



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***RICE STRAW WASHWATER WITH UREA AND CO-DIGESTION OF
ANAEROBIC TREATMENT APPLICATION***

NURUL SHAFIQAH BINTI ROSLI

FK 2018 167



**RICE STRAW WASHWATER WITH UREA AND CO-DIGESTION OF
ANAEROBIC TREATMENT APPLICATION**

By

NURUL SHAFIQAH BINTI ROSLI

**Thesis Submitted to School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

June 2018

COPYRIGHT

All materials contained within the thesis including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artworks are copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from copyright holder. Commercial use of materials may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

RICE STRAW WASHWATER WITH UREA AND CO-DIGESTION OF ANAEROBIC TREATMENT APPLICATION

By

NURUL SHAFIQAH BINTI ROSLI

June 2018

Chair: Syazwani Idrus, PhD
Faculty: Engineering

Rice straw is a sustainable warranted biomass resource for the production of renewable energy through combustion; and rice straw washing is a simple method to improve the thermal behaviour of straw prior to combustion. Nonetheless, the environmental issue will arise with regards to the produced rice straw washwater (RSWW) if it left untreated, due to the presence of considerable amount of organic matter and leached alkali metals cations primarily potassium. The application of anaerobic biodegradation not only can treat the RSWW but also produce the methane as a fuel. This liquid type substrate is suitable for upflow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor (UASB), where a clear effluent can be produced. This study was conducted in a continuous mode of hydraulic retention time (HRT) 24 hours at mesophilic temperature of $37 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$. Results indicate the potential of RSWW in generating biogas at organic loading rate (OLR) of 1.0 g COD/L/day, with the stabilized specific methane production (SMP) of 0.16 to 0.18 L CH₄/g COD_{rem} and COD removal between 81% and 83%. However, SMP drop to the lowest production at 0.09 L CH₄/g COD_{rem} as OLR was further increased to 2 g COD/L/day. Continuous accumulation of potassium (K) in the digester, up to 8.0 mg K per gram sludge inoculum correspond to the rapid drop in COD removal along with SMP. The accumulation of K was confirmed and proven by scanning electron microscope (SEM) together with EDX analysis on the inoculum. Addition of 3% urea into RSWW at high OLR enhance the production of methane with the highest average value of 0.21 L CH₄/g COD_{rem}, with the increment by 90.9% compared to RSWW without urea. Meanwhile, during the co-digestion of RSWW and domestic wastewater (DW), the maximum SMP and COD removal were recorded at 0.30 L CH₄/g COD_{rem} and 92.2% respectively, at mixing ratio of 3:7 (RSWW:DW), corresponded to 30.4 C:N ratio. The maintain of TAN below 200 mg/L, pH between 6.5 and 7.0 and IA/PA under 0.3 suggests that the co-digestion of RSWW with DW provide a better stability and higher buffering capacity at high organic loading system without adversely affect the reactor performances. Continuous stir tank reactor (CSTR) showed a slightly better performance in mono anaerobic digestion of RSWW for both COD removal and SMP by 5.1% and 36.4% higher compared to UASB reactor. Nevertheless, the energy balance calculation demonstrated that the net energy output from UASB was 44.4% higher than in CSTR, at 0.26 kWh/kg. This study implies

that the RSWW can be used as a source for renewable energy production and further enhanced by the addition of urea as an external source of nitrogen. Meanwhile, co-digestion is proven to significantly improve the yield of methane along with the removal of organic matter.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat of Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

APLIKASI RAWATAN ANAEROBIK UNTUK AIR BASUH JERAMI PADI DENGAN UREA DAN PENCERNAAN SECARA BERSAMA

Oleh

NURUL SHAFIQAH BINTI ROSLI

Jun 2018

Pengerusi: Syazwani Idrus, PhD
Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Jerami padi adalah sumber biomas yang berkelanjutan bagi penghasilan tenaga yang boleh diperbaharui melalui pembakaran, dan membasuh jerami adalah kaedah mudah untuk meningkatkan kualiti jerami sebelum pembakaran. Walau bagaimanapun, isu alam sekitar akan timbul berkaitan dengan air jerami (RSWW) yang dihasilkan jika ianya tidak dirawat, kerana terdapat banyak bahan organik dan kation logam alkali terlarut terutamanya kalium. Penggunaan biodegradasi anaerobik bukan sahaja dapat merawat RSWW tetapi juga menghasilkan metana sebagai bahan bakar. Substrat jenis cecair ini sesuai untuk reaktor anaerobik aliran ke atas menggunakan lapisan lumpur (UASB), di mana efluen yang jernih dapat dihasilkan. Kajian ini dijalankan dalam mod berterusan pada tempoh tahanan hidraulik (HRT) 24 jam dan suhu mesophilic $37\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$. Keputusan menunjukkan potensi RSWW dalam menghasilkan biogas pada kadar pemuatan organik (OLR) sebanyak 1.0 g COD/L/hari, dengan penghasilan spesifik metana (SMP) pada 0.16 hingga 0.18 $\text{LCH}_4/\text{gCOD}_{\text{rem}}$ dan penyingkiran COD di antara 81% dan 83%. Walau bagaimanapun, SMP turun ke pengeluaran paling rendah pada 0.09 $\text{L CH}_4/\text{g COD}_{\text{rem}}$ apabila OLR terus meningkat kepada 2 gCOD/L/hari. Pengumpulan berterusan kalium (K) di dalam reaktor sehingga 8.0 mg K/g inokulum enapcemar, selari dengan pengurangan pesat dalam penyingkiran COD dan pengeluaran metana. Pengumpulan K telah disahkan dan terbukti dengan mikroskop elektron imbasan (SEM) bersama-sama dengan analisis EDX pada inokulum. Penambahan 3% urea ke dalam RSWW pada OLR yang tinggi, telah meningkatkan pengeluaran metana dengan nilai purata tertinggi 0.21 $\text{L CH}_4/\text{g COD}_{\text{rem}}$, dengan kenaikan 90.9% berbanding RSWW tanpa urea. Sementara itu, semasa pencernaan bersama RSWW dan air kumbahan domestik (DW), maksimum hasil spesifik metana dan penyingkiran COD masing-masing dicatatkan pada 0.30 $\text{LCH}_4/\text{g COD}_{\text{rem}}$ dan 92.2%, pada nisbah pencampuran 3: 7 (RSWW:DW) bersamaan dengan nisbah C:N pada 30.4. Penyelenggaraan TAN di bawah 200 mg/L, pH antara 6.5 dan 7.0 dan IA/PA di bawah 0.3 menunjukkan bahawa pencernaan bersama RSWW dengan DW memberikan kestabilan yang lebih baik dan kapasiti penyerapan yang lebih tinggi di dalam sistem pemuatan organik yang tinggi tanpa menjejaskan prestasi reaktor. Reaktor

tangki teraduk selangar (CSTR) menunjukkan prestasi yang lebih baik untuk pencernaan mono anaerobic bagi RSWW dalam penyingkiran COD dan SMP sebanyak 5.1% dan 36.4% lebih tinggi berbanding dengan reaktor UASB. Walau bagaimanapun, pengiraan keseimbangan tenaga menunjukkan hasil tenaga bersih dari UASB adalah 44.4% lebih tinggi daripada CSTR, pada 0.26 kWh/kg. Kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa RSWW boleh digunakan sebagai sumber untuk penghasilan tenaga yang diperbaharui dan boleh dipertingkatkan lagi dengan penambahan urea sebagai sumber luar nitrogen. Sementara itu, pencernaan bersama terbukti secara signifikan meningkatkan penyingkiran bahan organik bersama dengan hasil metana.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praises to Allah, Lord of the Universe, the Merciful and Beneficent to Prophet Muhammad S.A.W, His Companion and the people who follow His path. With His guidance and blessing, I managed to complete my master study. Besides that, I also want to take this opportunity to offer my appreciation to all individual that helped and guided me in completing this project. Undoubtedly, after going through lots of obstacles and challenges in order to complete the task that have been assigned to me, I have gained a lot of knowledge as well as experiences.

Special thanks to Dr. Syazwani Idrus, for her undivided attention, advice, inspiring guidance, support and valuable suggestions throughout this project. Moreover, I also sincerely thank Dr. Nik Norsyahariati binti Nik Daud and Dr. Amimul Ahsan my co-supervisors, for the guidance and assistance to improve my research throughout the study period.

My appreciation and thank also to all lecturers, technical staffs and those who have directly and indirectly contribute for this study. Lastly, I might want to facilitate my appreciation to my relatives particularly my folks and also my companions for giving me all the help all through finishing my master study, as it really does help me to be a tough person to complete this course.

Thank you.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Syazwani Idrus, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Nik Norsyahariati Nik Daud, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Amimul Ahsan

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Name and Matric No: Nurul Shafiqah binti Rosli, GS42306

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii

CHAPTER

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1.	Background of Study	1
1.2.	Rice Straw Production in Malaysia	2
1.3.	Problem Statement	3
1.4.	Aim and Objectives	4
1.5.	Scope of Study	4
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1.	Rice Straw	5
2.1.1.	Rice Straw Washwater	8
2.2.	Anaerobic Digestion	9
2.2.1.	Phases of Anaerobic Digestion	9
2.2.2.	Parameters Affecting the Anaerobic Digestion Process	11
2.2.3.	Inhibition and Toxicity of Substances in Anaerobic Digestion	16
2.3.	Salt Accumulation and Adsorption	18
2.3.1.	Adsorption Isotherm	19
2.4.	Anaerobic Digestion with Urea as External Nitrogen Source	20
2.5.	Anaerobic Co-Digestion	21
2.6.	Anaerobic Digesters	23
2.6.1.	UASB Reactor Performance	24
2.6.2.	CSTR Performances	27
2.7.	Biogas	27
2.7.1.	Theoretical Potential Production of Methane Gas	28
2.7.2.	Experimental Specific Methane Yield	29
2.8.	Literature Review Summary	29
3	METHODS AND MATERIALS	31
3.1.	General	31
3.2.	Analytical Method	33
3.2.1.	pH and Conductivity	33
3.2.2.	Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	33
3.2.3.	Total Nitrogen	34
3.2.4.	Total Ammonia Nitrogen	34
3.2.5.	Total Alkalinity	35

3.2.6.	Total Solid and Volatile Solid	35
3.2.7.	Light Metals Cation	36
3.2.8.	Biogas Volume	36
3.2.9.	Methane Content (Specific Methane Production)	37
3.2.10.	Inoculum Sludge Analysis	37
3.3.	Feed Materials and Inoculums	38
3.3.1.	Rice Straw Washwater (RSWW)	38
3.3.2.	Domestic Wastewater and Sludge Inoculum	39
3.3.3.	Synthetic Wastewater	39
3.3.4.	Urea Preparation	40
3.4.	Anaerobic Digesters	40
3.4.1.	UASB Reactor Set Up	40
3.4.2.	Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor (CSTR)	42
3.5.	Batch Study on the Potential of Methane Production from SW and RSWW	43
3.6.	Continuous AD Study in UASB Reactor: Control Digester	44
3.7.	Experiment 1: Continuous AD of RSWW at Increasing OLR	44
3.7.1.	Removal of Potassium during High Loading of RSWW	45
3.8.	Salt Adsorption on Inoculum Sludge	45
3.8.1.	Kinetic Study	45
3.8.2.	Adsorption Isotherm Study	46
3.9.	Experiment 2: Continuous Anaerobic Digestion of RSWW with Urea Addition	46
3.10.	Experiment 3: Anaerobic Co-Digestion of RSWW and DW	47
3.11.	Experiment 4: Continuous Anaerobic Digestion of RSWW using CSTR	47
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	49
4.1.	Introduction	49
4.2.	Samples Characterization	49
4.3.	Batch Study: Methane Potentials from Synthetic Wastewater and RSWW	50
4.4.	Continuous Anaerobic Digestion in UASB Reactor: Control Digester	52
4.5.	Experiment 1: Continuous AD of RSWW at Increasing OLR	54
4.5.1.	Monitoring Parameters at Increasing OLR of RSWW	54
4.5.2.	Methane Production and COD Removal	56
4.5.3.	Biodegradability of RSWW Based On Methane Yield	60
4.5.4.	Anaerobic Digestion at High Loading of RSWW: Effect of Potassium	61
4.5.5.	Morphological Surface and Mineral Content of Sludge Inoculum	64
4.6.	Potassium Adsorption Study	66
4.6.1.	Kinetic Adsorption	66
4.6.2.	Adsorption Isotherm	66
4.7.	Experiment 2: Anaerobic Digestion of RSWW with Addition of Urea	69
4.7.1.	Methane Production and COD Removal	70
4.7.2.	pH, Alkalinity and Total Ammonia Nitrogen	73

4.8.	Experiment 3: Continuous Anaerobic Co-Digestion of RSWW with DW	75
4.8.1.	Methane Production and COD Removal	76
4.8.2.	Process Performance on Anaerobic Co-Digestion of RSWW and DW	80
4.9.	Summary: Comparison between Urea Addition and Co-Digestion	81
4.10.	Experiment 4: Continuous Stir Tank Reactor (CSTR) Performance	83
4.10.1.	Energy Balance	87
5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	89
5.1.	Conclusions	89
5.2.	Recommendations	90
REFERENCES		92
APPENDICES		108
BIODATA OF STUDENT		116
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		117

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Annual Global Distribution of Rice Straw Production Straw (Cheng, 2009)	5
2.2 Typical Composition (% Dry Basis) of Rice Straw, Corn Stover, and Wheat Straw (Garrote et al., 1999; Cheng, 2009)	6
2.3 Composition of Rice Straw (Mussoline, 2012)	6
2.4 Concentration (%) of Selected Nutrients in Rice Straw Collected at Several Location (Nader and Robinson, 2010)	6
2.5 Metallic Elements' Contents in RS and WRS (wt.-%-dry biomass) (Shi et al., 2012)	8
2.6 Ranges of Optimum C:N Ratio for an AD of the Organic Matter Reported in the Literature.	16
2.7 Ammonia Nitrogen Concentration's Effects on Anaerobic Digestion (McCarty, 1964)	17
2.8 Optimal and Inhibitory Concentrations of Ions from Inorganics Salts (McCarty, 1964).	17
2.9 The Langmuir Isotherm (Margeta et al., 2013)	19
2.10 The Freundlich Isotherm (Margeta et al., 2013)	20
2.11 UASB Performances on Various Types of Wastewater	26
2.12 Typical Composition of Biogas (Cheng, 2009)	28
2.13 Theoretical Values of Methane Yield for Typical Substrates (Angelidaki and Sanders, 2004)	29
3.1 Materials for Preparation of 1 L Volume SW	40
3.2 Components Feedstock and Operating Conditions during Batch Study	43
3.3 Components Feedstock and Operating Condition Carried Out in the Control Digester	44
3.4 Components and Concentrations of Feedstock	44
3.5 Components Feedstock during the Addition of Urea	46
3.6 Components Feedstock and the Details of Operating Condition	47
3.7 Operating Conditions for AD Running in CSTR	48
4.1 Characterization of Substrates	50
4.2 Cumulative Methane for RSWW and SW from Batch Study	52
4.3 The Experimental and Theoretical SMP of RSWW at Increasing OLR	60
4.4 Isotherm Parameters by Langmuir and Freundlich Models	68
4.5 Summarization of the Increment and Average Production of Methane, Biogas and Rate of COD Removal	71
4.6 Summarization Results for Co-Digestion between RSWW and DW	79

4.7	Result Summary on the AD of Mono-Digestion of RSWW, the Optimum Urea Dosing and an Ideal Mixing Ratio of RSWW/DW	82
4.8	Results of AD of SW and RSWW in UASB Reactor and CSTR at an HRT of 24 Hours and an OLR of 2 g COD/L/day	86
4.9	Comparison for Energy Consumed and Produced from Different Reactor Types	87



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Pages
1.1 Source of Malaysia's Electric Generation in Year 2015 (EIA, 2017)	1
2.1 Rice Straw	5
2.2 Energy Conversion Techniques (Lim et al., 2012; Said et al., 2013)	7
2.3 Schematic Representation of Anaerobic Digestion Pathway (Cheng, 2009; Yasar and Tabinda, 2010; Mojiri et al., 2012; Zupancic and Grilc, 2012).	10
3.1 The Research Work Flow	32
3.2 COD Reactor (Model 45600-00, HACH)	34
3.3 (a) Samples Tested for TAN, (b) HACH Calorimeter DR/890	35
3.4 (a) Agilent 6890N Gas Chromatography; (b) Inductively Coupled Plasma Emission Spectrophotometer (ICP-OES), Optima 7300 DV	37
3.5 Rice Straw Washwater	38
3.6 (a) Sludge from UPM Wastewater Treatment Plant after Sieved, (b) Sludge as an Inoculum in the Glass Reactor	39
3.7 Diagrammatic UASB Set-Up	41
3.8 Experimental Set-Up of UASB Reactor	41
3.9 Diagrammatic CSTR Set-Up	42
3.10 Experimental Set-Up of CSTR	42
3.11 Schematic Diagram for Glass Reactor inside the Water Bath	43
4.1 Daily Methane Production for RSWW and SW over 17 Day's Hydraulic Retention Time	51
4.2 Cumulative Methane Production for RSWW and SW over 17 Day's Hydraulic Retention time	51
4.3 Methane Production over 17 Day's Hydraulic Retention Time	52
4.4 Daily Production of Specific Methane and COD Removal Efficiency Feeding on SW in a Control UASB Reactor 1.	53
4.5 pH Distribution in Control Digester	53
4.6 pH and TAN Distribution during RSWW Feeding at Increasing OLR	54
4.7 Distribution of Alkalinity Ratio and Total Alkalinity during RSWW Feeding at Increasing OLR.	55
4.8 OLR Applied and COD Removal Efficiency at Increasing OLR of RSWW	56
4.9 Biogas Production at Increasing OLR of RSWW	57
4.10 SMP per gram COD Added and Removed at Increasing OLR of RSWW	57
4.11 Volumetric Production Rate vs. Increasing OLR of RSWW	59
4.12 Volumetric Production Rate vs. COD Removed at Increasing OLR of RSWW	59

4.13	K and COD Removal Efficiency and K Accumulation at High Loading of RSWW	62
4.14	COD Removal Efficiency and Specific Methane Production over K Accumulation	63
4.15	The Inoculum Images from SEM (a) Prior Starting the AD Process (b) After the Completion on RSWW Feeding	64
4.16	The Electron Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy (EDX) of the Inoculum Sludge (a) Before and (b) After the Feeding with RSWW	65
4.17	Kinetic Adsorption of K onto the Sludge	66
4.18	Langmuir Isotherm Model	67
4.19	Freundlich Isotherm Model	68
4.20	Minerals Composition Present on the Sludge Inoculum after Being Fed with SW	69
4.21	COD Removal Efficiency and Specific Biogas Production at Urea Addition on RSWW.	70
4.22	Specific Methane Production per COD Added and Removed	70
4.23	Biogas Composition during the AD of RSWW with Urea Addition	72
4.24	pH and TAN Distribution during the Feeding of RSWW with Urea Addition	73
4.25	Total Alkalinity and IA/PA Ratio Distribution during the Feeding of RSWW with Urea Addition	74
4.26	COD Removal Efficiency and Biogas Production at Varied Co-Digestion Ratios	76
4.27	Specific Production of Methane at Variation Mixing Ratios of RSWW:DW	77
4.28	Biogas Composition during the Co-Digestion of RSWW and DW	77
4.29	Methane Production vs. COD Removed and Added	80
4.30	pH and TAN Distribution	80
4.31	Total Alkalinity and IA/PA Ratio	81
4.32	Comparison on SMP and SBP	82
4.33	Comparison of Methane Content and The Increment in COD Removal, SMP and SBP from RSWW+3% Urea and Co-Digestion RSWW:DW at 3:7 (v/v)	83
4.34	Distribution of Specific Methane Yield, Biogas Production and Initial COD Concentration over 74 Days of the AD using CSTR.	84
4.35	Distribution of COD Removal Efficiency, OLR and Ph over 74 Days of the AD using CSTR	84
4.36	Average Methane Content in Biogas over 74 Days of the AD using CSTR.	85
A.1	Good Settlement of Sludge Inoculum Fed with SW during Acclimatization Period	108
A.2	Batch Anaerobic Digestion for Methane Potential Test from the Feedstocks before Further the Study Using UASB Reactor. Picture Shows the Water Displacement Method to Record the Production of Biogas	108
A.3	Rice straw Collection after Harvesting at Jempol, Negeri Sembilan	109

A.4	Drying the Collected Rice Straw before Being Put into the Storage to Prevent the Deterioration of the Samples Prior the usage	109
A.5	RSWW Preparation	110
A.6	Anaerobic Digestion Effluent of (a) SW, (b) RSWW, (c) Mixture of DW and RSWW	111
A.7	Anaerobic Digestion Effluent of RSWW with 6% Urea Addition, Released of Pungent Smell	111
A.8	Batch Adsorption Study of K on to the Sludge Inoculum	112



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AD	Anaerobic digestion
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
COD	Chemical oxygen demand
CH ₄	Methane
CSTR	Continuous stirred tank reactor
DW	Domestic wastewater
EDX	Energy-dispersive x-ray
HRT	Hydraulic retention time
IA	Intermediate alkalinity
K	Potassium
N ₂	Nitrogen gas
OLR	Organic loading rate
P	Phosphorus
PA	Partial alkalinity
RSWW	Rice straw washwater
SBP	Specific biogas production
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
SMP	Specific methane production
SW	Synthetic wastewater
TA	Total alkalinity
TAN	Total Ammonia nitrogen
TN	Total nitrogen
TS	Total solids
UASB	Upflow anaerobic sludge blanket

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background of Study

The global energy demand is continue to increase; mainly due to the rapid industrial growth along with growing and expanding of the population (Lim et al., 2012). The long-term prognostications stipulate that the demand for the energy will keep on growing rapidly. This eventually raises the worldwide concern on how to satisfy the future energy demand (Islam et al., 2014) and presently, this demand is mostly fulfilled by utilizing the fossil fuels as a primary energy source (Weiland, 2010). In Malaysia, the major source for power generation was coming from fossil fuel utilization which mainly from coal and natural gas, depicted in Figure 1.1. Conversely, the large-scale use of fossil fuels will not only result in a continuous depletion of existing fossil fuel reserves, but also will adversely affect the environment due to the greenhouse gases (GHGs) emission mainly carbon dioxide which leads to a global warming impact (Barbir et al., 1990; Shafiee and Topal, 2009).




Figure 1.1: Source of Malaysia's Electric Generation in Year 2015 (EIA, 2017)

Recently, the researchers from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) have done the research on global warming and anticipated that in year 2100, the average world temperature could escalate between 1.4 and 5.8°C. This will eventually result in the melting of the polar ice cap thus cause in the global rise of sea levels, the frequent occurrence of the natural disaster and the aggravation of extreme climate phenomenon for instance storms, floods, droughts, related landslides and wildfires (Nakicenovic et al., 2000). In his blog, Datuk Seri Najib Tun Razak the Malaysia Prime Minister said that, the effects of global warming are real and Malaysians could feel it where the drought and rainy seasons were prolonged, occurrences of water resource crises at some places, in addition to the worst floods the nation has faced in decades. In addition, when it came to

carbon dioxide emission from the fuel combustion, Malaysia ranked 26th worldwide in the year 2016. Thus, in 11th Malaysia plan (2016-2020), several policies were carried out aimed to reduce Malaysia's carbon footprint by preserving the natural resource, adopting the sustainable consumption and production along with pursuing the green evolution environment (Bernama, 2015).

1.2. Rice Straw Production in Malaysia

Malaysia is known as one of the leading producers of paddy. Due to the emerging of technological development in the agricultural industry, the paddy production target has been increased by 30% and expected to yield about 6.58 million tonne annually by the year 2020 (Shafie, 2015a). Recently the new interest has risen on paddy and rice industry between the agronomy policymakers, as the government has mandated the Ministry of Agricultural and Agro-based Industry (MOA) to administer this industry (Harun & Engku Ariff, 2017). In the year 2015, 730 thousand hectares area was planted with paddy, indicating the increment by 7% compared to 679.2 thousand hectares recorded in the previous year with the corresponding increase in the paddy yield by 473.0 thousand tonnes (16.6%) (Shafiea et al., 2013). The consistent increased of plantation area for paddy and the yield of paddy per metric tonnes every year was due to the growing of rice demand which parallel to the population growth.

It was estimated that about 1-1.5 kg of rice straw will be generated for each kg of paddy harvest (Urmila et al., 2012). In Malaysia, annually about 3.18 million tonne of rice straw will be produced and it was forecasted that the values will be increased year by year towards 2020 due to the emerging technology development in the agricultural industries (Shafie, 2015b). Parallel to this, the paddy residue will also increase, which leads to the problem regarding the paddy waste management. In Malaysia, currently the abundant annual production of rice straw which could be regarded as a potential energy value are still unexploited where the straw is disposed through an open field burning, and thus threatening the quality of environment (Lim et al., 2012; Hosseini and Wahid, 2014; Rosmiza et al., 2014)

Therefore, an agricultural waste biomass specifically rice straw can become the alternative source of energy, hence reducing the greenhouse gases emission by replacing the fossil energy besides avoiding the open burning which leads to local pollution issues. Among the available techniques, direct combustion of rice straw offers an advantage due to its higher energy conversion efficiency than those of others such as anaerobic digestion, gasification, pyrolysis, and thermochemical digestion. Generating electricity and heat by straw combustion not only can preserve the environment, reduces the field-burning pollution and save the energy but also will increase the financial gain of farmers (Said et al. 2013). It was reported by Shafie et al. (2014) that the potential generation of energy from paddy residue is 5652.4 GWh, which represent about 5.4% of total energy demand in Malaysia.

1.3. Problem Statement

Nevertheless, the direct combustion on rice straw displays several technical limitations affecting the systems of thermal conversion. The issue comprises the corrosion problems, slag formation, fouling, sintering and high ash content. To address this issue, rice straw leaching/washing with water can be utilized because it can easily reduce the content of unwanted compounds (ash contents such as potassium and chlorine) that causing fouling and slag formation (Bakker et al., 2013; Said et al., 2013). Jensen et al. (1997) reported that pretreatment methods, such as straw washing can enhance the straw quality because leaching allow the rapid potassium removal considering that it is unrelated to plant constructional components (Idrus et al., 2012).

Even though the thermal behavior of rice straw for combustion can be enhanced through straw washing but, the washwater produced from the washing contains the washed organic matter in substantial amount, which require treatment prior to disposal (Idrus et al., 2012). Moreover, as alkali metal cation (or known as salt) mainly potassium (K) can easily be leached out during the rice straw washing, thus its presence at high concentration is contributing to the salinity in RSWW. Until today, no study has been addressed on the produced washwater either for its further application or treatment. The enhanced anaerobic digestion where a clean renewable energy (biogas) can be generated from the organic waste may be a promising approach for the treatment of rice straw washwater (RSWW), at once could also become the potential source for the green energy production. Aside of its advantages in low operating cost and sludge production, the produced methane could have several applications such as, heat and electricity generation, vehicle fuels and production of chemicals.

Several studies have been conducted using rice straws as mono substrates for batch biogas production. Aside of long hydraulic retention time required, the main challenges are high carbon-to-nitrogen content and very low biodegradability. Nonetheless, no study has been conducted on the continuous anaerobic digestion of RSWW, where a short hydraulic retention time can be applied due to no direct dealing with the lignocellulosic characteristic of rice straws. In addition, even though several studies have been conducted on the treatment of highly saline wastewater by anaerobic digestion application which mainly focussing on the toxic effect of sodium, but little attention were given on the effect of continuous feeding of high potassium concentration, salt which is most likely contributed to RSWW salinity.

In this study, the application of anaerobic digestion treatment, could evaluate the potential production of methane from the rice straw washwater (RSWW), at once proposed a fully utilization of rice straw as a source of renewable energy. To date, no study has investigated the potential of biogas production from anaerobic digestion of RSWW. Therefore, this study aims to assess the potential generation of biogas from RSWW by investigating its biodegradability rate in relation to specific methane production at increasing organic loading rate. The synergistic or antagonistic effect and salt inhibition were evaluated during the continuous digestion of RSWW at high organic loading. Additionally, several approaches to improve the potential of RSWW for a greater biogas production were studied.

1.4. Aim and Objectives

The main objective of this study is, to investigate the potential production of methane from organic rice straw washwater (RSWW) as a source of biomass for anaerobic digestion purposes, thus improve its production by two different approaches. The objectives are divided into sub-objective as follow:

- 1) To assess the biodegradability rate of RSWW at increasing organic loading rate and investigate the effect on the anaerobic digestion performance at high organic loading of RSWW in relation to K accumulation.
- 2) To evaluate the effect of urea addition at various concentration on the specific methane production in high organic loading of RSWW.
- 3) To determine the result of productivity in specific methane during the co-digestion of RSWW and domestic wastewater.
- 4) To compare the performance of UASB reactor with continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR) for mono anaerobic digestion of RSWW at constant operating condition (e.g.: temperature and organic loading rate).

1.5. Scope of Study

This study is focusing on the anaerobic digestion of RSWW and its potential for biogas production by using UASB reactor. The biodegradability of RSWW will be studied by investigating the effect of the gradual increment of OLR in term of specific methane production as well as COD removal efficiency. The salinity effect of RSWW at high organic loading will also be assessed in terms of its accumulation in the digester towards the specific methane yield and the COD removal efficiency. Furthermore, the two different approaches to improve the biogas yield were covered in this study. The effect of the addition of urea on RSWW and the potential of co-digestion of RSWW with DW were investigated in order to see if there was any synergistic effect between the two wastes in enhancing the methane yield throughout the process. Last but not least, the continuous stirred tank reactor or known as CSTR will be employed at the same operation condition as UASB reactor was, to compare the performance of both digesters for the anaerobic digestion process of RSWW.

REFERENCES

- Abdurahman, N. H., Rosli, Y. M., & Azhari, N. H. (2013). The performance evaluation of anaerobic methods for palm oil mill effluent (POME) treatment : A review. *International Perspective on Water Quality Management and Pollutant Control*, (1984), 87–106. <https://doi.org/10.5772/54331>
- Abusafa, A., & Yücel, H. (2002). Removal of 137Cs from aqueous solutions using different cationic forms of a natural zeolite: Clinoptilolite. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 28(2), 103–116. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5866\(02\)00042-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5866(02)00042-4)
- Acharya, C. N. (1935). Studies on the anaerobic decomposition of plant materials: The anaerobic decomposition of rice straw (*Oryza sativa*). *The Biochemical Journal*, 29(3), 528–41. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16745695> <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC1266517>
- Ahring, B. K. (2003). *Biomethanation I. Advances in Biochemical Engineering/Biotechnology* (Vol. 81). <https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-45839-5>
- Aiyuk, S., Odonkor, P., Theko, N., van Haandel, A., & Verstraete, W. (2010). Technical problems ensuing from UASB reactor application in domestic wastewater treatment without pre-treatment. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Development*, 1(5), 392–398.
- Akunna, J. C., Bizeau, C., & Moletta, R. (1992). Denitrification in anaerobic digesters: possibilities and influence of wastewater COD/N-NOX ratio. *Environmental Technology*, 13(April 2015), 37–41. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09593339209385217>
- Ali, W., Hussain, M., Ali, M., Mubushar, M., Tabassam, M. A. R., Mohsin, M., & Nasir, H. A. A. (2013). Evaluation of Freundlich and Langmuir isotherm for potassium adsorption phenomena. *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences*, 6(15), 1048.
- Alvarez, R., Villca, S., & Lidén, G. (2006). Biogas production from llama and cow manure at high altitude. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 30(1), 66–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2005.10.001>
- Angelidaki, I., & Sanders, W. (2004). Assessment of the anaerobic biodegradability of macropollutants. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology*, 3(2), 117–129. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-004-2502-3>
- Arsova, L. (2010). *Anaerobic digestion of food waste: Current status, problems and an alternative product*. Columbia University. Retrieved from http://www.seas.columbia.edu/earth/wtert/sofos/arsova_thesis.pdf
- Arvanitoyannis, I. S., & Tserkezou, P. (2008). Corn and rice waste: A comparative and critical presentation of methods and current and potential uses of treated waste. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 43(6), 958–988. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2007.01545.x>

- Atta, N. N., El-Baz, A. A., Said, N., & Daiem, M. M. A. (2016). Anaerobic co-digestion of wastewater activated sludge and rice straw in batch and semi continuous modes. *Journal of Fundamentals of Renewable Energy and Applications*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.4172/2090-4541.1000204>
- Bakker, R., Elbersen, W., Poppens, R., & Lesschen, J. P. (2013). Rice straw and wheat straw. potential feedstocks for the biobased economy potential feedstocks for the biobased economy, (June), 31. Retrieved from [http://english.rvo.nl/sites/default/files/2013/12/Straw report AgNL June 2013.pdf](http://english.rvo.nl/sites/default/files/2013/12/Straw_report_AgNL_June_2013.pdf)
- Barbir, F., Veziroglu, T. N., & Plass, H. J. (1990). Environmental damage due to fossil fuels use, 15(10), 739–749.
- Basri, M. F. (2007). *Scaled-down Biogas Production from Anaerobic Treatment of Palm Oil Mill Effluent* (Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Putra Malaysia).
- Basset, N., M.E. De Arana-Sarabia, Vidal, C., Coll, A., Fernández, I., Dosta, J., & Mata-Álvarez, J. (2012). AnMBR technologies (CSTR and UASB type) for winery wastewater treatment at low temperatures.
- Behling, E., Diaz, A., Colina, G., Herrera, M., Gutierrez, E., Chacin, E., Fernandez, N. & Forster, C.F. (1997). Domestic wastewater treatment using a UASB reactor. *Bioresource Technology*, 61, 239–245.
- Bernama. (2015). Effect Of Climate Change And Global Warming Is Real, Cannot Be Viewed Lightly - Najib. Retrieved August 2, 2017, from <http://www.malaysiandigest.com/news/582277-effect-of-climate-change-and-global-warming-is-real-cannot-be-viewed-lightly-najib.html>
- Bhunja, P., & Ghangrekar, M. M. (2007). Required minimum granule size in UASB reactor and characteristics variation with size. *Bioresource Technology*, 98(5), 994–999. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2006.04.019>.
- Budiyono, B., Syaichurrozi, I., & Sumardiono, S. (2013). Biogas production from bioethanol waste: the effect of pH and urea addition to biogas production rate. *Waste Technology*, 1(1), 1–5. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.12777/wastech.1.1.2013.1-5>
- Bishnoi, P. (2012). *Effect of thermal hydrolysis pre-treatment on anaerobic digestion of sludge* (Doctoral dissertation, State University).
- Canas, E. Z. (2010). *Technical feasibility of anaerobic co-digestion of dairy manure with chicken litter and other wastes* (Master's Thesis, University of Tennessee-Knoxville). Retrieved from http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_gradthes/676/
- Cheerawit, R., Thunwadee, T., Duangporn, K., Tanawat, R., & Wichuda, K. (2012). Biogas production from co-digestion of domestic wastewater and food waste. *Health and the Environment Journal*, 3(2), 1–9.
- Chen, Y., & Cheng, J. J. (2007). Effect of potassium inhibition on the thermophilic anaerobic digestion of swine waste. *Water Environment Research*, 79(6), 667–674. <https://doi.org/10.2175/106143007X156853>

- Chen, Y., Cheng, J. J., & Creamer, K. S. (2008). Inhibition of anaerobic digestion process: A review. *Bioresource Technology*, 99(10), 4044–4064. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.01.057>
- Cheng, D., Zhaoxia, L., Jinlong, Y., & Jin Jianxiang. (2008). Adsorption behavior of p-chlorophenol on the reed wetland soils. *2nd International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedical Engineering, iCBBE 2008*, 4210–4213. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICBBE.2008.552>
- Cheng, J. (2009). Anaerobic digestion for biogas production. In J. Cheng (Ed.), *Biomass to Renewable Energy Processes* (pp. 151–208). New York: CRC Press.
- Chinnaraj, S., & Venkoba Rao, G. (2006). Implementation of an UASB anaerobic digester at bagasse-based pulp and paper industry. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 30(3), 273–277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2005.10.007>
- Chong, S., Sen, T. K., Kayaalp, A., & Ang, H. M. (2012). The performance enhancements of upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactors for domestic sludge treatment - A State-of-the-art review. *Water Research*, 46(11), 3434–3470. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.03.066>
- Chrish K. (2013). *Variation of methane and carbon dioxide yield in a biogas plant. Conservation Center for Arts and Historic Artifact* (Master's Thesis, Royal Institute of Technology Stockholm, Sweden).
- Chu, X., Wu, G., Wang, J., & Hu, Z. H. (2015). Dry co-digestion of sewage sludge and rice straw under mesophilic and thermophilic anaerobic conditions. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22(24), 20143–20153. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-5074-6>
- Chunshuang, L., Dongfeng, Z., Yadong, G., & Chaocheng, Z. (2012). Performance and modeling of an up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactor for treating high salinity wastewater from heavy oil production. *China Petroleum Processing and Petrochemical Technology*, 14(3), 90–95. Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84870835924&partnerID=40&md5=5c24f5347594a7c7740c1d9e799cfd68>
- Cioabla, A., Ionel, I., Dumitrel, G.-A., & Popescu, F. (2012). Comparative study on factors affecting anaerobic digestion of agricultural vegetal residues. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 5(1), 39. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-5-39>
- Comino, E., Rosso, M., & Riggio, V. (2010). Investigation of increasing organic loading rate in the co-digestion of energy crops and cow manure mix. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(9), 3013–3019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.12.025>
- Das A. and Mondal C. (2016). Biogas production from co-digestion of substrates : A review. *International Research Journal of Environment Sciences*, 5(1), 49–57.
- de Lemos Chernicharo, C. A. (2007). Biological Wastewater Treatment Vol.4: Anaerobic Reactors. In *Biological wastewater treatment in warm climate regions* (Vol. 4, pp. 1–175). New Delhi, India: IWA Publishing.
- de Mes, T. Z. D., Stams, A. J. M., Reith, J. H., & Zeeman, G. (2003). Methane production

- by anaerobic digestion of wastewater and solid wastes. *Bio-methane & Bio-hydrogen*, 58-102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.08.032>
- de Sousa, J. T., Santos, K. D., Henrique, I. N., Brasil, D. P., & Santos, E. C. (2008). Anaerobic digestion and the denitrification in UASB reactor. *Journal of Urban and Environmental Engineering*, 2(2), 63-67. <https://doi.org/10.4090/juee.2008.v2n2.063067>
- Demiral, H., & Gündüzoğlu, G. (2010). Removal of nitrate from aqueous solutions by activated carbon prepared from sugar beet bagasse. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(6), 1675–1680. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.09.087>
- Deng, L., & Che, D. (2012). Chemical, electrochemical and spectral characterization of water leachates from biomass. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, 51(48), 15710–15719. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ie301468b>
- Díaz, J. P., Reyes, I. P., Lundin, M., & Horváth, I. S. (2011). Co-digestion of different waste mixtures from agro-industrial activities: kinetic evaluation and synergetic effects. *Bioresource technology*, 102(23), 10834-10840. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.09.031>
- Dioha, I. J., Ikeme, C., Nafi, T., Soba, N. I., & Mbs, Y. (2013). Effect of carbon to nitrogen ratio on biogas production. *International Research Journal of Natural Sciences*, 1(3), 1–10.
- Drosg, B. (2013). Process monitoring in biogas plants. Retrieved March 24, 2017, from [http://www.iea-biogas.net/files/daten-redaktion/download/Technical Brochures/Technical Brochure process_monitoring.pdf](http://www.iea-biogas.net/files/daten-redaktion/download/Technical%20Brochures/Technical%20Brochure%20process_monitoring.pdf)
- Elmitwalli, T. A., Shalabi, M., Wendland, C., & Otterpohl, R. (2007). Grey water treatment in UASB reactor at ambient temperature. *Water Science and Technology*, 55(7), 173–180. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2007.142>
- Elmitwalli, T. A., Soellner, J., De Keizer, A., Bruning, H., Zeeman, G., & Lettinga, G. (2001). Biodegradability and change of physical characteristics of particles during anaerobic digestion of domestic sewage. *Water Research*, 35(5), 1311–1317. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(00\)00377-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(00)00377-8)
- Elsayed, M., Andres, Y., Blel, W., & Gad, A. (2015). Methane production by anaerobic co-digestion of sewage sludge and wheat straw under mesophilic conditions. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 4(6), 1–6. Retrieved from www.ijstr.org
- Esposito, G., Frunzo, L., Liotta, F., Panico, A., & Pirozzi, F. (2012). Bio-methane potential tests to measure the biogas production from the digestion and co-digestion of complex organic substrates. *The Open Environmental Engineering Journal*, 5(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1874829501205010001>
- Estevez, M. M., Linjordet, R., & Morken, J. (2012). Organic loading rate effect on anaerobic digestion: Case study on co-digestion of lignocellulosic pre-treated material with cow manure. In *CIGR- Ag Eng 2012 International Conference of Agricultural Engineering*. Valencia, Spain: CIGR-EurAgEng.

- Fang, C., Boe, K., & Angelidaki, I. (2011a). Anaerobic co-digestion of desugared molasses with cow manure; focusing on sodium and potassium inhibition. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(2), 1005–1011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.09.077>
- Fang, C., Boe, K., & Angelidaki, I. (2011b). Biogas production from potato-juice, a by-product from potato-starch processing, in upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) and expanded granular sludge bed (EGSB) reactors. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(10), 5734–5741. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.03.013>
- Fehrenbach, H., Giegrich, J., Reinhardt, G., Schmitz, J., Sayer, U., Gretz, M., ... Sayer, D. U. (2008). Criteria for a sustainable use of bioenergy on a global scale. *UBA Texte*, 30(08). <https://doi.org/2064112>
- Feng, X. (2013). Fungal pretreatment of straw for enhanced biogas yield.
- Fitzgerald, G. J., Hill, J. E., Scow, K. M., & Hill, J. E. (2000). Fallow season straw and water management effects on methane emissions in California rice. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 14(3), 767–776. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GB001259>
- Franke-Whittle, I. H., Walter, A., Ebner, C., & Insam, H. (2014). Investigation into the effect of high concentrations of volatile fatty acids in anaerobic digestion on methanogenic communities. *Waste Management*, 34(11), 2080–2089. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2014.07.020>
- Fricke, K., Santen, H., Wallmann, R., Hüttner, A., & Dichtl, N. (2007). Operating problems in anaerobic digestion plants resulting from nitrogen in MSW. *Waste Management*, 27(1), 30–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2006.03.003>
- Fu, X., Achu, N. I., Kreuger, E., & Björnsson, L. (2010). Comparison of reactor configurations for biogas production from energy crops. In *Asia-Pacific Power and Energy Engineering Conference, APPEEC* (pp. 1–4). <https://doi.org/10.1109/APPEEC.2010.5448770>
- Fu, X., & Hu, Y. (2016). Comparison of Reactor Configurations for Biogas Production from Rapeseed Straw. *BioResources*, 11(4), 9970–9985. <https://doi.org/10.15376/biores.11.4.9970-9985>
- Gadde, B., Bonnet, S., Menke, C., & Garivait, S. (2009). Air pollutant emissions from rice straw open field burning in India, Thailand and the Philippines. *Environmental Pollution*, 157(5), 1554–1558. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2009.01.004>
- Garrote, G., Domínguez, H., & Parajó, J. C. (1999). Mild autohydrolysis: An environmentally friendly technology for xylooligosaccharide production from wood. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 74(11), 1101–1109. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-4660\(199911\)74:11<1101::AID-JCTB146>3.0.CO;2-M](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4660(199911)74:11<1101::AID-JCTB146>3.0.CO;2-M)
- Gerardi, M. H. (2003). *The Microbiology of Anaerobic Digesters*. (M. H. Gerardi & Nitrification, Eds.) (Vol. 87). United States: A John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Publication. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-052351-4.50025-X>
- Ghani, W. A. W. A. K., & Idris, A. (2009). Preliminary study on biogas production of

- biogas from municipal solid waste (MSW) leachate. *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, 4(4), 374–380.
- Ghosh, A., & Bhattacharyya, B. C. (1999). Biomethanation of white rotted and brown rotted rice straw. *Bioprocess Engineering*, 20(4), 297–302. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004490050594>
- Glissmann, K., & Conrad, R. (2000). Fermentation pattern of methanogenic degradation of rice straw in anoxic paddy soil, 31.
- Gontupil, J. (2013). *Anaerobic Co-digestion of Swine Manure and Agricultural Residues for Biogas Production* (Doctoral dissertation, North Carolina State University).
- Gopinathan, C., Prajapati, S., & Rohira, H. (2015). Supplementing pineapple pulp waste with urea and metal ions enhances biogas production. *IOSR Journal of Environmental Science Ver. I*, 9(10), 2319–2399. <https://doi.org/10.9790/2402-091015357>
- Gopinathan, C., & Vivek, A. T. (2016). Process optimization for enhanced biogas production from mango pulp waste, 5(5), 2013–2016.
- Habeeb, S. A., Latiff, A. A. B. A., Daud, Z. B., & Ahmad, Z. B. (2011). A review on granules initiation and development inside UASB Reactor and the main factors affecting granules formation process. *International journal of energy and environment*, 2(2), 311–320.
- Harun, R., & Engku Ariff, E. E. (2017). The role of institutional support in Malaysia paddy and rice industry, 1–7.
- Haryanto, A., Sugara, B. P., Telaumbanua, M., & Rosadi, R. A. B. (2018, May). Anaerobic co-digestion of cow dung and rice straw to produce biogas using semi-continuous flow digester: Effect of urea addition. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 147, No. 1, p. 012032). IOP Publishing.
- Hassan, R. (2014). Anaerobic digestion of sewage sludge and cattle manure for biogas production: influence of co-digestion. *International Water Technology Journal*, 4(June), 107–113.
- Hazrati, H., & Shayegan, J. (2011). Optimizing OLR and HRT in a UASB reactor for pretreating high- Strength municipal wastewater. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 24, 1285–1290. <https://doi.org/10.3303/CET1124215>
- Hendriksen, H. V., & Ahring, B. K. (1996). Integrated removal of nitrate and carbon in an upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactor: Operating performance. *Water Research*, 30(6), 1451–1458. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354\(96\)00041-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(96)00041-3)
- Hills, D. J., & Roberts, D. W. (1981). Anaerobic digestion of dairy manure and field crop residues. *Agricultural Wastes*, 3(3), 179–189. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0141-4607\(81\)90026-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0141-4607(81)90026-3)
- Ho, Y. S., Porter, J. F., & McKay, G. (2002). Equilibrium isotherm studies for the sorption of divalent metal ions onto peat: Copper, nickel and lead single

- component systems. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, 141(1–4), 1–33. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021304828010>
- Hosseini, S. E., & Wahid, M. A. (2014). The role of renewable and sustainable energy in the energy mix of Malaysia : a review. <https://doi.org/10.1002/er>
- Huang, M., Li, Y., & Gu, G. (2010). Chemical composition of organic matters in domestic wastewater. *Desalination*, 262(1–3), 36–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2010.05.037>
- Hughes, K., & Simon, M. (2014). *U.S. Patent Application No. 14/472,946*. Retrieved from <http://www.freepatentsonline.com/y2014/0199743.html>
- Hullebusch, E. D. Van, Zandvoort, M. H., & Lens, P. N. L. (2004). Nickel and cobalt sorption on anaerobic granular sludges : kinetic and equilibrium studies, 1227(April), 1219–1227. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.1116>
- Idrus, S. (2013). *Washing of wheat straw to improve its combustion properties with energy recovery by anaerobic digestion of the washwater* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Southampton).
- Idrus, S., Banks, C. J., & Heaven, S. (2012). Assessment of the potential for biogas production from wheat straw leachate in upflow anaerobic sludge blanket digesters. *Water Science and Technology*, 66(12), 2737–2744. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2012.511>
- Idrus, S., Nik Daud, N. N., & Ahsan, A. (2016). Anaerobic digestion of domestic wastewater in different salinity levels : The adaptation process. *Pertanika Journal Science & Technology*, 25(1), 211–220.
- Islam, M. A., Hasanuzzaman, M., Rahim, N. A., Nahar, A., & Hosenuzzaman, M. (2014). Global renewable energy-based electricity generation and smart grid system for energy security. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014.
- Jami, M. S., Rosli, N. S., & Amosa, M. K. (2016). Optimization of manganese reduction in biotreated POME onto 3A molecular sieve and clinoptilolite zeolites. *Water Environment Research*, 88(6), 566–576. <https://doi.org/10.2175/106143015X14362865227157>
- Jeison, D., Kremer, B., & van Lier, J. B. (2008). Application of membrane enhanced biomass retention to the anaerobic treatment of acidified wastewaters under extreme saline conditions. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 64(2), 198–205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2008.10.009>
- Jenkins, B., Baxter, L., & Miles, T. (1998). Combustion properties of biomass. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 54(1–3), 17–46. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-3820\(97\)00059-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-3820(97)00059-3)
- Jenkins, B. M., Bakker, R. R., & Wei, J. B. (1996). On the properties of washed straw. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 10(4), 177–200. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0961-9534\(95\)00058-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0961-9534(95)00058-5)
- Jensen, P. A., Stenholm, M., & Hald, P. (1997). Deposition investigation in straw-fired

- boilers. *Energy & Fuels*, 11(5), 1048-1055.
- Kanat, G., & Saral, A. (2009). Estimation of biogas production rate in a thermophilic UASB reactor using artificial neural networks. *Environmental Modeling and Assessment*, 14(5), 607–614. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10666-008-9150-x>
- Kaparaju, P., Serrano, M., & Angelidaki, I. (2010). Optimization of biogas production from wheat straw stillage in UASB reactor. *Applied Energy*, 87(12), 3779–3783. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2010.06.005>
- Kargbo, F. R., Xing, J., & Zhang, Y. (2009). Pretreatment for energy use of rice straw: A review. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 4(13), 1560–1565.
- Kargbo, F. R., Xing, J., & Zhang, Y. (2010). Property analysis and pretreatment of rice straw for energy use in grain drying: A review. *Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America*, 1(3), 195–200. Retrieved from <http://scihub.org/ABJNA/PDF/2010/3/1-3-195-200.pdf>
- Kargi, F., & Dinçer, A. R. (1996). Enhancement of biological treatment performance of saline wastewater by halophilic bacteria. *Bioprocess Engineering*, 15(1), 51–58. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004490050234>
- Kaushik, G. (2016). *Applied environmental biotechnology: Present scenario and future trends*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2123-4>
- Kelleher, B. P., Leahy, J. J., Henihan, a M., O'Dwyer, T. F., Sutton, D., & Leahy, M. J. (2002). Advances in poultry litter disposal technology: A review. *Bioresource Technology*, 83(1), 27–36. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(01\)00133-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(01)00133-X)
- Khalid, A., Arshad, M., Anjum, M., Mahmood, T., & Dawson, L. (2011). The anaerobic digestion of solid organic waste. *Waste Management*, 31(8), 1737–1744. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2011.03.021>
- Kim, M., Ahn, Y., & Speece, R. E. (2002). Comparative process stability and efficiency of anaerobic digestion; mesophilic vs. thermophilic Moonil. *Water Research*, 36(6), 4369–4385. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09593332308618380>
- Komatsu, T., Kudo, K., Inoue, Y., & Himeno, S. (2007). Anaerobic codigestion of sewage sludge and rice straw. *Proceedings on Moving Forward Wastewater Biosolids Sustainability: Technical, Managerial, and Public Synergy, New Brunswick*, 495-501. Retrieved from <http://www.bvsde.ops-oms.org/bvsaar/cdlodos/pdf/anaerobiccodigestion495.pdf>
- Kreuger, E., & Fu, X. (2010). Comparison of reactor configurations for biogas production from energy crops. In *Power and Energy Engineering Conference (APPEEC)* (pp. 1–4). Asia-Pacific: IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/APPEEC.2010.5448770>
- Kroeker, E. J., Schulte, D. D., Sparling, A. B., & Lapp, H. M. (1979). Anaerobic treatment process stability. *Journal (Water Pollution Control Feredation)*, 51(4), 718–727. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25039893>
- Kugelman, I. J., & Mccarty, P. L. (1965). Cation toxicity and stimulation waste treatment

- in anaerobic. *Journal (Water Pollution Control Federation)*, 37(1), 97–116.
- Kumar, P., Kumar, S., & Joshi, L. (2015). The Extent and Management of Crop Stubble. In *Socioeconomic and Environmental Implications of Agricultural Residue Burning* (pp. 13–34). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2014-5>
- Kwarciak-Kozłowska, A., Bohdziewicz, J., Mielczarek, K., & Krzywicka, A. (2011). The application of UASB reactor in meat industry wastewater treatment. *Civil and Environmental Engineering Reports*, 119-128.
- Labatut, R. A., & Gooch, C. A. (2012). Monitoring of anaerobic digestion process to optimize performance and prevent system failure. In *Proceedings of Got Manure? Enhancing Environmental and Economic Sustainability* (pp. 209–225).
- Lausund, E. (2014). *Anaerobic digestion: biodegradability and biogas production of model wastes* (Master's thesis, Institutt for vann-og miljøteknikk).
- Lee, S. M., & Davis, A. P. (2001). Removal of Cu(II) and Cd(II) from aqueous solution by seafood processing waste sludge. *Water Research*, 35(2), 534–540. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(00\)00284-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(00)00284-0)
- Lefebvre, O., Quentin, S., Torrijos, M., Godon, J. J., Delgenès, J. P., & Moletta, R. (2007). Impact of increasing NaCl concentrations on the performance and community composition of two anaerobic reactors. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 75(1), 61–69. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-006-0799-2>
- Lei, Z., Chen, J., Zhang, Z., & Sugiura, N. (2010). Methane production from rice straw with acclimated anaerobic sludge: Effect of phosphate supplementation. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(12), 4343–4348. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.01.083>
- Lettinga, G., van Velsen, A. F. M., Hobma, S. W., de Zeeuw, W., & Klapwijk, A. (1980). Use of the upflow sludge blanket (USB) reactor concept for biological wastewater treatment, especially for anaerobic treatment. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 22(4), 699–734. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.260220402>
- Li, J., Wei, L., Duan, Q., Hu, G., & Zhang, G. (2014). Semi-continuous anaerobic co-digestion of dairy manure with three crop residues for biogas production. *Bioresource Technology*, 156, 307–313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.01.064>
- Lianhua, L., Dong, L., Yongming, S., Longlong, M., Zhenhong, Y., & Xiaoying, K. (2010). Effect of temperature and solid concentration on anaerobic digestion of rice straw in South China. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 35(13), 7261–7266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2010.03.074>
- Lim, J. S., Manan, Z. A., Rafidah, S., Alwi, W., Hashim, H., Abdul Manan, Z., ... Hashim, H. (2012). A review on utilisation of biomass from rice industry as a source of renewable energy. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 16(5), 3084–3094. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.02.051>
- Lim, J. W. (2011, June). Anaerobic co-digestion of brown water and food waste for energy recovery. In *11th edition of the World Wide Workshop for Young*

Environmental Scientists (WWW-YES-2011)-Urban Waters: resource or risks? (No. 14).

- Linke, B., Muha, I., Wittum, G., & Plogsties, V. (2013). Mesophilic anaerobic co-digestion of cow manure and biogas crops in full scale German biogas plants: A model for calculating the effect of hydraulic retention time and VS crop proportion in the mixture on methane yield from digester and from digestate sto. *Bioresource Technology*, 130, 689–695. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.11.137>
- Liotta, F., Esposito, G., Fabbicino, M., van Hullebusch, E. D., Lens, P. N. L., Pirozzi, F., & Pontoni, L. (2015). Methane and VFA production in anaerobic digestion of rice straw under dry, semi-dry and wet conditions during start-up phase. *Environmental Technology*, 3330(November), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2015.1074288>
- Liu, H., Zhang, L., Han, Z., Xie, B., & Wu, S. (2013). The effects of leaching methods on the combustion characteristics of rice straw. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 49(2013), 22–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2012.12.024>
- Liu, Z., Xu, A., & Zhao, T. (2011). Energy from combustion of rice straw : Status and challenges to China, 2011(July), 325–331. <https://doi.org/10.4236/epe.2011.33040>
- Mahendra, B. G., & Patil, S. (2013). Anaerobic digestion of domestic wastewater. *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*, 283–287.
- Maintinguer, S. I., Sakamoto, I. K., Adorno, M. A. T., & Varesche, M. B. A. (2013). Evaluation of the microbial diversity of denitrifying bacteria in batch reactor. *Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 30(3), 457–465.
- Mara, D. (2013). Domestic wastewater treatment in developing countries. In *Domestic Wastewater Treatment in Developing Countries* (pp. 30–32). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781849771023>
- Margeta, K., Logar, Z. N., Šiljeg, M., & Farkas, A. (2013). Natural zeolites in water treatment – how effective is their use. *Water Treatment*, 81–112. <https://doi.org/10.5772/50738>
- Martín-González, L., Font, X., & Vicent, T. (2013). Alkalinity ratios to identify process imbalances in anaerobic digesters treating source-sorted organic fraction of municipal wastes. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 76, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2013.03.016>
- McCarty, P. L. (1964). Anaerobic waste treatment fundamentals. *Chemistry and Microbiology*, 95(9), 107–112. https://doi.org/10.1300/J118v09n01_08
- Mccarty, P. L., & Mckinney, R. E. (1961). Salt toxicity in anaerobic digestion. *Journal (Water Pollution Control Federation)*, 33(4), 399–415.
- Menardo, S., Cacciatore, V., & Balsari, P. (2015). Batch and continuous biogas production arising from feed varying in rice straw volumes following pre-treatment with extrusion. *Bioresource Technology*, 180(2015), 154–161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.12.104>

- Merrikhpour, H., & Jalali, M. (2012). Waste calcite sludge as an adsorbent for the removal of cadmium, copper, lead, and zinc from aqueous solutions. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*, 14(5), 845–855. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-012-0450-0>
- Mishra, S., Bera, A., Mandal, A., Mishra, S., Bera, A., & Mandal, A. (2014). Effect of polymer adsorption on permeability reduction in enhanced oil recovery. *Journal of Petroleum Engineering*, 2014, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/395857>
- Mojiri, A., Aziz, H. A., Zaman, N. Q., & Aziz, S. Q. (2012). A review on anaerobic digestion, bio-reactor and nitrogen removal from wastewater and landfill leachate by bio-reactor. *Advances in Environmental Biology*, 6(7), 2143–2150. <https://doi.org/10.1634/stemcells.2004-0134>
- Møller, H. B. (2006). Nitrogen conversion in biogas plants. *Bioenergy Research*, (17), 10–11.
- Monnet, F. (2003). An introduction to anaerobic digestion of organic wastes. *Remade Scotland*, 1-48. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-24708-3_3
- Montingelli, M. E., Tedesco, S., & Olabi, A. G. (2015). Biogas production from algal biomass: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 43, 961–972. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.11.052>
- Mussoline, W. (2013). *Enhancing the methane production from untreated rice straw using an anaerobic co-digestion approach with piggery wastewater and pulp and paper mill sludge to optimize energy conversion in farm-scale biogas plants* (Doctoral dissertation, Paris Est). <https://doi.org/2013PEST1185>
- Mussoline, W., Esposito, G., Giordano, A., & Lens, P. (2013). The anaerobic digestion of rice straw: A review. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 43(9), 895-915. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2011.627018>
- Mussoline, W., Esposito, G., Lens, P., Spagni, A., & Giordano, A. (2013). Enhanced methane production from rice straw co-digested with anaerobic sludge from pulp and paper mill treatment process. *Bioresource Technology*, 148, 135–143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.08.107>
- Nader, G. A., & Robinson, P. H. (2010). Rice Producers ' Guide to Marketing Rice Straw. In A. A. E. for A. and R. Sciences (Ed.), *Agriculture and Natural Resources_University of California* (pp. 1–10). California: Agriculture and Natural Resources Publication.
- Nakicenovic, N., Alcamo, J., Grubler, A., Riahi, K., Roehrl, R. A., Rogner, H. H., & Victor, N. (2000). IPCC Special Report on Emissions Scenarios: A special report of Working Group III of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. *Cambridge University Press*, 608.
- Nges, I. A., Wang, B., Cui, Z., & Liu, J. (2015). Digestate liquor recycle in minimal nutrients-supplemented anaerobic digestion of wheat straw. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 94, 106–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2014.11.023>
- Nkemka, V. N., & Murto, M. (2010). Evaluation of biogas production from seaweed in

batch tests and in UASB reactors combined with the removal of heavy metals. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 91(7), 1573–1579. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.03.004>

Noyola, A., Morgan-sagastume, J. M., López-Hernández, J. E., Lo, J. E., Ingenieri, I. De, Escolar, C., ... Me, D. F. (2006). Treatment of biogas produced in anaerobic reactors for domestic wastewater: Odor control and energy/resource recovery. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology*, 5(1), 93–114. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-005-2754-6>

Ozalp, G., Gomec, C. Y., Ozturk, I., Gonuldinc, S., & Altinbas, M. (2003). Effect of high salinity on anaerobic treatment of low strength effluents. *Water Science and Technology*, 48(11–12), 207–12. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14753538>

Panpong, K., Srisuwan, G., O-thong, S., & Kongjan, P. (2014). Enhanced biogas production from canned seafood wastewater by CO-digestion with glycerol waste and wolffia arrhiza. *Energy Procedia*, 52(2014), 337–351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2014.07.085>

Parawira, W. (2004). *Anaerobic treatment of agricultural residues and wastewater application of high-rate reactors* (PhD dissertation, Lund University). Retrieved from http://www.lub.lu.se/luft/diss/tec_848/tec_848_kappa.pdf

Parawira, W., Murto, M., Zvauya, R., & Mattiasson, B. (2006). Comparative performance of a UASB reactor and an anaerobic packed-bed reactor when treating potato waste leachate. *Renewable Energy*, 31(6), 893–903. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2005.05.013>

Puyol, D., Monsalvo, V. M., Mohedano, A. F., Sanz, J. L., & Rodriguez, J. J. (2011). Cosmetic wastewater treatment by upflow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 185(2–3), 1059–1065. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.10.014>

Remli, N. A. M., Md Shah, U. K., Mohamad, R., & Abd-Aziz, S. (2014). Effects of chemical and thermal pretreatments on the enzymatic saccharification of rice straw for sugars production. *BioResources*, 9(1), 510–522.

Ripley, L. E., Boyle, W. C., & Converse, J. C. (1986). Improved alkalimetric monitoring for anaerobic digestion of high-strength wastes. *Journal (Water Pollution Control Federation)*, 58(5), 406–411.

Rosmiza, M. Z., Davies, W. P., CR, R. A., Mazdi, M., & Jabil, M. J. (2017). Farmers' knowledge on potential uses of rice straw: an assessment in MADA and Sekinchan, Malaysia. *Geografia-Malaysian Journal of Society and Space*, 10(5).

Rozada, F., Calvo, L. F., García, A. I., Martín-Villacorta, J., & Otero, M. (2003). Dye adsorption by sewage sludge-based activated carbons in batch and fixed-bed systems. *Bioresource Technology*, 87(3), 221–230. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(02\)00243-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(02)00243-2)

- Ruiz, I. (2004). *Anaerobic Treatment of Municipal Wastewater in UASB reactors* (PhD dissertation, University of a Coruña).
- Said, N., Abdel Daiem, M. M., García-Maraver, A., & Zamorano, M. (2014). Reduction of ash sintering precursor components in rice straw by water washing. *BioResources*, 9(4), 6756–6764.
- Said, N., Bishara, T., García-Maraver, A., & Zamorano, M. (2013). Effect of water washing on the thermal behavior of rice straw. *Waste Management*, 33(11), 2250–2256. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2013.07.019>
- Saleh, M. M. A., & Mahmood, U. F. (2003). UASB / EGSB Applications for Industrial wastewater treatment, (April), 335–344.
- Santos, S. C. R., Vilar, V. J. P., & Boaventura, R. A. R. (2008). Waste metal hydroxide sludge as adsorbent for a reactive dye. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 153(3), 999–1008. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.09.050>
- Sarig, S., Leci, C. L., & Eidelman, N. (1979). Agglomeration of potassium chloride crystals from supersaturated aqueous solutions. *Journal of Crystal Growth*, 47(3), 365–372. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0248\(79\)90200-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0248(79)90200-8)
- Serna, E. (2013). Anaerobic Digestion Systems. Retrieved January 27, 2017, from <http://www.wtert.eu/default.asp?Menu=13&ShowDok=17>
- Shafie, S. M. (2015a). A review of paddy residue management in Malaysia. In Z. Othman & N. H. Abu (Eds.), *The Southeast Asia Regional Conference on the System of Rice Intensification (SRI) 2015* (pp. 66–74). Alor Setar, Kedah: Pusat Pengajian Pengurusan Teknologi dan Logistik (STML).
- Shafie, S. M. (2015b). Paddy residue based power generation in malaysia : environmental assessment using lca approach, 10(15), 6643–6648.
- Shafie, S. M., Mahlia, T. M. I., & Masjuki, H. H. (2013). Life cycle assessment of rice straw co-firing with coal power generation in Malaysia. *Energy*, 57, 284–294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2013.06.002>
- Shafiea, S. M., Mahliab, T. M. I., Masjukia, H. H., & Chonga, W. T. (2013). Logistic Cost Analysis of Rice Straw to Optimize Power Plant in Malaysia. ... in *Renewable Energy*, 67–75. Retrieved from <http://www.lifescienceglobal.com/pms/index.php/jtire/article/view/629/0>
- Shafiee, S., & Topal, E. (2009). When will fossil fuel reserves be diminished? *Energy Policy*, 37(1), 181–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2008.08.016>
- Sharma, S., Somvanshi, S., & Mittal, a. K. (2012). Anaerobic treatment of wastewater of industrial belt of Baddi (solan) using Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket Reactor (UASBR). *International Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 3(3), 1150–1154. <https://doi.org/10.6088/ijes.2012030133022>
- Shavandi, M. A., Haddadian, Z., Ismail, M. H. S., Abdullah, N., & Abidin, Z. Z. (2012). Removal of Fe(III), Mn(II) and Zn(II) from palm oil mill effluent (POME) by natural zeolite. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*, 43(5), 750–

759. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtice.2012.02.014>

- Sheng, K., Chen, X., Pan, J., Kloss, R., Wei, Y., & Ying, Y. (2013). Effect of ammonia and nitrate on biogas production from food waste via anaerobic digestion. *Biosystems Engineering*, 116(2), 205–212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2013.08.005>
- Shi, L., Yu, S., Wang, F. C., & Wang, J. (2012). Pyrolytic characteristics of rice straw and its constituents catalyzed by internal alkali and alkali earth metals. *Fuel*, 96, 586–594. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2012.01.013>
- Shin, J. D., Park, S. W., Lee, S.-I., Kim, H., Lee, S. R., & Kim, M. S. (2015). Effects of digestion temperatures and loading amounts on methane production from anaerobic digestion with crop residues. *Carbon Letters*, 16(4), 265–269. <https://doi.org/10.5714/CL.2015.16.4.265>
- Shouliang, H. U. O. (2007). Removal and recovery of nitrogen and phosphorus from wastewater by struvite crystallization. *Science*, 2(2), 2013–2016.
- Singh, K. S., Harada, H., & Viraraghavan, T. (1996). Low-strength wastewater treatment by a UASB reactor. *Bioresource Technology*, 55(3), 187–194. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-8524\(96\)86817-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-8524(96)86817-9)
- Song, Z., Yang, G., Guo, Y., & Zhang, T. (2012). Comparison of two chemical pretreatments of rice straw for biogas production by anaerobic digestion. *BioResources*, 7(3), 3223–3236. <https://doi.org/10.15376/biores.7.3.3223-3236>
- Stolten, D., & Bernd Emonts. (2016). *Hydrogen Science and Engineering: Materials, Processes, Systems and Technology, 2 Volume Set*. (D. Stolten & Bernd Emonts, Eds.). Wiley. Retrieved from <https://books.google.com.my/books?id=we5bCwAAQBAJ>
- Suryawanshi, P. C., Chaudhari, A. B., Bhardwaj, S., & Yeole, T. Y. (2013). Operating procedures for efficient anaerobic digester operation. *Research Journal of Animal, Veterinary and Fishery Sciences*, 1(2), 12–15.
- Tanimu, M. I., Ghazi, T. I. M., Harun, R. M., & Idris, A. (2014). Effect of carbon to nitrogen ratio of food waste on biogas methane production in a batch mesophilic anaerobic digester. *International Journal of Innovation, Management and Technology*, 5(2), 116–119. <https://doi.org/10.7763/IJIMT.2014.V5.497>
- Tella, A. C., & Owolude, S. O. (2007). Some langmuir and freundlich parameters of adsorption studies of chlorpheniramine maleate. *Research Journal of Applied Science*, 2(8), 875–878.
- Trnovec, W., & Britz, T. J. (1998). Influence of organic loading rate and hydraulic retention time on the efficiency of a UASB bioreactor treating a canning factory effluent. *Water SA*, 24(2), 147–152.
- Tuan Yusof, T. R., Che-Man, H., Abdul Rahman, N. A., & Hafid, H. S. (2014). Optimization of methane gas production from co-digestion of food waste and poultry manure using artificial neural network and response surface methodology. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 6(7), 27–37. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v6n7p27>

- Urmila, G., Sooch, S. S., Jain, A. K., & Gautam, A. (2012). Fabrication and performance evaluation of paddy straw based biogas digester. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*, 2(3), 946–949.
- Usack, J. G., Spirito, C. M., & Angenent, L. T. (2012). Continuously-stirred anaerobic digester to convert organic wastes into biogas: System setup and basic operation. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*, (65), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.3791/3978>
- Venkata Mohan, S., Krishna Prasad, K., Chandrasekhara Rao, N., Vijaya Bhaskar, Y., Lalit Babu, V., Rajagopal, D., & Sarma, P. N. (2005). Biological treatment of low-biodegradable composite chemical wastewater using upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactor: Process monitoring. *Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research*, 64(10), 771–777.
- Venkatesh, K. R., Rajendran, M., & Murugappan, A. (2013). Start-up of an upflow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor treating low-strength wastewater inoculated with non-granular sludge. *International Refereed Journal of Engineering and Science (IRJES)*, 2(5), 46–53. Retrieved from <http://irjes.com/Papers/vol2-issue5/Version1/G254653.pdf>
- Vlyssides, A. G., Barampouti, E. M. P., & Mai, S. T. (2008). Simple estimation of granule size distribution and sludge bed porosity in a UASB reactor. *Global Nest Journal*, 10(1), 73–79. Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-77953344447&partnerID=40&md5=952f1258e3c12aae1a5e54c9c1eed9e5>
- Wang, C., Boithias, L., Ning, Z., Han, Y., Sauvage, S., Sánchez-Pérez, J. M., ... Hatano, R. (2017). Comparison of langmuir and freundlich adsorption equations within the SWAT-K model for assessing potassium environmental losses at basin scale. *Agricultural Water Management*, 180, 205–211. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2016.08.001>
- Ward, A. J., Hobbs, P. J., Holliman, P. J., & Jones, D. L. (2008). Optimisation of the anaerobic digestion of agricultural resources. *Bioresource Technology*, 99(17), 7928–7940. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2008.02.044>
- Weiland, P. (2010). Biogas production: Current state and perspectives. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 85(4), 849–860. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-009-2246-7>
- Williams, C. L. (2012). *Methane production from anaerobic co-digestion of Chlorella vulgaris and wastewater sludge*. Master Thesis, San Diego State University.
- Wu, L. J., Kobayashi, T., Kuramochi, H., Li, Y. Y., & Xu, K. Q. (2016). Effects of potassium, magnesium, zinc, and manganese addition on the anaerobic digestion of de-oiled grease trap waste. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 41(7), 2417–2427. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-015-1879-3>
- Wu, W. (2007). Anaerobic co-digestion of biomass for methane production: Recent research achievements. *Optimization*, 1, 1–10.
- Yao, Y., & Chen, S. (2016). A novel and simple approach to the good process performance of methane recovery from lignocellulosic biomass alone. *Biotechnol*

Biofuels, 9, 115. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-016-0530-1>

- Yasar, A., & Tabinda, A. B. (2010). Anaerobic treatment of industrial wastewater by uasb reactor integrated with chemical oxidation processes: An overview. *Polish J. of Environ. Stud*, 19(5), 1051–1061.
- Ye, J., Li, D., Sun, Y., Wang, G., Yuan, Z., Zhen, F., & Wang, Y. (2013). Improved biogas production from rice straw by co-digestion with kitchen waste and pig manure. *Waste Management*, 33(12), 2653–2658. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2013.05.014>
- Yenigün, O., & Demirel, B. (2013). Ammonia inhibition in anaerobic digestion: A review. *Process Biochemistry*, 48(5–6), 901–911. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2013.04.012>
- Yirong, C. (2014). *Thermophilic anaerobic digestion of food waste*. PhD Thesis, University of Southampton. Retrieved from http://eprints.soton.ac.uk/366736/1/140620_FINAL_THESIS_CY_%282%29.pdf
- Yong, Z., Dong, Y., Zhang, X., & Tan, T. (2015). Anaerobic co-digestion of food waste and straw for biogas production. *Renewable Energy*, 78, 527–530. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.01.033>
- Zhan-jiang, P., Jie, L., Feng-mei, S., Su, W., Da-lei, Z., Ya-bing, G., & Da-lei, Z. (2014). High-solid anaerobic co-digestion of food waste and rice straw for biogas production. *Journal of Northeast Agricultural University (English Edition)*, 21(4), 61–66. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1006-8104\(15\)30021-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1006-8104(15)30021-0)
- Zhang, L., Hendrickx, T. L. G., Kampman, C., Temmink, H., & Zeeman, G. (2013). Co-digestion to support low temperature anaerobic pretreatment of municipal sewage in a UASB-digester. *Bioresource Technology*, 148, 560–566. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.09.013>
- Zhang, T., Liu, L., Song, Z., Ren, G., Feng, Y., Han, X., & Yang, G. (2013). Biogas production by co-digestion of goat manure with three crop residues. *PLoS ONE*, 8(6), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066845>
- Zupancic, G. D., Grilc, V., Zupan, G. D., & Grilc, V. (2012). anaerobic treatment and biogas production from organic waste. In S. Dr. Kumar (Ed.), *Management of Organic waste* (Vol. 2, pp. 1–27). Rijeka: InTech. <https://doi.org/10.5772/32756>