



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**EFFECT OF NITROGEN FERTIGATION BY SPRINKLER IRRIGATION  
ON SUGAR BEET CROP PERFORMANCE**

**HAMIDEH NOURI**

**FK 2009 11**



**EFFECT OF NITROGEN FERTIGATION BY  
SPRINKLER IRRIGATION ON SUGAR BEET CROP  
PERFORMANCE**

**HAMIDEH NOURI**

**MASTER OF SCIENCE  
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**2009**



**EFFECT OF NITROGEN FERTIGATION BY SPRINKLER IRRIGATION  
ON SUGAR BEET CROP PERFORMANCE**

**BY**

**HAMIDEH NOURI**

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in  
Fulfillment of Requirement for Degree of Master of Science

**February 2009**



**Dedicated to**

*From the depth of my hearth I dedicated this thesis to my beloved father  
Mohammad Ali Nouri, mother Zohreh Safi, husband Sattar Chavoshi Boroujeni,  
and siblings Hamed, Bahareh and Behnaz.*



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**Effect of Nitrogen Fertigation by Sprinkler Irrigation Sugar Beet Crop Performance**

By

**Hamideh Nouri**

**February 2009**

**Chairman: Prof. Ir. Mohd Amin Mohd Soom, PhD**

**Faculty: Faculty of Engineering**

It is crucial to make field management strategy by understanding of spatial and temporal variability of effective elements such as soil, water and plant properties. The impact of nitrogen fertigation by sprinkler irrigation would be a valuable step in support of environmental preservation and natural resources conservation. This research has focused on the spatial and temporal distribution of N fertigation by sprinkler irrigation and its effect on soil and plant properties to determine the relationship among fertigation distribution pattern and crop performance. The field variability study was carried out in the Fesaran village in east part of Esfahan city in Esfahan Province, Iran. Geostatistical sampling method was selected for an accurate interpolation by kriging to produce spatial and temporal variability maps. A total of 162 soil samples and 81 plant samples were collected and locations recorded using Differential Global Positioning System (DGPS). To describe the variability of soil and plant status, soil and plant nutrient response to the nitrogen fertilizer application



by sprinklers was studied by analyzing 7 soil elements including N, P, K, CEC, OM, EC, and PH under two conditions, pre-treatment (before fertigation) and post-treatment (after fertigation). The sugar beet crop performance was based on 6 crop properties that include leaf N content, tuber moisture content, tuber sugar content, tuber weight, number of tubers in each square meter and yield. Variability maps were obtained using Geographical Information System (GIS) and Geostatistical statistics (GS+) software. Statistical analysis, geostatistical analysis and spatial analysis were employed to analyze the data. Data statistical analysis consist of descriptive analysis, T-Test (Pairwise two-tail), correlation (Pearson two-tail) and ANOVA (Duncan and SNK) and regression were derived from Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) and Statistical Analysis System (SAS) software. The impact of N fertigation through sprinkler irrigation on spatial and temporal pattern of soil properties and spatial variability of sugar beet crop performance was studied through statistical analysis and visualization of spatial variability maps. The results show that the highest variability in available P ( $CV_{af}=89.7\%$ ) and K ( $CV_{af}=53.26\%$ ) between selected soil properties. It could be related to non-uniform fertilization of potash and phosphate pre-plant that were applied manually. The least variability was seen in soil pH ( $CV_{af}=0.97\%$ ) and soil OM ( $CV_{af}=3.04\%$ ). That is an evidence of very low variability of soil pH and OM through and across the study area. Low variability of soil N ( $CV_{bf}=12.44\%$ ,  $CV_{af}=14.7\%$ ) would be a key point to encourage farmers to replace fertigation by sprinkler irrigation instead of current methods.

The highest variability of crop properties belonged to tuber weight (CV=44.8 %) while the least variability was in tuber moisture content (CV=6.04 %) and tuber sugar content (CV=6.38 %) which points out the low variability of sugar and moisture content of tubers. Crop properties such as yield, tuber sugar content, tuber numbers, tuber moisture content and N leaf content have low variability (CV ≤ 25 %), except tuber weight with moderate variability. Spatial variability map displays concentration of the higher yield was seen in central area compared to least yield in the north west of the study area. Fewer tubers in the north and east of the study area compared to more tubers in the south and west. Interestingly, for those areas which have heavier tubers, the map shows fewer numbers of tubers. Plant performance analysis shows a negative significant correlation of leaf N content with sugar content of tuber at 95 % confidence. Tuber weight has a negative correlation to the number of tubers but positive correlation to the tuber moisture content. It indicates the higher moisture content causes heavier tuber but the grid which has more number of tubers has the lighter tubers. There is a negative correlation of tuber weight and number of tubers but positive significant correlation of number of tubers and sugar content. It indicates that more tubers with lighter weight have higher sugar content. Surprising result shows the negative correlation of leaf N content and sugar content.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

**Kesan Fertigasi dengan Mengguna Pengairan Perenjis terhadap Variasi Ruang dan Masa bagi dan Prestasi Tanaman Ubi Putih**

Oleh

**Hamideh Nouri**

**Febuari 2009**

**Pengerusi: Prof. Ir. Mohd Amin Mohd Soom, PhD**

**Fakulti: Fakulti Kejuruteraan**

Pemahaman tentang pengurusan variasi ruang dan masa bagi elemen-elemen penting seperti tanah, air dan tanaman adalah sangat penting untuk mengurangkan keraguan tentang bidang pengurusan berdasarkan konsep pertanian presis. Kesan dari fertigasi Nitrogen dengan mengguna pengairan perenjis akan menjadi satu langkah yang amat berharga bagi menyokong pengawetan alam sekitar dan pemuliharaan sumber semulajadi. Kajian ini bertumpu kepada pertaburan secara ruang dan masa bagi fertigasi N melalui pengairan perenjis dan kesannya terhadap ciri-ciri tanah dan tanaman untuk mengetahui sekiranya terdapat sebarang hubungan di antara corak pertaburan fertigasi dan prestasi tanaman. Kawasan kajian variasi yang dipilih adalah di kampung Fesaran yang terletak di bahagian Timur Bandar Esfahan, Iran. Kaedah pensampelan *Geostatistics* telah dipilih bagi mendapat penyisipan yang tepat melalui *kriging* untuk menghasilkan peta variasi ruang dan masa. Jumlah daripada 162





sampel tanah dan 81 sampel tumbuhan telah diambil dan lokasi sampel telah direkodkan mengguna *Differential Global Positioning System (DGPS)*. Untuk menerangkan status variasi tanah dan tanaman, reaksi nutrejin dalam tanah dan pokok terhadap pembahagian baja nitrogen melalui perenjais air telah dikaji dengan menganalisis 7 elemen tanah termasuk N, P, K, CEC, OM, EC dan pH pada dua keadaan, rawatan awal (sebelum proses fertigasi) dan rawatan susulan (selepas proses fertigasi). Prestasi tanaman ubi putih berasaskan 6 ciri-ciri tanaman termasuk kandungan N dalam daun, kandungan kelembapan pada ubi, kandungan gula pada ubi, berat ubi, bilangan ubi bagi setiap kaki persegi, dan hasil tanaman. Peta variasi diperolehi menggunakan perisian *Geostatistical Information System (GIS)* dan *Geostatistical Statistic (GS+)*. Analisis statistik, analisis *geostatistical* dan analisis *spatial* digunakan untuk menganalisis data. Analisis statistik data terdiri daripada analisis deskriptif, T-Test (*Pairwise two-tail*), *correlation (Pearson two-tail)*, dan ANOVA (*Duncan and SNK*) manakala regresi dilakukan daripada perisian *Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS)* dan *Statistical Analysis System (SAS)*. Kesan fertigasi N melalui pengairan perenjais terhadap corak ruang dan masa bagi ciri-ciri tanah dan variasi ruang bagi prestasi tanaman ubi putih dipelajari menerusi analisis statistik dan penggambaran peta variasi ruang. Keputusan menunjukkan kepelbagaian variasi tertinggi dilihat pada P (CV = 89.7%) dan K (CV = 53.26%) berbanding kalangan ciri-ciri lain yang terpilih. Ia boleh dikaitkan dengan ketidakseragaman baja potash dan phosphate yang diberi secara manual sebelum penanaman. Variasi yang terendah boleh dilihat dalam pH tanah (CV<sub>af</sub> = 0.97%) dan OM tanah (CV<sub>af</sub> = 3.04%). Ini adalah satu bukti yang menunjukkan bahawa variasi pH

dan OM tanah terdapat seluruh kawasan kajian. Variasi yang rendah bagi N tanah (CV = 14.7%) boleh menjadi sebab utama untuk menggalakkan petani menggantikan fertigasi dengan pengairan perenjis berbanding dengan kaedah semasa yang diguna pakai. Variasi yang tertinggi bagi ciri-ciri tanaman menjadi milik berat ubi (CV = 44.8%) manakala variasi yang terendah adalah bagi kandungan kelembapan pada ubi (CV = 6.04%) dan kandungan gula pada ubi (CV = 6.38%) yang mana ia menunjuk variasi yang rendah bagi kandungan gula dan kelembapan pada ubi. Ciri-ciri tanaman seperti hasil, kadungan gula pada ubi, bilangan ubi, kandungan kelembapan pada ubi dan kandungan N dalam daun terdapat variasi yang rendah (CV  $\leq$  25%), melainkan berat ubi dengan variasi yang sederhana. Peta variasi ruang mempamerkan kepekatan hasil yang tinggi terdapat di kawasan tengah berbanding hasil yang terendah di kawasan utara barat dalam kawasan kajian. Bilangan ubi yang sedikit terdapat di kawasan utara dan timur dalam kawasan kajian berbanding bilangan ubi yang banyak yang terdapat di kawasan selatan dan barat. Yang mengasyikan, bagi kawasan-kawasan yang ubinya lebih berat, ia menunjukkan lebih rendah bilangan ubi diatas peta. Analisis pretasi pokok menunjukkan bahawa terdapat correlation yang negatif diantara kandungan N dalam daun dengan kandungan gula pada ubi di tahap kepercayaan 95%. Terdapat correlation yang negatif diantara berat ubi dan bilangan ubi tetapi terdapat correlation yang positif terhadap kandungan kelembapan pada ubi. Ia menunjukkan bahawa kandungan kelembapan yang lebih tinggi mengakibatkan ubi lebih berat tetapi kawasan yang ada lebih banyak bilangan ubi terdapat lebih ringan berat ubi. Terdapat correlation yang negatif bagi berat ubi dan bilangan ubi tetapi terdapat correlation yang positif bagi bilangan ubi dan kandungan gula. Ia

menunjukkan bahawa lebih banyak ubi yang lebih ringan terdapat kandungan gula yang tinggi. Dalam kata lain, correlation yang negatif bagi kadungan kelembapan dan kandungan gula telah di pantau. Hasil kajian yang menakjubkan iaitu terdapat correlation yang negatif bagi kandungan N dalam daun dengan kandungan gula.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

All commendation to God for His unlimited kindness in all endeavors.

I would like to express my special thanks to my supervisor Professor Ir Dr Mohd Amin Mohd Soom, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Putra Malaysia for his spontaneous support, valuable guidance and financial support throughout my master program. I also would like to express my deep gratitude to Dr. Seyed Jalil Razavi, Agriculture Faculty, Esfahan University of Technology Iran for his faithful guidance, constructive comments, constant encouragement, and financial support throughout my study. I am indebted to my advisors Associate Prof Dr. Anuar Abdul Rahim for his valuable comments and support in soil analysis and Professor Ir. Dr. Lee Teang Shui. Deep appreciation is due to Dr Aimrun Wayayok for his constructive advice.

Thanks must go to my family members namely my father Mohammad Ali Nouri and my mother Zohreh Safi for their continuous inspiration and selfless sacrifice, Sattar Chavoshi Boroujeni my dear husband for his patient and encourage, my brother Hamed and my sisters Bahareh and Behnaz for their care and support throughout this study.

Finally, I wish to record my thanks and gratitude to all those who have assisted me directly and indirectly in carrying out this study; farmers, lab assistants, surveyor and etc.



## Approval Sheet 1

I certify that an Examination Committee has met on ..... to conduct the final examination of **Hamideh Nouri** on her master degree thesis entitled **“Effect of N Fertigation by Sprinkler Irrigation on the Spatial and Temporal Variability of Soil Properties and Crop Performance”** is accordance with Universiti Putra Malaysia Regulation 1981. The committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Examination Committee were as follows:

**Name of Chairperson, PhD**

Title

Name of Faculty

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Chairman)

**Name of Examiner 1, PhD**

Title

Name of Faculty

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Internal Examiner)

**Name of Examiner 2, PhD**

Title

Name of Faculty

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Internal Examiner)

**Name of External Examiner 1, PhD**

Title

Name of Department and/or Faculty

Name of Organization (University/Institute)

Country

(Internal Examiner)

**HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD**

Professor and Deputy Dean

School of Graduate Studies

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of master. The members of Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Mohd Amin Mohd Soom, PhD, P. Eng. FIEM**

Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Anuar Abdul Rahim, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Lee Teang Shui, PhD, P. Eng. FIEM**

Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: June 2009



## **DECLARATION**

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or any other institutions.

**Hamideh Nouri**

3 Nov 2008



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>DEDICATION</b>	ii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRAKT</b>	vi
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b>	x
<b>APPROVAL SHEET 1</b>	xi
<b>APPROVAL SHEET 2</b>	xii
<b>DECLARATION FORM</b>	xiii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xvi
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xix
<b>CHAPTERS</b>	
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT	2
1.3 PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES	4
1.4 SCOPE OF THE STUDY	4
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION	6
2.2 SUGAR BEET	7
2.3 CONDITION OF SUGAR BEET PRODUCTION	8
2.3.1 <i>Water Demand</i>	8
2.3.2 <i>Soil characteristics</i>	10
2.3.3 <i>Fertilizer</i>	10
2.3.4 <i>Climate</i>	12
2.3.5 <i>Temperature</i>	12
2.3.6 <i>Field Preparation</i>	12
2.3.7 <i>Seeds and Sowing</i>	13
2.3.8 <i>Pest and Diseases</i>	13
2.3.9 <i>Harvest and Yield</i>	13
2.4 IRRIGATION	14
2.5 FERTIGATION	16
2.6 UNIFORMITY	24
2.7 SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL VARIABILITY	28
2.8 HISTORY OF SUGAR BEET FERTIGATION IN IRAN	29
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1 STUDY AREA	33
3.1.1 <i>Climate</i>	36
3.1.2 <i>Soil , Water and Fertilizer Characteristics</i>	36
3.2 DURATION OF FIELD WORK	37
3.3 SOIL SAMPLING	38
3.3.1 <i>Sampling Procedure</i>	38





3.3.2	<i>Analyzing method</i>	39
3.4	PLANT SAMPLING	45
3.4.1	<i>Sampling Procedure of Plant</i>	45
3.4.2	<i>Analysis Method of Plant Properties</i>	47
3.5	DATA ANALYSIS	48
3.5.1	<i>Statistical Data Analysis</i>	48
3.5.2	<i>Geostatistical Data Analysis</i>	50
3.5.3	<i>Spatial Data Analysis</i>	53
3.5.3.1	Soil and Plant Properties Mapping	54
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>		<b>57</b>
4.1	STATISTICAL DESCRIPTION	57
4.1.1	<i>Statistical Description of Soil Variables</i>	57
4.1.2	<i>Statistical Description of Plant Elements</i>	67
4.2	GEOSTATISTICS DESCRIPTION	68
4.2.1	<i>Geostatistical Description of Soil Properties</i>	69
4.2.2	<i>Geostatistical Description of Plant Properties</i>	77
4.3	SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL VARIABILITY	80
4.3.1	<i>Soil Spatial and Temporal Variability</i>	80
4.3.2	<i>Spatial Variability of Crop Properties</i>	103
4.4	MATRIX CORRELATION	110
4.4.1	<i>Correlation of Soil Properties</i>	110
4.4.2	<i>Correlation of Plant Properties</i>	116
4.4.3	<i>Correlation of Soil and Plant Properties</i>	118
4.5	MODEL PREDICTION FOR SOIL AND PLANT PROPERTIES	120
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>		<b>124</b>
5.1	SUMMARY	124
5.2	CONCLUSION	128
5.3	RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE	131
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>133</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>		<b>139</b>
<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>		<b>166</b>



## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>
4-1 Descriptive Statistics of N (%) Before and After Fertigation	59
4-2 T-test (Pairwise) of Soil Properties Before and After Fertigation	60
4-3 Descriptive Statistics of P (ppm) Before and After Fertigation	61
4-4 Descriptive Statistics of K ( $\text{cmol}^{(+)} \text{kg}^{-1}$ ) Before and After Fertigation	62
4-5 Descriptive Statistics of CEC ( $\text{cmol}^{(+)} \text{kg}^{-1}$ ) Before and After	63
4-6 Descriptive Statistics of OM (%) Before and After Fertigation	64
4-7 Descriptive Statistics of EC (dS/m) for Before and After	65
4-8 Descriptive Statistics of pH for Before and After Fertigation	66
4-9 Descriptive Statistics of Plant Properties	67
4-10 Summary of Spatial Structure for N (%) Before and After Fertigation	70
4-11 Summary of Spatial Structure for P Before and After Fertigation	71
4-12 Summary of Spatial Structure for K Before and After Fertigation	72
4-13 Summary of Spatial Structure for CEC Before and After Fertigation	73
4-14 Summary of Spatial Structure for OM Before and After Fertigation	74
4-15 Summary of Spatial Structure for EC Before and After Fertigation	75
4-16 Summary of Spatial Structure for pH Before and After Fertigation	76
4-17 Geostatistics Descriptive for All Plant Properties	78
4-18 Zonal Statistical Analysis of N Before Fertigation	84
4-19 Zonal Statistical Analysis of N After Fertigation	84
4-20 Zone Classification of N Before and After Fertigation	84
4-21 Zonal Statistical Analysis of P Before Fertigation	87



4-22 Zonal Statistical Analysis of P After Fertigation	87
4-23 Zone Classification of P Before and After Fertigation	87
4-24 Zonal Statistical Analysis of K Before Fertigation	90
4-25 Zonal Statistical Analysis of K After Fertigation	90
4-26 Zone Classification of K Before and After Fertigation	90
4-27 Zonal Statistical Analysis of CEC Before Fertigation	93
4-28 Zonal Statistical Analysis of CEC After Fertigation	93
4-29 Zone Classification of CEC Before and After Fertigation	93
4-30 Zonal Statistical Analysis of OM Before Fertigation	96
4-31 Zonal Statistical Analysis of OM After Fertigation	96
4-32 Zone Classification of OM Before and After Fertigation	96
4-33 Zonal Statistical Analysis of EC Before Fertigation	99
4-34 Zonal Statistical Analysis of EC After Fertigation	99
4-35 Zone Classification of EC Before and After Fertigation	99
4-36 Zonal Statistical Analysis of pH Before Fertigation	102
4-37 Zonal Statistical Analysis of pH After Fertigation	102
4-38 Zone Classification of pH Before and After Fertigation	102
4-39 Zonal Statistical Analysis of Tuber Moisture Content	104
4-40 Zonal Statistical Analysis of Leaf N Content (%)	105
4-41 Zonal Statistical Analysis of Tuber Weight (kg)	106
4-42 Zonal Statistical Analysis of Tuber Number	107
4-43 Zonal Statistical Analysis of Tuber Sugar Content (%)	108
4-44 Zonal Statistical Analysis of Yield ( $\text{kg/m}^2$ )	109



4-45 Soil Properties Correlation	113
4-46 Matrix Correlation of Plant Properties	117
4-47 Matrix Correlation of Soil and Plant Properties	119
4-48 Best Curve Estimation for Soil and Plant Properties	121



## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Page</b>
3.1 Flow Chart of Research Methodology	33
3.2 A Map of Iran Showing Experimental Site in Esfahan City, Esfahan Province	35
3.3 Using DGPS to Locate the Sampling Points	37
3.4 Soil Sampling Before Fertigation	39
3.5 Soil Properties	40
3.6 Total N Analysis in Laboratory	41
3.7 Analyzer Instrument for P Analysis	42
3.8 Digestion in Potassium Analysis	42
3.9 CR-412 Carbon Analyzer	45
3.10 Plant Sampling for Mature Leaves	46
3.11 Plant Sampling During Harvesting	47
3.12 Elements of Semivariogram	52
4.1 P-P Plot of N Before and After Fertigation.	59
4.2 Isotropic Variogram of N Before and After Fertigation	70
4.3 Isotropic Variogram of P Before and After Fertigation	71
4.4 Isotropic Variogram of K Before and After Fertigation	72
4.5 Isotropic Variogram of CEC Before and After Fertigation	73
4.6 Isotropic Variogram of OM Before and After Fertigation	74
4.7 Isotropic Variogram of EC Before and After Fertigation	75
4.8 Isotropic Variogram of pH Before and After Fertigation	76
4.9 Isotropic Variogram of Leaf N Content, Moisture Content, Tuber Sugar Content, Tuber Weight, Number of Tubers and Net Yield	79



4.10 Spatial Variability of N Before and After Fertigation	83
4.11 Spatial Variability of P Before and After Fertigation	86
4.12 Spatial Variability of K Before and After Fertigation	89
4.13 Spatial Variability of CEC Before and After Fertigation	92
4.14 Spatial Variability of OM Before and After Fertigation	95
4.15 Spatial Variability of EC Before and After Fertigation	98
4.16 Spatial Variability of pH Before and After Fertigation	101
4.17 Spatial Variability of Tuber Moisture Content (%)	104
4.18 Spatial Variability of Leaf N Content	105
4.19 Spatial Variability of Tuber Weight	106
4.20 Spatial Variability of Tuber Number	107
4.21 Spatial Variability of Tuber Sugar Content	108
4.22 Spatial Variability of Yield	109



# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction

Environmental preservation and protection is a growing concern in the industrial and agricultural sectors. Due to the importance of natural resources conservation for next generations, a delicate overlook has been defined to water, soil and plant relationship. As the most important concern in environmental pollution, chemical products such as fertilizers, herbicides, etc should be applied at optimum level to the field, with respect to approved rules and standards. As the agriculture pollution is nonpoint sources, its management is an international challenge. According to Annadale (1991), there is an increasing need in farming for the fertility management system that conserves natural resources, minimizes the degradation of environmental quality and reduces production costs.

To decrease the uncertainty of field management, it is crucial to have a better understanding of spatial and temporal variability management of effective elements such as soil and plant properties.

Sustainable agriculture as a vital solution to increase utilization efficiency of plant nutrients and to decrease the losses which made undesirable changes in the environment has important role in natural sources conservation. To maximize the efficiency of utilization, it is essential to control the input-output relations of

nutrients in the crop and observe the factors and processes that set limits to the utilization of the nutrients. Higher utilization efficiency of nutrient in a crop rotation would be possible by analyzing input-output relations over several years (Vos, 1996).

Nitrogen as the most fertigated elements due to high plant nutrient needs is an increasing concern in environmental pollution. Because the additional nitrogen that is not utilized by crop possibly will move (in nitrate form) with soil water from the root zone to groundwater aquifers or drainage systems that discharge to surface water ( Li et al., 2005). Suitable temporal and spatial distribution of nutrient application would be a helpful in applying precise amount with uniform distribution of fertilizer to avoid surplus.

In recent decades researchers conducted studies on determination of proper amount of nutrients depending on environmental and economical factors but not much attention has been given to distribution pattern of nutrient application. This research has focused on the impact of fertigation by sprinkler irrigation on spatial and temporal variability of selected soil properties and crop performance. In another word, this study tries to establish relationship between fertigation distribution pattern and crop properties.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

Due to low rate and non-uniform distribution of rainfall and scarcity of other water resources in Esfahan Province (experimental site), farmers receive governmental



loan to install pressurized irrigation systems. Huge investment on implementation, installation and maintenance of pressurized irrigation systems in most provinces of Iran provides the ideal situation for applying fertilizers through sprinkler system. Adding soluble fertilizer to the irrigation water is an effective delivery method both in terms of labor utilization and control of crop development in various growth stages. More often farmers apply other types of fertilizer with less efficiency and higher cost such as spinner spreader, boom spreader and application with planting machines simultaneously. Fertigation can reduce labor cost and in some cases may improve effectiveness and timeliness of application. Therefore, this research was conducted on the fertigation through sprinkler irrigation (solid set system with removable sprinklers) with underground piping which is the common type of pressurized irrigation system in Esfahan Province. To decrease the uncertainty of field management, it is crucial to have a better understanding of spatial and temporal variability management of effective factors such as soil and plant properties. This study focused on the impact of nitrogen fertigation on spatial and temporal variability of selected soil properties and the relationships between fertigation distribution pattern and crop performance.