



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***KENAF PATCH REPAIR FOR COMPOSITE PARTS AND DAMAGE
IDENTIFICATION STRATEGY***

MOHD KHAIRUL HAFIZ BIN MUDA

FK 2015 137



**KENAF PATCH REPAIR FOR COMPOSITE PARTS AND DAMAGE
IDENTIFICATION STRATEGY**

By

MOHD KHAIRUL HAFIZ BIN MUDA

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

October 2015

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia.



DEDICATION

To Allah SWT, my beloved Wife, Parents, Family and Friends.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Science

KENAF PATCH REPAIR FOR COMPOSITE PARTS AND DAMAGE IDENTIFICATION STRATEGY

By

MOHD KHAIRUL HAFIZ BIN MUDA

October 2015

Chairman : Associate Professor Faizal Mustapha, PhD, PEng
Faculty : Engineering

Occasionally, fatigue cracks and damages are found to have developed along rivet holes and other highly stresses regions of aircrafts. In order to extend the life of these aircrafts, repairs should be made to arrest these cracks. Patch repair promotes an innovative repair technique, which can enhance the way in which aircrafts are being maintained. Such repairs generally have one of three objectives which are crack enhancement, crack patching or corrosion repair. Repair of cracked structure may be performed by bonding an external patch to the structure, to either halt or slow the crack growth proposition. Materials that used to repair the structure must be able to withstand the expected conditions in the damaged area. Natural fiber is one of materials or composites that have the potential to be used in the composites approach for aircraft parts. Natural fibers offer both cost saving and a reduction in density when compared to other fibers. Though the strength of natural fiber is not as great as glass, the specific properties are comparable and can be the patching component. The research has been done to find the suitability of natural fiber Kenaf, Hibiscus Cannabinus to be the part of potential material for patching repair. The acquired result was analyzed accordance with real application and the final properties were determined whether it satisfies the requirement such as high strength and stiffness, fatigue and environmental durability and formability, and also long-term durability of the patch. From experimental results, the compressive strength for kenaf patch repair panel is almost the same with undamaged panel and putty patch repair panel which are 230.08GPa, 230.41GPa and 230.47GPa. Meanwhile, the tensile strength for kenaf patch repair panel is slightly less than undamaged panel but higher than putty patch repair panel which are 725.94GPa, 874.07GPa and 672.68GPa. Hence, Kenaf fibre materials have great potential to be used as patch repair for composite parts. For damage detection strategy for the repair, the use of PZT sensors for Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) systems provided a continuous active monitoring on the panels. The specimens with bonded PZT sensors were subjected to mechanical testing. An online and active SHM monitoring was carried out during the compression and tensile testing. During this active sensing, the specimens showed different wave pattern from the elastic region to the specimen failure. A repaired specimen showed same signal behaviour with undamaged specimen. This is important since a new baseline of detecting new damage need to be established for the new monitoring to take place.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia Sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

PEMBAIKAN PERLEKATAN KENAF PADA BAHAGIAN KOMPOSIT DAN STRATEGI PENGENALAN KEROSAKAN

Oleh

MOHD KHAIRUL HAFIZ BIN MUDA

Oktober 2015

Pengerusi : Prof. Madya Faizal Mustapha, PhD, PEng
Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Kadangkala, keretakan dan kerosakan boleh berlaku di sepanjang lubang rivet dan bahagian lain pesawat yang mengalami tekanan tinggi. Dalam usaha untuk memanjangkan hayat pesawat ini, pembaikan perlu dibuat untuk menghentikan keretakan. Pembaikan perlekatan menggalakkan teknik pembaikan yang inovatif, yang boleh menambahbaik cara pesawat dikekalkan. Pembaikan tersebut umumnya mempunyai salah satu daripada tiga objektif iaitu penambahbaikan retakan, perlekatan retakan atau membaiki kakisan. Pembaikan struktur retak boleh dilaksanakan dengan menampalkan perlekatan luar kepada struktur, sama ada untuk menghentikan atau melambatkan keretakan. Bahan yang digunakan untuk membaiki struktur harus mampu menghadapi keadaan yang dijangka di kawasan yang rosak. Gentian semulajadi adalah salah satu bahan atau komposit yang mempunyai potensi untuk digunakan dalam pendekatan komposit untuk bahagian pesawat. Gentian semulajadi menawarkan kedua-dua penjimatan kos dan pengurangan ketumpatan berbanding gentian lain. Walaupun kekuatan gentian semulajadi tidak sekuat kaca, sifat-sifat tertentu boleh dibandingkan dan boleh menjadi komponen perlekatan. Kajian ini telah dilakukan untuk mencari kesesuaian gentian semulajadi Kenaf, Hibiscus cannabinus untuk menjadi sebahagian daripada bahan yang berpotensi untuk menampal pembaikan. Hasil yang diperolehi telah dianalisis berdasarkan aplikasi sebenar dan sifat akhir ditentukan sama ada ia memenuhi keperluan seperti kekuatan yang tinggi dan kekenyalan, kelesuan dan ketahanan alam sekitar dan kebolehbentukan, dan juga ketahanan jangka panjang perlekatan. Daripada keputusan ujikaji, kekuatan mampatan bagi panel pembaikan perlekatan kenaf adalah hampir sama dengan panel yang tidak rosak dan panel pembaikan perlekatan dempul iaitu 230.08GPa, 230.41GPa dan 230.47GPa. Sementara itu, kekuatan tegangan bagi panel pembaikan perlekatan kenaf adalah sedikit kurang berbanding panel yang tidak rosak tetapi lebih tinggi berbanding panel pembaikan perlekatan dempul iaitu 725.94GPa, 874.07GPa dan 672.68GPa. Oleh itu, gentian semulajadi Kenaf mempunyai potensi yang besar untuk digunakan sebagai pembaikan perlekatan untuk bahagian komposit. Bagi kerosakan strategi pengesanan untuk pembaikan, penggunaan sensor PZT untuk sistem Pemantauan Kesihatan Struktur (SHM) menyediakan pemantauan aktif berterusan pada panel. Spesimen dengan sensor PZT tertakluk kepada ujian mekanikal. Sistem dalam talian dan pemantauan SHM aktif telah dijalankan semasa ujian mampatan dan tegangan. Dalam pengesanan yang aktif

ini, spesimen menunjukkan corak gelombang yang berbeza dari rantau elastik sehingga ke kegagalan spesimen. Satu sampel yang dibaiki menunjukkan isyarat yang sama dengan spesimen yang tidak rosak. Ini adalah penting kerana satu asas baru untuk mengesan kerosakan perlu ditubuhkan untuk pemantauan baru berlaku.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praise to Allah S.W.T. for giving me the wisdom, strength, patience and courage, and because of His blessing and mercy, I managed to successfully completed this Master research project. First of all, I would like to express my deepest sense of gratitude to my main supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. Faizal Mustapha for his affectionate guidance, constant encouragement, motivations, advice and support throughout this study. I also would like to thank my co-supervisor Dr. Dayang Laila Abang Abdul Majid for her sustained interest, assistance, encouragement, understanding, invaluable help, inspiration and advice in my research.

The invaluable facilities, the assistance and cooperation which I enjoyed during my research project provided by staff Department of Aerospace, especially Mr Ahmad Saifol Abu Samah and all those who have helped me directly or indirectly during the research was really appreciated. My extended gratitude goes to all my friends whose names are too numerous to mention who were always there to ease stress, for being very kind and supportive. My appreciation also goes to my research group members for many enlightening discussions and criticisms.

I am highly indebted to my wife and my parents whose blessing I believe have taking me this far in my academic life. Special thanks to my supportive wife, Nurul Hanira Mat Lazim; my beloved mother, Fatimah Awang; my family and friends for their prayers, endless love, moral and financial support, encouragement, and patience throughout this research project. Your assistance and concerns were my driving force. I wish to thank everyone who had contributed directly or indirectly by providing their ideas, guidance and support throughout this study.

Last but not least, I wish to acknowledge the support of Ministry of Education Malaysia for granting me MyMaster Scholarship under MyBrain15 Program and Universiti Putra Malaysia for the Putra grant throughout my Master study. Thank you.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 26 October 2015 to conduct the final examination of Mohd Khairul Hafiz bin Muda on his thesis entitled "Kenaf Patch Repair for Composite Parts and Damage Identification Strategy" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Azmin Shakrine bin Mohd Rafie, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Mohd Khairol Anuar bin Mohd Ariffin, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Shahruddin Mahzan @ Mohd Zin, PhD

Associate Professor
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn
Malaysia
(External Examiner)



ZULKARNAIN ZAINAL, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 15 December 2015

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Faizal Mustapha, PhD, PEng

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Dayang Laila Binti Abang Abdul Majid, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia(Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia(Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Mohd Khairul Hafiz Bin Muda, GS30646

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature : _____

Name of
Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee :

Faizal Mustapha, PhD, PEng

Signature : _____

Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee :

Dayang Laila Binti Abang Abdul Majid, PhD

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
APPROVAL	v
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF ABBRIVIATIONS	xiv
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem statements	3
1.3 Research Objectives	4
1.4 Scope of the Research	4
1.5 Thesis Organization	5
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Structural Patch Repair	7
2.2 Vacuum Bagging Technique	11
2.2.1 Advantages of Vacuum Bagging	11
2.3 Composite Materials	13
2.4 Kenaf Fibre	14
2.5 Damage Detection Technique	16
2.5.1 Structural Health Monitoring (SHM)	17
2.6 Summary	19
3 METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Specimens Fabrication	20
3.2 Lay-up Process	21
3.3 Vacuum Bagging Process	23
3.4 Patch Repair on CFRP Specimens	24
3.4.1 Simulating Damage on Specimens	24
3.4.2 Putty Compound Patching	25
3.4.3 Kenaf Patching	26
3.4.4 Application of Repair Plies	27
3.5 Compression Test	28
3.5.1 Design and Fabrication of Compression Test Jig	28
3.5.2 Compression Test Process	35
3.6 Tensile Test	35

3.7	Damage detection (SHM)	36
3.8	Summary	37
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Compression Test	38
4.2	Tensile Test	43
4.3	PZT Sensor Response Correlates with Mechanical Test	48
4.4	Summary	50
5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1	Introduction	51
5.2	Conclusion	51
5.3	Recommendations	52
	REFERENCES	53
	APPENDICES	58
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	63
	PUBLICATION	64

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	Orientation of materials lay-up	22
3.2	Setting parameter for oscilloscope and wave generator	37



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Typical SRM wing skin repair	2
1.2	Crack patching	2
2.1	Typical damages	8
2.2	Typical laminate repairs	10
2.3	Typical lay-up and equipment for repair process	10
2.4	Components of vacuum bagging system	11
2.5	Classification of natural fibres	14
2.6	Relationship between Young's modulus, the tensile strength and the kenaf fibre content of kenaf/PLA composite	15
2.7	The evolution of damage detection techniques	17
2.8	SHM philosophy	18
3.1	Research flow	20
3.2	Materials cutting process	21
3.3	Lay-up preparation	22
3.4	Lay-up process of CFRP panel	23
3.5	Vacuum bagging process	23
3.6	Cured specimen	24
3.7	Repair of damage	25
3.8	Putty compound patching process	25
3.9	Mixture of resin, hardener and Kenaf	26
3.10	Specimens with kenaf patching	27
3.11	Putty filler after sanded	27
3.12	Kenaf filler after sanded	27
3.13	Repair plies before and after vacuum bagging process	28
3.14	Jig fabrication flow	28
3.15	Concept of buckling	29
3.16	Compression test rig design	29
3.17	Base and top plate	30
3.18	Angle bracket	30
3.19	Vertical and top slide plate	31
3.20	Actual fixture	31
3.21	Buckle specimen	32
3.22	Compression test rig second design	32
3.23	Base and top plate	33
3.24	Lower right and left brackets	33
3.25	Upper right and left brackets	33
3.26	Rod	34
3.27	Second compression test fixture	34
3.28	Specimen without buckling	34
3.29	Compression test on specimen	35

3.30	Tensile test on specimen	36
3.31	SHM components system	36
4.1	Compression test failure specimen	38
4.2	Compression test specimens' physical conditions	38
4.3	Compression test load against displacement graph for undamaged panel	39
4.4	Compression test load against displacement graph for kenaf patch panel	40
4.5	Compression test load against displacement graph for putty patch panel	40
4.6	Compressive stress strain graph for undamaged panel	41
4.7	Compressive stress strain graph for kenaf patched panel	41
4.8	Compressive stress strain graph for putty patched panel	42
4.9	Compression strength result	42
4.10	Tensile test failure specimen	43
4.11	Tensile test specimens' physical conditions	43
4.12	Tensile test load against displacement graph for undamaged Panel	44
4.13	Tensile test load against displacement graph for kenaf patched Panel	45
4.14	Tensile test load against displacement graph for putty patched panel	45
4.15	Tensile stress strain graph for undamaged panel	46
4.16	Tensile stress strain graph for kenaf patched panel	46
4.17	Tensile stress strain graph for putty patched panel	47
4.18	Tensile strength result	47
4.19	PZT sensors on test specimen	48
4.20	PZT sensor response to the mechanical test for undamaged panel	49
4.21	Zoom image of waveform for undamaged panel	49
4.22	PZT sensor response to the mechanical test for kenaf patched panel	50
4.23	Zoom image of waveform for kenaf patched panel	50

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASTM	American Society of Testing Material
CBM	Condition Based Monitoring
CFRP	Carbon Fibre Reinforced Plastic
CM	Condition Monitoring
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
DP	Damage Prognosis
E	Modulus of Elasticity
EPDM	Ethylene-Propylene-Diene-Monomer
FAA	Federal Aviation Administrator
FAR	Federal Aviation Regulation
FRP	Fibre Reinforced Polymer
GFR	Glass Fibre Reinforcement
KF	Kenaf Fiber
MAPP	Maleic Anhydride Polypropylene
MRO	Maintenance, Repair and Overhaul
NDE	Non-Destructive Evaluation
NDI	Non-Destructive Inspection
NFR	Natural Fibre Reinforcement
PLA	Poly lactide
PP	Polypropylene
PZT	Lead Zirconate Titanate
SHM	Structural Health Monitoring
SRM	Structural Repair Manual
TPNR	Thermoplastic Natural Rubber
UD	Uni-Directional
V _{pp}	Voltage Peak to Peak

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This first chapter of thesis presents the research background and the current challenges face by the various industries, particularly in engineering industry. The objectives, scopes of research as well as the problem statement are included in this chapter.

1.1 Research Background

Despite the advanced technologies and techniques in improving the material used in many industrial applications such as aircraft, civil, mechanical, and piping industry, defects and damages still occurs at the part of components or the area of which repair application and services are needed. The probability of the whole structure to be damaged is high if the repair work could not be carried out and this may lead to higher cost for a new replacement.

In the area of repair application, development of new methods is required to prepare surfaces for adhesive bonding. Even though existing methods are tremendously effective, additional improvements would provide further reductions in repair time and cost. In fact, it enables repairs to be applied with reduced levels of quality assurance. It is demonstrated that the application of adhesive bonding technology, especially bonded composite repairs is successful in extending the lives of components and structural materials by bridging cracks in structure, repairing thinned areas by corrosion and reducing the strain levels.

Bonded composite reinforcements as depicted in Figure 1.2 are highly efficient when compared to conventional mechanically fastened repairs as showed in Figure 1.1 which are more uniform and efficient load transfer into the repaired, reduced stress concentrations, thinner repaired, easier application to double curative surfaces and reduced risk of corrosion (Gdoutos et al., 2006).




Figure 1.1: Typical SRM wing skin repair (Boeing Inc., 2001)

Repairs can be categorized into non-patch procedures for minor damage and reinforcement or patch procedures to restore structural capability. The specialized technique for repairing cracked structures using high strength advanced composite materials is well-known as “Crack Patching”. For a damaged or weakened structures, the composite reinforcement or patch procedures can be applied via mechanical fastener or adhesive bonding. There are numerous advantages of using adhesively bonded composite patches as a method of repair compared to mechanically fastened repair methods, including the reduction of installation cost, increasing strength and fatigue life, which leads to effective crack retardation.




Figure 1.2: Crack patching (Boeing Inc., 2001)

The most vital characteristics of a patch repair are less repair time, corrosion resistance, lightweight, high stiffness and elimination of unnecessary fastener holes in an already weakened structure and stress concentrations at fasteners. There are three critical steps

in implementing the repair which includes design, choice of materials and application. Generally, metal, polymers, ceramic or composite are the material selected for the patch and within these classes are many different materials with various advantages and disadvantages associated with their use.

Metals are strong materials, but it is heavy and susceptible to corrosion; both ceramics and glasses are light but brittle in nature, whereas polymers are malleable and vulnerable to extreme weather (Hoque, 2014). Hence, composites are more preferred to be used in patch repair application due to its advantages compare to metal and others. A composite material made by combining two or more materials to give a unique combination of properties (Rowell, 1995). They come in two typical configurations which are monolithic and sandwich structures. These composite materials are high strength, high stiffness, resistance to corrosion and durability.

However, composite material also has disadvantages such as high cost and non-environmental friendly. In order to overcome this problem, bio-composite or natural fibre composite has been chosen to be used as patch repair material. Nowadays, natural fibres played a major role as potential replacement of composites, polymers and metals. One of the advantages of natural fibre composites is that the decomposition of the natural fibre composite does not add any new net of carbon dioxide (CO₂) to the global environment, since the components came from plant material (Sahari et al., 2014). Currently, the utilization of bio-composites in industry is greatly ascending, due to the increasing environmental awareness among people. Considerable attention has been paid to bio-composites as an alternative reinforcement for fibre reinforced polymer (FRP) composites by researchers, engineers and scientists. Bio-composite become attractive due to its low cost, high specific strength, fairly good mechanical properties, eco-friendly, non-abrasive and bio-degradability characteristics. It is exploited as a replacement for the conventional fibre, for instance carbon, glass, aramid and others.

1.2 Problem Statements

As of today, the research had been carried out to develop effective patch repair which are less processing time, environmental friendly, lightweight and cost effective. Cost effective includes the types of material that used for patch repair and also the patching techniques. Due to this, it is encouraged to have a structure patch repair which low cost, environmental friendly and lightweight. Knowing the challenges, this research focus on fabricate the patch for repair the structure by using bio-composite material.

The uses of kenaf fibres as a reinforcement material in the polymeric matrix have been widely investigated. Recently, the Malaysian government has been promoting the planting of kenaf for social-economic reasons. The research in kenaf plastic composite is growing tremendously. In addition, kenaf fibre offers the advantages of being biodegradable, of low density, non-abrasive during processing and environmentally safe. The attractive features of these fibres include low cost, light weight, high specific modulus, renewability and biodegradability (Ray et al., 2005).

As industry attempts to lessen the dependence on petroleum-based fuels and products, there is an increasing need to investigate more environmentally friendly, sustainable materials to replace the existing glass fibre and carbon fibre reinforced materials. Therefore, attention has recently shifted to fabrication and properties of natural fibre reinforced materials (Mohantry et al., 2003).

The worldwide automotive production rate increases and is estimated to reach 76 million car annually by 2020 (WBCSD, 2004). Limited petroleum resources will increase the price of petroleum-based products in the near future. It is estimated that 25% reduction in car weight would be equivalent to saving 250 million barrels of crude oil (Mair, 2000). Therefore it is possible that manufacturers will consider expanding the use of natural fibre for their new products. Moreover, the recycling concerns being driven by EU End of Life Vehicles (ELV) regulations has asked manufacturers to consider the environmental impacts of their production and possibly shift for petroleum-based to agro-based materials (Reihns et al., 2001; Davoodi et al., 2010).

Natural fibre reinforced (NFR) composites are likely to be environmentally superior to glass fibre reinforced (GFR) composites in most applications because natural fibre production gives lower environmental impacts compared to glass fibre. The production of natural fibre reinforced transport pallets use 45% less energy and results in lower emission of toxic gases such as CO₂, methane, SO₂ and CO than production of GFR transport pallets (Van de Velde, 2001).

1.3 Research Objectives

Recognizing these difficulties and challenges mentioned in the previous section, the aim for this research is to develop a patching method for damaged structures by using bio-composite material. Therefore, the objectives to achieve this include:

- 1) To undergo feasibility study in incorporating kenaf as bio-composite patch to repair the structure or crack patching.
- 2) To design and fabricate compression test jig which can eliminated the buckling effect.
- 3) To experimentally evaluate the use of bio-composite patch repair by comparing the strength of bio-composite patch against composite patch and undamaged structure.
- 4) To identify the crack and damage of the structure by attaching the structural health monitoring system to the materials.

1.4 Scope of the Research

The aim of this research is to fabricate the bio-composite patch repair. Parent specimens are fabricated by using carbon fibre pre-pregs and vacuum bagging technique. Structure of the specimens is simulated damaged so that they can be patched. The damaged panels are repaired by using kenaf fibre materials and putty compound. The undamaged and damaged specimens are tested by compressive and tensile test. Compression test fixture

is designed and fabricated to prevent buckling effect during compression test. Structural health monitoring system is attached to the specimen during the testing process to monitor the crack and damage. The data from the tests are analysed and evaluated and the graph will be plotted. The natural fibre patching results will be compared with undamaged panel results.

This research attempts to evaluate the potential of natural fibre material which is kenaf to be used as patch repair materials as replacement of composite materials. For this research, the undamaged specimens, kenaf patched specimens and putty compound patched specimens have been fabricated. Four specimens for each type undergo compressive test and tensile test due to ASTM standards. The data from both tests will be recorded and analysed. For structural health monitoring, the smart PZT sensors are attached to the specimen during the test and connect to the wave generator and oscilloscope.

1.5 Thesis Organization

The overall thesis covers the development of one of the SHM tools in order to evaluate the repair integrity of a damaged part. This is due to the removal and replacement of the plies and/or core which can change the reliability of the component strength. The thesis is organized in the following way.

Chapter 2: Literature Review

This chapter represents the background of the research which is divided into several main sections. The first section depicts the application of structure patch repair in engineering industry. The second section represents the vacuum bagging technique for fabrication and patch process. The next sections discuss the composite materials and natural fibre materials. The last section describes the sensors technology for the SHM approach.

Chapter 3: Methodology

This chapter highlights the methodology used in implementing the experiment. More detailed information on the materials used, the apparatus and the technique is given in this section.

Chapter 4: Results and Discussions

Chapter 4 provides the results for mechanical testing subjected to the CFRP lab- scale specimens. Statistical pattern recognition by identifying the outlier was used to classify the studied conditions. A comparison between results is also depicted in this chapter to ensure consistency and good result repeatability. This chapter also examines damage detection technique by applying the PZT sensors on CFRP panels.

Chapter 5: Conclusions and Recommendations

The final overview of the thesis findings provides a comprehensive conclusion in which all the steps taken in preparing this thesis are aligned with the problem statement and objectives.



REFERENCES

- Aktan, A.E., Catbas, F.N., Grimmelsman, K.A., Tsikos, C.J. 2000. Issues in infrastructure health monitoring for management. *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*. 126(7): 711-724.
- Amaducci, S., Amaducci, M.T., Benati, R., Venturi, G., 2000. Crop yield and quality parameters of 4 annual fibre crops (Hemp, Kenaf, Maize and Sorghum) in the North of Italy. *Ind. Crops Pro*: 179–186.
- ASTM International, 2004. ASTM D3039, “Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials,” ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA.
- ASTM International, 2004. ASTM D3410, “Standard Test Method for Compressive Properties of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials with Unsupported Gage Section by Shear Loading,” ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA.
- Baker, A.A. 1984. Repair of cracked or defective metallic components with advanced fibre composites an overview of Australian work. *Composite Structures* 2: 153-181.
- Baker, A.A. 1994. Bonded composite repair of metallic components. AGARD-CP-550 *Composite Repair of Military Aircraft Structures*, Paper 1.
- Baker, A.A. and Jones, R. 1998. Bonded repair of aircraft structures. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Baker, A.A., Callinan, R.J., Davis, M.J., Jones, R. and Williams, J.G. 1984. Repair of Mirage III aircraft using BFRP crack patching technology. *Theo. Appl. Fract. Mech.*: 1-16.
- Baker, W., McKenzie, I and Jones, R. 2004. Development of life extension strategies for Australian military aircraft, using structural health monitoring of composite repairs and joints, *Composite Structures*, 66: 133-143.
- Basu, B. 2011. Biocomposite textile. *textile review*: 19-32.
- Beltowski, M. 2005. Composite materials. *Journal of Science and Mathematics*, Volume 3.
- Benedettini, O., Baines, T.S., Lightfoot, H.W. and Greenough, R.N. 2009. State of the art in integrated vehicle health management. *Journal of Aerospace Engineering*, 233: 157-170.
- Beral, B., Speckmann, H. 2003. Structural health monitoring (SHM) for aircraft structure. *Proceeding of IWSHM'03: The 4th International Workshop on Structural Health Monitoring*. Stanford, CA:USA.

- Boeing Inc. 2001. Chapter 51-70-05: Graphite, Aramid, and Graphite/Aramid/Glass Hybrid Reinforced Epoxy Laminates And Nonmetallic Honeycomb Sandwich Repairs - 2500F (1210C) CURE, Boeing 737-400 Structural Repair Manual, Seattle: Boeing Commercial Airplanes Group.
- Cai J., Qiu L., Yuan S., Shi L., Liu P., Liang D. 2012. Structural health monitoring for composite materials. *Composites and Their Applications*: 37-60
- Campbell, F.C. 2010. *Structural Composite Materials*. Ohio: ASM International.
- Catbas, N., Gul, M., and Burkett, J.L. 2008. Conceptual damage-sensitive features for structural health monitoring: Laboratory and field demonstrations. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 22(7): 1650-1669.
- Charles L. Webber III, Julia Whitworth, John Dole. 1998. Kenaf (*Hibiscus Cannabinus* L.) core as a containerized growth medium component. *Industrial Crops and Product* 10, Elsevier - Science Direct: 97 – 105.
- Ching, O.T. 2010. "Malaysian will continue to value add kenaf industry," *New Straits Times*.
- Davoodi M. M., Sapuan S. M., Ahmad D., Ali A., Khalina A., Jonoobi M. 2010. Mechanical properties of hybrid kenaf/glass reinforced epoxy composite for passenger car bumper beam. *Journal of Material and Design - Mater Design*, 31(10) : 4927-4932.
- Dekker, S.W.A., 2004. *Human Factors and Aerospace Safety* 4(1): 1-18.
- Diamanti, K. and Soutis, C. 2010 *Structural Health Monitoring Techniques for Aircraft Composite Structures*, *Progress in Aerospace Sciences* 46: 342-352.
- Elforjani, M., Mba, D., Muhammad, A. and Sire, A. 2012, Condition monitoring of worm gears. *Applied Acoustics*, 73(8): 859-863.
- Farrar, C.R. and Worden K. 2007. An introduction to structural health monitoring, *Philosophy Transaction of Royal Society A*, 365: 303–315.
- Federal Aviation Administration, 1994. *Federal Aviation Regulations, Part 25. Airworthiness Standards: Transport Category Airplanes*, U.S. Department of Transportation., Oct, 1994.
- Federal Aviation Administration, 2012. *Acceptable Methods, Techniques and Practices Aircraft Inspection, Repair and and Alteration*, Washington DC: U.S. Department of Transportation.
- Gdoutos, E.E. and Marioli-Riga, Z. 2006. Smart composite patches for repairing aircraft structural components. *Democritus University of Thrace, Greece*.

- Ghobbar, A.A, and Friend, C.H, (2002), Sources of intermittent demand for aircraft spare parts within airline operations. *Journal of Air Transport Management*, 8(4): 221-231.
- Gougeon Brothers, Inc., Bay City, MI USA 2010. *Vacuum Bagging Techniques. A guide to the principles and practical application of vacuum bagging for laminating composite materials with WEST SYSTEM® Epoxy*. 7th Edition.
- Goulios, G. and Marioli-riga, Z. 2001. Composite patch repairs for commercial aircraft. *Compres, Air and Space Europe*, 3(¾): 143-147.
- Hall, S.R. and Conquest, T.J. 1999. *The Total Data Integrity Initiative- Structural Health Monitoring. The Next Generation Proceeding of the USAF ASIP*, 2nd ed.
- Hendricks, W.R. 1991. *The Aloha Airlines Accident — A New Era for Aging Aircraft, Structural Integrity of Aging Airplanes*. Springer Series in Computational Mechanics, 153-165.
- Hexcel Corporation. 2005. *Hexply® M10/38%/UD300/CHS/460mm*,. USA, Hexcel Corporation.
- Hoque, M.E., Bhuyan, M.K. and Sapuan S.M. 2014. *Introduction to engineering composite*. *Engineering Composites Properties and Application*: 1-18.
- Jamal Mir bagheri, Mehdi Tajvidi, John C. Hermanson and Ismaeil Ghasemi. 2007. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 105: 3054.
- Jardine, K.S., Lin, D. and Banjevic, D. 2006. A review on machinery diagnostics and prognostics implementing condition based maintenance, *Mechanical System and Signal Processing*, 20: 1483-1510.
- Jones R.M. 1999. *Mechanics of composite materials (2nd edition)*. Philadelphia PA: Taylor & Francis.
- Katnam, K.B, Da Silva, L.F.M. and Young, T.M. 2013. Bonded repair of composite aircraft structures: A review of scientific challenges and opportunities *Progress in Aerospace Sciences*, 61: 26-42.
- Khan, H.P., Cordero, R., and Whitehead, R.S. 1997. *Advanced Certification Methodology for Composite Structures*, DOT/FAA/AR-96/111, Federal Aviation Administration.
- Li, H., Ou, J.P. 2011. Structural health monitoring of sensing technology stepping to health diagnosis. *Procedia Engineering*, 14: 753-760.
- M.R. Ishak, Z. Leman, S.M. Sapuan, A.M.M. Edeerozey and I.S. Othman, 2010. *Mechanical properties of kenaf bast and core fibre reinforced unsaturated polyester composites*, IOP Publishing Ltd.
- Mallett, K. 1999. *Composite repair*. Hexcel Composites Publication.

- Mark C. Symington, W.M. Banks, Opukuro David West and R.A. Pethrick. 2009. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 43: 1083.
- Miracle D.B., Donaldson S.L. 2001. *Introduction to Composites. ASM Handbook Volume 21: Composites. ASM International: 3-17.*
- Mohanthy. A., Misra. K., Drzal. M., L. T. 2005. *Natural fibers, biopolymers and biocomposites. CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton.*
- Mustapha, F., Manson, G., Pierce, S.G., Worden, K. 2005. *Structural health monitoring of an annular components using statistical approach, International Journal of Strain Measurement*, 41(3): 117-127.
- Nishino T, Hirao K, Kotera M, Nakamae K, Inagaki H. 2003. *Kenaf reinforced biodegradable composite. Compos Sci Technol*, 63:1281–1286.
- Ochi S. 2008. *Mechanical properties of kenaf fibers and kenaf/PLA composites. Mech Mater*, 40:446–52.
- Ou, J.P. 2004. *The state of the art and practise of health monitoring system for civil infrastructure in the Mainland of China. Proceeding of SHMII'04: The 2nd International Conference on Structural Health Monitoring and Intelligent Infrastructure. Shenzhen: China.*
- Pandey, P.C. and Kumar, S. 2010. *Adhesively-bonded patch repair with composites. Journal of Defense Science* 60: 320-329.
- Pereira, A.J., Dalby, B., Stewart, R.J., Doxsey, S.J., Goldstein, L.S.B. 1997. *Mitochondrial association of a plus end-directed microtubule motor expressed during mitosis in Drosophila. J. Cell Biol*: 1081-1090.
- R. Lan Mair. 2000. *Tomorrow's Plastic Cars, ATSE Focus*, 113.
- Ray, D. and Rout, J. 2005. *Natural Fibers, Biopolymers and Biocomposites, CRC Press: 291-341.*
- Reihs K, Rasshofer W, Warth H, Wegener D, Schmidt M, Heitkämper P. 2001. *U.S. Patent No. 6,296,908. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.*
- Rowell, D. P., C. K. Folland, K. Maskell, and M. N. Ward. 1996. *Further analysis of simulated interdecadal and interannual variability of summer rainfall over tropical north Africa. Reply, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc: 1007–1013.*
- Rowell, M.R. 1995. *A new generation of composite materials from agro-based fibre. New York: Plenum Press. Polymer and other advanced materials: Emerging technology and business opportunities: 659-665.*
- S.V. Joshi, L.T Drzal, A.K Mohanty, S. Arora. 2003. *Are Natural Fiber Composites Environmentally Superior to Glass Fiber Reinforced Composites, Elsevier-Science Direct, Procedia Engineering* 2: 2413 – 2418.

- Sahari, J., Sapuan S.M., Ismarrubie, Z.N. 2014. Physical properties of natural fibres reinforced polymer composites (NFRCP). *Engineering Composites Properties and Application*: 51-62.
- Savage, G. and Oxley, M., 2010, Repair of composite structures on Formula 1 race cars, *Engineering Failure Analysis*, 17:70-82.
- Schijve, J. 1994. Fatigue of aircraft materials and structures. *International Journal of Fatigue*, 16(1): 21-32.
- Speckman, H. 2007. Focal Point for SHM, IMRBPB Meeting, EASA, Cologne, April 22, 2007.
- Van de Velde K, Kietkens P. 2001. Thermoplastic polymers: overview of several properties and their consequences in flax fibre reinforced composites. *Polym*, 20:885-93.
- Wang C.H. and Gunnion, A.J. 2009. Optimum shapes of scarf repairs, *Composites: Part A*, 40: 1407-1418.
- Wang, C.H., Gunnion, A.J. and Baker, A.A. 2009. Battle damage repair of a helicopter frame skin junction, Part 1: Depot Repair, *Composite Part A*, 40: 1433-1446.
- Wang, J., Stankiewicz, M., Zhou, Z. Baker A.A. and Chiu, W.K. 2010. Battle damage repair of a helicopter frame-to-skin junction: A sole external repair approach, *Composite Structures*, 92:936-949.
- Whittingham, B., Baker, A.A., Harman A. and Bitton, D. 2009. Micrographic studies on adhesively bonded scarf repairs to thick composite structures, *Composites, Part A*, 40:1419-1432.
- Worden, K. and Manson, G. 2007. The application of machine learning to structural health monitoring. *Phil. Trans R Soc*, 365: 515-537.
- World Business Council for Sustainable Development. 2004. "Mobility 2030: Meeting the challenges to sustainability".
- Wu, W., and Syau, J. 1993. Study of the nondestructive inspection frequency based on structural reliability considerations. *NDT and E International*, 26(5): 249-254.