UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

DETERMINATION OF RELATIVE DAMAGE OF ASPHALT PAVEMENT FROM REDUCED TIRE CONTACT AREA

DANIAL MOAZAMI

DETERMINATION OF RELATIVE DAMAGE OF ASPHALT PAVEMENT FROM REDUCED TIRE CONTACT AREA

By

DANIAL MOAZAMI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

April 2015

DEDICATION

This thesis is especially dedicated to:
My most-beloved wife Maryam Hashemian and
My *lovely little daughter* Adrina Moazami

To My Praiseworthy Parents and Parents- In-law

And my dearest brothers and sister

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

DETERMINATION OF RELATIVE DAMAGE OF ASPHALT PAVEMENT FROM REDUCED TIRE CONTACT AREA

By

DANIAL MOAZAMI

April 2015

Chairman: Professor Ratnasamy Muniandy, PhD

Faculty : Engineering

Considering the traditional contact area which is a full circular contact area without any tread, in the current pavement design procedure, is an extreme overestimation of contact area and hence extreme underestimation of the real contact stress. Since the relationship between the contact stress and pavement damage is not linear but exponential, even a trivial difference between tire contact areas leads to significant difference in terms of induced pavement damage.

This study was conducted to quantify the relative damage caused by realistic tire-pavement contact area with respect to the full contact area and incorporated three objectives: To design a wheel tracking and instrumentation system, to establish a method for determination and analysis of effective tire contact areas, to quantify the relative damage of asphalt pavement due to various tire-pavement contact areas.

In this study, a new equipment called Rotary Compactor and Wheel Tracker (RCWT) was designed and fabricated for capturing the effective tire contact areas, resembling the compaction effort of Stone Mastic Asphalt (SMA) site rollers, and conducting simulative wheel tracking test.

In order to capture the effective contact area, 155/70R12 tire was selected with the six most common treads in the market besides a completely worn-out tread resembling the full contact area without any tread. The footprints of these treads were captured at five tire load groups of 1.50 kN, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, and 3.5 kN and four tire inflation pressures of 137.90 kPa, 172.37, 206.84 and 241.32 kPa.
Using the developed tire imaging procedure, the obtained footprints were very clear and free of any image noises. The footprints were then scanned and uploaded in a MATLAB-based image processing program to calculate the effective contact areas. Comparison between effective and traditional contact areas indicated that the current

pavement design procedure overestimates the actual tire-pavement contact area up to 92 percent.

Among the tested treads, Dunlop Ec201, Dunlop SP Sport J3, and Sime Astar 100 induced minimum, intermediate and maximum contact areas besides the full contact area which was caused by the worn-out tread. Therefore, these treads were selected for further wheel tracking performance study at three different load groups (three normal loading of a Kancil car) of 1.43 kN, 1.91 kN, and 2.13 kN by preparing 12 slabs.

Permanent deformation and permanent strain profiles of different contact areas in each tire load group were obtained and the relative damage analyses were done between tires with and without tread from various aspects. These aspects include operational life reduction ratio, rutting rate, linear and nonlinear relative damage concepts. Based on nonlinear relative damage analyses, real tire with tread induced about three times more rutting compared to the worn-out control tread. In addition, the induced permanent vertical strain by the real tire with tread was two times higher compared to the worn-out control tread.

Finally, the current pavement design, by using the full circular contact area, underestimates the amount of rutting significantly, and it is recommended to incorporate the realistic tire-pavement contact area in the design procedure to obtain an optimum design.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
Sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ljazah Doktor Falsafah

PENENTUAN KEROSAKAN RELATIF DARIPADA PENGURANGAN KAWASAN SENTUHAN TAYAR PADA TURAPAN

Oleh

DANIAL MOAZAMI

April 2015

Penyelia: Prof. Ratnasamy Muniandy, PhD

Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Mengambilkira kawasan sentuhan tipikal yang merupakan sentuhan keliling yang penuh tanpa sebarang alur , dalam prosedur reka bentuk turapan semasa , ianya kawasan sentuhan yang diambilkira secara ekstrem dan kurang mengambilkira kawasan tegasan yang sebenar . Oleh kerana hubungan antara kawasan tegasan dan kerosakan turapan bukan linear tetapi secara eksponen, walaupun terdapat perbezaan kecil antara kawasan sentuhan tayar membawa kepada perbezaan yang signifikan dari segi kerosakan turapan teraruh.

Kajian ini dijalankan untuk mengukur kerosakan relatif disebabkan oleh kawasan sentuh realistik tayar dengan kawasan sentuhan penuh bagi memenuhi tiga objektif : Untuk mereka bentuk sistem pengesanan roda dan peralatan , untuk mewujudkan satu kaedah untuk penentuan dan analisis hubungan tayar berkesan kawasan , untuk mengukur kerosakan relatif asfalt turapan disebabkan oleh pelbagai kawasan sentuhan tayar.

Laporan mengatakan perbezaan kecil kawasan sentuhan tayar menyumbang kepada perbezaan ketara bagi penyebab kerosakan turapan. Dalam kajian ini, alat baru yang panggil sebagai Pemadat Putar and alat Pengesan Roda (RCWT) telah direka and dipasang untuk memperoleh keberkesanan kawasan sentuhan tayar, menyamatarakan kebolehan memadat Asfat Matrik Batuan (SMA) keluli statik skala penuh dengan pengolek dan melakukan ujian simulasi pengesan roda di makmal.

Bagi mendapatkan kawasan sentuh berkesan untuk tayar 155/70R12, enam corak bebenang tayar yang terdapat di pasaran tetapi sudah sepenuhnya haus telah dipilih dan diuji dengan lima kumpulan beban tayar iaitu 1.50 kN, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, dan 3.5 kN dan empat inflasi tekanan iaitu 137.90 kPa, 172.37, 206.84 dan 241.32 kPa.
Melalui prosidur pengimejan tayar didapati kawasan sentuhan yang diperolehi adalah bebas daripada mana-mana kerosakan imej. Kawasan sentuhan tersebut telah diteliti dan dianalisa menggunakan kaedah pemprosesan imej MATLAB untuk mentaksir atau mengira kawasan sentuhan berkesan. Perbandingan antara kawasan sentuh berkesan

(efektif) dengan kawasan sentuh tipikal yang mengikut teori menunjukkan bahawa prosedur rekabentuk turapan tradisional yang sedia ada beserta kawasan sentuhan bulat telah melebihi kawasan sentuh sebenar antara tayar dan turapan sehingga 92 peratus.

Antara corak bebenang tayar yang diuji, Dunlop Ec201, Dunlop SP Sport J3, Sime Astar 100 dan tayar yang sepenuhnya haus telah dikategorikan kepada corak bebenang yang minima, pertengahan, maksimum dan kawasan sentuhan sepenuhnya dipilih untuk ujian prestasi bagi roda pengesan bagi tiga kumpulan beban yang berbeza (tiga beban normal sebuah kereta Kancil) iaitu 1.43 kN, 1.91 kN, dan 2.13 kN dengan menyediakan 12 kepingan rasuk.

Profil untuk ujian aluran dan keterikan bagi tayar yang berbeza dengan beban tayar yang sama kumpulan diperolehi dan analisis kerosakan relatif diambil diantara tayar dengan/tanpa alur dari pelbagai aspek. Aspek ini termasuklah nisbah pengurangan operasi jangka hayat, kadar alunan, dan konsep kerosakan relatif linear dan tidak linear. Analisis kerosakan relatif tidak linear, kawasan sentuhan tayar biasa beralur 3 kali lebih mudah berbanding tayar yang haus. Selain itu, kadar aruhan bagi terikan tegak tetap untuk tayar biasa adalah dua kali lebih tinggi berbanding tayar haus kawalan beralur.

Akhir sekali dengan reka bentuk turapan semasa, menggunakan kawasan sentuhan penuh , dapat mengurangkan jumlah alunan dengan ketara. Adalah disyorkan untuk memasukkan kawasan sentuhan realistik dalam prosedur reka bentuk untuk mendapatkan reka bentuk yang optima.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and above all, I praise God, the almighty for providing me this opportunity to pursue my doctorate and granting me the capability to proceed successfully. I would like to express the most sincere appreciation to those who made this work possible; supervisory members, Family and Friends.

Firstly I would like to thank my supervisor Prof. Dr. Ratnasamy Muniandy for the many useful advice and discussions, for his constant encouragement, guidance, support and patience all the way through my study work. Equally the appreciation extends to the supervisory committee members Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hussain Hamid and Dr. Zainuddin Md. Yusoff for providing me the opportunity to complete my studies under their valuable guidance.

I would also like to acknowledge the Civil Engineering Department of Universiti Putra Malaysia for providing the numerous facilities and support for this research work.

This research work was financially supported by Graduate research fellowship program of Universiti Putra Malaysia. The support from this university is greatly appreciated.

Thanks and acknowledgements are meaningless if not extended to my wife who always gave relentless encouragement and support which made my education possible.

Last but not least, my very special thanks to my brother Dr. Dariush Moazami and my friend Dr. Mohamad Reza Mehrjoo who were directly involved in this research and cooperated with this study.

APPROVAL

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 15 April 2015 to conduct the final examination of Danial Moazami on his thesis entitiled "Determination of Relative Damage of Asphalt Pavement from Reduced Tire Contact Area " in accordance with the Univesrities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U. (A) 106] 15 March 1998. The committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Examination Committee were as follows:

Thamer Ahmed Mohamed, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Mohd Saleh Jaafar, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Abang Abdullah Abang Ali, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Vernon Ray Schaefer, PhD
Professor
Department of civil, construction and environmental engineering
Iowa State University
The United States
(External Examiner)

ZULKARNAIN ZAINAL, PhD
Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment on the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the supervisory committee were as follows:

Ratnasamy Muniandy, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Hussain Hamid, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Zainuddin Md. Yusoff, PhD
Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD
Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by Graduate Student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: ________________________ Date: ___________________

Name and Matric No.: _______________________________________

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: ______________________________
Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee: ______________________________

Signature: ______________________________
Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: ______________________________

Signature: ______________________________
Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: ______________________________

Signature: ______________________________
Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: ______________________________

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
AC	Asphalt Concrete
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
APA	Asphalt Pavement Analyzer
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
BC	BISAR Conventional
BM	BISAR Modified
BS	British Standard
CF	Calibration Factor
DPI	Dots per Inch
ECA	Effective Contact Area
ESAL	Equivalent Standard Axle Load
FCA	Full Contact Area
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FN	Flow Number
FRT	French Rutting Tester
FSCH	Frequency Sweep at Constant Height
GSI	Gyratory Shear Index
GTM	Gyratory Testing Machine
HMA	Hot Mix Asphalt
HVS	Heavy Vehicle Simulator
HWTD	Hamburg Wheel-Tracking Device
JKR	Jabatan Kerja Raya
LVDT	Linear Variable Differential Transformer
M-E	Mechanistic-Empirical

MMLS3	Model Mobile Load Simulator
NAPA	National Asphalt Pavement Association
NCAT	National Center for Asphalt Technology
NCHRP	National Cooperative Highway Research Program
NMAS	Nominal Maximum Aggregate Size
OAC	Optimum Asphalt Content
PC	Personal Computer
QD	Quarry Dust
RCWT	Rotary Compactor and Wheel Tracker
RPM	Revolutions Per Minute
RSCH	Repeated Shear at Constant Height
SADT	Single Axle Dual Tire
SD	Standard Deviation
SHRP	Strategic Highway Research Program
SMA	Stone Mastic Asphalt
SSD	Saturated Surface Dry
Superpave	Superior Performing Asphalt Pavement
SST	Superpave Shear Tester
TCA	Traditional Contact Area
TIP	Tire Inflation Pressure
TL	Tire Load
TMD	Theoretical Maximum Density
TT	Tire Tread
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia
VASG	Vertical Asphalt Strain Gage
VFA	Voids filled with Asphalt

VMA	Voids in Mineral Aggregates
VTM	Voids in Total Mix

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Background

In the past, structural design approaches to flexible pavements were mainly empirical in nature. The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) method of pavement design (AASHTO, 1993), is still used by some highway agencies as an empirical approach.

In the AASHTO design 1993, equations were developed to guide users to the appropriate design. These equations are based on results from previous field experiments (e.g. AASHTO road test of 1960s).

It should be noted that, empirical methods can be applied only to a given set of environmental, material, and loading conditions. If these conditions are changed, the design is no longer valid, and a new method must be developed to be conformant to the new conditions. For example in the AASHTO road tests bias-ply tires were used which are completely out-of-date nowadays. Considering serviceability instead of different failure criteria, Equivalent Standard Axle Load (ESAL) instead of load spectra (axle type and load group), and old loading combinations are some of the limitations with this release of AASHTO design procedure.

Limitations of the empirical approach are becoming increasingly obvious with developments in the transportation system and increased knowledge in the fields of pavement mechanics and material science.

Premature failures of asphalt overlays within few years of construction are so common; therefore the need for a more comprehensive mechanistic pavement design model has been recognized.

Newly proposed guideline (NCHRP, 2004) is a great step toward the mechanistic-empirical design of pavements. The asphalt institute method of pavement design in the ninth edition of MS-1 (Asphalt Institute, 1982) is also considered empirical-mechanistic although this method still uses the concept of load equivalency in the empirical methods of pavement design.

Despite efforts by researchers in the last decades to enhance the mechanistic part of the design, no fully satisfactory or comprehensive alternative to the empirical approach has been found (Croney et al., 1997) with some exception proposed in the new mechanistic-empirical design guide. This could be because of the complexity in the tire-pavement interaction analysis.

The necessity of incorporating realistic non-uniform measured contact stresses, realistic tire contact areas, as well as other non-linear and viscoelastic behavior within tire-pavement interaction have been suggested by many researchers in order to obtain more reliable pavement responses for further engineering judgments (Al-Qadi et al., 2009a; Luo et al., 2007b; Machemehl et al., 2005; Park et al., 2008).

So far various aspects of complex radial tire contact stresses have not been widely analyzed (Novak et al., 2003a) and typically simplifying assumptions (e.g. layered linear elastic theory) and/or limited number of variables (e.g. vertical contact stresses, unique and constant tread pattern, constant speed, free rolling condition without steering and braking maneuvers to name but a few) have been incorporated for predicting pavement responses. These simplifying assumptions are due to the importance of fast computation in common pavement design procedure as well.

In this study the main focus was on the realistic tire-pavement contact area and determination of relative damage induced to asphalt mixtures from reduced tire contact area with respect to the full contact area. Effective tire-pavement contact area seems to affect the relative damage of pavement and should be incorporated in both mechanistic and empirical response analyses of asphalt pavements. Traditional Contact Area (TCA), Full Contact Area (FCA) and Effective Contact Area (ECA) are the three common tire-pavement contact areas for the study with different order of magnitude. TCA is the ratio of tire load (TL) over tire inflation pressure (TIP) which is assumed a full circular contact area. FCA is the elliptical contact area of a bald or worn-out tire without any grooves (control sample). ECA which is the actual contact area equals the full contact area of a tire minus the tread areas (void areas). In this study, the realistic tire-pavement contact areas were measured for various combinations of tire tread patterns, tire loads and tire inflation pressures. In order to study the effect of tire-pavement contact area on the induced pavement damage, various contact areas were examined in wheel tracking experiment and the resulting Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) failures were investigated.

Finally in the design procedure of any new pavement structure, incorporating the effective contact area was recommended and for any already designed pavement structures, a set of theoretical damage ratios were established for various asphalt thicknesses which account for effective tire-pavement contact area. Theoretical damage ratios are used to modify the existing ESAL and by the use of the corrected ESAL, the design should be repeated to obtain the optimum design.

1.2 Problem Statement

Although high quality materials from different quarries, typically different types of aggregates and binders, various kinds of additives in the mixtures, different types of asphalt mixtures such as dense graded, Stone Mastic Asphalt (SMA), and various methods of mix design and compaction have been used so far still a large amount of load-related distresses such as fatigue and rutting occur. Therefore, there could be some drawbacks with the current pavement design procedure.

The hypothesis is to investigate whether the various tire-pavement contact areas affect the relative damage of asphalt mixtures or not. According to the theoretical calculations on the traditional and effective contact area values provided by (Michelin, 2005) in Table 1.1., the researcher reported that in a typical pavement structure, even a trivial difference of 10% between contact areas leads to relatively significant difference (up to 50%) in terms of induced pavement damage.

Table 1.1. Traditional and Effective Contact Areas and Induced Fatigue Damage

Tire Type with the associated fatigue life	Single Axle Load (kN)	Tire Inflation Pressure (kPa)	Calculated Contact Area (mm²)	Measured Contact Area (mm²)	Difference
GOODYEAR 425/65R22.5	75.6	790	**47848**	**43140**	**10%**
Number of Load Cycles to Fatigue Failure			**2378451**	**1420744**	**50%**

(Source: Adapted from Michelin, 2005)

Current pavement design is based on traditional contact area which is an extreme overestimation of contact area and extreme underestimation of real stress state. As it can be seen in Figure 1.1 and the following technical correlation, there might be a significant difference between the induced stress states from the full contact area and the effective contact area (including the void areas).

Considering the linear layered elastic theory, the induced stress can be calculated as in Equation 1.1 and 1.2 for the tire without and with tread, respectively:

$$\sigma = \frac{TL}{TCA \text{ or } FCA} \quad (1.1)$$

$$\sigma = \frac{TL}{ECA} \quad (1.2)$$

where;

σ : The applied stress on asphalt mixture
TL: Tire load, and
TCA, FCA and ECA are Traditional, full and effective areas of contact, respectively.

Therefore, considering TCA or FCA as the tire-pavement contact area extremely underestimate the actual induced stresses on the asphalt mixtures.

Figure 1.1. Full and Effective Contact Areas, Close-up View of the Void Areas

Tire companies reported a minimum 25% void areas for the tire based on the mold size. On the other hand, some studies mentioned about higher values of void areas (Marsili, 2000). Therefore, the realistic tire-pavement contact area should be taken into consideration in pavement design procedure. (De Beer et al., 2008) also recommended studying the effect of surface texture and tire tread patterns on contact stresses because of its importance.

Tire-pavement contact area studies showed that the traditional contact area is larger than the actual area, since a full circular contact area is considered between the tire and the asphalt pavement (Luo & Prozzi, 2007b). In addition, both circular and equivalent rectangular contact areas overestimated the net contact area (Al-Qadi & Wang, 2009a). Therefore, the necessity of incorporating the actual area has been suggested in the literature (Park, 2008).

In this study the importance of incorporating the realistic and effective tire-pavement contact area was highlighted and relative damage of asphalt mixtures from reduced tire contact area was determined with respect to the full contact area.

In this research in order to capture the realistic and effective tire-pavement contact area and study the effect of various contact areas on HMA rutting, a new equipment called Rotary Compactor and Wheel Tracker (RCWT) was designed and fabricated with three different functionalities. The RCWT captures the realistic contact areas of pneumatic tires, simulates the field compaction process, up to the desired density, and conducts simulative laboratory wheel tracking test. This test set-up was designed to prepare and test heavy-duty asphalt mixtures in slab form.

Following the design and fabrication of the RCWT, in the next stage the effective tire contact areas were captured. In addition, in order to quantify the relative damage caused by various contact areas, different induced tire-pavement contact areas were tested in the wheel tracking experiment and the associated performance criteria including rutting depth and vertical compressive strain were captured to enable the relative damage analyses.

1.3 Objectives of Study

The main objective of this study is to determine the permanent deformation of asphalt mixtures from reduced tire contact area. To fulfill this main objective the following objectives were introduced:

1. To design a wheel tracking and instrumentation system.
2. To establish a method for determination and analysis of tire-pavement effective contact area.
3. To quantify the relative damage of asphalt pavement due to various tire contact areas.

1.4 Scope of Study

Effective tire-pavement contact area seems to affect the relative damage of pavement and should be incorporated in both mechanistic and empirical response analyses of asphalt pavements. In order to quantify the damage induced by various contact areas, a simulative compactor and wheel tracking equipment was developed in the first test plan. In the second test plan, realistic contact areas were captured and calculated. In test plan 3, slab preparation, compaction of asphalt slabs to the desired density, wheel tracking test and relative damage analysis were discussed.

The RCWT equipment with real pneumatic tires is able to apply non-uniform contact stresses, realistic tire contact area, as well as other non-linear and viscoelastic behavior within tire-pavement interaction.

In order to study the effect of tire-pavement contact area on the induced pavement damage, various contact areas were examined in wheel tracking experiment and the resulting HMA failures were investigated.

Failure parameters including permanent deformation and vertical compressive strains were captured in the data acquisition system continuously. In the next part, relative damage analyses were done on the obtained results to quantify the damage caused by various contact areas.

It was recommended to incorporate the effective tire-pavement contact area in pavement design procedure. In addition, for any already designed pavement, a set of theoretical damage ratios, for various asphalt thicknesses, were established to account for effective tire-pavement contact area. These damage ratios are used to modify the existing ESAL for the effective tire-pavement contact area and repeat the design according to the modified value of ESAL to obtain the optimum layer thicknesses.

1.5 Thesis Layout

Chapter two includes the relevant literature review. Experimental procedures and research methodologies for the overall study are described in chapter three. Chapter four describes the design, fabrication and instrumentation for the RCWT equipment, and chapter five presents the tests results and includes the analysis parts. Conclusion and recommendations are presented in chapter six.

REFERENCES

AASHTO. (1993). AASHTO guide for design of pavement structures, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC.

AASHTO. (1998). AASHTO guide for design of pavement structures, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC.

AASHTO. (2000). *Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Handbook 2000*: Transportation Research Board, National Research Council. Washington, D.C.

AASHTO T206. (2010). Standard Method of Test for Resistance to Deformation and Cohesion of Bituminous Mixtures by Means of Hveem Apparatus. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials.

AASHTO T245. (2008). Standard Method of Test for Resistance to Plastic Flow of Bituminous Mixtures Using Marshall Apparatus. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials.

AASHTO T324. (2011). Standard Method of Test for Hamburg Wheel-Track Testing of Compacted Hot-Mix Asphalt (HMA). American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials.

AASHTO T 320. (2003). Determining the Permanent Shear Strain and Stiffness of Asphalt Mixtures Using the Superpave Shear Tester (SST). American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials.

AASHTO TP7-01. (2001). Method for Determining the Permanent Shear Strain and Stiffness of Asphalt Mixtures Using the Superpave Shear Tester (SST) American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials.

AASHTO TP9. (1994). Determining the Creep Compliance and Strength of Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) Using the Indirect Tensile Test Device,American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC.

AASHTO TP 62-03. (2005). Standard Method of Test for Determining Dynamic Modulus of Hot-Mix Asphalt Concrete Mixtures. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC.

Acromag. (2001). Introduction to strain and strain measurement *IntelliPack^{TM} Series 851T, Intelligent Strain Gauge Transmitter/Alarm*. Acromag, Incorporated, USA.

Aguilera, P. (2006). Comparison of different image compression formats. *Wisconsin College of Engineering, ECE 533 Project Report*.

Al-Khateeb, G. G., Khedaywi, T. S., Al-Suleiman Obaidat, T. I., & Najib, A. M. (2013). Laboratory Study for Comparing Rutting Performance of Limestone and Basalt Superpave Asphalt Mixtures. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 25*(1), 21-29.

Al-Qadi, I., & Wang, H. (2009a). Evaluation of Pavement Damage Due to New Tire Designs. *FHWA-ICT-09-048*.

Al-Qadi, I., & Wang, H. (2009b). Pavement Damage Due to Different Tire and Loading Configurations on Secondary Roads. *NEXTRANS Project No 008IY01*.

Al-Qadi, I., Yoo, P., Elseifi, M., & Janajreh, I. (2005). Effects of Tire Configurations on Pavement Damage. *Journal of the Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists, 74*(1), 921-961.

Ali, O. (2005). Evaluation of the Mechanistic Empirical Pavement Design Guide (NCHRP 1-37 A).

Arnold, G., & Werkemeister, S. (2010). *Pavement thickness design charts derived from a rut depth finite element model*: Transportation Research Record.

Asphalt Institute. (1979). Mix Design Methods for Asphalt Concrete and Other Hot-Mix Types, Manual Series No.2 (MS-2), Asphalt Institute, Lexington, KY.

Asphalt Institute. (1982). Asphalt Institute. Research and development of the Asphalt Institute's thickness design manual (MS-1), 9th edition.Research report 82-2. College Park, Maryland.

Asphalt Institute. (1997). Mix design methods for Asphalt Concrete and other Hot-Mix Types, Manual Series No.2 (MS-2), 6th edition. Research Park Drive, Lexington, USA.

Asphalt Institute. (2007). *The Asphalt Handbook, Manual Series No. 4* (7th ed.).

ASTM C127. (2001). Standard Test Method for Density, Relative Density (Specific Gravity), and Absorption of Coarse Aggregate *Volume 04.02, Annual Book of ASTM Standards*. American Society for Testing and Material (ASTM), Philadelphia, USA.

ASTM C128. (2001). Standard Test Method for Density, Relative Density (Specific Gravity), and Absorption of Fine Aggregate *Volume 04.02, Annual Book of ASTM Standards*. American Society for Testing and Material (ASTM), Philadelphia, USA.

ASTM C131. (2003). Standard Test Method for Resistance to Degradation of Small-Size Coarse Aggregate by Abrasion and Impact in the Los Angeles Machine *Volume 04.02, Annual Book of ASTM Standards*. American Society for Testing and Material (ASTM), Philadelphia, USA.

ASTM D5. (1997). Standard Test Method for Penetration of Bituminous Materials *Volume 04.03, Annual Book of ASTM Standards*. American Society for Testing and Material (ASTM), Philadelphia, USA.

ASTM D36. (2000). Standard Test Method for Softening Point of Bitumen (Ring-and-Ball Apparatus) *Volume 04.04, Annual Book of ASTM Standards*. American Society for Testing and Material (ASTM), Philadelphia, USA.

ASTM D70. (2003). Standard Test Method for Density of Semi-Solid Bituminous Materials (Pycnometer Method) *Volume 04.03, Annual Book of ASTM Standards*: American Society for Testing and Material (ASTM), Philadelphia, USA.

ASTM D1559. (1982). Test Method for Resistance to Plastic Flow of Bituminous Mixtures Using Marshall Apparatus *Volume 04.03, Annual Book of ASTM Standards*. American Society for Testing and Material (ASTM), Philadelphia, USA.

ASTM D1560. (2005). Standard Test Methods for Resistance to Deformation and Cohesion of Bituminous Mixtures by Means of Hveem Apparatus. American Society for Testing and Material (ASTM), Philadelphia, USA.

ASTM D2041. (2003). Standard Test Method for Theoretical Maximum Specific Gravity and Density of Bituminous Paving Mixtures *Volume 04.03, Annual Book of ASTM Standards*. American Society for Testing and Material (ASTM), Philadelphia, USA.

ASTM D2726. (2004). Standard Test Method for Bulk Specific Gravity and Density of Non-Absorptive Compacted Bituminous Mixtures *Volume 04.03,Annual Book of ASTM Standards*. American Society for Testing and Material (ASTM), Philadelphia, USA.

ASTM D3497-79. (2003). Standard Test Method for Dynamic Modulus of Asphalt Mixtures. American Society for Testing and Material (ASTM), Philadelphia, USA.

ASTM D4402. (2002). Standard Test Method for Viscosity Determination of Asphalt at Elevated Temperatures Using a Rotational Viscometer *Volume 04.04, Annual Book of ASTM Standards*: American Society for Testing and Material (ASTM), Philadelphia, USA.

Australian Asphalt Pavement Association. (2010). Asphat Compaction.

Bayomy, F., Al-Kandari, F., & Smith, R. (1996). Mechanistically based flexible overlay design system for Idaho. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 1543*(-1), 10-19.

Bhasin, A., Button, J. W., & Chowdhury, A. (2005). Evaluation of selected laboratory procedures and development of databases for HMA: Texas Transportation Institute, Texas A & M University System.

Birgisson, B., & Roque, R. (2005). Evaluation of the gradation effect on the dynamic modulus. *Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, No. 1929, Transportation Research Record, Washington DC, 193-199.*

Bonnot, J. (1986). Asphalt aggregate mixtures. *Transportation research record*(1096).

Booij, H., & Thoone, G. (1982). Generalization of Kramers-Kronig transforms and some approximations of relations between viscoelastic quantities. *Rheologica Acta, 21*(1), 15-24.

Brown, E., Hainin, M., Cooley, A., & Hurley, G. (2004). Relationship of Air Voids, Lift Thickness, and Permeability in Hot Mix Asphalt Pavements. NCHRP Report 531 *National Center for Asphalt Technology, Auburn University, Auburn, AL. Washington (DC): Transportation Research Board*.

Brown, E. R., Kandhal, P. S., & Zhang, J. (2001). Performance testing for hot mix asphalt. *National Center for Asphalt Technology Report, NCAT Report 01-05*.

BS 812-105. (1990). Methods for determination of particle shape, Flakiness and elongation index of coarse aggregate: BSI British Standards. Bristol, UK.

BS 812-112. (1990). Method for determination of aggregate impact value (AIV): BSI British Standards. Bristol, UK.

Buchanan, M. S., & Moore, V. M. (2005). Laboratory Accelerated Stripping Simulator for Hot Mix Asphalt.

Carvalho, R. L., & Schwartz, C. W. (2006). Comparisons of Flexible Pavement Designs: AASHTO Empirical Versus NCHRP Project 1-37A Mechanistic-Empirical. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 1947*(1), 167-174.

Castillo, J., Blanca, A. P. r. D. L., Cabrera, J., & SimÃ³n, A. (2006). An optical tire contact pressure test bench. *Vehicle System Dynamics, 44*(3), 207-221.

Castillo, J., De La Blanca Pérez, A., Cabrera, J. A., & and Simón, A. (2006). An optical tire contact pressure test bench. *Vehicle System Dynamics, 44*(3), 207 - 221.

Charles, F. S. (1986). Trends in Truck Tires. *Presented at special symposium on Rutting in Asphalt Pavements, Roads and Transportation Association of Canada, Toronto, Ontario, Canada*.

Chowdhury, A., & Button, J. (2002). Evaluation of Superpave Shear Test Protocols: Texas Transportation Institute, Texas A & M University System.

Chowdhury, A., & Button, J. W. (2003). Best Superpave Shear Test Protocol for Predicting Rutting, Research Report No. FHWA/TX-02/0-1819-S: Texas Transportation Institute, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas.

Claessen, A., Edwards, J., Sommer, P., & Uge, P. (1977). *Asphalt Pavement Design—The Shell Method*.

Cohen, J. (1992). A Power Primer. *Psychological Bulletin, 112*(1), 155-159.

Cooley, L., Ahlrich, R., James, R. S., Prowell, B. D., & Brown, E. R. (2007). *Implementation of Superpave mix design for airfield pavements.* Paper presented at the 2007 FAA International Airport Technology Transfer Conference. Atlantic City, New Jersey, USA.

Cooley, L., Kandhal, P. S., Buchanan, M. S., Fee, F., & Epps, A. (2000). *Loaded wheel testers in the United States: State of the practice*: Transportation Research Board, National Research Council.

Croney, P., & Croney, D. (1997). *The design and performance of road pavements*: McGraw-Hill Professional.

De Beer, M. (1994). Measurement of Tyre/Pavement Interface Stresses Under Moving Wheel Loads. *Research Report DPVT 224, Pavement Engineering Technology, Division of Roads and Transport Technology, Council for Scientific and Industrial Research*.

De Beer, M. (2006). Reconsideration of tyre-pavement input parameters for the structural design of flexible pavements. *Draft Paper: ABS0059*.

De Beer, M., & Fischer, C. (1997). Contact Stresses of Pneumatic Tires Measured with the Vehicle-Road Surface Pressure Transducer Array (VRSPTA) System for the University of California at Berkeley (UCB) and the Nevada Automotive Test Center (NATC). *Research Report No. CR-97/053, Division of Roads and Transport Technology, CSIR, South Africa*.

De Beer, M., & Fischer, C. (2002). Tire Contact Stress Measurements with the Stress-In-Motion (SIM) Mk IV System for the Texas Transportation Institute *Contract Report No. CR-2002/82. Division of Roads and Transport Technology, Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, Pretoria, South Africa*.

De Beer, M., Fisher, C., & Jooste, F. (1997). *Determination of pneumatic tyre/pavement interface contact stresses under moving loads and some effects on pavements with thin asphalt surfacing layers.* Paper presented at the Proceedings of Eighth International Conference on Asphalt Pavements University of Washington, Seattle, Washington

De Beer, M., Fisher, C., & Kannemeyer, L. (2004). Towards the application of Stress-In-Motion (SIM) results in pavement design and infrastructure protection. Eight International Symposium on Heavy Vehicles, Weights and Dimensions.

De Beer, M., Fisher, C., & Sadzik, E. (2008). *Towards quantifying horizontal stresses of free-polling pneumatic rubber tyres on road surfaces*. Paper presented at the Southern African Transport Conference (SATC).

De Beer, M., Groenendijk, J., & Fischer, C. (1996). Three dimensional contact stresses under the LINTRACK wide base single tyres, measured with the Vehicle-Road Surface Pressure Transducer Array (VRSPTA) system in South Africa.

Contract Report CR-96/056,Division of Roads and Transport Technology, Council of Scientific and Industrial Research.

Di Benedetto, H. r., Gabet, T., Grenfell, J., Perraton, D., Sauze´at, C. d., & Bodin, D. (2013). Mechanical testing of bituminous mixtures *Advances in Interlaboratory Testing and Evaluation of Bituminous Materials* (pp. 143-256): Springer.

Drakos, C., Roque, R., & Birgisson, B. (2001). Effects of measured tire contact stresses on near-surface rutting. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 1764*(-1), 59-69.

El-Basyouny, M., & Witczak, M. (2005). *Calibration of Longitudinal Fatigue Cracking Model for 2002 Design Guide*.

El-Hakim, M. (2009). Instrumentation and overall evaluation of perpetual and conventional flexible pavement designs.

Fernando, E., Musani, D., Park, D., & Wenting, L. (2006). Evaluation of Effects of Tire Size and Inflation Pressure on Tire Contact Stresses and Pavement Response. *Research Report No.FHWA/TX-06/0-4361-1*.

Foo, K. Y. (1994). *Predicting Rutting in Hot Mix Asphalt.* (Ph.D. Dissertation), Auburn University.

Ford, T., & Yap, P. (1990). *Truck Tire/Pavement Interface*.

Freeman, R. B., & Harr, M. E. (2004). Stress predictions for flexible pavement systems. *Journal of Transportation Engineering, 130*(4), 495-502.

Gabrielson, J. R. (1992). *Evaluation of hot mix asphalt (HMA) static creep and repeated load tests.* (Ph.D. Dissertation), Auburn University.

Garba, R. (2002). *Permanent deformation properties of asphalt concrete mixtures.* Norwegian University of Science and Technology.

Geller, M. (1984). Compaction Equipment for Asphalt Mixtures. Placement and Compaction of Asphalt Mixtures. *American Society for Testing and Materials, Special Technical Publication 829*.

Gentle, C. (1983). Optical mapping of pressures in tyre contact areas. *Optics and Lasers in Engineering, 4*(3), 167-176.

Goh, S. W., You, Z., Williams, R. C., & Li, X. (2010). Preliminary dynamic modulus criteria of HMA for field rutting of asphalt pavements: Michigan's experience. *Journal of Transportation Engineering, 137*(1), 37-45.

Gopalakrishnan, K., & Thompson, M. (2004). *Rutting study of NAPTF flexible pavement test sections.* Paper presented at the Airfield Pavements: Challenges and New Technologies.

Gul, W. A. (2008). *Effect of Recycled cement concrete content on rutting behavior of asphalt concrete.* (Master of Science Dissertation), Middle East Technical University.

Haghighi, H. (2009). *Evaluation of a Laboratory Asphalt Rotary Compactor.* (Master), Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Hills, J. (1973). Creep of Asphalt Mixes. *Journal of the Institute of Petroleum, 59*(570), 247-262.

HMA Performance Tests. (5 June 2009). http://www.pavementinteractive.org <http://www.pavementinteractive.org/article/hma-performance-tests/> Retrieved 28 March 2014

Hossain, N., Solanki, P., Zaman, M., Muraleetharan, K. K., & Singh, D. (2013). *Development of Field Rut Prediction Models from an Instrumented Test Section on Interstate-35.* Paper presented at the Transportation Research Board 92nd Annual Meeting.

Hu, X., Sun, L., Hu, S., & Walubita, L. F. (2008). A Simple and Effective Laboratory Test Device for Measuring Tire-Pavement Contact Pressure. *Journal of Testing and Evaluation, American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), 36*(4), 1-7.

Huang, Y. (2004). *Pavement Design and Analysis*: Pearson/Prentice Hall.

Hveem Mix Design. (15 August 2007). http://www.pavementinteractive.org <http://www.pavementinteractive.org/article/hveem-mix-design/> Retrieved 30 March 2014

ISO 15552:2004. Pneumatic fluid power- Cylinders with Detachable Mountings,1000 kPa (10 bar) Series, Bores from 32 mm to 320 mm- Basic, Mounting and Accessories Dimensions: BSI.

JKR. (2008). Standard Specification for Road Works, Section 4, Flexible Pavement, Jabatan Kerja Raya (JKR), Malaysia.

Kandhal, P. S., & Cooley Jr, L. A. (2002). Investigaation of the Restricted Zone in Superpave Aggregate Gradation Specification (with Discussion). *Journal of the Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists, 71*.

Kandhal, P. S., & Cooley, L. (2002). Evaluation of permanent deformation of asphalt mixtures using loaded wheel tester. *Asphalt Paving Technology, 71*, 739-753.

Khanzada, S. (2000). *Permanent deformation in bituminous mixtures.* University of Nottingham.

Kim, D., Salgado, R., & Altschaeffl, A. (2005). Effects of supersingle tire loadings on pavements. *Journal of Transportation Engineering, 131*(10), 732-743.

Kuphaldt, T. R. (2006). Lessons In Electric Circuits, Volume I-DC. *Design Science*.

Laboratory Wheel Tracking Devices. (1 July 2011). http://www.pavementinteractive.org <http://www.pavementinteractive.org/article/laboratory-wheel-tracking-devices>. Retrieved 29 March 2014

Luo, R., & Prozzi, J. Pavement Responses at Asphalt Surface Under Measured 3-D Tire-Pavement Contact Stresses.

Luo, R., & Prozzi, J. (2007a). Calibration of Pavement Response Models for the Mechanistic- Empirical Pavement Design Method. *Report No. SWUTC/07/167264-1,Center for Transportation Research,University of Texas at Austin*.

Luo, R., & Prozzi, J. (2007b). Effect of Measured Three-Dimensional Tire—Pavement Contact Stress on Pavement Response at Asphalt Surface. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 2037*(1), 115-127.

Luo, R., Prozzi, J., Center, S. R. U. T., & Research, U. o. T. a. A. C. f. T. (2005). *Evaluation of the Joint Effect of Wheel Load and Tire Pressure on Pavement Performance*: Southwest Region University Transportation Center, Center for Transportation Research, University of Texas at Austin.

Machemehl, R., Wang, F., & Prozzi, J. (2005). Analytical study of effects of truck tire pressure on pavements with measured tire-pavement contact stress data. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 1919*(-1), 111-119.

Mahoney, J., & Zinke, S. (2008). Asphalt Pavement Analyzer Equipment Acquisition.

Maini, R., & Aggarwal, H. (2009). Study and comparison of various image edge detection techniques. *International Journal of Image Processing (IJIP), 3*(1), 1-11.

Mallick, R. B., Ahlrich, R., & Brown, E. R. (1995). Potential of Dynamic Creep to Predict Rutting. *Engineering Properties of Asphalt Mixtures and Relationship to their Performance*.

Marshek, K. M., Hudson, W. R., Connell, R. B., Chen, H. H., & Saraf, C. L. (1985). Experimental Investigation of Truck Tire Inflation Pressure on Pavement–Tire Contact Area and Pressure Distribution. *Research Report 386-1, Center for Transportation Research, University of Texas at Austin*.

Marsili, R. (2000). Measurement of the dynamic normal pressure between tire and ground using PVDF piezoelectric films. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement,, 49*(4), 736-740.

Mashaan, N. S., Ali, A. H., Koting, S., & Karim, M. R. (2013). Performance evaluation of crumb rubber modified stone mastic asphalt pavement in Malaysia. *Advances in Materials Science and Engineering*.

Michelin. (2005). Michelin® Truck Tire Service Manual.

Monismith, C. L., Ogawa, N., & Freeme, C. (1975). Permanent deformation characteristics of subgrade soils due to repeated loading. *Transportation research record*(537).

Myers, L., Roque, R., & Ruth, B. (1998). Mechanisms of surface-initiated longitudinal wheel path cracks in high-type bituminous pavements. *Journal of the Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists, 67*, 401-432.

NAPA. (1999). Designing and Constructing SMA Mixtures - State-of-the-Practice: National Asphalt Pavement Association (NAPA).

NCHRP. (2004). Guide for Mechanistic-Empirical Design of New and Rehabilitated Pavements Structures, Final Report, Part 3 *Design Analysis National Cooperative Highway Research Program,Washington, D.C.*

NCHRP. (2007). *Legal truck loads and AASHTO legal loads for posting* (Vol. 575): Transportation Research Board.

Novak, M., Birgisson, B., & Roque, R. (2003a). Near-surface stress states in flexible pavements using measured radial tire contact stresses and ADINA. *Computers & Structures, 81*(8-11), 859-870.

Novak, M., Birgisson, B., & Roque, R. (2003b). Tire Contact Stresses and Their Effects on Instability Rutting of Asphalt Mixture Pavements: Three-Dimensional Finite Element Analysis. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 1853*(-1), 150-156.

Otsu, N. (1979). A threshold selection method from gray-level histograms. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, SMC-9*(1), 62-66.

Owende, P., Hartman, A., Ward, S., Gilchrist, M., & O'Mahony, M. (2001). Minimizing Distress on Flexible Pavements Using Variable Tire Pressure. *Journal of Transportation Engineering, 127*(3), 254-262.

Paranavithana, S., & Mohajerani, A. (2006). Effects of recycled concrete aggregates on properties of asphalt concrete. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 48*(1), 1-12.

Park, D. (2008). Prediction of Pavement Fatigue and Rutting Life using Different Tire Types. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering, 12*(5), 297-303.

Park, D., Fernando, E., & Leidy, J. (2005). Evaluation of predicted pavement response with measured tire contact stresses. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 1919*(-1), 160-170.

Park, D., Martin, A., Jeong, J., & Lee, S. (2008). Effects of Tire Inflation Pressure and Load on Predicted Pavement Strains. *The Baltic Journal of Road and Bridge Engineering, 3*(4), 181-186.

Pascale, P., Doré, G., & Prophète, F. (2004). Characterization of tire impact on the pavement behaviour. *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering, 31*(5), 860-869.

Pascale, P., Guy, D., & Laetitia, V. (2003). Characterization and evaluation of tire-roadway interface stresses. *Rep. No. GCT-03-03, Québec Ministry of Transport, Montreal*.

PDAQ. (2008). Personal Daq/50 Series, User's Manual: IOtech.

Peterson, C. R., & Dorcas, M. E. (1994). Automated Data Acquistition.

Pezo, R., Marshek, K., & Hudson, W. (1989). Truck Tire Pavement Contact Pressure Distribution Characteristics for the Bias Goodyear 18-22.5, the Radial Mechelin 275/80R/24.5, the Radial Michelin 255/70R/22.5, and the Radial Goodyear 11R24.5 Tires: Research Report 1190-2F, University of Texas at Austin, Center for Transportation Research.

Prozzi, J., & Luo, R. (2005). Quantification of the joint effect of wheel load and tire inflation pressure on pavement response. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 1919*(-1), 134-141.

Quintus, H. L. V., Mallela, J., Bonaquist, R., Schwartz, C. W., & Carvalho, R. L. (2012). Calibration of Rutting Models for Structural and Mix Design,NCHRP REPORT 719, National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Transpotation Research Board, Washington, D.C.

Roberts, F. L., Kandhal, P. S., Brown, E. R., Lee, D., & Kennedy, T. W. (1996). Hot Mix Asphalt Materials, Mixture Design and Construction 2nd Edition. *NAPA Education Foundation, Lanham, Maryland.*

Roque, R., myers, L., & Ruth, B. (1998). Loading characteristics of modern truck tires and their effects on surface cracking of asphalt pavements. *Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on the Bearing Capacity of Roads and Airfields, 1*(1), 93-102.

Siddharthan, R., Krishnamenon, N., El-Mously, M., & Sebaaly, P. (2002). Investigation of tire contact stress distributions on pavement response. *Journal of Transportation Engineering, 128*(2), 136-144.

Siddharthan, R., Yao, J., & Sebaaly, P. (1998). Pavement strain from moving dynamic 3 D load distribution. *Journal of Transportation Engineering, 124*(6), 557-566.

Soloman, S. (2009). *Sensors handbook*: McGraw-Hill, Inc.

Sousa, J., Deacon, J., Weissman, S., Harvey, J., Monismith, C., Leahy, R. (1994). Permanent Deformation Response of Asphalt-Aggregate Mixes. *Strategic Highway Research Program, National Research Council, Washington, DC, Report No. SHRP-A-415.*

Superpave Shear Tester. (1 January 2008). http://www.pavementinteractive.org <http://www.pavementinteractive.org/article/superpave-shear-tester/> Retrieved 28 March 2014

Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2001). *Using multivariate statistics*: New York: HarperCollins.

ter Huerne, H. L. (2004). *Compaction of Asphalt Road Pavements Using Finite Elements and Critical State Theory*. (Ph.D.), University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands.

Tielking, J. (1994). Force transmissibility of heavy truck tires.

Tielking, J. T., & Roberts, F. L. (1987). Tire contact pressure and its effect on pavement strain. *Journal of Transportation Engineering, 113*(1), 56-71.

Timm, D., Birgisson, B., & Newcomb, D. (1998). Development of mechanistic-empirical pavement design in minnesota. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 1629*(-1), 181-188.

Timm, D., Voller, V., Lee, E., & Harvey, J. (2001). MultiCool: A numerical solution program for multi-layer cooling time of asphalt concrete pavement. *The International Journal of Pavement Engineering, 2*, 169-185.

Timm, D. H. (2009). Design, construction, and instrumentation of the 2006 test track structural study. *NCAT Report 09-01, Auburn University, Alabama*.

Tseng, K. (1988). *A finite element method for the performance analysis of flexible pavements*: Texas A & M University.

van de Ven, M., de Fortier Smit, A., Jenkins, K., & Hugo, F. (1998). Scaled down APT considerations for viscoelastic materials. *Journal of the Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists, 67*.

Verhaeghe, B., Myburgh, P., & Denneman, E. (2007). *Asphalt rutting and its prevention.* Paper presented at the 9th Conference on Asphalt Pavements for Southern Africa, Gaborone, Botswana.

Vijayanath, B. K., Wu, Z., Hossain, M., & Gisi, A. (1999). Instrumentation of the Superpave Test Sections at the Kansas Accelerated Testing Laboratory. *Proceedings of International Conference on Accelerated Pavement Testing, Reno, Nevada*, 10-14.

VISHAY Precision Group. (2010). Strain Gages and Instruments, High-Elongation Strain Measurements.

Walubita, L. F., Zhang, J., Das, G., Hu, X., Mushota, C., Alvarez, A. E. (2011). *Comparison of the Hamburg, dynamic modulus, and repeated load tests for evaluation of HMA permanent deformation.* Paper presented at the 91st Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting, Washington DC.

Wang, F., Inman, R., Machemehl, R., Zhang, Z., & Walton, C. (2002). *A Survey Study of Current Pavement-related Truck Characteristics in Texas*.

Weissman, S. (1999). Influence of tire-pavement contact stress distribution on development of distress mechanisms in pavements. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 1655*(-1), 161-167.

Wen, H., Ma, J., Zhang, M., & Ma, G. (2012). *The comparison research of nonlinear curve fitting in Matlab and LabVIEW.* Paper presented at the IEEE Symposium on Electrical & Electronics Engineering (EEESYM).

WesTrack Forensic Team. (1998). Performance of Coarse-graded Mixes at WesTrack – Premature Rutting, Final Report.

Williams, R. C., & Prowell, B. D. (1999). Comparison of laboratory wheel-tracking test results with Wes Track performance. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 1681*(1), 121-128.

Willis, J. R., & Timm, D. H. (2008). Repeatability of Asphalt Strain Measurements Under Full-Scale Dynamic Loading. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 2087*(1), 40-48.

Witczak, M. W. (2007). Specification criteria for simple performance tests for rutting, NCHRP Rep. 580. *National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Washington, DC.*

Witczak, M. W., Kaloush, K., Pellinen, T., El-Basyouny, M., & Quintus, H. V. (2002). Simple performance test for superpave mix design. *NCHRP Rep. 465, National Cooperative Highway Research Program,Washington DC.*

Witczak, M. W., & Sullivan, B. (2002). Superpave Support and Performance Models Management. *NCHRP Rep. 547, National Cooperative Highway Research Program,Washington, D.C.*

Yap, P. (1988). *A comparative study of the effect of truck tire types on road contact pressures*: Society of Automotive Engineers.

Yildirim, Y., Jayawickrama, P. W., Hossain, M. S., Alhabshi, A., Yildirim, C., Smit, A. d. F. (2007). Hamburg wheel-tracking database analysis: Texas Transportation Institute, The Texas A&M University System.

Yoo, P., Al-Qadi, I., Elseifi, M., & Janajreh, I. (2006). Flexible pavement responses to different loading amplitudes considering layer interface condition and lateral shear forces. *International Journal of Pavement Engineering*, *7*(1), 73-86.

Ypma, T. J. (1995). Historical development of the Newton-Raphson method. *SIAM review, 37*(4), 531-551.

Yun, W. L., Rajendra Acharya, U., Venkatesh, Y., Chee, C., Min, L. C., & Ng, E. (2008). Identification of different stages of diabetic retinopathy using retinal optical images. *Information Sciences, 178*(1), 106-121.

Zamani, N. G. (2010). *CATIA V5 FEA Tutorials: Release 19*: SDC Publications.

Zhang, L., & Zhang, D. (2004). Characterization of palmprints by wavelet signatures via directional context modeling. *Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions on*, *34*(3), 1335-1347.

Zhou, F., Chen, D.-H., Scullion, T., & Bilyeu, J. (2003). Case study: Evaluation of laboratory test methods to characterize permanent deformation properties of asphalt mixes. *International Journal of Pavement Engineering, 4*(3), 155-164.

Zhou, F., & Scullion, T. (2002). Discussion: Three stages of permanent deformation curve and rutting model. *International Journal of Pavement Engineering, 3*(4), 251-260.

Zhou, F., Scullion, T., & Sun, L. (2004). Verification and modeling of three-stage permanent deformation behavior of asphalt mixes. *Journal of Transportation Engineering, 130*(4), 486-494.