

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

PREVALENCE AND DETERMINANTS OF STANDARD MOTORCYCLE CRASH HELMET USE AMONG RURAL POSTAL DELIVERY RIDERS IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

KAVIYARASU YELLAPPAN

FPSK(M) 2011 65

# PREVALENCE AND DETERMINANTS OF STANDARD MOTORCYCLE CRASH HELMET USE AMONG RURAL POSTAL DELIVERY RIDERS IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA



By

**KAVIYARASU YELLAPPAN** 

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

December 2011

# **DEDICATIONS**

I dedicate this work to:

The Almighty.....

Lord Krsna

My lovely and supporting parents.....

Yellappan Perumal Sinnamah Govindasamy

My love and second half, beloved wife.....

Nirmala Devi Tailan

My energetic young son.....

Haritharan Kaviyarasu

My siblings.....

Kogilan Yellappan Dinesh Yellappan Tanes Yellappan Hemes Yellappan



Thanks for all the support, guidance, patience, encouragement and faith.

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

## PREVALENCE AND DETERMINANTS OF STANDARD MOTORCYCLE CRASH HELMET USE AMONG RURAL POSTAL DELIVERY RIDERS IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

By

### KAVIYARASU YELLAPPAN

December 2011

Chair

: Kulanthayan K.C. Mani, PhD

Faculty

# : Medicine & Health Sciences

Motorcycle is the preferred mode of transport in developing countries and the most common vehicle involved in road crashes and fatalities. Head and neck injuries were leading cause of fatality among motorcyclists. Helmets were invented as a mechanism to prevent head injuries. Motorcycle was popular for commercial and leisure activities. Occupational motorcycle riders are known for using motorcycles regularly to work, during work and back from work. Based on records and literature, the history of involvement in crashes among occupational riders has not been recorded properly in Malaysia. Postal delivery riders (PDR) were seen as a vulnerable group compared they were exposed longer hours on the road than average of 2 hours daily during their delivery jobs. This study was designed to identify the determinants that influence the use of standard motorcycle crash helmets among rural postal delivery riders and determine the prevalence of used helmet that complied with helmet standard MS1:1996.

A cross sectional study was done among 269 PDR from 50 postal distribution centers throughout Peninsular Malaysia. Observation, interview and mechanical testing method was used during data collection. Standards of motorcycle crash helmet were determined by presence of certification label issued by Standards and Industrial Research Institute of Malaysia (SIRIM) and by SIRIM helmet testing procedures. Descriptive, chi-square and bivariate logistic regression analyses were carried out using PASW Statistics version 18.

Prevalence of standard motorcycle crash helmet (*by presence of SIRIM label*) usage among postal delivery riders (PDR) were 76.6% with response rate of 99.3%. Analysis with presence of SIRIM label as a dependent variable resulted in odds of full shell motorcycle crash helmets having SIRIM label were 37.1 times than half shell helmets. Odds of non-purchased motorcycle crash helmets having SIRIM label were 14.9 times than purchased helmets. The odds of expensive motorcycle crash helmets to have SIRIM sticker were 4.4 times than cheaper motorcycle crash helmets. Motorcycle crash helmets owned by riders without previous crash history had 1.9 odds of having the SIRIM sticker than helmets owned by riders with crash history.

Prevalence of standard motorcycle crash helmet (*by SIRIM testing results*) usage among postal delivery riders (PDR) were 40% with response rate of 61%. In second analysis, SIRIM testing results was used as a dependent variable. The analysis revealed odds of non-purchased helmets to pass the SIRIM testing were 3.7 times the odds of purchased helmets that passed the test. Odds of motorcycle crash helmets with presence of SIRIM label to pass the SIRIM testing were 24.2 times the odds of standard motorcycle crash helmet without the presence of SIRIM label. Odds of standard motorcycle crash helmet used (less than 2 years) to pass the SIRIM testing were 2.5 times the odds of the motorcycle crash helmet used (more than 2 years).

Arriving at the conclusion, employers should provide motorcycle crash helmets to the employees. Each helmet provided should be full shell motorcycle crash helmet with the presence of SIRIM label which indicates the helmet is a standard approved helmet. Helmets provided by employer should be purchased by the employer at a price of RM 35.00 or more. Respondents with prior crash history must be monitored closely on the helmet use pattern as they were less likely to wear standard helmets. Helmets used should be replaced once every two years to provide comfort and safety to the employees. As from PDR viewpoint, PDR should be educated and provided with knowledge of standard motorcycle crash helmet. PDR using the helmets provided by the employer were more safe than using own helmets. In the event, PDR not getting helmets provided by the employer, helmets purchased must have SIRIM certification sticker cost not less than RM 35.00 and should be replaced with new helmets every two years.

*Key words: expensive helmets; prior crash history; full shell helmets; non-purchased helmets and duration of helmet use.* 

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

### KELAZIMAN DAN FAKTOR-FAKTOR PENENTU PENGGUNAAN TOPI KELEDAR MOTOSIKAL PIAWAI DI KALANGAN PENGHANTAR POS BERMOTOSIKAL LUAR BANDAR DI SEMENANJUNG MALAYSIA

Oleh

### KAVIYARASU YELLAPPAN

Disember 2011

Pengerusi

: Kulanthayan K.C. Mani, PhD

Fakulti : Perubatan & Sains Kesihatan

Motosikal merupakan pilihan pengangkutan di negara membangun dan kenderaan yang ketara terlibat di dalam kemalangan dan kematian jalan raya. Kecederaan kepala dan leher adalah penyebab utama kematian penunggang motosikal. Topi keledar telah dicipta sebagai mekanisme pencegahan kecederaan kepala. Motosikal amat popular untuk aktiviti komersial dan riadah. Penunggang motosikal pekerjaan sememangnya menggunakan motosikal untuk bekerja, bukan sahaja untuk pergi dan pulang bekerja malah semasa bekerja. Sejarah kemalangan penunggang pekerjaan tidak direkodkan dengan baik di Malaysia. Penghantar pos bermotosikal (PPM) dilihat sebagai kumpulan yang terdedah kepada bahaya kerana terdedah melebihi purata 2 jam sehari. Kajian ini direka untuk mengenalpasti penentu -penentu penggunaan topi keledar piawai di kalangan PPM luar bandar dan menentukan kelaziman penggunaan topi keledar yang mematuhi piawaian MS1: 1996.

Satu kajian keratan lintas telah dilakukan di kalangan 269 PPM daripada 50 pusat pengedaran pos di seluruh semenanjung Malaysia. Kaedah pemerhatian, temubual dan pengujian mekanikal telah digunakan semasa pengumpulan data. Piawaian topi keledar motosikal telah ditentukan dengan kehadiran label pensijilan yang dikeluarkan oleh Institut Penyelidikan Piawai dan Industri Malaysia (SIRIM) dan prosedur ujian topi keledar SIRIM. Analisis deskriptif, *chi-sqaure* dan regresi logistik bivariat telah dijalankan dengan Perangkaan PASW versi 18.

Kelaziman penggunaan topi keledar motosikal berpiawai (dengan kehadiran label SIRIM) di kalangan PPM luar bandar ialah 76.6% dengan kadar respons 99.3%. Analisis dengan kehadiran label SIRIM sebagai pembolehubah bersandar menunjukkan topi keledar penuh memiliki kemungkinan 37.1 kali untuk mempunyai label SIRIM berbanding topi keledar separuh. Kemungkinan untuk topi keledar motosikal yang tidak dibeli mempunyai label SIRIM adalah 14.9 kali lebih tinggi berbanding dengan topi keledar yang dibeli oleh PPM. Kemungkinan untuk topi keledar SIRIM adalah 4.4 kali lebih tinggi berbanding dengan topi keledar motosikal yang mahal (RM 35.00 atau lebih) untuk mempunyai pelekat SIRIM adalah 4.4 kali lebih tinggi berbanding dengan topi keledar motosikal yang dimiliki oleh penunggang tanpa sejarah kemalangan silam mempunyai 1.9 kemungkinan untuk mempunyai pelekat SIRIM berbanding topi keledar yang dimiliki oleh penunggang dengan sejarah kemalangan silam.

Kelaziman penggunaan topi keledar berpiawai (dengan keputusan ujian SIRIM) di kalangan PPM adalah 40% dengan kadar respons sebanyak 61%. Dalam analisis kedua, keputusan ujian SIRIM telah digunakan sebagai pembolehubah bersandar. Analisis ini mendedahkan kemungkinan untuk topi keledar yang tidak dibeli lulus ujian SIRIM adalah sebanyak 3.7 kali ganda lebih daripada topi keledar

yang dibeli untuk lulus ujian. Kemungkinan topi keledar dengan kehadiran label SIRIM untuk lulus ujian SIRIM adalah 24.2 kali kemungkinan topi keledar tanpa kehadiran label SIRIM. Kemungkinan topi keledar motosikal piawai yang digunakan (kurang daripada 2 tahun) untuk lulus ujian SIRIM adalah 2.5 kali lebih tinggi berbanding topi keledar yang digunakan (lebih daripada 2 tahun).

Kesimpulannya, majikan harus menyediakan topi keledar kepada pekerjanya. Setiap topi keledar seharusnya topi keledar penuh dengan kehadiran label SIRIM. Topi keledar yang disediakan oleh majikan harus berharga sekurang-kurangnya RM 35.00 atau lebih. Corak penggunaan topi keledar oleh pekerja dengan sejarah kemalangan silam mestilah dipantau kerana mereka mempunyai kemungkinan rendah untuk memakai topi keledar berpiawai. Topi keledar yang digunakan perlu digantikan setiap dua tahun sekali untuk keselesaan dan keselamatan para pekerja. Dari pandangan pekerja pula, mereka perlu dididik dengan pengetahuan topi keledar berpiawai. Pekerja digalakkan menggunakan topi keledar majikan kerana ia lebih selamat daripada topi keledar sendiri. Sekiranya majikan tidak dapat menyediakan topi keledar piawai, topi keledar yang digunakan oleh pekerja mesti mempunyai pelekat SIRIM. Harga topi keledar tersebut seharusnya tidak kurang daripada RM 35.00 dan hendaklah digantikan dengan topi keledar baru setiap dua tahun.

*Kata kunci*: topi keledar mahal; sejarah kemalangan silam; topi keledar penuh; topi keledar bukan dibeli dan tempoh penggunaan topi keledar.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost I offer my praise and gratitude to Lord Krsna for His blessings that have made me come towards the completion of my work and thesis. My sincerest gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Kulanthayan K.C. Mani, for his patience, support and knowledge while allowing me the room to work my thesis in my own way. I attribute the level of my Masters degree to his encouragement, guidance and effort. One could not wish for a better or friendlier supervisor.

I would like to extend my personal thanks to my co-supervisor, Dr. Shamsul Bhari Mohd. Tamrin. He was always there for guidance. He has been there all the while to greet with a friendly smile and a hello every time we met. Thanks to Dr. Bahaman Abu Samah and Dr. Law Teik Hua who has provided me with the knowledge of statistics during my tenure at this Masters level.

At this stage, I thank Road Safety Department of Malaysia for their kind contribution of helmets to be exchanged. Standards and Industrial Research Institute of Malaysia for allowing use of their helmet testing facility at subsidized rate, Road Traffic Injury Research Network, Global Health Forum for my stipend and Road Safety Research Center, UPM for its financial grant to complete this study.

Finally, I thank my fellow friends Harikrishnan and Kavitha for the support provided throughout my studies at University.

ix

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 22<sup>nd</sup> December 2011 to conduct the final examination of Kaviyarasu Yellappan on his thesis entitled "Prevalence and Determinants of Standard Motorcycle Crash Helmet Use among Rural Postal Delivery Riders in Peninsular Malaysia" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

#### Lekhraj Rampal A/L Gyanchand Rampal, PhD

Professor Faculty of Medicine and Health Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

### Faisal Haji Ibrahim, MBBS, MPH, MPHM

Associate Professor Dato' Faculty of Medicine and Health Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

### Anita Abdul Rahman, MD, MCH

Lecturer Faculty of Medicine and Health Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

### Ahmad Farhan Mohd Sadullah, PhD

Professor School of Civil Engineering Universiti Sains Malaysia Malaysia (External Examiner)

> **ZULKARNAIN ZAINAL, PhD** Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 7 March 2012

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

### Kulanthayan K.C. Mani, PhD

Lecturer Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

# Shamsul Bahri Mohd Tamrin, PhD

Lecturer Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

# BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.

# KAVIYARASU YELLAPPAN

Date: 22 December 2011

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ix
APPROVAL	Х
DECLARATION	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xvi
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix

# CHAPTER

1

2

INTR	ODUCTION	
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Problem statement	4
1.3	Significance of study	6
1.4	Objectives	8
	1.4.1 General objective	8
	1.4.2 Specific objectives	8
1.5	Hypothesis	9
1.6	Conceptual framework	10
LITE	RATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Overview of road traffic crashes	13
2.2	Road traffic crashes in Malaysia	15
2.3	Factors contributing to road traffic crashes in Malaysia	16
2.4	Head injury	17
2.5	Motorcycles	20
2.6	Motorcyclists	21
2.7	Occupational motorcyclists	23
2.8	Rural	27
2.9	Motorcycle crash helmet	28
2.10	Standard motorcycle crash helmet	29
2.11	Non-standard motorcycle crash helmet	30
2.12	Motorcycle crash helmet standards	31
2.13	Impact	33
2.14	Penetration	34
2.15	Retention	34
2.16	Determinants of motorcycle crash helmet use	38
	2.16.1 Age	38
	2.16.2 Education level	40
	2.16.3 License ownership	41
	2.16.4 Knowledge	42
	2.16.5 Helmet type	43
	2.16.6 Helmet status	43
	2.16.7 Helmet cost	44
	2.16.8 Working experience	45
	2.16.9 Distance traveled and time traveled	46

		2.16.10 Prior crash history	47
		2.16.11 Presence SIRIM label	48
		2.16.12 Duration of helmet use and helmet crash history	48
		2.16.13 Chinstrap	49
3	METI	HODOLOGY	
5	3.1	Study locations	54
	3.2	Study design	54
	3.3	Study duration	54
	3.4	Sample population	54
	3.5	Sampling frame	54
	3.6	Sampling unit	55
		3.6.1 Inclusion criteria	55
		3.6.2 Exclusion criteria	55
	3.7	Sample size estimation	55
	3.8	Sample selection	57
	3.9	Sampling method	58
	3.10	Operational definitions	59
	3.11	Study variables	6l
		3.11.1 Dependent variables	01 61
	3 1 2	Data collection	01 6/
	5.12	3 12 1 Study instruments	65
		3 12 1 1 Reliability	65
		3.12.1.2 Consent form	65
		3.12.1.3 Observation	67
		3.12.1.4 Interview	67
		3.12.1.5 Collected used motorcycle crash helmet	68
		3.12.1.6 SIRIM helmet testing	70
		3.12.1.7 Classification of standard approved helmets	74
	3.13	Ethical approval	75
	3.14	Statistical analysis	76
4	RESU	ILTS	
	4.1	Section A	78
		4.1.1 Response rate	78
		4.1.2 Prevalence	78
		4.1.3 Descriptive analysis	79
		4.1.4 Chi-square analysis	82
		4.1.5 Bivariate logistic regression analysis	92
	4.2	Section B	94
		4.2.1 Response rate	94
		4.2.2 Prevalence	94
		4.2.3 Descriptive analysis	96
		4.2.4 Chi-square analysis	9/
		4.2.5 Bivariate logistic regression analysis	103

#### 5 DISCUSSION

6

5.1	Prevalence of standard motorcycle crash helmet use		
	(by pr	esence of SIRIM label)	105
5.2	Socio	demographic characteristics as determinants in	
	presen	ce of SIRIM label	105
	5.2.1	Age	105
	5.2.2	Education level	106
	5.2.3	License ownership	106
	5.2.4	Knowledge score	107
5.3	Helme	et characteristics as determinants in presence of	
	SIRIN	1 label	108
	5.3.1	Helmet type	108
	5.3.2	Helmet status	109
	5.3.3	Helmet cost	110
5.4	Occup	ational characteristics as determinants in presence	
	of SIR	IM label	110
	5.4.1	Working experience	110
	5.4.2	Distance traveled	111
	5.4.3	Time traveled	112
	5.4.4	Previous crash history	112
5.5	Preval	ence of standard motorcycle crash helmet use	
	(by pr	esence SIRIM testing results)	113
5.6	Helme	et characteristics as determinants in SIRIM testing	
	results		114
	5.6.1	Helmet status	114
	5.6.2	Presence of SIRIM label	115
	5.6.3	Duration of helmet use	116
	5.6.4	Type of chinstrap	117
	5.6.5	Helmet crash history	117
~~	TOT TIOT		

6	CON	NCLUSION, RECOMMENDATIONS & LIMIT	ATIONS
	6.1	Conclusions	119
	6.2	Recommendations	120
	6.3	Limitations	121
	6.4	Recommendations for future research	121
REFER	ENCES	S	123
APPEN	DICES		133
BIODA	TA OF	STUDENT	159

#### xv

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Summary of head injury and its corresponding findings	19
2.2	Summary of motorcycles, motorcyclists and its corresponding findings	25
2.3	Summary of motorcycle helmet and its corresponding findings	36
2.4	Summary of determinants of crash helmet usage and its corresponding findings	51
3.1	Variables associated with study and its scale of measurement	77
4.1	Distribution of standard motorcycle helmet (by presence of SIRIM label) use	79
4.2	Descriptive analysis of socio demographic characteristics	79
4.3	Descriptive analysis of helmet characteristics	80
4.4	Descriptive analysis of occupational characteristics	81
4.5	Presence of SIRIM label by age	82
4.6	Presence of SIRIM label by education level	83
4.7	Presence of SIRIM label by license ownership	83
4.8	Presence of SIRIM label by knowledge score	84
4.9	Presence of SIRIM label by helmet type	84
4.10	Presence of SIRIM label by helmet status	85
4.11	Presence of SIRIM label by helmet cost	86
4.12	Presence of SIRIM label by working experience	87
4.13	Presence of SIRIM label by distance travelled	87
4.14	Presence of SIRIM label by time travelled	88
4.15	Presence of SIRIM label by prior crash history	88
4.16	Summary of Chi-square analysis on presence of SIRIM label	89

4.17	Bivariate logistic regression for determinants associated with presence of SIRIM label	91
4.18	Descriptive analysis of SIRIM helmet testing	95
4.19	Distribution of standard motorcycle helmet (by SIRIM testing results) use	95
4.20	Descriptive analysis of helmet characteristics	96
4.21	SIRIM testing results by helmet status	97
4.22	SIRIM testing results by presence of SIRIM label	98
4.23	SIRIM testing results by duration of helmet use	99
4.24	SIRIM testing results by type of chinstrap	99
4.25	SIRIM testing results by helmet crash history	100
4.26	Summary of Chi-square analysis on SIRIM testing results	101
4.27	Bivariate logistic regression for determinants associated with SIRIM testing results	102

C

Figure		Page
1.1	Conceptual Framework	12
2.1	Road traffic fatalities in Malaysia	16
3.1	Sampling frame	58
3.2	Data Collection Flow Chart	66
3.3	Helmet with SIRIM label removed	69
3.4	Helmet without SIRIM label but an idea of label existence was created	69
3.5	Blinded helmet with SIRIM label	69
3.6	Blinded helmet without SIRIM label	69
3.7	Impact Absorption Test Equipment	70
3.8	Anvils used in the impact test	71
3.9	Helmet Penetration Test Equipment	72
3.10	Helmet on dummy headform before retention testing	73

# LIST OF FIGURES

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Low and middle income countries
High income countries
World Health Organization
United States of America
Royal Malaysian of Police
Standards and Industrial Research Institute Malaysia
Postal delivery riders
National Highway and Transport Safety Administration
Department of Statistics Malaysia
Transport Research and Injury Prevention Programme
Injury severity score
United Kingdom
Department of Transport
All terrain vehicle
Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard
Postal delivery centers

### **CHAPTER 1**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Background

Road traffic injuries have been classified as a major community health issue globally (Sharma, 2008) especially in low and middle income countries (LMIC). There were many evidences and studies to show the losses of millions of lives on the road regardless of gender and age (Harrison et al., 1993; Clarke et al., 2005; Dandona et al., 2006; Mohan, 2008; Wong et al., 2010). Globally, total number of motor vehicles has reached one billion, mostly 80% in high income countries (HIC) and remaining 20% in LMIC (Wang, 2005) but only 10% of road traffic fatalities occurred in HIC and 90% in LMIC. According to World Health Organization (WHO), road traffic crashes would be an important global issue in next 20 years (Peden et al., 2004). This is an alarming issue and needs to be addressed quickly as road traffic casualties claim as many as 140,000 injuries worldwide daily and more that 3000 road users die and around 15,000 were disabled for life (Sharma, 2008).

It was noted in last World Report on Road Traffic Injury Prevention that motor vehicle crashes were the leading cause of fatality in workplaces in the USA and contribute significantly to the road fatality burden in other developed nations (Peden et al., 2004). Fatalities resulting from occupational disease and injury lay a heavy burden on community, in terms of monetary costs and human agony (Steenland et al., 2003). Common age group to be involved in fatal crashes were mainly working age population (Mohan & Bawa, 1985). In a study done in USA using surveillance system data from 1980 to 1989, six leading causes of occupational mortality in USA were listed. First in the list was 23% of motor vehicle incidents followed by machine related injuries, homicides, falls, electrocutions, and being struck by falling objects. All these causes accounted for 72% of all occupational injury fatalities (Stout et al., 1996).

In a review conducted, road traffic fatality rates were found increased between 20% and 79% in Colombia, China, Botswana, Malaysia and India from 1975 to 1998 (Ameratunga et al., 2006). In most developing countries, motorcycles have been widely used as a common (Conrad et al., 1996), cheap, convenient (Supramaniam et al., 1984) and increasingly popular (Khan et al., 2008) form of transport. Unfortunately, these motorcycle users had an increasing cause of mortality, morbidity and disability (Wong et al., 1990a; Ankarath et al., 2002; O'Neill & Mohan, 2002; Peden et al., 2004). These figures greatly affect LMIC as majority of road users were motorcyclists. In Malaysia, based on Royal Malaysian Police (RMP) statistical report, there were 414,421 road crashes reported and 6,872 fatalities in year 2010 and 53% involves motorcyclists (RMP, 2011).

Motorcycle crash helmet were found able to reduce fatalities by 30 - 50% if worn properly (Bosch, 2006; TRIPP, 2006) and its usage could lessen the number of head injuries and hospital admissions (Conrad et al., 1996). Crash helmet can reduce head and facial injury for persons of all ages in all types of collisions but it offers least degree of protection especially in high energy collisions (Meadows-Oliver, 2007). Studies conducted around the world had proven motorcycle crash helmets to be efficient in preventing head injuries if worn properly (Chenier & Evans, 1987; Rodgers, 1990; Bazarian, 2003). The early motorcycle helmets were designed accordingly with leather covered with shock absorbing liner. In later designs, the leather cover was replaced by a stiffer, plastic outer shell. The function of this shell was not only to prevent penetration, but also to distribute the impact load over a larger area (Bosch, 2006). Riders with properly fixed helmets were less likely to be dead or had developed difficulty in speech after a motorcycle collision. These retrospective supports the magnitude of motorcycle helmets in preventing functional disabilities related to head injury (Crompton et al., 2010).

The thickness of shell and protective padding in standard motorcycle crash helmet were thicker and able to provide more protection than non-standard motorcycle crash helmet. Non-standard motorcycle crash helmet usually lack one or more components used in manufacturing of standard motorcycle crash helmet. The Standards and Industrial Research Institute of Malaysia (SIRIM) is an independent authority to conduct researches, development, reviews and certification of all standards in Malaysia (Radin et al., 2005; SIRIM, 2011). Standard for the adult motorcycle crash helmet was the earlier standards published by SIRIM (Radin et al., 2005). Team members representing government agencies, trade commerce, manufacture association and scientific and professional bodies was formed to develop the standard (SIRIM, 1996). The first standard drafted for motorcycle crash helmet was in 1969 (MS1:1969) and reviewed in 1988. Improvement was done to accommodate new testing trials and development of new helmet material requirement and was identified as MS1:1996: Specifications for Protective Helmets for Vehicle Users (SIRIM, 1996; Radin et al., 2005).

Declaration of standards of motorcycle crash helmet was done based on MS1:1996 from then onwards. It includes helmet impact attenuation test, penetration test and retention test. Sample of helmets were taken by SIRIM officers from the helmet manufacturing plant and sent to SIRIM testing facility. Standard labels were issued for all the motorcycle crash helmet that if all the samples passed the entire tests. Helmet manufacturers hold firmly to MS1:1996 standards in their production of motorcycle crash helmet to produce helmets that fits the standards as stipulated by SIRIM (SIRIM, 1996).

### **1.2 Problem Statement**

Motorcycle riders often sustain multiple injuries and head injuries being the most frequent injury (Branas & Knudson, 2001; Lin & Kraus, 2009). Efficacy of motorcycle crash helmet in preventing head injuries have been proven through studies conducted globally (Chenier & Evans, 1987; Rodgers, 1990; Bazarian, 2003; Dee, 2009). Therefore it was a known fact that motorcycle crash helmet were efficient in reducing head injuries if worn properly (Ambak et al., 2011) but whether properly worn motorcycle crash helmet were able to provide equal protection as standard certified helmets remains a

question as very limited studies had explored this particular area (Peek-Asa et al., 1999; Kulanthayan et al., 2011).

In a retrospective study conducted in Taiwan using 2000 to 2002 hospital databases, higher percentage of pre-hospital deaths were reported in rural Taiwan compared with the urban areas. Delay in emergency medical service and transportation to nearest hospital were the contributing factors in higher rural pre-hospital deaths. Unrestrained rural motorcycle and car occupants also contributed to higher percentage of road traffic fatalities than urban counterparts (Li et al., 2008). A cohort study conducted in Taiwan from year 1994-1996 among 4729 junior college students also found that rural roads poses greater injury compared with urban roads (Lin et al., 2003a). Injury surveillance study conducted in few rural areas in Thailand also indicated non-compliance of helmet use among motorcyclists involved in crashes (Swaddiwudhipong et al., 1994)

According to Malaysia road accident statistical report published by RMP for year 2005 (RMP, 2006), the total number of fatalities by road crashes was concluded at 6200. Among these, 3181 were motorcyclists. In the same year, the total number of motorcyclist fatalities from working category was 1786 out of 3181 motorcyclists involved. Total fatalities involved 56% of working motorcyclists and contributed to major economic and emotional loss for the country and their families respectively. The RMP statistical report also showed that there were 5623 road crashes occurred by locality and almost 66.3% of crashes occurred in rural areas. In conjunction with these crashes, 2098 fatalities involved motorcyclists from rural areas (RMP, 2006). These local statistics indicated both occupational motorcyclists and rural areas were exposed to greater risk of road traffic fatalities.

After a preliminary observation was done among occupational motorcyclists, postal delivery riders (PDR) were found not using standard motorcycle crash helmet during their delivery routines despite being equipped with standard motorcycle crash helmet by the employer for their daily routines. PDR were also noticed to be exposed longer hours on the road during their delivery jobs (approximately four to six hours daily) during the observations.

#### **1.3** Significance of Study

There were studies done on prevalence of helmet usage in Thailand (1995), Vietnam (2005) and China in 2010 (Swaddiwudhipong et al., 1998; Hung et al., 2006; Xuequn et al., 2011). Prevalence study was also carried out in USA in 1992 (Peek-Asa et al., 1999) and in Malaysia in 2006 (Kulanthayan et al., 2011) on non-standard helmet usage. This is the first study on prevalence of standard helmet use in Malaysia. The usage of standard motorcycle crash helmet has not been widely documented and their non-use represents a serious public health problem as helmet law repeal caused high mortality in USA (Knudson et al., 2004; Houston & Richardson, 2008). If indeed they were being widely used, then it would be important to determine why they were being used, as such knowledge might facilitate to identify factors to design intervention initiatives in future. A study on standard helmet usage among occupational motorcyclists especially from rural areas would strengthen the understanding of helmet use behavior which could help alleviate the fatalities among occupational motorcyclists and in rural areas.

Determinants of standard motorcycle crash helmet usage found in this study would assist employers to use these determinants to ensure use of standard crash helmet usage among the motorcyclists and their safety while commuting to work and home. Despite being a guide for the employers to enhance road safety guidelines and practice among their employees, other occupational motorcyclists too can use these determinants to ensure the motorcycle crash helmets used for work adheres to the standard guidelines. Eventually, this would increase the usage of standard motorcycle crash helmet use among occupational motorcyclists in Malaysia especially in rural areas.

This study also marked an initial initiative taken towards studying standard motorcycle crash helmet use among occupational motorcyclists to ensure their safety on the road while carrying their daily tasks. This study also would help government road safety agencies and non-governmental organizations in develop policies pertaining to standard motorcycle crash helmet usage in respective road safety campaigns.

### 1.4 Objectives

### **1.4.1 General objective**

The general objective of this study was to find the prevalence of standard motorcycle crash helmet use and identify the determinants that contribute to standard motorcycle crash helmet usage among rural postal delivery riders.

### **1.4.2** Specific objectives

- 1.4.2.1 To determine the prevalence of standard motorcycle crash helmet (*by presence of SIRIM label*) use among rural PDR in Peninsular Malaysia
- 1.4.2.2 To identify the socio demographic determinants (age, education level, license ownership and knowledge score) of standard motorcycle crash helmet (presence of SIRIM label) use among rural PDR in Peninsular Malaysia.
- 1.4.2.3 To identify the helmet determinants (*helmet type*, *helmet status and helmet cost*) of standard motorcycle crash helmet (*presence of SIRIM label*) use among rural PDR in Peninsular Malaysia.
- 1.4.2.4 To identify the occupational determinants (*working experience, distance traveled, time traveled and previous crash history*) of standard motorcycle crash helmet (*presence of SIRIM label*) use among rural PDR in Peninsular Malaysia.

- 1.4.2.5 To determine the magnitude of determinants associated with standard motorcycle crash helmet (*presence of SIRIM label*) use among rural PDR in Peninsular Malaysia.
- 1.4.2.6 To determine the prevalence of standard motorcycle crash helmet (by SIRIM testing results) use among rural PDR in Peninsular Malaysia
- 1.4.2.7 To identify the helmet determinants (helmet status, presence of SIRIM label, duration of helmet use, type of chinstrap and helmet crash history) of standard motorcycle crash helmet (SIRIM testing results) use among rural PDR in Peninsular Malaysia.
- 1.4.2.8 To determine the magnitude of determinants associated with standard motorcycle crash helmet (*SIRIM testing results*) use among rural PDR in Peninsular Malaysia.

## 1.5 Hypothesis

In this section, hypothesis tested in the study were listed.

- 1.5.1 Presence of SIRIM label is independent of age
- 1.5.2 Presence of SIRIM label is independent of education level
- 1.5.3 Presence of SIRIM label is independent of license ownership
- 1.5.4 Presence of SIRIM label is independent of knowledge score
- 1.5.5 Presence of SIRIM label is independent of helmet type
- 1.5.6 Presence of SIRIM label is independent of helmet status
- 1.5.7 Presence of SIRIM label is independent of helmet cost

- 1.5.8 Presence of SIRIM label is independent of working experience
- 1.5.9 Presence of SIRIM label is independent of distance traveled
- 1.5.10 Presence of SIRIM label is independent of time traveled
- 1.5.11 Presence of SIRIM label is independent of previous crash history
- 1.5.12 SIRIM testing results is independent of helmet status
- 1.5.13 SIRIM testing results is independent of presence of SIRIM label
- 1.5.14 SIRIM testing results is independent of duration of helmet use
- 1.5.15 SIRIM testing results is independent of type of chinstrap
- 1.5.16 SIRIM testing results is independent of helmet crash history

#### **1.6 Conceptual Framework**

Figure 1.1 below explains the determinants associated with the standard motorcycle crash helmet use. The concept focuses on three main characteristics that contributed towards the standard motorcycle crash helmet use. They were helmet, socio-demographic and occupational characteristics respectively.

Associated socio-demographic characteristics were age, education level, license ownership, knowledge score, ethnicity, license class, gender, location, marital status, lifestyle and income. As for this study, factors such as age, education level, license ownership and knowledge score were evaluated for its association with the respective dependant variable.

Helmet characteristics were important as it describes the information of the helmets being used in the study. They were helmet cost, helmet type, helmet status, type of chinstrap, duration of helmet use, helmet crash history, presence of SIRIM label, comfortability, location of purchase, helmet brand and helmet color. Helmet cost, helmet type, helmet status, type of chinstrap, duration of helmet use, helmet crash history and presence of SIRIM label were evaluated and analyzed.

Occupational components that were found to be associated with the dependant variable were distance traveled in a day, time traveled in a day, working experience, previous crash history, type of road, type of motorcycle and load of work. In this study, variables studied were distance traveled in a day, time traveled in a day, working experience and previous crash history.



#### REFERENCES

- Ambak, K., Ismail, R., Rahmat, R. A. O., & Shokri, F. (2011). Do Malaysian Motorcyclists Concern to Safety Helmet Usage: A Cross-sectional Survey. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 11, 555-560.
- Ameratunga, S., Hijar, M., & Norton, R. (2006). Road-traffic injuries: confronting disparities to address a global-health problem. *The Lancet*, 367(9521), 1533-1540. doi: 10.106/S0140-6736(06)68654-6
- American Academy of Pediatrics, C. o. I. a. P. P. (2001). Bicycle Helmets. *Pediatrics*, 108(4), 1030-1032. doi: 10.1542/peds.108.4.1030
- Ankarath, S., Giannoudis, P. V., Barlow, I., Bellamy, M. C., Matthews, S. J., & Smith, R. M. (2002). Injury patterns associated with mortality following motorcycle crashes. *Injury*, 33(6), 473-477. doi: 10.1016/s0020-1383(02)00048-7
- Bazarian, J. J. (2003). Helmets for preventing head, brain, and facial injuries in cyclists. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 41(5), 738-740. doi: 10.1067/mem.2003.142
- Bosch, v. d. H. L. A. (2006). Crash helmet testing and design specifications. (Doctorate of Philosophy), Eindhoven University of Technology.
- Branas, C. C., & Knudson, M. M. (2001). Helmet laws and motorcycle rider death rates. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 33(5), 641-648. doi: 10.1016/s0001-4575(00)00078-6
- Chandraratna, S., Stamatiadis, N., & Stromberg, A. (2006). Crash involvement of drivers with multiple crashes. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, *38*(3), 532-541. doi: 10.1016/j.aap.2005.11.011
- Chenier, T. C., & Evans, L. (1987). Motorcyclist fatalities and the repeal of mandatory helmet wearing laws. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 19(2), 133-139. doi: 10.1016/0001-4575(87)90032-7
- Chipman, M. L. (2002). Hats off (or not?) to helmet legislation. *Canadian Medical* Association Journal, 166(5), 602.
- Chiu, W. T., Hung, C. C., & Shih, C. J. (1995). Epidemiology of head injury in rural Taiwan - a four year survey. *Journal of Clinical Neuroscience*, 2(3), 210-215. doi: 10.1016/s0967-5868(95)80004-2
- Chiu, W. T., Kuo, C. Y., Hung, C. C., & Chen, M. (2000). The effect of the Taiwan motorcycle helmet use law on head injuries. *American Journal of Public Health*, 90(5), 793-796.

- Clarke, D. D., Ward, P., Bartle, C., & Truman, W. (2005). An in-depth study of work-related road traffic accidents *Department for Transport Road Safety Research Report* (Vol. 58, pp. 1-42).
- Coben, J. H., Steiner, C. A., & Miller, T. R. (2007). Characteristics of motorcyclerelated hospitalizations: Comparing states with different helmet laws. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 39(1), 190-196. doi: 10.1016/j.aap.2006.06.018
- Conrad, P., Bradshaw, Y. S., Lamsudin, R., Kasniyah, N., & Costello, C. (1996). Helmets, injuries and cultural definitions: Motorcycle injury in urban indonesia. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 28(2), 193-200. doi: 10.1016/0001-4575(95)00056-9
- Crompton, J. G., Oyetunji, T., Stevens, K. A., Efron, D. T., Haut, E. R., & Haider, A. H. (2010). Motorcycle Helmets Save Lives, But Not Limbs: A National Trauma Data Bank Analysis of Functional Outcomes After Motorcycle Crash. *Journal of Surgical Research*, 158(1), 1-5. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2009.06.034
- Dandona, R., Kumar, G. A., & Dandona, L. (2006). Risky behavior of drivers of motorized two wheeled vehicles in India. *Journal of Safety Research*, 37(2), 149-158. doi: 10.1016/j.jsr.2005.11.002
- Dee, T. S. (2009). Motorcycle helmets and traffic safety. Journal of Health Economics, 28(2), 398-412. doi: 10.1016/j.jhealeco.2008.12.002
- Donate-López, C., Espigares-Rodríguez, E., Jiménez-Moleón, J. J., Luna-del-Castillo, J. d. D., Bueno-Cavanillas, A., & Lardelli-Claret, P. (2010). The association of age, sex and helmet use with the risk of death for occupants of two-wheeled motor vehicles involved in traffic crashes in Spain. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 42(1), 297-306.
- DSM. (2000). Department of Statistics Malaysia (DSM). General Report of The Population and Housing Census, (D. o. S. Malaysia, Trans.).
- Elliott, M. A., Baughan, C. J., & Sexton, B. F. (2007). Errors and violations in relation to motorcyclists<sup>™</sup> crash risk. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, *39*(3), 491-499. doi: 10.1016/j.aap.2006.08.012
- Evans, L., & Frick, M. C. (1988). Helmet effectiveness in preventing motorcycle driver and passenger fatalities. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 20(6), 447-458. doi: 10.1016/0001-4575(88)90043-7
- Finnoff, J. T., Laskowski, E. R., Altman, K. L., & Diehl, N. N. (2001). Barriers to bicycle helmet use. *Pediatrics*, 108(1), 1-7.
- Garg, N., & Hyder, A. A. (2006). Review Article: Road traffic injuries in India: A review of the literature. *Scandinavian journal of public health*, *34*(1), 100-109.

- Ghaffar, A., Hyder, A. A., & Masud, T. I. (2004). The burden of road traffic injuries in developing countries: The 1st national injury survey of Pakistan. *Public health*, *118*(3), 211-217. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2003.05.003
- Ghajari, M., Caserta, G. D., & Galvanetto, U. (2008). Comparison of safety helmet testing standards (pp. 37): Imperial College London.
- Gilchrist, A., & Mills, N. J. (1996). Protection of the side of the head. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 28(4), 525-535. doi: 10.1016/0001-4575(96)00030-9
- Goldstein, J. P. (1986). The effect of motorcycle helmet use on the probability of fatality and the severity of head and neck injuries. *Evaluation Review*, 10(3), 355.
- Hadden, T., & Benzel, E. (1993). Preventive aspects of helmet safety. Western Journal of Medicine, 158(1), 69-70.
- Hamed, M. M., Jaradat, A. S., & Easa, S. M. (1998). Analysis of commercial minibus accidents. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 30(5), 555-567. doi: 10.1016/s0001-4575(98)00021-9
- Harrison, J. E., Mandryk, J. A., & Frommer, M. S. (1993). Work-related road fatalities in Australia, 1982 1984. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 25(4), 443-451. doi: 10.1016/0001-4575(93)90073-6
- Hart, L. G., Larson, E. H., & Lishner, D. M. (2005). Rural definitions for health policy and research. *American Journal of Public Health*, 95(7), 1149-1155.
- Hendrie, D., Miller, T., Orlando, M., Spicer, R., Taft, C., Consunji, R. (2004). Child and family safety device affordability by country income level: an 18 country comparison. *Injury Prevention*, 10(6), 338-343. doi: 10.1136/ip.2004.005652
- Hermans, E., Brijs, T., Wets, G., & Vanhoof, K. (2009). Benchmarking road safety: Lessons to learn from a data envelopment analysis. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 41(1), 174-182. doi: 10.1016/j.aap.2008.10.010
- Hitosugi, M., Shigeta, A., Takatsu, A., Yokoyama, T., & Tokudome, S. (2004). Analysis of Fatal Injuries to Motorcyclists by Helmet Type. *The American Journal of Forensic Medicine and Pathology*, 25(2), 125-128.
- Houston, D. J. (2007). Are helmet laws protecting young motorcyclists? *Journal of Safety Research*, *38*(3), 329-336. doi: 10.1016/j.jsr.2007.05.002
- Houston, D. J., & Richardson, L. E. (2008). Motorcyclist fatality rates and mandatory helmet-use laws. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 40(1), 200-208. doi: 10.1016/j.aap.2007.05.005
- Hung, D. V., Stevenson, M. R., & Ivers, R. Q. (2006). Prevalence of helmet use among motorcycle riders in Vietnam. *Injury Prevention*, 12(6), 409-413. doi: 10.1136/ip.2006.012724

- Hung, D. V., Stevenson, M. R., & Ivers, R. Q. (2008a). Barriers to, and factors associated, with observed motorcycle helmet use in Vietnam. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 40(4), 1627-1633. doi: 10.1016/j.aap.2008.05.002
- Hung, D. V., Stevenson, M. R., & Ivers, R. Q. (2008b). Motorcycle helmets in Vietnam: ownership, quality, purchase price, and affordability. *Traffic injury* prevention, 9(2), 135-143. doi: 10.1080/15389580701882607
- Ichikawa, M., Chadbunchachai, W., & Marui, E. (2003). Effect of the helmet act for motorcyclists in Thailand. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 35(2), 183-189. doi: 10.1016/s0001-4575(01)00102-6
- Ivers, R. Q. (2004). Exempting adult motorcyclists from wearing helmets increases death from motorcycle accidents. *Evidence-Based Healthcare and Public health*, 8(5), 265-267. doi: 10.1016/j.ehbc.2004.08.017
- Jamson, S., & Chorlton, K. (2009). The changing nature of motorcycling: Patterns of use and rider characteristics. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 12(4), 335-346. doi: 10.1016/j.trf.2009.04.002
- Kareem, A. (2003). Review of global menace of road accidents with special reference to Malaysia-a social perspective. *Malaysian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 10(2), 31-39.
- Keng, S.-H. (2005). Helmet use and motorcycle fatalities in Taiwan. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 37(2), 349-355. doi: 10.1016/j.aap.2004.09.006
- Khan, I., Khan, A., Aziz, F., Islam, M., & Shafqat, S. (2008). Factors associated with helmet use among motorcycle users in Karachi, Pakistan Academic Emergency Medicine (Vol. 15, pp. 384-387).
- Knudson, M. M., Schermer, C., & Speetzen, L. (2004). Motorcycle helmet laws: Every surgeon's responsibility. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*, 199(2), 261-264. doi: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2004.03.020
- Kraus, J. F., Peek, C., & Williams, A. (1995). Compliance with the 1992 California motorcycle helmet use law. *American Journal of Public Health*, 85(1), 96-99.
- Krishnan, R., & Radin, U. R. (1997). An update on road traffic injuries in Malaysia. Journal of University of Malaya Medical Centre, 2(1), 39 - 41.
- Kulanthayan, S., Lai, G. S., Kaviyarasu, Y., & Nor Afiah, M. Z. (2011). Prevalence and determinants of non-standard motorcycle safety helmets amongst food delivery workers in Selangor and Kuala Lumpur. *Injury, Article in Press.* doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2011.06.197
- Kulanthayan, S., Umar, R. S., Hariza, H. A., Nasir, M. T., & Harwant, S. (2000). Compliance of proper safety helmet usage in motorcyclists. *The Medical journal of Malaysia*, 55(1), 40-44.

- Kulanthayan, S., Umar, R. S. R., Hariza, H. A., & Nasir, M. T. M. (2001). Modeling of Compliance Behavior of Motorcyclists to Proper Usage of Safety Helmets in Malaysia. *Traffic Injury Prevention*, 2(3), 239-246.
- Lenguerrand, E., Martin, J. L., Chiron, M., Lagarde, E., & Laumon, B. (2008). Road crash involvement and professional status: A prospective study using the French Gazel cohort. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 40(1), 126-136. doi: 10.1016/j.aap.2007.04.009
- Li, M. D., Doong, J. L., Chang, K. K., Lu, T. H., & Jeng, M. C. (2008). Differences in urban and rural accident characteristics and medical service utilization for traffic fatalities in less-motorized societies. *Journal of Safety Research*, 39(6), 623-630. doi: 10.1016/j.jsr.2008.10.008
- Lin, M.-R., Chang, S.-H., Huang, W., Hwang, H.-F., & Pai, L. (2003a). Factors associated with severity of motorcycle injuries among young adult riders. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 41(6), 783-791. doi: 10.1067/mem.2003.186
- Lin, M.-R., Chang, S.-H., Pai, L., & Keyl, P. M. (2003b). A longitudinal study of risk factors for motorcycle crashes among junior college students in Taiwan. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 35(2), 243-252. doi: 10.1016/s0001-4575(02)00002-7
- Lin, M.-R., Huang, W., Hwang, H.-F., Wu, H.-D. I., & Yen, L.-L. (2004). The effect of crash experience on changes in risk taking among urban and rural young people. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 36(2), 213-222. doi: 10.1016/s0001-4575(02)00150-1
- Lin, M.-R., & Kraus, J. F. (2009). A review of risk factors and patterns of motorcycle injuries. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 41(4), 710-722. doi: 10.1016/j.aap.2009.03.010
- Maartens, N. F., Wills, A. D., & Adams, C. B. T. (2002). Lawrence of Arabia, Sir Hugh Cairns, and the origin of motorcycle helmets. *Neurosurgery*, 50(1), 176-180.
- Macedo, A. C., & Silva, I. s. L. (2005). Analysis of occupational accidents in Portugal between 1992 and 2001. *Safety Science*, 43(5-6), 269-286. doi: 10.1016/j.ssci.2005.06.004
- Magazzu, D., Comelli, M., & Marinoni, A. (2006). Are car drivers holding a motorcycle licence less responsible for motorcycle--Car crash occurrence?:: A non-parametric approach. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 38(2), 365-370. doi: 10.1016/j.aap.2005.10.007
- Majdzadeh, R., Khalagi, K., Naraghi, K., Motevalian, A., & Eshraghian, M. R. (2008). Determinants of traffic injuries in drivers and motorcyclists involved in an accident. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 40(1), 17-23. doi: 10.1016/j.aap.2007.03.019

- Mannering, F. L., & Grodsky, L. L. (1995). Statistical analysis of motorcyclists' perceived accident risk. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 27(1), 21-31. doi: 10.1016/0001-4575(94)00041-j
- Matthews, M. L., & Moran, A. R. (1986). Age differences in male drivers' perception of accident risk: the role of perceived driving ability. *Accident; Analysis and Prevention*, 18(4), 299-313.
- Meadows-Oliver, M. (2007). Using Our Heads: What PNPs Need to Know About Helmets. *Journal of Pediatric Health Care, 21*(4), 265-267. doi: 10.1016/j.pedhc.2007.04.004
- Mohan, D. (1984). Accidental death and disability in India: A stocktaking. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 16(4), 279-288. doi: 10.1016/0001-4575(84)90022-8
- Mohan, D. (2008). Road traffic injuries: a stocktaking. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Rheumatology*, 22(4), 725-739. doi: 10.1016/j.berh.2008.05.004
- Mohan, D., & Bawa, P. S. (1985). An analysis of road traffic fatalities in Delhi, India. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 17(1), 33-45. doi: 10.1016/0001-4575(85)90006-5
- National Institute of Justice, N. (1984). Crash Helmets-NIJ Standard-0105.01 (pp. 15). United States: US Dept of Justice, National Institute of Justice, Office of Development, Testing and Dissemination.
- Newgard, C. D. (2008). Defining the older crash victim: The relationship between age and serious injury in motor vehicle crashes. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 40(4), 1498-1505. doi: 10.1016/j.aap.2008.03.010
- NHTSA. (2007a). Commentary. Annals of Emergency Medicine, 50(2), 194-196. doi: 10.1016/j.annemergmed.2007.06.011
- NHTSA. (2007b). Recent Trends in Fatal Motorcycle Crashes: An Update. Annals ofEmergencyMedicine,50(2),193-194.doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2007.06.010
- NHTSA. (2009, 2/23/2011). National Highway Traffic Safety Administration -Motorcycle Traffic Safety Facts 2008 Retrieved 2/23/2011, 2011, from http://www-nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/pubs/811159.pdf
- Oginni, F. O., Ugboko, V. I., & Adewole, R. A. (2007). Knowledge, attitude, and practice of Nigerian commercial motorcyclists in the use of crash helmet and other safety measures. *Traffic injury prevention*, 8(2), 137-141. doi: 10.1080/15389580601058472
- Ong, B. B., Krishnan, R., & Peter, L. (2000). Pattern of head injury in motorcycle fatalities. *Journal of University of Malaya Medical Centre*, 5(1), 33-35.

- Ouellet, J. V., & Kasantikul, V. (2006). Motorcycle Helmet Effect on a Per-Crash Basis in Thailand and the United States. *Traffic Injury Prevention*, 7(1), 49-54. doi: 10.1080/15389580500338652
- Pang, T. Y., Umar, R. S., Azhar, A. A., Ahmad, M. M., Nasir, M. T., & Harwant, S. (2000). Accident characteristics of injured motorcyclists in Malaysia. *The Medical journal of Malaysia*, 55(1), 45-50.
- Patel, R., & Mohan, D. (1993). An improved motorcycle helmet design for tropical climates. Applied Ergonomics, 24(6), 427-431. doi: 10.1016/0003-6870(93)90175-9
- Paulozzi, L. J., Ryan, G. W., Espitia-Hardeman, V. E., & Xi, Y. (2007). Economic development's effect on road transport-related mortality among different types of road users: A cross-sectional international study. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 39(3), 606-617. doi: 10.1016/j.aap.2006.10.007
- Pedder, J. (1996). Bicycle helmets--are they up to standard? *Injury Prevention*, 2(4), 250-252. doi: 10.1136/ip.2.4.250
- Peden, M., Scurfield, R., Sleet, D., Mohan, D., Hyder, A. A., Jarawan, E. (2004). World report on road traffic injury prevention: World Health Organization Geneva.
- Peden, M., & Toroyan, T. (2005). Counting Road Traffic Deaths and Injuries: Poor Data Should Not Detract From Doing Something! Annals of Emergency Medicine, 46(2), 158-160. doi: 10.1016/j.annemergmed.2005.04.017
- Peek-Asa, C., McArthur, D. L., & Kraus, J. F. (1999). The prevalence of nonstandard helmet use and head injuries among motorcycle riders. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 31(3), 229-233. doi: 10.1016/s0001-4575(98)00071-2
- Perkins, B. J., Helgerson, S. D., & Harwell, T. S. (2009). Attitudes toward a primary seat belt law among adults in a rural state with a secondary seat belt law. *Journal of Safety Research*, 40(1), 49-52.
- Poon, M. C. (1986). Protective Crash Helmet, Patent No. 4586200. U. S. Patent.
- Preusser, D. F., Williams, A. F., & Ulmer, R. G. (1995). Analysis of fatal motorcycle crashes: crash typing. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 27(6), 845-851. doi: 10.1016/0001-4575(95)00027-5
- Radin, U. (2005). Updates of Road Safety Status in Malaysia. *Iatss Research*, 29(1), 79.
- Radin, U. R. S., Kulanthayan, S., Ahmad, H., Musa, A. H., & Mohd, N. M. T. (2005). Helmet Initiative Programs in Malaysia. *Pertanika Journal of Science* & *Technology Supplement*, 13(1), 29-40.

- Radin, U. R. S., Mackay, M. G., & Hills, B. L. (1996). Modelling of conspicuityrelated motorcycle accidents in Seremban and Shah Alam, Malaysia. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 28(3), 325-332. doi: 10.1016/0001-4575(95)00071-2
- Rasouli, M. R., Nouri, M., Zarei, M.-R., Saadat, S., & Rahimi-Movaghar, V. (2008). Comparison of road traffic fatalities and injuries in Iran with other countries. *Chinese Journal of Traumatology (English Edition)*, 11(3), 131-134. doi: 10.1016/s1008-1275(08)60028-0
- Rezendes, J. L. (2006). Bicycle Helmets: Overcoming Barriers to Use and Increasing Effectiveness. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing*, 21(1), 35-44. doi: 10.1016/j.pedn.2005.06.005
- Ricketts, T. C., Johnson-Webb, K. D., & Taylor, P. (1998). *Definitions of rural: A handbook for health policy makers and researchers*: Office of Rural Health Policy.
- RMP. (2006). Royal Malaysian Police (RMP). Statistical Report Road Accident Malaysia 2005 (P. H. Traffic Division, Bukit Aman, Trans.). Kuala Lumpur.
- RMP. (2011). Royal Malaysian Police (RMP). Statistical Report Road Accident Malaysia 2010 (P. H. Traffic Division, Bukit Aman, Trans.). Kuala Lumpur.
- Rodgers, G. B. (1990). The effectiveness of helmets in reducing all-terrain vehicle injuries and deaths. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 22(1), 47-58. doi: 10.1016/0001-4575(90)90006-7
- Rubinson, L., & Neutens, J. J. (1987). *Research techniques for the health sciences*. New York: Macmillan London: Collier Macmillan.
- Rutter, D. R., & Quine, L. (1996). Age and experience in motorcycling safety. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 28(1), 15-21. doi: 10.1016/0001-4575(95)00037-2
- Savolainen, P., & Mannering, F. (2007). Probabilistic models of motorcyclists' injury severities in single-and multi-vehicle crashes. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 39(5), 955-963. doi: 10.1016/j.aap.2006.12.016
- Sharma, B. R. (2008). Road traffic injuries: a major global public health crisis. *Public health*, 122(12), 1399-1406.
- Sharma, R., Grover, V., & Chaturvedi, S. (2007). Health-risk behaviors related to road safety among adolescent students. *Indian J Med Sci*, *61*(12), 656-662.
- Simpson, E. M., Moll, E. K., Kassam-Adams, N., Miller, G. J., & Winston, F. K. (2002). Barriers to booster seat use and strategies to increase their use. *Pediatrics*, *110*(4), 729-736. doi: 10.1542/peds.110.4.729

- SIRIM. (1996). Standards and Industrial Research Institute of Malaysia (SIRIM). Malaysia Standard MS 1:1996: Specifications for Protective Helmets for Vehicle Users. Second Revision. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- SIRIM. (2011, 2/8/2011). Sirim Berhad About Us Retrieved February, 8, 2011, from <u>http://www.sirim.my/about\_us.asp</u>
- Sreedharan, J., Muttappallymyalil, J., Divakaran, B., & Haran, J. C. (2009). Determinants of safety helmet use among motorcyclists in Kerala, India. *Journal of Injury and Violence Research*, 1(2), 49-54. doi: 10.5249/jivr.v2i1.26
- Steenland, K., Burnett, C., Lalich, N., Ward, E., & Hurrell, J. (2003). Dying for work: the magnitude of US mortality from selected causes of death associated with occupation. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 43(5), 461-482. doi: 10.1002/ajim.10216
- Steensberg, J. (1994). Accidental road traffic deaths--prospects for local prevention. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 26(1), 1-9.
- Stout, N. A., Jenkins, E. L., & Pizatella, T. J. (1996). Occupational injury mortality rates in the United States: changes from 1980 to 1989. American Journal of Public Health, 86(1), 73-77.
- Supramaniam, V., van Belle, G., & Sung, J. F. C. (1984). Fatal motorcycle accidents and helmet laws in Peninsular Malaysia. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 16(3), 157-162. doi: 10.1016/0001-4575(84)90009-5
- Swaddiwudhipong, W., Boonmak, C., Nguntra, P., & Mahasakpan, P. (1998). Effect of motorcycle rider education on changes in risk behaviours and motorcyclerelated injuries in rural Thailand. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, 3(10), 767-770. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3156.1998.00301.x
- Swaddiwudhipong, W., Nguntra, P., Mahasakpan, P., Koonchote, S., & Tantriratna, G. (1994). Epidemiologic characteristics of drivers, vehicles, pedestrians and road environments in road traffic injuries in rural Thailand. *Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health*, 25, 37-44.
- Thomas, S., Acton, C., Nixon, J., Battistutta, D., Pitt, W. R., & Clark, R. (1994). Effectiveness of bicycle helmets in preventing head injury in children: casecontrol study. *British Medical Journal*, 308(6922), 173-176.
- TRIPP. (2006). Resolution on compulsory helmet use by motorised two wheeler riders in India. *Transportation Research & Injury Prevention Programme* (*TRIPP*) Bulletin, 3.
- Vredenburgh, A. G. (2002). Organizational safety: Which management practices are most effective in reducing employee injury rates? *Journal of Safety Research*, 33(2), 259-276. doi: 10.1016/s0022-4375(02)00016-6

- Wagenaar, A. C., Molnar, L. J., & Margolis, L. H. (1988). Characteristics of child safety seat users. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 20(4), 311-322.
- Waldtraut, C. (1982). Accident and society in the German Democratic Republic. Journal of Occupational Accidents, 4(2-4), 225-231. doi: 10.1016/0376-6349(82)90030-x
- Wang, Z. (2005). Some Aspects of Road Traffic Injuries. World journal of surgery, 29(Supplement 1), S105-S107. doi: 10.1007/s00268-004-2075-x
- Watson, G. S., Zador, P. L., & Wilks, A. (1981). Helmet use, helmet use laws, and motorcyclist fatalities. *American Journal of Public Health*, *71*(3), 297-300.
- Waxweiler, R. J., Harel, Y., & O'Carroll, P. W. (1993). Measuring adolescent behaviors related to unintentional injuries. *Public Health Reports*, 108(Supplement 1), 11-14.
- Whybrow, B. C. (1986). The design, manufacture and marketing of safety helmets. Journal of Occupational Accidents, 8(3), 225-226. doi: 10.1016/0376-6349(86)90008-8
- Wong, J.-T., Chung, Y.-S., & Huang, S.-H. (2010). Determinants behind young motorcyclists<sup>™</sup> risky riding behavior. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 42(1), 275-281. doi: 10.1016/j.aap.2009.08.004
- Wong, T. W., Lee, J., Phoon, W. O., Yiu, P. C., Fung, K. P., & McLean, J. A. (1990a). Driving experience and the risk of traffic accident among motorcyclists. *Social Science & Medicine*, 30(5), 639-640.
- Wong, T. W., Phoon, W. O., Lee, J., Yiu, I. P. C., Fung, K. P., & Smith, G. (1990b). Motorcyclist traffic accidents and risk factors: a Singapore study. Asia-Pacific Journal of Public Health, 4(1), 34-38. doi: 10.1177/101053959000400106
- Xuequn, Y., Ke, L., Ivers, R., Du, W., & Senserrick, T. (2011). Prevalence rates of helmet use among motorcycle riders in a developed region in China. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 43(1), 214-219.
- Yen, P. T., Radin Umai, R., Aziz, A. A., Singh, H., Wahid, S. A., Mansorü, A. H. B. H. (1999). Fatal injuries in Malaysian motorcyclists. *International Medical Research Journal*, *3*(2), 115-119.
- Zamani-Alavijeh, F., Niknami, S., Bazargan, M., Mohammadi, E., Montazeri, A., Ahmadi, F. (2009). Accident-Related Risk Behaviors Associated with Motivations for Motorcycle Use in Iran: A Country with Very High Traffic Deaths. *Traffic Injury Prevention*, 10(3), 237-242. doi: 10.1080/15389580902822717