



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICES TOWARDS ZOONOSES  
AND BRUCELLOSIS AMONG MEDICAL AND VETERINARY  
STUDENTS IN PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES IN MALAYSIA AND  
NIGERIA***

**HAMZA ADAMU TANKO**

**FPSK(M) 2016 7**



**KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICES TOWARDS ZONOSSES  
AND BRUCELLOSIS AMONG MEDICAL AND VETERINARY  
STUDENTS IN PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES IN MALAYSIA AND  
NIGERIA**

By

**HAMZA ADAMU TANKO**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,  
in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

**September 2016**

## **COPYRIGHT**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



## DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my dearest parents for molding me into the person that I am today. May Allahu [SWT] bless them and grant them peace, may He (The Most Merciful) make Jannatul Firdaus their final abode.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Science

**KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICES TOWARDS ZONOSSES  
AND BRUCELLOSIS AMONG MEDICAL AND VETERINARY  
STUDENTS IN PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES IN MALAYSIA AND  
NIGERIA**

By

**HAMZA ADAMU TANKO**

**September 2016**

**Chairman : Prof. Dato' Lye Munn Sann MBBS, MPH, DrPH**  
**Faculty : Medicine and Health Sciences**

**Introduction:** Worldwide, emerging infectious diseases has continued to threaten the global health substantially. About 150 to 300 emerging infectious diseases that are transmissible between animals and humans have been identified. Interaction between man and animals increase the chance of zoonotic disease occurrence among people, their livestock, companion animals and wildlife. Brucellosis is the most common zoonotic disease and is still present throughout the five continents. In many parts of the world today brucellosis remain a disease of great economic impact and yet it is often unrecognized and frequently goes unreported.

**Objectives:** To determine the level of knowledge, attitude and practices towards zoonoses and knowledge towards brucellosis among medical and veterinary students in Universiti Putra Malaysia and Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Nigeria.

**Methodology:** A cross-sectional study was conducted using a proportionate stratified sampling method among 709 eligible students from both institutions. Data was collected on knowledge, attitude and practices regarding zoonoses and knowledge towards brucellosis among students from November 2014 to April 2015, using self-administered questionnaire, which was validated through content and face validity. Reliability was measured by checking the internal consistency using Cronbach's alpha and values above 0.7 were considered. Descriptive analysis, Chi-square, univariate and multiple logistic regression and Mann Whitney to compare the median scores across students were conducted using SPSS version 22. Level of significance was set at  $P < 0.05$ .

**Result:** There were a total of 709 students 369 and 340 from UPM and UDUSOK respectively. Response rate was 95.3% in UPM and 87% in UDUSOK. About 90.2% and 92.4% of the students had good knowledge in UPM and UDUSOK respectively.

Majority (99.2%) in UPM and (90.6%) in UDUSOK exhibited positive attitude. However, About 71.0% and 96.2% of the students had good knowledge regarding good preventive in UPM and UDUSOK respectively. Knowledge regarding brucellosis was found to be high 97.8% in UPM and 67.1% in UDUSOK. Predictors of knowledge, attitude and practices showed that faculty predicted knowledge in UPM ( $OR_{adj} = 13.241$ ,  $P = 0.001^*$ ,  $CI = 3.928-44.635$ ) while year of study in UDUSOK ( $OR_{adj} = 0.214$ ,  $P = 0.019^*$ ,  $CI = 0.059-0.774$ ). Age predicted attitude in UPM ( $OR_{adj} = 63.302$ ,  $P = 0.037^*$ ,  $CI = 1.272-3150.18$ ), while gender and year of study in UDUSOK ( $OR_{adj} = 3.451$ ,  $P = 0.029^*$ ,  $CI = 1.136-10.48$ ;  $OR_{adj} = 4.342$ ,  $P = 0.029^*$ ,  $CI = 1.161-16.24$  respectively). Knowledge on brucellosis was predicted by faculty in UPM and UDUSOK ( $OR_{adj} = 24.399$ ,  $P = 0.001^*$ ,  $CI = 11.083-53.71$ ;  $OR_{adj} = 4.729$ ,  $P = 0.001^*$ ,  $CI = 2.149-10.40$  respectively). However, year of study predicted knowledge regarding brucellosis in UDUSOK ( $OR_{adj} = 0.242$ ,  $P = 0.001^*$ ,  $CI = 0.106-0.533$  respectively).

**Conclusion:** Overall, the present study showed/demonstrated there was a high knowledge levels towards zoonoses and brucellosis. However, poor responses were established in some knowledge domains such as meaning and what zoonoses really are. Majority of the participants exhibited positive attitude towards zoonoses with better knowledge on good preventive measures.

**Keywords:** Knowledge, attitude, practices, zoonoses, brucellosis.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Sarjana Sains

**PENGETAHUAN, SIKAP DAN AMALAN TERHADAP ZOONOSIS DAN  
PENGETAHUAN TERHADAP BRUCELOSIS DALAM KALANGAN  
PELAJAR PERUBATAN DAN VETERINAR DI UNIVERSITI  
PUTRA MALAYSIA DAN USMANU DANFODIYO  
UNIVERSITY, NIGERIA**

Oleh

**HAMZA ADAMU TANKO**

**September 2016**

**Pengerusi : Prof. Dato' Lye Munn Sann MBBS, MPH, DrPH**  
**Fakulti : Perubatan dan Sains Kesihatan**

**Pengenalan:** Di seluruh dunia, penyakit berjangkit bangkit masih terus mengancam kesihatan global dengan ketara. Sekitar 150-300 penyakit berjangkit bangkit yang boleh berpindah antara haiwan dan manusia telah dikenal pasti. Interaksi antara manusia dan haiwan meningkatkan peluang kejadian penyakit zoonotik dalam kalangan masyarakat, ternakan mereka, haiwan peliharaan dan hidupan liar. Brucellosis adalah penyakit zoonotik yang paling biasa dan masih ada di seluruh lima benua. Sebahagian daripada dunia brucellosis kekal sebagai penyakit yang memberi kesan ekonomi yang besar akan tetapi masih sering tidak diiktiraf dan kerap tidak dilaporkan.

**Objektif:** Untuk menentukan tahap pengetahuan, sikap dan amalan mengenai zoonosis dan pengetahuan terhadap brucellosis dalam kalangan pelajar-pelajar perubatan dan veterinar di Universiti Putra Malaysia dan Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Nigeria.

**Metodologi:** Satu kajian keratan rentas telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan kaedah persampelan berstrata bersekadar dalam kalangan 709 responden berkeelayakan dari kedua-dua institusi. Data telah dikumpulkan mengenai pengetahuan, sikap dan amalan mengenai zoonosis dan pengetahuan terhadap brucellosis dalam kalangan peserta dari bulan November 2014 hingga April 2015, menggunakan soal selidik ditadbir sendiri yang telah disahkan melalui kesahan kandungan dan muka. Kebolehpercayaan diukur dengan memeriksa ketekalan dalaman menggunakan alfa Cronbach dan nilai melebihi 0.7 akan dipertimbangkan. Analisis deskriptif, khi-kuasa dua, univariat dan regresi logistik berganda dan Mann Whitney untuk membandingkan skor median bagi seluruh pelajar telah dijalankan menggunakan SPSS versi 22. Tahap signifikan telah ditetapkan pada  $P < 0.05$ .

**Keputusan:** Terdapat sejumlah 709 pelajar 369 dan 340 dari UPM dan UDUSOK masing-masing. kadar sambutan adalah 95.3% dan 87% di UPM dan UDUSOK. Kira-kira 90.2% dan 92.4% pelajar mempunyai pengetahuan yang baik di UPM dan UDUSOK masing-masing. Majoriti (99.2%) di UPM dan (90.6%) di UDUSOK mempamerkan sikap positif. Walau bagaimanapun, kira-kira 71.0% dan 96.2% pelajar mempunyai pengetahuan yang baik mengenai pencegahan baik di UPM dan UDUSOK masing-masing. Pengetahuan mengenai brucellosis didapati tinggi 97.8% di UPM dan 67.1% di UDUSOK. Peramal pengetahuan, sikap dan amalan telah fakulti meramalkan pengetahuan di UPM ( $OR_{adj} = 13.241$ ,  $P = 0.001^*$ ,  $CI = 3.928-44.635$ ) manakala tahun pengajian di UDUSOK ( $OR_{adj} = 0.214$ ,  $P = 0.019^*$ ,  $CI = 0.059-0.774$ ). Umur meramalkan sikap di UPM ( $OR_{adj} = 63.302$ ,  $P = 0.037^*$ ,  $CI = 1.272-3150.18$ ), manakala jantina dan tahun pengajian meramalkan sikap di UDUSOK ( $OR_{adj} = 3.451$ ,  $P = 0.029^*$ ,  $CI = 1.136-10.48$ ;  $OR_{adj} = 4.342$ ,  $P = 0.029^*$ ,  $CI = 1.161-16.24$  masing-masing). Pengetahuan terhadap brucellosis telah diramal oleh fakulti di UPM dan UDUSOK ( $OR_{adj} = 24.399$ ,  $P = 0.001^*$ ,  $CI = 11.083-53.71$ ;  $OR_{adj} = 4.729$ ,  $P = 0.001^*$ ,  $CI = 2.149-10.40$  respectively). Walau bagaimanapun, tahun pengajian meramal pengetahuan mengenai brucellosis di UDUSOK ( $OR_{adj} = 0.242$ ,  $P = 0.001^*$ ,  $CI = 0.106-0.533$  masing-masing).

**Kesimpulan:** Secara keseluruhan, tahap pengetahuan terhadap zoonosis dan brucellosis. Walau bagaimanapun, respon lemah didapati di beberapa domain pengetahuan seperti makna dan apa zoonosis sebenarnya. Majoriti peserta mempamerkan sikap positif terhadap zoonosis dengan pengetahuan yang lebih baik mengenai langkah-langkah pencegahan baik.

**Kata kunci:** Pengetahuan, sikap, amalan, zoonoses, brucellosis.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Foremost, I would like to express my deep gratitude to Allahu (SWT) for granting me life, good health and wisdom in pursuing through to this great achievement. I would like to acknowledge and humbly appreciate the immense contributions of the chairman of my committee Prof. Dato' Dr Lye Munn Sann who wasn't only the chairman but also a father and despite his tight schedules offers me invaluable advice and supported me throughout the journey of this research. I am also indebted to my supervisory committee members Assoc. Prof. Dr Latiffah Hassan, Principal Fellow Dr. Ong Bee Lee and Prof. Dr Alhaji Abdullahi Magaji, for their invaluable contributions, support, advice, and encouragement through the course of my study and in preparation of this draft. I would also like to appreciate the efforts of all my lecturers, for the priceless knowledge they have impacted in us; non-academic staff of the department, faculty and the university at large.

I am especially thankful to the coordinating director Nigeria Agricultural Quarantine Service Dr. Vincent Isiegbe for his moral and financial supports, and the Permanent Secretary Federal Ministry of Agricultural and Rural Development for the leave granted me to pursue my postgraduate studies. Additionally, I would like to appreciate the support and permission granted me by the deans and provost of the faculties of medicine and veterinary medicine in Universiti Putra Malaysia and Usmanu Danfodiyo University sokoto, Nigeria, to collect data from the students of the faculty without which this research work would have been a mirage.

To my colleagues and great friends that have been with me throughout this journey their company has been a true source of spiritual and moral support and relief to the burden of academic stress. Dr Usman Samaila Gusau, Dr Abdulrahman Ahmad, Dr Suraj Abdulrahman, Dr Sani Dahiru, Dr Abdul-rasheed Aliyu, Dr Gano Adamu I, Dr Kabiru Kalgo, Dr Jalo, Dr Dauda Goni, Dr Abdul-naseer, Dr sadiq, Addus- samad Abbakar, Abbaksr S Tanko, Hassan Muhammad, Ahmad Mustapha, Argungu, Abbakar Abbakar Ahmad, Dr Hudu, Ibrahim Saidu, Aliyu Danladi Hina, Isatou Hydara, Mary Ladidi Abu, Pamial Damulak and Safiya Yaqob to mention but a few. They have been instrumental to me personally, and to the success of my academic pursuit. Thank you and God bless you all.

Words could not be enough to express my deep and sincere gratitude to my beloved Parents, I so wish they were here today, their love, understanding and moral upbringing rendered to me has been a tremendous source of guidance that brought this far in life, may Allahu (SWT) bestowed his infinite mercies upon them and admit them in to Jannatul Firdaus.

I am indebted to my family members, my brothers and sisters Uncle Ibrahim Tanko (Erros), Barrister Maryam Hassan, Hajia Maimunatu Bala, Naseer, Abbakar, Babangida, Hussaini, Abdullahi, Saratu, Nafisa and Sabiu, Barrister Fateema Bala, Fateema, Ibrahim. Their loves, understanding with constant prayers have been an immeasurable contributor to the success of my work.

Finally, To Err is human and to forgive is divine, I would like to thank everyone who has directly and indirectly contributed to the success of my academic pursuit, and to

sincerely apologize to those that I have wronged directly or indirectly during the course of my work and to those I could not mention their names personally one by one, God bless you all.



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Lye Munn Sann, MBBS, MPH, DrPH**

Professor  
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Latiffah Hassan, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Ong Bee Lee, DVM, MSc**

Principal Fellow  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Universiti Malaysia Kelantan  
(Member)

**Alhaji Abdullahi Magaji, DVM, MPH, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,  
Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Nigeria.  
(Member)

---

**BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD**

Professor and Dean,  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Chairman  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Professor Dr. Lye Munn Sann, MBBS, MPH, DrPH

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Associate Professor Dr. Latiffah Hassan

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Dr. Ong Bee Lee, DVM, MSc

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Professor Dr. Alhaji Abdullahi Magaji, DVM, MPH

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	i
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	v
<b>APPROVAL</b>	vii
<b>DECLARATION</b>	ix
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xvii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xxi
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xxii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xxiii
 <b>CHAPTER</b>	
 <b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	 1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statement	3
1.3 Significance of the study	4
1.4 Research questions	4
1.5 Objectives of the study	4
1.5.1 General objective	4
1.5.2 Specific objectives	5
1.5.3 Research Hypotheses	5
 <b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	 6
2.1 Definition of zoonoses	6
2.2 Epidemiology of zoonoses	6
2.2.1 Global burdens of zoonoses	6
2.3 Mode of Transmission of zoonoses	8
2.4 Pathogens and host species	9
2.5 Role of Immunosuppression	10
2.6 Emergence and Re-emergence of zoonotic diseases	10
2.7 Treatment of zoonoses	11
2.8 Prevention/control of zoonoses	12
2.9 Primary prevention	12
2.10 Secondary prevention	13
2.11 Tertiary prevention	13
2.12 Brucellosis	14
2.12.1 Definition of brucellosis	14
2.12.2 Epidemiology and Global burden	14
2.12.3 Brucellosis in man and animals	15
2.12.4 Diagnoses in man and animals	15
2.12.5 Treatment of brucellosis	16
2.12.6 Prevention and control	16
2.12.6.1 Primary prevention of brucellosis	16
2.12.6.2 Secondary prevention	16
2.13 Knowledge regarding zoonoses	17
2.13.1 Factors associated with knowledge	17

2.14	Attitude towards zoonoses	18
2.14.1	Factors associated with attitude	18
2.15	Practice towards zoonoses	19
2.15.1	Factors associated with practice	19
2.16	Knowledge regarding brucellosis	20
2.16.1	Factors associated with knowledge regarding brucellosis	20
2.17	Relationship between knowledge, attitude and practice with socio-demographic characteristics	21
2.18	Relationship between knowledge, attitude and practice regarding zoonosis to knowledge of brucellosis	21
2.19	Conceptual framework	22
2.20	Framework for comparison	23
<b>3</b>	<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>25</b>
3.1	Introduction	25
3.2	Study location	25
3.2.1	University Putra Malaysia	25
3.2.2	Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Nigeria	25
3.3	Study design	26
3.4	Study duration	26
3.5	Study population	26
3.5.1	Selection criteria	26
3.5.1.1	Inclusion criteria	26
3.5.1.2	Exclusion criteria	26
3.5.2	Sampling frame	26
3.5.3	Sample Size Estimation	27
3.5.3.1	Sampling method: Proportionate stratified random sample	29
3.6	Measuring Instrument	29
3.7	Components of the Questionnaire	30
3.7.1	Section A : Socio-Demography	30
3.7.2	Section B : Knowledge regarding Zoonoses	30
3.7.3	Section C : Attitude towards zoonosis	31
3.7.4	Section D : Knowledge oriented Practice	31
3.7.5	Section E : Knowledge on brucellosis	31
3.8	Data Collection	32
3.9	Study Variables	32
3.10	Dependent Variables	32
3.11	Independent Variables	32
3.12	Definition of Operational Terms	32
3.13	Quality Control	33
3.13.1	Content Validity	33
3.13.2	Face Validity	34
3.13.3	Internal Consistency of the Questionnaire	34
3.14	Data Analysis	34
3.15	Ethical consideration and consent	35
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS</b>	<b>36</b>
4.1	Descriptive, Associations and Predictors in UPM	36

4.1.1	Response rate	36
4.1.2	Test for normality	36
4.1.3	Socio-demographic characteristics in UPM	37
4.1.4	Knowledge regarding zoonoses in UPM	38
4.1.4.1	Respondents' sources of information in UPM	38
4.1.4.2	Respondents' knowledge of the meaning, mode of transmission, examples, animal reservoir and prevention of zoonoses	39
4.1.4.3	Respondents' knowledge on diagnosis, epidemiology and emerging zoonoses	41
4.1.5	Respondents' level of attitude towards zoonoses in UPM	41
4.1.6	Respondents' practice level on zoonoses in UPM	42
4.1.7	Respondents' knowledge on brucellosis	43
4.1.8	Knowledge scores of the respondents on zoonoses in UPM	44
4.1.9	Attitude and practice scores of the respondents	45
4.1.10	Association between socio-demographic characteristics and knowledge scores of the respondents	45
4.1.11	Association between socio-demographic characteristics and attitude of the respondents	47
4.1.12	Association between socio-demographic characteristics and practice of the respondents	48
4.1.13	Association between socio-demographic characteristics and knowledge of the respondents on brucellosis	49
4.1.14	Correlation between Knowledge, attitude and practice on zoonoses and knowledge on brucellosis in UPM	50
4.1.15	Knowledge regarding zoonotic diseases and its related predictors	51
4.1.16	Predictors of good knowledge for zoonoses in UPM	52
4.1.16.1	Model based on logistic regression	53
4.1.17	Attitude regarding zoonotic diseases and its related predictors	53
4.1.18	Predictors of positive attitude towards zoonoses in UPM	54
4.1.18.1	Model based on logistic regression	55
4.1.19	Practice regarding zoonotic diseases and its related predictors	55
4.1.20	Knowledge regarding brucellosis and its predictors	56
4.1.21	Predictors of high knowledge regarding brucellosis in UPM	57
4.1.21.1	Model based on log regression	58
4.1.22	Factors associated to knowledge, attitude and practice for zoonoses and knowledge of brucellosis in UPM	58
4.2	Descriptive, Associations and Predictors in UDUSOK	59



4.2.1	Response rate	59
4.2.2	Test for normality	59
4.2.3	Socio-demographic characteristics in UDUSOK	60
4.2.4	Knowledge regarding Zoonoses in UDUSOK	61
4.2.4.1	Respondents' sources of information in UDUSOK	61
4.2.4.2	Respondents' knowledge on the meaning, mode of transmission, examples, animal reservoir and prevention of zoonoses	62
4.2.4.3	Respondents' knowledge score on diagnosis, epidemiology and emerging zoonoses	63
4.2.5	Respondents' attitude towards zoonoses	64
4.2.6	Respondents' practices on zoonoses in UDUSOK	65
4.2.7	Respondents' knowledge scores on brucellosis in UDUSOK	66
4.2.8	Overall knowledge of the respondents on zoonoses in UDUSOK	67
4.2.9	Attitude and practice scores of the respondents in UDUSOK	68
4.2.10	Association between socio-demographic characteristics and knowledge scores of the respondents in UDUSOK	68
4.2.11	Association between socio-demographic characteristics and attitude of the respondents	69
4.2.12	Association between socio-demographic characteristics and practice of the respondents	70
4.2.13	Association between socio-demographic characteristics and knowledge of the respondents on brucellosis	71
4.2.14	Correlation between Knowledge, attitude and practice regarding and knowledge on brucellosis among respondents	72
4.2.15	Knowledge regarding zoonoses and its related predictors	73
4.2.16	Predictors of good knowledge on zoonoses in UDUSOK	74
4.2.16.1	Model based on logistic regression	75
4.2.17	Attitude towards zoonoses and its related predictors	75
4.2.18	Predictors of positive attitude towards zoonoses in UDUSOK	76
4.2.18.1	Model based on logistic regression	77
4.2.19	Practice for zoonoses and its related predictors	77
4.2.20	Knowledge of brucellosis and its related predictors	78
4.2.21	Predictors of good knowledge regarding brucellosis in UDUSOK	79
4.2.21.1	Model based on logistic regression	80
4.2.22	Factors related to knowledge, attitude and practice on zoonoses and knowledge of brucellosis	80



4.2.23	Median scores comparative analysis between and within UPM and UDUSOK	81
4.2.23.1	Knowledge median scores comparison between UPM & UDUSOK (Medical and veterinary students combined)	81
4.2.23.2	Attitude median scores comparison between UPM & UDUSOK (Medical and veterinary students combined)	81
4.2.23.3	Practice median scores comparison between UPM & UDUSOK (Medical and veterinary students combined)	81
4.2.23.4	Knowledge median scores of brucellosis comparison between UPM & UDUSOK (Medical and veterinary students combined)	82
4.2.24	Medical and Veterinary students comparison in UPM	82
4.2.24.1	Knowledge median scores comparison in UPM	82
4.2.24.2	Attitude median scores comparison in UPM	82
4.2.24.3	Practice median scores comparison in UPM	83
4.2.24.4	Knowledge median scores of brucellosis comparison in UPM	83
4.2.25	Medical and Veterinary students comparison in UDUSOK	83
4.2.25.1	Knowledge median scores comparison in UDUSOK	83
4.2.25.2	Attitude median scores comparison in UDUSOK	83
4.2.25.3	Practice median scores comparison in UDUSOK	84
4.2.25.4	Knowledge median scores on brucellosis comparison in UDUSOK	84
4.2.25.5	Medical Faculties comparison between UPM & UDUSOK	84
4.2.26	Faculty of Veterinary Medicine comparison (UPM & UDUSOK)	85

<b>5</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>87</b>
5.1	Introduction	87
5.2	Socio-Demographic Characteristics	87
5.3	Respondents' sources of information	88
5.4	Knowledge regarding zoonoses	89
5.4.1	Socio-demographic characteristics associated with Knowledge regarding zoonoses	91
5.5	Attitude towards zoonoses	92
5.5.1	Socio-demographic characteristic associated with attitude towards zoonoses	92

5.6	Practice regarding zoonoses	93
5.6.1	Socio-demographic characteristics associated with practice regarding zoonoses	95
5.7	Knowledge regarding brucellosis	95
5.7.1	Socio-demographic characteristics associated with knowledge regarding brucellosis	96
5.8	Predictors of knowledge, attitude and practice for zoonoses	97
5.8.1	Predictors of knowledge regarding zoonoses	97
5.8.2	Predictors of attitude towards zoonoses	98
5.8.3	Predictors of practice on zoonoses	98
5.8.4	Predictors of knowledge regarding brucellosis	99
5.9	Correlation between Knowledge, attitude and practice about zoonoses and knowledge regarding brucellosis	99
5.10	Comparison of the median scores of knowledge, attitude and practice for zoonoses and knowledge regarding brucellosis (UPM & UDUSOK)	99
5.11	Comparison of the median score of knowledge, attitude and practice about zoonoses and knowledge on brucellosis between medical and veterinary students in UPM	100
5.12	Comparison of the median scores of knowledge, attitude and practice for zoonoses and knowledge on brucellosis between medical and veterinary students in UDUSOK	100
5.13	Comparison of median scores of knowledge, attitude and practice for zoonoses and knowledge of brucellosis between medical faculties of UPM and UDUSOK	101
5.14	Comparison on median scores of knowledge, attitude and practice for zoonoses and knowledge of brucellosis between Faculties of Veterinary Medicine (UPM & UDUSOK)	101
<b>6</b>	<b>SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>103</b>
6.1	Summary	103
6.2	Conclusion	104
6.3	Strengths and Limitations of the study	104
6.3.1	Strength of the Study	104
6.3.2	Limitations of the Study	105
6.4	Recommendations and further studies	105
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>107</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>113</b>
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	<b>143</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>144</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Mode of transmission of some common zoonoses	9
2.2 Treatment of some common zoonoses	12
3.1 Variables used in sample size estimation	28
3.2 Range of score regarding knowledge	30
3.3 Internal consistency of questionnaire	34
4.1 Test for normality 1	37
4.2 Test for Normality 2	37
4.3 Socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents in UPM (N = 369)	38
4.4 Respondents' sources of information on zoonosis in UPM (N = 369)	39
4.5 Respondents' knowledge scores on the meaning, mode of transmission, examples, animal reservoir and prevention in UPM (N = 369)	40
4.6 Respondents' knowledge score on diagnosis, epidemiology and emerging zoonosis in UPM (N = 369)	41
4.7 Respondents' level of attitude in UPM (N = 369)	42
4.8 Respondents' level of practices in UPM (N = 369)	43
4.9 Respondents' knowledge scores on brucellosis in UPM (N = 369)	44
4.10 Knowledge scores of the respondents in UPM (N = 369)	45
4.11 Level of attitude and practice of the respondents in UPM (N = 369)	45
4.12 Association between socio-demographic characteristics and knowledge scores of the respondents (N = 369)	46
4.13 Association between socio-demographic characteristics and attitude scores of the respondents (N = 369)	48

4.14	Association between socio-demographic characteristics and practice scores of the respondents (N = 369)	49
4.15	Association between socio-demographic characteristics and knowledge scores of the respondents on brucellosis (N = 369)	50
4.16	Correlation between knowledge, attitude and practice on zoonosis and knowledge regarding brucellosis (N = 369)	51
4.17	Simple logistic regression showing crude odd ratio (OR) of predictors of good Knowledge (N = 369)	52
4.18	Multiple logistic regression showing adjusted odd ratio (OR) of predictors of good knowledge (N = 369)	53
4.19	Simple logistic regression showing crude odd ratio (OR) of predictors of positive attitude towards zoonosis (N = 369)	54
4.20	Multiple logistic regression showing adjusted odd ratio (OR) of predictors of positive attitude (N = 369)	55
4.21	Simple logistic regression showing crude odd ratio (OR) of predictors of good practice (N = 369)	56
4.22	Simple logistic regression showing crude odd ratio (OR) of predictors of good knowledge on brucellosis (N = 369)	57
4.23	Multiple logistic regression showing adjusted odd ratio (OR) of predictors of good knowledge on brucellosis (N = 369)	58
4.24	Factors associated with knowledge, attitude and practice and knowledge on brucellosis and their predictors (N=369)	58
4.25	Test for Normality 1	59
4.26	Test for Normality 2	60
4.27	Socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents in UDUSOK (N = 340)	61
4.28	Respondents' source of information on zoonosis in UDUSOK (N = 340)	62
4.29	Respondents' knowledge on the meaning, mode of transmission, examples, animal reservoir and prevention of zoonosis (N = 340)	63
4.30	Respondents' knowledge score on diagnosis, epidemiology and emerging zoonosis in UDUSOK (N = 340)	64
4.31	Respondents' attitude towards zoonosis in UDUSOK (N = 340)	65

4.32	Respondents' practice on zoonosis in UDUSOK (N = 340)	66
4.33	Respondents' knowledge scores on brucellosis in UDUSOK (N = 340)	67
4.34	Knowledge scores on zoonosis and brucellosis in UDUSOK (N= 340)	67
4.35	Level of attitude and practice on zoonosis in UDUSOK (N = 340)	68
4.36	Association between socio-demographic characteristics and knowledge scores of the respondents in UDUSOK (N = 340)	69
4.37	Association between socio-demographic characteristics and attitude scores of the respondents in UDUSOK (N = 340)	70
4.38	Association between socio-demographic characteristics and practice scores of the respondents in UDUSOK (N = 340)	71
4.39	Association between socio-demographic characteristics and respondents knowledge scores on brucellosis in UDUSOK (N = 340)	72
4.40	Correlation between Knowledge, attitude and practice with knowledge on brucellosis in UDUSOK (N = 340)	73
4.41	Simple logistic regression showing crude odd ratio (OR) of predictors of good Knowledge in UDUSOK (N = 340)	74
4.42	Multiple logistic regression showing adjusted odd ratio (OR) of predictors of good knowledge in UDUSOK (N = 340)	75
4.43	Simple logistic regression showing crude odd ratio (OR) of predictors of positive attitude IN UDUSOK (N = 340)	76
4.44	Multiple logistic regression showing adjusted odd ratio (OR) of predictors of positive attitude in UDUSOK (N = 340)	77
4.45	Simple logistic regression showing crude odd ratio (OR) of predictors of good Practice in UDUSOK (N = 340)	78
4.46	Simple logistic regression showing crude odd ratio (OR) of predictors of good knowledge on brucellosis (N = 340)	79
4.47	Multiple logistic regression showing adjusted odd ratio (OR) of predictors of positive attitude in UDUSOK (N = 340)	80
4.48	Factors associated with knowledge, attitude and practice and knowledge on brucellosis and their predictors in UDUSOK (N = 340)	81

4.49	Median scores comparisons for Medical and veterinary students combined in UPM and UDUSOK (N = 709)	82
4.50	Median scores comparisons in UPM (N = 369)	83
4.51	Median scores comparisons in UDUSOK	84
4.52	Median score comparison among medical students in UPM and UDUSOK (N = 709)	85
4.53	Median score comparison among veterinary students in both UPM and UDUSOK (N = 709)	86



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Map by ILRI, from original published in an ILRI report to DFID: mapping of poverty and likely zoonoses hotspots, 2012	7
2.2	Map by IOZ, published in an ILRI report to DFID: Mapping of poverty and likely zoonoses Hotspots, 2012	8
2.3	World incidence of human brucellosis (Pappas G. et al., 2006)	15
2.4	Conceptual framework showing association soci-demographic characteristics with knowledge, attitude and practice on zoonoses and knowledge on brucellosis	23
2.5	Framework for comparison between and within groups	24
3.1	Flow chart for recruitment of the respondents	29

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
A1	Questionnaire used for the study	113
A2	Normality test out puts for respondents in UPM	120
A3	Test for normality outputs for respondents in UDUSOK	126
A4	Permission from the Dean Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, UPM	132
A5	Permission from the Dean Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, UPM	134
A6	Permission from the Provost College of Health Sciences, UDUSOK	135
A7	Permission from the Dean Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, UDUSOK	136
A8	Application to SGS requesting for additional supervisor	138
A9	Respondent's information sheet and consent	139
A10	Other variables used in the sample size calculation	142



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

>	Greater than
%	Percentage
&	And
SGS	School of Graduate studies
<	Less than
≤	Less than or equal to
≥	Greater than or equal to
<i>B.</i>	Brucella
CI	Confidence interval
DF	Degree of freedom
F	Frequency
FAO	World Organization for Animal Health
IQR	Inter-quartile range
KAP	Knowledge, attitude and Practice
M	Mean
N	Total number
OR	Odds ratio
OR <sub>adj</sub>	Adjusted odds ratio
OR <sub>crude</sub>	Crude odd ratio
SD	Standard Deviation
<i>Spp</i>	Specie
SPSS	Statistical package for social sciences
UDUSOK	Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto
UDUTH	Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital

UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia
WHO	World Health Organisation
$\chi^2$	Chi-square
YOS	Year of study
Z	Z- Statistics



## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background

A zoonotic disease refers to any contagious disease that can be spread between animals and humans (Swai E et al., 2010 & WHO/FAO/OIE, 2004). Worldwide, emerging infectious diseases have continued to distort the global health substantially (Hahn et al., 2000).

About 150 to 300 emerging infectious diseases that are transmissible between animals and humans (Taylor et al., 2001), and about 75% of recently emerging infectious diseases affecting humans are diseases of animal origin. More so, it has been estimated that 60% of all human pathogens are zoonotic in nature (Jones et al., 2008; Murphy, 1998). Those diseases have been identified involving all types of microbial agent's bacteria, parasites, viruses, prions and fungi. Zoonotic diseases can be spread through diverse means such as working closely with livestock, household pets, exhibited animals or related wildlife, by simply coming in contact with soil or water contaminated by animals or by consuming improperly processed foods and dairy products (Kakkar et al., 2011).

In developing countries today, zoonotic diseases such as anthrax, tuberculosis, brucellosis, rabies, trypanosomiasis are the most common neglected zoonoses (WHO/FAO/OIE, 2006), causing a devastating hindrance to livestock growth. Those diseases have continued to infect a large proportion of the human population in many developed countries including United States each year and many other parts of the globe today are considered to be endemic zones of zoonotic diseases (Kakkar et al., 2011b).

Emerging zoonotic diseases are diseases of infectious origin that have been highly prevalent in the past and threatens to increase in the near future (Institute of Medicine, 1992). Zoonotic diseases remain a threat because of their potential to move from animal-to-human and further advances to a human-to-human transmission route with deadly outcome. Indeed, some of the most common infectious human diseases of animal origin are now no longer zoonotic (Wolfe et al, 2007). Other zoonotic diseases are challenging because they are sustained in livestock or wild animal reservoirs (Grace et al., 2011). Risk to humans increases when there is a change in behavior that allows levels of pathogens to circle in their animal hosts; for example when intensive farming leading to higher levels of food-borne pathogens enhances more contacts with humans and infected animals (Grace et al., 2011).

Brucellosis otherwise known as Mediterranean fever, Malter fever is the most common zoonotic infection disease caused by primarily several *Brucella* species namely *B. melitensis*, *B. abortus*, *B. ovis*, *B. canis* and *B. suis*, which is a small Gram-negative aerobic or micro-aerophilic (Pappas G. *et al.*, 2006). The agents are non-motile, non-spore-forming, encapsulated in cells. The organisms are equally facultative intracellular pathogens, which give them a special feature of being difficult to treat with antibiotics, with a preference to reproductive organs and mononuclear phagocytes, in which they survive and multiply. In addition to its parasitic features, the agents can withstand environmental changes, can survive for a long period of time on the space, can spend close to a year in animal excrement and during winter the agent can stay for a very long time (nearly fifty days) on the walls, and about half a day during summer. Brucellosis has continued to persist as a world health problem ranking near the top of the list of infections transmitted from animals to human (Dean *et al.*, 2012).

The disease have serious economic and public health ramifications on livestock that is to a greater extent distributed among human populations, livestock industries and related wildlife at large (Anka *et al.*, 2013). WHO reported 0.5 million annual new cases of human brucellosis across the globe (Pappas *et al.*, 2006). The impact of the disease has continued to persist in many part of the world today especially in the European countries, north and east Africa, the Middle East, South and Central Asia, Central and South America and yet it is often unrecognized and frequently goes unreported (Jama'ayah, 2011).

Impact of zoonotic diseases has continued to significantly affect human health (Marcotty *et al.*, 2013). This is often due to the close contact with animals, the lack of recognition when zoonotic disease occurs in humans and the lack of resources to control them. In fact, domestic and wild animals harbor a huge pool of micro-organisms that are potentially pathogenic to humans (Grace *et al.*, 2011). The natural history and epidemiology of zoonotic infectious diseases has implications for their management. Moreover, the emergence of zoonotic diseases is driven by disturbance of the host (be it human, animal, or insect), pathogen, and environment equilibrium, with the implication that sociology, farming systems, and ecology can all contribute to better understanding of the genesis and, ultimately, detection and prevention of zoonotic emerging infectious diseases (Grace *et al.*, 2011).

Southeast Asia including Malaysia have been regarded as endemic zone for emergence zoonotic diseases as it was selected one of the global “hotspots” by the United States Agency for International Development funded project on Emerging Pandemic Threats commencing in 2009 (Grace *et al.*, 2011). Constant increase in the economy of the region and rapid population growth provides and creates serious situations and drivers for disease occurrence (Bidaisee & Macpherson, 2014).

Incidence of Brucellosis has continued grow in many parts of the world and sub-Saharan Africa remains the worldwide epicenter, while rates of infection have increased in recent times in many developing countries and in southeast Asia, India

and China, where large population of humans are potentially at risk (Pappas et al., 2006).

## 1.2 Problem statement

Interaction between human and animals increase the chance of zoonoses occurrence among people, their livestock, companion animals and wildlife (WHO, 2010). Those diseases often surface at an increasing rate; public health practice requires greater cooperation between medical, public health and veterinary profession. Recent classification of infectious diseases has shown that nearly 75% of evolving pathogens are of animal origin (Graham et al., 2008).

Hence the need for inter-disciplinary capacity building to address the complexity of zoonotic disease (Ankri & Mirelman, 1999). In 2004, the Joint World Health Organization and Food and Agricultural Organization meeting on emerging zoonotic diseases identified inadequate support for building public health and veterinary core capacities in zoonosis including the lack of basic training and education in various medical and veterinary institutions in a larger part of the world (WHO, 2010).

In 2008, World bank came up with a series of programs suggesting differential investments in basic and/or focused veterinary and medical education to build trained human resources in many parts of the world (Kakkar et al., 2011b).

However, the United States Institute of Medicine expert committee in 2009 recommended joint educational representations to advance and improve inter-sectorial communication and the understanding of the inter-disciplinary nature of diseases of zoonotic origin. In many developing societies including Southeast Asia and Africa are considered as seriously endemic regions with reference to Malaysia and Nigeria where the impact of zoonotic diseases including brucellosis present a devastating threat to livestock industries and wildlife at large as revealed by many previous studies and hence the need to assess the level of understanding of zoonoses among medical and veterinary students in those regions as health providers for proper control of zoonoses.

Few previous studies were community-based and reported patchy knowledge among the community. Level of knowledge, attitude and practices such brucellosis had not been widely studied among medical and veterinary students in many developing countries to ascertain their level of understanding of zoonoses with respect to good management preventive to reduce the impact of those diseases among human population and livestock industries (Kakkar et al., 2011b). Hence, medical and veterinary student were selected for the study.

### **1.3 Significance of the study**

The impact of zoonoses on public health, socio-economic and livestock have continued to increase in many countries (Taylor et al., 2001). In these countries, the implementation of suitable methods for livestock and zoonotic disease protection zoonotic is extremely difficult (WHO, 2006).

It is therefore important to apply effective intervention methods to properly minimize the threats, part of which is the need to understand the gaps in covering zoonoses in the present curriculum of medical and veterinary institutions. A way of addressing these gaps is by assessing knowledge, perception and knowledge oriented practice regarding zoonosis among students in the medical and veterinary fields.

Such a basic assessment has not been carried out in many countries today. It is in this context that the current study provides baseline information on the level of knowledge, perception and knowledge-oriented practice among medical and veterinary students and also established those areas in their curricula where knowledge is lacking. This study will also provide critical recommendations on what is needed to be incorporated in their teaching contents so that gaps identified can be bridged effectively.

### **1.4 Research questions**

- i. What is the level of knowledge, attitude and practices towards zoonoses among medical and veterinary students in UPM and UDUSOK?
- ii. What is the level of knowledge towards brucellosis among medical and veterinary students in UPM and UDUSOK?
- iii. What are the factors associated with Knowledge, attitude and practices towards zoonoses among medical and veterinary students in UPM and UDUSOK?
- iv. What are the factors associated with Knowledge towards brucellosis among medical and veterinary students in UPM and UDUSOK?
- v. What are the predictors of knowledge, attitude and practices towards zoonoses among medical and veterinary students in UPM and UDUSOK?
- vi. What are the predictors of knowledge towards brucellosis among medical and veterinary students in UPM and UDUSOK?

### **1.5 Objectives of the study**

#### **General objective**

The main aim of the research is to determine the level of knowledge, attitude and practices towards zoonoses and knowledge towards brucellosis with their predictors among medical and veterinary students in Universiti Putra Malaysia and Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Nigeria.



### **Specific objectives**

- i. To determine the level of knowledge, attitude and practice regarding zoonoses and knowledge on brucellosis among medical and veterinary students in Universiti Putra Malaysia and Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Nigeria.
- ii. To determine the socio-demographic characteristics among medical and veterinary students in UPM and UDUSOK.
- iii. To determine the association between:
  - a. Socio-demographic factors and knowledge, attitude and practice for zoonoses and knowledge of brucellosis among medical and veterinary students in UPM and UDUSOK.
  - b. Knowledge and attitude on zoonoses in UPM and UDUSOK.
  - c. Knowledge and practice on zoonoses in UPM and UDUSOK.
  - d. Attitude and practice on zoonoses in UPM and UDUSOK.
  - e. Knowledge, attitude and practice for zoonoses and knowledge of brucellosis in UPM and UDUSOK.
- iv. To compare the level of knowledge, attitude and practice regarding zoonoses and knowledge of brucellosis between medical and veterinary students in UPM and UDUSOK.
- v. To determine the predictors of knowledge, attitude and practice and knowledge about brucellosis among medical and veterinary students in UPM and UDUSOK.

### **Research Hypotheses**

- i. There is a significant association between socio-demographic factors and knowledge, attitude and practice regarding zoonoses and knowledge on brucellosis among medical and veterinary students in UPM and UDUSOK.
- ii. There is a significant relationship between:
  - a. Knowledge and attitude on zoonoses in UPM and UDUSOK.
  - b. Knowledge and practice on zoonoses in UPM and UDUSOK.
  - c. Attitude and practice on zoonoses in UPM and UDUSOK.
  - d. Knowledge, attitude and practice on zoonosis and knowledge on brucellosis in UPM and UDUSOK.
- iii. There is a significant difference in the level of knowledge, attitude and practice for zoonoses and knowledge of brucellosis among medical and veterinary students in UPM and UDUSOK.
- iv. Socio-demographic characteristics are associated with knowledge, attitude and practice regarding zoonosis and knowledge on brucellosis among medical and veterinary students in UPM and UDUSOK.

## REFERENCES

- Abubakar, U., Ameh, J., Abdulkadir, I., Salisu, I., Okaiyeto, S., & Kudi, A. (2011). Bovine tuberculosis in Nigeria: A review. *Veterinary Research*, 4(1), 24–27.
- Addo, K. K., Mensah, G. I., Nartey, N., Nipah, G. K., Mensah, D., Aning, K. G., & Smits, H. L. (2011). Knowledge , attitudes and practices of Herdsmen in Ghana with respect to Milk-Borne Zoonotic Diseases and the Safe Handling of Milk, 1(10), Jo of Bas and Appl Sci Res.earch:1556–1562.
- Adebanjo, O. D. (2011). Knowledge, attitudes and practices of healthcare workers about prevention and control of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis at Botsabelo Hospital Maseru, Lesotho. *Unpublished Manuscript*.
- Adeseji Y.O. (2005). knowledge attitude and practice among occupational related workers in osun state, Nigeria. *Science Focus*, 10, 31–44.
- Álvarez E., LARRIEU E., C. (1990). Aportes al conocimiento del riesgo del ejercicio de la profesión veterinaria. *Veterinaria Argentina*, 7, 58–64, 1990.
- Anka, M. S., Hassan, L., Adzhar, A., Khairani-Bejo, S., Mohamad, R. Bin, & Zainal, M. a. (2013). Bovine brucellosis trends in Malaysia between 2000 and 2008. *BMC Veterinary Research*, 9, 230. doi:10.1186/1746-6148-9-230
- Anka, M. S., Hassan, L., Adzhar, A., Khairani-bejo, S., Mohamad, R. Bin, & Zainal, M. A. (2013). Bovine brucellosis trends in Malaysia between 2000 and 2008. *BMC Veterinary Research*, 9(1), 1. doi:10.1186/1746-6148-9-230
- Ankri, S., & Mirelman, D. (1999). Antimicrobial properties of allicin from garlic, 125–129.
- Ansari-Lari, M., Soodbakhsh, S., & Lakzadeh, L. (2010). Knowledge, attitudes and practices of workers on food hygienic practices in meat processing plants in Fars, Iran. *Food Control*, 21(3), 260–263.
- Asmare, K., Sibhat, B., Molla, W., Ayelet, G., Shiferaw, J., Martin, A. D., ... Godfroid, J. (2013). The status of bovine brucellosis in Ethiopia with special emphasis on exotic and cross bred cattle in dairy and breeding farms. *Acta Tropica*, 126(3), 186–192. doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2013.02.015
- Bati, J., Legesse, M., & Medhin, G. (2013). Community's knowledge, attitudes and practices about tuberculosis in Itang Special District, Gambella Region, South Western Ethiopia. *BMC Public Health*, 13(1), 734. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-13-734
- Bender J.B., Schiffman E., Hiber L., Gerads L., O., & K. (2012). Recovery of staphylococci from computer keyboards in a veterinary medical centre and the effect of routine cleaning., 170, 414.



Bidaisee, S., & Macpherson, C. N. L. (2014). Zoonoses and One Health : A Review of the Literature, 2014.

Corbel, M. J. Brucellosis in humans and animals. WHO. Geneva: 2006. 7: 68: Retrieved from: [www.who.int/csr/resources/publications/Brucellosis](http://www.who.int/csr/resources/publications/Brucellosis).

Courtenay, W. H. (2000). Constructions of masculinity and their influence on men's well-being: A theory of gender and health. *Social Science & Medicine*, 50(10), 1385–1401.

Dean, A. S., Crump, L., Greter, H., Schelling, E., & Zinsstag, J. (2012). Global Burden of Human Brucellosis : A Systematic Review of Disease Frequency, 6(10). doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001865

Fatiregun, a. a., & Ejeckam, C. C. (2010). Determinants of patient delay in seeking treatment among pulmonary tuberculosis cases in a government specialist hospital in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Tanzania Journal of Health Research*, 12(2). doi:10.4314/thrb.v12i2.56398

Gastaldi, ., Tarabla h.d., Alvarez e., M. G., & Sommerfelt i., Arango J., l. n. r. de. (2003). Accidentes y zoonosis en estudiantes de veterinaria de la república argentina. in *x international symposium of veterinary epidemiology & economics*, (pp. 523–525).

Dalia G., Gilbert, J., Lapar, M. L., Unger, F., & Fe, S. (2011). Zoonotic Emerging Infectious Disease in Selected Countries in Southeast Asia : Insights from Ecohealth, 55–62. doi:10.1007/s10393-010-0357-3

Graham J. I., Leibler J. H., P. et al. (2008). “The animal-human interface and infectious disease in industrial food animal production: rethinking biosecurity and biocontainment. *Public Health Reports*, 123(3), 282–299.

Grahn, C. (2013). Brucellosis in small ruminants – an investigation of knowledge, attitude and practices in peri-urban farming around the region of Dushanbe, Tajikistan.

Hahn, B. H., Shaw, G. M., Cock, K. M. De, & Sharp, P. M. (2000). AIDS as a Zoonosis : Scientific and Public Health Implications, 287(January), 607–614.

Hambolu, D., Freeman, J., & Taddese, H. B. (2013). Predictors of Bovine TB Risk Behaviour amongst Meat Handlers in Nigeria: A Cross-Sectional Study Guided by the Health Belief Model. *PLoS ONE*, 8(2), 1–9. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056091

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Universiti\\_Putra\\_Malaysia](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Universiti_Putra_Malaysia).

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Usmanu\\_Danfodiyo\\_University](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Usmanu_Danfodiyo_University). (2014). Usmanu\_Danfodiyo\_University.

- Inpankaew, T., Schär, F., Odermatt, P., Dalsgaard, A., Chimnoi, W., Khieu, V., ... Traub, R. J. (2014). Low risk for transmission of zoonotic *Giardia duodenalis* from dogs to humans in rural Cambodia, 1–5. doi:10.1186/1756-3305-7-412
- Institute of Medicine (IOM) (. (1992). *Emerging Infections: Microbial Threats to Health in the United States*, Washington, DC. *National Academy Press*.
- Ismail A & Josephat P., (2014). Knowledge and perception on tuberculosis transmission in Tanzania: Multinomial logistic regression analysis of secondary data, 16(1).
- Ismaila U.G., Hejar A., S. M. S. (2015). Knowledge on Bovine Tuberculosis among Abattoir Workers in Gusau, Zamfara State, Nigeria, 2(3), 45–58.
- Jekel, J. F., Katz, D. L., Elmore, J. G., & Wild, D. (2007). Epidemiology, biostatistics and preventive medicine. *Elsevier Health Sciences*.
- Jones KE, Patel NG, Levy MA, Storeygard A, Balk D, G. J., & Al., E. (2008a). Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. *Nature*, 451, 990–993.
- Jones KE, Patel NG, Levy MA, Storeygard A, Balk D, G. J., & Al., E. Global trends in emerging infectious diseases (2008b). *Nature*, 451, 990–993.
- Kakkar, M., Ramani, S., Menon, G., Sankhe, L., & Gaidhane, A. (2011a). “Zoonoses ? Not sure what that is . . . ” An assessment of knowledge of zoonoses among medical students in India. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 105(5), 254–261. doi:10.1016/j.trstmh.2011.02.002
- Kakkar, M., Ramani, S., Menon, G., Sankhe, L., & Gaidhane, A. (2011b). Zoonoses ? Not sure what that is . . . ” An assessment of knowledge of zoonoses among medical students in India. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 105(5), 254–261. doi:10.1016/j.trstmh.2011.02.002
- Khandoker, a., Khan, M. M. H., Krämer, a., & Mori, M. (2011). Knowledge about tuberculosis transmission among ever-married women in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*, 15(3), 379–384.
- Knowledge Management Glossary. (n.d.). *knowledge Research Institute, Inc and other Sources*.
- Lemeshow, S., Hosmer, D. W., Klar, J., & Lwanga, S. K. (1990). Adequacy of sample size in health studies.

- Marcotty, T., Thys, E., Conrad, P., Godfroid, J., Craig, P., Zinsstag, J., ... Boelaert, M. (2013). Comparative Immunology , Microbiology and Infectious Diseases Intersectoral collaboration between the medical and veterinary professions in low-resource societies: The role of research and training institutions &. "Comparative Immunology, Microbiology and Infectious Diseases," 36(3), 233–239. doi:10.1016/j.cimid.2012.10.009
- Matibag, G. C., Kamigaki, T., Kumarasiri, P. V. R., Wijewardana, T. G., Kalupahana, A. W., Dissanayake, D. R. A., ... Tamashiro, H. (2007). Knowledge , Attitudes , and Practices Survey of Rabies in a Community in Sri Lanka, (March), 84–89.
- Memish, Z. A., & Balkhy, H. H. (2004). Brucellosis and International Travel: A review. *Unpublished Manuscript*, 49–55.
- Messenger, A. M., Barnes, A. N., & Gray, G. C. (2014). Reverse Zoonotic Disease Transmission ( Zooanthroponosis ): A Systematic Review of Seldom-Documented Human Biological Threats to Animals, 9(2), 1–9. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089055
- Molineri, A. I., Signorini, M. L., Ruiz, M. E., Marenghi, M., & Tarabla, H. D. (2015). Awareness of zoonoses in freshmen entering the veterinary school \* Conhecimento sobre zoonoses em um grupo de estudantes recém-matriculados no curso de medicina veterinária, 2805(3080), 239–242.
- Muehlenbein, M. P., Ann, L., Lemke, A. A., Ambu, L., Nathan, S., Alsisto, S., & Sakong, R. (2010). Unhealthy travelers present challenges to sustainable primate ecotourism. *Travel Medicine and Infectious Disease*, 8(3), 169–175. doi:10.1016/j.tmaid.2010.03.004
- Murphy, F. A. (1998). Emerging zoonoses. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 3, 429–435.
- Mushtaq, M., Majrooh, M., Ahmad, W., Rizwan, M., Luqman, M., Aslam, M., Shad, M. (2010). Knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding tuberculosis in two districts of Punjab, Pakistan. *The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*, 14(3), 303–310.
- Myers, D. G. (2014). Social Psychology | Glossary. *McGraw-Hill Higher Education*.
- Mz, J. (2011). Seroprevalance of brucellosis among suspected cases in Malaysia, 33(1), 31–34.
- OECD. (2011). Glossary. *OECD publishing*.
- Okuonghae, D. (2010). Determinants of TB Case Detection in Nigeria : A Survey, 2(2), 123–128.

- Omemo, P., Ogola, E., Omondi, G., Wasonga, J., & Knobel, D. (2012). Knowledge, attitude and practice towards zoonoses among public health workers in Nyanza province, Kenya. *Journal of Public Health in Africa*, 3(2), 22. doi:10.4081/jphia.2012.e22
- Oxford Dictionaries, Language Matters. (2014). *Oxford University Press*.
- Pappas G, Akritidis N, Bosilkovski M, T. E. (2005). Brucellosis. *N Engl J Med*, 325, 2325–36.
- Pappas, G., Papadimitriou, P., Akritidis, N., Christou, L., & Tsianos, E. V. (2006). The new global map of human brucellosis, 6(February), 91–99.
- Perry, B.D., Randolph, T.F., McDermott, J.J., Sones, K.R. & Thornton, P. . (2002). Investing in Animal Health Research to Alleviate Poverty. *International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Nairobi, Kenya*, 133.
- Ray, S., Kulkarni, B., & Sreenivas, A. (2011). Prevalence of prehypertension in young military adults & its association with overweight & dyslipidaemia. *The Indian Journal of Medical Research*, 134(2), 162–7.
- Robin H., Molineri A., I., Signorini M., T. (2012). riesgo de accidentes y zoonosis en estudiantes de veterinaria en argentina. in *xivº congreso de la sociedad de biología, casilda* (p. 244).
- Rosiji, C. O., & Adesokan, H. K. (2012). Measures taken for bovine tuberculosis prevention among butchers in two major abattoirs in Ibadan, south-western nigeria. *Global Veterinaria*, 9(1), 107–112. doi:10.5829/idosi.gv.2012.9.1.64139
- Scott Line, Cynthia M. Kahn. (2010). *the merck veterinary manual tenth edition* (2010th ed.). merck & co., inc. whitehouse station, n.j., U.S.A.
- Sequeira G.J., Dalla Fontana M.L., Z. M. V., Soto L.P., Frizzo L.S., Zarazaga M. del P., S., & I.C., S. M. L. (2013). Triquinelosis: estudio epidemiológico retrospectivo de casos durante el periodo 1998-2009 en la provincia de Santa Fe. Argentina. *Revista Panamericana de Salud Pública*, 33, 363–369.
- Stull, J. W. (2015). Zoonotic Disease Risks for I m m u n o c o m p r o m i s e d a n d O t h e r H i g h - r i s k C l i e n t s a n d S t a f f Promoting Safe Pet Ownership and Contact Zoonoses Pet Immunocompromised One health Child Pregnant Elderly. *Veterinary Clinics of NA: Small Animal Practice*. doi:10.1016/j.cvsm.2014.11.007
- Stull, J. W., Peregrine, A. S., Sargeant, J. M., & Weese, J. S. (2012). Household knowledge , attitudes and practices related to pet contact and associated zoonoses in. *BMC Public Health*, 12(1), 1. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-12-553

- Swai, Schoonman, & D. (2010). Knowledge attitude and practice towards zoonoses among animals health workers and livestock keepers in Arushar and tanger, tanzani. *Tanzania Journal of Health Research*, 12, 8.
- Taleski, V., Zerva, L., Kantardjiev, T., & Cvetnic, Z. (2002). An overview of the epidemiology and epizootology of brucellosis in selected countries of Central and Southeast Europe, 90, 147–155.
- Taylor LH, L. S. (2001). Risk factors for human disease emergence. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 356(Series B: Biological Sciences), 983–989.
- Tenzin, Dhand, N. K., Rai, B. D., Changlo, Tenzin, S., Tsheten, K., ... Ward, M. P. (2012). Community-based study on knowledge, attitudes and perception of rabies in Gelephu, south-central Bhutan. *International Health*, 4(3), 210–9. doi:10.1016/j.inhe.2012.03.005
- Tobin, E. A., Okojie, P. W., & Isah, E. C. (2013). (2013). Community knowledge and attitude to pulmonary tuberculosis in rural Edo State, Nigeria. *Annals of African Medicine*, 12(3), 148–154.
- Universities and University Colleges Act 1971, The constitution of Universiti Putra Malaysia RULES. 2014: 26: Available from: <http://www.akademik.upm.edu.my/?LANG=en&uri=/ebookakd>
- Van den BROM R., Schimmer B., S., & P.M., Swart w.a., Van Der Hoek W. (2013). seroepidemiological survey for coxiella burnetii antibodies and associated risk factors in dutch livestock veterinarians. *plos one*, 8(54021).
- Vassalos, C. M., Economou, V., & Vassalou, E. (2009). Brucellosis in humans : why is it so elusive ?, (October). doi:10.1097/MRM.0b013e3283344b5a
- White, Z. N. (2011). Survey on the knowledge, attitudes and practices on tuberculosis (TB) among health care workers in Kingston & St. Andrew, Jamaica. *Unpublished Manuscript*.
- WHO. (2010). The control of neglected zoonotic diseases: a route to poverty alleviation: A report. Geneva 2005.
- WHO/FAO/OIE. Report of the WHO/FAO/OIE Joint Consultation on Emerging Zoonotic Diseases. Geneva 2004; 9: 64. Retrieved from: [apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/68899](http://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/68899).
- WHO/FAO/OIE. The Control of Neglected Zoonotic Diseases A route to poverty alleviation; Report of a joint WHO/DFID-AHP meeting. Geneva 2006; 1: 10. Available from: <http://www.who.int/zoonoses>.
- Wolfe ND, Dunavan CP, D. J. (2007). Origins of major human infectious diseases. *Nature*, 447, 279–283.