



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***SIMULTANEOUS SACCHARIFICATION AND FERMENTATION OF SAGO  
HAMPAS INTO BIOBUTANOL BY *Clostridium acetobutylicum* ATCC  
824***

**HAZWANI BINTI HUSIN**

**FBSB 2018 28**



**SIMULTANEOUS SACCHARIFICATION AND FERMENTATION OF SAGO  
HAMPAS INTO BIOBUTANOL BY *Clostridium acetobutylicum* ATCC 824**

By

**HAZWANI BINTI HUSIN**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the  
Degree of Master of Science**

**May 2018**

## **COPYRIGHT**

All materials contained within the thesis, including, without limitation of text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in  
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**SIMULTANEOUS SACCHARIFICATION AND FERMENTATION OF SAGO  
HAMPAS INTO BIOBUTANOL BY *Clostridium acetobutylicum* ATCC 824**

By

**HAZWANI BINTI HUSIN**

**May 2018**

**Chairman : Mohamad Faizal Ibrahim, PhD**  
**Faculty : Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences**

The increasing prices of the petrol have driven the researchers towards the utilisation of various renewable resources for biofuel production. Renewable resources such as sago hampas composed of 86.3% potential sugars from starch and lignocellulosic materials with only 3.3% of lignin. High carbohydrate contents, low percentage of lignin content and no pretreatment process is required, make the sago hampas as a promising feedstock for biofuel production including biobutanol. Biobutanol can be produced through acetone-butanol-ethanol (ABE) fermentation by *Clostridium* species. Conventional separate hydrolysis and fermentation (SHF) provides desired amount of sugars but requires multiple processing steps and long processing duration. Therefore, simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (SSF) approach was carried out in biobutanol production. SSF process combines saccharification and fermentation in a single vessel, thus reduces steps, costs and time in biobutanol production. Improvement in SSF process was done due to 'solid effect' when high substrate concentration was used with the aim of giving better biobutanol productions.

This research highlights on potential to convert our country's underutilised sago hampas into sustainable biobutanol. The optimisation of the saccharification to produce high fermentable sugars yield that affects SSF of biobutanol production was conducted. Enzymatic saccharification of sago hampas was performed by three different approaches, which were the saccharification of sago hampas using 71.4 U/g<sub>substrate</sub> of Dextrozyme glucoamylase, 20 FPU/g<sub>substrate</sub> of Acremonium cellulase and mixture of both. Results showed that, mixture of Dextrozyme glucoamylase and Acremonium cellulase gave the highest reducing sugars concentration with 67.0 g/L. Saccharification of sago hampas was conducted at the conditions needed for acetone-butanol-ethanol (ABE) fermentation (37°C, 150 rpm, anaerobic condition) produced up to 63.2 g/L of reducing sugars. The normal SSF process by *Clostridium acetobutylicum* ATCC

824 produced 6.75 g/L of ABE with biobutanol concentration of 3.81 g/L and yield of 0.11 g/g<sub>sugar</sub>. Then, sequential saccharification and simultaneous fermentation (SSSF) was conducted to reduce the solid load in SSF. However, the biobutanol concentration and productivity produced were low about 0.83 g/L and 0.00 g/L.h. In order to improve the biobutanol concentration and productivity, delayed simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (DSSF) was introduced. DSSF has better efficiency since the enzymes and microbe were operated at their optimal conditions. This fermentation generated a biobutanol concentration of 4.62 g/L and 0.5-fold higher biobutanol productivity than normal SSF. In this study, it suggested that the DSSF has the potential to be implemented for the production of biobutanol from sago hampas.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

**SAKARIFIKASI DAN FERMENTASI SERENTAK HAMPAS SAGU KEPADA  
BIOBUTANOL OLEH *Clostridium acetobutylicum* ATCC 824**

Oleh

**HAZWANI BINTI HUSIN**

Mei 2018

**Pengerusi : Mohamad Faizal Ibrahim, PhD**  
**Fakulti : Bioteknologi dan Sains Biomolekul**

Harga pasaran petrol semasa yang kian meningkat telah mendorong penyelidik ke arah penggunaan pelbagai sumber yang boleh diperbaharui untuk pengeluaran bahan api biologi. Sumber yang boleh diperbaharui seperti hampas sagu mengandungi 86.3% gula potensi daripada kanji dan bahan lignoselulosa dengan lignin hanya 3.3%. Kandungan karbohidrat yang tinggi, peratusan kandungan lignin yang rendah dan tiada proses prarawatan yang diperlukan, membuatkan hampas sagu mempunyai potensi sebagai stok suapan untuk pengeluaran bahan api biologi termasuk biobutanol. Biobutanol boleh dihasilkan melalui fermentasi aseton-butanol-etanol (ABE) oleh spesies *Clostridium*. Proses konvensional hidrolisis dan fermentasi terasing (HFT) boleh memberikan jumlah gula yang diingini, tetapi mempunyai beberapa langkah pemprosesan dan mengambil jangka masa yang lama untuk diproses. Oleh itu, pendekatan sakarifikasi dan fermentasi serentak (SFS) telah dijalankan dalam pengeluaran biobutanol. Proses SFS menggabungkan sakarifikasi dan fermentasi didalam satu bekas, justeru itu ianya mengurangkan langkah, kos dan masa dalam pengeluaran biobutanol. Penambahbaikan dalam proses SFS dilakukan oleh sebab 'kesan pepejal' apabila substrat berkepekatan tinggi digunakan untuk tujuan pengeluaran biobutanol yang lebih baik.

Sorotan penting dalam kajian ini ialah potensi menukarkan biojisim sagu negara kita yang kurang digunakan untuk ditukarkan kepada biobutanol yang lestari. Pengoptimuman sakarifikasi untuk menghasilkan hasil gula fermentasi yang tinggi boleh memberi kesan terhadap SFS untuk menghasilkan biobutanol telah dijalankan. Sakarifikasi enzimatik hampas sagu telah dilaksanakan melalui tiga pendekatan berbeza iaitu, sakarifikasi hampas sagu menggunakan 71.4 U/g<sub>substrat</sub> Dextrozyme glucoamilase, 20 FPU/g<sub>substrat</sub> Acremonium selulase dan campuran kedua-duanya sekali. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa, campuran Dextrozyme glucoamilase dan Acremonium selulase memberikan kepekatan gula tertinggi iaitu sebanyak 67.0 g/L. Sakarifikasi hampas sagu dijalankan pada

keadaan yang diperlukan untuk fermentasi aseton-butanol-etanol (ABE) (37°C, 150 rpm, keadaan anerobik) menghasilkan gula penurun sehingga 63.2 g/L. Proses SFS normal oleh *Clostridium acetobutylicum* ATCC 824 menghasilkan 6.75 g/L ABE dengan kepekatan biobutanol 3.81 g/L dan hasil 0.11 g/g<sub>gula</sub>. Selepas itu, sakarifikasi berturutan dan fermentasi serentak (SBFS) dilaksanakan untuk mengurangkan beban pepejal di dalam SFS. Walaubagaimanapun, kepekatan dan daya pengeluaran biobutanol yang terhasil adalah rendah dengan masing-masing sebanyak 0.83 g/L dan 0.00 g/L.h. Untuk penambahbaikan kepekatan dan daya pengeluaran biobutanol, sakarifikasi dan fermentasi serentak tertunda (SFST) telah diperkenalkan. SFST mempunyai kecekapan yang lebih baik oleh sebab enzim dan mikrob dikendalikan pada keadaan optimal mereka. Fermentasi ini menjana 4.62 g/L kepekatan biobutanol dan memberikan 1.5 kali ganda lebih tinggi daya pengeluaran daripada SFS normal. Dalam kajian ini, ia mencadangkan bahawa SFST mempunyai potensi untuk dilaksanakan sebagai pengeluar biobutanol daripada hampas sagu.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, praise to Allah S.W.T. for His mercy and guidance for which I manage to complete my research thesis entitled "Simultaneous saccharification and fermentation of sago hampas into biobutanol by *Clostridium acetobutylicum* ATCC 824".

Utmost gratitude and appreciation go to my main supervisor Dr. Mohamad Faizal Ibrahim and my co-supervisors, Prof. Dr. Suraini Abd. Aziz and Dr. Ezyana Kamal Bahrin for their guidance, inspiration and motivation during the entire period of study, either in conducting research works or in writing process of this thesis. I would also like to express my gratitude to Universiti Putra Malaysia and Ministry of Higher Education for the funding throughout my study. Not to forget, to all members of Environmental Biotechnology Group (EB Group), Universiti Putra Malaysia and all my friends for their never-ending supports, advices and suggestions for me to complete this research.

Finally, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to all my family members for their moral supports especially my parents Mr. Husin Ismail and Mrs. Naimah Hj. Ahmad; and also, my siblings, Ms. Zafirah and Ms. Syazana. Without their endless love, support and encouragement, I probably would not have this strength to complete the research. Alhamdulillah and thank you all.



I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 22 May 2018 to conduct the final examination of Hazwani binti Husin on her thesis entitled "Simultaneous Saccharification and Fermentation of Sago Hampas into Biobutanol by *Clostridium acetobutylicum* ATCC 824" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Nor' Aini bt. Abdul Rahman, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Phang Lai Yee, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Khanom Simarani, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
University of Malaya  
Malaysia  
(External Examiner)



**RUSLI HAJI ABDULLAH, PhD**  
Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 30 August 2018

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Mohamad Faizal Ibrahim, PhD**

Senior Lecturer,  
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Suraini Abd. Aziz, PhD**

Professor,  
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Ezyana Kamal Bahrin, PhD**

Senior Lecturer,  
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

### Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and Matric No.: Hazwani Binti Husin (GS42915)

### Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Chairman  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Dr. Mohamad Faizal Ibrahim

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Prof. Dr. Suraini Abd, Aziz

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Dr. Ezyana Kamal Bahrin

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
<b>ABSTRACT</b>		i
<b>ABSTRAK</b>		iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>		v
<b>APPROVAL</b>		vi
<b>DECLARATION</b>		viii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>		xiii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>		xiv
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>		xv
<b>CHAPTER</b>		
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	1
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	4
	2.1 Biomass	4
	2.1.1 Starch-based biomass	4
	2.1.2 Lignocellulosic based biomass	4
	2.2 Sago	10
	2.2.1 Sago starch processing	13
	2.2.2 Sago wastes	15
	2.3 Conversion of biomass into fermentable sugar	17
	2.4 Enzymatic Hydrolysis	17
	2.4.1 Amylase	17
	2.4.2 Cellulase	18
	2.5 Acetone-butanol-ethanol fermentation	18
	2.5.1 Substrates for ABE fermentation	19
	2.5.2 Microorganism for ABE fermentation	19
	2.5.3 Fermentation modes	21
	2.5.4 Fermentation process	21
	2.5.5 Biobutanol outlooks	23
	2.5.6 Challenges and improvement studies on ABE fermentation	24
<b>3</b>	<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	25
	3.1 General experimental design	25
	3.2 Substrate preparation	27
	3.3 Enzymatic saccharification of sago hampas	27
	3.3.1 Amylase	27

3.3.2	Cellulase	28
3.3.3	Combination of amylase and cellulase	28
3.4	Inoculum preparation	29
3.5	Media for acetone-butanol-ethanol fermentation	29
3.5.1	Growth medium	29
3.5.2	Production medium	29
3.6	Solution for acetone-butanol-ethanol fermentation	30
3.6.1	Yeast extract	30
3.6.2	Acetate buffer	30
3.6.3	Enzymes	31
3.7	Acetone-butanol-ethanol fermentation	31
3.7.1	Separate hydrolysis and fermentation	31
3.7.2	Simultaneous saccharification and fermentation	31
3.7.3	Sequential saccharification and simultaneous fermentation	32
3.7.4	Delayed simultaneous saccharification and fermentation	32
3.8	Analytical procedures	32
3.8.1	Chemical composition analysis	32
3.8.2	Measurement of enzyme activities	35
3.8.3	Sugar determination	35
3.8.4	Cell concentration analysis	36
3.8.5	Acetone-butanol-ethanol and organic acids analysis	36
3.9	Statistical analysis	37
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>38</b>
4.1	Chemical characteristic of sago hampas	38
4.2	Saccharification of sago hampas	41
4.2.1	Effect of enzyme loading	41
4.2.2	Effect of substrate loading	44
4.2.3	Effect of temperature	45
4.3	Acetone-butanol-ethanol fermentation	46
4.3.1	Separate hydrolysis and fermentation	46
4.3.2	Simultaneous saccharification and fermentation	49
4.3.3	Sequential saccharification and simultaneous fermentation	52
4.3.4	Delayed simultaneous saccharification and fermentation	55
4.4	Comparison study	57

<b>5</b>	<b>SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>62</b>
5.1	Summary	62
5.2	Conclusions	62
5.3	Recommendations for future research	63
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>64</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>78</b>
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	<b>84</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>85</b>



## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Constituents of various starch-based biomass	5
2.2	Constituents of various lignocellulosic biomass	8
2.3	Export of sago products 2003-2007	12
2.4	Composition of sago hampas (% dry weight)	16
2.5	Biobutanol production from different biomass and Clostridium species	20
3.1	Medium compositions of Reinforced Clostridial Medium based on commercial RCM	29
3.2	P2 medium compositions	30
4.1	Chemical characteristics of sago hampas and sago pith residue (SPR) (% dry weight)	40
4.2	Comparison of the processes conducted for the production of biobutanol from sago hampas by <i>C. acetobutylicum</i> ATCC 824	58
4.3	Comparison of ABE production from different fermentation processes, microorganisms and substrate concentrations	60



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Chemical structure of amylose	6
2.2	Chemical structure of amylopectin	6
2.3	Structure of lignocellulosic material	7
2.4	Schematic flowchart of sago starch extraction process	14
3.1	General experimental layout of this study	26
4.1	Effect of different Dextrozyme glucoamylase loading on the saccharification of sago hampas for sugar production	42
4.2	Effect of different Acremonium cellulase loading on the saccharification of sago hampas for sugar production	43
4.3	Effect of different substrate concentrations on enzymatic saccharification by mixture of Dextrozyme glucoamylase and Acremonium cellulase using sago hampas for sugar production	44
4.4	Effect of different temperatures on enzymatic saccharification by mixture of Dextrozyme glucoamylase and Acremonium cellulase using sago hampas for sugar production	46
4.5	Separate hydrolysis and fermentation by <i>C. acetobutylicum</i> ATCC 824 using 50 g/L glucose	47
4.6	Separate hydrolysis and fermentation by <i>C. acetobutylicum</i> ATCC 824 using 50 g/L sago hydrolysate	48
4.7	Simultaneous saccharification and fermentation by <i>C. acetobutylicum</i> ATCC 824 using 7% (w/v) sago hampas	50
4.8	Simultaneous saccharification and fermentation by <i>C. acetobutylicum</i> ATCC 824 using 9% (w/v) sago hampas	51
4.9	Sequential saccharification and simultaneous fermentation by <i>C. acetobutylicum</i> ATCC 824 using 7% (w/v) sago hampas	54
4.10	Delayed simultaneous saccharification and fermentation by <i>C. acetobutylicum</i> ATCC 824 using 7% (w/v) sago hampas	56

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADF	Acid detergent fibre
ADL	Acid detergent lignin
ABE	Acetone-butanol-ethanol
C.	<i>Clostridium</i>
CDW	Cell dry weight
DSSF	Delayed simultaneous saccharification and fermentation
DNS	3,5-Dinitrosalicylic acid
EC	Enzyme commission
GC	Gas chromatography
HPLC	High performance liquid chromatography
NDF	Neutral detergent fibre
OPDC	Oil Palm Decanter Cake
RCM	Reinforced Clostridial Medium
rpm	Rotation per minute
\$	US Dollar
SAS	Statistical analysis system
sp.	Species
SPR	Sago pith residue
SSF	Simultaneous saccharification and fermentation
SSSF	Sequential saccharification and simultaneous fermentation
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia
UV-Vis	Ultraviolet-visible

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

Recent increase in demand of energy and depletion of fossil fuel has diverted the attention of researchers towards the utilisation of various renewable resources for the production of biofuel worldwide (Schmidt & Weuster-Botz, 2012). Biobutanol is considered as a better liquid biofuel than bioethanol with promising potential to replace petrol without modification on current distribution and engine system. It has high hydrophobicity property which makes it safer and suitable to be applied directly or blending with petrol for fuel. (Ndaba et al., 2015). Besides, biobutanol is less corrosive and non-hygroscopic, which make it easier to preserve as it has high tolerance to water contamination in petrol blend (Al-Shorgani et al., 2011). Biobutanol has high energy content and high boiling point which prolong the burning process in motor engine. However, the production of biobutanol has several limitations including high cost of fermentation substrate, small amount of biobutanol obtained and its toxicity property that inhibit cell growth and fermentation (Ezeji et al., 2007a; Lee et al., 2008).

Malaysia is a notable tropical country with various plantation industries including oil palm, rubber, paddy, cocoa and sago. The agricultural sector in Malaysia has become major contributors to national growth, income and export earnings, generates about 12% of gross national income (GNI) (Istikoma et al., 2015). Sarawak is the biggest sago planting areas in Malaysia and is presently the biggest exporter of sago in the world. Besides, the sago starch ranks as the fourth highest export value of agricultural products in Malaysia from 2003 until 2012 (Statistics of Sago, 2012). Sarawak exports sago products to Japan, Thailand, Singapore and even to Peninsular Malaysia itself. In current practice, most of the sago wastes (180 tonnes/year) produced in sago mill are usually dumped in open land or directly disposed to a nearby river, which contributes to a serious environmental problem due to its slow degradability and high starch content (Abd-Aziz, 2002; Apun et al., 2000). These abundant wastes have high potential to be served as substrate for fermentation including biobutanol production, yet it is underutilised till now (Awg-Adeni et al., 2010).

Solid waste from sago (sago hampas) contains starch and lignocellulosic material with high total carbohydrate composition and low percentage of lignin (Jenol et al., 2014). Thus, no pretreatment is required prior to saccharification process, and this is useful to reduce the operational cost as compared to other lignocellulosic biomass. Sago pith residue (SPR) attained after hydrolysis of sago hampas by amylase consist of (on a dry weight basis); cellulose (37%), hemicellulose (20%) and lignin (6%) (Linggang et al., 2012). This lignocellulosic material can be further hydrolysed into a mixture of sugar monomers using cellulase. Previous studies that have been conducted using sago hampas only focused on the utilisation of strachy component (sago hampas) or lignocellulosic component (SPR) as biobutanol fermentation substrate (Linggang et al., 2013; Liew et al., 2006; Madihah et al., 2001). Recently, several studies were

conducted on starch-based material such as corn (Pfromm et al., 2010), cassava (Thang et al., 2010), konjac waste (Shao & Chen, 2015) and lignocellulosic-based material such as king grass (Gallego et al., 2015), oil palm empty fruit bunch (Ibrahim et al., 2015), corn stover (Wang & Chen, 2011), oil palm decanter cake (Abdul Razak et al., 2013), switch grass (Qureshi et al., 2010b), wheat straw (Qureshi et al., 2008b) and many other lignocellulosic substrates for biobutanol production.

Biobutanol production can be produced by two different approaches which are petrochemical and biological route. Petrochemical route is based on propylene oxo synthesis, reppé synthesis and crotonaldehyde hydrogenation from propylene, carbon monoxide and hydrogen to yield 1-butanol (García et al., 2011; Green, 2011). Presently, this route is used in the industry due to the cheaper process compared to biological route. However, it is not practical in the future as scientific developments in synthetic biotechnology and microbiology has a sudden improvement in optimising the process technology of the biological route, making it economically equivalent to petrochemical processes. The biological route is performed via acetone-butanol-ethanol (ABE) fermentation by *Clostridium* species in the presence of carbohydrate monomers (Ezeji et al., 2007b). The ABE fermentation was discovered since 1861 and keep on improving to challenge the butanol production through petrochemical route. The improved ABE process generates high n-butanol purity with 45% higher carbon footprint that is compared to petrol-based butanol (Speight, 2015). The theoretical biobutanol yield calculated based on the stoichiometry of ABE fermentation is about 0.6 g/g.

Biobutanol production through acetone-butanol-ethanol (ABE) fermentation by solventogenic *Clostridium* sp. frequently used monosaccharides including glucose, galactose and other sugars from biomass (Ezeji et al., 2007b). In the biobutanol production from biomass, the separate hydrolysis and fermentation (SHF) process is commonly used involving a separate process of (1) saccharification of biomass into sugar and (2) the ABE fermentation of the sugar produced into biobutanol. The simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (SSF) process that combined process (1) and (2) simultaneously is currently taking interest for biobutanol production, as this process could reduce the number of processing steps, reduce the whole process duration and therefore improve process productivity while maintaining biobutanol production yield (Guan et al., 2016; Ibrahim et al., 2015; Sasaki et al., 2014; Qureshi et al., 2008a). Besides, the problem arises from the potential loss of sugar through multiple processing steps involve in SHF makes SSF to be more favourable. Moreover, the operations of SHF is more complex and have a longer cycle compared to SSF. Furthermore, SSF involves one-step fermentation method that uses less energy and materials to be performed (Zhu et al., 2012). Nevertheless, performing SHF ensures that both the enzyme and the microbe function under their optimal conditions, whereas performing SSF requires optimisation of the saccharification and fermentation condition.

The enzymatic saccharification of sago hampas for biobutanol production was performed at less than 10% of substrate concentration due to the inefficiency of mass and heat transfer (Awg-Adeni et al., 2013; Jenol et al., 2014; Linggang et al., 2013). Performing SSF in high solid loading can cause insufficient mixing, decrease in water availability and irreversible binding of adsorbed enzyme to the substrate. Therefore, high solid loading is a major mechanical obstacle in industrial application and little information can be obtained for both SHF and SSF. Thus, a comprehensive study and comparison of the SHF and SSF processes should be conducted, especially at the high substrate concentration which subsequently produce high sugars for the production of biobutanol. About 60 g/L of fermentable sugars is required to be converted into approximately 15 g/L solvent (Jesse et al., 2002), this can counter the challenges in producing high biobutanol yield with 25% conversion yield. In this study, biobutanol production from sago hampas at high substrate concentration through SSF and SHF were compared based on the action of multiple enzymes (glucoamylase and cellulase) to improve biobutanol yield. Recently, further development in SSF process using a new proposed process integration has been developed which have advantages over conventional SSF. The sequential saccharification and fermentation (SSSF) was introduced in order to reduce solid load in starchy lignocellulosic material by performing sequential saccharification. The SSSF undergo two-step process of enzymatic saccharification taking place in one vessel. The delayed simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (DSSF) involved operating both enzymes and microorganism at their optimal condition by the incorporate of the pre-saccharification process in DSSF (Liu et al., 2015). Thus, it will increase efficiency of saccharification and fermentation process and could reduce viscosity in high substrate concentration (Paulová et al., 2014).

However, very limited studies were reported on the saccharification of both starch and lignocellulosic biomass in SSF including in SSSF and DSSF for biobutanol production. Therefore, the feasibility of biobutanol production using sago hampas through these processes involving the combination of enzymatic saccharification by amylase and cellulase was depicted in this study. The potential of producing biobutanol from sago hampas, as well as maximising the underutilised sago hampas could provide alternative solution for the mill to overcome problem in managing sago waste.

Therefore, the objectives of this study were

1. To obtain high fermentable sugars concentration from sago hampas using Dextrozyme glucoamylase and Acremonium cellulase, and
2. To evaluate the suitable fermentation process to produce biobutanol from sago hampas.

## REFERENCES

- Abd-Aziz, S. (2002). Sago starch and its utilisation. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 94(6), 526–9.
- Abd-Aziz, S., & Hassan, M. A. (2009). Lignocellulosic biomass utilization: Malaysia perspective. In *The Asian Federation of Biotechnology Symposium*.
- Abdul Razak, M. N., Ibrahim, M. F., Yee, P. L., Hassan, M. A., & Abd-aziz, S. (2013). Statistical Optimization of Biobutanol Production from Oil Palm Decanter Cake Hydrolysate by *Clostridium acetobutylicum* ATCC 824. *Bioresource*, 8(2), 1758–1770.
- Adinarayaan, K., & Suren, S. (2005). Response surface optimization of enzymatic hydrolysis of maize starch for higher glucose production. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, (27), 179–190.
- Adney, B., & Baker, J. (2008). *Measurement of Cellulase Activities*. National Renewable Energy Laboratory. Colorado: A national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy.
- Al-Shorgani, N. K. N., Kalil, M. S., & Yusoff, W. M. W. (2011). Fermentation of sago starch to biobutanol in a batch culture using *Clostridium saccharoperbutylacetonicum* N1-4 (ATCC 13564). *Annals of Microbiology*, 62(3), 1059–1070.
- Al-Shorgani, N. K. N., Kalil, M. S., & Yusoff, W. M. W. (2012). Fermentation of sago starch to biobutanol in a batch culture using *Clostridium saccharoperbutylacetonicum* N1-4 (ATCC 13564). *Annals of Microbiology*, 62(3), 1059–1070.
- Ali, N., Afifi, C., Aziz, C., & Hassan, O. (2015). Alkali Pretreatment and Acid Hydrolysis of Coconut Pulp and Empty Fruit Bunch to Produce Glucose. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 74(7), 7–11.
- Alias, S. N. S. (2009). *Effect of pH and temperature on glucose production from tapioca starch using enzymatic hydrolysis: a statistical approach*. University Malaysia Pahang.
- Amador-Noguez, D., Brasg, I. A., Feng, X. J., Roquet, N., & Rabinowitz, J. D. (2011). Metabolome Remodeling during the Acidogenic-Solventogenic Transition in *clostridium acetobutylicum*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 77(22), 7984–7997.
- Apun, K., Jong, B. C., & Salleh, M. A. (2000). Screening and isolation of a cellulolytic and amylolytic *Bacillus* from sago pith waste. *The Journal of General and Applied Microbiology*, 46, 263–267.

- Ariffin, H., Hassan, M. A., Shah, U. K., Abdullah, N., Ghazali, F. M., & Shirai, Y. (2008). Production of Bacterial Endoglucanase from Pretreated Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch by *Bacillus pumilus* EB3. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 106(3), 231–236.
- Asgher, M., Ahmad, Z., & Iqbal, H. M. N. (2013). Alkali and enzymatic delignification of sugarcane bagasse to expose cellulose polymers for saccharification and bio-ethanol production. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 44, 488–495.
- Awg-Adeni, D. S., Abd-Aziz, S., Hassan, S., Bujang, K., & Hassan, M. A. (2010). Bioconversion of sago residue into value added products. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 9(14), 2016–2021.
- Awg-Adeni, D. S., Bujang, K. B., Hassan, M. A., & Abd-Aziz, S. (2013). Recovery of Glucose from Residual Starch of Sago Hampas for Bioethanol Production. *BioMed Research International*.
- Bahl, H., Andersch, W., Braun, K., & Gottschalk, G. (1982). Effect of pH and butyrate concentration on the production of acetone and butanol by *Clostridium acetobutylicum* grown in continuous culture. *European Journal of Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 14, 17–20.
- Bahl, H., & Dürre, P. (2001). *Clostridia: Biotechnology and Medical Applications*. Wiley-Vch.
- Bahrin, E. K., Baharuddin, A. S., Ibrahim, M. F., Abdul Razak, M. N., Sulaiman, A., Abd-Aziz, S., ... Nishida, H. (2012). Physicochemical property changes and enzymatic hydrolysis enhancement of oil palm empty fruit bunches treated with superheated steam. *BioResources*, 7(2), 1784–1801.
- Balat, M. (2011). Production of bioethanol from lignocellulosic materials via the biochemical pathway: A review. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 52(2), 858–875.
- Balat, M., Balat, H., & Oz, C. (2008). Progress in bioethanol processing. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, 34(5), 551–573.
- Bao, J., & Bergman, C. J. (2004). *Starch in Food*. Starch in Food. Woodhead Publishing Limited.
- Bellido, C., Loureiro Pinto, M., Coca, M., Gonzalez-Benito, G., & Garcia-Cubero, M. T. (2014). Acetone-butanol-ethanol (ABE) production by *Clostridium beijerinckii* from wheat straw hydrolysates: Efficient use of penta and hexa carbohydrates. *Bioresource Technology*, 167, 198–205.
- Bentley, R. W., Mannan, S. A., & Wheeler, S. J. (2007). Assessing the date of the global oil peak: The need to use 2P reserves. *Energy Policy*, 35(12), 6364–6382.

- Bertoft, E., Piyachomkwan, K., Chatakanonda, P., & Sriroth, K. (2008). Internal unit chain composition in amylopectins, *74*, 527–543.
- Bledzki, A. K., Mamun, A. a., & Volk, J. (2010). Physical, chemical and surface properties of wheat husk, rye husk and soft wood and their polypropylene composites. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, *41*(4), 480–488.
- Boonsombuti, A., Tangmanasakul, K., Nantapipat, J., Komolpis, K., Luengnaruemitchai, A., & Wongkasemjit, S. (2016). Production of biobutanol from acid-pretreated corncob using *Clostridium beijerinckii* TISTR 1461: Process optimization studies. *Preparative Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, *46*(2), 141–149.
- Bujang, K. (2014). *Sago: A Food and Fuel Alternative*. Sarawak, Malaysia.
- Bujang, K. B., & Ahmad, F. B. (2000). *Country report of Malaysia: production and utilization of sago starch in Malaysia*. Sarawak, Malaysia. Retrieved from International Sago Seminar
- Cecil, C. B., Stanton, R. W., Dulong, F. T., & Cohen, A. D. (1982). Geologic factors that control mineral matter in coal. In R. H. Filby, B. S. Carpenter, & R. C. Ragaini (Eds.), *Atomic and Nuclear Methods in Fossil Fuel Research* (pp. 323–335). New York: Plenum Publishing Company.
- Charoenlap, N., Dharmsthiti, S., Sirisansaneeyakul, S., & Lertsiri, S. (2004). Optimization of cyclodextrin production from sago starch. *Bioresource Technology*, *92*(1), 49–54.
- Chen, W. M., Tseng, Z. J., Lee, K. S., & Chang, J. S. (2005). Fermentative hydrogen production with *Clostridium butyricum* CGS5 isolated from anaerobic sewage sludge. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, *30*, 1063–1070.
- Cheng, C., Che, P., Chen, B., Lee, W., Chien, L., & Chang, J. (2012). High yield bio-butanol production by solvent-producing bacterial microflora. *Bioresource Technology*, *113*, 58–64.
- Chew, T., & Shim, Y. (1993). "Management of sago processing wastes," in: *Waste Management in Malaysia - Current Status and Prospects for Bioremediation*. Kuala Lumpur: Ministry of Science, Technology and the Environment.
- Chiao, J. S., & Sun, Z. H. (2007). History of the acetone-butanol-ethanol fermentation industry in China: Development of continuous production technology. *Journal of Molecular Microbiology and Biotechnology*, *13*(1–3), 12–14.
- Cho, C., Choe, D., Jang, Y.-S., Kim, K.-J., Kim, W. J., Cho, B.-K., ... Lee, S. Y. (2017). Genome analysis of a hyper acetone-butanol-ethanol (ABE)



- producing *Clostridium acetobutylicum* BKM19. *Biotechnology Journal*, 12(2), 1–26.
- Chua, T. K., Liang, D. W., Qi, C., Yang, K. L., & He, J. (2013). Characterization of a butanol-acetone-producing *Clostridium* strain and identification of its solventogenic genes. *Bioresource Technology*, 135, 372–378.
- Czernik, S., & Bridgwater, A. V. (2004). Overview of Applications of Biomass Fast Pyrolysis Oil, (12), 590–598.
- Dashtban, M., Schraft, H., & Qin, W. (2009). Fungal Bioconversion of Lignocellulosic Residues ; Opportunities & Perspectives, 5(6), 578–595.
- Demirbas, A. (2008). Biofuels sources, biofuel policy, biofuel economy and global biofuel projections. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 49(8), 2106–2116.
- Demirbas, A. (2009). Pyrolysis mechanisms of biomass materials. *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization and Environmental Effects*, 31(13), 1186–1193.
- Demirbaş, A. (2001). Biomass resource facilities and biomass conversion processing for fuels and chemicals. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 42(11), 1357–1378.
- Denko, S. (2006). *Food analysis with Shodex columns*. Kanagawa, Japan.
- Devereux, S., Shuttleworth, P. S., Macquarrie, D. J., & Paradisi, F. (2011). Isolation and Characterization of Recovered Starch from Industrial Wastewater, 971–979.
- Dowe, N., & McMillan, J. (2008). *SSF Experimental Protocols — Lignocellulosic Biomass Hydrolysis and Fermentation*. National Renewable Energy Laboratory.
- Empangau, S. (2013). Effect of the Temperature on the Growth of *Enterococcus Faecalis* Cultivated in Alkaliphilic Condition Using Hydrolysed Sago Starch. University Malaysia Sarawak.
- Ezeji, T. C., Qureshi, N., & Blaschek, H. P. (2007). Bioproduction of butanol from biomass: from genes to bioreactors. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 18(3), 220–7.
- Ezeji, T., Qureshi, N., & Blaschek, H. P. (2007a). Butanol Production From Agricultural Residues: Impact of Degradation Products on *Clostridium beijerinckii* Growth and Butanol Fermentation, 97(6), 1460–1469.
- Ezeji, T., Qureshi, N., & Blaschek, H. P. (2007b). Production of acetone–butanol–ethanol (ABE) in a continuous flow bioreactor using degermed corn and *Clostridium beijerinckii*. *Process Biochemistry*, 42(1), 34–39.

- Flach, M. (1983). The sago palm. In FAO (Ed.). Rome: International Plant Genetic Resources Institutes.
- Gallego, L. J., Escobar, A., Peñuela, M., Peña, J. D., & Rios, L. A. (2015). King Grass: A promising material for the production of second-generation butanol. *Fuel*, 143, 399–403.
- García, V., Pääkilä, J., Ojamo, H., Muurinen, E., & Keiski, R. L. (2011). Challenges in biobutanol production: How to improve the efficiency? *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 15(2), 964–980.
- Gauss, W. F., Suzuki, S., & Takagi. (1976). Manufacture of alcohol from cellulosic materials using plural ferments. *Bioresearch Center Company Limited*.
- Gismatullin, E., & Lundgren, K. (2014). *Branson's Butanol Heading to U. S. as Ethanol Substitute*. Bloomberg. London.
- Goering, H. K., & Van Soest, P. J. (1970). *Agriculture Handbook No. 379. U.S. Department of Agriculture*.
- Green, E. M. (2011). Fermentative production of butanol-the industrial perspective. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 22(3), 337–43.
- Greene, N., Mackenzie, D., & McBride, J. (2004). *Growing Energy: How Biofuels Can Help End America's Oil Dependence*. New York, USA.
- Guan, W., Shi, S., Tu, M., & Lee, Y. Y. (2016). Acetone-butanol-ethanol production from Kraft paper mill sludge by simultaneous saccharification and fermentation. *Bioresource Technology*, 200, 713–721.
- Hassan, A., Salema, A. A., Ani, F. N., & Bakar, A. A. (2010). A review on oil palm empty fruit bunch fiber-reinforced polymer composite materials. *Polymer Composites*, 31(12), 2079–2101.
- Horigome, T., Sakaguchi, E., Takamura, Y., & Bintaro, M. H. (1991). The feeding value of pith and pith residue from sago palms. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Sago Symposium*. Kuching, Sarawak.
- Huang, H., Liu, H., & Gan, Y. R. (2010). Genetic modification of critical enzymes and involved genes in butanol biosynthesis from biomass. *Biotechnology Advances*, 28(5), 651–657.
- Ibrahim, M. F., Abd-Aziz, S., Razak, M. N. A., Phang, L. Y., & Hassan, M. A. (2012). Oil palm empty fruit bunch as alternative substrate for acetone-butanol-ethanol production by *Clostridium butyricum* EB6. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 166(7), 1615–25.
- Ibrahim, M. F., Abd-Aziz, S., Yusoff, M. E. M., Phang, L. Y., & Hassan, M. A. (2015). Simultaneous enzymatic saccharification and ABE fermentation

using pretreated oil palm empty fruit bunch as substrate to produce butanol and hydrogen as biofuel. *Renewable Energy*, 77, 447–455.

Ibrahim, M. F., Ramli, N., Kamal Bahrin, E., & Abd-Aziz, S. (2017). Cellulosic biobutanol by Clostridia: Challenges and improvements. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 79, 1241–1254.

Istikomah, Qurat-ul-Ain, & Dahlan, A. R. A. (2015). The Transformation of Agriculture Based Economy to an Industrial Sector through Crowd Sourcing In Malaysia. *International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology Research*, 3(1), 34–41.

Jalaludin, S., Wong, W. C., Anuwar, M., & Ch'ng, G. C. (1970). Utilization of sago for growth in the fowl. *Malaysian Agricultural Journal*, 47(4), 492–498.

Jalil, S. N. J. (2013). *Characterization And Nutritive Value of Fermented Sago by Bacillus Amyloliquefaciens for Aquaculture and Livestock Feed*. Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.

Jang, Y., Malaviya, A., Cho, C., Lee, J., & Yup, S. (2012). Butanol production from renewable biomass by clostridia. *Bioresource Technology*, 123, 653–663.

Jangu, U., & Bujang, K. (2009). Development of Pretreatment of Sago Fibres for Recovery of Cellulose for Ethanol Fermentation. *Proceedings of the 2nd Biotechnology Colloquium*.

Jenol, M. A. (2014). *Biohydrogen production from sago hampas by Clostridium butyricum A1*. Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Jenol, M. A., Ibrahim, M. F., Yee, P. L., & Abd-aziz, S. (2014). Sago Biomass as a Sustainable Source for Biohydrogen Production by *Clostridium butyricum* A1, 9, 1007–1026.

Jesse, T. W., Ezeji, T. C., Qureshi, N., & Blaschek, H. P. (2002). Production of butanol from starch-based waste packing peanuts and agricultural waste. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 29(3), 117–123.

Jin, C., Yao, M., Liu, H., Lee, C. F., & Ji, J. (2011). Progress in the production and application of n-butanol as a biofuel. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 15(8), 4080–4106.

Jones, D. T., & Woods, D. R. (1986). Acetone-butanol fermentation revisited. *Microbiological Reviews*, 50(4), 484–524.

Jönsson, L. J., Alriksson, B., & Nilvebrant, N.-O. (2013). Bioconversion of lignocellulose: inhibitors and detoxification. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 6(1), 16.

Kadirvelu, K., Kavipriya, M., Karthika, C., Vennilamani, N., & Pattabhi, S. (2004).

- Mercury (II) adsorption by activated carbon made from sago waste. *Carbon*, 42(4), 745–752.
- Karim, A. A., Tie, A. P., Manan, D. M. A., & Zaidul, I. S. M. (2008). Starch from the Sago ( Metroxylon sagu ) Palm Tree — Properties , Prospects , and Challenges as a New Industrial Source for Food and Other Uses, 7(1977).
- Karimi, K., Tabatabaei, M., Horváth, I. S., & Kumar, R. (2015). Recent trends in acetone, butanol, and ethanol (ABE) production. *Biofuel Research Journal*, 8, 301–308.
- Kaur, S., Dhillon, G. S., Sarma, S. J., Brar, S. K., Misra, K., & Oberoi, H. S. (2014). Waste Biomass: A Prospective Renewable Resource for Development of Bio-Based Economy/Processes. In S. K. Brar, G. S. Dhillon, & C. R. Soccol (Eds.), *Biotransformation of Waste Biomass into High Value Biochemicals* (pp. 3–28). New York: SpringerScience + Business Media.
- Khullar, E., Dien, B. S., Rausch, K. D., Tumbleson, M. E., & Singh, V. (2013). Effect of particle size on enzymatic hydrolysis of pretreated Miscanthus. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 44, 11–17.
- Kim, J. R., Dec, J., Bruns, M. A., & Logan, B. E. (2008). Removal of Odors from Swine Wastewater by Using Microbial Fuel Cells, 74(8), 2540–2543.
- Kim, M., & Day, D. F. (2011). Composition of sugar cane , energy cane , and sweet sorghum suitable for ethanol production at Louisiana sugar mills, 803–807.
- Kong, L., Lee, C., Kim, S. H., & Ziegler, G. R. (2014). Characterization of starch polymorphic structures using vibrational sum frequency generation spectroscopy. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 118(7), 1775–1783.
- Krishna, H. S., & Chowdary, G. V. (2000). Optimization of simultaneous saccharification and fermentation for the production of ethanol from lignocellulosic biomass. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 48(5), 1971–6.
- Krishna, R., & Wesselingh, J. A. (1997). The Maxwell-Stefan approach to mass transfer. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 52(6), 861–911.
- Kristensen, J. B., Felby, C., & Jørgensen, H. (2009). Yield-determining factors in high-solids enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulose. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 2(1), 11.
- Kumar, D., & Murthy, G. S. (2011). Impact of pretreatment and downstream processing technologies on economics and energy in cellulosic ethanol production. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 4, 11.
- Kumar, M., & Gayen, K. (2012). Developments in biobutanol production: New

insights. *Applied Energy*, 88(6), 1999–2012.

Kumaran, S., Sastry, C. a, & Vikineswary, S. (1997). Laccase , cellulase and xylanase activities during growth of *Pleurotus sajor-caju* on sago hampas. *World Journal of Microbiology & Biotechnology*, 13, 43–49.

Kumoro, A. C., Ngoh, G. C., Hasan, M., Ong, C. H., & Teoh, E. C. (2008). Conversion of Fibrous Sago Waste into Fermentable Sugar via Acid and Enzymatic Hydrolysis.pdf. *Asian Journal of Scientific Research*, 1(4), 412–420.

Ladanai, S., & Vinterbäck, J. (2009). *Global Potential of Sustainable Biomass for Energy*. SLU, Institutionen för energi och teknik Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences , Department of Energy and Technology. Sweden.

Lai, J. C., Rahman, W. A. W. A., & Toh, W. Y. (2013). Characterisation of sago pith waste and its composites. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 45, 319–326.

Leaes, E. X., Lima, D., Miklasevicius, L., Ramon, A. P., Dal Prá, V., Bassaco, M. M., ... Mazutti, M. A. (2013). Effect of ultrasound-assisted irradiation on the activities of  $\alpha$ -amylase and amyloglucosidase. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, 2(1), 21–25.

Lee, K. M., Ngoh, G. C., Seak, A., Chua, M., Yoon, L. W., Ang, T. N., & Lee, M. (2014). Comparison Study of Different Ionic Liquid Pretreatments in Maximizing Total Reducing Sugars Recovery, 9(1), 1552–1564.

Lee, S. Y., Park, J. H., Jang, S. H., Nielsen, L. K., Kim, J., & Jung, K. S. (2008). Fermentative Butanol Production by *Clostridia*. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 101(2), 209–228.

Li, H., Kwame, F., Li, K., Gu, Q., Wang, Q., & Yu, X. (2014). Acetone, butanol, and ethanol production from gelatinized cassava flour by a new isolates with high butanol tolerance. *Bioresource Technology*, 172, 276–282.

Li, J., Wang, L., Chen, H., & loeng, J. B. I. B. (2016). Periodic peristalsis increasing acetone-butanol-ethanol productivity during simultaneous saccharification and fermentation of steam-exploded corn straw. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 122(5), 620–626.

Li, S.-Y., Srivastava, R., Suib, S. L., Li, Y., & Parnas, R. S. (2011). Performance of batch, fed-batch, and continuous A-B-E fermentation with pH-control. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(5), 4241–50.

Liew, S. T., Arbakariya, A., Rosfarizan, M., & Raha, A. R. (2006). Production of Solvent (acetone-butanol-ethanol) in Continuous Fermentation by *Clostridium saccharobutylicum* DSM 13864 Using Gelatinised Sago Starch as a Carbon Source. *Malaysian Journal of Microbiology*, 2(2), 42–50.

Linggang, S., Phang, L. Y., Wasoh, H., & Abd-Aziz, S. (2013). Acetone–butanol–

- ethanol production by *Clostridium acetobutylicum* ATCC 824 using sago pith residues hydrolysate. *BioEnergy Research*, 6(1), 321–328.
- Linggang, S., Phang, L. Y., Wasoh, M. H., & Abd-Aziz, S. (2012). Sago pith residue as an alternative cheap substrate for fermentable sugars production. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 167(1), 122–31.
- Liu, Y., Xu, J., Zhang, Y., Yuan, Z., He, M., Liang, C., ... Xie, J. (2015). Sequential bioethanol and biogas production from sugarcane bagasse based on high solids fed-batch SSF. *Energy*, 90, 1199–1205.
- Loow, Y. L., Wu, T. Y., Jahim, J. M., Mohammad, A. W., & Teoh, W. H. (2016). Typical conversion of lignocellulosic biomass into reducing sugars using dilute acid hydrolysis and alkaline pretreatment. *Cellulose*, 23(3), 1491–1520.
- Ma, Y., Cai, C., Wang, J., & Sun, D.-W. (2006). Enzymatic hydrolysis of corn starch for producing fat mimetics. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 73(3), 297–303.
- Maddox, I. S., Steiner, E., Hirsch, S., Wessner, S., Gutierrez, N. A., Gapes, J. R., & Schuster, K. C. (2000). The Cause of “Acid Crash” and “Acidogenic Fermentations” During the Batch Acetone-Butanol-Ethanol (ABE) Fermentation Process. *Molecular Microbiology Biotechnology*, 2, 95–100.
- Madiah, M. S., Ariff, A. B., Khalil, M. S., Suraini, A. A., & Karim, M. I. A. (2001). Anaerobic Fermentation of Gelatinized Sago Starch-Derived Sugars to Acetone-1-Butanol-Ethanol Solvent by *Clostridium acetobutylicum*. *Folia Microbiology*, 46(3), 197–204.
- Maria De Lourdes, T. M. P., & Rai, M. (2014). *Fungal Enzymes* (1st ed.). FL: Taylor & Francis Group.
- Mckendry, P. (2002). Energy production from biomass (part 2): conversion technologies. *Bioresource Technology*, 83, 47–54.
- Md Zaidul, I. S., Karim, A. A., Manan, D. M. A., Nik Norulaini, N. A., & Omar, A. K. M. (2003). Gelatinization Properties of Sago and Wheat Flour Mixtures. *ASEAN Food Journal*, 12(4), 199–209.
- Miller, G. L. (1959). Use of Dinitrosalicylic Acid Reagent for Determination of Reducing Sugar. *Analytical Chemistry*, 31(III), 426–428.
- Minteer, S. D. (2011). *Handbook of Biofuels Production. Handbook of Biofuels Production*. Woodhead Publishing Limited.
- Monot, F., Martin, J.-R., Petitdemange, H., & Gay, R. (1982). Acetone and butanol production by *Clostridium acetobutylicum* in a synthetic medium, 44(6), 1318–1324.

- Moorthy, S. N. (2004). Starch in food. In A. C. Eliasson (Ed.), *Woodhead Publishing Limited* (pp. 1–601). Washington, DC.
- Mounika, M., & Ravindra, K. (2015). Characterization of Nanocomposites Reinforced with Cellulose Whiskers: A Review. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 2(4–5), 3610–3618.
- Mua, J. P., & Jackson, D. S. (1997). Fine Structure of Corn Amylose and Amylopectin Fractions with Various Molecular Weights†. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 45(10), 3840–3847.
- Murthy, G. S., Johnston, D. B., Rausch, K. D., Tumbleson, M. E., & Singh, V. (2011). Starch hydrolysis modeling: Application to fuel ethanol production. *Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering*, 34(7), 879–890.
- Nakamura, L. K. (1981). *Lactobacillus amylovorus*, a New Starch-Hydrolyzing Species from Cattle Waste-Corn Fermentations. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology*, 31(3), 56–63.
- Ndaba, B., Chiyanzu, I., & Marx, S. (2015). n-Butanol derived from biochemical and chemical routes: A review. *Biotechnology Reports*, 8, 1–9.
- Nigam, P. S., & Singh, A. (2011). Production of liquid biofuels from renewable resources. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, 37(1), 52–68.
- Nolasco-Hipolito, C., Zarrabal, O. C., Kamaldin, R. M., Teck-Yee, L., Lihan, S., Bujang, K. Bin, & Nitta, Y. (2012). Lactic acid production by *Enterococcus faecium* in liquefied sago starch. *AMB Express*, 2(1), 53.
- Olofsson, K., Bertilsson, M., & Lidén, G. (2008). A short review on SSF - an interesting process option for ethanol production from lignocellulosic feedstocks. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 1(1), 7.
- Orwa, C., Mutua, A., Kindt, R., Jamnadess, R., & Anthony, S. (2009). Agroforestry database: a tree reference and selection guide version 4.0.
- Ouyang, J., Li, Z., Li, X., Ying, H., & Yong, Q. (2009). Enhanced enzymatic conversion and glucose production via two-step enzymatic hydrolysis of corncob residue from xylo-oligosaccharides producer's waste. *BioResources*, 4, 1586–1599.
- Ozawa, T., Ueno, T., Negishi, O., & Masaki, S. (1998). Chemical Characteristics of Hemicelluloses in the Fibrous Residue of Sago Palm. *Japan Journal Tropical Agricultural*, 42(3), 172–178.
- Palmqvist, E., & Hahn-Hägerdal, B. (2000). Fermentation of lignocellulosic hydrolysates. II: Inhibitors and mechanisms of inhibition. *Bioresource Technology*, 74(1), 25–33.
- Paulová, L., Patáková, P., Rychtera, M., & Melzoch, K. (2014). High solid fed-

batch SSF with delayed inoculation for improved production of bioethanol from wheat straw, 122, 294–300.

Pei-Lang, A. T., Mohamed, A. M. D., & Karim, A. A. (2006). Sago starch and composition of associated components in palms of different growth stages. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 63(2), 283–286.

Peng, F., Peng, P., Xu, F., & Sun, R.-C. (2012). Fractional purification and bioconversion of hemicelluloses. *Biotechnology Advances*, 30(4), 879–903.

Petrus, A. C., Ahmed, O. H., Muhamad, A. M., Nasir, H. M., & Jiwan, M. (2010). Effect of K-N-humates on dry matter production and nutrient use efficiency of maize in Sarawak, Malaysia. *Scientific World Journal*, 10, 1282–1292.

Pfromm, P. H., Amanor-Boadu, V., Nelson, R., Vadlani, P., & Madl, R. (2010). Bio-butanol vs. bio-ethanol: A technical and economic assessment for corn and switchgrass fermented by yeast or *Clostridium acetobutylicum*. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 34(4), 515–524.

Phang, S. M., Miah, M. S., Yeoh, B. G., & Hashim, M. A. (2000). Spirulina cultivation in digested sago starch factory wastewater, 395–400.

Presecki, A. V., Blazevic, Z. F., & Vasic-Racki, D. (2013). Complete starch hydrolysis by the synergistic action of amylase and glucoamylase: Impact of calcium ions. *Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering*, 36(11), 1555–1562.

Quiroz-Castañeda, R. E., & Folch-Mallol, J. L. (2011). Plant cell wall degrading and remodeling proteins: current perspectives. *Biotecnología Aplicada*, 28(4), 205–215.

Qureshi, N., Ezeji, T. C., Ebener, J., Dien, B. S., Cotta, M. A., & Blaschek, H. P. (2008a). Butanol production by *Clostridium beijerinckii*. Part I: Use of acid and enzyme hydrolyzed corn fiber. *Bioresource Technology*, 99, 5915–5922.

Qureshi, N., Saha, B. C., Dien, B., Hector, R. E., & Cotta, M. A. (2010a). Production of butanol (a biofuel) from agricultural residues: Part I – Use of barley straw hydrolysate. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 34(4), 559–565.

Qureshi, N., Saha, B. C., Hector, R. E., Dien, B., Hughes, S., Liu, S., ... Cotta, M. A. (2010b). Production of butanol (a biofuel) from agricultural residues: Part II – Use of corn stover and switchgrass hydrolysates. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 34(4), 566–571.

Qureshi, N., Saha, B. C., Hector, R. E., Hughes, S. R., & Cotta, M. A. (2008b). Butanol production from wheat straw by simultaneous saccharification and fermentation using *Clostridium beijerinckii*: Part I-Batch fermentation. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 32(2), 168–175.



- Saini, J. K., Saini, R., & Tewari, L. (2015). Lignocellulosic agriculture wastes as biomass feedstocks for second-generation bioethanol production: concepts and recent developments. *3 Biotech*, 5, 337–353.
- Sasaki, C., Kushiki, Y., Asada, C., & Nakamura, Y. (2014). Acetone–butanol–ethanol production by separate hydrolysis and fermentation (SHF) and simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (SSF) methods using acorns and wood chips of *Quercus acutissima* as a carbon source. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 62, 286–292.
- Sasso, P., & Lynam, K. (2012). Analysis of Organic Acids and Alcohols Using the Agilent J&W DB-624UI Ultra Inert GC Column, 1–6.
- Schmidt, M., & Weuster-Botz, D. (2012). Reaction engineering studies of acetone-butanol-ethanol fermentation with *Clostridium acetobutylicum*. *Biotechnology Journal*, 7(5), 656–661.
- Shahrim, Z. B. (2006). *Production of Sugars from Sago Hampas by Trichoderma sp. during Solid Substrate Fermentation*. Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Shao, M., & Chen, H. (2015). Feasibility of acetone-butanol-ethanol (ABE) fermentation from *Amorphophallus konjac* waste by *Clostridium acetobutylicum* ATCC 824. *Process Biochemistry*, 50(8), 1301–1307.
- Shariffa, Y. N., Karim, A. A., Fazilah, A., & Zaidul, I. S. M. (2009). Enzymatic hydrolysis of granular native and mildly heat-treated tapioca and sweet potato starches at sub-gelatinization temperature. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 23(2), 434–440.
- Shim, Y. L. (1992). *Utilization of sago “hampas” by microfungi*. University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Singhal, R. S., Kennedy, J. F., Gopalakrishnan, S. M., Kaczmarek, A., Knill, C. J., & Akmar, P. F. (2008). Industrial production, processing, and utilization of sago palm-derived products. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 72(1), 1–20.
- Sjostrom, E. (2013). *Wood Chemistry: Fundamentals and Applications*. Elsevier.
- Smith, K. (2015). *The Sago Palm: The Food and Environmental Challenges of the 21st Century - Google Books*. (Karl Smith, Ed.). Melbourne: Kyoto University Press.
- Speight, J. G. (2015). *Handbook of Petroleum Product Analysis*. (M. F. Vitha, Ed.) (2nd Ed). W Y, USA.
- Statistics of Sago*. (2012). Retrieved from <http://www.doa.sarawak.gov.my/modules/web/>
- Sukumaran, R. K., Singhania, R. R., Mathew, G. M., & Pandey, A. (2009). Cellulase production using biomass feed stock and its application in

- lignocellulose saccharification for bio-ethanol production. *Renewable Energy*, 34(2), 421–424.
- Sun, R., Jones, G. L., Tomkinson, J., & Bolton, J. (1999). Fractional isolation and partial characterization of non-starch polysaccharides and lignin from sago pith. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 9(3), 211–220.
- Sun, Z., & Liu, S. (2012). Production of n-butanol from concentrated sugar maple hemicellulosic hydrolysate by *Clostridia acetobutylicum* ATCC824. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 39, 39–47.
- Tashiro, Y., & Sonomoto, K. (2010). Advances in butanol production by *clostridia*, 1383–1394.
- Tester, R. F., Karkalas, J., & Qi, X. (2004). Starch - Composition, fine structure and architecture. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 39(2), 151–165.
- Thang, V. H., Kanda, K., & Kobayashi, G. (2010). Production of acetone-butanol-ethanol (ABE) in direct fermentation of cassava by *Clostridium saccharoperbutylacetonicum* N1-4. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 161(1–8), 157–70.
- Thangavelu, S. K., Ahmed, A. S., & Ani, F. N. (2014). Bioethanol production from sago pith waste using microwave hydrothermal hydrolysis accelerated by carbon dioxide. *Applied Energy*, 128, 277–283.
- Verardi, A., Bari, I. De, Ricca, E., & Calabrò, V. (2012). Hydrolysis of Lignocellulosic Biomass: Current Status of Processes and Technologies and Future Perspectives. *Bioethanol*, 290.
- Vickineswary, S., & Shim, Y. L. (1996). Growth and starch degrading activity of *Myceliophthora thermophila* in solid-substrate fermentation of sago hampas. *Journal of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology*, 42, 85–89.
- Vincent, M., Senawi, B. R., Esut, E., Muhammad Nor, N., & Awg-Adeni, D. S. (2015). Sequential Saccharification and Simultaneous Fermentation (SSSF) of Sago Hampas for the Production of Bioethanol. *Sains Malaysiana*, 44(6), 899–904.
- Virunanon, C., Ouephanit, C., Burapatana, V., & Chulalaksananukul, W. (2013). Cassava pulp enzymatic hydrolysis process as a preliminary step in bio-alcohols production from waste starchy resources. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 39, 273–279.
- Wahi, R., Kanakaraju, D., & Yusuf, N. A. (2010). Preliminary Study on Zinc Removal from Aqueous Solution by Sago Wastes. *Global Journal of Environmental Research*, 4(2), 127–134.
- Wang, L., & Chen, H. (2011). Increased fermentability of enzymatically hydrolyzed steam-exploded corn stover for butanol production by removal

of fermentation inhibitors. *Process Biochemistry*, 46(2), 604–607.

Wee, L. L., Mohamad Annuar, M. S., & Ibrahim, S. (2011). Short Communication: Energetics of glucoamylase-catalyzed hydrolysis of commercial sago starch.

Yahya, M., Mahyuddin, M., Alimon, A. R., Abdullah, N., & Ivan, M. (2011). Sago pith meal based diets in sheep containing different sources of nitrogen: Feed preparation, growth performance, digestibility and carcass quality. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 170(1–2), 45–52.

Yan, W., Acharjee, T. C., Coronella, C. J., & Va, V. R. (2009). Thermal Pretreatment of Lignocellulosic Biomass. *Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy*, 28(3), 435–440.

Yang, X., Tu, M., Xie, R., Adhikari, S., & Tong, Z. (2013). A comparison of three pH control methods for revealing effects of undissociated butyric acid on specific butanol production rate in batch fermentation of *Clostridium acetobutylicum*. *AMB Express*, 3(1), 3.

Yean, C., & Lan, S. (1993). *Sago processing wastes*. In Yeoh et al. (eds) *Waste Management in Malaysia: Current Status and Prospects for Bioremediation*. Ministry of Science.

Zeng, M., Morris, C., Batey, I., & Wrigley, C. (1997). Sources of variation for starch gelatinization, pasting, and gelatin properties in wheat. *Cereal Chem.*, 74(1), 63–71.

Zhang, W., Lin, Y., Zhang, Q., Wang, X., Wu, D., & Kong, H. (2013). Optimisation of simultaneous saccharification and fermentation of wheat straw for ethanol production. *Fuel*, 112, 331–337.

Zheng, Y., Pan, Z., & Zhang, R. (2009). Overview of biomass pretreatment for cellulosic ethanol production. *International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering*, 2(3), 51–68.

Zhu, M., Li, P., Gong, X., & Wang, J. (2012). A comparison of the production of ethanol between simultaneous saccharification and fermentation and separate hydrolysis and fermentation using unpretreated cassava pulp and enzyme cocktail. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, 76(4), 671–8.

Zverlov, V. V., Berezina, O., Velikodvorskaya, G. A., & Schwarz, W. H. (2006). Bacterial acetone and butanol production by industrial fermentation in the Soviet Union: Use of hydrolyzed agricultural waste for biorefinery. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 71(5), 587–597.