



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***CONVERGENCE AND ERROR STUDY OF DIFFERENT BASIS AND
TESTING FUNCTIONS IN THE METHOD OF MOMENTS APPLIED TO
ELECTROMAGNETIC WAVE SCATTERING FROM DIELECTRIC
OBJECTS***

NG TZE WEI

IPM 2014 19



**CONVERGENCE AND ERROR STUDY OF DIFFERENT BASIS AND
TESTING FUNCTIONS IN THE METHOD OF MOMENTS APPLIED TO
ELECTROMAGNETIC WAVE SCATTERING FROM DIELECTRIC
OBJECTS**

By

NG TZE WEI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

JULY 2014

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © University Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

To my parents and teachers,

Thanks for everything



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

CONVERGENCE AND ERROR STUDY OF DIFFERENT BASIS AND TESTING FUNCTIONS IN THE METHOD OF MOMENTS APPLIED TO ELECTROMAGNETIC WAVE SCATTERING FROM DIELECTRIC OBJECTS

By

NG TZE WEI

JULY 2014

Chairman: Associate Professor Zulkifly Abbas, PhD

Institute: Mathematical Research

The convergence and variation of error of numerical methods depends on the implementation of different types of basis and testing functions. This thesis describes a comparative analysis of different basis and testing functions used in the MoM for two dimensional dielectric objects. The basis and testing functions namely the sinusoid/pulse (SP), sinusoid/sinusoid (SS), sinusoid/triangle (ST), triangle/pulse (TP), triangle/sinusoid (TS) and triangle/triangle (TT) methods are considered in this work. These basis and testing functions used in conjunction with MoM integral equations which include the electric field integral equation (EFIE), magnetic field integral equation (MFIE), Poggio-Muller-Chu-Harrington-Wu (PMCHW) integral equation and the Muller integral equation. All the computations in this study are carried out using MATLAB on dielectric objects using personal computer with 2GB DDR3 RAM. The variation of mean relative error with samples per wavelength is calculated for different dielectric objects with outer and inner radii of 0.0521 m and 0.0313 m respectively. Using Gauss quadrature technique, the SP and TP methods give faster convergence than the SS, ST, TS and TT methods for a higher number of integral equations at 915 MHz. When the EFIE and MFIE are used in both TE and TM cases of the hollow dielectric cylinder with relative permittivity of $77.3-j37.2$, the SS, ST, TS and TT methods require at least 1.5 and 1.75 times the samples per wavelength required by the SP and TP methods to achieve magnetic current error less than 0.01 respectively. For the dielectric coated conducting cylinder with relative permittivity of $33.2-j124.17$, the SS, ST, TS and TT methods require 2 times the samples per wavelength required by the SP and TP methods for the surface magnetic current calculated using Gauss quadrature technique to be more accurate than the staircase approximation technique. The difference in the convergence due to different basis and testing function under the impedance boundary condition (IBC) is not as significant as under the exact boundary condition (EBC) for the dielectric coated impedance cylinder. The difference in the number of matrix elements between the SS, ST and SP methods and also between the TS, TT and TP methods to achieve magnetic current error less than 0.01 for the Muller integral equation is higher than the EFIE and MFIE when the EBC is utilised. The SP and TP methods provide faster convergence than the SS, ST, TS and TT methods with a higher

difference in the number of matrix elements between the SS, ST and SP methods and also between the TS, TT and TP methods to achieve an error less than 0.01 for the high permittivity hollow dielectric cylinder with large size compared to the one with small size.



Abstraktesis yang dikemukakan kepada SenatUniversiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**KAJIAN RALAT AND PENUMPUAN
FUNGSI ASAS DAN PEMBERAT BERBEZA DALAM APPLIKASI
KAEDAH MOMEN UNTUK PENYERAKAN GELOMBANG
ELEKTROMAGNET OLEH OBJEK DIELEKTRIK**

Oleh

NG TZE WEI

JULA12014

Pengerusi: ProfesorMadya DrZulkifly Abbas, PhD

Institut: PenyelidikanMatematik

Penumpuan dan perubahan ralat kaedah berangka bergantung kepada implementasi fungsi asas dan pemberat yang berbeza. Tesis ini menerangkan analisis perbandingan fungsi asas dan pemberat berbeza dalam kaedah momen (MoM) untuk objek dua dimensi. Fungsi asas dan pemberat iaitu kaedah sinusoid/segiempat (SP), sinusoid/sinusoid (SS), sinusoid/segitiga (ST), segitiga/segiempat (TP), segitiga/sinusoid (TS) dan segitiga/segitiga (TT) telah dipertimbangkan. Fungsi asas dan pemberat ini digunakan sempena persamaan kamiran MoM iaitu persamaan kamiran medan elektrik (EFIE), persamaan kamiran medan magnet (MFIE), persamaan kamiran Poggio-Muller-Chu-Harrington-Wu (PMCHW) dan persamaan kamiran Muller. Komputasi dalam kajian ini telah dilaksanakan menggunakan MATLAB pada objek dielektrik menggunakan komputer peribadi 2GB DDR3 RAM. Perubahan purata ralat relatif terhadap sampel per panjang gelombang dihitung untuk objek dielektrik berbeza dengan jejari luaran and dalaman 0.0521 m dan 0.0313 m masing-masing. Dengan menggunakan kaedah Gauss kuadratur, kaedah SP dan TP memberikan penumpuan yang lebih cepat daripada kaedah SS, ST, TS dan TT untuk bilangan persamaan kamiran yang lebih tinggi pada 915 MHz. Apabila EFIE dan MFIE digunakan untuk silinder dielectric berongga dengan kebolehtelapan relatif $77.3-j37.2$ dalam kes TE dan TM, kaedah SS, ST, TS dan TT memerlukan sekurang-kurangnya 1.5 dan 1.75 kali sample per panjang gelombang yang diperlukan oleh kaedah SP dan TP untuk mencapai ralat arus magnetik kurang daripada 0.01 masing-masing. Untuk silinder konduktor bersalut dielektrik dengan kebolehtelapan relatif $33.2-j124.17$, kaedah SS, ST, TS and TT memerlukan 2 kali sampel per panjang gelombang yang diperlukan oleh kaedah SP and TP supaya arus magnetik yang dihitung menggunakan kaedah Gauss kuadratur lebih tepat berbanding kaedah anggaran tetangga. Perbezaan kadar penumpuan antara fungsi asas dan pemberat berbeza di bawah syarat sempadan impedans (IBC) adalah tidak seketara syarat sempadan tepat (EBC) untuk silinder impedans bersalut dielektrik. Perbezaan bilangan elemen matriks antara kaedah SS, ST dan SP dan juga antara kaedah TS, TT dan TP dalam mencapai ralat arus magnetik kurang daripada 0.01 untuk persamaan Muller adalah lebih tinggi daripada EFIE dan MFIE apabila EBC

digunakan. Kaedah SP dan TP juga memberikan penumpuan lebih cepat berbanding kaedah SS, ST, TS dan TT untuk bilangan persamaan kamiran lebih tinggi dengan perbezaan bilangan elemen matriks yang lebih tinggi didapati antara kaedah SS, ST dan SP dan juga antara kaedah TS, TT dan TP untuk mencapai ralat kurang daripada 0.01 apabila saiz silinder berongga dengan kebolehtelapan relatif yang tinggi adalah besar berbanding saiz silinder berongga yang kecil.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of God, the most compassionate and merciful, I would like to express my undying gratitude to my parents for their support. My token of gratitude goes to the chairman of the supervisory committee, Assc. Prof. Dr. Zulkifly Abbas for his guidance, suggestions, and willingness to help. The same goes to Dr. Nurul Huda Osman for her advice and supervision. Finally, I would also like to thank the INSPEM staffs especially Puan Nurul Hidayah for their assistance and postgraduate students Fatanah Deraman, Nor Zakiah Yahaya, Mohd Faiz Zainuddin, Mohd Fahmiruddin Esa, Rahimah Mustapha Zahari and Parnia at the Microwave Laboratory at the Department of Physics in UPM.



I certify that an Examination Committee has met on _____ to conduct the final examination of Ng Tze Wei on his thesis entitled “Convergence And Error Study Of Different Basis And Testing Functions In Method Of Moments Applied To Electromagnetic Wave Scattering From Dielectric Objects” in accordance with Universities and University Collage Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A)106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Chairman, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Examiner 1, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Examiner 2, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

External Examiner, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(External Examiner)

SEOW HENG FONG, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Zulkifly Abbas, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Nurul Huda Osman, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)



BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by University Putra Malaysia , as according to the University Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the University Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the University Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the University Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature : _____ Date : _____

Name and Matric No: _____

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the University Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature : _____
Name of
Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee : _____

Signature : _____
Name of
Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee : _____



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxiii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxiv
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of the study	1
1.2 Dielectric properties of materials	1
1.3 Computational Electromagnetics	2
1.4 Method of moments as applied to electromagnetic problems	2
1.5 Problem statement	3
1.6 Research Objectives	3
1.7 Thesis outline	4
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Scattering of waves by conducting and dielectric objects	5
2.2 Shortcomings of experimental method for scattering problems	5
2.3 Modelling of two dimensional scattering problems	5
2.4 Analytical Methods	6
2.5 Numerical techniques	6
2.5.1 Finite Element Method (FEM)	6
2.5.2 Finite Difference Time Domain (FDTD)	8
2.5.3 Method of Moments (MoM)	9
2.5.4 Comparison of Numerical Methods	10
2.6 Different basis and testing functions in the MoM	11
2.6.1 Summary of work done before	11
2.6.2 Shortcomings of previous work	11
3 THEORY	
3.1 Basic Electromagnetic Theory	14
3.1.1 Maxwell's equation	15
3.1.2 Electromagnetic Vector Potentials	15
3.1.3 General integral equation for scattering by dielectric object	16
3.2 Scattering from Two Dimensional Object Using Different Formulations	17
3.2.1 Dielectric coated conducting cylinder	18
3.2.2 Hollow dielectric cylinder	19
3.2.3 Dielectric coated impedance cylinder	21
3.3 Solving set of integral equations using MoM	22
3.4 Extraction of commonly used MoM generic integrals	23
3.5 Calculation of radar cross section	24

3.6	Subsectional basis and testing functions	24
3.7	Analytical method using exact eigenfunction solution	27
3.8	Connection between the MoM and the exact eigenfunction	28
4	METHODOLOGY	
4.1	Calculation using different numerical implementations	31
4.1.1	Gauss quadrature	31
4.1.2	Staircase approximation	33
4.2	Convergence under different numerical implementations	34
4.3	Variation of error between different computing techniques	35
4.4	Effect of different surface boundary conditions on variation of error	36
4.5	Effect of object size on the convergence	37
4.6	Computational Procedures of the MoM using MATLAB	37
4.6.1	The Program Flow and Flow Diagram for the MoM	37
4.6.2	Construction of input data	37
4.6.3	Calculation of impedance matrix elements using MATLAB	39
4.7	Computational Procedures of the Exact Eigenfunction	40
4.7.1	The Program Flow and Flow Diagram	40
4.7.2	Calculation of Fields and Radar Cross Section	40
5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	
5.1	Validation of exact eigenfunction and method of moments	42
5.2	Convergence of MoM under different numerical implementations	46
5.2.1	Gauss quadrature	47
5.2.2	Staircase approximation	63
5.2.3	Discussion of results	79
5.3	Comparison of variation of error between Gauss quadrature and staircase approximation technique	81
5.3.1	Dielectric coated conducting cylinder	82
5.3.2	Hollow dielectric cylinder	91
5.3.3	Discussion of results	99
5.4	Variation of error under different surface boundary conditions	100
5.4.1	TE Scattering	101
5.4.2	TM Scattering	110
5.4.3	Discussion of results	118
5.5	Effect of size on convergence of high permittivity object	120
5.5.1	TE Scattering	120
5.5.2	TM Scattering	130
5.5.3	Discussion of results	138
6	CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	
6.1	Main Contributions	140
6.2	Recommendations for Further Work	141
	REFERENCES	142
	APPENDICES	148
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	213
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	214

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Summary of different basis and testing functions for circular conducting cylinder	13
5.1	Calculated mean relative error of (a) dielectric coated conducting cylinder and (b) hollow dielectric cylinder using staircase approximation	44
5.2	Calculated mean relative error of (a) dielectric coated conducting cylinder and (b) hollow dielectric cylinder using Gauss quadrature	45
5.3	Variation of electric current error, ΔJ_{Gauss} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 77.3-j37.2$	48
5.4	Variation of magnetic current error, ΔM_{Gauss} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 77.3-j37.2$	49
5.5	Variation of radar cross section error, ΔRCS_{Gauss} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 77.3-j37.2$	50
5.6	Variation of electric current error, ΔJ_{Gauss} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$	52
5.7	Variation of magnetic current error, ΔM_{Gauss} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$	53
5.8	Variation of radar cross section error, ΔRCS_{Gauss} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$	54
5.9	Variation of electric current error, ΔJ_{Gauss} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 77.3-j37.2$	56
5.10	Variation of magnetic current error, ΔM_{Gauss} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 77.3-j37.2$	57
5.11	Variation of radar cross section error, ΔRCS_{Gauss} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 77.3-j37.2$	58

5.12	Variation of electric current error, ΔJ_{Gauss} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$	60
5.13	Variation of magnetic current error, ΔM_{Gauss} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$	61
5.14	Variation of radar cross section error, ΔRCS_{Gauss} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$	62
5.15	Variation of electric current error, ΔJ_{stair} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 77.3-j37.2$	64
5.16	Variation of magnetic current error, ΔM_{stair} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 77.3-j37.2$	65
5.17	Variation of radar cross section error, ΔRCS_{stair} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 77.3-j37.2$	66
5.18	Variation of electric current error, ΔJ_{stair} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$	68
5.19	Variation of magnetic current error, ΔM_{stair} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$	69
5.20	Variation of radar cross section error, ΔRCS_{stair} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$	70
5.21	Variation of electric current error, ΔJ_{stair} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 77.3-j37.2$	72
5.22	Variation of magnetic current error, ΔM_{stair} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 77.3-j37.2$	73
5.23	Variation of radar cross section error, ΔRCS_{stair} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 77.3-j37.2$	74

5.24	Variation of electric current error, ΔJ_{stair} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$	76
5.25	Variation of magnetic current error, ΔM_{stair} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$	77
5.26	Variation of radar cross section error, ΔRCS_{stair} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$	78
5.27	Samples per wavelength required for ΔJ_{Gauss} to be less than ΔJ_{stair} , ΔM_{Gauss} to be less than ΔM_{stair} and ΔRCS_{Gauss} to be less than ΔRCS_{stair} in TE case	82
5.28	Samples per wavelength required for ΔJ_{Gauss} to be less than ΔJ_{stair} , ΔM_{Gauss} to be less than ΔM_{stair} and ΔRCS_{Gauss} to be less than ΔRCS_{stair} in TM case	83
5.29	Calculated ΔJ_{diff} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 77.3-j37.2$	84
5.30	Calculated ΔM_{diff} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 77.3-j37.2$	85
5.31	Calculated ΔRCS_{diff} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 77.3-j37.2$	86
5.32	Calculated ΔJ_{diff} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$	88
5.33	Calculated ΔM_{diff} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$	89
5.34	Calculated ΔRCS_{diff} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$	90
5.35	Calculated ΔJ_{diff} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 77.3-j37.2$	92
5.36	Calculated ΔM_{diff} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 77.3-j37.2$	93
5.37	Calculated ΔRCS_{diff} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 77.3-j37.2$	94

5.38	Calculated ΔJ_{diff} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$	96
5.39	Calculated ΔM_{diff} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$	97
5.40	Calculated ΔRCS_{diff} for (a) TE and (b) TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$	98
5.41	Variation of electric current error, ΔJ_{Gauss} for TE scattering from dielectric coated impedance cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$ using (a) EBC (b) IBC	103
5.42	Variation of magnetic current error, ΔM_{Gauss} for TE scattering from dielectric coated impedance cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$ using (a) EBC and (b) IBC	104
5.43	Variation of radar cross section error, ΔRCS_{Gauss} for TE from dielectric coated impedance cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$ using (a) EBC and (b) IBC	105
5.44	Variation of electric current error, ΔJ_{Gauss} for TE scattering from dielectric coated impedance cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 75-j300$ using (a) EBC and (b) IBC	107
5.45	Variation of magnetic current error, ΔM_{Gauss} for TE scattering from dielectric coated impedance cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 75-j300$ using (a) EBC and (b) IBC	108
5.46	Variation of radar cross section error, ΔRCS_{Gauss} for TE from dielectric coated impedance cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 75-j300$ using (a) EBC and (b) IBC	109
5.47	Variation of electric current error, ΔJ_{Gauss} for TM scattering from dielectric coated impedance cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$ using (a) EBC and (b) IBC	111
5.48	Variation of magnetic current error, ΔM_{Gauss} for TM scattering from dielectric coated impedance cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$ using (a) EBC and (b) IBC	112
5.49	Variation of radar cross section error, ΔRCS_{Gauss} for TM from dielectric coated impedance cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 33.2-j124.17$ using (a) EBC and (b) IBC	113

5.50	Variation of electric current error, ΔJ_{Gauss} for TM scattering from dielectric coated impedance cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 75-j300$ using (a) EBC and (b) IBC	115
5.51	Variation of magnetic current error, ΔM_{Gauss} for TM scattering from dielectric coated impedance cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 75-j300$ using (a) EBC and (b) IBC	116
5.52	Variation of radar cross section error, ΔRCS_{Gauss} for TM from dielectric coated impedance cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 75-j300$ using (a) EBC and (b) IBC	117
5.53	Variation of electric current error, ΔJ_{Gauss} for TE scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 54.2-j61.3$ for (a) small and (b) large size object	122
5.54	Variation of electric current error, ΔM_{Gauss} for TE scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 54.2-j61.3$ for (a) small and (b) large size object	123
5.55	Variation of electric current error, ΔRCS_{Gauss} for TE scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 54.2-j61.3$ for (a) small and (b) large size object	124
5.56	Variation of electric current error, ΔJ_{Gauss} for TE scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 75.7-j67.1$ for (a) small and (b) large size object	127
5.57	Variation of electric current error, ΔM_{Gauss} for TE scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 75.7-j67.1$ for (a) small and (b) large size object	128
5.58	Variation of electric current error, ΔRCS_{Gauss} for TE scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 75.7-j67.1$ for (a) small and (b) large size object	129
5.59	Variation of electric current error, ΔJ_{Gauss} for TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 54.2-j61.3$ for (a) small and (b) large size object	131
5.60	Variation of electric current error, ΔM_{Gauss} for TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 54.2-j61.3$ for (a) small and (b) large size object	132
5.61	Variation of electric current error, ΔRCS_{Gauss} for TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 54.2-j61.3$ for (a) small and (b) large size object	133

5.62	Variation of electric current error, ΔJ_{Gauss} for TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 75.7-j67.1$ for (a) small and (b) large size object	135
5.63	Variation of electric current error, ΔM_{Gauss} for TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 75.7-j67.1$ for (a) small and (b) large size object	136
5.64	Variation of electric current error, ΔRCS_{Gauss} for TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder with $\epsilon_r = 75.7-j67.1$ for (a) small and (b) large size object	137
C-1	Computed radar cross section (m) for the (a) TM (b) TE scattering from a dielectric coated conducting cylinder using staircase approximation	168
C-2	Computed radar cross section (m) for the (a) TM (b) TE scattering from a hollow dielectric cylinder using staircase approximation	169
C-3	Computed radar cross section (m) for the (a) TM (b) TE scattering from a dielectric coated conducting cylinder using Gauss quadrature	170
C-4	Computed radar cross section (m) for the (a) TM (b) TE scattering from a hollow dielectric cylinder using Gauss quadrature	171
C-5	Computed magnitude of outer layer electric current density (A/m) for the (a) TM (b) TE scattering from a dielectric coated conducting cylinder using staircase approximation	172
C-6	Computed phase (radians) of outer layer electric current density for the (a) TM (b) TE scattering from a dielectric coated conducting cylinder using staircase approximation	173
C-7	Computed magnitude of outer layer magnetic current density (V/m) for the (a) TM (b) TE scattering from a dielectric coated conducting cylinder using staircase approximation	174
C-8	Computed phase (radians) of outer layer magnetic current density for the (a) TM (b) TE scattering from a dielectric coated conducting cylinder using staircase approximation	175
C-9	Computed magnitude of outer layer electric current density (A/m) for the (a) TM (b) TE scattering from a hollow dielectric cylinder using staircase approximation	176
C-10	Computed phase (radians) of outer layer electric current density for the (a) TM (b) TE scattering from a hollow dielectric cylinder	177

using staircase approximation

C-11	Computed magnitude of outer layer magnetic current density (V/m) for the (a) TM (b) TE scattering from a hollow dielectric cylinder using staircase approximation	178
C-12	Computed phase (radians) of outer layer magnetic current density for the (a) TM (b) TE scattering from a hollow dielectric cylinder using staircase approximation	179
C-13	Computed magnitude of outer layer electric current density (A/m) for the (a) TM (b) TE scattering from a dielectric coated conducting cylinder using Gauss quadrature	180
C-14	Computed phase (radians) of outer layer electric current density for the (a) TM (b) TE scattering from a dielectric coated conducting cylinder using Gauss quadrature	181
C-15	Computed magnitude of outer layer magnetic current density (V/m) for the (a) TM (b) TE scattering from a dielectric coated conducting cylinder using Gauss quadrature	182
C-16	Computed phase (radians) of outer layer magnetic current density for the (a) TM (b) TE scattering from a dielectric coated conducting cylinder using Gauss quadrature	183
C-17	Computed magnitude of outer layer electric current density (A/m) for the (a) TM (b) TE scattering from a hollow dielectric cylinder using Gauss quadrature	184
C-18	Computed phase (radians) of outer layer electric current density for the (a) TM (b) TE scattering from a hollow dielectric cylinder using Gauss quadrature	185
C-19	Computed magnitude of outer layer magnetic current (V/m) density for the (a) TM (b) TE scattering from a hollow dielectric cylinder using Gauss quadrature	186
C-20	Computed phase (radians) of outer layer magnetic current density for the (a) TM (b) TE scattering from a hollow dielectric cylinder using Gauss quadrature	187

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Circular boundary Γ_1 enclosing Ω with boundary Γ (Jin, 1993)	7
2.2	Dielectric object in free space (Jin, 1993)	10
3.1	Flow chart of Chapter 3	14
3.2	Scattering Problem (a) Original (b) Exterior equivalent (c) Interior equivalent (Volakis, 1998)	16
3.3	Dielectric coated conducting cylinder	18
3.4	Hollow dielectric cylinder	19
3.5	Dielectric coated impedance cylinder	21
3.6	(a) Multiple pulse function (b) Superposition of multiple pulse function (Balanis, 1989)	24
3.7	The pulse function of the n -th segment (Arvas, 2009)	25
3.8	(a) Multiple triangle function (b) Superposition of multiple triangle function (Balanis, 1989)	25
3.9	The triangle function of the n -th and $(n+1)$ -th segment (Arvas, 2009)	25
3.10	(a) Multiple sinusoid function (b) Superposition of multiple sinusoid function (Balanis, 1989)	26
3.11	The sinusoid function of the n -th and $(n+1)$ -th segment (Arvas, 2009)	26
3.12	Plane wave incident on a circular cylindrical object (Jin, 2010)	27
4.1	Flow chart of Chapter 4	29
4.2	Linear segmentation of the contour of a two dimensional object (Arvas, 2009)	30
4.3	Triangle basis pulse testing where the m -th testing function overlaps with the n -th basis function	31
4.4	Triangle basis pulse testing where the $(m-1)$ -th testing function overlaps with the n -th basis function	31

4.5	Triangle basis pulse testing where the $(m+1)$ -th testing function overlaps with the n -th basis function	32
4.6	Triangle basis triangle testing function where the m -th testing function overlaps with the n -th basis function	32
4.7	Triangle basis triangle testing where the $(m-1)$ -th testing function overlaps with the n -th basis function	32
4.8	Triangle basis triangle testing where the $(m+1)$ -th testing function overlaps with the n -th basis function	33
4.9	Staircase approximation technique for the (a) pulse (b) triangle (c) sinusoid function using 6 pulses or intervals over segment length h	34
4.10	Flowchart of MoM computational procedure using MATLAB	37
4.11	Flowchart of exact eigenfunction computational procedure using MATLAB	40
D-1	Electric current density relative error for the TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under staircase approximation technique	189
D-2	Magnetic current density relative error for the TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under staircase approximation technique	190
D-3	Radar cross section relative error for the TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under staircase approximation technique	191
D-4	Electric current density relative error for the TE scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under staircase approximation technique	192
D-5	Magnetic current density relative error for the TE scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under staircase approximation technique	193
D-6	Radar cross section relative error for the TE scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST	194

	(d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under staircase approximation technique	
D-7	Electric current density relative error for the TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under staircase approximation technique	195
D-8	Magnetic current density relative error for the TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under staircase approximation technique	196
D-9	Radar cross section relative error for the TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under staircase approximation technique	197
D-10	Electric current density relative error for the TE scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under staircase approximation technique	198
D-11	Magnetic current density relative error for the TE scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under staircase approximation technique	199
D-12	Radar cross section relative error for the TE scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under staircase approximation technique	200
D-13	Electric current density relative error for the TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under Gauss quadrature technique	201
D-14	Magnetic current density relative error for the TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under Gauss quadrature technique	202
D-15	Radar cross section relative error for the TM scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under Gauss quadrature technique	203
D-16	Electric current density relative error for the TE scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under Gauss quadrature technique	204
D-17	Magnetic current density relative error for the TE scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under Gauss quadrature technique	205
D-18	Radar cross section relative error for the TE scattering from dielectric coated conducting cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under Gauss quadrature technique	206

D-19	Electric current density relative error for the TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under Gauss quadrature technique	207
D-20	Magnetic current density relative error for the TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under Gauss quadrature technique	208
D-21	Radar cross section relative error for the TM scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under Gauss quadrature technique	209
D-22	Electric current density relative error for the TE scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under Gauss quadrature technique	210
D-23	Magnetic current density relative error for the TE scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under Gauss quadrature technique	211
D-24	Radar cross section relative error for the TE scattering from hollow dielectric cylinder using (a) SP (b) SS (c) ST (d) TP (e) TS (f) TT method under Gauss quadrature technique	212

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

IEEE	-	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
MHz	-	Mega Hertz
FEM	-	Finite Element Method
MoM	-	Method of Moments
FDTD	-	Finite difference time domain
TM	-	Transverse Magnetic Mode
TE	-	Transverse Electric Mode
EFIE	-	Electric Field Integral Equation
MFIE	-	Magnetic Field Integral Equation
PMCHW	-	Poggio-Muller-Chu-Harrington-Wu
MATLAB	-	Matrix Laboratory
GB	-	Gigabyte
SP	-	Sinusoid Basis Pulse Testing
SS	-	Sinusoid Basis Sinusoid Testing
ST	-	Sinusoid Basis Triangle Testing
TP	-	Triangle Basis Pulse Testing
TS	-	Triangle Basis Sinusoid Testing
TT	-	Triangle Basis Triangle Testing
EBC	-	Exact boundary condition
IBC	-	Impedance boundary condition
PC	-	Personal computer
RCS	-	Radar cross section

LIST OF SYMBOLS

∇^{tan}	-	Tangential component of gradient
$X_{i'j'}^e$	-	Coefficient of the edge connected by node i' and j' of the e -th element
\vec{N}_{ij}^e	-	Vector testing function along node i to node j of the e -th element
$\vec{N}_{i'j'}^e$	-	Vector basis function along node i' to node j' of the e -th element
l_{ij}	-	Length of element from node i to node j
L_j^e	-	Linear pyramid function of j -th node of element e
E_x	-	x-directed electric field
E_y	-	y-directed electric field
E_z	-	Axially or z-directed electric field
H_x	-	x-directed magnetic field
H_y	-	y-directed magnetic field
H_z	-	Axially or z-directed magnetic field
$\sigma^{(m)}$	-	Magnetic conductivity (Ω/m)
Δt	-	Time step
G	-	Green function
G_m	-	Green function of region m
\vec{r}	-	3 dimensional observation vector
\vec{r}'	-	3 dimensional source vector
$\vec{\rho}$	-	2 dimensional observation vector
$\vec{\rho}'$	-	2 dimensional source vector
ϵ_r	-	Relative dielectric constant
ϵ_m	-	Permittivity of region m

μ_0	-	Permeability of free space
μ_m	-	Permeability of region m
ϵ'	-	Dielectric Constant
ϵ''	-	Dielectric Loss Factor
φ	-	Angle with respect to x-axis in the xy plane
μ_r	-	Relative permeability
J_n, Y_n	-	Bessel function of first and second kind
J'_n, Y'_n	-	derivative of Bessel function of first and second kind
$H_0^{(2)}$	-	Hankel function of second kind
\vec{E}	-	Total electric field intensity (V/m)
\vec{H}	-	Total magnetic field intensity (A/m)
\vec{E}^{inc}	-	Incident electric field (V/m)
\vec{H}^{inc}	-	Incident magnetic field (A/m)
\vec{E}_m^s	-	Scattered electric field in region m (V/m)
\vec{H}_m^s	-	Scattered magnetic field in region m (A/m)
S	-	Object contour
\hat{t}	-	Unit tangent vector
\hat{n}	-	Outward unit normal vector
\vec{J}_{de}	-	Outer layer electric current density of dielectric coated cylinder (A/m) on S_{de}
\vec{M}_{de}	-	Outer layer magnetic current density of dielectric coated cylinder (V/m) on S_{de}
\vec{J}_{cd}	-	Inner layer electric current density of dielectric coated cylinder (A/m) on S_{cd}
\vec{J}_{de1}	-	Outer layer electric current density of hollow dielectric cylinder (A/m) on S_{de1}
\vec{M}_{de1}	-	Outer layer magnetic current density of hollow dielectric cylinder (V/m) on S_{de1}

\vec{J}_{de2}	-	Inner layer electric current density of hollow dielectric cylinder (A/m) on S_{de2}
\vec{M}_{de2}	-	Inner layer electric current density of hollow dielectric cylinder (V/m) on S_{de2}
η_0	-	Free space intrinsic impedance
η	-	Surface impedance
η_1	-	Medium intrinsic impedance
β	-	Inverse of surface impedance
J_z	-	Axially directed surface electric current density (A/m)
J_t	-	Transverse directed surface electric current density (A/m)
M_z	-	Axially directed surface magnetic current density (V/m)
M_t	-	Transverse directed surface magnetic current density (V/m)
K_z	-	Axially directed surface current coefficients
K_t	-	Transverse directed surface current coefficients
$[Z]$	-	Impedance matrix
$[V]$	-	Excitation matrix
$[I]$	-	Current coefficient matrix
$Z_{m,n}$	-	Impedance matrix elements
$V_{m,n}$	-	Excitation matrix elements
σ_{TM}	-	Radar cross section for TM case (m)
σ_{TE}	-	Radar radar cross section for TE case (m)
$E_{z,m}$	-	Axially directed electric field in the m -th region
$H_{\phi,m}$	-	Transverse directed magnetic field in the m -th region
K_n	-	Normalisation constant
C_n	-	Hankel function coefficient

$A_{m,n}, B_{m,n}$	-	Field coefficients
k_m	-	Wave number in the m -th region
k_0	-	Free space wave number
r_m	-	Radius of m -th layer
j	-	Square root of (-1)
h	-	Segment length
\vec{T}	-	Weighing function of tangential vector finite elements
d	-	Coating thickness (m)
σ	-	Ionic Conductivity
ω	-	Angular Frequency
v	-	Velocity (m/s)
&	-	And
λ_0	-	Free space wavelength
h	-	Width (m)
L	-	Integral operator
\vec{K}	-	Unknown function
\vec{g}	-	Known excitation
n_λ	-	Samples per wavelength
r	-	Radius
f	-	Frequency
V	-	Excitation
E_t	-	Transverse directed electric field
H_t	-	Transverse directed magnetic field
%	-	Percentage
N	-	Number of linear segmentations

$J_{exact,n}$	-	n-th point electric current density using exact eigenfunction (A/m)
$M_{exact,n}$	-	n-th point magnetic current density using exact eigenfunction (V/m)
$RCS_{exact,n}$	-	n-th point radar cross section using exact eigenfunction (m)
$J_{Gauss,n}$	-	n-th point electric current density using Gauss quadrature (A/m)
$M_{Gauss,n}$	-	n-th point magnetic current density using Gauss quadrature (V/m)
$RCS_{Gauss,n}$	-	n-th point radar cross section using Gauss quadrature (m)
$J_{stair,n}$	-	n-th point electric current density using staircase approximation (A/m)
$M_{stair,n}$	-	n-th point magnetic current density using staircase approximation (V/m)
$RCS_{stair,n}$	-	n-th point radar cross section using staircase approximation (m)
ΔJ_{Gauss}	-	Electric current density mean relative error using Gauss quadrature
ΔM_{Gauss}	-	Magnetic current density mean relative error using Gauss quadrature
ΔRCS_{Gauss}	-	Radar cross section mean relative error using Gauss quadrature
ΔJ_{stair}	-	Electric current density mean relative error using staircase approximation
ΔM_{stair}	-	Magnetic current density mean relative error using staircase approximation
ΔRCS_{stair}	-	Radar cross section mean relative error using staircase approximation
ΔJ_{diff}	-	Difference of electric current density mean relative error between Gauss quadrature and staircase approximation
ΔM_{diff}	-	Difference of magnetic current density mean relative error between Gauss quadrature and staircase approximation
ΔRCS_{diff}	-	Difference of radar cross section mean relative error between Gauss quadrature and staircase approximation



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the study

Electromagnetic problems can be solved using analytical and numerical methods. Among the analytical techniques available are separation of variables, series expansion and conformal mapping which allows solution of simple geometry to be constructed however, not many practical problems could be solved using analytical techniques due to the complex structure which defines the problem (Sadiku, 2000; Ishimaru, 1991). Numerical methods such as the method of moments (MoM), finite difference time domain (FDTD), finite element method (FEM) allows solution of complex electromagnetic problem where analytical solution does not exist, however numerical methods are not as accurate as the analytical techniques (Sadiku, 2000).

Numerical results computed are verified using exact solutions and solutions obtained from other techniques such as physical optics (PO) and geometrical theory of diffraction (GTD) providing the user confidence regarding the accuracy of the numerical solution (Davis and Warnick, 2005). Numerical methods are required to solve electromagnetic problems that do not have exact solutions (Sadiku, 2000). Hence, the efficiency of numerical EM solutions has to be investigated. In this thesis, a particular method known as the method of moments (MoM) is utilised to solve electromagnetic scattering problems.

The purpose of this work is to perform investigation on the convergence and variation of error using the method of moments due to different basis and testing functions on dielectric objects. The basis function is the function that represents the source current in the MoM whilst the testing function is the function used to match the observation points on the scatterer using an integral equation (Balanis, 1989). The analysis is valuable because it provides a quantitative understanding of how different basis and testing functions can affect the convergence and variation of error of the numerical solution. Therefore, with the appropriate selection of basis and testing functions, the uncertainties in the numerical solution can be minimised, and therefore this allows faster convergence of numerical solutions using a smaller impedance matrix size.

1.2 Dielectric properties of materials

To understand the physical processes associated with various radio frequency and microwave devices, it is necessary to know the dielectric properties of media that interact with EM waves (Ishimaru, 1991). The dielectric properties are intrinsic properties that are expressed in a complex form as the relative complex permittivity $\epsilon_r = \epsilon_r' - j\epsilon_r''$ (Balanis, 1989). The real part (the dielectric constant) is associated with the capability of a material to store energy whereas the imaginary part (the loss factor) is associated with loss of electric field energy in the material, which is usually dissipated as heat (Nyfors and Vainikainen, 1989). One of the most important parameters that is used to describe dielectrics is the ratio ϵ_r' to ϵ_r'' , the loss tangent, $\tan(\epsilon_r''/\epsilon_r')$.

Lossy materials have high loss factor or loss tangent values where high loss factor is due to material absorption (Balanis, 1989). Good examples of lossy material are

ferrites due to its ability to absorb microwave signals and microwave signals are strongly absorbed by the water molecules (Nyfors and Vainikainen, 1989). The dielectric properties in liquid are described by the single-relaxation Debye model and are useful for non-destructive determination of important characteristics such as scattering cross section and variations of complex dielectric permittivity over a wide frequency range are important for communication and radar devices (Nyfors and Vainikainen, 1989).

1.3 Computational Electromagnetics

Computational electromagnetics deals with the art and science of solving Maxwell's equations using electronic computers and numerical methods (Umashankar and Taflove, 1991). The MoM technique is based on the systematic functional space description and the FDTD solves Maxwell's time dependent curl equations whilst the FEM approximates the solution of partial differential equations by converting them into a set of linear equations (Umashankar and Taflove, 1991). Geometrical optics is based on electromagnetic waves that propagate as optical rays (Jin, 2010). In the geometrical theory of diffraction, the solution obtained is added to the geometrical optics solution. The geometrical optic solution is found through an approximate asymptotic solution for the diffracted field using a straight edge at normal incidence that is then extended to oblique incidences and curved edges (Jin, 2010).

Using the uniform theory of diffraction (UTD), in the geometrical theory of diffraction, the discontinuous field is compensated by a transition function that is obtained using a more accurate evaluation of the diffracted field (Jin, 2010). In the physical optics, induced current is approximated to be equal to twice the magnetic field on the illuminated side of the surface and is approximated to be equal to zero on the dark side of the surface that is improved by the physical theory of diffraction by taking the effect of edges into account (Jin, 2010).

Maxwell's theory can predict the experimental outcomes if Maxwell's equations are solved correctly (Jin, 2010). Therefore, there is always a quest to solve Maxwell's equations accurately using numerical methods for increasingly complex problems. Early analyses were carried out for simple shapes such as spheres and cylinders after the establishment of Maxwell's theory (Umashankar and Taflove, 1991). Solutions to more complex geometries were needed and as a result, approximate techniques were developed to solve Maxwell's equations (Jin, 2010).

1.4 Method of moments as applied to electromagnetic problems

One of the numerical techniques to solve electromagnetic scattering problem, namely the method of moments (MoM) is reviewed in this section. The MoM is used for the analysis of electromagnetic radiation and scattering in antenna design, remote sensing, and other applications (Davis and Warnick, 2005). With increasing complexity of electromagnetic problems, computer simulation is needed to predict the behaviour of such systems by using sophisticated techniques developed to solve electromagnetic problems (Jin, 2010).

With the development of computers and programming languages, electromagnetic problems that cannot be solved analytically has received attention as solutions to more complex geometries were needed (Gibson, 2007; Davidson, 2005; Sadiku,

2000). The equations must be discretised using an appropriate numerical technique so that the equations can be programmed on a computer and in the MoM, the integral equations are linearised by converting the integral operators into matrix equation (Harrington, 1968) where the equations then solved for the basis function coefficients. These are then used to calculate important parameters such as the radar cross section (Peterson *et al.*, 1998).

1.5 Problem statement

The triangle basis pulse testing (TP), triangle basis triangle testing (TT), sinusoid basis pulse testing (SP), and sinusoid basis sinusoid testing (SS) have been used for scattering from conducting cylinder and wire antenna analysis (Davis and Warnick, 2004; Peterson *et al.*, 1998; Klein and Mittra, 1975). Commonly used basis and testing functions in two dimensional scattering from conducting cylinder are the triangle basis pulse testing and triangle basis triangle testing (Davis and Warnick, 2004; Peterson *et al.*, 1998). The sinusoid basis pulse testing and sinusoid basis sinusoid testing functions are typically used in wire antenna analysis (Peterson *et al.*, 1998; Klein and Mittra, 1975). However, to-date no intercomparison work has been done to test the actual performance of all the different basis and testing functions for dielectric object. Implementation of MoM demands detailed study on the variation of error, convergence and matrix size requirements when different basis and testing functions are utilised on dielectric object.

Selection of appropriate basis and testing functions may result in faster convergence by using a smaller impedance matrix size (Alad and Chakrabarty, 2012). Therefore, a comparative study on the effect of different basis and testing functions towards the variation of error with samples per wavelength for dielectric scatterers is worthwhile because the basis and testing functions that give faster convergence can be selected to save memory requirements and computation time. In addition, different computing techniques can give different convergence rate and memory usage even though the numerical technique used is the same (Jin, 2010). This may depend on the basis and testing functions utilised where the effect of different numerical implementations has yet to be investigated.

The efficiency in minimizing the error of the numerical solution affects the variation of error with samples per wavelength. Since different computing techniques can give different efficiencies in the minimizing the error even though the same basis and testing functions are utilised, the effect of different basis and testing functions on the difference in the variation of error between different computing techniques has yet to be examined. The effect of different boundary conditions may affect the convergence of numerical solutions and this has yet to be tested on different basis and testing functions. For high permittivity dielectric object, the total number of surface electric and magnetic current densities is high which will result in the use of large MoM impedance matrix size. The effect of object size on the convergence due to different basis and testing functions has yet to be investigated for high permittivity purely dielectric object.

1.6 Research Objectives

The comparative study of different basis and testing functions consists of several objectives. The main objectives are to evaluate the effect of different numerical implementations, different boundary conditions and size effect on the convergence

and variation of error of the surface current densities and the radar cross section when different basis and testing functions are used on different integral equations in the transverse electric (TE) and transverse magnetic (TM) scattering from dielectric objects. The objectives of this work are as follows

1. To determine the effect of high permittivity dielectric objects on the convergence due to different basis and testing functions under different numerical implementations.
2. To examine the effect of different basis and testing functions on the difference in the variation of error between different computing techniques.
3. To evaluate the effect of different surface boundary conditions on the convergence due to different basis and testing functions for impedance coated objects.
4. To analyze the effect of object size on the convergence due to different basis and testing functions for high permittivity purely dielectric objects.

1.7 Thesis outline

This thesis consists of five main components that are described separately in the following five chapters: Chapter 2 critically reviews and discusses some previous research and summarises the application of MoM in electromagnetic scattering problems. Chapter 3 discusses the theoretical computation of surface current densities and radar cross sections of different dielectric objects using different integral equations; namely the electric field integral equation (EFIE), magnetic field integral equation (MFIE), Poggio-Muller-Chu-Harrington-Wu (PMCHW) and Muller integral equation. Chapter 4 describes the evaluation of generic integrals using different basis and testing functions using different numerical implementations and boundary conditions as well as the construction of program structure using MATLAB for the MoM and exact eigenfunction. Chapter 5 focuses on results and discussions of the objectives outlined in Section 1.6. Chapter 6 summarizes the contributions and the need for further studies. Appendices contain the details of the computer program for the understanding of Chapters 3 and 4.

REFERENCES

- Alad, R. H., Chakrabarty, S. B. (2012). Investigation of Different Basis and Testing Functions in Method of Moments for Electrostatic Problems. *PIERS B*, vol. 44, 31-52.
- Alad, R. H., Chakrabarty, S.B. (2013). Capacitance and surface charge distribution computations for a satellite modeled as a rectangular cuboid and two plates. *Journal of Electrostatics*. 71:1005–1010.
- Andreasen, M. G. (1964). Scattering from parallel metallic cylinders with arbitrary cross section. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* 12:746-754.
- Arvas, E., Sarkar, T. K. (1989). RCS of Two-Dimensional Structures Consisting of both Dielectrics and Conductors of Arbitrary Cross Section. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.* 31:546-554.
- Arvas, E., Qian, Y., Sarkar, T.K., Aslan, F. (1989a). TE scattering from a conducting cylinder of arbitrary cross-section covered by multiple layers of lossy dielectrics. *Microwaves, Antennas and Propagat. IEE Proceedings H*. 136:425-430.
- Arvas, E., Qian, Y., Sadigh, A. and Sarkar, T. K. (1989b). E-Field and H-Field Solutions of TE Scattering from Multiple Conducting and Dielectric Cylinders of Arbitrary Cross Section. *Journal of Electromagnetic Waves and Applications*. 3: 513-530.
- Arvas, S. (2009). *A Method of Moments Analysis of Microstructured Optical Fibers*. PhD Thesis, Syracuse University.
- Ayyildiz, K. (2006). *Surface Integral Solution of Chiral Loaded Waveguides of Arbitrary Cross Section*, PhD Thesis, Syracuse University.
- Balanis, C. A. (1989). *Advanced Engineering Electromagnetics.*, New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Bao, J., Wang, D., Yung, K. N. (2010). Electromagnetic Scattering from an Arbitrarily Shaped Bi-Isotropic Body of Revolution. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.* 58:1689-1698.
- Beker, B., Umashankar, K. R., Taflove, A. (1989). Numerical Analysis and Validation of the Combined Field Surface Integral Equations for Electromagnetic Scattering by Arbitrarily Shaped Two-Dimensional Anisotropic Objects. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* 37:1573-1581.
- Beker, B., Umashankar, K. R., Taflove, A. (1990) Electromagnetic Scattering by Arbitrarily Shaped Two-Dimensional Perfectly Conducting Objects Coated With Homogeneous Anisotropic Materials. *Electromagnetics*. 10:387-406.

- Booton, R. C., Jr. (1992). *Computational Methods for Electromagnetic and Microwaves*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Bossavit, A. and Verite, J.C. (1982). A mixed FEM – BIEM method to solve 3-D eddy current problems, *IEEE Trans. Magnetics*. 18:431-435.
- Bussey, H. E. and Richmond, J. H. (1975). Scattering by a Lossy Dielectric Circular Cylindrical Multilayer, Numerical Values. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* 723- 725.
- Cangellaris, A. C., Lee, R. (1990). The bymoment method for two-dimensional electromagnetic scattering. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* 38:1429- 1437.
- Chew, W. C. (1995). *Waves and Fields in Inhomogeneous Media*. Piscataway, NJ: IEEE Press.
- Collin, R. E. (2000). *Foundations for Microwave Engineering*. New York: Wiley.
- Davidson, D. B. (2005). *Computational Electromagnetic for RF and Microwave Engineering*. UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Davis, C. P., Warnick, K. F. (2004). High-Order Convergence with a low-order Discretization of the 2-D MFIE. *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagat. Letters*. 3:355-358.
- Davis, C. P., Warnick, K. F. (2005). Error Analysis of 2-D MoM for MFIE/EFIE/CFIE Based on the Circular Cylinder. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propogat.* 53:321-331.
- Gedney, S. D. (2011). *Introduction to Finite Difference Time Domain (FDTD) for Electromagnetics*. Morgan and Claypot Publisher Series.
- Gibson, W. C. (2007). *The Method of Moments in Electromagnetics*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton.
- Harrington, R. F. (1961). *Time Harmonic Electromagnetic Fields*. New York: IEEE Press, Wiley.
- Harrington, R. F. (1968). *Field Computation by Moment Methods*. New York: IEEE Press, Wiley.
- Hatamzadeh-Varmazyar, S., Naser-Moghadasi, M., Masouri, Z. (2008). A moment method simulation of electromagnetic scattering from conducting bodies. *PIERS*, vol. 81:99-119.
- Huddleston, P. L., Medgyesi – Mitschang, L. N., Putnam, J. M. (1986). Combined Field Integral Equation Formulation for Scattering by Dielectrically Coated Conducting Bodies. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* 34:510-520.

- Ikediala, J. N., Hansen, J. D., Tang, J., Drake, S. R. and Wang, S. (2002). Development of a saline water immersion technique with RF energy as a postharvest treatment against codling moth in cherries. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*. 24(2):209-221.
- Ishimaru, A. (1991). *Electromagnetic Wave Propagation, Radiation and Scattering*. New York : Prentice Hall.
- Jin, J. M. (1993). *The finite element method in electromagnetics*. John Wiley and Sons.
- Jin, J. M., Riley D. J. (2009). *Finite Element Analysis of Antenna and Arrays*. Wiley IEEE Press.
- Jin, J. M. (2010). *Theory and Computation of Electromagnetic Fields*. John Wiley and Sons.
- Ikediala J. N., Hansen J. D., Tang, J., Drake, S. R., Wang, S. (2002). Development of a saline water immersion technique with RF energy as a postharvest treatment against codling moth in cherries. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*. 24: 209-221.
- Kishk, A.A., Shafai, L. (1986). Different formulations for numerical solution of single or multibodies of revolution with mixed boundary conditions. *IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Propagat.* 34: 666-673.
- Kishk, A. A. (1991). Electromagnetic Scattering from Composite Objects Using a Mixture of Exact and Impedance Boundary Conditions. *IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Propagat.* 39:826-833.
- Kishk, A. A., Abouzahra, M. (1992). Electromagnetic scattering form radially or axially inhomogeneous objects. *ACES Journal*. 7:4-23.
- Klein, C. A., Mittra, R. (1975). The Effect of Different Testing Functions in the Moment Method Solution of Thin-Wire Antenna Problems. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* 39:258-261.
- Kottmann, J. P., Martin, O. J. F. (2000). Accurate Solution of the Volume Integral Equation for High-Permittivity Scatterers. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* 48:1719-1726
- Maloney, J. G., Smith G.S., Scott, W.R. Jr (1990). Accurate computation of the radiation from simple antennas using the finite difference time domain method. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* 38:1059-1068.
- Matthews, J. H., Fink, K. D. (1999). *Numerical methods using MATLAB*. London: Prentice Hall.

- Mautz, J. R., Harrington, R. F. (1978). H-field, E-field, and combined-field solutions for conducting body of revolution. *AEÜ – Int. Journal of Electronics and Communication*. 32:157-164.
- Mautz, J. and Harrington, R. (1979). Electromagnetic scattering from a homogeneous material body of revolution. *AEÜ – Int. Journal of Electronics and Communication*. 33:71-80.
- Mei, K. K., Bladel, J. V. (1963). Scattering by perfectly conducting rectangular cylinders. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* 11:185-192.
- Mei, K. K. (1974). Unimoment method of solving antenna and scattering problems. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* 22:760-766.
- Mehta, P. D., Chakrabarty, S. B. (2011). Capacitance of Dielectric-Coated Metallic Bodies Isolated in Free Space. *Electromagnetics*. 31:294-314.
- Mitra, R. (1973). *Computer Techniques for Electromagnetics*. Elmsford, NY: Pergamon Press.
- Morita, N., Kumagai, N., Mautz, J. R. (1990). *Integral Equation Methods for Electromagnetics*. Boston: Artech House.
- Nakano, H., Kawano, T., Kozono, Y., Yamauchi, J. (2005). A fast MoM calculation technique using sinusoidal basis and testing functions for a wire on a dielectric substrate and its application to meander loop and grid array antennas. *IEEE Trans. Antennas and Propag.* 53:3300-3307.
- Nyfors, E. and Vainikainen, P. (1989). *Industrial microwave sensors*. Norwood, MA: Artech House, Inc.
- Ouda, M. (2010). Efficient Capacitance Matrix Computation of Large Conducting Bodies using the Characteristic Basis Function Method. *Journal of Applied Sciences*. 10: 1622-1626.
- Peterson, A. F. (1994). Application of volume discretisation methods to oblique scattering from high contrast penetrable cylinders. *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory and Techniques*. 42(4):686-689.
- Peterson, A. F., Ray, S. L. and Mitra, R. (1998). *Computational Methods for Electromagnetics*. New York: IEEE Press.
- Rao, S. M., Wilton, D.R. Wilton, Glisson, A. W. (1982). Electromagnetic Scattering by Surface of Arbitrary Shape. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* 30:409-418.
- Rao, S. M., Arvas, E. and Sarkar, T. K. (1987). Combined Field Solution for TM Scattering from Multiple Conducting and Dielectric Cylinders of Arbitrary Cross Section. *IEEE Trans. on Antennas & Propagat.* 35:447-451.
- Rao, S. M. (1999). *Time Domain Electromagnetics*. Engineering Academic Press.

- Richmond, J.H. (1965). Scattering by a dielectric cylinder of arbitrary cross section shape. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* 13:334-341.
- Richmond, J.H. (1966). TE wave scattering by a dielectric cylinder of arbitrary cross section shape. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* 14:460-464.
- Rui, X., Hu, J., Liu, Q. H. (2010). Fast inhomogeneous plane wave algorithm for analysis of composite bodies of revolution. *PIERS*, vol. 108, 235-247.
- Sadiku, M. N. O. (2000). *Numerical techniques in electromagnetics*. London: CRC Press, Florida.
- Sarkar, T. K. (1985). A note on the choice weighting functions in the method of moments. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* 33:436-441.
- Sarkar, T. K., Djordjevic A. R. , Arvas E. (1986). On the choice of expansion and weighting functions in the numerical solution of operator equations. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* 33:988-996.
- Shafai, L., Moheb, H., Kishk A. A. (1991). Multiple formulations for solution verification in numerical handling of antenna analysis and design problems. *IEEE Antennas and Propagat. Magazine* 33:7-16.
- Silvester P. P, Ferrari, R. L. (1996). *Finite Elements for Electrical Engineers*, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Shi, Y., Chan, C. H. (2009). Solution to electromagnetic scattering by bi-isotropic media using multilevel green's function interpolation method. *PIERS*, 97: 259-274.
- Stratton, J. A. (1941). *Electromagnetic Theory*. New York : McGraw-Hill.
- Sullivan, D. M. (2000). *Electromagnetic Simulation Using the FDTD Method*, Wiley-IEEE Press.
- Taflove, A., Hagness, S. C. (2000). *Computational Electrodynamics: The Finite Difference Time Domain Method*. Boston, MA Artech House.
- Umashankar, K. R., Taflove A. (1991). *Computational Electromagnetics*. Boston: Artech House.
- Volakis, J. L., Chatterjee, Kempel, L. C. (1998). *Finite Element Method for Electromagnetics: Antennas, Microwave Circuits and Scattering Applications*. Wiley Interscience, IEEE Press.
- Wang, J. J. H. (1991). *Generalised Moment Methods in Electromagnetics*. Wiley Interscience.
- Wu, T. K., Tsai, L. L. (1977). Scattering by arbitrarily cross sectioned layered lossy dielectric cylinders. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* 25:518-524.

- Wu, Z. H., Yung, K.N., Wang, D. X., Bao, J. (2012). Electromagnetic Scattering From General Bi-Isotropic Objects Using Time-Domain Integral Equations Combined With PMCHWT Formulations. *IEEE Trans. Antennas and Propagat.* 60:941-951.
- Yee, K. S. (1966). Numerical solution of initial boundary value problems involving Maxwell's equation in isotropic media. *IEEE Trans. Antennas and Propagat.* 14:302-307.
- Yifen, W., Timothy, D. W., Juming, T. and Linnea, M. H. (2003). Dielectric properties of foods relevant to RF and microwave pasteurization and sterilization. *Journal of Food Engineering.* 57:257-268.

