

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

# CHARACTERISATION AND DIFFERENTIATION POTENTIAL OF RAT FULL-TERM AMNIOTIC FLUID STEM CELLS INTO THE DERIVATIVES OF THE THREE PRIMARY GERM LAYERS

HOO MUN FUN

FPSK(P) 2015 32



# CHARACTERISATION AND DIFFERENTIATION POTENTIAL OF RAT FULL-TERM AMNIOTIC FLUID STEM CELLS INTO THE DERIVATIVES OF THE THREE PRIMARY GERM LAYERS

By

**HOO MUN FUN** 

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

July 2015

# COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

### CHARACTERISATION AND DIFFERENTIATION POTENTIAL OF RAT FULL-TERM AMNIOTIC FLUID STEM CELLS INTO THE DERIVATIVES OF THE THREE PRIMARY GERM LAYERS

By

#### **HOO MUN FUN**

July 2015

Chairman : Norshariza Nordin, PhD Faculty : Medicine and Health Sciences

Amniotic fluid (AF) is believed to contain highly potent stem cells which make them a reliable source for stem cells with wider differentiation spectrum. However, very few studies have established amniotic fluid stem cells (AFSCs) from full-term AF as most AFSCs were established from mid-term AF. Here, the study aimed to see if rat full-term AF harbours such stem cells with differentiation potential not only into derivatives of the three primary germ layers but also the functional ones. Isolation of amniotic fluid stem cells (AFSCs) from rat full-term AF was carried out using immuno-selection (miniMACS) against c-kit, a stem cell factor receptor that is expressed during embryogenesis. The requirement of leukaemia inhibitory factor (LIF) to maintain the differentiation potential of c-kit positive cells was investigated as part of the cell culture condition optimization. The cells were then characterized with population doubling time, panels of pluripotency and stemness markers and spontaneous differentiation capacity by assessing their ability to form good quality multicellular aggregates, embryoid bodies (EBs). The ability of the cells to undergo directed differentiation into the derivatives of the three primary germ layers, specifically the ectodermal (neurons and glial cells), mesodermal (cardiomyocytes, adipocytes and osteocytes) and endodermal (insulin secreting pancreatic  $\beta$ -cells) cells, as well as their functionality were then studied. Monolayer differentiation protocol was used for neural differentiation where the differentiated cells were analysed with specific markers for early (Pax6 and Nestin), post-mitotic (Class III β-tubulin) and mature neuronal markers (Calbindin, MAP2, GFAP, TH and Synaptophysin). For mesodermal differentiation, retinoic acid (RA), 5-Azacytidine C (5-Aza) and Vitamin C (Vc) treatments were applied prior to re-plating the EBs at high density. The cells were then subjected to O-Red oil



(fat), Alizarin Red (bone), Alcian Blue (cartilage) staining and mature cardiac markers analysis (cardiac troponin, SERCA and GATA6). For endodermal differentiation, cells were sequentially treated with Activin A, Wnt3a, FGF7, Cyclopamine and retinoic acid before being analysed with pancreatic markers (brachyury, PDX1, CXCR4, NKX 6.1, HNF4A, MafA and insulin). Finally, functional analyses were carried out to examine the secretion of functional proteins, such as dopamine and insulin from the differentiated cells. In this study, the isolated rat full-term AFSCs not only possessed similar expression profiles as highly potent stem cells, but also exhibited a wide differentiation capability in generating not only the derivatives of the three primary germ lineages but also the functional ones. This strongly suggests AF of full-term pregnancy as a great potential source of stem cells with therapeutic value and opens the opportunity towards the establishment of full-term AFSCs in human counterpart as a potential candidate cells to treat various degenerative defects.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

### PENCIRIAN DAN POTENSI PEMBEZAAN SEL-SEL STEM JANGKA PENUH CECAIR AMNIOTIK TIKUS KEPADA DERIVATIF DARI TIGA LAPISAN GERMA UTAMA

Oleh

#### **HOO MUN FUN**

Julai 2015

Pengerusi : Norshariza Nordin, PhD Fakulti : Perubatan dan Sains Kesihatan

Cecair amniotik (AF) dipercayai mengandungi sel-sel stem yang berpotensi tinggi yang sering digunakan sebagai sumber sel stem dengan spektrum pembezaan yang luas. Namun, tidak banyak kajian yang dijalankan pada sel stem cecair amnionik (AFSCs) dari AF jangka penuh dan kebanyakan data adalah dari AF jangka pertengahan. Di sini, kajian ini bertujuan untuk melihat jika AF jangka penuh tikus mengandungi sel-sel stem dengan potensi pembezaan kepada derivatif daripada tiga lapisan germa berfungsi. Sel-sel stem cecair amnionik (AFSCs) telah diisolasikan daripada AF jangka penuh tikus dengan menggunakan immunon pilihan (miniMACS) terhadap C-kit, faktor reseptor sel stem yang didapati dalam proses embriogenesis. Keperluan faktor inhibitori leukemia (LIF) dalam mengekalkan potensi perbezaan sel-sel c-kit positif telah disiasat sebagai sebahagian daripada pengoptimuman keadaan kultul. Sel-sel c-kit positif kemudian dicirikan dengan masa pengandaan populasi, panel penanda-penanda pluripotensi dan kapasiti pembezaan secara spontan melalui pembentukan agregat multisellular, iaitu badan embrioid (EBs). Kemudian, keupayaan sel-sel c-kit positif untuk dibezakan kepada derivatif daripada tiga lapisan germa utama, seperti ectodermal (sel-sel saraf dan glia), mesodermal (sel jantung, sel lemak, sel tulang dan sel kondrosit) dan endodermal (β-sel pankreas), dianalisa serta fungsi sel-sel selepas pembezaan dinilai. Protokol monolayer differentiation (MD), telah digunakan untuk membezakan sel-sel c-kit positif kepada sel-sel saraf dan glia, di mana sel-sel yang telah diperbezakan akan dinilai dengan penanda-penada awal sel-sel saraf (Pax6 dan Nestin), penanda tamat mitosis (Kelas III β-tubulin) dan matang (Calbindin, MAP2, GFAP, TH dan Synaptophysin). Untuk pembezaan mesodermal, rawatan menggunakan asid retinoik (RA), 5-Azacytidine C(5-Aza) dan asid askorbik



(Vc) telah diberikan kepada EBs hari kedua, sebelum pengkulturan kepadatan tinggi dalam pinggan kultur pada hari keempat. Sel-sel kemudian diajukan kepada analisa dengan O-Red Oil (sel lemak), Alizarin red (sel tulang), Alcian Blue (sel kondrosit) dan dinilai dengan penanda sel jantung matang (Cardiac troponin, Serca dan GATA6). Manakala, untuk pembezaan endodermal, sel-sel c-kit positif dirawat dengan Activin A, Wnt3a, FGF7, Cyclopamine dan asid retinoic secara bersiri sebelum dianalisa dengan penanda pankreas (brachyury, PDX1, CXCR4, NKX 6.1, HNF4A, MafA dan insulin). Akhir sekali, analisa fungsian telah dijalankan untuk mengkaji rembesan protein berfungsi seperti dopamin dan insulin pada sel-sel selepas pembezaan. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa AFSCs tikus yang dipencil pada penghamilan jangka penuh, bukan sahaja memiliki profil-profil penanda sel stem berpotensi tinggi, tetapi juga mempunyai keupayaan perbezaan yang luas. Mereka berupaya membeza kepada derivatif-derivatif daripada tiga germa utama yang berfungsi. Ini jelas menunjukkan bahawa AF pada penghamilan jangka penuh boleh dijadikan sumber sel-sel stem berpotensi tinggi yang mempunyai nilai terapeutik. Dengan penemuan ini, AFSCs jangka penuh dipercayai boleh dicapai dalam manusia dan berpotensi tinggi sebagai calon sel stem untuk merawat pelbagai kecacatan degeneratif.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Before the writing of the first word of acknowledgments, the memory of the first time meeting my supervisor at a postgraduate fair, the hesitant before the decision to further this study was made, the jitters of attending the first conference in the year of 2010 and the bitter-sweet memories filled within the four-years duration, were all flashed back to me. Saying quotes that diligence is the key to success, but I beg to differ, as the completion of this degree would not be a success, if without the guidance and supports of those who I will address in the following paragraphs.

The first person that I would like to thank upon the completion of this thesis is my UPM- mother, my main supervisor, Dr.Norshariza Nordin. Words wouldn't be enough for me to express the gratitude towards you, who has been giving me infinite moral supports, patience, advices in scientific field and as well as the path of being a good human being. I was new to the field at the beginning of the study, but you are the one who had flourished me with all the stem cells information and basic theories behind every molecular technique at your best level. I would like to sincerely apologize for the mistakes and misunderstanding throughout the journey. However, I believe time has made us close as a family and I am thankful for that.

Not to forget, I also would like to express deepest appreciation to all the cosupervisors, Dr.Syahril who had generously helped us in financial during the hard time, Dr.Rajesh and Dr.Pike See who had patiently helped in the experimental designs and results analyses. Asides, I would like to express the most sincere gratitude to them for the attendance in supervisory committee meetings, fruitful results discussion section, and not to forget the time that they spent to help in manuscript preparation.

Next, I'm grateful to my family (mother, Ng Kim Sew and sister, Hoo Mun Wah) who have been giving me love, confidence, trust and morale supports. As my mother is a single mother, upon completion of the study, I hope to give her a better life by supporting her financially and spending more time with her. To my lovely sister, thanks for listening to my problems and complaints in work, plus giving me positive energy endlessly. I'm thankful that you are around all these while to take care of mother.

To my other half, Khai Meng thanks for being my closest partner. Your love, patience and caring to me is borderless; in contrast, I was being selfish and self-centred sometimes, but you never took the blame on me for the nasty moments. I couldn't imagine if it were not you who go along with me during

all the ups and downs. Life would not be more fun and meaningful without you by my side.

I also would like to dedicate deepest gratitude to lecturers and lab mates from GRMRC, Immunology departments and my friends, specifically Dr.Michael Ling for the advices in troubleshooting genetic analysis, Farhana for teaching me the necessary laboratory techniques (PCR, Cell culture, miniMACs), Jiun Yee for the discussion (or argument) in scientific topics, Kai Leng for being my joyous lab partner, Tong for lending me the flow material and guiding in flow-cytometry handling, SCND group members (Carol, Saadah, Panda) for the help in lab management and sharing of laboratory materials, Melati who helped me with the HPLC techniques, Marlini for explaining the C-peptide ELISA to me, and Wendy for the discussion in pancreatic differentiation section.

Last but not least, I would like to acknowledge Malaysian Government (MyBrain15) for the financial supports, in the form of school fee exemption and living allowance that lasted for 3.5 years. Once again, I would like to convey my appreciation for everyone that encouraged, supported and assisted along the completion of this research project. May the discovery of this research can benefit the future mankind.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 3 July 2015 to conduct the final examination of Hoo Mun Fun on her thesis entitled "Characterisation and Differentiation Potential of Rat Full-Term Amniotic Fluid Stem Cells in the Derivatives of the Three Germ Layers" in accordance with the Universities and Universities Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of University Putra Malaysia [P.U (A) 106] 15 March 1998. The committee recommends that the student to be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

#### Fauziah binti Othman, PhD

Professor Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

#### Rozita binti Rosli, PhD

Professor Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

#### Chua Kien Hui, PhD

Associate Professor Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (External Examiner)

#### John O. Mason, PhD

Senior Lecturer The University of Edinburgh (External Examiner)

**ZULKARNAIN ZAINAL, PhD** Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 12 August 2015

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

### Norshariza Binti Nordin, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

#### Syahrilnizam Bin Abdullah, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

## Rajesh A/L Ramasamy, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

### Cheah Pike See, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

#### **BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD**

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fullyowned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature:

Date:

Name and Matric No: Hoo Mun Fun, GS28981

# **Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee**

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia • (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee:	Dr. Norshariza Binti Nordin
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	Associate Professor Dr. Syahrilnizam Bin Abdullah
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	Associate Professor Dr. Rajesh A/L Ramasamy
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	Dr. Cheah Pike See

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
ABST ABST ACKN APPF DECL LIST LIST LIST	RACT RAK NOWLE OVAL ARAT OF TA OF FIG OF AB	EDGEMENTS ION BLES GURES BREVIATIONS	i iii v vii ix xv xvi xvi xix
CHAF	PTER		
1	INTRO	DUCTION	1
2	LITEF 2.1	ATURE REVIEW Introduction to stem cells 2.1.1 General properties of stem cells 2.1.1.1 Self-renewability 2.1.1.2 Differentiation potential	5 5 5 5 7
	2.2	Pluripotency maintenance 2.2.1 Transcription factors 2.2.2 Leukaemia Inhibitory Factors (LIF) 2.2.3 Feeder layers	9 9 10 10
	2.3	<ul> <li>Pluripotency test</li> <li>2.3.1 Formation of Embryoid Bodies (EBs) (<i>In vitro</i> Pluripotency Test)</li> <li>2.3.2 Formation of Teratoma (<i>In vivo</i> Pluripotency Test)</li> <li>2.3.3 Organotypic Model (<i>Ex-vivo</i> Pluripotency Test)</li> <li>2.3.4 Bio-informatic Assay for Pluripotency Test</li> </ul>	11 12 12 12 12
	2.4	Types of stem cell 2.4.1 Embryonic Stem Cells (ESCs) 2.4.2 Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells (iPSCs) 2.4.3 Adult stem cells 2.4.4 Potentially pluripotent stem cells	13 14 15 16 16
	2.5	Three primary germ layers 2.5.1 Ectodermal Lineage 2.5.2 Mesodermal Lineage 2.5.3 Endodermal Lineage	17 18 20 21
	2.6	Amniotic fluid-derived stem cells 2.6.1 Amniotic Fluid (AF) 2.6.2 AF cells 23	22 22

	2.6.3	Amnion related cells and its therapeutic purpose 2.6.3.1 Amniotic Fluid Mesenchymal stem cells	24
		(AF-MSCs)	24
		2.6.3.2 Amniotic Fluid Epithelial cells (AECs)	25
		2.6.3.3 Amniotic Fluid Stem cells (AFSCs)	25
2.7	Mid-te	rm Vs full-term AFSCs	27
	2.7.1	Mid-term AFSCs	27
	2.7.2	Full-term AFSCs	29
2.8	Forma	tion of embryoid bodies (EBs)	31
	2.8.1	Hanging drop method	33
	2.8.2	Suspension culture method	34
	2.8.3	Microwell method	35
2.9	Lineag	ge-specific directed differentiation protocols	35
	2.9.1	Neural differentiation	36
	2.9.2	Mesodermal Differentiation	37
		2.9.2.1 Osteogenic differentiation	37
		2.9.2.2 Chondrogenic differentiation	39
		2.9.2.3 Adipogenic differentiation	41
		2.9.2.4 Cardiomyogenic differentiation	44
	2.9.3	Pancreatic differentiation (Endodermal Lineage)	46
3 ENRIG		T OF C-KIT POSITIVE CELLS AND	
LEUK	AEMIA	INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF) OPTIMIZATION	48
<b>LEUK</b> 3.1	AEMIA Introdu	INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF) OPTIMIZATION	48 48
<b>LEUK</b> 3.1 3.2	AEMIA Introdu Metho	INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF) OPTIMIZATION uction ods and Materials	48 48 50
<b>LEUK</b> 3.1 3.2	AEMIA Introdu Metho 3.2.1	INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF) OPTIMIZATION uction ds and Materials Cell Culture	48 48 50 50
<b>LEUK</b> 3.1 3.2	AEMIA Introdu Metho 3.2.1	INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF) OPTIMIZATION uction ds and Materials Cell Culture 3.2.1.1 Enrichment of c-kit positive cells	48 48 50 50
<b>LEUK</b> 3.1 3.2	AEMIA Introdu Metho 3.2.1	INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF) OPTIMIZATION uction ds and Materials Cell Culture 3.2.1.1 Enrichment of c-kit positive cells using miniMACS	48 48 50 50
<b>LEUK</b> 3.1 3.2	AEMIA Introdu Metho 3.2.1	INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF) OPTIMIZATION uction ds and Materials Cell Culture 3.2.1.1 Enrichment of c-kit positive cells using miniMACS 3.2.1.2 Routine cell culture	48 48 50 50 50 50
LEUK 3.1 3.2	AEMIA Introdu Metho 3.2.1	INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF) OPTIMIZATION uction ds and Materials Cell Culture 3.2.1.1 Enrichment of c-kit positive cells using miniMACS 3.2.1.2 Routine cell culture 3.2.1.3 Thawing of rat full-term AFSCs	48 48 50 50 50 51 51
LEUK 3.1 3.2	AEMIA Introdu Metho 3.2.1	INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF) OPTIMIZATION uction ds and Materials Cell Culture 3.2.1.1 Enrichment of c-kit positive cells using miniMACS 3.2.1.2 Routine cell culture 3.2.1.3 Thawing of rat full-term AFSCs 3.2.1.4 Freezing of rat full-term AFSCs	48 48 50 50 50 51 51 51
LEUK 3.1 3.2	AEMIA Introdu Metho 3.2.1	INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF) OPTIMIZATION uction ods and Materials Cell Culture 3.2.1.1 Enrichment of c-kit positive cells using miniMACS 3.2.1.2 Routine cell culture 3.2.1.3 Thawing of rat full-term AFSCs 3.2.1.4 Freezing of rat full-term AFSCs Optimization of culture medium	48 48 50 50 50 51 51 51 52
LEUK 3.1 3.2	AEMIA Introdu Metho 3.2.1	INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF) OPTIMIZATION uction ds and Materials Cell Culture 3.2.1.1 Enrichment of c-kit positive cells using miniMACS 3.2.1.2 Routine cell culture 3.2.1.3 Thawing of rat full-term AFSCs 3.2.1.4 Freezing of rat full-term AFSCs Optimization of culture medium Functional pluripotency test: Spontaneous	48 48 50 50 51 51 51 52
LEUK 3.1 3.2	AEMIA Introdu Metho 3.2.1	INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF) OPTIMIZATION uction ds and Materials Cell Culture 3.2.1.1 Enrichment of c-kit positive cells using miniMACS 3.2.1.2 Routine cell culture 3.2.1.3 Thawing of rat full-term AFSCs 3.2.1.4 Freezing of rat full-term AFSCs Optimization of culture medium Functional pluripotency test: Spontaneous differentiation by single cell suspension method	48 48 50 50 51 51 51 52 52
LEUK 3.1 3.2	AEMIA Introdu Metho 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4	INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF) OPTIMIZATION uction ds and Materials Cell Culture 3.2.1.1 Enrichment of c-kit positive cells using miniMACS 3.2.1.2 Routine cell culture 3.2.1.3 Thawing of rat full-term AFSCs 3.2.1.4 Freezing of rat full-term AFSCs Optimization of culture medium Functional pluripotency test: Spontaneous differentiation by single cell suspension method Directed neural differentiation assay	48 48 50 50 51 51 51 52 52 52
LEUK 3.1 3.2	AEMIA Introdu Metho 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5	INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF) OPTIMIZATION uction ds and Materials Cell Culture 3.2.1.1 Enrichment of c-kit positive cells using miniMACS 3.2.1.2 Routine cell culture 3.2.1.3 Thawing of rat full-term AFSCs 3.2.1.4 Freezing of rat full-term AFSCs Optimization of culture medium Functional pluripotency test: Spontaneous differentiation by single cell suspension method Directed neural differentiation assay Molecular Analyses	48 48 50 50 51 51 51 51 52 52 52 52
LEUK 3.1 3.2	AEMIA Introdu Metho 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5	INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF) OPTIMIZATION uction ods and Materials Cell Culture 3.2.1.1 Enrichment of c-kit positive cells using miniMACS 3.2.1.2 Routine cell culture 3.2.1.3 Thawing of rat full-term AFSCs 3.2.1.4 Freezing of rat full-term AFSCs Optimization of culture medium Functional pluripotency test: Spontaneous differentiation by single cell suspension method Directed neural differentiation assay Molecular Analyses 3.2.5.1 Flow cytometry: Fluorescent-activated cell sorting (FACS)	48 48 50 50 51 51 51 51 52 52 52 52 52 52
LEUK 3.1 3.2	AEMIA Introdu Metho 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5	INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF) OPTIMIZATION uction ds and Materials Cell Culture 3.2.1.1 Enrichment of c-kit positive cells using miniMACS 3.2.1.2 Routine cell culture 3.2.1.3 Thawing of rat full-term AFSCs 3.2.1.4 Freezing of rat full-term AFSCs Optimization of culture medium Functional pluripotency test: Spontaneous differentiation by single cell suspension method Directed neural differentiation assay Molecular Analyses 3.2.5.1 Flow cytometry: Fluorescent-activated cell sorting (FACS) 3.2.5.2 RNA extraction and RT-PCR	48 48 50 50 51 51 51 52 52 52 52 52 52 52
LEUK 3.1 3.2	AEMIA Introdu Metho 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5	INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF) OPTIMIZATION uction ds and Materials Cell Culture 3.2.1.1 Enrichment of c-kit positive cells using miniMACS 3.2.1.2 Routine cell culture 3.2.1.3 Thawing of rat full-term AFSCs 3.2.1.4 Freezing of rat full-term AFSCs Optimization of culture medium Functional pluripotency test: Spontaneous differentiation by single cell suspension method Directed neural differentiation assay Molecular Analyses 3.2.5.1 Flow cytometry: Fluorescent-activated cell sorting (FACS) 3.2.5.2 RNA extraction and RT-PCR 3.2.5.3 Immunocytochemistry (ICC)	48 48 50 50 51 51 51 52 52 52 52 52 52 53 53
LEUK 3.1 3.2 3.3	AEMIA Introdu Metho 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 Result	INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF) OPTIMIZATIONuctionds and MaterialsCell Culture3.2.1.1Enrichment of c-kit positive cells using miniMACS3.2.1.2Routine cell culture3.2.1.3Thawing of rat full-term AFSCs3.2.1.4Freezing of rat full-term AFSCsOptimization of culture mediumFunctional pluripotency test: Spontaneousdifferentiation by single cell suspension methodDirected neural differentiation assayMolecular Analyses3.2.5.1Flow cytometry: Fluorescent-activated cell sorting (FACS)3.2.5.2RNA extraction and RT-PCR3.2.5.3Immunocytochemistry (ICC)ts & Discussion	48 48 50 50 51 51 51 51 52 52 52 52 52 52 53 53 53
LEUK 3.1 3.2 3.3	AEMIA Introdu Metho 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 Result 3.3.1	<ul> <li>INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF) OPTIMIZATION</li> <li>uction</li> <li>ds and Materials</li> <li>Cell Culture</li> <li>3.2.1.1 Enrichment of c-kit positive cells using miniMACS</li> <li>3.2.1.2 Routine cell culture</li> <li>3.2.1.3 Thawing of rat full-term AFSCs</li> <li>3.2.1.4 Freezing of rat full-term AFSCs</li> <li>Optimization of culture medium</li> <li>Functional pluripotency test: Spontaneous</li> <li>differentiation by single cell suspension method</li> <li>Directed neural differentiation assay</li> <li>Molecular Analyses</li> <li>3.2.5.1 Flow cytometry: Fluorescent-activated cell sorting (FACS)</li> <li>3.2.5.2 RNA extraction and RT-PCR</li> <li>3.2.5.3 Immunocytochemistry (ICC)</li> <li>ts &amp; Discussion</li> <li>Enrichment of c-kit positive cells from late pregnancy</li> </ul>	48 48 50 50 51 51 51 52 52 52 52 52 53 53 54
LEUK 3.1 3.2 3.3	AEMIA Introdu Metho 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 Result 3.3.1	<ul> <li>INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF) OPTIMIZATION</li> <li>uction</li> <li>ds and Materials</li> <li>Cell Culture</li> <li>3.2.1.1 Enrichment of c-kit positive cells using miniMACS</li> <li>3.2.1.2 Routine cell culture</li> <li>3.2.1.3 Thawing of rat full-term AFSCs</li> <li>3.2.1.4 Freezing of rat full-term AFSCs</li> <li>Optimization of culture medium</li> <li>Functional pluripotency test: Spontaneous</li> <li>differentiation by single cell suspension method</li> <li>Directed neural differentiation assay</li> <li>Molecular Analyses</li> <li>3.2.5.1 Flow cytometry: Fluorescent-activated cell sorting (FACS)</li> <li>3.2.5.2 RNA extraction and RT-PCR</li> <li>3.2.5.3 Immunocytochemistry (ICC)</li> <li>ts &amp; Discussion</li> <li>Enrichment of c-kit positive cells from late pregnancy AF</li> </ul>	48 48 50 50 51 51 51 52 52 52 52 52 53 53 54 54

AFSCs 56 3.3.2.1 Cell morphology observation upon LIF withdrawal 57

			3.3.2.2	Pluripotency protein expression upon	58
			3.3.2.3	Spontaneous and directed differentiation	00
			0 0 0 4	upon LIF withdrawal	59
	0.4	0	3.3.2.4	Postulation of LIF activated pathway	63
	3.4	Conci	usion		64
4	СНАБ	RACTE	RIZATIO		
	FLUI	D STEN	<b>I CELLS</b>	(AFSCS)	65
	4.1	Introd	uction	· ,	65
	4.2	Metho	ods & Mat	erials	66
		4.2.1	Routine	cell culture	66
		4.2.2	Routine	cell count and population doubling time	66
		4.2.3	Function	al pluripotency test	67
			4.2.3.1	Spontaneous differentiation by single	67
			4232	Spontaneous differentiation by two	07
			1.2.0.2	steps hanging drop method	67
		4.2.4	Analysis		67
			4.2.4.1	Flow cytometry: Fluorescence activated	
				cell sorting (FACS)	67
			4.2.4.2	RT-PCR	68
			4.2.4.3	ICC (Immunocytochemistry)	68
			4.2.4.4	Cytochemical Staining: Alizarin Red Stain,	68
	43	Resul	ts and Dis	Red O Oli Stalli and Alciali blue Stalli	69
	4.0	4.3.1	Populati	on doubling time of c-kit positive cells	69
		4.3.2	Molecula	ar characterization of c-kit positive cells	70
		4.3.3	Function	al characterization of c-kit positive cells	74
Б	ECTO	DEDM		EPENTIATION (Neurope and Cliel Colle)	01
5	5 1	Introd	uction	ERENTIATION (Neurons and Ghar Cens)	01 81
	5.2	Mater	ials and N	Aethods	83
	0.2	5.2.1	Monolay	er differentiation	83
		5.2.2	Protein a	analysis	83
		5.2.3	Gene ex	pression analysis	84
		5.2.4	Dopamir	ne extraction (alumina precipitation)	84
		5.2.5	High-pre	ssure liquid chromatography (HPLC)	85
			5.2.5.1	Chromatographic conditions:	85
			5.Z.5.Z	equipment for checking the	
				neuronal like cells differentiated from	
				AFSCs	85
	5.3	Resul	ts and Dis	scussion	85
		5.3.1	Mature r	neuronal markers expression	85
		5.3.2	Function	al markers expression	97

6	MESODERMAL DIFFERENTIATION(Cardiomyocytes,	
	Adipocytes, Osteocytes and Chondrocytes) 1	03
	6.1.1 Mesodermal differentiation:	04
	6.1.2 Analysis	05
	6.2 Results & Discussion: 1	06
	6.2.1 Differentiation with retinoic acid (RA) 1	06
	6.2.2 Differentiation with 5-Azacytidine (5-Aza) and	09
	Vitamin C (Vc)	11
	6.3 Conclusion:	17
7	ENDODERMAL DIFFERENTIATION (INSULIN	
	SECRETING PANCREATIC B-CELLS) 1	19
	7.1 Introduction: 1 7.2 Methods and Materials 1	19
	7.2.1 Routine cell culture	20
	7.2.2 Pancreatic Differentiation 1	21
	7.2.3 Gene and Protein Analysis	22
	7.2.4 Ditrizone (DTZ) staining for functional isless	22
	7.3 Results and Discussion 1	23
	7.4 Conclusion 1	31
8	FINAL CONCLUSIONS, LIMITATIONS OF STUDY	
	AND RECOMMENDED FUTURE WORK 1	32
	8.1 Conclusions 1	32
	8.3 Recommended Euture Work 1	35
		00
REFE	RENCES 1	36
APPE	IDICES 1	84
RIOD	TA OF STUDENT 2	210
LIGT	I PODEICATIONS	

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	The potency, its definition and examples	8
2.2	Assays for testing the pluripotency of stem cells	11
2.3	The three primary germ layers and its derivatives	20
2.4	Summary of mid-term AFSCs isolated from different species	28
2.5	Summary of stem cells isolated from full-term AF of different species	31
2.6	Cytokines and supplements applied in the <i>in vitro</i> osteogenic differentiation	39
2.7	Cytokines and supplements applied in the <i>in vitro</i> chondrogenic differentiation	41
2.8	Cytokines and supplements applied in the <i>in vitro</i> adipogenic differentiation	43
3.1	List of primers for pluripotency and JAK-STAT pathway associated genes for RT-PCR	53
4.1	List of primers for pluripotency associated genes for RT-PCR	68
5.1	List of antibodies used in ICC and flow cytometry in this study	83
5.2	List of primers for neuronal cells associated genes for RT-PCR	84
6.1	Concentrations of 5-Aza and Vc used	105
6.2	List of primers for cardiomyocytes associated genes for RT-PCR	106
7.1	Pancreatic differentiation with sequential treatment of morphogens according to Kroon et al., 2008	121
7.2	List of primers for pancreatic lineage associated genes for RT-PCR	122
7.3	Reagents and concentration for preparing Krebs Ringer buffer.	123

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figur	e	Page		
2.1	Two mechanisms of self-renewability, the intrinsically asymmetric cells division and symmetric cell division	7		
2.2	Implantation of the human blastocyst	18		
2.3	Formation of primitive streak and the mesoderm that separates epiblast and primitive endoderm.	18		
2.4	Formation of ectodermal lineage from epiblast which eventually develops into surface ectoderm, neural crest and neural tube.	19		
2.5	The four regions of mesoderm, the axial mesoderm of the prechordal plate and notochord, paraxial mesoderm, intermediate mesoderm and lateral plate mesoderm	21		
2.6	The schematic diagram of endoderm development.	22		
2.7	Morphology of different types of cells in AF.	24		
2.8	The cavitation process of EBs.	32		
2.9	EBs formation through hanging drop formation	34		
2.10	EBs formation through suspension culture			
2.11	EBs formation through microwell culture			
2.12	Morphology of brown fat and white fat	42		
2.13	Schematic overview outlining differentiation approaches presently used for cardiomyocyte differentiation from hESCs	45		
2.14	Methods for obtaining pancreatic $\beta$ -cells from human ES/iPS cells.	47		
3.1	Cell morphology and c-kit expression of AF cells after immuno- selection of c-kit.	56		
3.2	AFSCs exhibiting similar morphology (AF-type cells) when cultured with or without LIF	57		
3.3	ICC analysis of Oct4 during early and late passage of culture medium supplement with and without LIF.	58		

3.4	FACS analysis of Oct4 and Nanog expression on cells of early and late passage cultured in medium supplemented with and without LIF.	59
3.5	The EBs formation of cells cultured with LIF and without LIF up to passage 40.	60
3.6	Expression of the lineage specific markers of the three primary germ layers cells upon EBs formation derived from the late passage cells cultured with and without LIF.	61
3.7	The expression of the early and post-mitotic neuronal markers of late passage AFSCs, which were cultured with and without LIF, upon MD.	62
3.8	RT-PCR and ICC analyses of pluripotency markers of cells cultured with and without LIF.	63
4.1	Cell doubling time of rat c-kit positive cells within P20-P50	69
4.2	Expression of pluripotency associated markers.	71
4.3	Expression of surface markers.	73
4.4	A good quality EB.	76
4.5	Formation of embryoid bodies (EBs) from the two c-kit positive lines.	77
4.6	Expression of lineage-specific markers in differentiated cells.	79
5.1	Bright field images of rat full term AFSCs undergoing monolayer differentiation from day 6 to day 16.	86
5.2	Expression of neuronal associated markers after 2 hours induction of MD.	88
5.3	Expression of neuronal associated markers after 2 days MD induction.	89
5.4	Expression of neuronal associated markers after 4 days of MD induction.	90
5.5	Expression of neuronal markers after 6 days of MD induction.	91
5.6	Expression of neuronal markers after 8 days of MD induction.	92
5.7	Expression of neuronal markers after 10 days of MD induction.	93
5.8	Expression of neuronal markers after 12 days of MD induction.	94

xvii

C

5.9	Expression of neuronal markers after 18 days of MD induction.	95
5.10	Summary of the differential expression of neuronal and glial markers.	96
5.11	RT- PCR analysis of differentiated cells upon MD induction.	96
5.12	FACS analysis of differentiated cells upon MD induction.	97
5.13	Immunocytochemnistry (ICC) of mature dopaminergic markers in differentiated cells upon day 10 of MD induction.	100
5.14	HPLC of medium extracted from neuronal culture on day 8.	101
6.1	Morphological observation of plated EBs upon treatment with different concentrations of RA.	107
6.2	ICC examination of class III beta tubulin and brachyury on day- 5 plated EBs.	108
6.3	RT-PCR examination on cardiomyogenic markers.	110
6.4	Detection of functional cardiomyogenic marker, cTnT with ICC.	110
6.5	Morphological observation of cardiomyocytes cluster and detection of spontaneous beating clusters.	111
6.6	Expression of functional adipogenic, osteogenic and cardiomyogenic markers upon variable treatments of 5-Aza and Vc.	113
6.7	RT-PCR examination on cardiomyogenic markers.	115
6.8	Expression of functional markers for adipogenic, chondrogenic, cardiomyogenic and osteogenic derivatives at different time points.	117
7.1	The bright field morphology of rat full term AFSCs differentiated into pancreatic-like cells on day 1, day 6, day 9 and day 12 at 10x and 20x magnification.	124
7.2	The expression of pancreatic genes.	126
7.3	The expression of meso-endodermal markers.	127
7.4	The expression of mature pancreatic marker.	128
7.5	The DTZ staining on day 12 derived islet-like cells.	128
7.6 : -	The C-peptide secretion upon day 12 of differentiation.	130

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

	5-Aza	5-azacytidine
	AECs	Amniotic fluid epithelial cells
	AF	Amniotic fluid
	AFCs	Amniotic fluid cells
	AF-MSCs	Amniotic fluid mesenchymal stem cells
	AFSCs	Amniotic fluid stem cells
	AF-type	Amniotic fluid type
	ANP	Atrial natriuretic peptide
	Ap2	Adipocytes protein 2
	Asc-2-P	Ascorbic acid 2-phosphate
	ASCI1	Achaete-scute homologue 1
	bFGF	Basic fibroblast growth factors
	BM-MSCs	Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells
	BMPs	Bone morphogenic proteins
	BRn2	Brain specific homeobox and POU domain 2
	BSP	Bone sialoprotein
	C/EBPa	CAAT/enhancer-binding proteins
	cAMP	Cyclic adenosine monophosphate
	CDC25A	Cell division cycle 25 homolog A
	CDK6	Cyclin dependent kinase 6
	CeBPβ	CCAAT/Enhancer-Binding Protein Beta-2 Isoform
	ChAT	Choline acetyltransferase
	c-kit	Type III tyrosine kinase receptor of the stem cell factor, also known as CD117

CLC	B-cell stimulating factor-3
CMs	Cardiomyocytes
с-Мус	v-myc avian myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog
CNTF	Ciliary neurotrophic factor
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon dioxide
Col11	Collectin sub-family member 11
CT-1	Cardiotropin-1
cTnl	Cardiac troponin I
c-TnT	Cardiac troponin-T
CXCR4	Chemokine receptor type 4
D	Day
DA	Dopamine
DAPI	4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole
DAT	Dopamine transporter
DE	Definitive endoderm
DHBA	3,4-dihydroxyhenzene benzylamine
DIA	Differentiation inhibiting activity
DKK-1	Dickkopf
DM	Diabetes mellitus
DMEM	Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's medium
DMSO	Dimethyl sulfoxide
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DTZ staining	Dithizone staining
Е	Embryonic day
EBM	Embryoid body medium (without LIF)

	EBs	Embryoid bodies
	ECD	Electrochemical detector
	ECM	Extracellular matrix
	EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
	EGF	Epidermal growth factor
	ELISA	Enzyme-linked immuno assay
	END-2	Visceral endoderm-like cell line
	ERK	Extracellular signal-regulated kinases
	ESCMs	Embryonic stem cell derived cardiomyocytes
	ESCs	Embryonic stem cells
	ESM	Embryonic stem cell medium (with LIF)
	E-type	Epithelioid- type
	FABP4	Fatty acid binding protein 4
	FACS	Fluorescent-activated cell sorting
	FBS	Fetal bovine serum
	FITC	Fluorescein isothiocyanate
	FSCs	Fetal stem cells
	F-type	Fibroblastic-type
	GAGs	Glycosaminoglycan
	GATA4	GATA binding protein 4
	GFAP	Glial fibrillary acidic protein
	GIRK2	Protein-regulated inward-rectifier potassium channel 2
	GJA1	Gap junction alpha-1 protein
	GLP	Glucagon-like peptide
	GLUT4	glucose transporter type-4

	GMEM	Glasgow Minimum Essential medium
	GP130	Glycoprotein 130
	HAFFT	Human amniotic fluid fibroblastoid-type cells
	HD	Hanging drop
	HEPES	4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid
	hESCs	Human embryonic stem cells
	HGF	Hepatocyte growth factor
	HPLC	High performance liquid chromatography
	HSCs	Hematopoietic stem cells
	IBMX	IsobutyImethyIxanthin
	ICC	Immunocytochemistry
	ICM	Inner cell mass
	IGF	Insulin growth factor
	IL-6	Interleukin-6
	ILV	Indolactam V
	INS-1	Insulin-1
	iPSCs	Induced pluripotent stem cells
	ITS	Insulin, transferrin, selenious acid
	JAK	Januse Kinase
	K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub>	Dipotassium Phosphate
	KAAD-CYC	3-Keto-N-(aminoethyl-aminocaproyl-dihydro- cinnamoyl) cyclopamine
	KCL	Potassium Chloride
	Kdm5b	Lysine (K)-specific demethylase 5B
	KGF	Keratinocyte growth factor
	Klf4	Kruppel-like factor 4

	LIF	Leukemia inhibitory factor
	LIFr	Leukemia inhibitory factor receptor
	Lin28	Protein Lin-28 homolog A
	LRRK-2	Leucine-rich repeat kinase 2
	MACS	Magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS)
	MafA	v-maf avian musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene homolog A
	MafB	v-maf avian musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene homolog B
	MAP	Mitogen-activated protein
	MAP2	Microtubule-associated protein 2
	MAPCs	Multipotent adult progenitor cells
	MD	Monolayer differentiation
	MEF	Mouse embryonic fibroblast
	mESC	Mouse embryonic stem cells
	Mest	Mesoderm specific transcripts gene
	MgSO <sub>4</sub>	Magnesium Sulphate
	MIAMI cells	Marrow-isolated adult multi-lineage inducible cell
	MLC-2a	Myosin light chain-2a
	MLC-2v	Myosin light chain-2v
	MMP13	Matrix metallopeptidase 13
	MSCs	Mesenchymal stem cells
	myT1I	Myelin transcription factor 1 like
	NA	Norepinephrine
	NaCl	Sodium Chloride
	Nanog	Homeobox transcription factor nanog

	NCAM	Neural cell adhesion molecule
	NeuroD	Neurogenic helix loop helix protein
	NF	Neurofilamant
	NGN3	Neurogenin 3
	Nkx2.5	Homeobox protein nkx2.5
	Nkx6.1	Homeobox protein nkx6.1
	NPCs	Neural precursor cells
	NSCs	Neural stem cells
	Oct4	Octamer-binding transcription factor 4
	OPC	Oligodendrocytes precursor cells
	OSM	Oncostatin M
	OSX	Osterix
	Р	Passage
	PBS	Phosphate buffer saline
	PCL	Poly-ɛ-caprolactone
	PD	Parkinson's disease
	PDX1	Duodenal homoeobox-1
	PE	Phycoerythrin
	PEC1	hESCs derived pancreatic progenitor cells
	PI	Propidium iodide
	PI3K signaling	Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signaling
	PLLA	Poly-L-lactic acid
	PPAR	Peroxisome proliferation-activated receptors
	RA	Retinoic acid
	rCMs	Rat cardiomyocytes

	RET	trk, trkB, and RET
	Rex1	Known as Zfp-42, zinc-finger protein-42
	RGD	Arginine, glycine, aspartic acid
	RNA	Ribonucleic acid
	ROS	Reactive oxygen species
	RPE	Retinal pigment epithelium
	RPMI	Roswell park memorial institute
	Runx2	Runt-related transcription factor 2
	SCF	Stem cell factor
	SDIA	Stromal cell-derived inducing activity
	SERCA	Sacroendoplasmic reticulum calcium transport ATPase
	Shh	Sonic hedgehog
	sos	Sodium octyl sulphate
	Sox1	Sex determining region Y-box 1
	Sox2	Sex determining region Y-box 2
	SSEA1	Stage specific embryonic antigen1
	SSEA3	Stage specific embryonic antigen3
	SSEA4	Stage specific embryonic antigen4
	STAP	Stimulus triggered acquisition of pluripotency cells
	STAT	Signal transducer and activator of transcription
	Tbx3	T-box transcription factor
	Tert	Telomerase reverse transcriptase
	TGF-β	Transforming growth factor-beta
	ТН	Tyrosine hydroxylase
	trk	Tropomyosin receptor kinase

trkB	Tropomyosin receptor kinase B
Vc	Vitamin C
VEGF	Vascular endothelial growth factor
VM	Ventral midbrain
VMAT	Vesicular monoamines transporters
VSELs	Very small embryonic-like cells
W	Weeks
WHO	World Health Organization
α-MHC	α- myosin heavy chain
β-МНС	β-myosin heavy chain

C

#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### INTRODUCTION

Stem cells are characterized by two unique properties; the self renewability and their differentiation potential, which make them a great promising candidate for regenerative medicine. Since the discovery of stem cell biology, different types of stem cells have been isolated and characterized, ranging from the unipotent spermatogonial stem cells to pluripotent embryonic stem cells (ESCs). Among all, only certain types of stem cells, such as ESCs and mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), have been used in clinical trials in the last decade; while, hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs), that have been applied to replace cancer patients' normal growing blood cells during chemotherapy (Stanevsky et al. 2009), remained to be the only successful stem cell for clinical use.

The clinical applications of both ESCs and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) are still limited. Although ESCs entered clinical trials in 2010, they remain controversial to some, as their generation involves the destruction of embryos. Another serious concern is the safety issues of ESCs, as they can form tumours upon transplantation (Kielman et al. 2002; Ishikawa et al. 2003). There are also issues with clinical applications of iPSCs. Most iPSCs studies are restricted to laboratories as the generation of iPSCs can be expensive, labour intensive and inefficient due to poor pluripotency conversion (Wolfrum et al. 2010). Similar to ESCs, iPSCs also are associated with mutagenesis and tumorigenesis (Gore et al. 2011; Hussein et al. 2011; Lister et al. 2011). Meanwhile, MSCs have lower differentiation capacity, which are restricted to mesodermal lineages, thus has limited their potential use in clinical applications (Malatesta et al. 2008; Ding et al. 2011). Their limited life-span (lesser than 10 passages) in culture is another drawback for their use in clinical applications (Taléns-Visconti et al. 2006a; Yang et al. 2011; Sancho-Martinez et al. 2012). Hence, it is still an immense task before mesenchymal stem cells could be widely applied in clinical settings primarily due to their limited differentiation capacity and their shorter lifespan (Rosenbaum et al. 2008; Trounson et al. 2011; X. He et al. 2012).

Clearly, the discovery of stem cells that possess similar differentiation potential as ESCs, but safer would be highly desirable. A number of new stem cells types that are categorized as potentially pluripotent stem cells have been reported; including very small embryonic like cells (VSELs), multipotent adult progenitor cells (MAPCs) and marrow isolated adult multi-lineage inducing cells (MIAMIs) (reviewed in Gao et al. 2013). Generally, these cells have greater differentiation potential than multipotent cells, where they can differentiate into the cell derivatives of the three primary germ layers. They also exhibit, to some degree, markers that are expressed in the

standard pluripotent stem cells, the ESCs (D'Ippolito et al. 2004; Kucia et al. 2007; Ratajczak et al. 2008; Zuba-Surma et al. 2009; Ratajczak et al. 2011). Regardless of the bright side, many challenges still need to be tackled before their usage in clinical application could be applied, as these cells are newly discovered where the isolation, characterization and long-term maintenance can be technically challenging (D'Ippolito et al. 2004; Ratajczak et al. 2008; Zuba-Surma et al. 2009; Gao et al. 2013).

Stem cells have been isolated from various body compartments and cavities, such as the cardiac cavity, dental pulp or to a greater extend the extraembryonic compartment (Hilmi et al. 2008; Dobreva et al. 2010; Makino and Fukuda 2011). Triggered by the idea that amniotic fluid is harbouring a rich source of foetal and maternal cells, scientists have isolated various types of stem cells from amniotic fluid; such as amniotic fluid mesenchymal stem cells (AF-MSCs) and amniotic fluid epithelial stem cells (AECs) (Fauza 2004; Abdulrazzak et al. 2010). However, the majority of studies were targeted on the isolation of multipotent stem cells with a simple one-stage or two stage protocols. This had resulted in the isolation of multipotent stem cells with a simple one-stage or two stage protocols. This had resulted in the isolation of multipotent stem cells with low passage number and limited differentiation potential (Prusa et al. 2004; Antonucci et al. 2009; Mauro et al. 2010; Da Sacco et al. 2010; Klemmt et al. 2011; Yadav et al. 2011; Janz et al. 2012; Jezierski et al. 2012; Hartmann et al. 2013; Pratheesh et al. 2013).

Interestingly, the additional step of magnetic activated cell sorting (MACS) against c-kit (stem cells receptor), a type of broad multipotent stem cells, termed amniotic fluid stem cells (AFSCs), were first successfully isolated from amniotic fluid collected from mid-pregnancy in 2007 (De Coppi et al. 2007). These AFSCs express Oct4, indicating their pluripotency status (De Coppi et al. 2007; Perin et al. 2008; Gekas et al. 2010; Bollini et al. 2011; Bai et al. 2012; Maraldi et al. 2014). Additionally, Tert, which is responsible for indefinite replicative capacity, is also expressed in these cells (Mosquera et al. 1999; Kim et al. 2007a). The doubling time for AFSCs is 36 hours, and they can be cultured for more than 250 populations (De Coppi et al. 2007; Phermthai et al. 2010a). AFSCs may have good prospects in cell therapy, because they possess similar developmental potential as ESCs, they are not ethically controversial and they are likely to be safer in clinical settings as they do not form tumours upon transplantation (De Coppi et al. 2007; Pozzobon et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2011). Their discovery not only gives an alternative source for stem cells, but also marks a potentially significant advancement in regenerative medicine (Siddigui and Atala 2004; Da Sacco et al. 2010).

Most previous studies have focused to isolate stem cells from mid-term AF collected *via* amniocentesis, including from human, dog, pig, horse and buffalo (De Coppi et al. 2007; Chen et al. 2011; Filioli Uranio et al. 2011; Yadav et al. 2011; K Dev et al. 2012; Kapil Dev et al. 2012; Pratheesh et al.

2013). Nonetheless, there are concerns about the potential risks posed by the mid-term AF acquisition through amniocentesis, such as complications associated with infection of the amnion sac from the needle, leakage of the sac and most seriously, miscarriage (Leschot et al. 1985; Kong et al. 2006). Although the advancement of technology has greatly reduced the risk, but exploring the possibility of isolating AFSCs from full-term amniotic fluid, can increase the accessibility of getting the AF samples, since they are large in volume (estimately 600ml) and the full-term AF are generally meant to be discarded (Abramovich 1970). Thus, AF from full-term pregnancy could be an alternative source to isolate AFSCs.

Only a small number of studies reported the isolation of stem cells from fullterm pregnancy, namely from human (You et al. 2008; You et al. 2009), horse (lacono et al. 2012), cow (Rossi et al. 2014) and dog (Fernandes et al. 2012; Choi et al. 2013). According to previous literature, the isolation step done was rather simple, which the primary AF cells were cultured in a standard culturing medium in serum supplemented with certain growth factors through one- or two-stage culture methods. However, these isolated AF-MSCs or AFSCs exhibited mesenchymal-like morphology limited differentiation potential (You et al. 2008; You et al. 2009; Fernandes et al. 2012; lacono et al. 2012; Choi et al. 2013; Rossi et al. 2014).

Different from the previous studies on stem cells derived from full-term AF studies, the additional step of magnetic activated cell sorting (MACS) against c-kit (stem cells receptor) was applied in this study, in order to isolate a purer stem cell population from full-term AF. Then, the isolated c-kit positive cells were optimized with the requirement of Leukaemia Inhibitory Factor (LIF) in culture. These isolated full-term AFSCs are believed to have higher differentiation potential and stemness than those isolated from single- and two-staged protocols as previously described. Moreover, LIF supplemented culture is believed to be essential to maintain the differentiation potential of the cells. Finally, these cells are hoped to behave similarly as those isolated from mid-term AF through immuno-selection against c-kit.

#### **Research questions:**

- 1. Does rat full-term AF harbour highly potent stem cells, similar to those isolated from mid-term AF?
- 2. Do the isolated stem cells possess a wide differentiation spectrum to differentiate into derivatives from the three primary germ layers, specifically into the functional ones?
- 3. Besides, does the isolated full term AFSC require LIF in culture, similar to ESCs, in order to maintain them in undifferentiated state?

## Hypothesis:

Rat full-term amniotic fluid harbours highly potent stem cells with the ability to differentiate into functional derivatives of the three primary germ layers.

## General Objective and the specific aims

In this study, the general objective is to enrich broadly multipotent AFSCs lines which were isolated in the lab previously using magnetic activated cell sorting (MACS) against c-kit (stem cells receptor), and to explore the differentiation potential of the isolated AFSCs into derivatives of the three primary germ layers. The specific objectives are:

- 1. To evaluate the effects of c-kit and LIF for AFSCs culture.
- 2. To molecularly and functionally characterize the established AFSCs.
- 3. To explore the ectodermal differentiation potential of AFSCs and to evaluate their functional neurogenic capabilities.
- 4. To examine the mesodermal differentiation potential of the AFSCs into cardiomyocytes, osteocytes, chondrocytes and adipocytes.
- 5. To unravel the endodermal differentiation capacity of AFSCs and to assess their functional pancreatic potential.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Kroon E, Martinson L a, Kadoya K, *et al.* Pancreatic endoderm derived from human embryonic stem cells generates glucose-responsive insulin-secreting cells in vivo. *Nat Biotechnol* 2008; **26**:443–52.
- [2] Stanevsky A, Goldstein G, Nagler A. Umbilical cord blood transplantation: pros, cons and beyond. *Blood Rev* 2009; **23**:199–204.
- [3] Kielman MF, Rindapää M, Gaspar C, et al. Apc modulates embryonic stem-cell differentiation by controlling the dosage of beta-catenin signaling. Nat Genet 2002; 32:594–605.
- [4] Ishikawa T, Tamai Y, Li Q, Oshima M, Taketo MM. Requirement for tumor suppressor Apc in the morphogenesis of anterior and ventral mouse embryo. *Dev Biol* 2003; **253**:230–46.
- [5] Wolfrum K, Wang Y, Prigione A, Sperling K, Lehrach H, Adjaye J. The LARGE principle of cellular reprogramming: lost, acquired and retained gene expression in foreskin and amniotic fluid-derived human iPS cells. *PLoS One* 2010; **5**:e13703.
- [6] Lister R, Pelizzola M, Kida YS, *et al.* Hotspots of aberrant epigenomic reprogramming in human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nature* 2011; 471:68–73.
- [7] Hussein SM, Batada NN, Vuoristo S, *et al.* Copy number variation and selection during reprogramming to pluripotency. *Nature* 2011; **471**:58–62.
- [8] Gore A, Li Z, Fung H-L, *et al.* Somatic coding mutations in human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nature* 2011; **471**:63–7.
- [9] Ding D-C, Shyu W-C, Lin S-Z. Mesenchymal stem cells. *Cell Transplant* 2011; **20**:5–14.
- [10] Malatesta P, Appolloni I, Calzolari F. Radial glia and neural stem cells. *Cell Tissue Res* 2008; **331**:165–78.
- [11] Sancho-Martinez I, Baek SH, Izpisua Belmonte JC. Lineage conversion methodologies meet the reprogramming toolbox. *Nat Cell Biol* 2012; **14**:892–9.
- [12] Taléns-Visconti R, Bonora A, Jover R, *et al.* Hepatogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells from adipose tissue in comparison with bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. *World J Gastroenterol* 2006; **12**:5834–45.

- [13] Yang N, Ng YH, Pang ZP, Südhof TC, Wernig M. Induced neuronal cells: how to make and define a neuron. *Cell Stem Cell* 2011; **9**:517–25.
- [14] Rosenbaum AJ, Grande D a, Dines JS. The use of mesenchymal stem cells in tissue engineering: A global assessment. *Organogenesis* 2008; **4**:23–7.
- [15] He X, Fu W, Zheng J. Cell sources for trachea tissue engineering: past, present and future. *Regen Med* 2012; **7**:851–63.
- [16] Trounson A, Thakar RG, Lomax G, Gibbons D. Clinical trials for stem cell therapies. *BMC Med* 2011; **9**:52.
- [17] Gao L, Thilakavathy K, Nordin N. A plethora of human pluripotent stem cells. *Cell Biol Int* 2013; **37**:875–87.
- [18] Zuba-Surma EK, Kucia M, Ratajczak J, Ratajczak MZ. "Small stem cells" in adult tissues: very small embryonic-like stem cells stand up! *Cytometry A* 2009; **75**:4–13.
- [19] D'Ippolito G, Diabira S, Howard GA, Menei P, Roos BA, Schiller PC. Marrow-isolated adult multilineage inducible (MIAMI) cells, a unique population of postnatal young and old human cells with extensive expansion and differentiation potential. *J Cell Sci* 2004; **117**:2971–81.
- [20] Ratajczak J, Wysoczynski M, Zuba-Surma E, *et al.* Adult murine bone marrow-derived very small embryonic-like stem cells differentiate into the hematopoietic lineage after coculture over OP9 stromal cells. *Exp Hematol* 2011; **39**:225–37.
- [21] Kucia M, Zuba-Surma EK, Wysoczynski M, *et al.* Adult marrowderived very small embryonic-like stem cells and tissue engineering. *Expert Opin Biol Ther* 2007; **7**:1499–514.
- [22] 22. Ratajczak MZ, Zuba-Surma EK, Machalinski B, Ratajczak J, Kucia M. Very small embryonic-like (VSEL) stem cells: purification from adult organs, characterization, and biological significance. *Stem Cell Rev* 2008; **4**:89–99.
- [23] Hilmi ABM, Fazliah SN, Fadilah AS, Asma H, Razila ARS. Stem cells from childrens ' teeth. *Arch Orofac Sci* 2008; **3**:29–31.
- [24] Makino S, Fukuda K. *Regenerating the Heart*. (Cohen IS, Gaudette GR, eds.). Totowa, NJ: Humana Press; 2011:67–81.
- [25] Dobreva MP, Pereira PNG, Deprest J, Zwijsen A. On the origin of amniotic stem cells: of mice and men. *Int J Dev Biol* 2010; **54**:761–77.

- [26] Abdulrazzak H, Moschidou D, Jones G, Guillot P V. Biological characteristics of stem cells from foetal, cord blood and extraembryonic tissues. *J R Soc Interface* 2010; **7 Suppl 6**:S689–706.
- [27] Fauza D. Amniotic fluid and placental stem cells. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol* 2004; **18**:877–91.
- [28] Jezierski A, Rennie K, Tremblay R, et al. Human amniotic fluid cells form functional gap junctions with cortical cells. Stem Cells Int 2012; 2012:607161.
- [29] Janz FDL, Debes ADA, Cavaglieri RDC, *et al.* Evaluation of distinct freezing methods and cryoprotectants for human amniotic fluid stem cells cryopreservation. *J Biomed Biotechnol* 2012; **2012**:649353.
- [30] Hartmann K, Raabe O, Wenisch S, Arnhold S. Amniotic fluid derived stem cells give rise to neuron-like cells without a further differentiation potential into retina-like cells. *Am J Stem Cells* 2013; **2**:108–18.
- [31] 31. Da Sacco S, Sedrakyan S, Boldrin F, *et al.* Human amniotic fluid as a potential new source of organ specific precursor cells for future regenerative medicine applications. *J Urol* 2010; **183**:1193–200.
- [32] Prusa A-R, Marton E, Rosner M, *et al.* Neurogenic cells in human amniotic fluid. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2004; **191**:309–14.
- [33] Antonucci I, lezzi I, Morizio E, *et al.* Isolation of osteogenic progenitors from human amniotic fluid using a single step culture protocol. *BMC Biotechnol* 2009; **9**:9.
- [34] Mauro a, Turriani M, Ioannoni A, *et al.* Isolation, characterization, and in vitro differentiation of ovine amniotic stem cells. *Vet Res Commun* 2010; **34 Suppl 1**:S25–8.
- [35] 35. Yadav PS, Mann A, Singh V, Yashveer S, Sharma RK, Singh I. Expression of pluripotency genes in buffalo (Bubalus bubalis) amniotic fluid cells. *Reprod Domest Anim* 2011; **46**:705–11.
- [36] Klemmt PAB, Vafaizadeh V, Groner B. The potential of amniotic fluid stem cells for cellular therapy and tissue engineering. *Expert Opin Biol Ther* 2011; **11**:1297–314.
- [37] 37. Pratheesh MD, Gade NE, Katiyar AN, *et al.* Isolation, culture and characterization of caprine mesenchymal stem cells derived from amniotic fluid. *Res Vet Sci* 2013; **94**:313–9.
- [38] De Coppi P, Bartsch G, Siddiqui MM, *et al.* Isolation of amniotic stem cell lines with potential for therapy. *Nat Biotechnol* 2007; **25**:100–6.
- [39] Perin L, Sedrakyan S, Da Sacco S, De Filippo R. Characterization of human amniotic fluid stem cells and their pluripotential capability. *Methods Cell Biol* 2008; **86**:85–99.
- [40] Bai J, Wang YY, Liu L, Chen J, Yang W, Gao L. Human amniotic fluidderived c-kit(+) and c-kit (-) stem cells: growth characteristics and some differentiation potential capacities comparison. *Cytotechnology* 2012; **64**:577–89.
- [41] Maraldi T, Bertoni L, Riccio M, *et al.* Human amniotic fluid stem cells: neural differentiation in vitro and in vivo. *Cell Tissue Res* 2014; **357**:1– 13.
- [42] Gekas J, Walther G, Skuk D, Bujold E, Harvey I, Bertrand OF. In vitro and in vivo study of human amniotic fluid-derived stem cell differentiation into myogenic lineage. *Clin Exp Med* 2010; **10**:1–6.
- [43] 43. Bollini S, Pozzobon M, Nobles M, *et al.* In vitro and in vivo cardiomyogenic differentiation of amniotic fluid stem cells. *Stem Cell Rev* 2011; **7**:364–80.
- [44] Mosquera a, Fernández JL, Campos A, Goyanes VJ, Ramiro-Díaz J, Gosálvez J. Simultaneous decrease of telomere length and telomerase activity with ageing of human amniotic fluid cells. J Med Genet 1999; 36:494–6.
- [45] Kim J, Lee Y, Kim H, *et al.* Human amniotic fluid-derived stem cells have characteristics of multipotent stem cells. *Cell Prolif* 2007; **40**:75– 90.
- [46] Phermthai T, Odglun Y, Julavijitphong S, *et al.* A novel method to derive amniotic fluid stem cells for therapeutic purposes. *BMC Cell Biol* 2010; **11**:79.
- [47] Chen J, Lu Z, Cheng D, Peng S, Wang H. Isolation and characterization of porcine amniotic fluid-derived multipotent stem cells. *PLoS One* 2011; **6**:e19964.
- [48] Pozzobon M, Ghionzoli M, De Coppi P. ES, iPS, MSC, and AFS cells. Stem cells exploitation for Pediatric Surgery: current research and perspective. *Pediatr Surg Int* 2010; **26**:3–10.
- [49] Siddiqui MM, Atala A. *Amniotic Fluid Derived Pluripotential Cells*.; 2004:175–179.
- [50] Filioli Uranio M, Valentini L, Lange-Consiglio A, *et al.* Isolation, proliferation, cytogenetic, and molecular characterization and in vitro differentiation potency of canine stem cells from foetal adnexa: a

comparative study of amniotic fluid, amnion, and umbilical cord matrix. *Mol Reprod Dev* 2011; **78**:361–73.

- [51] Dev K, Giri SK, Kumar A, Yadav A, Singh B, Gautam SK. Derivation, characterization and differentiation of buffalo (Bubalus bubalis) amniotic fluid derived stem cells. *Reprod Domest Anim* 2012; **47**:704– 11.
- [52] Dev K, Gautam SK, Giri SK, et al. Isolation, culturing and characterization of feeder-independent amniotic fluid stem cells in buffalo (Bubalus bubalis). *Res Vet Sci* 2012; **93**:743–8.
- [53] Leschot NJ, Verjaal M, Treffers PE. Risks of midtrimester amniocentesis; assessment in 3000 pregnancies. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1985; **92**:804–7.
- [54] Kong CW, Leung TN, Leung TY, *et al.* Risk factors for procedurerelated fetal losses after mid-trimester genetic amniocentesis. *Prenat Diagn* 2006; **26**:925–30.
- [55] Abramovich DR. Fetal factors influencing the volume and composition of liquor amnii. *J Obstet Gynaecol Br Commonw* 1970; **77**:865–77.
- [56] You Q, Cai L, Zheng J, Tong X, Zhang D, Zhang Y. Isolation of human mesenchymal stem cells from third-trimester amniotic fluid. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2008; **103**:149–52.
- [57] 57. You Q, Tong X, Guan Y, *et al.* The biological characteristics of human third trimester amniotic fluid stem cells. *J Int Med Res* 2009; **37**:105–12.
- [58] Iacono E, Brunori L, Pirrone A, et al. Isolation, characterization and differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells from amniotic fluid, umbilical cord blood and Wharton's jelly in the horse. *Reproduction* 2012; 143:455–68.
- [59] 59. Rossi B, Merlo B, Colleoni S, *et al.* Isolation and in Vitro Characterization of Bovine Amniotic Fluid Derived Stem Cells at Different Trimesters of Pregnancy. *Stem Cell Rev* 2014.
- [60] Choi S-A, Choi H, Kim KJ, *et al.* Isolation of canine mesenchymal stem cells from amniotic fluid and differentiation into hepatocyte-like cells. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim* 2013; **49**:42–51.
- [61] Fernandes R a, Wenceslau C V, Reginato a L, Kerkis I, Miglino M a. Derivation and characterization of progenitor stem cells from canine allantois and amniotic fluids at the third trimester of gestation. *Placenta* 2012; **33**:640–4.

- [62] Armstrong L, Lako M, Buckley N, *et al.* Editorial: Our top 10 developments in stem cell biology over the last 30 years. *Stem Cells* 2012; **30**:2–9.
- [63] Becker AJ, McCulloch EA, Till JE. Cytological demonstration of the clonal nature of spleen colonies derived from transplanted mouse marrow cells. *Nature* 1963; **197**:452–4.
- [64] Gan G, Teh a, Chan L, Cheong S, Chang K, Ibrahim H. Bone marrow and stem cell transplantation: Malaysian experience. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2008; **42 Suppl 1**:S103–S105.
- [65] Ma X, Zhang Q, Yang X, Tian J. Development of new technologies for stem cell research. *J Biomed Biotechnol* 2012; **2012**:741416.
- [66] 66. Kolios G, Moodley Y. Introduction to stem cells and regenerative medicine. *Respiration* 2013; **85**:3–10.
- [67] Janzen V, Forkert R, Fleming HE, *et al.* Stem-cell ageing modified by the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p16INK4a. *Nature* 2006; **443**:421–6.
- [68] Tzatsos A, Bardeesy N. Ink4a/Arf regulation by let-7b and Hmga2: a genetic pathway governing stem cell aging. *Cell Stem Cell* 2008; 3:469–70.
- [69] Morrison SJ, Kimble J. Asymmetric and symmetric stem-cell divisions in development and cancer. *Nature* 2006; **441**:1068–74.
- [70] Shahriyari L, Komarova NL. Symmetric vs. asymmetric stem cell divisions: an adaptation against cancer? *PLoS One* 2013; **8**:e76195.
- [71] He S, Nakada D, Morrison SJ. Mechanisms of stem cell self-renewal. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 2009; **25**:377–406.
- [72] Singh AM, Dalton S. The cell cycle and Myc intersect with mechanisms that regulate pluripotency and reprogramming. *Cell Stem Cell* 2009; **5**:141–9.
- [73] Niwa H, Miyazaki J, Smith AG. Quantitative expression of Oct-3/4 defines differentiation, dedifferentiation or self-renewal of ES cells. *Nat Genet* 2000; **24**:372–6.
- [74] Zhang X, Neganova I, Przyborski S, *et al.* A role for NANOG in G1 to S transition in human embryonic stem cells through direct binding of CDK6 and CDC25A. *J Cell Biol* 2009; **184**:67–82.
- [75] Graham V, Khudyakov J, Ellis P, Pevny L. SOX2 Functions to Maintain Neural Progenitor Identity. *Neuron* 2003; **39**:749–765.

- [76] Avilion AA, Nicolis SK, Pevny LH, Perez L, Vivian N, Lovell-Badge R. Multipotent cell lineages in early mouse development depend on SOX2 function. *Genes Dev* 2003; **17**:126–40.
- [77] Hart AH, Hartley L, Ibrahim M, Robb L. Identification, cloning and expression analysis of the pluripotency promoting Nanog genes in mouse and human. *Dev Dyn* 2004; **230**:187–98.
- [78] Rehman J. Empowering self-renewal and differentiation: the role of mitochondria in stem cells. *J Mol Med (Berl)* 2010; **88**:981–6.
- [79] Boroviak T, Nichols J. The birth of embryonic pluripotency. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2014; **369**.
- [80] Jopling C, Sleep E, Raya M, Martí M, Raya A, Izpisúa Belmonte JC. Zebrafish heart regeneration occurs by cardiomyocyte dedifferentiation and proliferation. *Nature* 2010; **464**:606–9.
- [81] Poss KD, Wilson LG, Keating MT. Heart regeneration in zebrafish. *Science* 2002; **298**:2188–90.
- [82] Kragl M, Knapp D, Nacu E, *et al.* Cells keep a memory of their tissue origin during axolotl limb regeneration. *Nature* 2009; **460**:60–5.
- [83] Nye HLD, Cameron JA, Chernoff EAG, Stocum DL. Regeneration of the urodele limb: a review. *Dev Dyn* 2003; **226**:280–94.
- [84] Woodhoo A, Alonso MBD, Droggiti A, *et al.* Notch controls embryonic Schwann cell differentiation, postnatal myelination and adult plasticity. *Nat Neurosci* 2009; **12**:839–47.
- [85] Monje P V, Soto J, Bacallao K, Wood PM. Schwann cell dedifferentiation is independent of mitogenic signaling and uncoupled to proliferation: role of cAMP and JNK in the maintenance of the differentiated state. *J Biol Chem* 2010; **285**:31024–36.
- [86] Chen Z-L, Yu W-M, Strickland S. Peripheral regeneration. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 2007; **30**:209–33.
- [87] Vierbuchen T, Ostermeier A, Pang ZP, Kokubu Y, Südhof TC, Wernig M. Direct conversion of fibroblasts to functional neurons by defined factors. *Nature* 2010; **463**:1035–41.
- [88] Ieda M, Fu J-D, Delgado-Olguin P, *et al.* Direct reprogramming of fibroblasts into functional cardiomyocytes by defined factors. *Cell* 2010; **142**:375–86.

- [89] Nutt SL, Heavey B, Rolink AG, Busslinger M. Commitment to the Blymphoid lineage depends on the transcription factor Pax5. *Nature* 1999; **401**:556–62.
- [90] Xie H, Ye M, Feng R, Graf T. Stepwise Reprogramming of B Cells into Macrophages. *Cell* 2004; **117**:663–676.
- [91] Shen C-N, Tosh D. Transdifferentiation of pancreatic cells to hepatocytes. *Methods Mol Biol* 2010; **640**:273–80.
- [92] Eberhard D, O'Neill K, Burke ZD, Tosh D. In vitro reprogramming of pancreatic cells to hepatocytes. *Methods Mol Biol* 2010; **636**:285–92.
- [93] Meivar-Levy I, Sapir T, Gefen-Halevi S, et al. Pancreatic and duodenal homeobox gene 1 induces hepatic dedifferentiation by suppressing the expression of CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein beta. Hepatology 2007; 46:898–905.
- [94] Torper O, Pfisterer U, Wolf DA, *et al.* Generation of induced neurons via direct conversion in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2013; **110**:7038–43.
- [95] Loh Y-H, Wu Q, Chew J-L, *et al.* The Oct4 and Nanog transcription network regulates pluripotency in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Nat Genet* 2006; **38**:431–40.
- [96] Mitsui K, Tokuzawa Y, Itoh H, *et al.* The homeoprotein Nanog is required for maintenance of pluripotency in mouse epiblast and ES cells. *Cell* 2003; **113**:631–42.
- [97] Chambers I, Colby D, Robertson M, *et al.* Functional expression cloning of Nanog, a pluripotency sustaining factor in embryonic stem cells. *Cell* 2003; **113**:643–55.
- [98] Schöler HR, Ruppert S, Suzuki N, Chowdhury K, Gruss P. New type of POU domain in germ line-specific protein Oct-4. *Nature* 1990; 344:435–9.
- [99] 99. Osorno R, Tsakiridis A, Wong F, *et al.* The developmental dismantling of pluripotency is reversed by ectopic Oct4 expression. *Development* 2012; **139**:2288–98.
- [100] Debeb BG, Galat V, Epple-Farmer J, *et al.* Isolation of Oct4expressing extraembryonic endoderm precursor cell lines. *PLoS One* 2009; **4**:e7216.
- [101] Silva J, Nichols J, Theunissen TW, *et al.* Nanog Is the Gateway to the Pluripotent Ground State. *Cell* 2009; **138**:722–737.

- [102] Pan G, Thomson J a. Nanog and transcriptional networks in embryonic stem cell pluripotency. *Cell Res* 2007; **17**:42–9.
- [103] Matsuda T, Nakamura T, Nakao K, et al. STAT3 activation is sufficient to maintain an undifferentiated state of mouse embryonic stem cells. EMBO J 1999; 18:4261–9.
- [104] Chambers I. The molecular basis of pluripotency in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Cloning Stem Cells* 2004; **6**:386–91.
- [105] Takahama Y, Ochiya T, Sasaki H, et al. Molecular cloning and functional analysis of cDNA encoding a rat leukemia inhibitory factor: towards generation of pluripotent rat embryonic stem cells. Oncogene 1998; 16:3189–96.
- [106] 106. Gough NM, Williams RL, Hilton DJ, *et al.* LIF: a molecule with divergent actions on myeloid leukaemic cells and embryonic stem cells. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 1989; **1**:281–8.
- [107] Nichols J, Evans EP, Smith AG. Establishment of germ-linecompetent embryonic stem (ES) cells using differentiation inhibiting activity. *Development* 1990; **110**:1341–8.
- [108] Smith AG, Nichols J, Robertson M, Rathjen PD. Differentiation inhibiting activity (DIA/LIF) and mouse development. *Dev Biol* 1992; **151**:339–51.
- [109] 109. Smith AG, Heath JK, Donaldson DD, *et al.* Inhibition of pluripotential embryonic stem cell differentiation by purified polypeptides. *Nature* 1988; **336**:688–90.
- [110] Niwa H, Ogawa K, Shimosato D, Adachi K. A parallel circuit of LIF signalling pathways maintains pluripotency of mouse ES cells. *Nature* 2009; **460**:118–22.
- [111] Amit M, Margulets V, Segev H, *et al.* Human feeder layers for human embryonic stem cells. *Biol Reprod* 2003; **68**:2150–6.
- [112] Conner DA. Mouse embryo fibroblast (MEF) feeder cell preparation. *Curr Protoc Mol Biol* 2001; **Chapter 23**:Unit 23.2.
- [113] E Michalska A. Isolation and propagation of mouse embryonic fibroblasts and preparation of mouse embryonic feeder layer cells. *Curr Protoc Stem Cell Biol* 2007; **Chapter 1**:Unit1C.3.
- [114] Sumi T, Fujimoto Y, Nakatsuji N, Suemori H. STAT3 is dispensable for maintenance of self-renewal in nonhuman primate embryonic stem cells. *Stem Cells* 2004; **22**:861–72.

- [115] Dahéron L, Opitz SL, Zaehres H, *et al.* LIF/STAT3 signaling fails to maintain self-renewal of human embryonic stem cells. *Stem Cells* 2004; **22**:770–8.
- [116] Amit M, Carpenter MK, Inokuma MS, *et al.* Clonally derived human embryonic stem cell lines maintain pluripotency and proliferative potential for prolonged periods of culture. *Dev Biol* 2000; **227**:271–8.
- [117] Richards M, Fong C-Y, Chan W-K, Wong P-C, Bongso A. Human feeders support prolonged undifferentiated growth of human inner cell masses and embryonic stem cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 2002; **20**:933–6.
- [118] Buta C, David R, Dressel R, *et al.* Reconsidering pluripotency tests: do we still need teratoma assays? *Stem Cell Res* 2013; **11**:552–62.
- [119] Chan EM, Ratanasirintrawoot S, Park I-H, *et al.* Live cell imaging distinguishes bona fide human iPS cells from partially reprogrammed cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 2009; **27**:1033–7.
- [120] Müller F-J, Laurent LC, Kostka D, *et al.* Regulatory networks define phenotypic classes of human stem cell lines. *Nature* 2008; **455**:401–5.
- [121] Roskoski R. Signaling by Kit protein-tyrosine kinase--the stem cell factor receptor. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2005; **337**:1–13.
- [122] Hentze H, Soong PL, Wang ST, Phillips BW, Putti TC, Dunn NR. Teratoma formation by human embryonic stem cells: evaluation of essential parameters for future safety studies. *Stem Cell Res* 2009; 2:198–210.
- [123] Müller F-J, Goldmann J, Löser P, Loring JF. A call to standardize teratoma assays used to define human pluripotent cell lines. *Cell Stem Cell* 2010; **6**:412–4.
- [124] Dressel R. Effects of histocompatibility and host immune responses on the tumorigenicity of pluripotent stem cells. *Semin Immunopathol* 2011; **33**:573–91.
- [125] Unbekandt M, Davies JA. Dissociation of embryonic kidneys followed by reaggregation allows the formation of renal tissues. *Kidney Int* 2010; **77**:407–16.
- [126] Halbach M, Pillekamp F, Brockmeier K, Hescheler J, Müller-Ehmsen J, Reppel M. Ventricular slices of adult mouse hearts--a new multicellular in vitro model for electrophysiological studies. *Cell Physiol Biochem* 2006; **18**:1–8.

- [127] Elliott MJ, De Coppi P, Speggiorin S, *et al.* Stem-cell-based, tissue engineered tracheal replacement in a child: a 2-year follow-up study. *Lancet* 2012; **380**:994–1000.
- [128] Müller F, Schuldt BM, Williams R, *et al.* A bioinformatic assay for pluripotency in human cells. *Nat Methods* 2011; **8**:315–7.
- [129] Rossant J. Stem cells and early lineage development. *Cell* 2008; **132**:527–31.
- [130] Gilbert SF. The central nervous system and the epidermis. 2000.
- [131] Purves D, Augustine GJ, Fitzpatrick D, *et al.* The Initial Formation of the Nervous System: Gastrulation and Neurulation. 2001.
- [132] Artus J, Chazaud C. A close look at the mammalian blastocyst: epiblast and primitive endoderm formation. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2014; **71**:3327–38.
- [133] Tam PP, Trainor PA. Specification and segmentation of the paraxial mesoderm. *Anat Embryol (Berl)* 1994; **189**:275–305.
- [134] Aulehla A, Pourquié O. Signaling gradients during paraxial mesoderm development. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2010; **2**:a000869.
- [135] Wilkinson DG, Bhatt S, Herrmann BG. Expression pattern of the mouse T gene and its role in mesoderm formation. *Nature* 1990; **343**:657–9.
- [136] Wells JM, Melton DA. Vertebrate endoderm development. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 1999; **15**:393–410.
- [137] Coucouvanis E, Martin GR. BMP signaling plays a role in visceral endoderm differentiation and cavitation in the early mouse embryo. *Development* 1999; **126**:535–46.
- [138] Martin GR. Isolation of a pluripotent cell line from early mouse embryos cultured in medium conditioned by teratocarcinoma stem cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1981; **78**:7634–8.
- [139] Evans MJ, Kaufman MH. Establishment in culture of pluripotential cells from mouse embryos. *Nature* 1981; **292**:154–156.
- [140] Bishop AE, Buttery LDK, Polak JM. Embryonic stem cells. *J Pathol* 2002; **197**:424–9.
- [141] Rippon HJ, Bishop AE. Embryonic stem cells. *Cell Prolif* 2004; **37**:23–34.

- [142] Smith A. *Embryonic Stem Cells*. (Daniel R.Marshak; Richard L.Gardner; David Gottlieb, ed.).; 2001:205–230.
- [143] Thomson JA, Itskovitz-Eldor J, Shapiro SS, *et al.* Embryonic stem cell lines derived from human blastocysts. *Science* 1998; **282**:1145–7.
- [144] Caplan AI. Adult mesenchymal stem cells for tissue engineering versus regenerative medicine. J Cell Physiol 2007; 213:341–7.
- [145] Ferro F, Spelat R, D'Aurizio F, *et al.* Dental pulp stem cells differentiation reveals new insights in Oct4A dynamics. *PLoS One* 2012; **7**:e41774.
- [146] Sensebé L, Krampera M, Schrezenmeier H, Bourin P, Giordano R. Mesenchymal stem cells for clinical application. *Vox Sang* 2010; 98:93–107.
- [147] Takahashi K, Tanabe K, Ohnuki M, *et al.* Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors. *Cell* 2007; **131**:861–72.
- [148] Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse fibroblasts by four transcription factors. *Cell Prolif* 2008; **41 Suppl** 1:51–6.
- [149] Obokata H, Wakayama T, Sasai Y, *et al.* Stimulus-triggered fate conversion of somatic cells into pluripotency. *Nature* 2014; **505**:641–7.
- [150] Bradley A, Evans M, Kaufman MH, Robertson E. Formation of germline chimaeras from embryo-derived teratocarcinoma cell lines. *Nature* 1984; **309**:255–6.
- [151] 151. Thomson JA. Embryonic Stem Cell Lines Derived from Human Blastocysts. *Science* (80-) 1998; **282**:1145–1147.
- [152] Burdon T, Smith A, Savatier P. Signalling, cell cycle and pluripotency in embryonic stem cells. *Trends Cell Biol* 2002; **12**:432–8.
- [153] Keller G. Embryonic stem cell differentiation: emergence of a new era in biology and medicine. *Genes Dev* 2005; **19**:1129–55.
- [154] Mayor S. First patient enters trial to test safety of stem cells in spinal injury. BMJ 2010; 341:c5724.
- [155] Chapman AR, Scala CC. Evaluating the first-in-human clinical trial of a human embryonic stem cell-based therapy. *Kennedy Inst Ethics J* 2012; **22**:243–61.

- [156] Watson RA, Yeung TM. What is the potential of oligodendrocyte progenitor cells to successfully treat human spinal cord injury? *BMC Neurol* 2011; **11**:113.
- [157] Thomas KE, Moon LDF. Will stem cell therapies be safe and effective for treating spinal cord injuries? *Br Med Bull* 2011; **98**:127–42.
- [158] Schwartz SD, Regillo CD, Lam BL, et al. Human embryonic stem cellderived retinal pigment epithelium in patients with age-related macular degeneration and Stargardt's macular dystrophy: follow-up of two open-label phase 1/2 studies. *Lancet* 2014; 6736:1–8.
- [159] Takahashi K, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. *Cell* 2006; **126**:663–76.
- [160] Yoshida Y, Yamanaka S. Recent stem cell advances: induced pluripotent stem cells for disease modeling and stem cell-based regeneration. *Circulation* 2010; **122**:80–7.
- [161] Pipino C, Mukherjee S, David AL, *et al.* Trisomy 21 Mid-Trimester Amniotic Fluid Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells Maintain Genetic Signatures During Reprogramming: Implications for Disease Modeling and Cryobanking. *Cell Reprogram* 2014.
- [162] Soldner F, Hockemeyer D, Beard C, *et al.* Parkinson's disease patient-derived induced pluripotent stem cells free of viral reprogramming factors. *Cell* 2009; **136**:964–77.
- [163] Serrano F, Calatayud CF, Blazquez M, Torres J, Castell J V, Bort R. Gata4 blocks somatic cell reprogramming by directly repressing Nanog. Stem Cells 2013; 31:71–82.
- [164] Kidder BL, Hu G, Yu Z-X, Liu C, Zhao K. Extended self-renewal and accelerated reprogramming in the absence of Kdm5b. *Mol Cell Biol* 2013; 33:4793–810.
- [165] Cyranoski D. Next-generation stem cells cleared for human trial. *Nature* 2014.
- [166] Hughes S. Cardiac stem cells. *J Pathol* 2002; **197**:468–78.
- [167] Kennea NL, Mehmet H. Neural stem cells. J Pathol 2002; **197**:536–50.
- [168] Wurmser AE, Nakashima K, Summers RG, *et al.* Cell fusionindependent differentiation of neural stem cells to the endothelial lineage. *Nature* 2004; **430**:350–6.

- [169] Taléns-Visconti R, Bonora A, Jover R, et al. Hepatogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells from adipose tissue in comparison with bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. World J Gastroenterol 2006; 12:5834–45.
- [170] Liu Y, Mu R, Wang S, *et al.* Therapeutic potential of human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2010; **12**:R210.
- [171] Miyanishi M, Mori Y, Seita J, *et al.* Do pluripotent stem cells exist in adult mice as very small embryonic stem cells? *Stem cell reports* 2013; 1:198–208.
- [172] Szade K, Bukowska-Strakova K, Nowak WN, et al. Murine bone marrow Lin<sup>-</sup>Sca<sup>-</sup>1<sup>+</sup>CD45<sup>-</sup> very small embryonic-like (VSEL) cells are heterogeneous population lacking Oct-4A expression. PLoS One 2013; 8:e63329.
- [173] Danova-Alt R, Heider A, Egger D, Cross M, Alt R. Very small embryonic-like stem cells purified from umbilical cord blood lack stem cell characteristics. *PLoS One* 2012; **7**:e34899.
- [174] Cananzi M, De Coppi P. CD117(+) amniotic fluid stem cells: state of the art and future perspectives. *Organogenesis* 2012; **8**:77–88.
- [175] Robinson WP, McFadden DE, Barrett IJ, *et al.* Origin of amnion and implications for evaluation of the fetal genotype in cases of mosaicism. *Prenat Diagn* 2002; **22**:1076–85.
- [176] Bartha JL, Romero-Carmona R, Comino-Delgado R, Arce F, Arrabal J. Alpha-fetoprotein and hematopoietic growth factors in amniotic fluid. *Obstet Gynecol* 2000; **96**:588–92.
- [177] Sakuragawa N, Elwan M a., Fujii T, Kawashima K. Possible Dynamic Neurotransmitter Metabolism Surrounding the Fetus. *J Child Neurol* 1999; **14**:265–266.
- [178] Heidari Z, Isobe K, Goto S, Nakashima I, Kiuchi K, Tomoda Y. Characterization of the growth factor activity of amniotic fluid on cells from hematopoietic and lymphoid organs of different life stages. *Microbiol Immunol* 1996; **40**:583–9.
- [179] Srivastava MD, Lippes J, Srivastava BI. Cytokines of the human reproductive tract. *Am J Reprod Immunol* 1996; **36**:157–66.
- [180] Beall MH, Wang S, Yang B, Chaudhri N, Amidi F, Ross MG. Placental and membrane aquaporin water channels: correlation with amniotic fluid volume and composition. *Placenta* 1998; **28**:421–8.

- [181] Miki T, Strom SC. Amnion-derived pluripotent/multipotent stem cells. *Stem Cell Rev* 2006; **2**:133–42.
- [182] Modena AB, Fieni S. Amniotic fluid dynamics. Acta Biomed 2004; 75 Suppl 1:11–3.
- [183] Brace RA, Wolf EJ. Normal amniotic fluid volume changes throughout pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1989; **161**:382–388.
- [184] Page KR, Abramovich DR, Smith MR. Water transport across isolated term human amnion. *J Membr Biol* 1974; **18**:49–60.
- [185] Abramovich DR, Page KR, Jandial L. Bulk flows through human fetal membranes. *Gynecol Invest* 1976; **7**:157–64.
- [186] Milunsky A. *Genetic Disorders and the Fetus*. (Milunsky A, ed.). Boston, MA: Springer US; 1979:75–91.
- [187] Smotherman WP, Robinson SR. Environmental determinants of behaviour in the rat fetus. *Anim Behav* 1986; **34**:1859–1873.
- [188] Trounson A. A fluid means of stem cell generation. *Nat Biotechnol* 2007; **25**:62–3.
- [189] Bossolasco P, Montemurro T, Cova L, *et al.* Molecular and phenotypic characterization of human amniotic fluid cells and their differentiation potential. *Cell Res* 2006; **16**:329–36.
- [190] Karlmark KR, Freilinger A, Marton E, Rosner M, Lubec G, Hengstschläger M. Activation of ectopic Oct-4 and Rex-1 promoters in human amniotic fluid cells. *Int J Mol Med* 2005; **16**:987–92.
- [191] Gosden CM. AMNIOTIC FLUID CELL TYPES AND CULTURE C M Gosden AMNIOTIC FLUID CELL TYPES AND CULTURE. Br Med Bull 1983; 39:348–354.
- [192] Yan Z, Lambert NC, Guthrie KA, *et al.* Male microchimerism in women without sons: quantitative assessment and correlation with pregnancy history. *Am J Med* 2005; **118**:899–906.
- [193] Nadler HL. Prenatal detection of genetic defects. *J Pediatr* 1969; **74**:132–143.
- [194] Golbus MS, Loughman WD, Epstein CJ, Halbasch G, Stephens JD, Hall BD. Prenatal genetic diagnosis in 3000 amniocenteses. N Engl J Med 1979; 300:157–63.
- [195] Ferdaos N and Nordin N. Human amniotic fluid cells and their future perspectives. *Regen Res* 2012; **1**:14–19.

- [196] Davydova D a. Stem cells in human amniotic fluid. *Biol Bull* 2010; **37**:437–445.
- [197] Chen WW. Studies on the origin of human amniotic fluid cells by immunofluorescent staining of keratin filaments. J Med Genet 1982; 19:433–6.
- [198] Hoehn H, Bryant EM, Karp LE, Martin GM. Cultivated cells from diagnostic amniocentesis in second trimester pregnancies. I. Clonal morphology and growth potential. *Pediatr Res* 1974; 8:746–54.
- [199] Virtanen I, von Koskull H, Lehto VP, Vartio T, Aula P. Cultured human amniotic fluid cells characterized with antibodies against intermediate filaments in indirect immunofluorescence microscopy. J Clin Invest 1981; 68:1348–1355.
- [200] Chitham RG, Quayle SJ, Hill L. The selection of a method for the culture of cells from amniotic fluid. *J Clin Pathol* 1973; **26**:721–723.
- [201] Megaw JM, Priest JH, Priest RE, Johnson LD. Differentiation in human amniotic fluid cell cultures: II: Secretion of an epithelial basement membrane glycoprotein. *J Med Genet* 1977; **14**:163–7.
- [202] Murphy S V, Atala A. Amniotic fluid and placental membranes: unexpected sources of highly multipotent cells. *Semin Reprod Med* 2013; **31**:62–8.
- [203] Meller D, Pires RT, Mack RJ, *et al.* Amniotic membrane transplantation for acute chemical or thermal burns. *Ophthalmology* 2000; **107**:980–9; discussion 990.
- [204] Tsangaris G, Weitzdörfer R, Pollak D, Lubec G, Fountoulakis M. The amniotic fluid cell proteome. *Electrophoresis* 2005; **26**:1168–73.
- [205] Klemmt P a B, Vafaizadeh V, Groner B. Murine amniotic fluid stem cells contribute mesenchymal but not epithelial components to reconstituted mammary ducts. *Stem Cell Res Ther* 2010; **1**:20.
- [206] Kim J, Lee Y, Kim H, *et al.* Human amniotic fluid-derived stem cells have characteristics of multipotent stem cells. *Cell Prolif* 2007; **40**:75–90.
- [207] Peng H-H, Wang T-H, Chao A-S, Chang S-D. Isolation and differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells obtained from second trimester amniotic fluid; experiments at Chang Gung Memorial Hospital. *Chang Gung Med J* 2007; **30**:402–7.

- [208] Sakuragawa N, Thangavel R, Mizuguchi M, Hirasawa M, Kamo I. Expression of markers for both neuronal and glial cells in human amniotic epithelial cells. *Neurosci Lett* 1996; **209**:9–12.
- [209] Prusa A, Hengstschlager M. Amniotic fluid cells and human stem cell research: a new connection. *Med Sci Monit* 2002; **8**:RA253–7.
- [210] Miki T, Lehmann T, Cai H, Stolz DB, Strom SC. Stem cell characteristics of amniotic epithelial cells. *Stem Cells* 2005; 23:1549– 59.
- [211] 211. Elwan MA, Sakuragawa N. Evidence for synthesis and release of catecholamines by human amniotic epithelial cells. *Neuroreport* 1997; 8:3435–8.
- [212] Cananzi M, Atala A, Coppi P De. Stem Cells from Amniotic Fluid.; 2011:223–239.
- [213] Prusa A-R. Oct-4-expressing cells in human amniotic fluid: a new source for stem cell research? *Hum Reprod* 2003; **18**:1489–1493.
- [214] Phermthai T, Odglun Y, Julavijitphong S, *et al.* A novel method to derive amniotic fluid stem cells for therapeutic purposes. *BMC Cell Biol* 2010; **11**:79.
- [215] Doetschman TC, Eistetter H, Katz M, Schmidt W, Kemler R. The in vitro development of blastocyst-derived embryonic stem cell lines: formation of visceral yolk sac, blood islands and myocardium. J Embryol Exp Morphol 1985; 87:27–45.
- [216] Tsai M-S, Lee J-L, Chang Y-J, Hwang S-M. Isolation of human multipotent mesenchymal stem cells from second-trimester amniotic fluid using a novel two-stage culture protocol. *Hum Reprod* 2004; 19:1450–6.
- [217] Tsai M-S, Hwang S-M, Tsai Y-L, Cheng F-C, Lee J-L, Chang Y-J. Clonal amniotic fluid-derived stem cells express characteristics of both mesenchymal and neural stem cells. *Biol Reprod* 2006; **74**:545–51.
- [218] Guerrero R, Florez PE. The duration of pregnancy. *Lancet* 1969; **2**:268–9.
- [219] Hoehn H, Salk D. Morphological and biochemical heterogeneity of amniotic fluid cells in culture. *Methods Cell Biol* 1982; **26**:11–34.
- [220] Ditadi A, de Coppi P, Picone O, *et al.* Human and murine amniotic fluid c-Kit+Lin- cells display hematopoietic activity. *Blood* 2009; **113**:3953–60.

- [221] Arnhold S, Glüer S, Hartmann K, et al. Amniotic-Fluid Stem Cells: Growth Dynamics and Differentiation Potential after a CD-117-Based Selection Procedure. Stem Cells Int 2011; 2011:715341.
- [222] Chun SY, Mack DL, Moorefield E, *et al.* Pdx1 and controlled culture conditions induced differentiation of human amniotic fluid-derived stem cells to insulin-producing clusters. *J Tissue Eng Regen Med* 2012:1–18.
- [223] Liu H, Liu D, Li B, *et al.* Human amniotic fluid-derived stem cells can differentiate into hepatocyte-like cells in vitro and in vivo. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim* 2011; **47**:601–8.
- [224] Wei P-C, Chao A, Peng H-H, *et al.* SOX9 as a Predictor for Neurogenesis Potentiality of Amniotic Fluid Stem Cells. *Stem Cells Transl Med* 2014.
- [225] Skardal A, Mack D, Atala A, Soker S. Substrate elasticity controls cell proliferation, surface marker expression and motile phenotype in amniotic fluid-derived stem cells. J Mech Behav Biomed Mater 2013; 17:307–16.
- [226] Underwood M a, Gilbert WM, Sherman MP. Amniotic fluid: not just fetal urine anymore. *J Perinatol* 2005; **25**:341–8.
- [227] Bottai D, Cigognini D, Nicora E, *et al.* Third trimester amniotic fluid cells with the capacity to develop neural phenotypes and with heterogeneity among sub-populations. *Restor Neurol Neurosci* 2012; **30**:55–68.
- [228] Bottai D, Scesa G, Cigognini D, *et al.* Third trimester NG2-positive amniotic fluid cells are effective in improving repair in spinal cord injury. *Exp Neurol* 2014; **254**:121–33.
- [229] Desbaillets I, Ziegler U, Groscurth P, Gassmann M. Embryoid bodies: an in vitro model of mouse embryogenesis. *Exp Physiol* 2000; **85**:645–51.
- [230] Kurosawa H. Methods for inducing embryoid body formation: in vitro differentiation system of embryonic stem cells. *J Biosci Bioeng* 2007; **103**:389–98.
- [231] Rodda SJ, Kavanagh SJ, Rathjen J, Rathjen PD. Embryonic stem cell differentiation and the analysis of mammalian development. *Int J Dev Biol* 2002; **46**:449–58.
- [232] Gao L, Syahril A, Rozita R, Nordin N. Neural Commitment of Embryonic Stem Cells through the Formation of Embryoid Bodies (EBs). *Malays J Med Sci* 2014; **21**:8–16.

- [233] Höpfl G, Gassmann M, Desbaillets I. Differentiating embryonic stem cells into embryoid bodies. *Methods Mol Biol* 2004; **254**:79–98.
- [234] Lee SH, Lumelsky N, Studer L, Auerbach JM, McKay RD. Efficient generation of midbrain and hindbrain neurons from mouse embryonic stem cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 2000; **18**:675–9.
- [235] Preda MB, Burlacu A, Simionescu M. Defined-size embryoid bodies formed in the presence of serum replacement increases the efficiency of the cardiac differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells. *Tissue Cell* 2013; **45**:54–60.
- [236] Mochizuki H, Ohnuki Y, Kurosawa H. Effect of glucose concentration during embryoid body (EB) formation from mouse embryonic stem cells on EB growth and cell differentiation. *J Biosci Bioeng* 2011; 111:92–7.
- [237] Conley BJ, Young JC, Trounson AO, Mollard R. Derivation, propagation and differentiation of human embryonic stem cells. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2004; **36**:555–67.
- [238] Kim PTW, Hoffman BG, Plesner A, *et al.* Differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells into endoderm without embryoid body formation. *PLoS One* 2010; **5**:e14146.
- [239] Wang X, Yang P. In vitro differentiation of mouse embryonic stem (mES) cells using the hanging drop method. *J Vis Exp* 2008.
- [240] Wobus a M, Kaomei G, Shan J, et al. Retinoic acid accelerates embryonic stem cell-derived cardiac differentiation and enhances development of ventricular cardiomyocytes. J Mol Cell Cardiol 1997; 29:1525–1539.
- [241] Yoon BS, Yoo SJ, Lee JE, You S, Lee HT, Yoon HS. Enhanced differentiation of human embryonic stem cells into cardiomyocytes by combining hanging drop culture and 5-azacytidine treatment. *Differentiation* 2006; **74**:149–59.
- [242] He W, Ye L, Li S, *et al.* Stirred suspension culture improves embryoid body formation and cardiogenic differentiation of genetically modified embryonic stem cells. *Biol Pharm Bull* 2012; **35**:308–16.
- [243] Carpenedo RL, Sargent CY, McDevitt TC. Rotary suspension culture enhances the efficiency, yield, and homogeneity of embryoid body differentiation. *Stem Cells* 2007; **25**:2224–2234.
- [244] Niebruegge S, Nehring A, Bär H, Schroeder M, Zweigerdt R, Lehmann J. Cardiomyocyte production in mass suspension culture:

embryonic stem cells as a source for great amounts of functional cardiomyocytes. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2008; **14**:1591–601.

- [245] Spelke DP, Ortmann D, Khademhosseini A, Ferreira L, Karp JM. Methods for embryoid body formation: the microwell approach. *Methods Mol Biol* 2011; **690**:151–62.
- [246] Hwang Y-S, Chung BG, Ortmann D, Hattori N, Moeller H-C, Khademhosseini A. Microwell-mediated control of embryoid body size regulates embryonic stem cell fate via differential expression of WNT5a and WNT11. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009; 106:16978–83.
- [247] Messana JM, Hwang NS, Coburn J, Elisseeff JH, Zhang Z. Size of the embryoid body influences chondrogenesis of mouse embryonic stem cells. J Tissue Eng Regen Med 2008; 2:499–506.
- [248] Mohr JC, Zhang J, Azarin SM, *et al.* The microwell control of embryoid body size in order to regulate cardiac differentiation of human embryonic stem cells. *Biomaterials* 2010; **31**:1885–93.
- [249] Lillehoj PB, Tsutsui H, Valamehr B, Wu H, Ho C-M. Continuous sorting of heterogeneous-sized embryoid bodies. *Lab Chip* 2010; **10**:1678–82.
- [250] Giobbe GG, Zagallo M, Riello M, *et al.* Confined 3D microenvironment regulates early differentiation in human pluripotent stem cells. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2012; **109**:3119–32.
- [251] Xu F, Sridharan B, Wang S, Gurkan UA, Syverud B, Demirci U. Embryonic stem cell bioprinting for uniform and controlled size embryoid body formation. *Biomicrofluidics* 2011; **5**:22207.
- [252] Moeller H-C, Mian MK, Shrivastava S, Chung BG, Khademhosseini A. A microwell array system for stem cell culture. *Biomaterials* 2008; 29:752–63.
- [253] Sánchez Alvarado A, Yamanaka S. Rethinking differentiation: stem cells, regeneration, and plasticity. *Cell* 2014; **157**:110–9.
- [254] Paschaki M, Lin S-C, Wong RLY, Finnell RH, Dollé P, Niederreither K. Retinoic acid-dependent signaling pathways and lineage events in the developing mouse spinal cord. *PLoS One* 2012; **7**:e32447.
- [255] Wianny F, Bourillot P-Y, Dehay C. Embryonic stem cells in nonhuman primates: An overview of neural differentiation potential. *Differentiation* 2011; 81:142–52.
- [256] Stavridis MP, Smith a G. Neural differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells. *Biochem Soc Trans* 2003; **31**:45–9.

- [257] Ye W, Shimamura K, Rubenstein JL, Hynes MA, Rosenthal A. FGF and Shh signals control dopaminergic and serotonergic cell fate in the anterior neural plate. *Cell* 1998; **93**:755–66.
- [258] Zhou J-M, Xing F-Y, Shi J-J, Fang Z-F, Chen X-J, Chen F. Quality of embryonic bodies and seeding density effects on neural differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells. *Cell Biol Int* 2008; **32**:1169–75.
- [259] Barberi T, Klivenyi P, Calingasan NY, et al. Neural subtype specification of fertilization and nuclear transfer embryonic stem cells and application in parkinsonian mice. Nat Biotechnol 2003; 21:1200–7.
- [260] Kawasaki H, Mizuseki K, Nishikawa S, et al. Induction of midbrain dopaminergic neurons from ES cells by stromal cell-derived inducing activity. *Neuron* 2000; 28:31–40.
- [261] Perrier AL, Tabar V, Barberi T, et al. Derivation of midbrain dopamine neurons from human embryonic stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004; 101:12543–8.
- [262] Roybon L, Brundin P, Li J-Y. Stromal cell-derived inducing activity does not promote dopaminergic differentiation, but enhances differentiation and proliferation of neural stem cell-derived astrocytes. *Exp Neurol* 2005; **196**:373–80.
- [263] Schwartz PH, Brick DJ, Stover AE, Loring JF, Müller F-J. Differentiation of neural lineage cells from human pluripotent stem cells. *Methods* 2008; 45:142–58.
- [264] Danon Y, Kaminsky E. Dimethyl sulfoxide-induced differentiation does not alter tumorigenicity of neuroblastoma cells. *J Neurooncol* 1985; **3**.
- [265] Pal R, Mamidi MK, Das AK, Bhonde R. Diverse effects of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) on the differentiation potential of human embryonic stem cells. *Arch Toxicol* 2012; **86**:651–61.
- [266] Lei Z, Yongda L, Jun M, *et al.* Culture and neural differentiation of rat bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells in vitro. *Cell Biol Int* 2007; 31:916–23.
- [267] Pacherník J, Horváth V, Kubala L, Dvorák P, Kozubík A, Hampl A. Neural differentiation potentiated by the leukaemia inhibitory factor through STAT3 signalling in mouse embryonal carcinoma cells. *Folia Biol (Praha)* 2007; **53**:157–63.
- [268] Simamura E, Shimada H, Higashi N, Uchishiba M, Otani H, Hatta T. Maternal leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) promotes fetal neurogenesis via a LIF-ACTH-LIF signaling relay pathway. *Endocrinology* 2010; 151:1853–62.

- [269] Guo G, Huss M, Tong GQ, *et al.* Resolution of cell fate decisions revealed by single-cell gene expression analysis from zygote to blastocyst. *Dev Cell* 2010; **18**:675–85.
- [270] Trott J, Hayashi K, Surani A, Babu MM, Martinez-Arias A. Dissecting ensemble networks in ES cell populations reveals micro-heterogeneity underlying pluripotency. *Mol Biosyst* 2012; **8**:744–52.
- [271] Hayashi K, Lopes SMC de S, Tang F, Surani MA. Dynamic equilibrium and heterogeneity of mouse pluripotent stem cells with distinct functional and epigenetic states. *Cell Stem Cell* 2008; 3:391– 401.
- [272] Tang F, Barbacioru C, Nordman E, *et al.* RNA-Seq analysis to capture the transcriptome landscape of a single cell. *Nat Protoc* 2010; **5**:516–35.
- [273] Ying Q-L, Smith AG. Differentiation of Embryonic Stem Cells. *null* 2003; **365**.
- [274] Trott J, Martinez Arias A. Single cell lineage analysis of mouse embryonic stem cells at the exit from pluripotency. *Biol Open* 2013; 2:1049–56.
- [275] Moignard V, Macaulay IC, Swiers G, *et al.* Characterization of transcriptional networks in blood stem and progenitor cells using high-throughput single-cell gene expression analysis. *Nat Cell Biol* 2013; **15**:363–72.
- [276] Pina C, Fugazza C, Tipping AJ, *et al.* Inferring rules of lineage commitment in haematopoiesis. *Nat Cell Biol* 2012; **14**:287–94.
- [277] O'Shea KS. Neuronal differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells: lineage selection and forced differentiation paradigms. *Blood Cells Mol Dis* 2010; **27**:705–12.
- [278] Schmandt T, Meents E, Gossrau G, Gornik V, Okabe S, Brüstle O. High-purity lineage selection of embryonic stem cell-derived neurons. *Stem Cells Dev* 2005; **14**:55–64.
- [279] Sawamoto K, Yamamoto A, Kawaguchi A, et al. Direct isolation of committed neuronal progenitor cells from transgenic mice coexpressing spectrally distinct fluorescent proteins regulated by stage-specific neural promoters. J Neurosci Res 2001; 65:220–7.
- [280] Wernig M, Tucker KL, Gornik V, *et al.* Tau EGFP embryonic stem cells: an efficient tool for neuronal lineage selection and transplantation. *J Neurosci Res* 2002; **69**:918–24.

- [281] Noble BS. The osteocyte lineage. Arch Biochem Biophys 2008; 473:106–11.
- [282] Kalajzic I, Matthews BG, Torreggiani E, Harris MA, Divieti P, Harris SE. In vitro and in vivo approaches to study osteocyte biology. *Bone* 2013; 54:296–306.
- [283] Marotti G. The structure of bone tissues and the cellular control of their deposition. *Ital J Anat Embryol* 1996; **101**:25–79.
- [284] Van Bezooijen RL, Papapoulos SE, Hamdy NA, ten Dijke P, Löwik CW. Control of bone formation by osteocytes? lessons from the rare skeletal disorders sclerosteosis and van Buchem disease. *BoneKEy-Osteovision* 2005; 2:33–38.
- [285] Dallas SL, Prideaux M, Bonewald LF. The osteocyte: an endocrine cell ... and more. *Endocr Rev* 2013; **34**:658–90.
- [286] Song I, Kim B-S, Kim C-S, Im G-I. Effects of BMP-2 and vitamin D3 on the osteogenic differentiation of adipose stem cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2011; **408**:126–31.
- [287] Vater C, Kasten P, Stiehler M. Culture media for the differentiation of mesenchymal stromal cells. *Acta Biomater* 2011; **7**:463–77.
- [288] Langenbach F, Handschel JR. Effects of dexamethasone, ascorbic acid and ?-glycerophosphate on the osteogenic differentiation of stem cells in vitro. *Stem Cell Res Ther* 2013; **4**:117.
- [289] Schwarz RI, Kleinman P, Owens N. Ascorbate can act as an inducer of the collagen pathway because most steps are tightly coupled. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1987; 498:172–85.
- [290] Phillips JE, Gersbach C a, Wojtowicz AM, García AJ. Glucocorticoidinduced osteogenesis is negatively regulated by Runx2/Cbfa1 serine phosphorylation. J Cell Sci 2006; 119:581–591.
- [291] Takahashi T. Overexpression of Runx2 and MKP-1 stimulates transdifferentiation of 3T3-L1 preadipocytes into bone-forming osteoblasts in vitro. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2011; **88**:336–47.
- [292] Song I-H, Caplan AI, Dennis JE. In vitro dexamethasone pretreatment enhances bone formation of human mesenchymal stem cells in vivo. J Orthop Res 2009; 27:916–21.
- [293] Sugawara Y, Suzuki K, Koshikawa M, Ando M, Iida J. Necessity of enzymatic activity of alkaline phosphatase for mineralization of osteoblastic cells. *Jpn J Pharmacol* 2002; 88:262–9.

- [294] Spoerke ED, Anthony SG, Stupp SI. Enzyme directed templating of artificial bone mineral. *Adv Mater* 2009; **21**:425–430.
- [295] Geng S, Zhou S, Glowacki J. Effects of 25-hydroxyvitamin D(3) on proliferation and osteoblast differentiation of human marrow stromal cells require CYP27B1/1α-hydroxylase. *J Bone Miner Res* 2011; 26:1145–1153.
- [296] Takeuchi K, Guggino SE. 24R,25-(OH)2 vitamin D3 inhibits 1α,25-(OH)2 vitamin D3 and testosterone potentiation of calcium channels in osteosarcoma cells. *J Biol Chem* 1996; **271**:33335–33343.
- [297] Kato H, Ochiai-Shino H, Onodera S, Saito A, Shibahara T, Azuma T. Promoting effect of 1,25(OH)2 vitamin D3 in osteogenic differentiation from induced pluripotent stem cells to osteocyte-like cells. Open Biol 2015; 5:140201–140201.
- [298] Ogasawara T. Involvements of runt-related transcription factor 2 with cell-cycle machinery in osteoblasts and skeletal development: a review of the literature. *Hard Tissue* 2013; **2**:2–5.
- [299] Gazit D, Zilberman Y, Turgeman G, Zhou S, Kahn A. Recombinant TGF-beta1 stimulates bone marrow osteoprogenitor cell activity and bone matrix synthesis in osteopenic, old male mice. *J Cell Biochem* 1999; **73**:379–89.
- [300] Mouw JK, Case ND, Guldberg RE, Plaas AHK, Levenston ME. Variations in matrix composition and GAG fine structure among scaffolds for cartilage tissue engineering. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2005; **13**:828–36.
- [301] Zhang L, Hu J, Athanasiou KA. The role of tissue engineering in articular cartilage repair and regeneration. *Crit Rev Biomed Eng* 2009; **37**:1–57.
- [302] Sophia Fox AJ, Bedi A, Rodeo SA. The basic science of articular cartilage: structure, composition, and function. *Sports Health* 2009; **1**:461–8.
- [303] Hutmacher DW. Scaffolds in tissue engineering bone and cartilage. *Biomaterials* 2000; **21**:2529–2543.
- [304] Sharma C, Gautam S, Dinda AK, Mishra NC. Cartilage tissue engineering: Current scenario and challenges. *Adv Mater Lett* 2011; **2**:90–99.
- [305] Greco K V., Iqbal AJ, Rattazzi L, *et al.* High density micromass cultures of a human chondrocyte cell line: A reliable assay system to

reveal the modulatory functions of pharmacological agents. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2011; **82**:1919–1929.

- [306] Giovannini S, Diaz-Romero J, Aigner T, Heini P, Mainil-Varlet P, Nesic D. Micromass co-culture of human articular chondrocytes and human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells to investigate stable neocartilage tissue formation in vitro. *Eur Cell Mater* 2010; 20:245– 259.
- [307] Mehlhorn AT, Niemeyer P, Kaschte K, *et al.* Differential effects of BMP-2 and TGF-beta1 on chondrogenic differentiation of adipose derived stem cells. *Cell Prolif* 2007; **40**:809–23.
- [308] Temu TM, Wu K-Y, Gruppuso PA, Phornphutkul C. The mechanism of ascorbic acid-induced differentiation of ATDC5 chondrogenic cells. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2010; **299**:E325–34.
- [309] Phornphutkul C, Wu K-Y, Yang X, Chen Q, Gruppuso PA. Insulin-like growth factor-I signaling is modified during chondrocyte differentiation. *J Endocrinol* 2004; **183**:477–86.
- [310] Mastrogiacomo M, Cancedda R, Quarto R. Effect of different growth factors on the chondrogenic potential of human bone marrow stromal cells. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2001; **9 Suppl A**:S36–40.
- [311] Barry F, Boynton RE, Liu B, Murphy JM. Chondrogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells from bone marrow: differentiationdependent gene expression of matrix components. *Exp Cell Res* 2001; 268:189–200.
- [312] Xu Y, James AW, Longaker MT. Transforming growth factor-beta1 stimulates chondrogenic differentiation of posterofrontal suturederived mesenchymal cells in vitro. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2008; 122:1649–59.
- [313] Tuli R, Tuli S, Nandi S, *et al.* Transforming growth factor-betamediated chondrogenesis of human mesenchymal progenitor cells involves N-cadherin and mitogen-activated protein kinase and Wnt signaling cross-talk. *J Biol Chem* 2003; **278**:41227–36.
- [314] Derfoul A, Perkins GL, Hall DJ, Tuan RS. Glucocorticoids promote chondrogenic differentiation of adult human mesenchymal stem cells by enhancing expression of cartilage extracellular matrix genes. *Stem Cells* 2006; 24:1487–95.
- [315] Mushtaq T, Farquharson C, Seawright E, Ahmed SF. Glucocorticoid effects on chondrogenesis, differentiation and apoptosis in the murine ATDC5 chondrocyte cell line. *J Endocrinol* 2002; **175**:705–13.

- [316] Shintani N, Hunziker EB. Differential effects of dexamethasone on the chondrogenesis of mesenchymal stromal cells: Influence of microenvironment, tissue origin and growth factor. *Eur Cells Mater* 2011; 22:302–320.
- [317] Titorencu I, Albu MG, Anton F, Georgescu A, Jinga V V. Scaffolds for Bone Tissue Engineering. *Mol Cryst Liq Cryst* 2012; **555**:208–217.
- [318] Solchaga LA, Penick K, Goldberg VM, Caplan AI, Welter JF. Fibroblast growth factor-2 enhances proliferation and delays loss of chondrogenic potential in human adult bone-marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2010; **16**:1009–19.
- [319] Richter W. Mesenchymal stem cells and cartilage in situ regeneration. *J Intern Med* 2009; **266**:390–405.
- [320] Phornphutkul C, Wu K-Y, Gruppuso PA. The role of insulin in chondrogenesis. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 2006; **249**:107–15.
- [321] Matsuda C, Takagi M, Hattori T, Wakitani S, Yoshida T. Differentiation of Human Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells to Chondrocytes for Construction of Three-dimensional Cartilage Tissue. Cytotechnology 2005; 47:11–7.
- [322] Xu D, Gechtman Z, Hughes A, *et al.* Potential involvement of BMP receptor type IB activation in a synergistic effect of chondrogenic promotion between rhTGFbeta3 and rhGDF5 or rhBMP7 in human mesenchymal stem cells. *Growth Factors* 2006; **24**:268–78.
- [323] Arner P, Bernard S, Salehpour M, *et al.* Dynamics of human adipose lipid turnover in health and metabolic disease. *Nature* 2011; **478**:110– 3.
- [324] Saely CH, Geiger K, Drexel H. Brown versus white adipose tissue: a mini-review. *Gerontology* 2012; **58**:15–23.
- [325] Kubo Y, Kaidzu S, Nakajima I, Takenouchi K, Nakamura F. Organization of extracellular matrix components during differentiation of adipocytes in long-term culture. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim* 2000; 36:38–44.
- [326] Nakajima I, Yamaguchi T, Ozutsumi K, Aso H. Adipose tissue extracellular matrix: newly organized by adipocytes during differentiation. *Differentiation* 1998; **63**:193–200.
- [327] Nakajima I, Muroya S, Tanabe R, Chikuni K. Extracellular matrix development during differentiation into adipocytes with a unique increase in type V and VI collagen. *Biol Cell* 2002; **94**:197–203.

- [328] Hausman GJ, Richardson RL. Newly recruited and pre-existing preadipocytes in cultures of porcine stromal-vascular cells: morphology, expression of extracellular matrix components, and lipid accretion. *J Anim Sci* 1998; **76**:48–60.
- [329] Farnier C, Krief S, Blache M, *et al.* Adipocyte functions are modulated by cell size change: potential involvement of an integrin/ERK signalling pathway. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2003; **27**:1178–86.
- [330] Spalding KL, Arner E, Westermark PO, *et al.* Dynamics of fat cell turnover in humans. *Nature* 2008; **453**:783–7.
- [331] Arner P, Spalding KL. Fat cell turnover in humans. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2010; **396**:101–4.
- [332] Shugart EC, Umek RM. Dexamethasone signaling is required to establish the postmitotic state of adipocyte development. *Cell Growth Differ* 1997; **8**:1091–8.
- [333] Knight DM, Chapman AB, Navre M, Drinkwater L, Bruno JJ, Ringold GM. Requirements for triggering of adipocyte differentiation by glucocorticoids and indomethacin. *Mol Endocrinol* 1987; **1**:36–43.
- [334] Hauner H, Schmid P, Pfeiffer EF. Glucocorticoids and insulin promote the differentiation of human adipocyte precursor cells into fat cells. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1987; **64**:832–5.
- [335] Deree J, Martins JO, Melbostad H, Loomis WH, Coimbra R. Insights into the regulation of TNF-alpha production in human mononuclear cells: the effects of non-specific phosphodiesterase inhibition. *Clinics* (Sao Paulo) 2008; **63**:321–8.
- [336] Yang D-C, Tsay H-J, Lin S-Y, *et al.* cAMP/PKA regulates osteogenesis, adipogenesis and ratio of RANKL/OPG mRNA expression in mesenchymal stem cells by suppressing leptin. *PLoS One* 2008; **3**:e1540.
- [337] Löffler G, Hauner H. Adipose tissue development: the role of precursor cells and adipogenic factors. Part II: The regulation of the adipogenic conversion by hormones and serum factors. *Klin Wochenschr* 1987; **65**:812–7.
- [338] Zhang HH, Huang J, Düvel K, *et al.* Insulin stimulates adipogenesis through the Akt-TSC2-mTORC1 pathway. *PLoS One* 2009; **4**:e6189.
- [339] Styner M, Sen B, Xie Z, Case N, Rubin J. Indomethacin promotes adipogenesis of mesenchymal stem cells through a cyclooxygenase independent mechanism. *J Cell Biochem* 2010; **111**:1042–50.

- [340] Rahman S, Czernik PJ, Lu Y, Lecka-Czernik B. β-catenin directly sequesters adipocytic and insulin sensitizing activities but not osteoblastic activity of PPARγ2 in marrow mesenchymal stem cells. *PLoS One* 2012; **7**:e51746.
- [341] Yanik SC, Baker AH, Mann KK, Schlezinger JJ. Organotins are potent activators of PPARγ and adipocyte differentiation in bone marrow multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells. *Toxicol Sci* 2011; **122**:476–88.
- [342] Kehat I, Kenyagin-Karsenti D, Snir M, *et al.* Human embryonic stem cells can differentiate into myocytes with structural and functional properties of cardiomyocytes. *J Clin Invest* 2001; **108**:407–14.
- [343] Filipczyk a a, Passier R, Rochat a, Mummery CL. Regulation of cardiomyocyte differentiation of embryonic stem cells by extracellular signalling. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2007; 64:704–18.
- [344] Mummery C, Ward-van Oostwaard D, Doevendans P, et al. Differentiation of human embryonic stem cells to cardiomyocytes: role of coculture with visceral endoderm-like cells. *Circulation* 2003; 107:2733–40.
- [345] Bin Z, Sheng L-G, Gang Z-C, *et al.* Efficient cardiomyocyte differentiation of embryonic stem cells by bone morphogenetic protein-2 combined with visceral endoderm-like cells. *Cell Biol Int* 2006; **30**:769–76.
- [346] Zeng J, Wang Y, Wei Y, Xie A, Lou Y, Zhang M. Co-culture with cardiomyocytes induces mesenchymal stem cells to differentiate into cardiomyocyte-like cells and express heart development-associated genes. *Cell Res* 2008; **18**:S62–S62.
- [347] Ou D-B, Zeng D, Jin Y, *et al.* The long-term differentiation of embryonic stem cells into cardiomyocytes: an indirect co-culture model. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**:e55233.
- [348] Laflamme MA, Chen KY, Naumova A V, *et al.* Cardiomyocytes derived from human embryonic stem cells in pro-survival factors enhance function of infarcted rat hearts. *Nat Biotechnol* 2007; **25**:1015–24.
- [349] Melkoumian Z, Weber JL, Weber DM, *et al.* Synthetic peptide-acrylate surfaces for long-term self-renewal and cardiomyocyte differentiation of human embryonic stem cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 2010; **28**:606–10.
- [350] Xu C. Differentiation and enrichment of cardiomyocytes from human pluripotent stem cells. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 2012; **52**:1203–12.

- [351] Xu XQ, Graichen R, Soo SY, *et al.* Chemically defined medium supporting cardiomyocyte differentiation of human embryonic stem cells. *Differentiation* 2008; **76**:958–70.
- [352] Freund C, Ward-van Oostwaard D, Monshouwer-Kloots J, et al. Insulin redirects differentiation from cardiogenic mesoderm and endoderm to neuroectoderm in differentiating human embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells 2008; 26:724–33.
- [353] Sumi T, Tsuneyoshi N, Nakatsuji N, Suemori H. Defining early lineage specification of human embryonic stem cells by the orchestrated balance of canonical Wnt/beta-catenin, Activin/Nodal and BMP signaling. *Development* 2008; **135**:2969–79.
- [354] Vijayaragavan K, Szabo E, Bossé M, Ramos-Mejia V, Moon RT, Bhatia M. Noncanonical Wnt signaling orchestrates early developmental events toward hematopoietic cell fate from human embryonic stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 2009; **4**:248–62.
- [355] Garg S, Dutta R, Malakar D, *et al.* Cardiomyocytes rhythmically beating generated from goat embryonic stem cell. *Theriogenology* 2012; **77**:829–39.
- [356] Barron M, Gao M, Lough J. Requirement for BMP and FGF signaling during cardiogenic induction in non-precardiac mesoderm is specific, transient, and cooperative. *Dev Dyn* 2000; **218**:383–93.
- [357] Lincoln J, Alfieri CM, Yutzey KE. BMP and FGF regulatory pathways control cell lineage diversification of heart valve precursor cells. *Dev Biol* 2006; **292**:290–302.
- [358] Bond J, Sedmera D, Jourdan J, *et al.* Wnt11 and Wnt7a are upregulated in association with differentiation of cardiac conduction cells in vitro and in vivo. *Dev Dyn* 2003; **227**:536–43.
- [359] Igelmund P, Fleischmann BK, Fischer IR, et al. Action potential propagation failures in long-term recordings from embryonic stem cellderived cardiomyocytes in tissue culture. *Pflugers Arch* 1999; 437:669–79.
- [360] Vidarsson H, Hyllner J, Sartipy P. Differentiation of human embryonic stem cells to cardiomyocytes for in vitro and in vivo applications. *Stem Cell Rev* 2010; **6**:108–20.
- [361] Makino S, Fukuda K, Miyoshi S, *et al.* Cardiomyocytes can be generated from marrow stromal cells in vitro. *J Clin Invest* 1999; **103**:697–705.

- [362] Hakuno D, Fukuda K, Makino S, *et al.* Bone marrow-derived regenerated cardiomyocytes (CMG Cells) express functional adrenergic and muscarinic receptors. *Circulation* 2002; **105**:380–6.
- [363] Fukuda K. Use of adult marrow mesenchymal stem cells for regeneration of cardiomyocytes. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2003; 32 Suppl 1:S25–7.
- [364] Zhang Y, Chu Y, Shen W, Dou Z. Effect of 5-azacytidine induction duration on differentiation of human first-trimester fetal mesenchymal stem cells towards cardiomyocyte-like cells. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2009; **9**:943–6.
- [365] Potdar PD, Prasannan P. Differentiation of Human Dermal Mesenchymal Stem Cells into Cardiomyocytes by Treatment with 5-Azacytidine: Concept for Regenerative Therapy in Myocardial Infarction. ISRN Stem Cells 2013; 2013:1–9.
- [366] 366. Xu C, Police S, Rao N, Carpenter MK. Characterization and enrichment of cardiomyocytes derived from human embryonic stem cells. *Circ Res* 2002; **91**:501–8.
- [367] Haaf T. The effects of 5-azacytidine and 5-azadeoxycytidine on chromosome structure and function: implications for methylation-associated cellular processes. *Pharmacol Ther* 1995; **65**:19–46.
- [368] Qian Q, Qian H, Zhang X, et al. 5-Azacytidine induces cardiac differentiation of human umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem cells by activating extracellular regulated kinase. Stem Cells Dev 2012; 21:67–75.
- [369] Xu C, Inokuma MS, Denham J, *et al.* Feeder-free growth of undifferentiated human embryonic stem cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 2001; **19**:971–4.
- [370] Tada S, Era T, Furusawa C, *et al.* Characterization of mesendoderm: a diverging point of the definitive endoderm and mesoderm in embryonic stem cell differentiation culture. *Development* 2005; **132**:4363–74.
- [371] D'Amour K a, Bang AG, Eliazer S, *et al.* Production of pancreatic hormone-expressing endocrine cells from human embryonic stem cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 2006; **24**:1392–401.
- [372] Kim PTW, Ong CJ. Differentiation of definitive endoderm from mouse embryonic stem cells. *Results Probl Cell Differ* 2012; **55**:303–19.

- [373] Jiang J, Au M, Lu K, et al. Generation of insulin-producing islet-like clusters from human embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells 2007; 25:1940–53.
- [374] Higuchi Y, Shiraki N, Kume S. In vitro models of pancreatic differentiation using embryonic stem or induced pluripotent stem cells. *Congenit Anom (Kyoto)* 2011; **51**:21–5.
- [375] Smith JC, Price BM, Van Nimmen K, Huylebroeck D. Identification of a potent Xenopus mesoderm-inducing factor as a homologue of activin A. *Nature* 1990; **345**:729–31.
- [376] Sulzbacher S, Schroeder IS, Truong TT, Wobus AM. Activin Ainduced differentiation of embryonic stem cells into endoderm and pancreatic progenitors-the influence of differentiation factors and culture conditions. *Stem Cell Rev* 2009; **5**:159–73.
- [377] Gamer LW, Wright C V. Autonomous endodermal determination in Xenopus: regulation of expression of the pancreatic gene XIHbox 8. *Dev Biol* 1995; **171**:240–51.
- [378] McLean AB, D'Amour KA, Jones KL, *et al.* Activin a efficiently specifies definitive endoderm from human embryonic stem cells only when phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signaling is suppressed. *Stem Cells* 2007; **25**:29–38.
- [379] D'Amour KA, Agulnick AD, Eliazer S, Kelly OG, Kroon E, Baetge EE. Efficient differentiation of human embryonic stem cells to definitive endoderm. *Nat Biotechnol* 2005; **23**:1534–41.
- [380] Vallier L, Touboul T, Chng Z, *et al.* Early cell fate decisions of human embryonic stem cells and mouse epiblast stem cells are controlled by the same signalling pathways. *PLoS One* 2009; **4**:e6082.
- [381] Willems E, Leyns L. Patterning of mouse embryonic stem cell-derived pan-mesoderm by Activin A/Nodal and Bmp4 signaling requires Fibroblast Growth Factor activity. *Differentiation* 2008; **76**:745–59.
- [382] Xu X, Browning VL, Odorico JS. Activin, BMP and FGF pathways cooperate to promote endoderm and pancreatic lineage cell differentiation from human embryonic stem cells. *Mech Dev* 2011; **128**:412–27.
- [383] Hosoya M, Kunisada Y, Kurisaki A, Asashima M. Induction of differentiation of undifferentiated cells into pancreatic beta cells in vertebrates. *Int J Dev Biol* 2012; **56**:313–323.

- [384] Guijarro P, Wang Y, Ying Y, Yao Y, Jieyi X, Yuan X. In vivo knockdown of cKit impairs neuronal migration and axonal extension in the cerebral cortex. *Dev Neurobiol* 2013; **73**:871–87.
- [385] Taylor ML, Metcalfe DD. Kit signal transduction. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am* 2000; **14**:517–35.
- [386] Orr-Urtreger A, Avivi A, Zimmer Y, Givol D, Yarden Y, Lonai P. Developmental expression of c-kit, a proto-oncogene encoded by the W locus. *Development* 1990; **109**:911–23.
- [387] Davis DR, Kizana E, Terrovitis J, *et al.* Isolation and expansion of functionally-competent cardiac progenitor cells directly from heart biopsies. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 2010; **49**:312–21.
- [388] Davis DR, Zhang Y, Smith RR, *et al.* Validation of the cardiosphere method to culture cardiac progenitor cells from myocardial tissue. *PLoS One* 2009; **4**:e7195.
- [389] Suzuki G, Iyer V, Lee T-C, Canty JM. Autologous mesenchymal stem cells mobilize cKit+ and CD133+ bone marrow progenitor cells and improve regional function in hibernating myocardium. *Circ Res* 2011; 109:1044–54.
- [390] Fernandez I, Fridley KM, Arasappan D, Ambler R V, Tucker PW, Roy K. Gene expression profile and functionality of ESC-derived Linckit+Sca-1+ cells are distinct from Lin-ckit+Sca-1+ cells isolated from fetal liver or bone marrow. *PLoS One* 2012; **7**:e51944.
- [391] Liu H, Liu D, Guan L, *et al.* [Effects of different culture conditions on isolation and expansion of stem cells from second-trimester amniotic fluids]. *Zhonghua Fu Chan Ke Za Zhi* 2009; **44**:241–5.
- [392] Williams RL, Hilton DJ, Pease S, *et al.* Myeloid leukaemia inhibitory factor maintains the developmental potential of embryonic stem cells. *Nature* 1988; **336**:684–7.
- [393] Taga T, Kishimoto T. Gp130 and the interleukin-6 family of cytokines. Annu Rev Immunol 1997; **15**:797–819.
- [394] Heinrich PC, Behrmann I, Müller-Newen G, Schaper F, Graeve L. Interleukin-6-type cytokine signalling through the gp130/Jak/STAT pathway. *Biochem J* 1998; **334 ( Pt 2**:297–314.
- [395] Kanazawa H, Ieda M, Kimura K, *et al.* Heart failure causes cholinergic transdifferentiation of cardiac sympathetic nerves via gp130-signaling cytokines in rodents. *J Clin Invest* 2010; **120**:408–421.

- [396] Tang Y, Tian XC. JAK-STAT3 and somatic cell reprogramming. *Jak-Stat* 2013; **2**:e24935.
- [397] Yang J, van Oosten AL, Theunissen TW, Guo G, Silva JCR, Smith A. Stat3 activation is limiting for reprogramming to ground state pluripotency. *Cell Stem Cell* 2010; **7**:319–28.
- [398] Cartwright P, McLean C, Sheppard A, Rivett D, Jones K, Dalton S. LIF/STAT3 controls ES cell self-renewal and pluripotency by a Mycdependent mechanism. *Development* 2005; **132**:885–96.
- [399] Forrai A, Boyle K, Hart AH, et al. Absence of suppressor of cytokine signalling 3 reduces self-renewal and promotes differentiation in murine embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells 2006; 24:604–14.
- [400] Ying Q-L, Stavridis M, Griffiths D, Li M, Smith A. Conversion of embryonic stem cells into neuroectodermal precursors in adherent monoculture. *Nat Biotechnol* 2003; **21**:183–6.
- [401] Roubelakis MG, Trohatou O, Anagnou NP. Amniotic fluid and amniotic membrane stem cells: marker discovery. *Stem Cells Int* 2012; **2012**:107836.
- [402] Joo S, Ko IK, Atala A, Yoo JJ, Lee SJ. Amniotic fluid-derived stem cells in regenerative medicine research. *Arch Pharm Res* 2012; **35**:271–80.
- [403] O'Reilly-Pol T, Johnson SL. Kit signaling is involved in melanocyte stem cell fate decisions in zebrafish embryos. *Development* 2013; **140**:996–1002.
- [404] Lennartsson J, Rönnstrand L. Stem cell factor receptor/c-Kit: from basic science to clinical implications. *Physiol Rev* 2012; **92**:1619–49.
- [405] Kuang D, Zhao X, Xiao G, *et al.* Stem cell factor/c-kit signaling mediated cardiac stem cell migration via activation of p38 MAPK. *Basic Res Cardiol* 2008; **103**:265–73.
- [406] Xiang F-L, Lu X, Hammoud L, *et al.* Cardiomyocyte-specific overexpression of human stem cell factor improves cardiac function and survival after myocardial infarction in mice. *Circulation* 2009; **120**:1065–74, 9 p following 1074.
- [407] Rothenberg ME, Nusse Y, Kalisky T, et al. Identification of a cKit(+) colonic crypt base secretory cell that supports Lgr5(+) stem cells in mice. Gastroenterology 2012; 142:1195–1205.e6.

- [408] Chen W, Siegel N, Li L, Pollak A, Hengstschläger M, Lubec G. Variations of protein levels in human amniotic fluid stem cells CD117/2 over passages 5-25. *J Proteome Res* 2009; **8**:5285–95.
- [409] Deberry C, Mou S, Linnekin D. Stat1 associates with c-kit and is activated in response to stem cell factor. *Biochem J* 1997; **327 ( Pt** 1:73–80.
- [410] Roubelakis MG, Bitsika V, Zagoura D, *et al.* In vitro and in vivo properties of distinct populations of amniotic fluid mesenchymal progenitor cells. *J Cell Mol Med* 2011; **15**:1896–913.
- [411] 411. Moschidou D, Mukherjee S, Blundell MP, *et al.* Valproic acid confers functional pluripotency to human amniotic fluid stem cells in a transgene-free approach. *Mol Ther* 2012; **20**:1953–67.
- [412] Carraro G, Perin L, Sedrakyan S, *et al.* Human amniotic fluid stem cells can integrate and differentiate into epithelial lung lineages. *Stem Cells* 2008; **26**:2902–11.
- [413] Siegel N, Rosner M, Hanneder M, Freilinger A, Hengstschläger M. Human amniotic fluid stem cells: a new perspective. *Amino Acids* 2008; **35**:291–3.
- [414] Atala A. Amniotic Fluid-Derived Pluripotential Cells. In: *Essentials of Stem Cell Biology*. Second Edi. Elsevier Inc.; 2009:145–150.
- [415] Hirai H, Karian P, Kikyo N. Regulation of embryonic stem cell selfrenewal and pluripotency by leukaemia inhibitory factor. *Biochem J* 2011; **438**:11–23.
- [416] Paling NRD, Wheadon H, Bone HK, Welham MJ. Regulation of embryonic stem cell self-renewal by phosphoinositide 3-kinasedependent signaling. *J Biol Chem* 2004; **279**:48063–70.
- [417] Koblas T, Leontovyč I, Zacharovová K, *et al.* Activation of the Jak/Stat signalling pathway by leukaemia inhibitory factor stimulates transdifferentiation of human non-endocrine pancreatic cells into insulinproducing cells. *Folia Biol (Praha)* 2012; **58**:98–105.
- [418] Nicolas CS, Amici M, Bortolotto ZA, *et al.* The role of JAK-STAT signaling within the CNS. *JAK-STAT* 2013; **2**:e22925.
- [419] Miller FD, Gauthier AS. Timing is everything: making neurons versus glia in the developing cortex. *Neuron* 2007; **54**:357–69.
- [420] Snyder M, Huang X-Y, Zhang JJ. Stat3 is essential for neuronal differentiation through direct transcriptional regulation of the Sox6 gene. *FEBS Lett* 2011; **585**:148–52.

- [421] Li Y-Q. Master stem cell transcription factors and signaling regulation. *Cell Reprogram* 2010; **12**:3–13.
- [422] Onishi K, Tonge PD, Nagy A, Zandstra PW. Microenvironmentmediated reversion of epiblast stem cells by reactivation of repressed JAK-STAT signaling. *Integr Biol (Camb)* 2012; **4**:1367–76.
- [423] Humphrey RK, Beattie GM, Lopez AD, *et al.* Maintenance of pluripotency in human embryonic stem cells is STAT3 independent. *Stem Cells* 2004; **22**:522–30.
- [424] Zheng Y-M, Zhao X, An Z. Neurogenic differentiation of EGFP gene transfected amniotic fluid-derived stem cells from pigs at intermediate and late gestational ages. *Reprod Domest Anim* 2010; **45**:e78–82.
- [425] Chamberlain G, Fox J, Ashton B, Middleton J. Concise review: mesenchymal stem cells: their phenotype, differentiation capacity, immunological features, and potential for homing. *Stem Cells* 2007; **25**:2739–49.
- [426] Liedtke S, Stephan M, Kögler G. Oct4 expression revisited: potential pitfalls for data misinterpretation in stem cell research. *Biol Chem* 2008; **389**:845–50.
- [427] Vodyanik M a, Bork J a, Thomson J a, Slukvin II. Human embryonic stem cell-derived CD34+ cells: efficient production in the coculture with OP9 stromal cells and analysis of lymphohematopoietic potential. *Blood* 2005; **105**:617–26.
- [428] Yang L, Soonpaa MH, Adler ED, *et al.* Human cardiovascular progenitor cells develop from a KDR+ embryonic-stem-cell-derived population. *Nature* 2008; **453**:524–8.
- [429] Huang J, Wang F, Okuka M, *et al.* Association of telomere length with authentic pluripotency of ES/iPS cells. *Cell Res* 2011; **21**:779–92.
- [430] Pucci F, Gardano L, Harrington L. Short telomeres in ESCs lead to unstable differentiation. *Cell Stem Cell* 2013; **12**:479–86.
- [431] Bischoff DS, Makhijani NS, Yamaguchi DT. Constitutive expression of human telomerase enhances the proliferation potential of human mesenchymal stem cells. *Biores Open Access* 2012; **1**:273–9.
- [432] Mattioli M, Gloria A, Turriani M, et al. Stemness characteristics and osteogenic potential of sheep amniotic epithelial cells. Cell Biol Int 2012; 36:7–19.

- [433] Kumar BM, Maeng G-H, Lee Y-M, *et al.* Neurogenic and cardiomyogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells isolated from minipig bone marrow. *Res Vet Sci* 2012; **93**:749–57.
- [434] Itskovitz-Eldor J, Schuldiner M, Karsenti D, et al. Differentiation of human embryonic stem cells into embryoid bodies compromising the three embryonic germ layers. *Mol Med* 2000; 6:88–95.
- [435] Narita N, Bielinska M, Wilson DB. Cardiomyocyte differentiation by GATA-4-deficient embryonic stem cells. *Development* 1997; 124:3755–64.
- [436] Gardner RL, Rossant J. Investigation of the fate of 4-5 day postcoitum mouse inner cell mass cells by blastocyst injection. *J Embryol Exp Morphol* 1979; **52**:141–52.
- [437] Abe K, Niwa H, Iwase K, et al. Endoderm-specific gene expression in embryonic stem cells differentiated to embryoid bodies. Exp Cell Res 1996; 229:27–34.
- [438] Jeong GS, Song JH, Kang AR, et al. Surface tension-mediated, concave-microwell arrays for large-scale, simultaneous production of homogeneously sized embryoid bodies. Adv Healthc Mater 2013; 2:119–25.
- [439] Valli a, Rosner M, Fuchs C, *et al.* Embryoid body formation of human amniotic fluid stem cells depends on mTOR. *Oncogene* 2010; **29**:966–77.
- [440] Song H, Chang W, Song B, Hwang K. Specific differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells by small molecules. Am J Stem Cells 2012; 1:22–30.
- [441] Arnhold S, Post C, Glüer S, *et al.* Neuronal characteristics of amniotic fluid derived cells after adenoviral transformation. *Cell Biol Int* 2008; **32**:1559–66.
- [442] Jezierski A, Gruslin A, Tremblay R, *et al.* Probing stemness and neural commitment in human amniotic fluid cells. *Stem Cell Rev* 2010; **6**:199–214.
- [443] Feng J, La X, Ma Y, Bi X, Wen H. [Isolation of human pluripotent mesenchymal stem cells from second-trimester amniotic fluid using two kinds of culture protocol and their differentiation into neuron-like cells]. *Zhongguo Wei Zhong Bing Ji Jiu Yi Xue* 2009; **21**:729–33.
- [444] Jiang T-M, Yang Z-J, Kong C-Z, Zhang H-T. Schwann-like cells can be induction from human nestin-positive amniotic fluid mesenchymal stem cells. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim* 2010; **46**:793–800.

- [445] McLaughlin D, Tsirimonaki E, Vallianatos G, *et al.* Stable expression of a neuronal dopaminergic progenitor phenotype in cell lines derived from human amniotic fluid cells. *J Neurosci Res* 2006; **83**:1190–200.
- [446] Donaldson AE, Cai J, Yang M, Iacovitti L. Human amniotic fluid stem cells do not differentiate into dopamine neurons in vitro or after transplantation in vivo. *Stem Cells Dev* 2009; **18**:1003–12.
- [447] Toselli M, Cerbai E, Rossi F, Cattaneo E, Toselli, Mauro; Cerbai, Elisabetta; Rossi, Ferdinando; Cattaneo E. Do amniotic fluid-derived stem cells differentiate into neurons in vitro? *Nat Publ Gr* 2008; 26:269–270.
- [448] Jones-Villeneuve EM, Rudnicki MA, Harris JF, McBurney MW. Retinoic acid-induced neural differentiation of embryonal carcinoma cells. *Mol Cell Biol* 1983; 3:2271–9.
- [449] López-Carballo G, Moreno L, Masiá S, Pérez P, Barettino D. Activation of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt signaling pathway by retinoic acid is required for neural differentiation of SH-SY5Y human neuroblastoma cells. *J Biol Chem* 2002; 277:25297–304.
- [450] Qiao J, Paul P, Lee S, *et al.* PI3K/AKT and ERK regulate retinoic acidinduced neuroblastoma cellular differentiation. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2012; **424**:421–6.
- [451] Brunet A, Datta SR, Greenberg ME. Transcription-dependent and independent control of neuronal survival by the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2001; **11**:297–305.
- [452] Feldman EL, Sullivan KA, Kim B, Russell JW. Insulin-like growth factors regulate neuronal differentiation and survival. *Neurobiol Dis* 1997; **4**:201–14.
- [453] Yang F, Liu Y, Tu J, *et al.* Activated astrocytes enhance the dopaminergic differentiation of stem cells and promote brain repair through bFGF. *Nat Commun* 2014; **5**:5627.
- [454] Gibrat C, Saint-Pierre M, Bousquet M, Lévesque D, Rouillard C, Cicchetti F. Differences between subacute and chronic MPTP mice models: investigation of dopaminergic neuronal degeneration and alpha-synuclein inclusions. *J Neurochem* 2009; **109**:1469–82.
- [455] Rahimi F, Typlt M, Jog MS. Levodopa and Parkinson disease-electrophysiological perspectives in animal models. *Exp Neurol* 2011; 231:11–3.
- [456] Austen R. G. The treatment of Parkinson's disease. *Postgrad Med J* 1977; **53**:729–731.

- [457] Poewe W. Treatments for Parkinson disease--past achievements and current clinical needs. *Neurology* 2009; **72**:S65–73.
- [458] Freed WJ, Sanchez JF, Lee C, *et al.* Neurons , and Pathways for Developing a Parkinson 's Disease Therapy. In: *cellular transplantation: from laboratory to clinic.*; 2007:523–544.
- [459] Wakeman DR, Dodiya HB, Kordower JH. Cell transplantation and gene therapy in Parkinson's disease. *Mt Sinai J Med* 2011; **78**:126–58.
- [460] Kriks S, Shim J-W, Piao J, *et al.* Dopamine neurons derived from human ES cells efficiently engraft in animal models of Parkinson's disease. *Nature* 2011; **480**:547–51.
- [461] Fricker-Gates RA, Gates MA. Stem cell-derived dopamine neurons for brain repair in Parkinson's disease. *Regen Med* 2010; **5**:267–78.
- [462] Correia AS, Anisimov S V, Li J-Y, Brundin P. Stem cell-based therapy for Parkinson's disease. *Ann Med* 2005; **37**:487–98.
- [463] Lindvall O, Kokaia Z. Prospects of stem cell therapy for replacing dopamine neurons in Parkinson's disease. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 2009; **30**:260–7.
- [464] Drouin-Ouellet J, Barker RA. Stem cell therapies for Parkinson's disease: are trials just around the corner? *Regen Med* 2014; **9**:553–5.
- [465] Byers B, Lee H-L, Reijo Pera R. Modeling Parkinson's Disease Using Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep* 2012:237– 242.
- [466] Ebben JD, Zorniak M, Clark PA, Kuo JS. Introduction to induced pluripotent stem cells: advancing the potential for personalized medicine. *World Neurosurg* 2012; **76**:270–5.
- [467] Hoepken H-H, Gispert S, Azizov M, *et al.* Parkinson patient fibroblasts show increased alpha-synuclein expression. *Exp Neurol* 2008; **212**:307–13.
- [468] Nguyen HN, Byers B, Cord B, *et al.* LRRK2 mutant iPSC-derived DA neurons demonstrate increased susceptibility to oxidative stress. *Cell Stem Cell* 2013; **8**:267–280.
- [469] Rosner M, Mikula M, Preitschopf A, Feichtinger M, Schipany K, Hengstschläger M. Neurogenic differentiation of amniotic fluid stem cells. *Amino Acids* 2012; **42**:1591–6.

- [470] Pfeiffer S, McLaughlin D. In vitro differentiation of human amniotic fluid-derived cells: augmentation towards a neuronal dopaminergic phenotype. *Cell Biol Int* 2010; **34**:959–67.
- [471] Pan H-C, Cheng F-C, Chen C-J, *et al.* Post-injury regeneration in rat sciatic nerve facilitated by neurotrophic factors secreted by amniotic fluid mesenchymal stem cells. *J Clin Neurosci* 2007; **14**:1089–98.
- [472] Pan H-C, Yang D-Y, Chiu Y-T, et al. Enhanced regeneration in injured sciatic nerve by human amniotic mesenchymal stem cell. J Clin Neurosci 2006; 13:570–5.
- [473] Cipriani S, Bonini D, Marchina E, *et al.* Mesenchymal cells from human amniotic fluid survive and migrate after transplantation into adult rat brain. *Cell Biol Int* 2007; **31**:845–50.
- [474] Tajiri N, Acosta S, Glover LE, et al. Intravenous grafts of amniotic fluid-derived stem cells induce endogenous cell proliferation and attenuate behavioral deficits in ischemic stroke rats. *PLoS One* 2012; 7:e43779.
- [475] Park S-B, Seo M-S, Kang J-G, Chae J-S, Kang K-S. Isolation and characterization of equine amniotic fluid-derived multipotent stem cells. *Cytotherapy* 2011; **13**:341–9.
- [476] Dominici M, Le Blanc K, Mueller I, *et al.* Minimal criteria for defining multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells. The International Society for Cellular Therapy position statement. *Cytotherapy* 2006; **8**:315–317.
- [477] Cananzi M, Atala A, de Coppi P. *Handbook of Stem Cells*. Elsevier; 2013.
- [478] Bai J, Wang Y, Liu L, Chen J, Wang Y. [Bio-characteristics of c-kit+ human amniotic fluid-derived mesenchymal stem cells and their differentiation into cardiomyocytes in vitro]. *Zhongguo Xiu Fu Chong Jian Wai Ke Za Zhi* 2012; **26**:152–7.
- [479] 479. Zhou J, Wang D, Liang T, Guo Q, Zhang G. Amniotic fluidderived mesenchymal stem cells: characteristics and therapeutic applications. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2014; **290**:223–31.
- [480] Yeh Y-C, Lee W-Y, Yu C-L, *et al.* Cardiac repair with injectable cell sheet fragments of human amniotic fluid stem cells in an immune-suppressed rat model. *Biomaterials* 2010; **31**:6444–53.
- [481] Yeh Y-C, Wei H-J, Lee W-Y, *et al.* Cellular cardiomyoplasty with human amniotic fluid stem cells: in vitro and in vivo studies. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2010; **16**:1925–36.
- [482] lop L, Chiavegato A, Callegari A, *et al.* Different cardiovascular potential of adult- and fetal-type mesenchymal stem cells in a rat model of heart cryoinjury. *Cell Transplant* 2008; **17**:679–94.
- [483] Bollini S, Cheung KK, Riegler J, et al. Amniotic fluid stem cells are cardioprotective following acute myocardial infarction. Stem Cells Dev 2011; 20:1985–94.
- [484] Kunisaki SM, Freedman DA, Fauza DO. Fetal tracheal reconstruction with cartilaginous grafts engineered from mesenchymal amniocytes. J Pediatr Surg 2006; 41:675–82; discussion 675–82.
- [485] Steigman S a., Ahmed A, Shanti RM, Tuan RS, Valim C, Fauza DO. Sternal repair with bone grafts engineered from amniotic mesenchymal stem cells. *J Pediatr Surg* 2009; **44**:1120–1126.
- [486] Peister A, Deutsch ER, Kolambkar Y, Hutmacher DW, Guldberg RE. Amniotic fluid stem cells produce robust mineral deposits on biodegradable scaffolds. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2009; **15**:3129–38.
- [487] Maraldi T, Riccio M, Resca E, *et al.* Human amniotic fluid stem cells seeded in fibroin scaffold produce in vivo mineralized matrix. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2011; **17**:2833–43.
- [488] Pucéat M. Protocols for cardiac differentiation of embryonic stem cells. *Methods* 2008; **45**:168–71.
- [489] Lian X, Hsiao C, Wilson G, et al. PNAS Plus: Robust cardiomyocyte differentiation from human pluripotent stem cells via temporal modulation of canonical Wnt signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2012; 109:E1848–E1857.
- [490] Mummery CL, Zhang J, Ng ES, Elliott DA, Elefanty AG, Kamp TJ. Differentiation of human embryonic stem cells and induced pluripotent stem cells to cardiomyocytes: A methods overview. *Circ Res* 2012; 111:344–358.
- [491] Rohwedel J, Guan K, Wobus AM. Induction of cellular differentiation by retinoic acid in vitro. *Cells Tissues Organs* 1999; **165**:190–202.
- [492] Cao N, Liao J, Liu Z, *et al.* In vitro differentiation of rat embryonic stem cells into functional cardiomyocytes. *Cell Res* 2011; **21**:1316–31.
- [493] Hua J, Qiu P, Zhu H, Cao H, Wang F, Li W. Multipotent mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) from human umbilical cord : Potential differentiation of germ cells. *African J Biochem Res* 2011; **5**:113–123.
- [494] Martin-Rendon E, Sweeney D, Lu F, Girdlestone J, Navarrete C, Watt SM. 5-Azacytidine-treated human mesenchymal stem/progenitor cells

derived from umbilical cord, cord blood and bone marrow do not generate cardiomyocytes in vitro at high frequencies. *Vox Sang* 2008; **95**:137–48.

- [495] Boheler KR, Czyz J, Tweedie D, Yang HT, Anisimov S V., Wobus AM. Differentiation of pluripotent embryonic stem cells into cardiomyocytes. *Circ Res* 2002; **91**:189–201.
- [496] Schuldiner M, Yanuka O, Itskovitz-Eldor J, Melton D a, Benvenisty N. Effects of eight growth factors on the differentiation of cells derived from human embryonic stem cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2000; 97:11307–11312.
- [497] Antonitsis P, Ioannidou-Papagiannaki E, Kaidoglou A, Papakonstantinou C. In vitro cardiomyogenic differentiation of adult human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. The role of 5azacytidine. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2007; 6:593–7.
- [498] Wan Safwani WKZ, Makpol S, Sathapan S, Chua K. 5-Azacytidine Is Insufficient For Cardiogenesis In Human Adipose-Derived Stem Cells. *J Negat Results Biomed* 2012; **11**:3.
- [499] Choi YS, Dusting GJ, Stubbs S, *et al.* Differentiation of human adipose-derived stem cells into beating cardiomyocytes. *J Cell Mol Med* 2010; **14**:878–89.
- [500] Rangappa S, Fen C, Lee EH, Bongso A, Sim EKW, Wei EKS. Transformation of adult mesenchymal stem cells isolated from the fatty tissue into cardiomyocytes. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2003; **75**:775–9.
- [501] Salameh A, Haunschild J, Bräuchle P, *et al.* On the role of the gap junction protein Cx43 (GJA1) in human cardiac malformations with Fallot-pathology. a study on paediatric cardiac specimen. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**:e95344.
- [502] Asumda FZ, Chase PB. Nuclear cardiac troponin and tropomyosin are expressed early in cardiac differentiation of rat mesenchymal stem cells. *Differentiation* 2012; **83**:106–15.
- [503] Liang Y, Xiao-Gang Z, Xin-Wei W. Vitamin C promotes differentiation of mouse induced pluripotent stem cells into cardiomyocyte in vitro. *Heart* 2011; **97**:A8–A9.
- [504] Takahashi T, Lord B, Schulze PC, *et al.* Ascorbic acid enhances differentiation of embryonic stem cells into cardiac myocytes. *Circulation* 2003; **107**:1912–1916.

- [505] Cao N, Liu Z, Chen Z, *et al.* Ascorbic acid enhances the cardiac differentiation of induced pluripotent stem cells through promoting the proliferation of cardiac progenitor cells. *Cell Res* 2012; **22**:219–36.
- [506] Wang Y, Chen G, Song T, Mao G, Bai H. Enhancement of cardiomyocyte differentiation from human embryonic stem cells. *Sci China Life Sci* 2010; **53**:581–9.
- [507] Lints TJ, Parsons LM, Hartley L, Lyons I, Harvey RP. Nkx-2.5: a novel murine homeobox gene expressed in early heart progenitor cells and their myogenic descendants. *Development* 1993; **119**:419–31.
- [508] Periasamy M, Huke S. SERCA pump level is a critical determinant of Ca(2+)homeostasis and cardiac contractility. J Mol Cell Cardiol 2001; 33:1053–1063.
- [509] Guan X, Delo DM, Atala A, Soker S. In vitro cardiomyogenic potential of human amniotic fluid stem cells. *J Tissue Eng Regen Med* 2011; **5**:220–8.
- [510] Badorff C, Brandes RP, Popp R, *et al.* Transdifferentiation of bloodderived human adult endothelial progenitor cells into functionally active cardiomyocytes. *Circulation* 2003; **107**:1024–32.
- [511] Chiavegato A, Bollini S, Pozzobon M, *et al.* Human amniotic fluidderived stem cells are rejected after transplantation in the myocardium of normal, ischemic, immuno-suppressed or immuno-deficient rat. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 2007; **42**:746–59.
- [512] Konieczny SF, Emerson CP. 5-Azacytidine induction of stable mesodermal stem cell lineages from 10T1/2 cells: evidence for regulatory genes controlling determination. *Cell* 1984; **38**:791–800.
- [513] Taylor SM, Jones PA. Multiple new phenotypes induced in 10T1/2 and 3T3 cells treated with 5-azacytidine. *Cell* 1979; **17**:771–9.
- [514] Farmer SR. Regulation of PPARgamma activity during adipogenesis. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2005; **29 Suppl 1**:S13–6.
- [515] Zych J, Stimamiglio M a, Senegaglia a C, et al. The epigenetic modifiers 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine and trichostatin A influence adipocyte differentiation in human mesenchymal stem cells. Braz J Med Biol Res 2013; 46:405–16.
- [516] Bowers RR, Kim JW, Otto TC, Lane MD. Stable stem cell commitment to the adipocyte lineage by inhibition of DNA methylation: role of the BMP-4 gene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006; **103**:13022–13027.

- [517] Cuaranta-Monroy I, Simandi Z, Kolostyak Z, *et al.* Highly efficient differentiation of embryonic stem cells into adipocytes by ascorbic acid. *Stem Cell Res* 2014; **13**:88–97.
- [518] Weiser B, Sommer F, Neubauer M, *et al.* Ascorbic acid enhances adipogenesis of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells. *Cells Tissues Organs* 2009; **189**:373–81.
- [519] Inada M, Wang Y, Byrne MH, et al. Critical roles for collagenase-3 (Mmp13) in development of growth plate cartilage and in endochondral ossification. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004; 101:17192–7.
- [520] Cheung JO, Hillarby MC, Ayad S, *et al.* A novel cell culture model of chondrocyte differentiation during mammalian endochondral ossification. *J Bone Miner Res* 2001; **16**:309–18.
- [521] Farquharson C, Berry JL, Mawer EB, Seawright E, Whitehead CC. Ascorbic acid-induced chondrocyte terminal differentiation: the role of the extracellular matrix and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D. *Eur J Cell Biol* 1998; **76**:110–8.
- [522] Zhou G-S, Zhang X-L, Wu J-P, *et al.* 5-Azacytidine facilitates osteogenic gene expression and differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells by alteration in DNA methylation. *Cytotechnology* 2009; **60**:11–22.
- [523] Sun NZ, Ji H. In vitro differentiation of osteocytes and adipocytes from human placenta-derived cells. *J Int Med Res* 2012; **40**:761–767.
- [524] Resca E, Zavatti M, Bertoni L, *et al.* Enrichment in c-Kit+ enhances mesodermal and neural differentiation of human chorionic placental cells. *Placenta* 2013; **34**:526–35.
- [525] Leung PS, Ng KY. Current progress in stem cell research and its potential for islet cell transplantation. *Curr Mol Med* 2013; **13**:109–25.
- [526] Zhang P, Zhang X, Brown J, et al. Global healthcare expenditure on diabetes for 2010 and 2030. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2010; 87:293– 301.
- [527] Wan Nazaimoon WM, Md Isa SH, Wan Mohamad WB, *et al.* Prevalence of diabetes in Malaysia and usefulness of HbA1c as a diagnostic criterion. *Diabet Med* 2013; **30**:825–8.
- [528] Tripathi BK, Srivastava AK. Diabetes mellitus: complications and therapeutics. *Med Sci Monit* 2006; **12**:RA130–47.

- [529] Lechner A, Habener JF. Stem/progenitor cells derived from adult tissues: potential for the treatment of diabetes mellitus. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2003; **284**:E259–66.
- [530] Leitão CB, Cure P, Tharavanij T, Baidal DA, Alejandro R. Current challenges in islet transplantation. *Curr Diab Rep* 2008; **8**:324–31.
- [531] Shapiro AM, Lakey JR, Ryan EA, *et al.* Islet transplantation in seven patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus using a glucocorticoid-free immunosuppressive regimen. *N Engl J Med* 2000; **343**:230–8.
- [532] Seissler J, Schott M. Generation of insulin-producing beta cells from stem cells--perspectives for cell therapy in type 1 diabetes. *Horm Metab Res* 2008; **40**:155–61.
- [533] Noguchi H. Production of pancreatic beta-cells from stem cells. *Curr Diabetes Rev* 2010; **6**:184–90.
- [534] Tang D-Q, Cao L-Z, Burkhardt BR, *et al.* In vivo and in vitro characterization of insulin-producing cells obtained from murine bone marrow. *Diabetes* 2004; **53**:1721–32.
- [535] Xie Q-P, Huang H, Xu B, et al. Human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells differentiate into insulin-producing cells upon microenvironmental manipulation in vitro. *Differentiation* 2009; 77:483–91.
- [536] Ianus A, Holz GG, Theise ND, Hussain MA. In vivo derivation of glucose-competent pancreatic endocrine cells from bone marrow without evidence of cell fusion. *J Clin Invest* 2003; **111**:843–50.
- [537] Lee J, Kim SC, Kim SJ, *et al.* Differentiation of human adipose tissuederived stem cells into aggregates of insulin-producing cells through the overexpression of pancreatic and duodenal homeobox gene-1. *Cell Transplant* 2013; **22**:1053–60.
- [538] Hess D, Li L, Martin M, *et al.* Bone marrow-derived stem cells initiate pancreatic regeneration. *Nat Biotechnol* 2003; **21**:763–70.
- [539] Gage BK, Riedel MJ, Karanu F, *et al.* Cellular reprogramming of human amniotic fluid cells to express insulin. *Differentiation* 2010; **80**:130–9.
- [540] Bhandari DR, Seo K-W, Sun B, *et al.* The simplest method for in vitro β-cell production from human adult stem cells. *Differentiation* 2011; 82:144–52.

- [541] Carnevale G, Riccio M, Pisciotta A, *et al.* In vitro differentiation into insulin-producing β-cells of stem cells isolated from human amniotic fluid and dental pulp. *Dig Liver Dis* 2013; **45**:669–76.
- [542] Tian T, Meng AM. Nodal signals pattern vertebrate embryos. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2006; **63**:672–85.
- [543] Kubo A, Shinozaki K, Shannon JM, *et al.* Development of definitive endoderm from embryonic stem cells in culture. *Development* 2004; 131:1651–62.
- [544] Cho CH-H, Hannan NR-F, Docherty FM, et al. Inhibition of activin/nodal signalling is necessary for pancreatic differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells. *Diabetologia* 2012; 55:3284–95.
- [545] Heller RS, Dichmann DS, Jensen J, *et al.* Expression patterns of Wnts, Frizzleds, sFRPs, and misexpression in transgenic mice suggesting a role for Wnts in pancreas and foregut pattern formation. *Dev Dyn* 2002; **225**:260–70.
- [546] Stafford D, Hornbruch A, Mueller PR, Prince VE. A conserved role for retinoid signaling in vertebrate pancreas development. *Dev Genes Evol* 2004; **214**:432–41.
- [547] Öström M, Loffler K a., Edfalk S, *et al.* Retinoic acid promotes the generation of pancreatic endocrine progenitor cells and their further differentiation into β-cells. *PLoS One* 2008; **3**:1–7.
- [548] Shim JH, Kim SE, Woo DH, *et al.* Directed differentiation of human embryonic stem cells towards a pancreatic cell fate. *Diabetologia* 2007; **50**:1228–38.
- [549] Johannesson M, Ståhlberg A, Ameri J, Sand FW, Norrman K, Semb H. FGF4 and retinoic acid direct differentiation of hESCs into PDX1expressing foregut endoderm in a time- and concentration-dependent manner. *PLoS One* 2009; **4**.
- [550] Chen Y, Pan FC, Brandes N, Afelik S, Sölter M, Pieler T. Retinoic acid signaling is essential for pancreas development and promotes endocrine at the expense of exocrine cell differentiation in Xenopus. *Dev Biol* 2004; **271**:144–60.
- [551] Pan FC, Chen Y, Bayha E, Pieler T. Retinoic acid-mediated patterning of the pre-pancreatic endoderm in Xenopus operates via direct and indirect mechanisms. *Mech Dev* 2007; **124**:518–31.
- [552] Zhang D, Jiang W, Liu M, *et al.* Highly efficient differentiation of human ES cells and iPS cells into mature pancreatic insulin-producing cells. *Cell Res* 2009; **19**:429–38.

- [553] Mfopou JK, Chen B, Sui L, Sermon K, Bouwens L. Recent advances and prospects in the differentiation of pancreatic cells from human embryonic stem cells. *Diabetes* 2010; **59**:2094–101.
- [554] Wang H, Maechler P, Ritz-Laser B, *et al.* Pdx1 level defines pancreatic gene expression pattern and cell lineage differentiation. *J Biol Chem* 2001; **276**:25279–86.
- [555] Sun Y, Chen L, Hou X, *et al.* Differentiation of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells from diabetic patients into insulin-producing cells in vitro. *Chin Med J (Engl)* 2007; **120**:771–776.
- [556] Zanini C, Bruno S, Mandili G, *et al.* Differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells derived from pancreatic islets and bone marrow into islet-like cell phenotype. *PLoS One* 2011; **6**:e28175.
- [557] Rahmati S, Alijani N, Kadivar M. In vitro generation of glucoseresponsive insulin producing cells using lentiviral based pdx-1 gene transduction of mouse (C57BL/6) mesenchymal stem cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2013; **437**:413–9.
- [558] Kajiyama H, Hamazaki TS, Tokuhara M, et al. Pdx1-transfected adipose tissue-derived stem cells differentiate into insulin-producing cells in vivo and reduce hyperglycemia in diabetic mice. Int J Dev Biol 2010; 54:699–705.
- [559] Zhou Y, Mack DL, Williams JK, *et al.* Genetic modification of primate amniotic fluid-derived stem cells produces pancreatic progenitor cells in vitro. *Cells Tissues Organs* 2013; **197**:269–82.
- [560] Jensen J, Serup P, Karlsen C, Nielsen TF, Madsen OD. mRNA profiling of rat islet tumors reveals Nkx 6.1 as a ??-cell-specific homeodomain transcription factor. *J Biol Chem* 1996; **271**:18749– 18758.
- [561] Oster A, Jensen J, Edlund H, Larsson LI. Homeobox gene product Nkx 6.1 immunoreactivity in nuclei of endocrine cells of rat and mouse stomach. *J Histochem Cytochem* 1998; **46**:717–21.
- [562] Gu C, Stein GH, Pan N, *et al.* Pancreatic beta cells require NeuroD to achieve and maintain functional maturity. *Cell Metab* 2010; **11**:298–310.
- [563] Chae JH, Stein GH, Lee JE. NeuroD: the predicted and the surprising. *Mol Cells* 2004; **18**:271–288.
- [564] Kataoka K, Shioda S, Ando K, Sakagami K, Handa H, Yasuda K. Differentially expressed Maf family transcription factors, c-Maf and

MafA, activate glucagon and insulin gene expression in pancreatic islet alpha- and beta-cells. *J Mol Endocrinol* 2004; **32**:9–20.

- [565] Nishimura W, Kondo T, Salameh T, et al. A switch from MafB to MafA expression accompanies differentiation to pancreatic beta-cells. *Dev Biol* 2006; **293**:526–39.
- [566] Hu He K, Juhl K, Karadimos M, et al. Differentiation of pancreatic endocrine progenitors reversibly blocked by premature induction of MafA. Dev Biol 2014; 385:2–12.
- [567] Herrmann BG. The mouse Brachyury (T) gene. Semin Dev Biol 1995;6:385–394.
- [568] Yamaguchi TP, Takada S, Yoshikawa Y, Wu N, McMahon a P. T (Brachyury) is a direct target of Wnt3a during paraxial mesoderm specification. *Genes Dev* 1999; 13:3185–90.
- [569] Shiroi A, Yoshikawa M, Yokota H, *et al.* Identification of insulinproducing cells derived from embryonic stem cells by zinc-chelating dithizone. *Stem Cells* 2002; **20**:284–292.
- [570] Hansen WA, Christie MR, Kahn R, *et al.* Supravital dithizone staining in the isolation of human and rat pancreatic islets. *Diabetes Res* 1989; 10:53–7.
- [571] Clark SA, Borland KM, Sherman SD, Rusack TC, Chick WL. Staining and in vitro toxicity of dithizone with canine, porcine, and bovine islets. *Cell Transplant* **3**:299–306.
- [572] Ashcroft SJ, Bassett JM, Randle PJ. Insulin secretion mechanisms and glucose metabolism in isolated islets. *Diabetes* 1972; **21**:538–45.
- [573] Cerasi E. Insulin secretion: mechanism of the stimulation by glucose. *Q Rev Biophys* 2009; **8**:1.
- [574] Wahren J, Ekberg K, Johansson J, *et al.* Role of C-peptide in human physiology. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2000; **278**:E759–68.
- [575] Wahren J, Ekberg K, Jörnvall H. C-peptide is a bioactive peptide. *Diabetologia* 2007; **50**:503–9.
- [576] Marques RG, Fontaine MJ, Rogers J. C-peptide: much more than a byproduct of insulin biosynthesis. *Pancreas* 2004; **29**:231–8.
- [577] Hills CE, Brunskill NJ. Intracellular signalling by C-peptide. *Exp* Diabetes Res 2008; **2008**:635158.

- [578] Matthews DR, Rudenski AS, Burnett MA, Darling P, Turner RC. The half-life of endogenous insulin and C-peptide in man assessed by somatostatin suppression. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 1985; **23**:71–79.
- [579] Bonner-Weir S, Inada A, Yatoh S, *et al.* Transdifferentiation of pancreatic ductal cells to endocrine beta-cells. *Biochem Soc Trans* 2008; **36**:353–356.
- [580] Mukhi S, Brown DD. Transdifferentiation of tadpole pancreatic acinar cells to duct cells mediated by Notch and stromelysin-3. *Dev Biol* 2011; 351:311–7.
- [581] Lu J, Jaafer R, Bonnavion R, Bertolino P, Zhang C. Transdifferentiation of pancreatic α-cells into insulin- secreting cells: From experimental models to underlying mechanisms. 2014; 5:847– 853.
- [582] Trovato L, De Fazio R, Annunziata M, *et al.* Pluripotent stem cells isolated from human amniotic fluid and differentiation into pancreatic beta-cells. *J Endocrinol Invest* 2009; **32**:873–6.
- [583] 583. Szukiewicz D, Szewczyk G, Mittal TK, Rongies W, Maslinski S. Involvement of histamine and histamine H2 receptors in nicotinamideinduced differentiation of human amniotic epithelial cells into insulinproducing cells. *Inflamm Res* 2010; **59 Suppl 2**:S209–11.
- [584] Ren M, Shang C, Zhong X, *et al.* Insulin-producing cells from embryonic stem cells rescues hyperglycemia via intra-spleen migration. *Sci Rep* 2014; **4**:7586.
- [585] Hua X, Wang Y, Tang Y, *et al.* Pancreatic insulin-producing cells differentiated from human embryonic stem cells correct hyperglycemia in SCID/NOD mice, an animal model of diabetes. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**:e102198.