlling (Madani, 2014). Now, “Wastewater Effluent Standard” is applied as the main standard for water pollution control in the country (DOE, 1999). In this standard which has been compiled by Department of Environment (DOE), effluents discharged from different sources should be in accordance with the standards defined for: surface water, absorbents wells, and water used for agriculture and irrigation (DOE, 1999). Although “Wastewater Effluent Standard” is the main applied standard in the country, in recent years, a new standard as well as a new criterion respectively known as “Environmental Criteria of Treated Wastewater and Return Flow Reuse” and “Effluent Standards for Municipal Wastewater” have been established (Iranian Ministry of Energy, 2010). In general, in Iran, the agriculture sector consumes the highest water quantity. Regarding the government’s policies in optimizing the water resources allocation among different sectors in the future, the focus of the above-mentioned standard and criterion is on treating and reusing of municipal and agricultural effluents for agricultural lands (Iranian Ministry of Energy, 2013).

However, among different water pollution sources, the special attention should be paid to industrial effluents. Regarding the crucial role of environmental pollution deriving from industrial activities (Kunz et al., 2013; Tseng et al., 2013; Test et al., 2014), industry-specific effluent standards (ISESs) are applied in most countries. In Iran, the

uniform “Wastewater Effluent Standard” which is employed throughout the country has not considered any specific industrial effluent limitations. Consequently, there is a need to focus on transforming the uniform effluent standard to the ISEs with special emphasis on categorical effluent standard, as a vital step in controlling the pollution sources. Generally, the uniform limitations among different sectors cannot reflect the differences in their processes, treatment technologies and management abilities (Kim et al., 2014). This need is highlighted especially for the most fundamental and strategic industries, “oil and gas” and “Iron and Steel”, in the country (Karbasian, 2014; World Bank Group, 2017; The Iran Projects, 2017; Organization for Investment Economic and Technical Assistance of Iran, 2017). In 2005, Iranian Ministry of Petroleum prepared “Engineering Standard for Water Pollution Control”. However, no specific effluent standard has been established for Iron and Steel industry yet. Therefore, a practical and transparent method for establishing the specific categorical effluent standards has to be developed in a stepwise procedure.

Moreover, the effluent standards need to be reviewed and, if appropriate, revised regularly to achieve a practical and dynamic control with respect to the continuously changing situations and priorities (Kim et al., 2010). In fact, industrial development has resulted in new pollutants. With the advancement of analytical tools, monitoring of contaminant levels in the environment has been improved and following the fate of ecosystems after pollution has been more precisely (Leith et al., 2010). It seems the range of control is being greatly increased by rising in the number of pollutants and decreasing in the level of allowable concentration (Kim et al., 2010). In Iran, the effluent standard should have been revised, at least, every three years while it was amended more than 20 years ago (Iranian Ministry of Energy, 2013). The revision of effluent standard is one of the most important priorities in improving management of water resources in Iran. In this effluent standard, the included pollutants have to be reviewed and, if there is a need, the new pollutants in receiving environments have to be selectively involved, gradually. Therefore, a well-structured, pragmatic and reproducible methodology is a specific need towards determining reliable ELVs.

It is crucial to emphasize the fact that ELVs depend on contextual criteria and the development of reliable ELVs requires many considerations. In addition to the specific technical characteristics of each industry, ELVs have to be adapted to other aspects, such as the geographical location, the local environmental conditions (Lopez-Gamero et al., 2009; Testa et al., 2014), the economic viability and institutional infrastructure of the country. In fact, copying standards from the others, especially developed countries, results in ELVs which are impractical and inefficient. Regarding the significant differences between developed and developing countries in their capabilities, especially their data availability, even an identical method for effluent standard setting cannot be proposed. Furthermore, few methods for deriving ELVs have been described in the literature. For example, in the European Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control (IPPC) directives and documents, only a few methodologies correspond exactly to the IPPC requirements (Laforest, 2014) and no details are provided concerning how the emission data analysis should be done to select the ELVs (Carretero et al., 2016).

This study proposes a stepwise contextual decision-making process as a scientific and practical guidance for establishment of ELVs for industrial sector. For the first time, this new adapted approach considers the economic feasibility, technical practicability and institutional capability (Ragas et al., 2005) of the country.

1.3 Scope of the study

In order to take measures which can improve the water quality in the most efficient way and develop a scientific strategy, it is necessary to set priorities. Regarding the importance of industrial effluents in the pollution of environment, and the lack of its related standards in Iran, this research is focused on the industrial effluents.

In the process of establishing efficient and defensible ELVs, the special attention should be paid to the industrial categorization. In this study, the Iron and Steel industry, as one of the most strategic sectors in the country (Karbasiyan M., 2014; The Iran Projects, 2017; Organization for Investment Economic and Technical Assistance of Iran, 2017), was selected for performing the methodology. The fundamental role of this sector in Iran's economy along with its increasing growth highlight the need for its country-specific effluent standard. However, in the future, the proposed method may be developed among other categories of industries in the country in a stepwise manner by DOE. Consequently, the main scope of this research is the industrial effluents with emphasis on application in Iran's Iron and Steel sector. Since the process of ELVs determination relies in a large extent on expert judgment (Polders et al., 2012), this research introduces a robust operational decision-making framework for using the best professional judgment of experts and incorporating large amounts of well-structured information. Different Multiple-criteria decision-making (MCDM) techniques are employed to present an integrated picture for the establishment of ELVs.

1.4 Research objectives

The main objective of this research is to develop a framework for determining national effluent ELVs in a specific sector through a transparent, systematic, and reproducible way. This can result in a rational and scientific approach for industrial effluent standard setting in the country. This research was designed to attain the following specific objectives:

1. To examine and identify Best Practicable Control Technology Currently Available (BPT) for "Iron and Steel Industry" and to estimate its related ELVs,
2. To identify the key evaluation criteria and indicators for sustainable reducing of the industrial wastewater pollution and to determine the optimal WTTs which indicates the capability of plants in pollution reduction and compliance with ELVs,
3. To propose country – specific ELVs for the selected industry according to the experts' opinions by comparing different ELVs and considering the capabilities and limitations of Iran as a developing country.

1.5 Significance of the study

In countries experiencing high environmental pressure and water resources scarcity, employing an effective pollution control system is vital. An example of such a country is Iran, which is located in an arid and semi-arid area. In Iran, the average annual rainfall, about 250mm, is almost one third of the average world precipitation. Additionally, seasonal and local rainfall distribution in Iran varies considerably, from 50mm in the eastern and central deserts to more than 2,000mm in the northern area. Consequently, Iran presently faces water scarcity, and, by 2035, is predicted to face water stress (Poorasghar and Mohammadnejad, 2007). Furthermore, rapid population growth and the increasing trend in industrial and agricultural development have resulted in increasing water demand and producing a huge amount of municipal, industrial and agricultural effluents that are threatening the quality of the water resources. Therefore, the promotion of modern water and wastewater management approaches is very critical in the country. Since the scientific and pragmatic environmental regulations can efficiently reduce pollution, developing an adapted approach of standard setting with respect to the country-specific capacities and constraints, must be considered as a fundamental necessity.

The findings of this research can be applied as a reference for Iran's Iron and Steel industry in: selecting the optimal WTTs with emphasis on industrial sustainability, proposing defensible country-specific ELVs in line with BAT concept and even improving other sound emission reduction strategies, such as standards and regulatory compliances.

In addition, other industries can apply this approach in their decision-making process with respect to their differences in capacities, limitations, wastewater characteristics and local conditions. This approach can be employed from the level of individual plants to local or national measures. Its flexible and transparent framework provides the opportunity to employ in other developing countries.

In brief, the methodology presents a reliable and practical stepwise process at the sector level, which can be developed for other industries, especially in the context of developing countries with their technical, economic and institutional constraints, mainly the data availability limitations.

1.6 Limitation of the study

Since the purpose of this research is establishing the ELVs in a contextual process regarding local environmental, economic, technical, social and institutional considerations, the methodology should be developed on the basis of the actual technological set-up and the prevailing conditions in the country.

The quality and quantity of data and information play a vital role in determining reliable ELVs. The experience indicates that industries are not willing to provide their

related emission data and background information and often consider them as their sensitive and confidential data. In fact, the availability of these data is a possible bottleneck in employing the methodology. Although, in Iran, the DOE follows up the environmental performance of plants and has identified specific laboratories for periodically sampling and analysing the effluent of the plants, the detailed background information can only be provided by the plants. The accurate emission data and background information cannot be provided from all plants of the sector under consideration.

In this research, complementary information was gathered from a variety of sources, such as: Iranian Mine and Mining Industries Development and Renovation Organization, Iranian Steel Producers Association, National Iranian Steel Company, and interviews with experts within this sector. On the other hand, with respect to the prevailing structure of this sector in the country, the best representative installations were identified and the study focused on providing their accurate and detailed emission data and background information.

1.7 Thesis organization

The body of this thesis is ordered as below:

Chapter 1 focuses on the general background of the water resources management in relation to the pollution control, the statement of the problem and the scope, objectives, importance and constraints of this study.

Following this introduction, Chapter 2 reviews the relevant literature on environmental regulations and standards with emphasis on industrial effluents. It describes the main approaches of effluent standard establishing and compares different countries' performances in this context. Regarding the study area, the characteristics of water and wastewater in Iran are presented and then related standards, criteria and guidelines are mentioned. In this chapter, a thorough review on Iron and Steel industry, as the selected sector, is conducted. And finally, the expert judgment methods are introduced for this multidimensional study.

In Chapter 3, the main flowchart of research methodology is illustrated. This chapter explains data collection process, applied statistical techniques and the procedure of each applied decision making method in details. It also introduces the special software used for censored data analysis.

Chapter 4 presents the obtained results answering the defined objectives. In this chapter, different decision making methods are applied and compared to illustrate their strengths and weaknesses. It comprises numerous tables and figures for better understanding of the research findings.

Chapter 5 provides the overall research conclusions and recommendations to improve and expand this study.

Finally, the samples of used questionnaires and supplementary tables, graphs and computations as well as the software results are attached as appendices.



REFERENCES

- Alizadeh, S., Rad, M. M. S., & Bazzazi, A. A. (2016). Alunite processing method selection using the AHP and TOPSIS approaches under fuzzy environment. *International Journal of Mining Science and Technology*, 26(6), 1017-1023.
- Alley, W. M., & Leake, S. A. (2004). The journey from safe yield to sustainability. *Ground Water*, 42(1), 12-16.
- Amiri, M. P. (2010). Project selection for oil-fields development by using the AHP and fuzzy TOPSIS methods. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 37(9), 6218-6224.
- Andreoli, C. V., Von Sperling, M., Fernandes, F., & Ronteltap, M. (2007). *Sludge treatment and disposal*. IWA publishing.
- Annan, S. Y., Liu, P., & Zhang, Y. (2009). Comparison of the Kaplan-Meier, Maxilllum Likelihood, and ROS Estimators for Left-Censored Data Using Simulation Studies.
- Anojkumar, L., Ilangkumaran, M., & Sasirekha, V. (2014). Comparative analysis of MCDM methods for pipe 633 material selection in sugar industry. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 41(6), 2964-2980.
- Antweiler, R. C., & Taylor, H. E. (2008). Evaluation of statistical treatments of left-censored environmental data using coincident uncensored data sets: I. Summary statistics. *Environmental science & technology*, 42(10), 3732-3738.
- Aragonés-Beltrán, P., Mendoza-Roca, J. A., Bes-Piá, A., García-Melón, M., & Parra-Ruiz, E. (2009). Application of multicriteria decision analysis to jar-test results for chemicals selection in the physical-chemical treatment of textile wastewater. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 164(1), 288-295.
- Avramenko, Y., Kamami, M., & Kraslawski, A. (2010). Fuzzy performance indicators for decision making in selection of wastewater treatment methods. *Computer Aided Chemical Engineering*, 28, 127-132.
- Aydiner, C., Sen, U., Koseoglu-Imer, D. Y., & Dogan, E. C. (2016). Hierarchical prioritization of innovative treatment systems for sustainable dairy wastewater management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 112, 4605-4617.

- Azapagic, A., & Perdan, S. (2000). Indicators of sustainable development for industry: a general framework. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 78(4), 243-261.
- Balkema, A. J., Preisig, H. A., Otterpohl, R., & Lambert, F. J. (2002). Indicators for the sustainability assessment of wastewater treatment systems. *Urban water*, 4(2), 153-161.
- Beal, D. J. (2014). A macro for calculating summary statistics on left-censored environmental data using the Kaplan–Meier method. In *Proceedings of the 18th Annual Conference of the Southeast SAS Users Group*. Retrieved from http://analytics.ncsu.edu/sesug/2010/SDA09_Beal.pdf. Accessed (Vol. 1).
- Behzadian, M., Otaghsara, S. K., Yazdani, M., & Ignatius, J. (2012). A state-of-the-art survey of TOPSIS applications. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 39(17), 13051-13069.
- Bdour, A. N., Hamdi, M. R., & Tarawneh, Z. (2009). Perspectives on sustainable wastewater treatment technologies and reuse options in the urban areas of the Mediterranean region. *Desalination*, 237(1), 162-174.
- Bleninger, T., & Jirka, G. H. (2011, September). Mixing zone regulation for effluent discharges into EU waters. In *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Water Management* (Vol. 164, No. 8, pp. 387-396). Thomas Telford Ltd.
- Bosnic, M., Buljan, J., & Daniels, R. P. (2000). Pollutants in tannery effluents. *UNIDO, Vienna, Rev.*
- Bottero, M., Comino, E., & Riggio, V. (2011). Application of the analytic hierarchy process and the analytic network process for the assessment of different wastewater treatment systems. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 26(10), 1211-1224.
- Bréchet, T., & Tulkens, H. (2009). Beyond BAT: Selecting optimal combinations of available techniques, with an example from the limestone industry. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 90(5), 1790-1801.
- Buckley, J. J. (1985). Fuzzy hierarchical analysis. *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 17(3), 233-247.
- Bugallo, P. B., Andrade, L. C., Iglesias, A. M., & López, R. T. (2013). Integrated environmental permit through best available techniques: evaluation of the fish and seafood canning industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 47, 253-264.

- Büyüközkan, G., & Çifçi, G. (2012). A combined fuzzy AHP and fuzzy TOPSIS based strategic analysis of electronic service quality in healthcare industry. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 39(3), 2341-2354.
- Camisón, C. (2010). Effects of coercive regulation versus voluntary and cooperative auto-regulation on environmental adaptation and performance: Empirical evidence in Spain. *European Management Journal*, 28(5), 346-361.
- Carretero, A. L., de la Rosa, J., & Sanchez-Rodas, D. (2016). Applying statistical tools systematically to determine industrial emission levels associated with the best available techniques. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 112, 4226-4236.
- Chang, D. Y. (1992). Extent analysis and synthetic decision. *Optimization Techniques and Applications*, 1(1), 352-360.
- Chang, D. Y. (1996). Applications of the extent analysis method on fuzzy AHP. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 95(3), 649-655.
- Chang, C. W., Wu, C. R., & Lin, H. L. (2009). Applying fuzzy hierarchy multiple attributes to construct an expert decision making process. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36, 7363-7368.
- Chen, J. K., & Chen, I. S. (2010). Aviatic innovation system construction using a hybrid fuzzy MCDM model. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 37(12), 8387-8394.
- Cheng, C. H., & Lin, Y. (2002). Evaluating the best main battle tank using fuzzy decision theory with linguistic criteria evaluation. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 142(1), 174-186.
- Cheng, J. H., Chen, C. W., & Lee, C. Y. (2006, July). Using fuzzy analytical hierarchy process for multi-criteria evaluation model of high-yield bonds investment. In *Fuzzy Systems, 2006 IEEE International Conference on* (pp. 1049-1056). IEEE.
- Cho, J., & Lee, J. (2013). Development of a new technology product evaluation model for assessing commercialization opportunities using Delphi method and fuzzy AHP approach. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 40(13), 5314-5330.
- Chung, E. S., & Kim, Y. (2014). Development of fuzzy multi-criteria approach to prioritize locations of treated wastewater use considering climate change scenarios. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 146, 505-516.

- Chung, J., Kim, J., Kim, Y., & Hwang, Y. (2013). Assessment and selection of best available technology (BAT) for wastewater facilities in the leather tanning and finishing industry. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 70, 32-37.
- Cikankowitz, A., & Laforest, V. (2013). Using BAT performance as an evaluation method of techniques. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 42, 141-158.
- Cochran, J. K., & Chen, H. N. (2005). Fuzzy multi-criteria selection of object-oriented simulation software for production system analysis. *Computers & Operations Research*, 32(1), 153-168.
- Corcoran, E. (Ed.). (2010). *Sick water?: the central role of wastewater management in sustainable development: a rapid response assessment*. UNEP/Earthprint. Retrieved from http://www.susana.org/_resources/documents/default/3-2236-22-428912362.pdf.
- Curiel-Esparza, J., Cuenca-Ruiz, M. A., Martin-Utrillas, M., & Canto-Perello, J. (2014). Selecting a sustainable disinfection technique for wastewater reuse projects. *Water*, 6(9), 2732-2747.
- Dabaghian, M. R., Hashemi, S. H., Ebadi, T., & Maknoon, R. (2008). The best available technology for small electroplating plants applying analytical hierarchy process. *International Journal of Environmental Science & Technology*, 5(4), 479-484.
- Daim, T. U., Li, X., Kim, J., & Simms, S. (2012). Evaluation of energy storage technologies for integration with renewable electricity: quantifying expert opinions. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 3, 29-49.
- Derden, A., Vercaemst, P., & Dijkmans, R. (2002). Best available techniques (BAT) for the fruit and vegetable processing industry. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 34(4), 261-271.
- Dijkmans, R. (2000). Methodology for selection of best available techniques (BAT) at the sector level. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 8(1), 11-21.
- DOE, Human Environment Department of the Islamic Republic of Iran (2015). *Water Quality Standard*. In Persian.
- DOE, Juridical Department of the Islamic Republic of Iran (1999). *Environmental Protection Statute Book*, Vol. 1, Tehran. In Persian.

DOE Malaysia (2009a). *Environmental Quality (Industrial Effluent) Regulations 2009*. Retrieved from <http://www.fao.org/faolex/results/details/en/?details=LEX-FAOC102817>.

DOE Malaysia (2009b). *Guidance Document on Performance Monitoring of Industrial Effluent Treatment Systems, Specified in Regulation 9 (a) Environmental Quality (Industrial Effluent) Regulation, 2009*.

DOE Malaysia (2010). *Environmental Requirements: A Guide for Investors*. Retrieved from <http://www.europasia.com.my/2012/updates/A-Guide-For-Investors.pdf>.

Ehrampoush, M. H., Miri, M., Momtaz, S. M., Ghaneian, M. T., Rafati, L., Karimi, H., & Rahimi, S. (2016). Selecting the optimal process for the removal of reactive red 198 dye from textile wastewater using analytical hierarchy process (AHP). *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 57(56), 27237-27242.

Environment Canada (2001). Environmental Code of Practice for Integrated Steel Mills – CEPA 1999 Code of Practice'. Retrieved from <https://www.ec.gc.ca/lcpe-cepa/default.asp?lang=En&n=30360F3C-1>.

European Commission (2001). *Reference Document on Best Available Techniques in the Ferrous Metals Processing Industry (Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control)*. Retrieved from http://eippcb.jrc.ec.europa.eu/reference/BREF/fmp_bref_2001.pdf.

European Commission (2010). Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 on industrial emissions (Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control). *Official Journal of the European Union L*, 334, 17-119.

European Commission (2012). Establishing the Best Available Techniques (BAT) Conclusions under Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on Industrial Emissions for Iron and Steel Production. *Official Journal of the European Union L*, 70, 63-98.

European Commission (2016a). *Reference documents under the IPPC Directive and the IED*. Retrieved from <http://eippcb.jrc.ec.europa.eu/reference/>.

European Commission (2016b), Priority substances under the Water Framework Directive. Retrieved from http://ec.europa.eu/environment/water/water-dangersub/pri_substances.htm.

- Evrard, D., Laforest, V., Villot, J., & Gaucher, R. (2016). Best Available Technique assessment methods: a literature review from sector to installation level. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 121, 72-83.
- Farmer, A. M. (2012). Manual of European Environmental Policy. 1043pp. Retrieved from <http://www.ieep.eu/understanding-the-eu/manual-of-european-environmental-policy/>.
- Freitas, A. H., & Magrini, A. (2013). Multi-criteria decision-making to support sustainable water management in a mining complex in Brazil. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 47, 118-128.
- Frost, R. C. (2009). EU Practice in setting wastewater emission limit values.
- Future Directions. (2014). *The Iranian Water Crisis*. Retrieved from <http://www.futuredirections.org.au/publication/the-iranian-water-crisis/>.
- Garcia-Cascales, M. S., & Lamata, M. T. (2011). Multi-criteria analysis for a maintenance management problem in an engine factory: rational choice. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 22(5), 779-788.
- García-Cascales, M. S., Lamata, M. T., & Sánchez-Lozano, J. M. (2012). Evaluation of photovoltaic cells in a multi-criteria decision making process. *Annals of Operations Research*, 199(1), 373-391.
- Geldermann, J., & Rentz, O. (2004). The reference installation approach for the techno-economic assessment of emission abatement options and the determination of BAT according to the IPPC-directive. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 12(4), 389-402.
- Ghaitidak, D. M., & Yadav, K. D. (2015). Effect of coagulant in greywater treatment for reuse: selection of optimal coagulation condition using Analytic Hierarchy Process. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 55(4), 913-925.
- Giner-Santonja, G., Aragonés-Beltrán, P., & Niclós-Ferragut, J. (2012). The application of the analytic network process to the assessment of best available techniques. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 25, 86-95.
- Gogus, O., & Boucher, T. O. (1998). Strong transitivity, rationality and weak monotonicity in fuzzy pairwise comparisons. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 94(1), 133-144.

- Gómez-López, M. D., Bayo, J., García-Cascales, M. S., & Angosto, J. M. (2009). Decision support in disinfection technologies for treated wastewater reuse. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 17(16), 1504-1511.
- Goncalves, R.F., Luduvica, M., Sperling, M.V. (2007). Sludge thickening and dewatering. In C. V. Andreoli, M.V. Sperling & F. Fernandes (Eds.), *Sludge Treatment and Disposal* (pp. 76-120). Retrieved from http://www.sswm.info/sites/default/files/reference_attachments/ANDREOLI%20et%20al%202007%20Sludge%20Treatment%20and%20Disposal.pdf
- Gumus, A. T. (2009). Evaluation of hazardous waste transportation firms by using a two-step fuzzy-AHP and TOPSIS methodology. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36(2), 4067-4074.
- Hadipour, A., Rajaei, T., Hadipour, V., & Seidirad, S. (2016). Multi-criteria decision-making model for wastewater reuse application: a case study from Iran. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 57(30), 13857-13864.
- Hair D. and Rana P. (2010). National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit Writers' Manual. Retrieved from www.epa.gov/npdes/
- Hellstrom, D., Jeppsson, U., Karrman, E. (2000). A framework for systems analysis of sustainable urban water management. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 20(3), 311-3321.
- Helsel, D. (2010). Much ado about next to nothing: incorporating nondetects in science. *Annals of occupational hygiene*, 54(3), 257-262.
- Hsu, T. H., & Yang, T. H. (2000). Application of fuzzy analytic hierarchy process in the selection of advertising media. *Journal of Management and Systems*, 7(1), 19-39.
- Hsu, Y. L., Lee, C. H., & Kreng, V. B. (2010). The application of Fuzzy Delphi Method and Fuzzy AHP in lubricant regenerative technology selection. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 37(1), 419-425.
- Hu, W., Liu, G., & Tu, Y. (2016). Wastewater treatment evaluation for enterprises based on fuzzy-AHP comprehensive evaluation: a case study in industrial park in Taihu Basin, China. *SpringerPlus*, 5(1), 1.
- Huang, I. B., Keisler, J., & Linkov, I. (2011). Multi-criteria decision analysis in environmental sciences: ten years of applications and trends. *Science of the Total Environment*, 409(19), 3578-3594.

- Hwang, C. L., & Yoon, K. (1981). Multiple attribute decision methods and applications. Springer. *New York*, (1981).
- Ibáñez-Forés, V., Bovea, M. D., & Azapagic, A. (2013). Assessing the sustainability of Best Available Techniques (BAT): methodology and application in the ceramic tiles industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 51, 162-176.
- Ibáñez-Forés, V., Bovea, M. D., & Pérez-Belis, V. (2014). A holistic review of applied methodologies for assessing and selecting the optimal technological alternative from a sustainability perspective. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 70, 259-281.
- Iranian Mines and Mining Industries Development and Renovation Organization (IMIDRO) (2015). In *Statistical reports*. Retrieved from http://www.imidro.gov.ir/web_directory/.
- Iranian Ministry of Energy (IMOIE). (2009). *Guidelines for Quality Classification of Raw Water, Effluent, and Reused Water for Industrial and Recreational Use* (Guideline No. 462). In Persian.
- Iranian Ministry of Energy (IMOIE). (2010). *Environmental Criteria of Treated Wastewater and Return Flow Reuse* (Criteria No. 535). In Persian.
- Iranian Ministry of Energy (IMOIE). (2013). *Laws and Regulation for Protecting the Quality of Water Resources in Iran* (1st ed.). Iran Water Resources management Company: Author. In Persian.
- Ishikawa, A., Amagasa, M., Shiga, T., Tomizawa, G., Tatsuta, R., & Mieno, H. (1993). The max–min Delphi method and fuzzy Delphi method via fuzzy integration. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 55(3), 241–253.
- Jirka, G. H., Bleninger, T., Burrows, R., & Larsen, T. (2004). Management of point source discharges into rivers: Where do environmental quality standards in the new EC-water framework directive apply? *International Journal of River Basin Management*, 2(3), 225-233.
- Kabir, G., & Hasin, M. A. A. (2011). Comparative analysis of AHP and fuzzy AHP models for multicriteria inventory classification. *International Journal of Fuzzy Logic Systems*, 1(1), 1-16.
- Kahn, H. D., & Rubin, M. B. (1989). Use of statistical methods in industrial water pollution control regulations in the United States. In *Statistical Methods for the Assessment of Point Source Pollution* (pp. 29-48). Netherlands: Springer.

- Kalbar, P. P., Karmakar, S., & Asolekar, S. R. (2012a). Technology assessment for wastewater treatment using multiple-attribute decision-making. *Technology in Society*, 34(4), 295-302.
- Kalbar, P. P., Karmakar, S., & Asolekar, S. R. (2012b). Selection of an appropriate wastewater treatment technology: A scenario-based multiple-attribute decision-making approach. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 113, 158-169.
- Kalbar, P. P., Karmakar, S., & Asolekar, S. R. (2013). The influence of expert opinions on the selection of wastewater treatment alternatives: A group decision-making approach. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 128, 844-851.
- Karbasian M. *Overview of the Iranian Iron and Steel Markets*. Paper presented at the 18th Middle East Iron and Steel Conference, Dubai, December 2014.
- Kardaras, D. K., Karakostas, B., & Mamakou, X. J. (2013). Content presentation personalisation and media adaptation in tourism web sites using Fuzzy Delphi Method and Fuzzy Cognitive Maps. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 40(6), 2331-2342.
- Karimi, A. A. (2009). Water situation in Iran: challenges and achievements. *Potable Water Services in Morocco–China–Austria–Iran*, 12.
- Karimi, A. R., Mehrdadi, N., Hashemian, S. J., Bidhendi, G. N., & Moghaddam, R. T. (2011). Selection of wastewater treatment process based on the analytical hierarchy process and fuzzy analytical hierarchy process methods. *International Journal of Environmental Science & Technology*, 8(2), 267-280.
- Karsak, E. E., & Tolga, E. (2001). Fuzzy multi-criteria decision-making procedure for evaluating advanced manufacturing system investments. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 69(1), 49-64.
- Kathuria, V. (2006). Controlling water pollution in developing and transition countries—lessons from three successful cases. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 78(4), 405-426.
- Khoram, M. R., Shariat, M., Azar, A., Moharamnejad, N., & Mahjub, H. (2007). Prioritizing the strategies and methods of treated wastewater reusing by fuzzy analytic hierarchy process (FAHP): a case study. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*.

- Kim, I., Hong, S. H., & Jung, J. Y. (2010). Establishment of Effluent Standards for Industrial Wastewaters in Korea: Current Issues and Suggestions for Future Plan. *Journal of Water and Environment Technology*, 8(3), 151-165.
- Kim, J., Kim, H. W., Shin, J. S., Lee, C. G., Chung, M., Huh, I. A., & Kim, Y. S. (2014). Implementation of the effluent limitations based on the best practicable control technology for the petroleum refining industry in Korea. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 52(1-3), 145-155.
- Kim, Y., Chung, E. S., Jun, S. M., & Kim, S. U. (2013). Prioritizing the best sites for treated wastewater in stream use in an urban watershed using fuzzy TOPSIS. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 73, 23-32.
- Klee, R. J. (2014). *Guidance for Calculating the 95% Upper Confidence Level for Demonstrating Compliance with the Remediation Standard Regulations*. Retrieved from Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection website: www.ct.gov/deep/remediation
- Konterman, I., Scheren, P., Leuven, R. S. E. W., Ragas, A. M. J., Lubberding, H., Niebeek, G., & Stortelder, P. (2003). Environmental Quality Objective Approach to Effluent Standards Definition. *A useful approach within the Developing Countries context*.
- Krishnamoorthy, K., Mathew, T., & Xu, Z. (2013). Tests for an Upper Percentile of a Lognormal Distribution Based on Samples with Multiple Detection Limits and Sample-Size Calculation. *Annals of occupational hygiene*, 57(9), 1200-1212.
- Kuo, Y. F., & Chen, P. C. (2008). Constructing performance appraisal indicators for mobility of the service industries using Fuzzy Delphi Method. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 35(4), 1930-1939.
- Kumar, B., Pandey, M., & Datta, D. (2013). A Comparative Study of the Methods for Estimation of Distributional Parameters for Left Censored Data with Single Non-uniform Detection Limits. *International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA) ISSN 2229-5046*, 4(6).
- Kunz, N. C., Moran, C. J., & Kastle, T. (2013). Conceptualising “coupling” for sustainability implementation in the industrial sector: a review of the field and projection of future research opportunities. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 53, 69-80.
- Laforest, V. (2014). Assessment of emerging and innovative techniques considering best available technique performances. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 92, 11-24.

- Leith, K. F., Bowerman, W. W., Wierda, M. R., Best, D. A., Grubb, T. G., & Sikarske, J. G. (2010). A comparison of techniques for assessing central tendency in left-censored data using PCB and p, p' DDE contaminant concentrations from Michigan's Bald Eagle Biosentinel Program. *Chemosphere*, 80(1), 7-12.
- Leung, L. C., & Cao, D. (2000). On consistency and ranking of alternatives in fuzzy AHP. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 124(1), 102-113.
- Li, W. W., Sheng, G. P., Zeng, R. J., Liu, X. W., & Yu, H. Q. (2012). China's wastewater discharge standards in urbanization. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 19(5), 1422-1431.
- Lin, C. C., & Chuang, L. Z. H. (2012). Using Fuzzy Delphi Method and Fuzzy AHP for Evaluation Structure of the Appeal of Taiwan's Coastal Wetlands Ecotourism. In *Business, Economics, Financial Sciences, and Management* (pp. 347-358). Berlin Heidelberg: Springer.
- Lin, H. Y., Hsu, P. Y., & Sheen, G. J. (2007). A fuzzy-based decision-making procedure for data warehouse system selection. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 32(3), 939-953.
- López-Gamero, M. D., Claver-Cortés, E., & Molina-Azorín, J. F. (2009). Evaluating environmental regulation in Spain using process control and preventive techniques. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 195(2), 497-518.
- Ma, Z., Shao, C., Ma, S., & Ye, Z. (2011). Constructing road safety performance indicators using fuzzy Delphi method and grey Delphi method. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38(3), 1509-1514.
- Madani, K. (2014). Water management in Iran: What is causing the looming crisis? *Journal of Environmental Studies and Sciences*, 4(4), 315-328.
- Mardani, A., Jusoh, A., & Zavadskas, E. K. (2015). Fuzzy multiple criteria decision-making techniques and applications—Two decades review from 1994 to 2014. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 42(8), 4126-4148.
- Mehan, G. T., Grubbs, G. H., Frace, S. E., Anderson, D. F., Anderson, W., Jett, G., Guilaran, Y.T., and Freeman, J. L. (2002). *Development Document for Final Effluent Limitations Guidelines and Standards for the Iron and Steel Manufacturing Point Source Category*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water Washington, DC 20460.

- Meixner, O. (2009). Fuzzy AHP group decision analysis and its application for the evaluation of energy sources. In *Proceedings of the 10th International Symposium on the Analytic Hierarchy/Network Process, Pittsburgh, PA, USA* (Vol. 29).
- Ministry of Environment (2016). *Industrial Wastewater Management*. Retrieved from <http://eng.me.go.kr/eng/web/index.do?menuId=263>
- Millard, S.P., Neerchal, N.K., Dixon, P. (2012). Censored Data. In *Analyzing below Detection-limit Data, Environmental Statistics with R and S-Plus* (2nd ed., pp. 1-45). Iowa: Taylor & Francis Group.
- Molinos-Senante, M., Gómez, T., Garrido-Baserba, M., Caballero, R., & Sala-Garrido, R. (2014). Assessing the sustainability of small wastewater treatment systems: A composite indicator approach. *Science of the Total Environment*, 497, 607-617.
- Muga, H. E., & Mihelcic, J. R. (2008). Sustainability of wastewater treatment technologies. *Journal of environmental management*, 88(3), 437-447.
- Murphy, H. M., McBean, E. A., & Farahbakhsh, K. (2009). Appropriate technology—A comprehensive approach for water and sanitation in the developing world. *Technology in Society*, 31(2), 158-167.
- Murray, A., I. Ray, & K. L. Nelson. (2009). An innovative sustainability assessment for urban wastewater infrastructure and its application in Chengdu, China. *Journal of environmental management*, 90(11), 3553-3560.
- Murry, T. J., Pipino, L. L., & Gigch, J. P. (1985). A pilot study of fuzzy set modification of Delphi. *Human Systems Management*, 5(1), 76–80.
- Mustafa, M. (2011). *Environmental law in Malaysia*. Kluwer Law International.
- National Research Council. (2005). *Water Conservation, Reuse, and Recycling: Proceedings of an Iranian-American Workshop*. National Academies Press. Retrieved from <http://www.nap.edu/catalog/11241.html>
- Okoli, C., & Pawlowski, S. (2004). The Delphi method as a research tool: an example, design considerations and applications. *Information & Management*, 42(1), 15–29.

Organization for Investment Economic and Technical Assistance of Iran (2017). *Industry and Mining*. Retrieved from <http://www.investiniran.ir/en/sectors/industry>.

Ouyang, X., Guo, F., Shan, D., Yu, H., & Wang, J. (2015). Development of the integrated fuzzy analytical hierarchy process with multidimensional scaling in selection of natural wastewater treatment alternatives. *Ecological Engineering*, 74, 438-447.

Ouyang, X., & Guo, F. (2016). Paradigms of mangroves in treatment of anthropogenic wastewater pollution. *Science of the Total Environment*, 544, 971-979.

Polders, C., Van den Abeele, L., Derden, A., & Huybrechts, D. (2012). Methodology for determining emission levels associated with the best available techniques for industrial waste water. *Journal of cleaner production*, 29, 113-121.

Poorasghar, F., Mohammadnejad, S. (2007). *Quality and quantity destruction of water resources, New challenges in future*. Paper presented at the First Conference of Environmental Engineering, Tehran, Iran. In Persian.

Pophali, G. R., Chelani, A. B., & Dhodapkar, R. S. (2011). Optimal selection of full scale tannery effluent treatment alternative using integrated AHP and GRA approach. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38(9), 10889-10895.

Popovic, T., Kraslawski, A., & Avramenko, Y. (2013). Applicability of sustainability indicators to wastewater treatment processes. In A. Kraslawski, & I. Turunen (Eds.), *23rd European Symposium on Computer Aided Chemical Engineering*. The Netherlands: Elsevier.

Ragas, A. M. J., Van de Laar, B. J., Van Schijndel, A. M. J., Klapwijk, S. P., & Stortelder, P. B. M. (1998). Application of the water quality-based approach in water pollution control: possibilities and restrictions. *New concepts for sustainable management of river basins*. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands, 191-209.

Ragas A.M.J. (1999). The consequences of applying different mixing models, input data and EQOs when deriving emission limits for discharge permits. *Journal of European Water Management*. 2(5):62-71.

Ragas, A. M. J., Scheren, P. A. G. M., Konterman, H. I., Leuven, R. S. E. W., Vugteveen, P., Lubberding, H. J., ... & Stortelder, P. B. M. (2005). Effluent standards for developing countries: combining the technology-and water quality-based approach. *Water science and technology*, 52(9), 133-144.

- Ramík, J., & Korviny, P. (2013). Measuring Inconsistency of Pair-wise Comparison Matrix with Fuzzy Elements.
- Rodriguez-Garcia, G., Molinos-Senante, M., Hospido, A., Hernández-Sancho, F., Moreira, M. T., & Feijoo, G. (2011). Environmental and economic profile of six typologies of wastewater treatment plants. *Water Research*, 45(18), 5997-6010.
- Roudier, S., Sancho, L. D., Remus, R., & Aguado-Monsonet, M. (2013). *Best Available Techniques (BAT) Reference Document for Iron and Steel Production: Industrial Emissions Directive 2010/75/EU: Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control* (No. JRC69967). Joint Research Centre (Seville site). Retrieved from http://eippcb.jrc.ec.europa.eu/reference/BREF/IS_Adopted_03_2012.pdf
- Saaty, T.L., (1980). *The Analytic Hierarchy Process*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Saaty, T. L., & Shang, J. S. (2007). Group decision-making: Head-count versus intensity of preference. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, 41(1), 22-37.
- Sadi-Nezhad, S., & Damghani, K. K. (2010). Application of a fuzzy TOPSIS method base on modified preference ratio and fuzzy distance measurement in assessment of traffic police centers performance. *Applied Soft Computing*, 10(4), 1028-1039.
- Salo, A. A. (1996). On fuzzy ratio comparisons in hierarchical decision models. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 84(1), 21-32.
- Samarakoon, S. M., & Gudmestad, O. T. (2011). The IPPC directive and technique qualification at offshore oil and gas installations. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 19(1), 13-20.
- Sánchez-Lozano, J. M., Serna, J., & Dolón-Payán, A. (2015). Evaluating military training aircrafts through the combination of multi-criteria decision making processes with fuzzy logic. A case study in the Spanish Air Force Academy. *Aerospace Science and Technology*, 42, 58-65.
- Sato, T., Qadir, M., Yamamoto, S., Endo, T., & Zahoor, A. (2013). Global, regional, and country level need for data on wastewater generation, treatment, and use. *Agricultural Water Management*, 130, 1-13.

- Schollenberger, H., Treitz, M., & Geldermann, J. (2008). Adapting the European approach of Best Available Techniques: case studies from Chile and China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(17), 1856-1864.
- Singh, A., & Singh, A. K. (2013). ProUCL Version 5.0.00 Technical Guide-Statistical Software for Environmental Applications for Data Sets with and without Nondetect Observations. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/03/documents/proucl_v5.0_tech.pdf
- Sinha, S. K., Sinha, V. K., Pandey, S. K., & Tiwari, A. (2014). A Study on the Waste Water Treatment Technology for Steel Industry: Recycle and Reuse." *American Journal of Engineering Research*, 3(4), 309-315.
- Sperling, M. V. (2008). Standards for Wastewater Treatment in Brazil. In M. Schmidt, J. Glasson, L. Emmelin & H. Helbron (Eds.), *Standards and Thresholds for Impact Assessment, Environmental Protection in European Union*, vol. 3. (pp. 125-132). Verlag Berlin Heidelberg: Springer.
- Stellacci, P., Liberti, L., Notarnicola, M., & Haas, C. N. (2010). Hygienic Sustainability of Site Location of Wastewater Treatment Plants: A Case Study, Estimating Odour Emission Impact. *Desalination*, 253(1), 51-56.
- Tajrishy, M. (2010). Wastewater treatment and reuse in Iran: Situation analysis. *Tehran: Departement of Civil Engineering, Sharif University of Technology, Environment and Water Research Center (EWRC)*.
- Tamaab Organization. (2004). Water Resources Management in Iran. Consultancy Report, Tehran, Iran, In Persian.
- Tan, R. R., Aviso, K. B., Huelgas, A. P., & Promentilla, M. A. B. (2014). Fuzzy AHP approach to selection problems in process engineering involving quantitative and qualitative aspects. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 92(5), 467-475.
- Taylan, O., Bafail, A. O., Abdulaal, R. M., & Kabli, M. R. (2014). Construction projects selection and risk assessment by fuzzy AHP and fuzzy TOPSIS methodologies. *Applied Soft Computing*, 17, 105-116.
- Testa, F., Daddi, T., De Giacomo, M. R., Iraldo, F., & Frey, M. (2014). The effect of Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control regulation on facility performance. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 64, 91-97.

The Iran Projects (2017). *Iran 2025 steel target no mirage*. Retrieved from <http://theiranproject.com/blog/tag/irans-steel-industry/>.

Tseng, M. L., Tan, R. R., & Siriban-Manalang, A. B. (2013). Sustainable consumption and production for Asia: sustainability through green design and practice. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 40, 1-5.

ESCWA, U. (2003). Waste-Water Treatment Technologies: A General Review, Retrieved from http://www.igemportal.org/Resim/Wastewater%20Treatment%20Technologies_%20A%20general%20review.pdf

UN-Water (2009). Water in a Changing World, United Nations World Water Development Report 3. *World Water Assessment Programme*. Retrieved from unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0018/001819/181993e.pdf

UN-Water (2012). Managing Water under Uncertainty and Risk, United Nations World Water Development Report 4. *World Water Assessment Programme*. Retrieved from <http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0021/002156/215644e.pdf>

UN-Water (2011). Policy Brief: Water Quality. Retrieved from www.unwater.org/downloads/waterquality_policybrief.pdf

UN-Water (2015). Wastewater Management-A UN-Water Analytical Brief. *New York*. Retrieve from www.unwater.org/publications/publications-detail/en/c/275896/

Summit, E. (1992). Agenda 21. *The United Nations programme for action from Rio*.

USEPA. (1992). Supplemental Guidance to RAGS: Calculating the Concentration Term.

USEPA, U. (2002). Calculating upper confidence limits for exposure point concentrations at hazardous waste sites. *OSWER 9285.6-10*.

USEPA (2016). *ProUCL: Statistical Support Software for Site Investigation and Evaluation*. Retrieve from <https://www.epa.gov/land-research/proucl-version-5100-documentation-downloads>

Vaidya, O. S., & Kumar, S. (2006). Analytic hierarchy process: An overview of applications. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 169(1), 1-29.

- Vinodh, S., Prasanna, M., & Prakash, N. H. (2014). Integrated Fuzzy AHP–TOPSIS for selecting the best plastic recycling method: A case study. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 38(19), 4662-4672.
- Von Sperling, M. (2008). Standards for Wastewater Treatment in Brazil. In *Standards and Thresholds for Impact Assessment* (pp. 125-132). Berlin Heidelberg: Springer.
- Vugteveen, P., Scheren, P. A. G. M., Konterman, H. I., Ragas, A. M. J., Niebeek, G., Stortelder, P. B. M., ... & Haskoning, R. Lecture Notes Part 4. Combining the Technology - and EQO-based Approach.
- Wako, T. (2012). Industrial Wastewater Management in Japan. *Ministry of the environment. Government of Japan*.
- Water Environment Partnership in Asia (WEPA). (2009). *Outlook of Water Environmental Management Strategies in Asia*, Japan, Institute for Global Environmental Strategies.
- Water Environment Partnership in Asia (WEPA). (2012). *Outlook of Water Environmental Management Strategies in Asia*, Japan, Institute for Global Environmental Strategies.
- Wimatsari, G. A. N., Putra, K. G. D., & Buana, P. W. (2013). Multi-attribute decision making scholarship selection using a modified fuzzy TOPSIS. *International Journal of Computer Sciences*, 10(2), 309-317.
- World Bank Group (2007a). *Environmental, Health, and Safety Guidelines Base Metal Smelting and Refining*. Retrieved from http://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/topics_ext_content/ifc_external_corporate_site/sustainability-at-ifc/policies-standards/ehs-guidelines
- World Bank Group (2007b). *Environmental, Health, and Safety Guidelines for Integrated Steel Mills*. Retrieved from http://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/topics_ext_content/ifc_external_corporate_site/sustainability-at-ifc/policies-standards/ehs-guidelines
- World Bank Group (2012). *Environmental Regulation and Standards, Monitoring, Inspection, Compliance, and Enforcement*. Retrieved from <http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTRANETENVIRONMENT/Resources/GuidanceNoteonEnvironmentalRegulationandStandardsupdate.pdf>

- World Bank Group (2016). *Environmental Regulations and Standards*. Retrieved from <http://water.worldbank.org/shw-resource-guide/institutions/regulations-and-standards/environment>
- World Bank Group. (2017). *The World Bank in Islamic Republic of Iran*. Retrieved from <http://www.worldbank.org/en/country/iran/overview>.
- World Resources Institute. (2013). *Water Stress by Country*. Retrieved from <https://www.wri.org/resources/charts-graphs/water-stress-country>.
- World Steel Association. (2015). *2015 World Steel in Figures*. Retrieved from <https://www.worldsteel.org/>.
- World Steel Association. (2016). *2016 World Steel in Figures*. Retrieved from <https://www.worldsteel.org/>.
- Wu, C. H., & Fang, W. C. (2011). Combining the Fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process and the fuzzy Delphi method for developing critical competences of electronic commerce professional managers. *Quality and Quantity*, 45(4), 751-768.
- Yaghmale, F. (2009). Content validity and its estimation. *Journal of Medical Education*, 3(1).
- Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information Control*, 8, 338–353.
- Zayed, T., Chen, Z., & Qasem, A. (2015). Infrastructure performance rating models for wastewater treatment plants. *Structure and Infrastructure Engineering*, 11(5), 668-682.
- Zeng, G., Jiang, R., Huang, G., Xu, M., & Li, J. (2007). Optimization of wastewater treatment alternative selection by hierarchy grey relational analysis. *Journal of environmental management*, 82(2), 250-259.
- Zhu, K. J., Jing, Y., & Chang, D. Y. (1999). A discussion on extent analysis method and applications of fuzzy AHP. *European journal of operational research*, 116(2), 450-456.
- Zyoud, S. H., Kaufmann, L. G., Shaheen, H., Samhan, S., & Fuchs-Hanusch, D. (2016). A framework for water loss management in developing countries under fuzzy environment: Integration of Fuzzy AHP with Fuzzy TOPSIS. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 61, 86-105.