



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***OPTIMIZED FLOOD INUNDATION MAPPING USING INTEGRATED GIS
AND HYDRAULIC MODEL FOR UNGAUGED RIVER BASIN***

ERNIEZA SUHANA BINTI MOKHTAR

FK 2018 83



**OPTIMIZED FLOOD INUNDATION MAPPING USING INTEGRATED GIS
AND HYDRAULIC MODEL FOR UNGAUGED RIVER BASIN**

By

ERNIEZA SUHANA BINTI MOKHTAR

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

May 2018

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

OPTIMIZED FLOOD INUNDATION MAPPING USING INTEGRATED GIS AND HYDRAULIC MODEL FOR UNGAUGED RIVER BASIN

By

ERNIEZA SUHANA BINTI MOKHTAR

May 2018

Chair: Biswajeet Pradhan, PhD
Faculty: Engineering

Flood inundation mapping is one of the efficient methods for prediction of flood hazard and risk areas for emergency response and city development planning. River discharge and flood depth are critical parameters in hydraulic modelling for accurate flood hazard estimation. However, limited availability of observed discharge and river morphologies data results in the erroneous calculation and imprecise flood simulation and forecasting. Several empirical equations have been developed in order to predict the discharge. But, the impact of flood inundation mapping via minimum hydraulic variables has not been widely investigated. In addition, resampling techniques have been applied in order to increase the flood prediction; however, studies on the effect of resampled data with respect to the elevation of different land-use categories are limited.

This study attempts to determine a suitable discharge equation and assess the errors of flood inundation mapping at the ungauged station. The study was carried out along Padang Terap River, Kedah Malaysia using Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (IFSAR) and light detection and ranging (LiDAR) DEMs. Through utilisation of this dataset water surface elevation (WSE) was delineated via Manning, Dingman and Sharma, and Bjerklie's equations. The Dingman and Sharma's equation which employs observed data presented a significantly noble agreement with measured and predicted WSE, followed by Manning and Bjerklie equations with the similarity of 80%, RMSE value of 2% and relative error of around 13%.

Next, the uncertainty of hydraulic variables was investigated via Bjerklie's equation, while the sensitivity analysis was evaluated through Monte Carlo simulation. Furthermore, a method for calculation of discharge without ground data via GIS technique was proposed. In addition, the effect of applying normal depth and known water surface (W.S.) boundary conditions were examined and the flood extent was verified with TerraSAR-X and historical flood marks. The F-statistics value was found to be 0.64-0.66 for normal depth and known W.S. boundary condition, respectively. By utilising modified IFSAR and known W.S. boundary condition, the mean absolute error

(MAE), root mean square error (RMSE) and Nash–Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE) were found to be 0.261, 0.365 and 0.808.

Quality of the IFSAR elevation data was assessed by comparing the output with observed Global Positioning System (GPS) and 15 cm resolution LiDAR on different land-use types. Results indicated that the LiDAR, original and the resampled IFSAR DEMs are correlated in elevation value about 90%. The equation was interpolated on the original and resampled IFSAR DEMs to improve the medium-resolution data for WSE delineation. Then, an additional sensitivity analysis was carried out at 95% confidence interval. The findings revealed that the optimize IFSAR_{5m} is superior to the original DEM based on the MAE and RMSE values of 0.785 m and 1.071 m, respectively. WSE generated in HEC-RAS via different cross-section intervals (50, 100, 150 and 200 m) revealed that 100 m cross-section of the modified IFSAR DEM (MID1m) is the most suitable for flood extent mapping with MAE of 1.053 m.

Overall, the novelty of this is attributed to its evaluation of the performance discharge equations for flood mapping, especially in a spatial context. Furthermore, the uncertainties obtained from the hydraulic variables utilised in the discharge equation should be recognized. Consequently, by considering that these errors contributed to the flood hazard maps, the prediction of the inundated area and water depth could be produced accurately, especially at the data-scarce areas. Moreover, this study contributes to development of novel methodological approach by estimating discharge without ground data observation on optimized DEM with limited data available. The outcome of this research may support the current flood modelling in mitigation planning and strategies.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PEMETAAN GENANGAN BANJIR TEROPTIMUM MENGGUNAKAN INTEGRASI GIS DAN MODEL HIDRAULIK UNTUK LEMBANGAN SUNGAI TANPA TOLOK

Oleh

ERNIEZA SUHANA BINTI MOKHTAR

Mei 2018

Pengerusi: Biswajeet Pradhan, PhD
Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Pemetaan banjir adalah salah satu cara yang berkesan untuk meramalkan bahaya banjir dan kawasan berisiko untuk tindak balas kecemasan dan perancangan pembangunan bandar. Pelepasan sungai dan kedalaman banjir adalah parameter penting dalam pemodelan hidraulik bagi membuat anggaran bahaya banjir dengan tepat. Walau bagaimanapun, penghadan pelepasan air yang dicerap dan data morfologi sungai menyebabkan pengiraan yang salah dan simulasi banjir dan peramalan tidak tepat. Beberapa persamaan empirikal telah dibangunkan untuk meramal pelepasan air. Walau bagaimanapun, kesan pemetaan banjir menggunakan pembolehubah hidraulik secara minimum tidak diselidik dengan meluas. Di samping itu, teknik *resampling* telah digunakan untuk meningkatkan ramalan banjir, namun, kajian mengenai kesan data resampled berkenaan dengan ketinggian jenis penggunaan tanah berbeza adalah terhad.

Kajian ini dijalankan untuk memastikan pemodelan pelepasan air yang sesuai dengan menilai kesilapan dalam menganggarkan aliran air di kawasan tanpa stesen cerapan. Kajian ini dijalankan di sepanjang Sungai Padang Terap, Kedah Malaysia menggunakan *Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (IFSAR)* dan *light detection and ranging (LiDAR)*. Dengan ketinggian permukaan air dataset ini (WSE) telah digambarkan menggunakan persamaan Manning, Dingman dan Sharma, dan Bjerklie. Persamaan Dingman dan Sharma yang menggunakan data yang dicerap menunjukkan persetujuan yang sangat baik diikuti Manning dan Bjerklie dimana WSE yang diukur dan diramalkan mempunyai persamaan 80%, RMSE 2% dan ralat relatif sekitar 13%.

Seterusnya, Untuk menyiasat ketidakpastian mengenai pembolehubah gabungan hidraulik yang digunakan dalam persamaan Bjerklie, simulasi Monte Carlo telah dilaksanakan. Tambahan lagi, kaedah untuk mengira pelepasan air tanpa data cerapan menggunakan teknik GIS dicadangkan. Selain itu, kesan penggunaan kedalaman biasa dan permukaan sempadan air yang diketahui (WS) diperiksa dan tahap banjir telah disahkan dengan TerraSAR-X dan tanda banjir bersejarah. Nilai F-statistik didapati 0.64-

0.66 bagi kedalaman biasa dan permukaan sempadan air yang diketahui (W.S.) masing-masing. Menggunakan IFSAR yang diubahsuai dan keadaan sempadan WS yang diketahui, kesilapan mutlak bermakna (MAE), kesilapan akar min kesilapan (RMSE) dan kecekapan Nash-Sutcliffe (NSE) didapati 0.261, 0.365 dan 0.808.

Kualiti data ketinggian IFSAR dinilai dengan membandingkan output dengan sistem kedudukan global (GPS) bersama LiDAR resolusi 15 cm pada jenis guna tanah yang berlainan. Hasilnya menunjukkan bahawa LiDAR asal dan *resampled* IFSAR DEMs mempunyai korelasi dalam nilai ketinggian kira-kira 90%. Persamaan itu diinterpolasi pada data asal dan *resampled* IFSAR DEMs untuk memperbaiki data resolusi sederhana untuk penentuan WSE. Kemudian, analisis sensitiviti lain dilakukan pada selang keyakinan 95%. Penemuan menunjukkan bahawa pengoptimuman IFSAR_{5m} lebih baik daripada DEM asal berdasarkan MAE dan RMSE masing-masing sebanyak 0.785 m dan 1.071 m. WSE yang dijana di HEC-RAS menggunakan selang rentas (50, 100, 150 dan 200 m) yang berlainan yang mendedahkan bahawa keratan rentas 100 m dari IFSAR DEM (MID_{1m}) yang diubahsuai adalah yang paling sesuai untuk pemetaan luas banjir dengan MAE sebanyak 1.053 m. Hasil kajian ini dapat menyokong pemodelan banjir semasa dalam perancangan dan strategi mitigasi.

Keseluruhan, novelti bagi tesis ini adalah kajian komparatif untuk menilai prestasi model pelepasan untuk pemodelan banjir, terutama dalam konteks spatial. Selain itu, dengan menentukan ketidakpastian pemboleh ubah hidraulik yang digunakan dalam persamaan pelepasan, kesilapan dalam menyediakan peta bahaya banjir di kawasan yang terhad mungkin dikurangkan. Selain itu, tesis ini menyumbang pendekatan metodologi baru dengan menganggarkan pelepasan tanpa pemerhatian data tanah pada DEM yang dioptimumkan dengan data terhad yang tersedia.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praise to Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful for giving me good health and strength to complete my research.

I would like to thank my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Biswajeet Pradhan, for his assistance, understanding and encouragements during this research. Without his advice and supervision, I would not been able to complete the doctoral programme on time. I also wish to extend my gratitude to my co-supervisors, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Halim Ghazali and Assoc. Prof Helmi Zulhaidi bin Mohd Shafri, for their invaluable contribution, particularly Assoc. Prof. Dr Halim Ghazali, who pilot the ship with his wealth of experience in hydraulic and flood modelling. I remain ever grateful to God.

Also, on my list is my colleague and good friend, Dr. Mohammed Idrees. I am deeply grateful for his kind assistance to fulfil the research requirements and encouraging me to get through the difficult times during this research.

This research has been supported and funded by the Ministry of Higher Education and Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia. I would like to thank both organizations for their confidence in me. Furthermore, not forgetting the Water Resources Engineering and Management Research Centre (WAREM), Muda Agricultural Development Authority (MADA), Federal Department of Town and Country Planning, Remote Sensing Agency and the Department of Irrigation and Drainage, Malaysia for lending their boat and equipment to our field survey team, your contributions are valuable and resourceful to the success of this programme.

Last but not the least, I would like to thank my family for giving me a support and always pray for my success. To my daughter, Eryna Batrisya Binti Mohd Hafiz, I appreciate your understanding and perseverance, we pray that Allah grant me a long life to celebrate with you during your own time.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 18 May 2018 to conduct the final examination of Ernieza Suhana binti Mokhtar on her thesis entitled "Optimized Flood Inundation Mapping Using Integrated GIS and Hydraulic Model for Ungauged River Basin" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Farzad Hejazi, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Badronnisa binti Yusuf, PhD
Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Gorakanage Arosha Chandima Gomes, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Nitin Kumar Tripathi, PhD
Professor
Asian Institute of Technology
Thailand
(External Examiner)



RUSLI HAJI ABDULLAH, PhD
Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 30 July 2018

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Biswajeet Pradhan, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Abd Halim Ghazali, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Helmi Zuhaidi Mohd Shafri, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: _____

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee: Prof. Dr. Biswajeet Pradhan

Signature: _____
Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Helmi Zulhaidi
Mohd Shafri

Signature: _____
Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Abd Halim Ghazali

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.2 Research Questions	2
1.3 Research Hypothesis	3
1.4 The Motivation behind the Thesis	3
1.5 Problem Statement	3
1.6 Aim and Objectives	5
1.7 The scope of the research	6
1.8 Thesis Outline	6
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Flood Mapping	7
2.3 Satellite Images utilised in Flood Detection	8
2.3.1 Optical Sensor	9
2.3.2 Active Sensor	11
2.4 Hydraulic Variables and Topographic Data in Flood Mapping	13
2.4.1 River Discharge	13
2.4.2 River Cross-Section Parameters	14
2.4.3 Surface Roughness	15
2.4.4 Topographic Data	15
2.4.5 Traditional Hydraulic Methods	18
2.4.6 Empirical Equations	19
2.4.7 River Hydraulic and Hydrology Models	23
2.5 Flood Hazards Modelling Uncertainties	26
2.5.1 Hydraulic Variables Uncertainties	26
2.5.2 Uncertainties in Geospatial Datasets	27
2.5.3 Calibration and Validation of Hydraulic Variables	28
2.6 Improving Hydraulic Model with Geospatial Data integration	33
2.6.1 ASTER AND SRTM DEMs	33
2.6.2 LiDAR	34
2.6.3 Other Elevation Data Source	34
2.6.4 Resampling Image Grid Size	35
2.7 Flood Inundation mapping using different approaches	35

2.7.1	Integrating of GIS Data and Hydraulic Modelling	35
2.7.2	Assessment of Geospatial Data Quality	37
2.8	Previous Studies in Malaysia	38
2.9	Summary	39
3	DATASETS AND METHODOLOGY	41
3.1	Introduction	41
3.2	Overall Methodology Process	41
3.3	Study Area	42
3.4	Data Preparation	45
3.4.1	Hydraulic Data	47
3.4.2	Rainfall Data	51
3.4.3	Land-use Map	51
3.4.3	DEM Data	52
3.4.4	Remotely-Sensed Data	55
3.5	Research Methods	55
3.5.1	Discharge Estimation	55
3.5.2	HEC-RAS Modelling	58
3.5.3	Hydraulic Data Measurement	62
3.5.4	Rainfall and Spatial Interpolations	65
3.5.5	River Bed Interpolation and IFSAR DEM Modification	67
3.5.6	Data Calibration and Validation	68
3.6	Summary	70
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	71
4.1	Introduction	71
4.2	Impact of Discharge Equation on Flood Mapping	71
4.2.1	Estimated Discharge using Different Mathematical Models	71
4.2.2	Assessment of Predicted and Observed Water Surface Elevation	74
4.2.3	Flood inundation mapping using different discharge models and land-use type	76
4.3	Determine uncertainties of inundation area and flood water depth using estimates discharge and surface roughness on IFSAR data	80
4.3.1	Terrain Surface and River Profile from Different DEM images	80
4.3.2	Sensitivity Analysis of Hydraulic Parameters	81
4.3.3	Flood Inundation Areas Extracted from SAR Images	87
4.3.4	Validation of Observed Data and Simulated Water Surface Elevation	89
4.4	Evaluating the impact of resampling of DEM Data and Selection of an appropriate Cross-Section Intervals	94
4.4.1	Horizontal and Vertical Accuracies on Different Land-use Types	94
4.4.2	Hydraulic Parameters Calibration and Equation Development	99
4.4.3	Comparison of Water Surface Elevation between Different Resampled and Modified DEMs datasets in 2014	102

4.4.4	Validation of Flood Inundation in 2010 using Different Set of DEMs and Cross-section Intervals	105
4.5	Summary	111
5	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATION	112
5.1	General Conclusions	112
5.2	Specific Conclusions and Contributions	112
5.3	Limitation of the current study and recommendations for future research	114
5.4	Recommendations for future research	114
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	115
	APPENDICES	130
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	156
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	157

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 List of Satellite Images used in Flood and Land-use Mapping	9
2.2 Characteristics of LANDSAT Sensors (Lawal et al., 2011)	10
2.3 Current and past Satellite missions used for flood extent monitoring (Bjerklie et al., 2003; Di Baldassarre et al., 2011)	12
2.4 List of DEMs used in Flood Inundation Forecasting	15
2.5 A summary of the previous study on discharge estimation	19
2.6 Summary of Hydraulic Modelling	23
3.1 Population Statistics of Kedah District in the year 2011-2014 (Source: Department of Statistics Malaysia)	44
3.2 Mean Rainfall and Flood Depth during Flood Occurrence (Source: DID, 2010, 2011, 2012)	45
3.3 List of data used and their source	47
3.4 List of Discharge Models	56
3.5 Estimated Surface Roughness (n)	61
3.6 Surface roughness coefficient (Manning's n) for Padang Terap land use types	62
3.7 Specification of OTT Qliner (Source: OTT Hydromet, 2011)	63
3.8 Estimated Hydrological Data of Padang Terap River	64
3.9 List of Rainfall Station and Cumulative Rainfall from 30th of October-4th of November 2010	65
4.1 Comparison of Water Level and Discharge at Kuala Nerang Water Level Station	72
4.2 Evaluation of Kampung Kubu Water Surface Elevation (WSE) between the Gauge Station and HEC-RAS Model using Different Discharge Equations	74
4.3 Average of WSE from Discharge Model for Different Land-use Types, Elevation, and Slope	79
4.4 Values of hydraulic parameters with combination of width (W), cross-section river depth (Y) and channel slope (S) for discharge (Q) computation on different DEM and boundary conditions in 2010	82
4.5 Monte Carlo simulation of hydraulic variables of discharge estimation	82
4.6 Monte Carlo simulation for surface roughness	82

4.7	Uncertainty of Flood Water Depth (in meter) from Monte Carlo Simulation	91
4.8	Standard deviation (in meters) of predicted flood water depth at different boundary conditions and DEM data based on Monte Carlo simulation. The differences are calculated by comparing flood depths from both DEMs	92
4.9	Area comparison delineated from original and resampled DEM based on land-use types	95
4.10	Elevation and slope comparison between LiDAR and original and resampled IFSAR data based on likelihood measures	95
4.11	Elevation comparison between LiDAR and original and resampled IFSAR data based on likelihood measures	97
4.12	Combined hydraulic variables in discharge calculation for 95% confidence interval in 2014	99
4.13	Combined hydraulic variables in discharge calculation for 95% confidence interval in 2010	100
4.14	Manning's n a floodplain and channel areas for 95% Confidence Interval	100
4.15	Likelihood Measures of between Observed and Predicted WSE between at channel	102

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Risk of Disaster Diagram (Source: Brand, 2011)	8
2.2	Variables of Energy Equation for Water Surface Profile Calculation (Brunner, 2010)	24
2.3	Uncertainties source in Flood (Source: Eleuterio, 2012)	26
3.1	Overall Methodology Flowchart	42
3.2	Location of Padang Terap River at North Part of Peninsular Malaysia (Kedah) (a) and land-use map with flow direction (b)	43
3.3	Historical Flood of Kedah Catchment (Source: DID, 2010)	46
3.4	Flooding at Taman Jelutong, Kuala Nerang Kedah in 2010	46
3.5	OTT QLiner	48
3.6	Longitudinal Profile of the River Observed in 2014 across the 49 cross sections	48
3.7	Discharge and Velocity Observed at the Cross Section	49
3.8	MADA Telemetry Stations along Padang Terap River	50
3.9	Location of Rainfall Stations in Kedah District	51
3.10	Land-use Map of the study area created in 2009	52
3.11	IFSAR DEM Dataset	53
3.12	ORI IFSAR	53
3.13	Slope Map Derived from IFSAR	54
3.14	DEM Derived from LiDAR Data	54
3.15	List of Discharge Models and Hydraulic Variables to achieve research objectives	56
3.16	River cross section and visualization of hydraulic parameters measurement	58
3.17	Procedure for Producing Hydraulic Variables. Red line indicates the method involves achieving first and second objectives while blue line for third objectives	59
3.18	Cross-section line and water level gauge stations of Kampung Kubu and Kuala Nerang (red point) along Padang Terap River	60
3.19	Ground Survey Measurement at Padang Terap River	64
3.20	Rainfall isohyet map location of rainfall stations are indicated by black squares and the station ID is indicated in bold font	66

3.21	(a) Surveyed data locations along the river, (b) interpolated data obtained using the inverse distance weighting method, (c) original DEM, and (d) integrated data comprising interpolated data and interferometric synthetic aperture radar (IFSAR) DEM in RAS Mapper	67
3.22	Measurement of historical flood mark	69
4.1	Predicted river discharge and water surface elevation for Padang Terap area	72
4.2	Increment of water depth, discharge, and affected areas during the flooding in 2010	73
4.3	The relationship between measured and predicted water levels from discharge model: (a) Manning, (b) Dingman and Sharma (b), and (c) Bjerklie et al.	75
4.4	Flood inundation of Padang Terap simulated from the discharge derived from Dingman and Sharma model	77
4.5	(a) TerraSAR-X in 4th November 2010 - During Flood, (b) Water Bodies extracted from TerraSAR-X and (c) Flood Inundation from HEC-RAS	78
4.6	Comparison of terrain surfaces with 2 m contour intervals using (a, c) modified IFSAR TIN and (b, d) original IFSAR TIN	80
4.7	Cross-section profile near the Kuala Nerang station. The profile “River bottom” was obtained from Qliner equipment	81
4.8	Scatter plot of variables for discharge relates to (a) width, (b) river depth and (c) channel slope. All dots (points) represent a set of variables (W/Y/S) against the river discharge retrieved from Monte Carlo simulation. The blue and green dots represent the sets of parameters in IFSAR and modified IFSAR DEMs, respectively.	84
4.9	F-statistics calculated from the overlapping of the observed and predicted flood-inundated areas associated with various surface roughness values. F1 and F2 were determined under different boundary conditions (known WS and normal depth) using the modified IFSAR DEM; F3 and F4 were determined under different boundary conditions (known WS and normal depth) using IFSAR DEM	85
4.10	Inundated areas under variable combinations: minimum Q–minimum Manning’s n; mean Q–mean Manning’s n; and maximum Q–maximum Manning’s n for IFSAR DEM (a, c, e, g, i, k) and modified IFSAR DEM (b, d, f, h, j, l)	86
4.11	Satellite images for water extraction in different phases, i.e., (a) pre-flood from RADARSAT-1, (b) during flood from TerraSAR-X, and (c) post-flood from RADARSAT-1 along the Padang Terap River (blue line)	88

4.12	Flood inundation area with purple circle for (a) 30 th October, (b) 4 th November, and (c) 7 th November 2010, as obtained from HEC-RAS model, RADARSAT-1, and TerraSAR-X images	89
4.13	Combined variables of mean Q and mean Manning's n using (a) known WS and modified IFSAR DEM, (b) known W.S. and IFSAR DEM, (c) normal depth and modified IFSAR DEM, and (d) normal depth and IFSAR DEM. The purple and red boxes indicate the river areas covered by ground observations and absent surveyed data, respectively.	93
4.14	Combined variables of max Q and max Manning's n using (a) known WS and modified IFSAR DEM, (b) known WS and IFSAR DEM, (c) normal depth and modified IFSAR DEM, and (d) normal depth and IFSAR DEM. The purple and red boxes indicate the river areas covered by ground observations and absent surveyed data, respectively	94
4.15	Slope map generated by LiDAR DEM with 15cm resolution	96
4.16	Slope map generated by LiDAR DEM with 1m resolution	96
4.17	Slope map generated by LiDAR DEM with 5m resolution	97
4.18	Box plot showing the comparison of error distribution on different land-use types	98
4.19	Scatterplot of the relationship between LiDAR and different IFSAR DEM resolution	101
4.20	WSE Prediction from HEC-RAS model based on combined parameter sets using 95% confidence level at upper and lower bound using (a, b) LiDAR and (c, d) Modified IFSAR DEM with 5 m resolution	103
4.21	Various Cross-Section Intervals in (a) 50 m, (b) 100 m, (c) 150 m and (d) 200 m	105
4.22	Mean absolute error of water surface depth between LiDAR _{15cm} and resampled LiDAR _{1m} and LiDAR _{5m}	107
4.23	Mean Absolute Error of WSE of Each DEMs with respect to the LiDAR _{15cm} in different cross-section Intervals	107
4.24	Elevation Comparison for Several of LiDAR and IFSAR DEMs Dataset at (a) CS3600.106 and (b) CS7050.851	108
4.25	Water Depth Prediction at (a) CS3600.106 and (b) CS7050.851	110

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

1D	One-dimensional
2D	Two-dimensional
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DGPS	Differential Global positioning System
DID	Department of Irrigation and Drainage
DSM	Digital Surface Model
ETM+	Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus
GCP	Ground Control Point
GIS	Geographical Information System
GLUE	Generalized likelihood uncertainty estimation
HEC-HMS	Hydrologic Modelling System
HEC-RAS	River Analysis System
IFSAR	Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar
LiDAR	Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR)
MAE	Mean Absolute Error
MSS	Multispectral Scanner
NRCS-CN	National Soil Conservation Service (NRCS) Curve Number (CN)
NSE	Nash–Sutcliffe efficiency
ORI	Orthorectified Radar Image
R ²	coefficient of determination
RCM	Rating curve model
RE	Relative error
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar
SPOT	Satellite Pour l'Observation de la Terre
SWAT	Soil and Water Assessment Tool
TIN	Triangular Irregular Networks
TM	Thematic Mapper
W.S.	Water Surface
WSE	Water Surface Elevation

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

At the present time, natural disasters such as landslides, earthquakes, drought, and floods have turn into catastrophic phenomena in different parts of the world, resulting in causalities and property damages. These events are unpredictable since they occur unexpectedly. According to Pradhan and Youssef (2011), floods are the most devastating disasters occurring in rural and urban areas, typically with severe damages. A flood occurs when riverbanks are over-spilled and the water diverted to the floodplain zones in residence area, which is known to be damaging for public facilities and agricultural lands. Flood is categorised into three main types: coastal flood, flash flood, and river flood. Differentiating the type of flood depends on the rainfall, duration, and size of flood extent (Opolot, 2013). It is on record that floods in India occurred continuously with magnitude of more than 35,000 m³/s due to extreme precipitation, resulting in economic losses of over US\$ 400 million in 2008 and 2011 (Jena et al., 2016). Due to the heavy rainfall and inadequate drainage design for the purpose of holding water in high volume, the water overflow from channel to dry surface was submerges underwater (Kaveckis and Bechtel, 2014).

Common triggering factors such as storm surge, typhoon and tropical low pressure result in intense rainfall in the central and south region of Vietnam (Ho et al., 2010). In addition, it has been reported that sea levels are rising due to global warming (Obanawa et al., 2010), climate change, uncontrolled human activities such as deforestation, while rapid development escalates the flood risk and coastal erosion due to the rise of discharge and surface runoff (Chen et al., 2016; Tehrany et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2015). Every year, natural disasters lead to hundreds of deaths and cost billions of dollars in disaster aid, disruption of socioeconomic activities, and damages the buildings and critical infrastructure. According to the Malaysian Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID), it has been estimated that more than 2.7 million people live in flood-prone areas while the average annual flood damages amount to RM200 to RM300 million per year (Pradhan et al., 2009). In addition, DID reports that in 2010 most of the flood events in Kedah were attributed to water overflowing from Padang Terap River. For instance, around 5,615 residents and a large expanse of paddy fields and cultivated land were affected by a flood event in the state of Kedah between 2005 and 2010 (Said et al., 2013). The property loss was also estimated to be around RM17.81 million in 2010, in comparison to RM3.126 million in 2009 (DID, 2009, 2010).

Although the damages produced by the flood have reduced to about RM12.685 million in 2014, however, flood continues to wreak havoc on the communities along Padang Terap River annually, arising from heavy rainfall and water overflowing of the river banks. Several efforts have been executed by the Ministry of Natural Resources and

Environment Malaysia (2011) towards enhancing flood mapping and forecasting by utilising structural methods such as flood protection and nonstructural methods which include preparation of hazard maps, flood warning, and forecasting systems. Between 2010 and 2012 alone, about 25 flood hazard maps were produced to assist the state government in flood mitigation planning.

Flood inundation maps are important for flood hazard and risk assessment (Merwade et al. 2008a). Several attempts have been performed in order to improve and produce accurate flood hazard maps (Getahun and Gebre, 2015; Nor Aizam et al., 2014; Pradhan et al., 2014; Turner et al., 2013); via different hydraulic models such as Hydrologic Engineering Centre River Analysis System (HEC-RAS) (Brandimarte and Di Baldassarre, 2012; Getahun and Gebre, 2015; Knebl et al., 2005; Salimi et al., 2008), Hydrologic Modelling System (HEC-HMS) (Tripathi et al., 2014), Natural Resources Conservation Service Curve Number (NRCS-CN) (Gholami et al., 2010; Ibrahim et al., 2014), MIKE 11 (Alam et al., 2014) and Soil & Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) (Ahn and Merwade, 2017; Wu et al., 2015). These hydraulic models are integrated with GIS and remote-sensing datasets where river discharge is vital for water inundation extraction, flood risk, hazard mapping, and surface runoff monitoring (Alaghmand et al., 2010; Ali et al., 2011; Ibrahim et al., 2014; Jung et al., 2014; Jung et al., 2012; Tarpanelli et al., 2013a). River discharge can be obtained from gauge station (Jung et al., 2014; Salimi et al., 2008; Tarpanelli et al., 2013a) or by empirical equation (Bjerklie, 2007; Dingham and Sharma, 1997; Riggs and Reston, 1976; Robert, 1897). Other parameters such as surface roughness, cross-section depth, boundary condition and river width are required as well for simulation of inundated area and flood depth (Brunner, 2010; USDA, 2007). For this purpose, low-high resolution DEM, optical and SAR imageries have been widely utilised to enhance the flood inundation mapping. GIS and remote-sensing data integrated with hydraulic models have been resourcefully employed in hydrology and hydraulic modeling for estimation of discharge, model calibration, and surface runoff characteristic based on land-use variations, uncertainties of hydraulic variables, flood hazard, flood risk, flood susceptibility and land-use.

Enhancement of flood inundation mapping is essential for assessing and accurately locating potential areas at risk of flooding. However, uncertainties in hydraulic variables and geospatial data are required to be eliminated for accurate derivation of flood hazard maps. Therefore, this study aims to enhance the current methods and identify uncertainties in flood hazard mapping by optimizing IFSAR dataset to derive flood extent and water surface elevation accurately. This study is of importance to government agencies such as DID and the Department of Town and Country Planning for effective flood monitoring and management.

1.2 Research Questions

Related research questions that will be answered in this research are:

- i. How discharge value could be obtained for the area of the ungauged station?
- ii. Which statistical equation is appropriate for river discharge estimation?

- iii. What are the uncertainties in the proposed equation and how could utilised hydraulic variables be minimized at the ungauged station in flood modeling?
- iv. Is it possible to forecast the flood extent and water surface elevation without ground data observation?
- v. How IFSAR DEM could predict water surface elevation more accurately?
- vi. What is the most suitable cross-section interval to delineate the water surface elevation?

1.3 Research Hypothesis

This research tests the following hypothesis:

- i. Estimating flood extent and depth at the ungauged station can be determined precisely by reducing observed hydraulic parameters and minimizing geospatial data available.
- ii. Optimization medium-resolution DEM can determine flood hazard area as provided by high-resolution DEM

1.4 The Motivation behind the Thesis

Recognition of error contributions in flood inundation mapping processes is the main consideration in this research. Due to the existence of uncertainties in different parameters in hydraulic variables on discharge prediction and geospatial data, the inundated area and flood depth cannot be predicted accurately. Considering the absence of the observed discharge data and river geometric, it is vital to make certain that the existing discharge equation is carefully selected in order to obtain the minimum error. Especially for areas that cannot be accessed due to unfavorable factors such as topography, weather condition, high cost, and non-availability or incomplete ground data observation. Hence, obtaining several variables such as wetted perimeter, cross-section depth, and the cross-section area of the river is known to be challenging. It is, therefore, necessary to improve the quality of IFSAR DEM. This research was performed in order to reduce the uncertainties that occurred due to selection of suitable values for the hydraulic variables, land-use surface roughness, which proposes the appropriate DEM data characteristic for flood modeling. Flood hazard maps could be precisely produced even for areas with limited data availability.

1.5 Problem Statement

The northern state of Kedah has been suffering from flooding, while approximately 5,615 residents and a massive portion of paddy field areas of 8,500 (2007) and 49,529 (2010) hectares were badly affected by flood. In 2010, severe flooding submerged the lands with flood depth in average 1 to 2 m in the catchment of Padang Terap River for five to six days due to overspill of the river's bank in 2005 and 2010. In the context of flood incidents, a loss of RM 3.126 million was reported in 2009, which pales in comparison to another major flood disaster in 2010, which disclosed an estimated staggering property

loss of RM17.81 million. Specifically, during the major floods in 2010, an hourly record of the water level was captured by Muda Agriculture Development Authority (MADA) automatic telemetry station located at Kuala Nerang. The telemetry data indicated that the water level reached about 4 - 9 m from 31st October to 4th November.

Recently, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment Malaysia (2011) has improvised several flood hazard maps as a reference for effective development planning which propagates the information regarding the inundated area for authorities responsible for flood operation (Jung et al., 2012; Merwade et al., 2008b). However, discharge data was unavailable in a certain area, which makes it difficult to map the inundated area accurately in hydraulic perspectives to prevent or at least minimise the damages to people and properties. According to Grimaldi et al. (2016), limited gauge stations are accessible in the developing countries, while the traditional methods labor intensive as well as being costly. In addition, it is challenging to quantify an accurate estimation of discharge at ungauged sites (Jung et al., 2013). Therefore, empirical equations have been developed to overcome the challenges with discharge data measurement (Barnes, 1969; Bjerklie et al., 2003; Brunner, 2016; Dingman and Sharma, 1997; Jarret and Asce, 1984). Furthermore, due to problems associated with observation of water discharge during unfavorable weather conditions, estimation of river flow via remote-sensing based techniques has attracted the attention of researchers (Birkinshaw et al., 2014). Therefore, the main goal of this study was to identify the existing discharge equation that could be appropriately utilised on the natural river in the Malaysian environment. In addition, based on the previous studies, no comparative study has been performed to evaluate the performance of the discharge equation for flood modeling, especially in a spatial context. Consequently, the selected discharge equations are proposed by considering the limited observed hydraulic data.

Flood inundation mapping can be provided accurately if the observed hydraulic data are available. Unfortunately, due to non-existence of ground observation data and difficulties in obtaining the high-flow condition, it can contribute to uncertainties in discharge and boundary condition, which leads to major challenge in prediction of inundated areas and flood depths (Bjerklie, 2007; Grimaldi et al., 2016; Jung et al., 2012; Pappenberger et al., 2006; Pappenberger et al., 2005). Furthermore, uncertainties are contributed by the complexity of flow equations, input flow values, model parameters, boundary condition, and river profile (Mason et al., 2015; Pappenberger et al., 2006; Yan et al., 2013). In addition, errors arise from model parameters assumption, uncertainties in Manning- n and wrong calibration due to assignment of a constant value as the roughness coefficient (Merwade et al., 2008a; 2008b; Pappenberger et al. 2005; Di Baldassarre et al., 2010). The uncertainties of the parameters may lead to inaccurate flood inundation mapping. Elimination or identification of the impact of uncertainties could significantly enhance the decision-making for present and future forecasting (Savage et al., 2016). Although several studies (Grimaldi et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2013; Merwade et al., 2008b; Tarpanelli et al. 2013b; Xie and Lian, 2013; Yan et al., 2013) have investigated the uncertainties of some parameters such as hydraulic model, discharge equation, and digital elevation model (DEM) on flood mapping, however, the existing uncertainties of the hydraulic variable utilised in the discharge equation at data-scarce area for flood inundation mapping require further exploration. Furthermore, evaluation of the impact of flood extent and depth with or without observed hydraulic variables by means of existing

discharge equation requires to be performed in order to overcome the non-availability of data.

Additionally, uncertainties could be found in GIS-based mapping in terms of horizontal and vertical resolution, topographic data, interpolation algorithm, classification method, satellite equipment, surface roughness and image quality (Casas et al., 2006; Eleuterio, 2012; Jung, 2011; Md Ali, 2018). Quality of elevation data, cross-section extraction and integrating of surveyed and existing DEM data may influence the flood mapping, as it is the main concern which may affect the prediction of inundated area and flood depth (Ahn and Merwade, 2017; Md Ali, 2018; Md Ali et al., 2015; Merwade et al., 2008b). Merwade et al. (2008a) and Yan et al. (2013) reported that the performance of the integration of two different data format is necessary for reliable hydraulic modeling in flood profiles simulation. Although few researchers (Brandimarte and Di Baldassarre, 2012; Lin et al., 2013; Lumbroso and Gaume, 2012; Teng et al., 2017) have discussed the uncertainties of elevation data in hydraulic approach, the capability of the IFSAR DEM for flood modeling has not been widely explored. Therefore, this study examines the effects of resampled DEM data, establishes the relationship between LiDAR and IFSAR DEMs and enhances the quality of IFSAR DEM.

In this study, flood hazard map for Padang Terap River was enhanced to present the estimated inundated area and flood depth by minimizing errors that contributed to hydraulic variables utilised in the discharge equation and geospatial data. Then, the hazard area could be determined accurately to address the aforementioned gaps. Furthermore, several standards and the most relevant practices for data acquisition and processing were performed in order to improve the data quality for enhanced flood hazard mapping.

1.6 Aim and Objectives

The main aim of the study is to estimate the uncertainties in flood inundation mapping based on hydraulic characteristics and land-use via GIS techniques.

To achieve this aim, the specific objectives are:

- i. To determine suitable discharge equations and assess errors in estimating water flow at the ungauged station on flood inundation mapping.
- ii. To investigate uncertainties of hydraulic variables and influences of inundation areas delineated from estimated discharge without ground observation data and different boundary conditions.
- iii. To examine the accuracy of DEM for different land-use types and optimize IFSAR DEM with various vertical resolutions and cross-section intervals.

1.7 The scope of the research

This study focuses on Padang Terap River, which connects three major areas, Kuala Nerang, Kampung Kubu and Kampung Kuala Pai, Kedah, Malaysia. Historically, communities along this river are known for frequent flooding, while one of the worst occurred in 2010. These areas are selected mainly due to their location which is in the low land area and are typically submerged due to the river overflow of channel. This study is purely data-driven, while several remote-sensing data including TerraSAR-X, and historical flood marks were utilised in order to analyse and verify the efficiency of the proposed method for flood inundation prediction and to enhance flood detection without hydraulic data. In this study, flow hydrograph was not considered; therefore, the new empirical equation for discharge estimation was not of importance. Furthermore, dam operation and the river sub-tributaries were not considered in the analysis.

1.8 Thesis Outline

This thesis is divided into five chapters. Chapter 1 explains, in brief, the introductory part, which includes the background of the study, problem statement, research motivation, research question, aim and objectives as well as scope of work. The Chapter 2 highlights the definition of flood inundation mapping, description of hydraulic variables and land-use concept. Furthermore, a thorough discussion of existing discharge models and the effect of uncertainties on water surface elevation and flood depth are presented. The chapter concludes with DEM quality assessment and the current trend in flood modeling using GIS and Remote Sensing techniques. The detailed methodology utilised in this study is presented in Chapter 3. The chapter describes the study area, gives details of the data used and methodology employed. In Chapter 4, the results are presented with elaborate discussion and implications of the findings according to the sequence of the methodological workflow. Issues of importance include discharge model assessment, determination of uncertainties of inundation area. Also a proposed approach to cross-section depth measurement, DEM quality assessment and appropriate cross-section intervals for forecasting inundated area is presented and discussed. Finally, the thesis concludes with Chapter 5, which summarizes the overall findings and suggests recommendations for future research.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abas, A. A., & Hashim, M. (2014). Change detection of runoff-urban growth relationship in urbanised watershed. *IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 18, 1–6.
- Abustan, I., Sulaiman, A. H., Abdul Wahid, N., & Baharudin, F. (2008). Proceeding from 11th International Conference on Urban Drainage: Determination of Rainfall-Runoff Characteristics in An Urban Area : Sungai Kerayong Catchment Kuala Lumpur. Edinburgh, Scotland, UK.
- Adham, M. I., Shirazi, S. M., Othman, F., Rahman, S., Yusop, Z., & Ismail, Z. (2014). Runoff potentiality of a Watershed through SCS and Functional Data Analysis Technique. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014, 1-15.
- Aguilar, F. J., Mills, J. P., Delgado, J., Aguilar, M. A., Negreiros, J. G., & Pérez, J. L. (2010). Modelling vertical error in LiDAR-derived digital elevation models. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 65(1), 103–110.
- Ahn, K., & Merwade, V. (2017). The effect of land cover change on duration and severity of high and low flows. *Hydrological Processes*, 31, 133–149.
- Al-sharif, A. a a, & Pradhan, B. (2014). Monitoring and predicting land use change in Tripoli Metropolitan City using an integrated Markov chain and cellular automata models in GIS. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 7(10), 4291–4301.
- Alaghmand, S., Rozi, A., Ismail, A., & Vosoogh, B. (2010). GIS-based River Flood Hazard Mapping in Urban Area (A Case Study in Kayu Ara River Basin, Malaysia). *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 2(6), 488–500.
- Alam, M. S., Willems, P., & Alam, M. M. (2014). Comparative Assessment of Urban Flood Risks due to Urbanization and Climate Change in the Turnhout Valley of Belgium. *ABC Journal of Advanced Research*, 3(1), 14–23.
- Ali, M., Khan, S. J., Aslam, I., & Khan, Z. (2011). Simulation of the impacts of land-use change on surface runoff of Lai Nullah Basin in Islamabad , Pakistan. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 102, 271–279.
- Altman, D., Machin, D., Bryant, T., & Gardner, S. (2000). *Statistics with confidence* (2nd ed.). BMJ Books.
- Barbetta, S., Brocca, L., Tarpanelli, A., Melone, F., Singh, V. P., & Moramarco, T. (2013). Proceeding from 6th International Perspective on Water Resources & the Environment: Discharge Assessment at Ungauged River Sites by using Satellite Altimetry Data: The Case Study of the Po River (Italy).Azmir, Turkey.
- Barnes, H. H. (1969). Roughness characteristics of natural channels. *Journal of Hydrology*, 7(3), 354.

- Basaruddin, Z., Adnan, N. A., Latif, A. R. A., Tahir, W., & Syafiqah, N. (2014). Event-based rainfall-runoff modelling of the Kelantan River Basin. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* 18, 1–6.
- Bates, P. D., Marks, K. J., & Horritt, M. S. (2003). Optimal use of high-resolution topographic data in flood inundation models. *Hydrological Processes*, 17(3), 537–557.
- Bates, P., Horritt, M. S., Aronica, G., & Beven, K. (2004). Bayesian updating of flood inundation likelihoods conditioned on flood extent data. *Hydrological Processes*, 18(17), 3347–3370.
- Berezowski, T., Chormański, J., Batelaan, O., Canters, F., & Voorde, T. Van De. (2012). Impact of Remotely Sensed Land-Cover Proportions on Urban Runoff Prediction. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 16, 54–65.
- Bhaskar, J., & Suribabu, C. R. (2014). Estimation of Surface Run-off for Urban Area Using Integrated Remote Sensing and GIS Approach. *Jordan Journal of Civil Engineering*, 8(1), 70–80.
- Bhuyian, N. M., Kalyanapu, A. J., & Nardi, F. (2015). Approach to Digital Elevation Model Correction by Improving Channel Conveyance. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, ASCE 2015, 20(5), 1–10.
- Birkinshaw, S. J., Moore, P., Kilsby, C. G., O'Donnell, G. M., Hardy, A. J., & Berry, P. A. M. (2014). Daily discharge estimation at ungauged river sites using remote sensing. *Hydrological Processes*, 28, 1043–1054.
- Biro, K., Pradhan, B., Buchroithner, M., & Makeschin, F. (2011). Land use/land cover change analysis and its impact on soil properties in the Northern Part of Gadarif Region, Sudan. *Land Degradation and Development*, 13.
- Biro, K., Pradhan, B., Sulieman, H., & Buchroithner, M. (2013). Exploitation of TerraSAR-X Data for Land use/Land Cover Analysis Using Object-Oriented Classification Approach in the African Sahel Area, Sudan. *Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing*, 41(3), 539–553.
- Bjerklie, D. M. (2007). Estimating the bankfull velocity and discharge for rivers using remotely sensed river morphology information. *Journal of Hydrology*, 341(3–4), 144–155.
- Bjerklie, D. M., Lawrence Dingman, S., Vorosmarty, C. J., Bolster, C. H., & Congalton, R. G. (2003). Evaluating the potential for measuring river discharge from space. *Journal of Hydrology*, 278, 17–38.
- Bjerklie, D. M., Moller, D., Smith, L. C., & Dingman, S. L. (2005). Estimating discharge in rivers using remotely sensed hydraulic information. *Journal of Hydrology*, 309(1–4), 191–209.

- Brand, B. (2011). Understanding risk and resilience to natural hazards: U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet.
- Brandimarte, L., & Di Baldassarre, G. (2012). Uncertainty in design flood profiles derived by hydraulic modelling. *Hydrology Research*, 43(6), 753.
- Brunner, G. W. (2010). HEC-RAS, River Analysis System Hydraulic Reference Manual. US Army Corps of Engineers.
- Brunner, G. W. (2016). HEC-RAS River Analysis System User's Manual.
- Byun, J., & Seong, Y. B. (2015). An algorithm to extract more accurate stream longitudinal profiles from unfilled DEMs. *Geomorphology*, 242, 38–48.
- Casas, A., Benito, G., Thorndycraft, V. R., & Rico, M. (2006). The topographic data source of digital terrain models as a key element in the accuracy of hydraulic flood modelling. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 31(4), 444–456.
- Castellarin, A., Di Baldassarre, G., Bates, P. D., & Brath, A. (2009). Optimal Cross-Sectional Spacing in Preissmann Scheme 1D Hydrodynamic Models. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 135(2), 96–105.
- Chen, H., Ito, Y., Sawamukai, M., Su, T., & Tokunaga, T. (2016). Spatial and temporal changes in flood hazard potential at coastal lowland area: a case study in the Kujukuri Plain, Japan. *Natural Hazards*, 84(3), 1513–1527.
- Cook, A., & Merwade, V. (2009). Effect of topographic data, geometric configuration and modeling approach on flood inundation mapping. *Journal of Hydrology*, 377(1–2), 131–142.
- Covello, F., Battazza, F., Coletta, A., Lopinto, E., Fiorentino, C., Pietranera, L., Valentini, G., Zoffoli, S. (2010). COSMO-SkyMed an existing opportunity for observing the Earth. *Journal of Geodynamics*, 49(3–4), 171–180.
- Coveney, S., & Roberts, K. (2017). Lightweight UAV Digital Elevation Models and Orthoimagery for environmental applications: data accuracy evaluation and potential for river flood risk modelling. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 1–22.
- Damayanti, F. (2011). Hydrodynamic Modeling for Flood Hazard Assessment in Telomoyo Catchment, Central Java, Indonesia. Double Degree Master Thesis, University of Twente.
- Dams, J., Dujardin, J., Reggers, R., Bashir, I., Canters, F., & Batelaan, O. (2013). Mapping impervious surface change from remote sensing for hydrological modeling. *Journal of Hydrology*, 485, 84–95.
- Deshmukh, D. S., Chaube, U. C., Ekube Hailu, A., Aberra Gudeta, D., & Tegene Kassa, M. (2013). Estimation and comparison of curve numbers based on dynamic land use land cover change, observed rainfall-runoff data and land slope. *Journal of Hydrology*, 492, 89–101.

- Detenbeck, N. E., Brady, V. J., Taylor, D. L., Snarski, V. M., & Batterman, S. L. (2005). Relationship of stream flow regime in the western Lake Superior basin to watershed type characteristics. *Journal of Hydrology*, 309(1–4), 258–276.
- DHI. (2003). A Modelling System for Rivers and Channels, MIKE 11 reference manual.
- Di Baldassarre, G., Schumann, G., & Bates, P. (2009a). Near real time satellite imagery to support and verify timely flood modelling. *Hydrological Processes*, (23), 799–803.
- Di Baldassarre, G., Schumann, G., & Bates, P. D. (2009b). A technique for the calibration of hydraulic models using uncertain satellite observations of flood extent. *Journal of Hydrology*, 367(3–4), 276–282.
- Di Baldassarre, G., Schumann, G., Bates, P. D., Freer, J. E., & Beven, K. J. (2010). Flood-plain mapping: a critical discussion of deterministic and probabilistic approaches. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 55(3), 364–376.
- Di Baldassarre, G., Schumann, G., Brandimarte, L., & Bates, P. (2011). Timely Low Resolution SAR Imagery To Support Floodplain Modelling: A Case Study Review. *Surveys in Geophysics*, 32(3), 255–269.
- Díaz-Vilariño, L., González-Jorge, H., Martínez-Sánchez, J., Bueno, M., & Arias, P. (2016). Determining the limits of unmanned aerial photogrammetry for the evaluation of road runoff. *Measurement: Journal of the International Measurement Confederation*, 85, 132–141.
- DID. (2009). Ringkasan Laporan Banjir Tahunan Bagi Tahun 2009/2010. Malaysia.
- DID. (2010). Ringkasan Laporan Banjir Tahunan Bagi Tahun 2010/2011. Malaysia.
- Dingman, S. L., & Sharma, K. P. (1997). Statistical development and validation of discharge equations for natural channels. *Journal of Hydrology*, 199, 13–35.
- Disperati, L., & Virdis, S. G. P. (2015). Assessment of land-use and land-cover changes from 1965 to 2014 in Tam Giang-Cau Hai Lagoon, central Vietnam. *Applied Geography*, 58, 48–64.
- Dixon, B. ., & Earls, J. (2009). Resample or not?! Effects of resolution of DEMs in watershed modeling. *Hydrological Processes*, 23, 1714–1724.
- Durand, M., Rodríguez, E., Alsdorf, D. E., & Trigg, M. (2010). Interferometry Measurements of River Height , Slope , and Width. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 3(1), 20–31.
- Eleuterio, J. (2012). Flood Risk Analysis : Impact of Uncertainty in Hazard Modelling and Vulnerability Assessments. PhD thesis, University of Strasbourg.
- Elfeki, A., Masoud, M., & Niyazi, B. (2017). Integrated rainfall–runoff and flood inundation modeling for flash flood risk assessment under data scarcity in arid regions: Wadi Fatimah basin case study, Saudi Arabia. *Natural Hazards*, 85(1), 87–109.

- Elkhrachy, I. (2016). Vertical accuracy assessment for SRTM and ASTER Digital Elevation Models: A case study of Najran city, Saudi Arabia. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, 2, 1–11.
- EXCIMAP. (2007). Handbook on good practices for flood mapping in Europe. European exchange circle on flood mapping (EXCIMAP).
- Fan, F., Deng, Y., Hu, X., & Weng, Q. (2013). Estimating Composite Curve Number Using an Improved SCS-CN Method with Remotely Sensed Variables in Guangzhou, China. *Remote Sensing*, 5, 1425–1438.
- Farr, T., & Kobrick, M. (2007). The shuttle radar topography mission. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 45, 1–33.
- Feldman, A. D. (2000). Hydrologic modeling system HEC-HMS, Technical Reference Manual. Technical Reference Manual, (March), 145.
- Feng, Q., Liu, J., & Gong, J. (2015). Urban flood mapping based on unmanned aerial vehicle remote sensing and random forest classifier-A case of yuyao, China. *Water*, 7(4), 1437–1455.
- Fernández, D. S., & Lutz, M. A. (2010). Urban flood hazard zoning in Tucumán Province, Argentina, using GIS and multicriteria decision analysis. *Engineering Geology*, 111(1–4), 90–98.
- Fluet-Chouinard, E., Lehner, B., Rebelo, L. M., Papa, F., & Hamilton, S. K. (2015). Development of a global inundation map at high spatial resolution from topographic downscaling of coarse-scale remote sensing data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 158, 348–361.
- Getahun, Y., & Gebre, S. (2015). Flood Hazard Assessment and Mapping of Flood Inundation Area of the Awash River Basin in Ethiopia using GIS and HEC-GeoRAS/HEC-RAS Model. *Journal of Civil & Environmental Engineering*, 5(4), 1–12.
- Ghani, A. A., Chang, C. K., Leow, C. S., & Zakaria, N. A. (2012). Sungai Pahang digital flood mapping: 2007 flood. *International Journal of River Basin Management*, 10(2), 139–148.
- Gholami, V., Saravi, M. M., & Ahmadi, H. (2010). Effects of impervious surfaces and urban development on runoff generation and flood hazard in the Hajighoshan watershed. *Caspian Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 8(1), 1–12.
- Gianinetto, M., Villa, P., & Lechi, G. (2006). Postflood Damage Evaluation Using Landsat TM and ETM + Data Integrated With DEM. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 44(1), 236–243.
- Gichamo, T. Z., Popescu, I., Jonoski, A., & Solomatine, D. (2012). River cross-section extraction from the ASTER global DEM for flood modeling. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 31, 37–46.

- Grimaldi, S., Li, Y., Pauwels, V. R. N., & Walker, J. P. (2016). Remote Sensing-Derived Water Extent and Level to Constrain Hydraulic Flood Forecasting Models: Opportunities and Challenges. *Surveys in Geophysics*, 37(5), 977–1034.
- Grohmann, C. H., & Sawakuchi, A. O. (2013). Influence of cell size on volume calculation using digital terrain models: A case of coastal dune fields. *Geomorphology*, 180–181, 130–136.
- Hashim, S., Mohd, W., Wan, N., & Adnan, N. A. (2014). Proceeding from Regional Conference on Science , Technology and Social Sciences (RCSTSS 2014). Evaluation of Vertical Accuracy of Airborne IFSAR and Open-Source Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) for Flood Inundation Mapping.
- Hashim, S., Mohd, W., Wan, N., & Adnan, N. A. (2016). Proceeding from Regional Conference on Science, Technology and Social Sciences (RCSTSS 2014).
- Ho, L. T. K., Umitsu, M., & Yamaguchi, Y. (2010). Flood hazard mapping by satellite images and SRTM DEM in the Vu Gia-Thu Bon alluvial plain, Central Vietnam. *International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Science*, XXXVIII(part8), 275–280.
- Hodgson, M. E., Jensen, J. R., Tullis, J. a, Riordan, K. D., & Archer, C. M. (2003). Synergistic Use of Lidar and Color Aerial Photography for Mapping Urban Parcel Imperviousness. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, 69(9), 973–980.
- Höhle, J., & Höhle, M. (2009). Accuracy assessment of digital elevation models by means of robust statistical methods. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 64(4), 398–406.
- Horritt, M. S. (2006). A methodology for the validation of uncertain flood inundation models. *Journal of Hydrology*, 326(1–4), 153–165.
- Huang, B., Li, H., & Huang, X. (2010). A level set filter for speckle reduction in SAR images. *EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing*, 2010, 1–14.
- Ibrahim, N. R., Jamal, M. H., Mohd Nasir, K. A., Md. Din, M. F., & Ismail, Z. (2014). Proceeding from 13th International Conference on Urban Drainage. Runoff Modelling of Sungai Johor Watershed. Sarawak, Malaysia.
- Jang, D., Park, H., & Choi, J. (2015). Selection of Optimum Spatial Interpolation Method to Complement an Area Missing Precipitation Data of RCP Climate Change Scenario. *International Journal of Software Engineering and Its Application*, 9(8), 179–188.
- Jarret, D., & Asce, M. (1984). Hydraulics of High-Gradient Streams. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 110(11), 1519–1539.
- Jena, P. P., Panigrahi, B., & Chatterjee, C. (2016). Assessment of Cartosat-1 DEM for Modeling Floods in Data Scarce Regions. *Water Resources Management*, 30(3), 1293–1309.

- Julien, P. Y., Asce, M., Ghani, A. A., Zakaria, N. A., Abdullah, R., & Chang, C. K. (2010). Case Study : Flood Mitigation of the Muda River , Malaysia. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 136(4).
- Jung, Y. (2011). *Uncertainty in Flood Inundation Mapping*. Ph.D Thesis, Purdue University.
- Jung, Y., Kim, D., Kim, D., Kim, M., & Lee, S. O. (2014). Simplified Flood Inundation Mapping Based On Flood Elevation-Discharge Rating Curves Using Satellite Images in Gauged Watersheds. *Water*, 6, 1280–1299.
- Jung, Y., Merwade, V., & Asce, M. (2012). Uncertainty Quantification in Flood Inundation Mapping Using Generalized Likelihood Uncertainty Estimate and Sensitivity Analysis. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 17(4), 507–520.
- Jung, Y., Merwade, V., Yeo, K., Shin, Y., & Lee, S. O. (2013). An Approach Using a 1D Hydraulic Model, Landsat Imaging and Generalized Likelihood Uncertainty Estimation for an Approximation of Flood Discharge. *Water*, 5, 1598–1621.
- Kaveckis, G., & Bechtel, B. (2014). *Proceeding from The 9th International Conference “Environmental Engineering”: Land Use Based Urban Vulnerability to Climate Change Assessment*. Vilnius, Lithuania.
- Kenward, T., Lettenmaier, D. P., Wood, E. F., & Fielding, E. (2000). Effects of digital elevation model accuracy on hydrologic prediction. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 74, 432–444.
- Khajavi, N., Quigley, M., & Langridge, R. M. (2014). Influence of topography and basement depth on surface rupture morphology revealed from LiDAR and field mapping, Hope Fault, New Zealand. *Tectonophysics*, 630, 265–284.
- Kia, M. B., Pirasteh, S., Pradhan, B., Mahmud, A. R., Sulaiman, W. N. A., & Moradi, A. (2012). An artificial neural network model for flood simulation using GIS: Johor River Basin, Malaysia. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 67(1), 251–264.
- Kirby, W. H. (1987). Linear Error Analysis of Slope-Area Discharge Determinations. *Journal of Hydrology*, 96, 125–138.
- Knebl, M. R., Yang, Z. L., Hutchison, K., & Maidment, D. R. (2005). Regional scale flood modeling using NEXRAD rainfall, GIS, and HEC-HMS/ RAS: A case study for the San Antonio River Basin Summer 2002 storm event. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 75, 325–336.
- Komi, K., Neal, J., Trigg, M. A., & Diekkrüger, B. (2017). Modelling of flood hazard extent in data sparse areas: a case study of the Oti River basin, West Africa. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 10, 122–132.
- Kuenzer, C., Guo, H., Huth, J., Leinenkugel, P., Li, X., & Dech, S. (2013). Flood mapping and flood dynamics of the mekong delta: ENVISAT-ASAR-WSM based time series analyses. *Remote Sensing*, 5(2), 687–715.

- Kurnaz, S., Rustamov, R. B., Salahova, S., & Zeynalova, M. (2009). River inundation impact reduction based on space technology application. In 4th International Conference on Recent Advances in Space Technologies (pp. 265–272). IEEE.
- Lawal, D. U., Matori, A. N., Hashim, A. M., Chandio, I. A., Sabri, S., Balogun, A. L., & Abba, H. A. (2011). Geographic information system and remote sensing applications in flood hazards management: A review. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, 3(9), 933–947.
- Lee, J. Sen. (1983). Digital image smoothing and the sigma filter. *Computer Vision, Graphics and Image Processing*, 24(2), 255–269.
- Leitão, J. P., Moy De Vitry, M., Scheidegger, A., & Rieckermann, J. (2016). Assessing the quality of digital elevation models obtained from mini unmanned aerial vehicles for overland flow modelling in urban areas. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 20(4), 1637–1653.
- Li, S., Sun, D., Goldberg, M., & Stefanidis, A. (2013). Derivation of 30-m-resolution water maps from TERRA/MODIS and SRTM. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 134, 417–430.
- Li, W., Ouyang, Z., Zhou, W., & Chen, Q. (2011). Effects of spatial resolution of remotely sensed data on estimating urban impervious surfaces. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 23(8), 1375–1383.
- Lin, S., Jing, C., Chaplot, V., Yu, X., Zhang, Z., Moore, N., & Wu, J. (2010). Effect of DEM resolution on SWAT outputs of runoff, sediment and nutrients. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions*, 7(4), 4411–4435.
- Lin, S., Jing, C., Coles, N. A., Chaplot, V., Moore, N. J., & Wu, J. (2013). Evaluating DEM source and resolution uncertainties in the Soil and Water Assessment Tool. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 27(1), 209–221.
- Lizarazo, I. (2010). Fuzzy image regions for estimation of impervious surface areas. *Remote Sensing Letters*, 1(1), 19–27.
- Lumbroso, D., & Gaume, E. (2012). Reducing the uncertainty in indirect estimates of extreme flash flood discharges. *Journal of Hydrology*, 414–415, 16–30.
- Mahmoud, S. H. (2014). Investigation of rainfall–runoff modeling for Egypt by using remote sensing and GIS integration. *Catena*, 120, 111–121.
- Mason, D. C., Garcia-Pintado, J., Cloke, H. L., & Dance, S. L. (2015). The potential of flood forecasting using a variable-resolution global Digital Terrain Model and flood extents from Synthetic Aperture Radar images. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 3(August), 1–14.
- Mason, D. C., Trigg, M., Garcia-Pintado, J., Cloke, H. L., Neal, J. C., & Bates, P. D. (2016). Improving the TanDEM-X Digital Elevation Model for flood modelling using flood extents from Synthetic Aperture Radar images. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 173, 15–28.

- McColl, C., & Aggett, G. (2007). Land-use forecasting and hydrologic model integration for improved land-use decision support. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 84, 494–512.
- Md Ali, A. (2018). *Flood Inundation Modeling and Hazard Mapping under Uncertainty in the Sungai Johor Basin , Malaysia*. CRC Press / Balkema - Taylor & Francis Group.
- Md Ali, A., Solomatine, D. P., & Di Baldassarre, G. (2015). Assessing the impact of different sources of topographic data on 1-D hydraulic modelling of floods. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 19(1), 631–643.
- Mersel, M. K., Smith, L. C., Andreadis, K. M., & Durand, M. T. (2013). Estimation of river depth from remotely sensed hydraulic relationships. *Water Resources Research*, 49(6), 3165–3179.
- Merwade, V. (2009). Effect of spatial trends on interpolation of river bathymetry. *Journal of Hydrology*, 371(1–4), 169–181.
- Merwade, V., Cook, A., & Coonrod, J. (2008a). GIS techniques for creating river terrain models for hydrodynamic modeling and flood inundation mapping. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 23(10–11), 1300–1311.
- Merwade, V., Olivera, F., Arabi, M., & Edleman, S. (2008b). Uncertainty in Flood Inundation Mapping: Current Issues and Future Directions. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 13(7), 608–620.
- Miller, J. D., & Grebby, S. (2014). Mapping long-term temporal change in imperviousness using topographic maps. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 30, 9–20.
- Miller, J. D., Kim, H., Kjeldsen, T. R., Packman, J., Grebby, S., & Dearden, R. (2014). Assessing the impact of urbanization on storm runoff in a peri-urban catchment using historical change in impervious cover. *Journal of Hydrology*, 515, 59–70.
- Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment Malaysia. (2011). *Pelan Strategik Kementerian Sumber Asli dan Alam Sekitar 2011-2015 (Kajian Semula)*.
- Napoli, M., Cecchi, S., Orlandini, S., & Zanchi, C. A. (2014). Determining potential rainwater harvesting sites using a continuous runoff potential accounting procedure and GIS techniques in central Italy. *Agricultural Water Management*, 141, 55–65.
- Nash, J. E., & Sutcliffe, J. V. (1970). River flow forecasting through conceptual models part I - A discussion of principles. *Journal of Hydrology*, 10(3), 282–290.
- Nasiri, H., Mohd Yusof, M. J., & Mohammad Ali, T. A. (2016). An overview to flood vulnerability assessment methods. *Sustainable Water Resources Management*, 2(3), 331–336.
- National Research Council. (2015). *Tying Flood Insurance to Flood Risk for Low-Lying Structures in the Floodplain*. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press.

- Neshat, A., Pradhan, B., & Javadi, S. (2015). Risk assessment of groundwater pollution using Monte Carlo approach in an agricultural region : An example from Kerman Plain, Iran. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 50, 66–73.
- Nkeki, F. N., Henah, P. J., & Ojeh, V. N. (2013). Geospatial Techniques for the Assessment and Analysis of Flood Risk along the Niger-Benue Basin in Nigeria. *Journal of Geographic Information System*, 05, 123–135.
- Nor Aizam, A., Zulfadhli Ainul Hakim, Z., Ernieza Suhana, M., & Zaharah, M. Y. (2014). Proceeding from International Conference – Reflections on Creativity: Public Engagement and the Making of Place: Geospatial Flood Inundation Modelling and Estimation of Sungai Muda Kedah Floodplain, Malaysia Geospatial Flood Inundation Modelling and Estimation. Bandung, Indonesia.
- NRCS. (1986). Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds TR-55. USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service Conservation Engineering Division Technical Release 55, 164.
- Obanawa, H., Tokunaga, T., Rokugawa, S., Deguchi, T., & Nakamura, T. (2010). Land subsidence at the Kujukuri Plain in Chiba Prefecture, Japan: Evaluation and monitoring environmental impacts. *IAHS-AISH Publication*, 339(October), 293–298.
- Omer, C. R., Nelson, E. J., & Zundel, A. K. (2003). Impact of varied data resolution on hydraulic modeling and floodplain delineation. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 39(2), 467–475.
- Opolot, E. (2013). Application of Remote Sensing and Geographical Information Systems in Flood Management: A Review. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, 6(10), 1884–1894.
- OTT Hydromet. (2011). OTT Qliner 2 : Mobile discharge measurement made easy (No. V2).
- Pappenberger, F., Beven, K., Horritt, M., & Blazkova, S. (2005). Uncertainty in the calibration of effective roughness parameters in HEC-RAS using inundation and downstream level observations. *Journal of Hydrology*, 302, 46–69.
- Pappenberger, F., Matgen, P., Beven, K. J., Henry, J. B., Pfister, L., & Fraipont, P. (2006). Influence of uncertain boundary conditions and model structure on flood inundation predictions. *Advances in Water Resources*, 29(10), 1430–1449.
- Patro, S., Chatterjee, C., Singh, R., & Raghuvanshi, N. S. (2009). Hydrodynamic modelling of a large flood-prone river system in India with limited data. *Hydrological Processes*, 23, 2774–2791.
- Pechlivanidis, I. G., Jackson, B. M., McIntyre, N. R., & Wheeler, H. S. (2011). Catchment Scale Hydrological Modelling: a Review of Model Types , Calibration Approaches and Uncertainty Analysis Methods in the Context of Recent Developments in Technology and. *Global NEST*, 13(3), 193–214.

- Pierdicca, N., Chini, M., Pulvirenti, L., & Macina, F. (2008). Integrating physical and topographic information into a fuzzy scheme to map flooded area by SAR. *Sensors*, 8(7), 4151–4164.
- Pradhan, B., Hagemann, U., Shafapour Tehrany, M., & Prechtel, N. (2014). An easy to use ArcMap based texture analysis program for extraction of flooded areas from TerraSAR-X satellite image. *Computers & Geosciences*, 63, 34–43.
- Pradhan, B., Shafiee, M., & Pirasteh, S. (2009). Maximum Flood Prone Area Mapping using RADARSAT Images and GIS: Kelantan River Basin. *International Journal of Geoinformatics*, 5(2), 11–23.
- Pradhan, B., & Youssef, a. M. (2011). A 100-year maximum flood susceptibility mapping using integrated hydrological and hydrodynamic models: Kelantan River Corridor, Malaysia. *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, 4, 189–202.
- Pramanik, N., Panda, R. K., & Sen, D. (2010). One dimensional hydrodynamic modeling of river flow using DEM extracted river cross-sections. *Water Resources Management*, 24(5), 835–852.
- Pulvirenti, L., Pierdicca, N., Chini, M., & Guerriero, L. (2011). An algorithm for operational flood mapping from Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) data using fuzzy logic. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Science*, 11(2), 529–540.
- Qi, S., Brown, D. G., Tian, Q., Jiang, L., Zhao, T., & Bergen, K. M. (2009). Inundation Extent and Flood Frequency Mapping Using LANDSAT Imagery and Digital Elevation Models. *GIScience & Remote Sensing*, 46(1), 101–127.
- Quyen, N. T. N., Liem, N. D., & Loi, N. K. (2014). Effect of land use change on water discharge in Srepok watershed, Central Highland, VietNam. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*, 2(3), 74–86.
- Razi, M. A. M., Ariffin, J., Tahir, W., & Arish, N. A. . (2010). Flood Estimation Studies using Hydrologic Modeling System (HEC-HMS) for Johor River, Malaysia. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 10(11), 930–939.
- Riggs, H. C., & Reston, V. (1976). A Simplified Slope-Area Method for Estimating Flood Discharges in Natural Channels. *Journal Research U.S. Geology Survey*, 4(3), 285–291.
- Robert, M. (1897). Manning Robert. *Short History Dictionary on Hydrology and Drainage*.
- Said, M. Z., Abdul Gapor, S., Samian, M. N., & Abd Aziz, A. M. (2013). Konflik di pusat pemindahan banjir : Kajian Kes di Daerah Padang. *Malaysia Journal of Society and Space*, 9(1), 61–69.
- Sajikumar, N., & Remya, R. S. (2014). Impact of land cover and land use change on runoff characteristics. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 1–9.

- Saksena, S., & Merwade, V. (2015). Incorporating the effect of DEM resolution and accuracy for improved flood inundation mapping. *Journal of Hydrology*, 530, 180–194.
- Salimi, S., Reza Ghanbarpour, M., Solaimani, K., & Ahmadi, M. Z. (2008). Floodplain mapping using hydraulic simulation model in GIS.pdf. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 8(4), 660–665.
- Sanders, B. F. (2007). Evaluation of on-line DEMs for flood inundation modeling. *Advances in Water Resources*, 30(8), 1831–1843.
- Savage, J. T. S., Bates, P., Freer, J., Neal, J., & Aronica, G. (2016). When does spatial resolution become spurious in probabilistic flood inundation predictions? *Hydrological Processes*, 30(13), 2014–2032.
- Schumann, G., Bates, P. D., Horritt, M. S., Matgen, P., & Pappenberger, F. (2009). Progress in integration of remote sensing-derived flood extent and stage data and hydraulic models. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 47(3), 1–20.
- Schumann, G., Hostache, R., Puech, C., Hoffmann, L., Matgen, P., Pappenberger, F., & Pfister, L. (2007). High-resolution 3-D flood information from radar imagery for flood hazard management. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 45(6), 1715–1725.
- Schumann, G., Matgen, P., Cutler, M. E. J., Black, A., Hoffmann, L., & Pfister, L. (2008). Comparison of remotely sensed water stages from LiDAR, topographic contours and SRTM. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 63(3), 283–296.
- Sichangi, A. W., Wang, L., Yang, K., Chen, D., Wang, Z., Li, X., Zhaou, J., Liu, W., Kuria, D. (2016). Estimating continental river basin discharges using multiple remote sensing data sets. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 179, 36–53.
- Smith, L. C. (1997). Satellite remote sensing of river inundation area, stage, and discharge: A review. *Hydrological Processes*, 11(10), 1427–1439.
- Smith, M. J., Edwards, E. P., Priestnall, G., & Bates, P. D. (2006). Exploitation of new data types to create digital surface models for flood inundation modelling. FRMRC Research Report UR3, FRMRC, UK.
- Smithson, M. (2002). *Confidence Intervals (Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences)*. Sage Publications, Inc.
- Stiff, D. T. (2008). Investigating Flood Risk in an Ungauged Watershed using Lidar, GIS, and HEC Tools. Master Thesis, Acadia University.
- Sun, W., Ishidaira, H., Bastola, S., & Yu, J. (2015). Estimating daily time series of streamflow using hydrological model calibrated based on satellite observations of river water surface width: Toward real world applications. *Environmental Research*, 139, 36–45.

- Tan, M. L., Ficklin, D. L., Dixon, B., Ibrahim, A. L., Yusop, Z., & Chaplot, V. (2015). Impacts of DEM resolution, source, and resampling technique on SWAT-simulated streamflow. *Applied Geography*, 63, 357–368.
- Tarpanelli, A., Barbetta, S., Brocca, L., & Moramarco, T. (2013a). River Discharge Estimation by Using Altimetry Data and Simplified Flood Routing Modeling. *Remote Sensing*, 5(9), 4145–4162.
- Tarpanelli, A., Brocca, L., Melone, F., & Moramarco, T. (2013b). Hydraulic modelling calibration in small rivers by using coarse resolution synthetic aperture radar imagery. *Hydrological Processes*, 27(9), 1321–1330.
- Tate, N. J., & Fisher, F. (2006). Causes and consequences of error in digital elevation models. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 30(4), 467–489.
- Tehrany, M. S., Pradhan, B., & Jebur, M. N. (2015). Flood Susceptibility Analysis and its Verification using a Novel Ensemble Support Vector Machine and Frequency Ratio Method. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*.
- Tehrany, M. S., Pradhan, B., Mansor, S., & Ahmad, N. (2015). Flood susceptibility assessment using GIS-based support vector machine model with different kernel types. *Catena*, 125, 91–101.
- Teng, J., Jakeman, A. J., Vaze, J., Croke, B. F. W., Dutta, D., & Kim, S. (2017). Flood inundation modelling : A review of methods , recent advances and uncertainty analysis. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 90, 201–216.
- Teng, J., Vaze, J., Dutta, D., & Marvanek, S. (2015). Rapid Inundation Modelling in Large Floodplains Using LiDAR DEM. *Water Resources Management*, 29(8), 2619–2636.
- Thanapura, P., Helder, D. L., Burckhard, S., Warmath, E., Neill, M. O., & Galster, D. (2007). Mapping Urban Land Cover Using QuickBird NDVI and GIS Spatial Modeling for Runoff Coefficient Determination. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, 73(1), 57–65.
- Toriman, M. E., Mokhtar, M., Gasim, M. B., Mastura, S., Abdullah, S., Jaafar, O., Aziz, A. (2009). Water Resources Study and Modeling at North Kedah : A Case of Kubang Pasu and Padang Terap Water Supply Schemes. *Research Journal of Earth Sciences*, 1(2), 35–42.
- Toutin, T. (2004). Comparison of stereo-extracted DTM from different high-resolution sensors: SPOT-5, EROS-A, IKONOS-II, and QuickBird. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 42(10), 2121–2129.
- Tripathi, R., Krishnan, S., Patra, A., Chang, H., & Jung, I. W. (2014). Climate change, urban development, and community perception of an extreme flood: A case study of Vernonia, Oregon, USA. *Applied Geography*, 46, 137–146.
- Turner, A. B., Colby, J. D., Csontos, R. M., & Batten, M. (2013). Flood modeling using a synthesis of multi-platform LiDAR data. *Water*, 5(4), 1533–1560.

- USDA. (2007). Chapter 6 Stream Hydraulics. National Engineering Handbook Part 654.
- USGS. (1998). Part 3 Quality Control. Standards for digital elevation models. Part 3: Quality control. National Mapping Program Technical Instructions. United States Geological Survey.
- Vaze, J., Teng, J., & Spencer, G. (2010). Impact of DEM accuracy and resolution on topographic indices. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 25(10), 1086–1098.
- Verbeiren, B., Voorde, T. Van De, Canters, F., Binard, M., Cornet, Y., & Batelaan, O. (2013). Assessing urbanisation effects on rainfall-runoff using a remote sensing supported modelling strategy. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, (21), 92–102.
- Walczak, Z., Sojka, M., Wróżyński, R., & Laks, I. (2016). Estimation of polder retention capacity based on ASTER, SRTM and LIDAR DEMs: The case of Majdany Polder (West Poland). *Water*, 8(6).
- Wang, L., Wei, J., Huang, Y., Wang, G., & Maqsood, I. (2011). Urban nonpoint source pollution buildup and washoff models for simulating storm runoff quality in the Los Angeles County. *Environmental Pollution*, 159(7), 1932–1940.
- Wang, W., Yang, X., & Yao, T. (2012). Evaluation of ASTER GDEM and SRTM and their suitability in hydraulic modelling of a glacial lake outburst flood in southeast Tibet. *Hydrological Processes*, 26(2), 213–225.
- Webster, T., McGuigan, K., Collins, K., & MacDonald, C. (2014). Integrated river and coastal hydrodynamic flood risk mapping of the lahave river estuary and town of Bridgewater, Nova Scotia, Canada. *Water (Switzerland)*, 6, 517–546.
- Weng, Q. (2012). Remote sensing of impervious surfaces in the urban areas: Requirements, methods, and trends. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 117, 34–49.
- Wilson, M. D., & Atkinson, P. M. (2005). The use of elevation data in flood inundation modelling: A comparison of ERS interferometric SAR and combined contour and differential GPS data. *International Journal of River Basin Management*, 3(1), 3–20.
- Woodrow, K., Lindsay, J. B., & Berg, A. A. (2016). Evaluating DEM conditioning techniques, elevation source data, and grid resolution for field-scale hydrological parameter extraction. *Journal of Hydrology*, 540, 1022–1029.
- Wu, F., Zhan, J., Su, H., Yan, H., & Ma, E. (2015). Scenario-Based Impact Assessment of Land Use / Cover and Climate Changes on Watershed Hydrology in Heihe River Basin of Northwest China. *Advances in Meteorology*, 2015, 1–11.
- Xiangfei, L. (2009). Neural networks modelling of stream nitrogen using remote sensing information : model development and application. Ph.D Thesis, University of Alberta.

- Xie, H., & Lian, Y. (2013). Uncertainty-based evaluation and comparison of SWAT and HSPF applications to the Illinois River Basin. *Journal of Hydrology*, 481, 119–131.
- Yan, K., Di Baldassarre, G., & Solomatine, D. P. (2013). Exploring the potential of SRTM topographic data for flood inundation modelling under uncertainty. *Journal of Hydroinformatics*, 15(3), 849.
- Yan, K., Di Baldassarre, G., Solomatine, D. P., & Schumann, G. J. P. (2015a). A review of low-cost space-borne data for flood modelling: topography, flood extent and water level. *Hydrological Processes*, 29(15), 3368–3387.
- Yan, W. Y., Shaker, A., & El-ashmawy, N. (2015b). Remote Sensing of Environment Object-based land cover classification using airborne LiDAR: A review. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 158, 295–310.
- Zandbergen, P. A. (2006). The effect of cell resolution on depressions in digital elevation models. *Applied GIS*, 2(1), 1–35.
- Zarzar, C., Hosseiny, H., Siddique, R., & Smith, V. (2018). A Hydraulic MultiModel Ensemble Framework for Visualizing Flood Inundation Uncertainty. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association (JAWRA)*, 1–13.
- Zazo, S., Molina, J. L., & Rodríguez-Gonzálvez, P. (2015). Analysis of flood modeling through innovative geomatic methods. *Journal of Hydrology*, 524, 522–537.
- Zhang, S., & Pan, B. (2014a). An urban storm-inundation simulation method based on GIS. *Journal of Hydrology*, 517(May), 260–268.
- Zhang, Y., Zhang, H., & Lin, H. (2014b). Improving the impervious surface estimation with combined use of optical and SAR remote sensing images. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 141, 155–167.
- Zhou, F., Xu, Y., Chen, Y., Xu, C. Y., Gao, Y., & Du, J. (2013). Hydrological response to urbanization at different spatio-temporal scales simulated by coupling of CLUE-S and the SWAT model in the Yangtze River Delta region. *Journal of Hydrology*, 485, 113–125.