

## Temporal changes in field calibration relationships for Aeroqual S500 O<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> sensor-based monitors

### ABSTRACT

Sensor-based monitors are increasingly used to measure air pollutant concentrations, but require calibration under field conditions. We made intermittent comparisons (6 times over a 6-month period) between ozone and nitrogen dioxide concentrations measured by Aeroqual gas-sensitive semiconductor (O<sub>3</sub>) and electrochemical (NO<sub>2</sub>) sensors (two of each) and reference analysers in the UK Automatic Urban and Rural Network. Each deployment period was split into equal ( $n = 48 \times 1$ -hour) training and test datasets, to derive and test calibration equations respectively. We observed significant bivariate linear relationships between Aeroqual O<sub>3</sub> and Reference O<sub>3</sub> concentrations, and significant multiple linear relationships between Aeroqual NO<sub>2</sub> and both Reference NO<sub>2</sub> and Aeroqual O<sub>3</sub> concentrations. Changes in monitor responses over time (including apparent baseline drift in O<sub>3</sub> sensor output, and discrepancies between the 2 Aeroqual NO<sub>2</sub> sensors) resulted in relatively inaccurate concentrations estimates (cf. reference concentrations) from calibration equations derived in the first training period and applied to subsequent test deployments (e.g. NO<sub>2</sub> RMSE = 47.2  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  ( $n = 286$ ) for a dataset of all test periods combined, for one of the two monitor pairs). Substantial improvements in accuracy of estimated concentrations were achieved by combination of repeated intermittent training data into a single calibration dataset (NO<sub>2</sub> RMSE = 8.5  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  for same test dataset described above). This latter approach to field calibration is recommended.

**Keyword:** Air pollution; Sensors; Aeroqual; Nitrogen dioxide; Ozone