UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR WATER ALLOCATION IN A RICE IRRIGATION SCHEME UNDER CLIMATE CHANGE SCENARIOS

NKULULEKO SIMEON DLAMINI

FK 2017 57

DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR WATER ALLOCATION IN A RICE IRRIGATION SCHEME UNDER CLIMATE CHANGE SCENARIOS

By

NKULULEKO SIMEON DLAMINI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

May 2017

© COPYRIGHT UPM

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos. Icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia

© COPYRIGHT UPM

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to;

My late parents for their endless love and wishes for their son to achieve this higher dream,

My wife lolo who has played a significant role during the journey of this study,

My dota Noma who endured hardships all the years without a father at home,and,

My best friend, Kenyatta, who has been a source of inspiration to me throughout my study.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR WATER ALLOCATION IN A RICE IRRIGATION SCHEME UNDER CLIMATE CHANGE SCENARIOS

By

NKULULEKO SIMEON DLAMINI

May 2017

Chairman : MD Rowshon Kamal, PhD
Faculty : Engineering

Irrigation is the major user of total water use in Malaysia for production of rice, its staple food, and therefore knowledge on future changes in irrigation demands due to climate change is critical for managing water allocations. General Circulation Models (GCMs) suggest that increase in emission of greenhouse gases will have significant implications on a number of hydrological processes at local scale including future streamflow fluctuations and evapotranspiration. These issues need to be quantified and accounted in future irrigation allocation and planning. The present thesis describes the development of a decision support system (DSS) tool for modelling water allocations in a local rice irrigation scheme under climate scenarios. The DSS is developed with climate outputs from GCMs, hydrological data, irrigation canal data and crop data. Four basic modules; Stochastic Rainfall Generator, Reference Evapotranspiration, Water Demand and Water Allocation Modules were developed and integrated in the MATALAB graphical user interface.

Future climate scenarios for the study area were extracted from ten global climate models (GCMs) under three Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) scenarios (RCP4.5, RCP6.0 and RCP8.5) obtained from the Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and Inter-comparison (PCMDI). Future projections of multi-GCMs in Upper Bernam River basin have shown that temperature will increase under scenarios, with the largest changes during the dry season months (February–June). Projected increase in maximum temperature ranges from 0.7–1.6 °C, 0.5–1.9 °C and 0.8–3.3 °C, under RCP4.5, RCP6.0 and RCP8.5, respectively. Rainfall projections showed variation between the two cropping seasons. The RCP4.5, 6.0 and 8.5 respectively projected average changes of –2.4%, –3.2% and –3.7% for the dry season months, and 1.0%, 0.8% and 2.4% for the wet season months. The results showed the watershed will likely experience warmer periods accompanied by dry climate during dry season months.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

The impact of climate change on the flows of the Upper Bernam River Basin was studied using the SWAT hydrological model. The model was evaluated using 25 years of records (1981-2005). Results of the coefficient of determination, (R^2), Nasch-Sutcliff, (NSE) and Percent Bias, (PBIAS) were 0.67, 0.62 and -9.4 during calibration period, and 0.62, 0.61 and -4.2 during validation period. Future streamflow projections with the validated SWAT model showed that during the dry season months, annual streamflow is likely to decrease by up to (−6.6%) by the late century (2080s). Streamflow is predicted to increase by up to 11.4% in the same future period during the wet season. On the basis of these results, it can be inferred that the water resource of the Bernam River Basin could be sufficient up to the end of the century. However, these results also highlight some potential risk that climate change could impose on rice production during future dry season months. This requires integrated water management solutions to ensure sustainable rice production.

A user-friendly climate-smart decision support system (CSDSS) model was developed for modeling irrigation water allocation in Tanjung Karang Rice Irrigation Scheme (TAKRIS) under climate change scenarios. First, climate scenarios are downscaled within the system by perturbing observed series using change factors derived from GCMs outputs. The FAO-56 Penman-Monteith model was used for estimating reference evapotranspiration under future forcing. A stochastic rainfall model was adopted to simulate future rainfall series using the first-order two states Markov Chain Approach based on future emission scenario forcing. Then water demand model was developed from reference evapotranspiration and crop coefficient. Generated irrigation water requirements are converted into irrigation deliveries based on canal command area. The model is capable of generating several realizations of irrigation deliveries using individual GCMs and/or multi-models (ensemble) projections. The model was evaluated for irrigation deliveries at the study area using one year water supply data. The analyses showed that the average weekly irrigation supplies for measured supplies (without climate change) and simulated supplies (with climate change) under RCP4.5, RCP6.0 and RCP8.5 scenarios were respectively, 2.69 m^3/s, 2.00 m^3/s, 2.19 m^3/s and 1.94 m^3/s during off-season, and 2.55 m^3/s, 1.47 m^3/s, 1.76 m^3/s and 1.49 m^3/s during main-season. The results revealed that actual supply (without climate climate) was higher than the model simulated supplies (with climate change) for all the three climate scenarios in both cropping seasons. This could be suggestive of poor scheduling in the scheme, leading to undue excess water supply.

The application of the model in assessing long-term changes in irrigation water demands in response to the projected changes in reference evapotranspiration and effective rainfall is demonstrated using three future time slices (2020s, 2050s and 2080s) with respect to baseline period (1976-2005). The results generated from the DSS model suggest that monthly reference evapotranspiration is likely to increase in all scenarios up to 14.2% under RCP8.5 during February to July. Similarly, annual effective rainfall is predicted to slightly increase in future although with monthly variations. The irrigation water needs are projected to increase in the off season months and decrease during the main season months. At the present, the scheme requires a supply of 610 mm and 404 mm depth of water, for the respective off and main seasons, while future requirements will reach up to 675 mm and 376 mm under the highest scenario (RCP8.5). The results will be helpful for water managers in

© COPYRIGHT UPM

planning adaptation measures in those months where rainfall is predicted to be not sufficient to fulfill the crop water demands.

Based on the results, it can be inferred that the DSS can serve as a practical tool for simulating climate scenarios based on the outputs from global climate models (GCMs) to carry out standard calculations for reference evapotranspiration, rice water requirements and irrigation demands, for daily and/or periodic water allocations under climate scenarios. This will allow Water Management Authorities to assess climate change signals and thus promote adoption of appropriate adaptation strategies that could potentially lead to more sustainable water management at farm level. Additional beauty of the model is its flexibility updating future climate scenarios as new climate models become available, and also, with suitable locally derived data the tool can be extended to other geographical locations. Finally, the DSS has some application limitations which are highlighted in the thesis, and this form basis for future improvements.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

SISTEM SOKONGAN KEPUTUSAN PERUNTUKAN AIR UNTUK SKIM PENGAIRAN PADI DI BAWAH SENARIO PERUBAHAN IKLIM

Oleh

NKULULEKO SIMEON DLAMINI

Mei 2017

Pengerusi : MD Rowshon Kamal, PhD
Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Sektor pengairan merupakan pengguna utama air di Malaysia untuk penghasilan beras sebagai makanan ruji, oleh itu pengetahuan mengenai perubahan masa depan dalam keperluan pengairan yang berpunca daripada perubahan iklim adalah penting untuk menguruskan peruntukan air. Model Peredaran Umum ataupun dikenali sebagai General Circulation Models (GCMs) mencadangkan bahawa peningkatan dalam pelepasan gas rumah hijau akan mempunyai implikasi yang besar ke atas beberapa kitaran proses hidrologi pada skala tempatan termasuk perubahan aliran sungai dan evapotranspirasi pada masa depan. Isu-isu ini perlu dinilai dan diambilkira dalam peruntukan dan perancangan pengairan pada masa depan. Tesis ini menerangkan mengenai pembangunan alat sistem sokongan keputusan (DSS) untuk pemodelan peruntukan air di skim pengairan padi tempatan di bawah senario iklim. DSS ini dibangunkan berasaskan output iklim dari GCMs, data hidrologi, data terusan pengairan dan data tanaman. Empat modul asas telah dibangunkan dan diintegrasikan ke dalam pengaturcaraan MATLAB iaitu; generator hujan stokastik, penyejatpeluhan rujukan, modul keperluan air dan peruntukan air .

Senario iklim masa depan bagi kawasan kajian ini diambil daripada sepuluh model GCMs di bawah tiga senario RCPs iaitu RCP4.5, RCP6.0 dan RCP8.5 yang diperolehi daripada program perbandingan dan diagnosis antara model iklim (PCMDI). Unjuran masa depan pelbagai GCMs di hulu lembangan sungai bernam menunjukkan bahawa suhu dijangka meningkat di bawah semua senario, dengan perubahan terbesar pada bulan-bulan musim kering (Februari-Jun). Unjuran peningkatan julat suhu maksimum masing-masing adalah 0.7-1.6° C, 0.5-1.9° C dan 0.8-3.3° C, di bawah RCP4.5, RCP6.0 dan RCP8.5. Unjuran hujan menunjukkan perbezaan antara kedua-dua musim penanaman. Di bawah scenario RCP4.5, 6.0 dan 8.5 masing-masing dijangka perubahan purata -2.4%, -3.2% dan -3.7% untuk bulan-bulan pada musim kering, manakala 1.0%, 0.8% dan 2.4% untuk bulan-bulan pada musim hujan. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa kawasan tadahan tersebut bermungkinan mengalami suhu yang lebih panas berserta iklim kering semasa musim kering.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

Kesan perubahan iklim ke atas kadar alir bagi hulu lembangan Sungai Bernam telah dikaji menggunakan model hidrologi SWAT. Model ini telah dinilai dengan menggunakan rekod data selama 25 tahun (1981-2005). Keputusan pekali penentuan (R^2), Nash-Sutcliff (NSE) dan Peratus Pincang (PBIAS) adalah 0.67, 0.62 dan -9.4 semasa tempoh penentu-ukuran, dan 0.62, 0.61 dan -4.2 semasa tempoh pengesahan. Unjuran kadar alir sungai masa depan melalui model SWAT yang telah ditentu-sahkan menunjukkan bahawa ketika bulan-bulan musim kering, kadar alir sungai tahunan dijangka berkurangan sehingga (-6.6%) menjelang akhir abad (2080s). Kadar alir sungai dijangka meningkat sehingga 11.4% semasa musim hujan dalam tempoh masa depan yang sama. Berdasarkan keputusan ini, boleh disimpulkan bahawa sumber air di Lembangan Sungai Bernam dijangka mencukupi sehingga akhir abad ini. Walaubagaimanapun, keputusan ini juga mengetengahkan beberapa kemungkinan risiko yand disebabkan oleh perubahan iklim terhadap pengeluaran beras semasa bulan musim kering pada masa depan. Ini seterusnya memerlukan penyelesaian pengurusan air bersepadu untuk memastikan kelestarian pengeluaran beras.

Sebuah model sistem sokongan keputusan pintar iklim(CSDSS) yang mesra pengguna telah dibangunkan untuk permodelan peruntukan air pengairan di Skim Pengairan Padi Tanjung Karang (TAKRIS) di bawah scenario-scenario perubahan iklim. Pertamanya, scenario-scenario iklim diturun skala menggunakan sistem tersebut ke atas data-data cerapan dan teknik perubahan factor yang diterbitkan dari GCMs. Model Penman-Monteith FAO-56 telah digunakan untuk menganggarkan evapotranspirasi rujukan di bawah pendayaan masa depan. Sebuah model hujan stokastik telah digunakan untuk mensimulasi siri hujan masa hadapan menggunakan kaedah Markov Chain peringkat pertama berdasarkan senario pelepasan pendayaan masa depan. Kemudian model keperluan air dibangunkan berdasarkan kepada evapotranspirasi rujukan dan pekali tanaman. Keperluan air pengairan yang dijana ditukarkan kepada penghantaran pengairan berdasarkan kawasan perintah terusan. Model ini mampu menjana beberapa situasi agihan pengairan menggunakan unjuran GCMS individu dan / atau multi-model (ensemble). Model ini telah dinilai untuk agihan pengairan di kawasan kajian menggunakan data bekalan air selama satu tahun. Analisis menunjukkan bahawa purata bekalan pengairan mingguan untuk bekalan yang dicerap (tanpa perubahan iklim) dan bekalan simulasi (dengan perubahan iklim) di bawah scenario-scenario RCP4.5, RCP6.0 dan RCP8.5 masing-masing, adalah 2.69 m^3/s, 2.00 m^3/s, 2.19 m^3/s dan 1.94 m^3/s semasa luar musim, dan 2.55 m^3/s, 1.47 m^3/s, 1.76 m^3/s dan 1.49 m^3/s semasa musim utama. Keputusan mendedahkan bahawa bekalan sebenar (tanpa iklim) adalah lebih tinggi daripada bekalan model simulasi (dengan perubahan iklim) bagi ketiga-tiga senario iklim dalam kedua-dua musim penanaman. Ini menunjukkan bahawa mungkin ada isu-isu penjadualan yang kurang cekap dalam skim ini, yang membawa kepada pembaziran bekalan air secara berlebihan.

Penerapan model dalam menilai perubahan jangka panjang dalam permintaan air pengairan sebagai tindak balas kepada perubahan unjuran dalam evapotranspirasi rujukan dan hujan telah ditunjukkan dengan menggunakan tiga tempoh masa hadapan (2020-an, 2050-an dan 2080-an) berbanding dengan tempoh asas (1976-2005). Keputusan yang dijana daripada model DSS mencadangkan bahawa evapotranspirasi rujukan bulanan dijangka meningkat dalam semua senario sehingga 14.2% di bawah

© COPYRIGHT UPM

RCP8.5 pada bulan Februari hingga Julai. Begitu juga, hujan tahunan berkesan diramalkan meningkat sedikit pada masa akan datang walaupun dengan variasi bulanan. Keperluan air pengairan dijangka meningkat pada bulan-bulan luar musim dan berkurang pada bulan-bulan musim utama. Pada masa ini, skim ini memerlukan bekalan di antara 610 mm dan 404 mm air, masing-masing untuk luar musim dan musim utama, manakala keperluan masa depan akan mencapai sehingga 675 mm dan 376 mm di bawah senario yang tertinggi (RCP8.5). Keputusan-keputusan ini akan membantu pengurus-pengurus air dalam merancang langkah-langkah penyesuaian pada bulan-bulan di mana hujan diramalkan sebagai tidak mencukupi untuk memenuhi permintaan air tanaman.

Berdasarkan kepada keputusan-keputusan tersebut, ia boleh disimpulkan bahawa DSS boleh berfungsi sebagai alat yang praktikal bagi simulasi scenario-scenario iklim berdasarkan output dari model-model iklim global (GCMs) untuk menjalankan pengiraan standard bagi evapotranspirasi rujukan, keperluan air tanaman padi dan keperluan pengairan, untuk agihan air harian atau berkala di bawah scenario-scenario iklim. Ini akan membolehkan Pihak Berkuasa Pengurusan Air menilai situasi perubahan iklim, dan dengan itu menggalakkan penggunaan strategi adaptasi yang sesuai serta berpotensi membawa kepada pengurusan air yang lebih mampan di peringkat kawasan tanaman. Kelebihan tambahan model ini adalah sifat fleksibilitinya dalam kemampuan mengemaskini senario iklim masa depan sekiranya terdapat model iklim baru yang tersedia, dan juga dengan data tempatan yang bersesuaian alat ini juga boleh dilanjutkan ke lokasi geografi yang lain. Akhir selaki, DSS ini turut mempunyai beberapa batasan penggunaan seperti yang diterangkan di dalam tesis, dan ini membentuk asas untuk penambahbaikan pada masa hadapan.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Completion of this work would not have been possible without the help I received from many supporters. It has been a long exhaustive journey, but a most enlightening one too. I wish to give all glory to God the Almighty for granting me an opportunity, strength and wisdom to accomplish this great work.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and regards to my supervisor, Dr Md. Rowshon Kamal, Faculty of Engineering, for his guidance, support and suggestions through the journey of my research. His patience with me made my research life more exciting, meaningful and less painful. I consider myself fortunate to have him as my guide. I am equally grateful to my committee members, Dr. Ahmad bin Abdullah, Associate Professor Dr. Lai Sai Hin and my retired Professor Ir Dr Mohd Amin Mohd Soom, without whose extraordinary help I would not have been able to accomplish the task.

Heartfelt thanks are extended to the Government of Malaysia for financial support through the Special Graduate Research Allowance (S-RGA) program, in the Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment. Sincere appreciation is due to the staff of Irrigation and Drainage (DID), National Hydraulics Research Institute of Malaysia (NAHRIM) and Department of Agriculture (DOA) for providing the necessary data. I am also grateful to the MATLAB Programming experts, Dr Ujwal and S.M. Kamruzzaman for their efforts during the development of the CSDSS-RIMIS model. I also thank all the staff of the Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering for their hospitability and cooperation whenever required during my work. The author would like to express special gratitude to the Swaziland High Commission to Malaysia and the Swaziland Agricultural and Development Enterprise (SWADE) leadership and staff for financial support during my long years of study.

Without my wife and daughter it would not have been easy for me to achieve this great work. It is through their love and sacrifice of numerous days without a husband and a father that this work was accomplished. Sincere gratitude goes to my late parents, siblings and friends for their love, affection and encouragement.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 19 May 2017 to conduct the final examination of Nkululeko Simeon Dlamini on his thesis entitled "Decision Support System for Water Allocation in a Rice Irrigation Scheme under Climate Change Scenarios" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Desa bin Ahmad, PhD
Professor Ir.
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Aimrun Wayayok, PhD
Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Badronnisa binti Yusuf, PhD
Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Md. Abdul Mojid, PhD
Professor
Bangladesh Agricultural University
Bangladesh
(External Examiner)

NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD
Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 8 August 2017

This thesis was submitted to the senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Md Rowshon Kamal, PhD
Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Ahmad Fikri b. Abdullah, PhD
Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Lai Sai Hin, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
University of Malaya
(Member)

Mohd Amin Mohd Soom, PhD
Professor, Ir
Faculty of Sustainable Agriculture
Universiti Malaysia Sabah
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD
Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date :

© COPYRIGHT UPM

Declaration by the student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced
- the thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be owned from supervisor and deputy vice –chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No: Nkululeko Simeon Dlamini (GS36579)

© COPYRIGHT UPM

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committe

I hereby confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee: Dr. Md Rowshon Kamal

Signature: _____
Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: Dr. Ahmad Fikri b. Abdullah

Signature: _____
Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: Associate Professor Dr. Lai Sai Hin

Signature _____
Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: Professor Dr. Mohd Amin Mohd Soom

© COPYRIGHT UPM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
ABSTRACT		i
ABSTRAK		iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		vii
APPROVAL		viii
DECLERATION		x
LIST OF TABLES		xv
LIST OF FIGURES		xx
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		xxvi
CHAPTER		1
1	**INTRODUCTION**	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Goals and Objectives of Study	4
	1.4 Relevance and Scope of the Study	5
	1.5 Limitations on the Scope of Study	5
	1.6 Outline of the Thesis	6
2	**LITERATURE REVIEW**	7
	2.1 Introduction	7
	2.2 Climate Change and its Impacts	7
	2.2.1 Greenhouse Gas Emission Scenarios	8
	2.3 Climate Projections and Scenarios	9
	2.3.1 Global Climate Models (GCMs) Projections	10
	2.3.2 Downscaling	11
	2.4 Watershed and Hydrological Modeling	16
	2.4.1 Classification of Hydrological Models	16
	2.4.2 Overview of SWAT Model	18
	2.4.3 Application of Climate Scenarios for Hydrological Modeling	19
	2.4.4 Hydrological Impact of Climate Change in Malaysia	21
	2.5 Irrigation Water Management for Rice	21
	2.5.1 Water Balance Model	22
	2.5.2 Crop Evapotranspiration	23
	2.5.3 Application of ET Demand Model on Climate Studies	26
	2.6 Rice Production in Malaysia	27
	2.7 Decision Support Systems in Water Management	28
	2.7.1 Decision Support Systems and its Components	28
	2.7.2 Decision Support System Modeling for Irrigation Water Management	29
	2.7.3 Application of Decision Support Systems tools in Malaysia	31
	2.7.4 Limitations of Decision Support Systems in Irrigation Water Management	31

© COPYRIGHT UPM

2.8 Summary 32

3 METHODOLOGY 34
3.1 Introduction 34
3.2 Study Area: Background, Data and Knowledge Generation 35
3.2.1 Location and Historical Background of the Scheme 35
3.2.2 Climate patterns 36
3.2.3 Soils 37
3.2.4 Water Resources 37
3.2.5 Existing Development and Current Practices 37
3.2.6 Site Visits and Meetings with Scheme Management 42
3.3 Climate Projections and Scenarios 44
3.3.1 Data 44
3.3.2 Selection of Global Climate Models (GCMs) 45
3.3.3 Selection of Emission Scenarios 45
3.3.4 GCM Grid Point and Data Extraction 46
3.3.5 Downscaling of GCMs Climate Data 47
3.3.6 Discussion on the Downscaling Technique Used 51
3.3.7 Data Processing and Analysis 51
3.4 Hydrological Modeling for Climate Change Impacts 52
3.4.1 Importance of the Basin 53
3.4.2 Input Data and Model Setup 54
3.4.3 Model Calibration and Validation for Simulation 58
3.4.4 Parameters Sensitivity Analysis 59
3.4.5 Model Performance Evaluation Criteria 60
3.4.6 Climate Change Impacts Assessment on Streamflow 61
3.5 Development of Climate-smart Decision Support System (CSDSS-RIMIS) Model 61
3.5.1 The Study Area 61
3.5.2 The Rationale of CSDSS-RIMIS Model 62
3.5.3 CSDSS-RIMIS Model Software Development 63
3.5.4 CSDSS-RIMIS Model Structure 64
3.5.5 Stochastic Rainfall Generator Module 64
3.5.6 Reference Evapotranspiration (ETo) Module 71
3.5.7 Water Demand Simulation Module 73
3.5.8 Water Allocation Module 76
3.5.9 Design Capacity for Irrigation Demands 80

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS 82
4.1 Introduction 82
4.2 Climate Projections over the Bernam Watershed 82
4.2.1 Future Temperature Projections 82
4.2.2 Future Rainfall Projections 87
4.3 Hydrological Impacts of Climate Change 90
4.3.1 Watershed Delineation 90
4.3.2 Parameter Sensitivity Analysis 91
4.3.3 Model Analysis And Evaluation 92
4.3.4 Impacts on Future on Streamflow Scenarios 94
4.3.5 Summary 97
4.4 CSDSS-RIMIS Model Outputs and Application 98

© COPYRIGHT UPM

4.4.1 Model Application: Stochastic Rainfall Simulation 99
4.4.2 Model Application: Simulation of Climate-smart Daily Reference Evapotranspiration (ETo) 110
4.4.3 Model Application: Climate-smart for Water Demand Projection 117
4.4.4 Model Application: Real-time Water Allocation Simulation 122
4.4.5 Summary 137
4.5 CSDSS-RIMIS Application for Assessing Impacts of Climate Change on Irrigation Water Demands 138
4.5.1 Projected Rainfall analysis 138
4.5.2 Effective Rainfall Analysis 143
4.5.3 Reference Evapotranspiration (ETo) Analysis 147
4.5.4 Crop Water Requirements Analysis 151
4.5.5 Irrigation Water Requirements Analysis 156
4.5.6 Summary 161
4.6 CSDSS-RIMIS Model Application for Water Allocation 161
4.7 Limitations of the CSDSS-RIMIS model 164

5 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 165
5.1 Summary 165
5.2 Conclusions 165
5.3 Novelty and Major Contributions of the Study 167
5.4 Recommendations 167

REFERENCES 169
APPENDICES 187
BIODATA OF STUDENT 197
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS 198

© COPYRIGHT UPM

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Existing gaps between GCMs' ability and hydrology need	11
2.2	Comparison of Downscaling Techniques	16
3.1	Irrigation Command Areas by Scheme Compartments	41
3.2	List of Climate Models and RCP emission scenarios used	46
3.3	Selected GCMs grid points	47
3.4	Time segments used in this study	49
3.5	SWAT data inputs for streamflow modeling of Bernam River Basin	55
3.6	Soil map description	57
3.7	Land use classes	57
3.8	Model performance ratings for monthly dicharge	60
3.9	Estimation of transition probabilities for observed rainfall data	66
3.10	List of rainfall stations for the study area	68
3.11	Paddy crop coefficient information	74
4.1	Changes in temperature (max and min) (°C) under the RCP scenarios with respect to baseline period (1976–2005) for Upper Bernam Watershed	83
4.2	Changes in future rainfall (%) under the RCP scenarios with respect to baseline period (1976–2005) for Upper Bernam Watershed.	87
4.3	SWAT parameter changes during calibration stage	92
4.4	Comparison of annual changes (%) in future streamflow under the RCP45, 6.0 and 8.5 scenarios in the three future periods.	95
4.5	Estimated transition probabilities and parameters of the gamma distributions for different months for the observed period derived from the DSS model	100

© COPYRIGHT UPM

4.6	Comparison of annual rainfall (in mm) simulated by different GCMs under all RCP scenarios from 01 January to 31 December 2017	105
4.7	Example of daily rainfall (in mm) simulated using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP4.5 scenario from 01 January to 31 December 2017	106
4.8	Example of daily rainfall (in mm) simulated using multi-model projection model under RCP4.5 scenario from 01 January to 31 December 2017	107
4.9	Example of long-term mean monthly rainfall (in mm) simulated using CCSM4 model under RCP4.5 scenario from 2015-2045	108
4.10	Example of mean monthly rainfall (in mm) simulated using multi-model projection under RCP4.5 scenario from 2015-2045	109
4.11	Example of simulated daily ETo series (in mm) using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP4.5 scenario for 01 January to 31 December 2017 period.	113
4.12	Example of simulated daily ETo series (in mm) using multi-model projection under RCP4.5 scenario for 01 January to 31 December 2017 period.	114
4.13	Example of output table for mean monthly ETo (in mm) for 2020s period simulated using All-Models with respect to baseline period.	115
4.14	Example of mean monthly ETo (in mm) simulated using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP4.5 scenario from 2015-2045	116
4.15	Example of simulated monthly crop evapotranspiration (ETc) (in mm) by MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP4.5 scenario for dry and wet seasons of 2017 period	120
4.16	Example of simulated monthly irrigation requirement (IRreq) (in mm) by MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP4.5 scenario for dry and wet seasons of 2017 period	121
4.17	8-day simulation of irrigation deliveries (in m3/s) through tertiary canals of ISA I using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP4.5 scenario, on 16 April 2017 during Off Season	125
4.18	8-day simulation of irrigation deliveries (in m3/s) through tertiary canals of ISA II using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP4.5 scenario, on 16 April 2017 during Off Season	126
4.19	8-day simulation of irrigation deliveries (in m3/s) through tertiary canals of ISA III using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP4.5 scenario, on 16 April 2017 during Off Season	127

© COPYRIGHT UPM

4.20	8-day simulation of irrigation deliveries (in m3/s) through tertiary canals of ISA IV using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP4.5 scenario, on 16 April 2017 during Off Season	128
4.21	8-day simulation of irrigation deliveries (in m3/s) through all tertiary canals using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP4.5 scenario, on 16 April 2017 during Off Season	130
4.22	8-day simulation of irrigation deliveries (in m3/s) through all tertiary canals using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP6.0 scenario, on 16 April 2017 during Off Season	131
4.23	8-day simulation of irrigation deliveries (in m3/s) through all tertiary canals using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP8.5 scenario, on 16 April 2017 during Off Season	132
4.24	8-day simulation of irrigation deliveries (in m3/s) through all tertiary canals using multi-model projection under RCP4.5 scenario, on 16 April 2017 during Off Season	134
4.25	8-day simulation of irrigation deliveries (in m3/s) through all tertiary canals using multi-model projection under RCP6.0 scenario, on 16 April 2017 during Off Season	135
4.26	8-day simulation of irrigation deliveries (in m3/s) through all tertiary canals using multi-model projection under RCP8.5 scenario, on 16 April 2017 during Off Season	136
4.27	Example of master table showing all inputs used and outputs generated during simulation period for all ISAs and tertiary canals	137
4.28	Projected changes of average monthly rainfall (%) during irrigation months	139
4.29	Output table for multi-scenario of mean monthly rainfall (in mm) for 2020s period simulated using multi-model with respect to baseline period.	140
4.30	Output table for multi-scenario of mean monthly rainfall (in mm) for 2050s period simulated using multi-model with respect to baseline period.	141
4.31	Output table for multi-scenario of mean monthly rainfall (in mm) for 2080s period simulated using multi-model with respect to baseline period.	142
4.32	Output table for multi-scenario of mean monthly effective rainfall (in mm) for 2020s period simulated using multi-model with respect to baseline period.	144

© COPYRIGHT UPM

4.33 Output table for multi-scenario of mean monthly effective rainfall (in mm) for 2050s period simulated using multi-model with respect to baseline period. 145

4.34 Output table for multi-scenario of mean monthly effective rainfall (in mm) for 2080s period simulated using multi-model with respect to baseline period 146

4.35 Projected changes of average annual ETo (mm) by multi-model projection 147

4.36 Summary of multi-scenario comparison of mean monthly ETo (in mm) for all future periods simulated using multi-model with respect to baseline period. 148

4.37 Changes in seasonal crop evapotranspiration (mm) under RCP scenarios with respect to baseline period (1976–2005) 152

4.38 Output table for multi-scenario of mean monthly crop water requirements (in mm) for 2020s simulated using multi-model with respect to baseline period 153

4.39 Output table for multi-scenario of mean monthly crop water requirements (in mm) for 2050s simulated using multi-model with respect to baseline period 154

4.40 Output table for multi-scenario of mean monthly crop water requirements (in mm) for 2080s simulated using multi-model with respect to baseline period 155

4.41 Changes in irrigation water requirement (mm) under the RCP scenarios with respect to historical period (1976–2005) 157

4.42 Output table for multi-scenario of mean monthly irrigation water requirements (in mm) for 2020s simulated using multi-model with respect to baseline period 158

4.43 Output table for multi-scenario of mean monthly irrigation water requirements (in mm) for 2050s simulated using multi-model with respect to baseline period 159

4.44 Output table for multi-scenario of mean monthly irrigation water requirements (in mm) for 2080s simulated using multi-model with respect to baseline period 160

4.45 Comparison of weekly actual irrigation deliveries (in m3/s) with DSS simulated using multi-model projection for Off-season (Jan-Apr 2012/2013) 163

© COPYRIGHT UPM

4.46 Comparison of weekly actual irrigation deliveries (in m3/s) with DSS simulated using multi-model projection for Main-season (Jul-Oct 2012/2013) 163

© COPYRIGHT UPM

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Location of rice granary areas in Peninsula Malaysia (Adapted from DID, 2014)	2
2.1	Combined Annual Land-Surface Air and Sea Surface Temperature Anomalies (°C) for Years 1861 to 2006, Relative to 1961 to 1990 (IPCC, 2007)	8
2.2	General framework for Climate Impacts Assessment	10
2.3	Suggested crop coefficient, Kc values for rice (MR84)	25
3.1	Framework for the study methodology	35
3.2	Map of Tanjung Karang and Upper Bernam watershed, Malaysia.	36
3.3	Overall Water Resources Configuration of the Tanjung Karang Irrigation Scheme	38
3.4	Location of diversion to Feeder canal at Bernam River Headworks (BRH)	39
3.5	Adjustable off-take at the head of the Main canal and water flowing through a tertiary canal	40
3.6	Group Photograph with DID and DOA Staff at the Irrigation Scheme	43
3.7	Framework of the study procedures for assessment of climate change impacts on water resources and irrigation demands in TAKRIS	44
3.8	GCM Data Processing and Statistical Downscaling using Delta Change Factor Methodology	50
3.9	Flowchart for Climate Change Impacts Assessment on water resources in the Bernam basin	53
3.10	Map of study area of Upper Bernam watershed, Malaysia.	54
3.11	Bernam watershed delineation in SWAT Model	56
3.12	Spatial input data in SWAT model for Bernam river basin, including (a) Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of 30m resolution, (b) Subbasins partition, (c) Soils dataset from DOA. (d) Reclassified Landuse dataset.	58
3.13	Scheme Main Menu of CSDSS-RIMIS Model	63

© COPYRIGHT UPM

3.14	Flowchart for simulating daily rainfall sequences under climate forcings using Markov Chain and Gamma distribution	69
3.15	Schematic flowchart for simulation of daily ETo sequences under climate change scenarios	72
3.16	Flowchart illustrating the procedure for estimating irrigation water demands under climate scenarios	75
3.17	Typical water balance structure in paddy field (adapted from Lee and Huang 2014)	77
3.18	CSDSS-RIMIS Framework for simulation of irrigation deliveries through tertiary canals	81
4.1	Changes in monthly future maximum temperature (°C) relative to the baseline (1976-2006), for 2020s under all 3 scenarios	84
4.2	Changes in monthly future maximum temperature (°C) relative to the baseline (1976-2006), for 2050s under all 3 scenarios	84
4.3	Changes in monthly future maximum temperature (°C) relative to the baseline (1976-2006), for 2080s under all 3 scenarios	85
4.4	Changes in monthly future minimum temperature (°C) relative to the baseline (1976-2006), for 2020s under all 3 scenarios	85
4.5	Changes in monthly future minimum temperature (°C) relative to the baseline (1976-2006), for 2050s under all 3 scenarios	86
4.6	Change in monthly future minimum temperature (°C) relative to the baseline (1976-2006), for 2080s under all 3 scenarios	86
4.7	Changes in monthly future rainfall (%) relative to the baseline (1976-2006), for 2020s under all 3 scenarios	89
4.8	Changes in monthly future rainfall (%) relative to the baseline (1976-2006), for 2050s under all 3 scenarios	89
4.9	Changes in monthly future rainfall (%) relative to the baseline (1976-2006), for 2080s under all 3 scenarios	90
4.10	Bernam watershed delineation in SWAT Model	91
4.11	Plot of observed and simulated streamflow (m3/s) for the calibration (1981-1998) period at SKC station	93
4.12	Plot of observed and simulated streamflow (m3/s) for the validation (1981-1998) period at SKC station	93

© COPYRIGHT UPM

4.13	Projected changes of monthly streamflow (%)with respect to the baseline (1976-2006) for three future periods under RCP4.5 scenarios	96
4.14	Projected changes of monthly streamflow (%) with respect to the baseline (1976-2006) for three future periods under RCP6.0 scenarios	96
4.15	Projected changes of monthly streamflow (%) with respect to the baseline (1976-2006) for three future periods under RCP8.5 scenarios	97
4.16	Main Window of CSDSS-RIMIS Model	99
4.17	Comparison of observed and simulated mean monthly rainfall and standard deviation (mm) at station no.3609012	101
4.18	Comparison of observed and simulated mean dry spell and wet spell lengths (in days) at station no.3609012	101
4.19	Comparison of observed and simulated 7-day rainfall (mm), rainy days (days) and daily rainfall intensity (mm/day) at station no.3609012.	102
4.20	Stochastic rainfall generator model dialog wizard for simulation of rainfall sequences	103
4.21	The simulation check complete dialog box	104
4.22	Example of simulated daily rainfall (in mm) using MRI-CGCM3 under RCP4.5 scenario for 1-year period (1 January to 31 December 2017).	106
4.23	Example of simulated daily rainfall (in mm) using multi-model projection under RCP4.5 scenario for 1-year period (1 January to 31 December 2017).	107
4.24	Example of long-term projected monthly rainfall (in mm) simulated using CCSM4 model under RCP4.5 scenario from 2015-2045	108
4.25	Example of long-term projected monthly rainfall (in mm) simulated using multi-model projection under RCP4.5 scenario from 2015-2045	109
4.26	Dialog window/wizard for reference evapotranspiration (ETo) simulation module	111
4.27	Example of simulated daily ETo series (in mm) using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP4.5 scenario for 01 January to 31 December 2017 period	113

© COPYRIGHT UPM

4.28	Example of simulated daily ETo series (in mm) using multi-model projection under RCP4.5 scenario for 01 January to 31 December 2017 period	114
4.29	Example of mean monthly ETo (in mm) for 2020s period simulated using All-Models with respect to baseline period	115
4.30	Example of long-term projected monthly ETo (in mm) simulated using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP4.5 scenario from 2015-2045	116
4.31	MATLAB based Dialog Wizard for Paddy Water Demand Simulation Module	118
4.32	Plot of monthly crop evapotranspiration (ETc) (in mm) simulated using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP4.5 scenario for dry and wet seasons of 2017 period	120
4.33	Plot of monthly irrigation requirement (IRreq) (in mm) simulated using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP4.5 scenario for dry and wet seasons of 2017 period	121
4.34	Dialog Wizard for the Water Allocation Simulation Module	123
4.35	Average simulated irrigation supplies (in m3/s) through tertiary canals of ISA I using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP4.5 scenario, on 16 April 2017 in Off Season period	125
4.36	Average simulated irrigation supplies (in m3/s) through tertiary canals of ISA II using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP4.5 scenario, on 16 April 2017 in Off Season period	126
4.37	Average simulated irrigation supplies (in m3/s) through tertiary canals of ISA III using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP4.5 scenario, on 16 April 2017 in Off Season period	127
4.38	Average simulated irrigation supplies (in m3/s) through tertiary canals of ISA IV using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP4.5 scenario, on 16 April 2017 in Off Season period	128
4.39	Average simulated irrigation supplies (in m3/s) through all tertiary canals using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP4.5 scenario, on 16 April 2017 in Off Season period	130
4.40	Average simulated irrigation supplies (in m3/s) through all tertiary canals using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP6.0 scenario, on 16 April 2017 in Off Season period	131

© COPYRIGHT UPM

4.41	Average simulated irrigation supplies (in m3/s) through all tertiary canals using MRI-CGCM3 model under RCP8.5 scenario, on 16 April 2017 in Off Season period	132
4.42	Average simulated irrigation supplies (in m3/s) through all tertiary canals using multi-model projection under RCP4.5 scenario, on 16 April 2017 in Off Season period	134
4.43	Average simulated irrigation supplies (in m3/s) through all tertiary canals using multi-model projection under RCP6.0 scenario, on 16 April 2017 in Off Season period	135
4.44	Average simulated irrigation supplies (in m3/s) through all tertiary canals using multi-model projection under RCP8.5 scenario, on 16 April 2017 in Off Season period	136
4.45	Multi-Scenario of mean monthly rainfall (in mm) for 2020s period simulated using multi-model with respect to baseline period at station no.3609012.	140
4.46	Multi-Scenario of mean monthly rainfall (in mm) for 2050s period simulated using multi-model with respect to baseline period at station no.3609012.	141
4.47	Multi-Scenario of mean monthly rainfall (in mm) for 2080s period simulated using multi-model with respect to baseline period at station no.3609012.	142
4.48	Multi-Scenario of mean monthly effective rainfall (in mm) for 2020s period simulated using multi-model with respect to baseline period at station no.3609012.	144
4.49	Multi-Scenario of mean monthly effective rainfall (in mm) for 2050s period simulated by multi-model with respect to baseline period at station no.3609012.	145
4.50	Multi-Scenario of mean monthly effective rainfall (in mm) for 2080s period simulated by multi-model with respect to baseline period at station no.3609012.	146
4.51	Multi-Scenario comparison of (a) mean monthly ETo and (b) mean temperature for 2020s period simulated using multi-model with respect to baseline period at station no.3609012.	149
4.52	Multi-Scenario comparison of (a) mean monthly ETo and (b) mean temperature for 2050s period simulated using multi-model with respect to baseline period at station no.3609012.	150

© COPYRIGHT UPM

4.53 Multi-Scenario of (a) mean monthly ETo and (b) mean temperature for 2080s period simulated using multi-model with respect to baseline period at station no.3609012. 151

4.54 Multi-Scenario of mean monthly crop water requirement (ETc) (in mm) for 2020s period with respect to baseline period at station no.3609012. 153

4.55 Multi-Scenario of mean monthly crop water requirement (ETc) (in mm) for 2050s period simulated with respect to baseline period at station no.3609012. 154

4.56 Multi-Scenario comparison of mean monthly crop water requirement (ETc) (in mm) for 2080s period multi-model with respect to baseline period at station no.3609012. 155

4.57 Multi-Scenario of mean monthly irrigation water requirement (IR) (in mm) for 2020s period multi-model with respect to baseline period at station no.3609012. 158

4.58 Multi-Scenario of mean monthly irrigation water requirement (IR) (in mm) for 2050s period multi-model with respect to baseline period at station no.3609012. 159

4.59 Multi-Scenario of mean monthly irrigation water requirement (IR) (in mm) for 2080s period multi-model with respect to baseline period at station no.3609012. 160

© COPYRIGHT UPM

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AR	Assessment Report
BRH	Bernam River Headworks
CMIP3	Climate Model Intercomparison Project Phase 3
CMIP5	Climate Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5
CSDSS	Climate-smart Decision Support System
CSDSS-RIMIS	Climate-smart Decision Support System for Rice Irrigation Management Information System
DID	Department of Irrigation and Drainage
DOA	Department of Agriculture
DR	Drainage Requirement
DSS	Decision Support System
P_{eff}	Effective Rainfall
ET_o	Reference Crop Evapotranspiration
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
GISS	Goddard Institute for Space Studies for the NASA
GCM	Global Circulation Model
GUI	Graphical User Interface
HRU	Hydrologic Response Unit
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
JICA	Japan International Cooperation Agency
NSE	Nash-Sutcliffe model Efficiency coefficient
PBIAS	Percent Bias
R^2	Coefficient of determination
RCP	Representative Carbon Pathways

© COPYRIGHT UPM

TAKRIS	Tanjung Karang Rice Irrigation Scheme
TRH	Tengi River Headworks

© COPYRIGHT UPM

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Water is one of the basic natural resources upon which sustainable development depends to satisfy daily requirements of the world's growing population. In recent years, competition for water has been on the increase to the point of physical scarcity of the resource (UN-Water 2010). According to global-scale water scarcity projection studies, up to two thirds of the world's population is likely to live in water stressed watersheds by the year 2025 (Shiklomanov 1998; Rijsberman 2004; Alcamo et al. 2007). The main driving force is attributed to the increasing demand for different land and water uses and the climate change phenomena.

Irrigated agriculture remains the largest consumer of water, accounting for some 70% of total water withdrawals in the world (FAO 2013). The agricultural sector is faced with the challenge of meeting future food requirements with limited water resources. With a population that is expected to increase significantly by the year 2025, it is estimated that agricultural production will have to increase by 60% by 2025 (FAO 2013) to satisfy the expected demands for food, fibers and other agricultural products. Although there are differences in opinions regarding exploring options for providing enough food, it is no doubt that irrigation will have to contribute significantly to increasing food production. At the World Food Summit in 1996, it was estimated that 60% of future food requirements would have to be met through irrigated agriculture. However, irrigation is being criticized for huge amount of water losses due to poor performance and low efficiencies. Linked to this, are the uncertainties associated with global climate change which have the potential to disrupt the hydrological parameters such as mean streamflows, crop water requirements, frequency and intensity of rainfall (Xu 1999a; IPCC 2001). These issues call for attention and suitable water management actions on many facades, which, if left unresolved, the severity of the water problem will only become acute with time.

Rice is the most common staple diet for more than half the world's population, rich in energy and protein sources, providing about 75% and 60% respectively. More than 142 million hectares are cultivated under rice, 90% of which is cultivated in the monsoon regions of Asia (De Wrachien 2003), and of this, 75% is produced from irrigated lands. In Malaysia, rice production is an important sub-sector in the national food security agenda. Presently, there are more than 300,000 rice farmers encompassing over 322,000 hectares under irrigation (Alam et al. 2010). All the key rice-growing areas have irrigation and drainage facilities with an average yield of 3.5 tons per hectare (Agriculture Statistical Handbook, 2008), with a potential yield of 7.2 tons per hectare (Singh et al. (1996). Rice is cultivated during dry season and wet season (double cropping) under irrigation schemes.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

The irrigation sector has the greatest annual water withdrawals, with more than 80% of Malaysia's water demands accounted for by rice irrigation use. To ensure sustainability of this sub-sector, the Federal Government developed the National Agricultural Policy which sets to transform the agricultural sector into a more efficient commercial sector to address three objectives; (1) to ensure attractive price to paddy farmers to produce rice, (2) to achieve a certain level of self-sufficiency in rice production, and (3) to ensure a stable and high quality of rice to consumers (NAP3 2010; Bala et al. 2014). Through this policy, rice productivity in the eight designated granary areas has seen a significant increase to about 72% self-sufficiency level (Bala et al. 2014). Figure 1.1 shows the location of these granary areas in Peninsular Malaysia.

Figure 1.1 : Location of rice granary areas in Peninsula Malaysia
(Source: Department of Irrigation and Drainage, Malaysia, 2014)

Agriculture is vulnerable to changes in weather conditions and future climate change could be unfavorable for rice production. Hydrologic changes are the most important impacts of climate change in the Southeast Asia region (Christensen et al. 2007; IPCC 2007), to which Malaysia is a part. The recent assessment report (AR5) based on the CMIP5 simulations has shown that future rainfall projection changes are qualitatively similar to those in the previous assessment report (AR4) (IPCC 2013). The report

further highlight that in Peninsular Malaysia rainfall intensity increased during the southwest monsoon season, whilst during the northeast monsoon, total rainfall, frequency of extreme rainfall events, and rainfall intensity all increased. At the same time, higher temperatures in the region are predicted which will demand higher irrigation water requirements.

Although Malaysia is blessed with rainfall throughout the year with an average of 2,420 mm (Alansi 2010), it is however, not yet clear how these supposed changes will affect the country's water resources systems for rice production in future. Quantitative studies are scarce, and the country's climate change policy is still being developed. Current adaptive strategies by local farmers are largely generic and are based on information derived from regional studies despite clear variations in local and regional-scale climates. Effective adaptive measures require good understanding of the uncertainty of climatic variables at local levels. Application of hydrologic modeling on basin-wide assessments is becoming a basis for understanding the repercussions of climate change to ensure effective adaptation measures and resilience to these changes (Xu 1999b; Fowler et al. 2007). Coupled with these models, are climate change-based decision support tools for modeling uncertainties in water allocations. Such tools therefore rely on existence of climate information consistent with the future for successful implementation.

1.2 Problem Statement

Rice is one of the major crops grown in many irrigation schemes in Malaysia as it constitutes a major portion of diet for a large population. Its demand for irrigation water is relatively high and differs from that of other field crops. Successful irrigation water management approaches used by water managers should aim at managing irrigation water deliveries in a more efficient way, and consistent with the prevailing climate conditions.

Many irrigation schemes suffer from water shortages in certain period in the cropping seasons, and the Tanjung Karang Irrigation Scheme (TAKRIS) is no exception. The inadequate and unreliable water deliveries in the main supply system often cause farmers to face regular water shortages for their rice fields, resulting in reduced yields and incomes. A feasibility study report and other previous studies have highlighted some of the water management issues faced by the scheme. Frequent water shortage especially during the dry season months is one of the key challenges facing the scheme. In addition, problems are being encountered in distributing water evenly over the command area, and also water management practices that lead to inefficient use of water.

Compounding problem is the impact associated with climate change in managing irrigation water management under climate scenarios. In its assessment over the past few decades, the Inter-governmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) reported three undeniable signals of climate change, that is, gradual changes in global average temperatures, rainfall patterns, and rise in sea levels (IPCC 2001; 2007). These have

© COPYRIGHT UPM

direct implications on a number of hydrological processes including streamflow fluctuations, groundwater recharge, reservoir levels and irrigation demand. Changes in temperature directly impacts on evapotranspiration and changes in rainfall affects streamflow and irrigation demand patterns at catchment scale. This will likely bring changes in rice water demands and put additional strain on the limited available water resources of the Bernam River, which is a source of irrigation water for the scheme. Local studies by the National Hydraulic Research Institute of Malaysia (NAHRIM) on the impacts of climate change at country level confirmed potential increase in future temperature and changes in rainfall patterns (NAHRIM 2006; 2014).

These issues therefore need to be quantified and accounted in future irrigation water allocation and planning. Current approaches at the scheme do not account for climate change related issues in water allocation for irrigation. Computer models such as decision support systems (DSS) are powerful tools can be used in combination with outputs from global climate models (GCMs) to simulate irrigation requirements and translate them to water deliveries under climate scenarios. However, current existing decision support system models, including those developed for local rice schemes, such as, RWM-DSS (Haque 2004), RIMIS (Rowshon 2006), PIM (Deepak 2011), SWAMP (Mohd 2014), have limitations as they do not account for climate scenarios in their modeling. This study, therefore, attempts to develop a decision support system (DSS) to model water demand and allocations in a rice irrigation scheme under climate scenarios by using outputs from the global climate models (GCMs). The tool will serve as a practical tool to generate climate scenarios based on the outputs from global climate models (GCMs) to carry out standard calculations for reference evapotranspiration, rice water requirements and irrigation demands, for daily and/or periodic water allocations under climate scenarios. This will allow Water Managers to assess climate change signals and thus promote adoption of appropriate adaptation strategies that could potentially lead to improved water use and management at farm level.

1.3 Goals and Objectives of Study

The primary goal of the present study is to develop a water management tool to generate local climate scenarios for modeling of water demands and allocation, and thereby facilitates development of mitigation measures at a local irrigation command area (Tanjung Karang Irrigation Scheme). To achieve this goal, the study was undertaken with the following specific objectives;

1. To develop local projected climate scenarios using the delta change factor methodology for streamflow modeling and water demand projections.
2. To assess streamflow of the Bernam River Catchment in response to climate change impacts using the SWAT model.
3. To develop a decision support system (DSS) for modeling irrigation demands and deliveries in rice field tertiary canals of the command area under climate change scenarios.
4. To assess impacts of climate change on the irrigation demands for the rice irrigation command area.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

1.4 Relevance and Scope of the Study

Tanjung Karang is one of the rice irrigation schemes that contribute significantly to the rice food for the large population of Malaysia. General issues such as regular water shortage during dry season months and poor water management practices are some of the challenges reported by local water managers and previous feasibility studies. Climate change is a new threat in agricultural water management. Climate change is a global problem which cascade its impacts to regional and local-scale. The current existing approaches with regards to water management for irrigation to the scheme do not account for climate change scenarios. Instead water is supplied based on some design discharge originally designed for transplanted rice, and the climate change factor is not considered. Since this is still a run-of-the-river scheme, it is critical that we understand the impacts of climate change so that appropriate mitigation strategies could be developed for sustainable production.

The scope of work includes the following tasks;

- Collection of relevant information on the history, operation and maintenance of the paddy schemes.
- Collection of spatial maps (landuse, soils, DEM) from various government agencies and generating (digitizing) new maps (shapefiles).
- Collection of observed hydro-meteorological data from different stations within the study area and the watershed for calibration and validation of a hydrological model
- Downloading and extraction of GCM data from .nc file format to .mat file format using MATLAB Programme
- Detailed study of/and selection of climate change downscaling techniques and predictor variable suitable for the study purpose.
- Downscaling the climate variables through a specified downscaling domain with coordinates
- Simulation of future streamflow of the Bernam river basin considering the effects of climate change on the hydrology using multiple GCMs.
- Development of stochastic rainfall generator model for daily rainfall simulation under climate change scenarios using first-order Markov chain based on multiple GCMs and emission scenarios.
- Development of codes, functions and user interface using MATLAB platform for integrating all modules of the decision support system.
- Collection of irrigation supply data for evaluation of the decision support system.

1.5 Limitations on the Scope of Study

Although the study has achieved its objectives as set out, the following limitations on the scope of the study are highlighted. First, for streamflow modeling, future changes in landuse or vegetation cover was assumed to be stationery. However, land use change is generally considered one of the main factors affecting the rainfall-runoff relationship. It is believed that changes in landuse in the future will have a

© COPYRIGHT UPM

corresponding impact on streamflow. Second, the study was conducted using only the simulation outputs from climate models (GCMs) data based on the driving carbon emission scenarios. A combination of GCMs data with real-time simulation data would be ideal in a future study. Third, the study has assumed unconstrained supply for irrigation water. Future modelling studies should investigate the impacts of future changes in reliability of water supply for irrigation at certain times during the season, for instance, due to low flows or seasonal droughts. Fourth, channel routing modeling to evaluating the hydraulic performance of the conveyance system was not carried out. Canal simulations is essential in future studies to better synchronize both the upstream (water supply) and downstream (water demand) sides.

1.6 Outline of the Thesis

The thesis is organized into 5 chapters as follows: Chapter 1 provides the overview of the pivotal role of water in food production particularly rice, highlighting the problem faced by the agriculture sector on having to increase production with limited water resources, and the need for the sector to develop scientific tools for optimizing the use of irrigation water. The specific objectives of the study and its contribution to water management are also highlighted in this chapter.

The relevant literature to methods, techniques and approaches used in the study is reviewed in Chapter 2. The chapter includes an in-depth discussion of the concept of climate change, downscaling techniques and their applications to hydrologic modeling and future irrigation water demands. Applications of decision support systems as relate to water management are further reviewed in this chapter.

Chapter 3 presents the methodology with background information on the study area, data collection and a summary of the methods used to downscale future climate data, models applied in assessing future streamflow and developing stochastic rainfall model, irrigation water demand and development of decision support system from climate projections.

Chapter 4 presents the results of the study including climate change impacts on the future streamflow, application of the climate-smart decision support system in modeling water demands projections and allocation for rice tertiary canals which will be useful to farmers and water authorities for implementing allocation measures of irrigation water during climate change conditions.

Chapter 5 concludes the study highlighting its contribution and methodological limitations and suggests some recommendations for future work.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

REFERENCES

Abbaspour, K. (2013). SWAT-CUP 2012: SWAT calibration and uncertainty programs-A user manual. *Eawag Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology*.

Abbaspour, K. C., Yang, J., Maximov, I., Siber, R., Bogner, K., Mieleitner, J., and Srinivasan, R. (2007). Modelling hydrology and water quality in the pre-alpine/alpine Thur watershed using SWAT. *Journal of hydrology, 333*(2), 413-430.

Abbaspour, K., Johnson, C., and Van Genuchten, M. T. (2004). Estimating uncertain flow and transport parameters using a sequential uncertainty fitting procedure. *Vadose Zone Journal, 3*(4), 1340-1352.

Abbaspour, K., Rouholahnejad, E., Vaghefi, S., Srinivasan, R., Yang, H., and Kløve, B. (2015). A continental-scale hydrology and water quality model for Europe: Calibration and uncertainty of a high-resolution large-scale SWAT model. *Journal of hydrology, 524*, 733-752.

Abdullahi, A., Ahmad, D., Amin, M., and Aimrun, W. (2013). Spatial and Temporal Aspects of Evapotranspiration in Tanjung Karang Paddy Field, Peninsular Malaysia. *methods, 2*(2).

Agriculture Statistical Handbook. (2008). "Paddy". Ministry of Agriculture. Malaysia.

Alam, M. M., Siwar, C., bin Toriman, M. E., Molla, R. I., and Talib, B. (2012). Climate change induced adaptation by paddy farmers in Malaysia. *Mitigation and adaptation strategies for global change, 17*(2), 173-186.

Alam, M. M., Siwar, C., Molla, R. I., Talib, B., and bin Toriman, M. E. (2012). Paddy farmers' adaptation practices to climatic vulnerabilities in Malaysia. *Mitigation and adaptation strategies for global change, 17*(4), 415-423.

Alam, M. M., Siwar, C., Murad, M. W., Molla, R. I., & Toriman, M. E. B. (2010). Socioeconomic profile of farmer in Malaysia: study on integrated agricultural development area in North-West Selangor (Doctoral dissertation, International Association of Agricultural Economists).

Alansi, A. W. (2010). Decision Support System for Optimal Design and operation of ponds for watershed runoff management, Unpublished Ph.D thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Alansi, A., Amin, M., Halim, F. A., Shafri, H., & Aimrum, W. (2009). Validation of SWAT model for stream flow simulation and forecasting in Upper Bernam humid tropical river basin, Malaysia. *Hydrology & Earth System Sciences Discussions, 6*(6).

© COPYRIGHT UPM

Alcamo, J., Dronin, N., Endejan, M., Golubev, G., and Kirilenko, A. (2007). A new assessment of climate change impacts on food production shortfalls and water availability in Russia. *Global Environmental Change, 17*(3), 429-444.

Allen, R. G., Pereira, L. S., Raes, D., and Smith, M. (1998). Crop evapotranspiration-Guidelines for computing crop water requirements-FAO Irrigation and drainage paper 56. *FAO, Rome, 300*, 6541.

Allen, R. G., Pruitt, W. O., Wright, J. L., Howell, T. A., Ventura, F., Snyder, R., and Yrisarry, J. B. (2006). A recommendation on standardized surface resistance for hourly calculation of reference ET o by the FAO56 Penman-Monteith method. *Agricultural Water Management, 81*(1), 1-22.

Allen, R., Walter, I., Elliott, R., Howell, T., Itenfisu, D., Jensen, M., and Snyder, R. (2005b). The asce standardized reference evapotranspiration equation: American Society of Civil Engineers: Reston, VA.

Al-Najar, H., and Ashour, E. K. (2013). The impact of climate change and soil salinity in irrigation water demand on the Gaza Strip. *Journal of Water and Climate Change, 4*(2), 118-130.

American Meteorological Society, c. (2014). "Climate Change". Glossary of Meteorology. [Available online at http://glossary.ametsoc.org/wiki/"term".

Arnold, J. G., Moriasi, D. N., Gassman, P. W., Abbaspour, K. C., White, M. J., Srinivasan, R., and Van Liew, M. W. (2012). SWAT: Model use, calibration, and validation. *Transactions of the ASABE, 55*(4), 1491-1508.

Arnold, J. G., Srinivasan, R., Muttiah, R. S., and Williams, J. R. (1998). Large area hydrologic modeling and assessment part I: Model development1: Wiley Online Library.

Ashraf Vaghefi, S., Mousavi, S., Abbaspour, K., Srinivasan, R., and Yang, H. (2014). Analyses of the impact of climate change on water resources components, drought and wheat yield in semiarid regions: Karkheh River Basin in Iran. *Hydrological Processes, 28*(4), 2018-2032.

Babel, M. S., and Wahid, S. M. (2009). Freshwater Under Threat South East Asia: Vulnerability Assessment of Freshwater Resources to Environmental Change: Mekong River Basin.

Bala, B. K., Alias, E. F., Arshad, F. M., Noh, K., and Hadi, A. (2014). Modelling of food security in Malaysia. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory, 47*, 152-164.

Bárdossy, A. (1997). Downscaling from GCMs to local climate through stochastic linkages. *Journal of environmental management, 49*(1), 7-17.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

Bardossy, A., Duckstein, L., and Bogardi, I. (1995). Fuzzy rule-based classification of atmospheric circulation patterns. *International Journal of Climatology, 15*(10), 1087-1097.

Bastola, S. (2013). Hydrologic impacts of future climate change on Southeast US watersheds. *Regional Environmental Change, 13*(1), 131-139.

Beasley, D., Huggins, L., and Monke, a. (1980). ANSWERS: A model for watershed planning. *Transactions of the ASAE, 23*(4), 938-0944.

Bell, M. L., Hobbs, B. F., Elliott, E. M., Ellis, H., and Robinson, Z. (2001). An evaluation of multi-criteria methods in integrated assessment of climate policy. *Journal of Multicriteria Decision Analysis, 10*(5), 229.

Beven, K. (2001). Rainfall-Runoff Modelling: The Primer. *Hydrological Sciences Journal, 46*(6), 1002-1002.

Bhuiyan, S., Sattar, M., and Khan, M. (1995). Improving water use efficiency in rice irrigation through wet-seeding. *Irrigation Science, 16*(1), 1-8.

Bicknell, B., Imhoff, J., Kittle Jr, J., Jobes, T., and Donigian Jr, A. (2001). Hydrologic Simulation Program-Fortran (HSPF) User's Manual for Version 12. *US Environmental Protection Agency, National Exposure Research Laboratory, Athens, GA*.

Bingner, R. L., and F. D. Theurer. . (2001.). AnnAGNPS Technical Processes: Documentation Version 2. Available at www.sedlab.olemiss.edu/AGNPS.html. Accessed 3 October 2002.

Borah, D. K. (2002b). Watershed scale nonpoint source pollution models: mathematical bases. Paper presented at the 2002 ASAE Annual Meeting.

Borah, D., and Bera, M. (2003). Watershed-scale hydrologic and nonpoint-source pollution models: Review of mathematical bases. *Transactions of the ASAE, 46*(6), 1553.

Brigode, P., Oudin, L., and Perrin, C. (2013). Hydrological model parameter instability: A source of additional uncertainty in estimating the hydrological impacts of climate change. *Journal of hydrology, 476*, 410-425.

Chalmers, D. J., Andrews, P. K., Harris, K. M., Cameron, E. A., and Caspari, H. W. (1992). Performance of drainage lysimeters for the evaluation of water use by Asian pears. *HortScience, 27*(3), 263-265.

Chan, C., and Cheong, A. (2001). Seasonal weather effects on crop evapotranspiration and rice yield. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture and Food Science, 29*, 77-92.

Chan, C., and Cheong, A. (2001). Seasonal weather effects on crop evapotranspiration and rice yield. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture and Food Science, 29*, 77-92.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

Chen, J., Brissette, F. P., and Leconte, R. (2011). Uncertainty of downscaling method in quantifying the impact of climate change on hydrology. *Journal of hydrology, 401*(3), 190-202.

Chen, Y., Chen, X., Xu, C.-Y., and Shao, Q. (2006). Downscaling of daily precipitation with a stochastic weather generator for the subtropical region in South China. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions Discussions, 3*(3), 1145-1183.

Christensen JH, H. B., Busuioc A, Chen A, Gao X, Held I, Jones R, Kolli RK, Kwon WT, Laprise R, Magaña Rueda V, Mearns L, Meńendez CG, Räisänen J, Räisänen J, Rinke A, Sarr A, Whetton P. . (2007). Regional climate projections. In Climate Change: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Solomon S, Qin D, Manning M, Chen Z, Marquis M, Averyt KB, Tignor M, Miller HL (eds). Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA.

Christensen, J. M., Halsnæs, K., Lim jr, C., Mackenzie, G. A., Villavicencio, A., Morthorst, P. E., and Morrison, M. (1992). UNEP greenhouse gas abatement costing studies. Analysis of abatement costing issues and preparation of a methodology to undertake national greenhouse gas abatement costing studies. Phase one report.

Chu, T., and Shirmohammadi, A. (2004). Evaluation of the SWAT model's hydrology component in the piedmont physiographic region of Maryland. *Transactions of the ASAE, 47*(4), 1057.

Chung, S.-O., and Nkomozepi, T. (2012). Uncertainty of paddy irrigation requirement estimated from climate change projections in the Geumho river basin, Korea. *Paddy and Water Environment, 10*(3), 175-185.

Conway, D., and Jones, P. (1998). The use of weather types and air flow indices for GCM downscaling. *Journal of hydrology, 212*, 348-361.

Crane, R. G., and Hewitson, B. C. (1998). Doubled CO2 precipitation changes for the Susquehanna basin: down-scaling from the GENESIS general circulation model. *International Journal of Climatology, 18*(1), 65-76.

da Silva, L. M., Park, J., Keatinge, J., and Pinto, P. (2001). I. A decision support system to improve planning and management in large irrigation schemes. *Agricultural Water Management, 51*(3), 187-201.

De Silva, C., Weatherhead, E., Knox, J. W., and Rodriguez-Diaz, J. (2007). Predicting the impacts of climate change—A case study of paddy irrigation water requirements in Sri Lanka. *Agricultural Water Management, 93*(1), 19-29.

De Wrachien, D. (2003). Paddy and Water Environment: Facilitation information exchange and identifying future R and D needs. *Paddy and Water Environment, 1*(1), 3-5.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

Deepak, T. J. (2011). Web Based Participatory Irrigation Management for Tanjung Karang Irrigation Scheme. Unpublished Ph.D thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.

Deni, S. M., Suhaila, J., Zin, W. Z. W., and Jemain, A. A. (2010). Spatial trends of dry spells over Peninsular Malaysia during monsoon seasons. *Theoretical and applied climatology, 99*(3-4), 357-371.

Department of Irrigation and Drainage Malaysia. (DID). (2014). (http://www.water.gov.my/).

Dessu, S. B., and Melesse, A. M. (2013). Impact and uncertainties of climate change on the hydrology of the Mara River basin, Kenya/Tanzania. *Hydrological Processes, 27*(20), 2973-2986.

Diaz, J. R., Weatherhead, E., Knox, J., and Camacho, E. (2007). Climate change impacts on irrigation water requirements in the Guadalquivir river basin in Spain. *Regional Environmental Change, 7*(3), 149-159.

Diaz, J. R., Weatherhead, E., Knox, J., and Camacho, E. (2007). Climate change impacts on irrigation water requirements in the Guadalquivir river basin in Spain. *Regional Environmental Change, 7*(3), 149-159.

Diaz-Nieto, J., and Wilby, R. L. (2005). A comparison of statistical downscaling and climate change factor methods: impacts on low flows in the River Thames, United Kingdom. *Climatic change, 69*(2-3), 245-268.

Dibike, Y. B., and Coulibaly, P. (2005). Hydrologic impact of climate change in the Saguenay watershed: comparison of downscaling methods and hydrologic models. *Journal of hydrology, 307*(1), 145-163.

DID and JICA (1998). The study on modernization of irrigation water management system in the granary areas of Peninsular Malaysia, Nippon Koei, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

DID and JICA. (1996). Detailed study of water resources availability Northwest Selangor Integrated Agricultural Development Project. Final Report Volume 1

Döll, P. (2002). Impact of climate change and variability on irrigation requirements: a global perspective. *Climatic change, 54*(3), 269-293.

Doorenbos, J. (1977). Guidelines for predicting crop water requirements: FAO, Roma (Italia).

Druzdzel, M. J., and Flynn, R. R. (1999). Decision support systems. Encyclopedia of library and information science. A. Kent. *Marcel Dekker, Inc. Last Login, 10*(03), 2010.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

Eckhardt, K., and Arnold, J. (2001). Automatic calibration of a distributed catchment model. *Journal of hydrology, 251*(1), 103-109.

Elgaali, E., Garcia, L., and Ojima, D. (2007). High resolution modeling of the regional impacts of climate change on irrigation water demand. *Climatic Change, 84*(3), 441-461.

ESCAP. (2011). "Statistical yearbook for Asia and the Pacific 2011. UN ESCAP." (http://www.unescap.org/stat/data/syb2013/) (Oct. 1, 2011).

FAO. (2011). "Climate change, water, and food security." FAO land and water division, H. Turral, J. Burke, and J.-M. Faurès, eds., Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations, Rome.

FAO. (2013). Climate-smart agriculture: policies, practices and financing for food security, adaptation and mitigation. Rome.

Fatichi, S., Ivanov, V. Y., and Caporali, E. (2011). Simulation of future climate scenarios with a weather generator. *Advances in Water Resources, 34*(4), 448-467.

Fischer, G., Tubiello, F. N., Van Velthuizen, H., and Wiberg, D. A. (2007). Climate change impacts on irrigation water requirements: effects of mitigation, 1990–2080. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 74*(7), 1083-1107.

Fowler, H., Blenkinsop, S., and Tebaldi, C. (2007). Linking climate change modelling to impacts studies: recent advances in downscaling techniques for hydrological modelling. *International Journal of Climatology, 27*(12), 1547-1578.

Gassman, P. W., Reyes, M. R., Green, C. H., and Arnold, J. G. (2007). The soil and water assessment tool: historical development, applications, and future research directions. *Transactions of the ASABE, 50*(4), 1211-1250.

Ge, Y., Li, X., Huang, C., and Nan, Z. (2013). A Decision Support System for irrigation water allocation along the middle reaches of the Heihe River Basin, Northwest China. *Environmental Modelling and Software, 47*, 182-192.

Ghosh, S., and Misra, C. (2010). Assessing hydrological impacts of climate change: modeling techniques and challenges. *The Open Hydrology Journal, 4*(1).

Ghosh, S., and Mujumdar, P. (2006). Future rainfall scenario over Orissa with GCM projections by statistical downscaling. *Current Science, 90*(3), 396-404.

Ghosh, S., and Mujumdar, P. (2007). Nonparametric methods for modeling GCM and scenario uncertainty in drought assessment. *Water Resources Research, 43*(7).

Giorgi, F., and Mearns, L. (2003). Probability of regional climate change based on the Reliability Ensemble Averaging (REA) method. *Geophysical Research Letters, 30*(12).

© COPYRIGHT UPM

Graham, L. P., Hagemann, S., Jaun, S., and Beniston, M. (2007). On interpreting hydrological change from regional climate models. *Climatic change, 81*(1), 97-122.

Gupta, H. V., Sorooshian, S., and Yapo, P. O. (1999). Status of automatic calibration for hydrologic models: Comparison with multilevel expert calibration. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 4*(2), 135-143.

Haan, C. T. (2002). Statistical methods in hydrology.

Haque, M. A. (2004). Decision Support System for Water Management in the Besut Rice Irrigation Scheme. Unpublished Ph.D thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.

Hargreaves, G. H., and Samani, Z. A. (1982). Estimating potential evapotranspiration. *Journal of the Irrigation and Drainage Division, 108*(3), 225-230.

Hashmi, M. Z., Shamseldin, A. Y., and Melville, B. W. (2011). Comparison of SDSM and LARS-WG for simulation and downscaling of extreme precipitation events in a watershed. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment, 25*(4), 475-484.

Henrion, M., Breese, J. S., and Horvitz, E. J. (1991). Decision analysis and expert systems. *AI magazine, 12*(4), 64.

Huntingford, C., Jones, R., Prudhomme, C., Lamb, R., Gash, J. H., and Jones, D. A. (2003). Regional climate-model predictions of extreme rainfall for a changing climate. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 129*(590), 1607-1621.

International Rice Research Institute (IRRI). (1977). Annual Report for 1977. IRRI, Los Banos, Philippines.

IPCC. (2001). *Climate Change 2001 - The scientific basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, Ed. by Houghton, J.T., Y. Ding, D. J. Griggs, M. Noguer, P. J. van der Linden, X Dai, K. Maskell and C. A. Johnson, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

IPCC. (1992). Climate change 1992, the supplementary report to the IPCC scientific assessment, Ed. by Leggett J, Pepper WJ, Swart R, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change IPCC, University Press, Cambridge, UK.

IPCC. (2000a). Emission Scenarios: A Special Report of IPCC Working Group III. *Cambridge Univ. Press, New York.*

© COPYRIGHT UPM

IPCC. (2007). Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M. Tignor, and H.L. Miller (eds.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge and New York, 996 p. Available at http://www.ipcc.ch/ipccreports/ar4-wg1.htm.

IPCC. (2013). The Physical Science Basis: Working Group I Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, et al. (eds.). Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 1535 p. Available at http://www.climatechange2013.org/. *New York: Cambridge University Press, 1*, 535-531.

Itenfisu, D., Elliott, R. L., Allen, R. G., and Walter, I. A. (2003). Comparison of reference evapotranspiration calculations as part of the ASCE standardization effort. *Journal of irrigation and drainage engineering, 129*(6), 440-448.

Jamaludin, S., and Jemain, A. A. (2007). Fitting the statistical distributions to the daily rainfall amount in peninsular Malaysia. *Jurnal Teknologi, 46*(C), 33-48.

Jenkins, G., and Lowe, J. (2003). Handling uncertainties in the UKCIP02 scenarios of climate change. *Hadley Centre, Technical note 44, Exeter, UK*.

JICA, (1996). Detailed study of water resources availability Northwest Selangor Integrated Agricultural Development Project. Final Report Volume 1.

JICA, (1998). The study on modernization of irrigation water management system in the granary areas of Peninsular Malaysia, Nippon Koei.

Jin, X., Xu, C.-Y., Zhang, Q., and Singh, V. (2010). Parameter and modeling uncertainty simulated by GLUE and a formal Bayesian method for a conceptual hydrological model. *Journal of hydrology, 383*(3), 147-155.

Jones, R. N. (2000). Managing uncertainty in climate change projections–issues for impact assessment. *Climatic change, 45*(3-4), 403-419.

Jones, R., Murphy, J., and Noguer, M. (1995). Simulation of climate change over europe using a nested regional-climate model. I: Assessment of control climate, including sensitivity to location of lateral boundaries. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 121*(526), 1413-1449.

Kasei, R. A. (2009). Modelling impacts of climate change on water resources in the Volta Basin, West Africa. Unpublished PhD Thesis, University of Bonn, Germany.

Katz, R. W. (2002). Techniques for estimating uncertainty in climate change scenarios and impact studies. *Climate Research, 20*(2), 167-185.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

Kay, A., and Davies, H. (2008). Calculating potential evaporation from climate model data: a source of uncertainty for hydrological climate change impacts. *Journal of hydrology, 358*(3), 221-239.

Kilsby, C., Jones, P., Burton, A., Ford, A., Fowler, H., Harpham, C., and Wilby, R. (2007). A daily weather generator for use in climate change studies. *Environmental Modelling and Software, 22*(12), 1705-1719.

Kim, T.-C., Lee, J.-M., and Kim, D.-S. (2003). Decision support system for reservoir operation considering rotational supply over irrigation blocks. *Paddy and Water Environment, 1*(3), 139-147.

Kinzli, K.-D., Gensler, D., and Oad, R. (2011). *Linking a developed decision support system with advanced methodologies for optimized agricultural water delivery*: INTECH Open Access Publisher.

Knox, J. W., Díaz, J. R., Nixon, D., and Mkhwanazi, M. (2010). A preliminary assessment of climate change impacts on sugarcane in Swaziland. *Agricultural Systems, 103*(2), 63-72.

Koch, R. W., and Allen, R. L. (1986). Decision support system for local water management. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management, 112*(4), 527-541.

Konzmann, M., Gerten, D., and Heinke, J. (2013). Climate impacts on global irrigation requirements under 19 GCMs, simulated with a vegetation and hydrology model. *Hydrological Sciences Journal, 58*(1), 88-105.

Ladd, J. W., and Driscoll, D. M. (1980). A comparison of objective and subjective means of weather typing: an example from West Texas. *Journal of Applied Meteorology, 19*(6), 691-704.

Lai, S. H. (2001). *Application of Swat Hydrological Model with GIS Interface to Upper Bernam River Basin.* Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Lai, S. H., and Arniza, F. (2011). Application of SWAT Hydrological Model to Upper Bernam River Basin (UBRB), Malaysia. *IUP Journal of Environmental Sciences, 5*(2).

Lee, J.-L., and Huang, W.-C. (2014). Impact of climate change on the irrigation water requirement in Northern Taiwan. *Water, 6*(11), 3339-3361.

Lee, T. S., and Haque, M. A. (2004). Rice irrigation scheduling incorporating stochastic rainfall.

Lee, T. S., Haque, M. A., and Najim, M. (2005). Scheduling the cropping calendar in wet-seeded rice schemes in Malaysia. *Agricultural Water Management, 71*(1), 71-84.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

Lelis, T. A., Calijuri, M. L., Santiago, A. d. F., Lima, D. C. d., and Rocha, E. d. O. (2012). Sensitivity analysis and calibration of SWAT model applied to a watershead in southeastern Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo, 36*(2), 623-634.

Lenderink, G., Buishand, A., and Deursen, W. v. (2007). Estimates of future discharges of the river Rhine using two scenario methodologies: direct versus delta approach. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 11*(3), 1145-1159.

Malaysian Meteorological Department (2009). Climate change Scenarios for Malaysia for 2001 – 2099 Report.
management," Rep. No. Irrigation and Drainage Paper 46. FAO, Rome.

Matthews, R. B. (1995). *Modeling the impact of climate change on rice production in Asia*: Int. Rice Res. Inst.

Matthies, M., Giupponi, C., and Ostendorf, B. (2007). Environmental decision support systems: Current issues, methods and tools. *Environmental Modelling and Software, 22*(2), 123-127.

McCartney, M., Forkuor, G., Sood, A., Amisigo, B., Hattermann, F., and Muthuwatta, L. (2012). *The water resource implications of changing climate in the Volta River Basin* (Vol. 146): IWMI.

McCornick, P., Smakhtin, V., Bharati, L., Johnston, R., McCartney, M., Clement, F., and McIntyre, B. (2013). *Tackling change: future-proofing water, agriculture, and food security in an era of climate uncertainty*: International Water Management Institute (IWMI).

Mearns, L., Giorgi, F., Whetton, P., Pabon, D., Hulme, M., and Lal, M. (2003). Guidelines for use of climate scenarios developed from regional climate model experiments.

Meinshausen, M., Smith, S. J., Calvin, K., Daniel, J. S., Kainuma, M., Lamarque, J., and Riahi, K. (2011). The RCP greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions from 1765 to 2300. *Climatic change, 109*(1-2), 213-241.

Mitchell, T. D., and Hulme, M. (1999). Predicting regional climate change: living with uncertainty. *Progress in Physical Geography, 23*(1), 57-78.

Mohd, M. M., Amin, M. S. M., Kamal, M. R., Wayayok, A., Aziz, S. A., and Yazid, M. (2014). *Application of Web Geospatial Decision Support System for Tanjung Karang Rice Precision Irrigation Water Management.* Paper presented at the International Conference on Agrictdture, Food and Environmental Engineering (ICAFEE'2014) Jan.

Mohd, M., Mispan, M., Juneng, L., Tangang, F., Rahman, N., Khalid, K., and Haron, S. (2015). Assessment of impacts of climate change on streamflow trend in upper Kuantan watershed. *ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, 10*(15), 6634-6642.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

Moriasi, D. N., Arnold, J. G., Van Liew, M. W., Bingner, R. L., Harmel, R. D., and Veith, T. L. (2007). Model evaluation guidelines for systematic quantification of accuracy in watershed simulations. *Transactions of the ASABE, 50*(3), 885-900.

Moses, T., Kiladis, G., Diaz, H., and Barry, R. (1987). Characteristics and frequency of reversals in mean sea level pressure in the north Atlantic sector and their relationship to long-term temperature trends. *Journal of Climatology, 7*(1), 13-30.

Murphy, J. (1999). An evaluation of statistical and dynamical techniques for downscaling local climate. *Journal of Climate, 12*(8), 2256-2284.

Mysiak, J., Giupponi, C., and Rosato, P. (2005). Towards the development of a decision support system for water resource management. *Environmental Modelling and Software, 20*(2), 203-214.

NAHRIM (2011). Impacts of Climate Change on Hydrologic Regimes, Water Resources and Landuse Change for Sabah and Sarawak. Research report.

NAHRIM. (2006). Study on the Impacts of Climate Change on Hydrologic Regimes and Water Resources for Peninsular Malaysia: Final Report, by California Hydrologic Research Laboratory, September 2006.

NAHRIM. (2014). Final Report on extension of the study of the impact of climate change on the hydrologic regime and water resources of Peninsular Malaysia. California Hydrologic Research Laboratory, 526 Isla Place, Davis, California 95616.

Nakicenovic, N., and Swart, R. (2000). Special report on emissions scenarios. *Special Report on Emissions Scenarios, Edited by Nebojsa Nakicenovic and Robert Swart, pp. 612. ISBN 0521804930. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, July 2000., 1*.

NAP3. (2010). Third National Agricultural Policy (1998-2010). Ministry of Agriculture Malaysia (MAO), The Government of Malaysia.

Nash, J. E., and Sutcliffe, J. V. (1970). River flow forecasting through conceptual models part I—A discussion of principles. *Journal of hydrology, 10*(3), 282-290.

Nawaz, N., and Adeloye, A. (2006). Monte Carlo assessment of sampling uncertainty of climate change impacts on water resources yield in Yorkshire, England. *Climatic change, 78*(2-4), 257-292.

Neitsch, S. L., Williams, J., Arnold, J., and Kiniry, J. (2011). Soil and water assessment tool theoretical documentation version 2009: Texas Water Resources Institute.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

New, M., and Hulme, M. (2000). Representing uncertainty in climate change scenarios: a Monte-Carlo approach. *Integrated Assessment, 1*(3), 203-213.

Newman, S., Lynch, T., and Plummer, A. (2000). Success and failure of decision support systems: Learning as we go. *Journal of Animal Science, 77*(E-Suppl), 1-12.

Nkomozepi, T., and Chung, S.-O. (2012). Assessing the trends and uncertainty of maize net irrigation water requirement estimated from climate change projections for Zimbabwe. *Agricultural water management, 111*, 60-67.

Oad, R., Garcia, L., Kinzli, K.-D., Patterson, D., and Shafike, N. (2009). Decision support systems for efficient irrigation in the Middle Rio Grande Valley. *Journal of irrigation and drainage engineering, 135*(2), 177-185.

Odhiambo, L., and Murty, V. (1996). Modeling water balance components in relation to field layout in lowland paddy fields. I. Model development. *Agricultural Water Management, 30*(2), 185-199.

Orellana, B., Pechlivanidis, I., McIntyre, N., Wheater, H., and Wagener, T. (2008). A toolbox for the identification of parsimonious semi-distributed rainfall-runoff models: Application to the Upper Lee Catchment. *International Environmental Modelling and Software Society*.

Ouyang, F., Zhu, Y., Fu, G., Lü, H., Zhang, A., Yu, Z., and Chen, X. (2015). Impacts of climate change under CMIP5 RCP scenarios on streamflow in the Huangnizhuang catchment. *Stochastic environmental research and risk assessment, 29*(7), 1781-1795.

Perry, C. (1996). *The IIMI water balance framework: A model for project level analysis* (Vol. 5): IWMI.

Pinto, D. B. F., da Silva, A. M., Beskow, S., de Mello, C. R., and Coelho, G. (2013). Application of the Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) for sediment transport simulation at a headwater watershed in Minas Gerais state, Brazil. *Transactions of the ASABE, 56*(2), 697-709.

Praskievicz, S., and Chang, H. (2009). A review of hydrological modelling of basin-scale climate change and urban development impacts. *Progress in Physical Geography, 33*(5), 650-671.

Prudhomme, C., Jakob, D., and Svensson, C. (2003). Uncertainty and climate change impact on the flood regime of small UK catchments. *Journal of hydrology, 277*(1), 1-23.

Prudhomme, C., Reynard, N., and Crooks, S. (2002). Downscaling of global climate models for flood frequency analysis: where are we now? *Hydrological Processes, 16*(6), 1137-1150.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

Refsgaard, J., and Storm, B. (1995). Mike she. Chapter 23 in computer models of watershed hydrology, 809-846. VP Singh ed. *Water Resources Pub., Highlands Ranch, CO*.

Rehana, S., and Mujumdar, P. (2013). Regional impacts of climate change on irrigation water demands. *Hydrological Processes, 27*(20), 2918-2933.

Richardson, C. W. (1981). Stochastic simulation of daily precipitation, temperature, and solar radiation. *Water Resources Research, 17*(1), 182-190.

Richardson, C. W., and Wright, D. A. (1984). *WGEN: A model for generating daily weather variables*: US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service Washington, DC, USA.

Rijsberman, F. R. (2006). Water scarcity: Fact or fiction? *Agricultural Water Management, 80*(1), 5-22.

Rowshon, K. (2006). Modelling Water Allocation for a Run-of-the-River Rice Irrigation Scheme Using GIS. Unpublished Ph.D thesis, . *Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia*.

Rowshon, M. K., Amin, M. S. M., Lee, T. S., and Shariff, A. R. M. (2009). GIS-integrated rice irrigation management information system for a river-fed scheme. *Water resources management*, *23*(14), 2841-2866.

Rowshon, M., Mojid, M., Amin, M., Azwan, M., and Yazid, A. (2014). Improving Irrigation Water Delivery Performance of a Large-Scale Rice Irrigation Scheme. *Journal of irrigation and drainage engineering, 140*(8).

Safeeq, M., and Fares, A. (2012). Hydrologic response of a Hawaiian watershed to future climate change scenarios. *Hydrological processes, 26*(18), 2745-2764.

Saha U. (2014) Impacts of Climate Change on IDF Relationships for Design of Urban Stormwater Systems. Unpublished Ph.D thesis, Indian Institute Of Science Bangalore, India.

Saleh, A., and Du, B. (2004). Evaluation of SWAT and HSPF within BASINS program for the upper North Bosque River watershed in central Texas. *Transactions of the ASAE, 47*(4), 1039.

Santhi, C., Arnold, J. G., Williams, J. R., Dugas, W. A., Srinivasan, R., and Hauck, L. M. (2001). validation of the swat model on a large RWER basin with point and nonpoint sources1: Wiley Online Library.

Semadeni-Davies, A., Hernebring, C., Svensson, G., and Gustafsson, L.-G. (2008). The impacts of climate change and urbanisation on drainage in Helsingborg, Sweden: Combined sewer system. *Journal of hydrology, 350*(1), 100-113.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

Semenov, M. A., and Barrow, E. M. (1997). Use of a stochastic weather generator in the development of climate change scenarios. *Climatic change, 35*(4), 397-414.

Semenov, M. A., Barrow, E. M., and Lars-Wg, A. (2002). A stochastic weather generator for use in climate impact studies. *User Manual, Hertfordshire, UK*.

Shaaban, A. J., Amin, M., Chen, Z., and Ohara, N. (2010). Regional modeling of climate change impact on Peninsular Malaysia water resources. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 16*(12), 1040-1049.

Shahid, S. (2011). Impact of climate change on irrigation water demand of dry season Boro rice in northwest Bangladesh. *Climatic Change, 105*(3), 433-453.

Shiklomanov, I. A. (1993). World water resources. *Water in Crisis. New York, Oxford.*

Singh, S., Amartalingam, R., Wan Harun, W.S. and Islam, M.T. (1996). "Simulated impact of climate change on rice production in Peninsular Malaysia". *Proceeding of National Conference on Climate Change*. pp. 41–49, UPM, Malaysia.

Singh, S., Rajan, A., Ibrahim, Y., and Wan Sulaiman, W. (1994). Rice production in Malaysia under current and future climates. *Modelling the impacts of climate change on rice production in Asia. Wallingford: Cab International in association with the International Rice Research Institute*, 183-197.

Singh, V. P., and Woolhiser, D. A. (2002). Mathematical modeling of watershed hydrology. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 7*(4), 270-292.

Smith, M. (1992). "CROPWAT - A computer program for irrigation planning and

Smith, M. (1994). "CLIMWAT for CROPWAT," Rep. No. Irrigation and Drainage Paper 49. FAO, Rome.

Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K., and Miller, H. (2007). IPCC, 2007: Summary for Policymakers, Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: Cambridge University Press, New York.

Spellman, G. (2000). The application of an objective weather-typing system to the Iberian peninsula. *Weather, 55*(10), 375-385.

Spruill, C., Workman, S., and Taraba, J. (2000). Simulation of daily and monthly stream discharge from small watersheds using the SWAT model. *Transactions of the ASAE, 43*(6), 1431.

Stern, R., and Coe, R. (1984). A model fitting analysis of daily rainfall data. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series A (General)*, 1-34.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

Stocker, T., Qin, D., Plattner, G., Tignor, M., Allen, S., Boschung, J., and Midgley, B. (2013). IPCC, 2013: climate change 2013: the physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change.

Summit, W. F. (1996). Rome Declaration on World Food Security and World Food Summit Plan of Action. FAO.

Sunyer, M., Madsen, H., and Ang, P. (2012). A comparison of different regional climate models and statistical downscaling methods for extreme rainfall estimation under climate change. *Atmospheric Research, 103*, 119-128.

Tan, M. L., Ficklin, D. L., and Yusop, Z. (2014). Impacts and uncertainties of climate change on streamflow of the Johor River Basin, Malaysia using a CMIP5 General Circulation Model ensemble. *Journal of Water and Climate Change, 5*(4), 676-695.

Tangang, F. T., Liew, J., Salimun, E., Kwan, M. S., Loh, J. L., and Muhamad, H. (2012). Climate change and variability over Malaysia: gaps in science and research information. *Sains Malaysiana, 41*(11), 1355-1366.

Tangang, F., Liew, J. N., Salimun, E., Sei, K. M., Le, L. J., and Muhamad, H. (2012). Climate change and variability over Malaysia. *Sains Malaysiana, 41*(11), 1355-1366.

Tawang, A. b., Tengku Ahmad, T. A. b., and Abdullah, M. Y. b. (2002). Stabilization of Upland Agriculture under El Nino Induced Climatic Risk: Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures in Malaysia.

Tolika, K., and Maheras, P. (2005). Spatial and temporal characteristics of wet spells in Greece. *Theoretical and applied climatology, 81*(1-2), 71-85.

Tripathi, S., Srinivas, V., and Nanjundiah, R. S. (2006). Downscaling of precipitation for climate change scenarios: a support vector machine approach. *Journal of hydrology, 330*(3), 621-640.

UN Water (2010). Climate Change Adaptation: the pivotal role of water. *UN Water Policy Brief, FAO Water*.

Van Liew, M., Arnold, J., and Garbrecht, J. (2003). Hydrologic simulation on agricultural watersheds: Choosing between two models. *Transactions of the ASAE, 46*(6), 1539.

Van Vuuren, D. P., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K., and Lamarque, J.-F. (2011). The representative concentration pathways: an overview. *Climatic Change, 109*(1-2), 5.

Vorosmarty, C., Gutowski, W., Person, M., Chen, T., and Case, D. (1993). Linked atmosphere-hydrology models at the macroscale.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

Wada, Y., Wisser, D., Eisner, S., Flörke, M., Gerten, D., Haddeland, I., and Stacke, T. (2013). Multimodel projections and uncertainties of irrigation water demand under climate change. *Geophysical Research Letters, 40*(17), 4626-4632.

Wada, Y., Wisser, D., Eisner, S., Flörke, M., Gerten, D., Haddeland, I., and Stacke, T. (2013). Multimodel projections and uncertainties of irrigation water demand under climate change. *Geophysical Research Letters, 40*(17), 4626-4632.

Wayne, G. (2013). The beginner's guide to representative concentration pathways. Skeptical Science.

White, D., Richman, M., and Yarnal, B. (1991). Climate regionalization and rotation of principal components. *International Journal of Climatology, 11*(1), 1-25.

Wickham, T., and Singh, V. (1978). Water movement through wet soils. *Soils and rice*, 337-358.

Wilby, R. L., and Harris, I. (2006). A framework for assessing uncertainties in climate change impacts: Low-flow scenarios for the River Thames, UK. *Water Resources Research, 42*(2).

Wilby, R. L., and Wigley, T. (1997). Downscaling general circulation model output: a review of methods and limitations. *Progress in Physical Geography, 21*(4), 530-548.

Wilby, R. L., Dawson, C. W., and Barrow, E. M. (2002). SDSM—a decision support tool for the assessment of regional climate change impacts. *Environmental Modelling and Software, 17*(2), 145-157.

Wilby, R. L., Hassan, H., and Hanaki, K. (1998). Statistical downscaling of hydrometeorological variables using general circulation model output. *Journal of hydrology, 205*(1-2), 1-19.

Wilby, R., Charles, S., Zorita, E., Timbal, B., Whetton, P., and Mearns, L. (2004). Guidelines for use of climate scenarios developed from statistical downscaling methods.

Wilks, D. (1999). Simultaneous stochastic simulation of daily precipitation, temperature and solar radiation at multiple sites in complex terrain. *Agricultural and Forest meteorology, 96*(1), 85-101.

Wilks, D. S. (1999). Multisite downscaling of daily precipitation with a stochastic weather generator. *Climate Research, 11*(2), 125-136.

Wilks, D. S. (2010). Use of stochastic weathergenerators for precipitation downscaling. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change, 1*(6), 898-907.

Wilks, D. S., and Wilby, R. L. (1999). The weather generation game: a review of stochastic weather models. *Progress in Physical Geography, 23*(3), 329-357.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

Winchell, M., Srinivasan, R., Di Luzio, M., and Arnold, J. (2007). ArcSWAT interface for SWAT2005–User's Guide. Blackland Research Center, Texas Agricultural Experiment Station and Grassland. *Soil and Water Research Laboratory, USDA Agricultural Research Service, Temple, Texas*.

Wong, C., Venneker, R., Jamil, A., and Uhlenbrook, S. (2011). Development of a gridded daily hydrometeorological data set for Peninsular Malaysia. *Hydrological Processes, 25*(7), 1009-1020.

Wong. (1970). Reconnaissance Soil Survey of Selangor. Ministry of Agriculture and Lands, Division of Agriculture, Malaysia.

Wood, A. W., Leung, L. R., Sridhar, V., and Lettenmaier, D. (2004). Hydrologic implications of dynamical and statistical approaches to downscaling climate model outputs. *Climatic change, 62*(1-3), 189-216.

Woolhiser, D. A., Smith, R., and Goodrich, D. C. (1990). *KINEROS: a kinematic runoff and erosion model: documentation and user manual*: US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service.

Wright, J. L. (1982). New evapotranspiration crop coefficients. *Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers, Journal of the Irrigation and Drainage Division, 108*(IR2), 57-74.

Xu, C.-y. (1999a). Climate change and hydrologic models: A review of existing gaps and recent research developments. *Water resources management, 13*(5), 369-382.

Xu, C.-y. (1999b). From GCMs to river flow: a review of downscaling methods and hydrologic modelling approaches. *Progress in Physical Geography, 23*(2), 229-249.

Yang, J., Reichert, P., Abbaspour, K., Xia, J., and Yang, H. (2008). Comparing uncertainty analysis techniques for a SWAT application to the Chaohe Basin in China. *Journal of hydrology, 358*(1), 1-23.

Yoo, S.-H., Choi, J.-Y., Nam, W.-H., and Hong, E. (2012). Analysis of design water requirement of paddy rice using frequency analysis affected by climate change in South Korea. *Agricultural Water Management, 112*, 33-42.

Young, P. C. (2005). Rainfall-Runoff Modeling: Transfer Function Models. *Encyclopedia of hydrological sciences*.

Young, R., Onstad, C., Bosch, D., and Anderson, W. (1987). AGNPS, Agricultural Non-Point-Source Pollution Model: a watershed analysis tool. Research report: Agricultural Research Service, Albany, CA (USA). Western Utilization Research and Development Div.

© COPYRIGHT UPM

Yu, J., Fu, G., Cai, W., and Cowan, T. (2010). Impacts of precipitation and temperature changes on annual streamflow in the Murray–Darling Basin. *Water International, 35*(3), 313-323.

Yusof, F., Hui-Mean, F., Suhaila, J., Yusop, Z., and Ching-Yee, K. (2014). Rainfall characterisation by application of standardised precipitation index (SPI) in Peninsular Malaysia. *Theoretical and applied climatology, 115*(3-4), 503-516.

Zawawi, M., Azwan, M., Mustapha, S. a., and Puasa, Z. (2010). Determination of water requirement in a paddy field at Seberang Perak rice cultivation area. *Journal of the Institution of Engineers, Malaysia, 71*(4), 32-41.

Zhang, X.-C. (2005). Spatial downscaling of global climate model output for site-specific assessment of crop production and soil erosion. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 135*(1), 215-229.

Zin, W. Z. W., Jamaludin, S., Deni, S. M., and Jemain, A. A. (2010). Recent changes in extreme rainfall events in Peninsular Malaysia: 1971–2005. *Theoretical and applied climatology, 99*(3-4), 303-314.

Zorita, E., and Von Storch, H. (1999). The analog method as a simple statistical downscaling technique: comparison with more complicated methods. *Journal of Climate, 12*(8), 2474-2489.

© COPYRIGHT UPM