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Constructability is generally reducing the problems of construction by incorporating the construction knowledge into the design of a construction project. The Malaysian construction industry is attempting to promote and use Industrialized Building systems (IBS) for better construction practice with more effectiveness and efficiency, but in terms of constructability and research into the application of constructability concepts for IBS little work has been done. In fact the Malaysian construction industry is still not applying the concepts of constructability in totality and there is lack of constructability research in Malaysia. In this research the application status of constructability concepts which have been previously defined for Malaysia are examined and assessed within the Malaysian IBS industry. The ease of constructability application of IBS and conventional building methods are investigated and finally the concepts that are not being applied up to their potential level in IBS construction and resemble possible
problems in the process of application are identified. A survey is used to obtain the essential data needed for the research from the active IBS industry participants of Malaysia. It was found that the IBS contractors are applying constructability more than the designers and suppliers and also the early constructability concepts gained a higher application score than other concepts. Using information technology and the innovative concepts of the field operation phase were the most difficult concepts to apply and generally the application of constructability concepts in IBS construction is easier compared to the conventional building systems according to the IBS industry participants.
Kebolehbinaan secara umumnya mengurangkan masalah pembinaan dengan menggabungkan pengetahuan ke dalam reka bentuk projek pembinaan. Industri pembinaan di Malaysia kini dalam usaha mempromosi dan menggunakan sistem binaan berindustri (IBS) untuk praktis pembinaan yang lebih baik, efektif dan efisien. Namun, tidak banyak usaha dijalankan dalam melihat aplikasi dan konsep keupayaan penyelidikan untuk Sistem Binaan Industri (IBS). Industri pembinaan di Malaysia masih tidak menggunakan konsep kebolehbinaan secara total dan terdapat kekurangan dalam penyelidikan di Malaysia. Dalam kajian ini, status aplikasi konsep kebolehbinaan yang telah banyak digunakan di Malaysia telah diuji dan dinilai dalam industri IBS Malaysia. Kemudahan aplikasi kebolehbinaan dalam IBS dan sistem pembinaan konvensional dinilai dan akhirnya konsep yang tidak diaplikasikan sepenuhnya pada paras yang sepatutnya dalam pembinaan IBS dan masalah yang mungkin muncul dalam
proses pengaplikasian dikenal pasti. Kajian digunakan bagi memperoleh data penting yang diperlukan dalam kajian daripada peserta aktif Sistem Binaan Berindustri (IBS) di Malaysia. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan kontraktor IBS mengaplikasikan kebolehbinaan lebih daripada perea bentuk dan pembekal. Dapatan kajian juga menunjukkan konsep kebolehbinaan memberikan skor aplikasi yang lebih tinggi berbanding konsep lain. Penggunaan teknologi dan konsep inovatif dalam lapangan fasa operasi merupakan konsep yang paling sukar untuk diaplikasikan dan secara amnya aplikasi kebolehbinaan dalam pembinaan IBS adalah lebih mudah dibandingkan dengan sistem binaan konvensional dengan peserta industri IBS.
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