

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

DEVELOPMENT OF THREE-YEAR OLD NIGERIAN NUMERICAL CHILD DUMMY MODEL FOR VEHICLE SAFETY ASSESSMENT

IBRAHIM ABDULLAHI RAFUKKA

FK 2017 29



DEVELOPMENT OF THREE-YEAR OLD NIGERIAN NUMERICAL CHILD DUMMY MODEL FOR VEHICLE SAFETY ASSESSMENT

By

IBRAHIM ABDULLAHI RAFUKKA

Thesis Submitted to School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

April 2017

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

DEVELOPMENT OF THREE-YEAR OLD NIGERIAN NUMERICAL CHILD DUMMY MODEL FOR VEHICLE SAFETY ASSESSMENT

By

IBRAHIM ABDULLAHI RAFUKKA

April 2017

Chairman<th:Professor Barkawi Bin Sahari, PhD</th>Faculty: Engineering

Child injuries in vehicular crashes especially on the head, is considered a major public health problem worldwide. Biofidelic child dummy is a key to designing safer vehicle to child occupants. Current crash dummies used for the evaluation of vehicle safety performance were developed based on 50th percentiles of some specific populations of the world. Biomechanical response of child occupant on crash depends on the size and weight; Nigerian children need crash dummy model with biofidelic head representing their own anthropometry for crash test of vehicle used by this vulnerable population. The aim of this work is to develop three year old Nigerian child dummy model that can predict injuries from various head locations for application in vehicle crash test.

In the present work, anthropometric comparison was conducted where significant difference was found between the three year old Nigerian child (3YO NC) and current three year old Hybrid III (3YO HIII) and Q3s dummies with a maximum difference of more than 25% in body dimensions. To develop dummy for 3YO NC anthropometry, morphing technique in LS-DYNA software was used. It was first verified by developing a 3YO HIII dummy finite element (FE) model using six year old Hybrid III (6YO HIII) FE dummy model as a reference, and its biomechanical responses were compared with experimental and simulation results using physical 3YO HIII dummy from which it was found to be consistent both qualitatively and quantitatively. Detailed development of 3YO NC dummy model using the same scaling procedures was then accomplished. In this case, the body segments were morphed and material properties were modelled. The dummy head model was validated against the experimental data inferred from a nine year old child cadaver head recently published in literature for five impact locations namely; frontal, right and left parietals, vertex and occipital. The difference between child head FE model and scaled cadaver data is approximately 3% to 24%. The neck and thorax responses were also validated against three year old certification corridors, cadaver data and by comparison with other three year old child dummy models response available in the literature. The 3YO NC dummy response was found to be closer to certification corridors than the existing 3YO HIII dummy in some parameters. Other body parts were morphed and their material properties were modelled such that the weight fit that of 3YO NC. Comparison between morphed 3YO NC and morphed 3YO HIII in chest acceleration and upper neck moment and forces shows difference of approximately 6.5% to 41% between the two dummies.

Finite element model of child restraint seat (CRS) was also developed and validated to accommodate the child model in crash simulations. Crash analysis was conducted in LS-DYNA software with newly developed Nigerian child dummy in CRS using the Ford Taurus 1992 FE model in order to evaluate its crashworthiness capability with respect to three year old child occupants. The three year old child injury parameters: Head Injury Criteria (HIC_{15} , HIC_{36}) and neck moment (NM) were found to be 47%, 49% and 85% respectively above the NHTSA threshold while upper neck force and chest deflection were found to be within an acceptable range.

The technique employed in this study can be applied to develop dummy FE model of various sizes from existing crash dummy models. Biofidelic head model can be used to estimate injuries from vehicle crash due to contact of head with vehicle interior and height fall accidents for various head locations.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PEMBANGUNAN MODEL DAMI BERANGKA KANAK–KANAK TIGA TAHUN NIGERIA UNTUK PENILAIAN KESELAMATAN KENDERAAN

Oleh

IBRAHIM ABDULLAHI RAFUKKA

April 2017

Pengerusi : Profesor Barkawi Bin Sahari, PhD Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Kecederaan kanak-kanak dalam nahas kenderaan terutama bahagian kepala, merupakan masalah kesihatan awam utama dunia.Dami kanak-kanak biofidelik merupakan kunci bagi reka bentuk kenderaan yang lebih selamat bagi penumpang kanak-kanak. Dami nahas kini yang telah digunakan untuk penilaian bagi prestasi keselamatan kenderaan telah dibangunkan berdasarkan 50th persentil bagi beberapa populasi tertentu dunia. Respon biomekanikal bagi penumpang kanak-kanak ke atas nahas bergantung kepada saiz dan berat; kanak-kanak Nigeria memerlukan model dami nahas dengan kepala biofidelik yang mewakili antropometri mereka sendiri bagi ujian nahas kenderaan yang digunakan oleh populasi yang lemah ini. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk membangunkan model dami kanak-kanak tiga tahun Nigeria yang dapat meramalkan kecederaan dari pelbagai lokasi kepala bagi diaplikasikan dalam ujian nahas kenderaan.

Dalam kajian ini, perbandingan antropometrik telah dijalankan dan didapati bahawa terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan antara kanak-kanak Nigeria berusia tiga tahun (3YO NC) dengan Hibrid III (3YO HIII) tiga tahun kini dan dami Q3 dengan perbezaan maksimum lebih daripada 25% dalam dimensi tubuh. Untuk membangunkan dami bagi antropometri 3YO NC, teknik morfing dalam perisian LS-DYNA digunakan. Perisian ini pertama kalinya telah ditentusahkan, dengan membangunkan model unsur terhingga (FE) dami 3YO HIII menggunakan model dami FE Hibrid III enam tahun (6YO HIII) sebagai rujukan, dan respon biomekanikal telah dibandingkan dengan dapatan uji kaji dan simulasi menggunakan dami 3YO HIII fizikal yang didapati adalah konsisten bagi kedua-dua ukuran, kuantitatif dan kualitatif. Perkembangan yang mendalam mengenai model dami 3YO NC menggunakan teknik penskalaan yang sama kemudiannya telah dilaksanakan. Dalam kes ini, segmen tubuh telah dimorfkan dan ciri bahan telah dimodelkan. Model kepala dami telah disahkan terhadap data uji kaji yang disimpulkan daripada kepala kadaver kanak-kanak sembilan tahun yang baru-baru ini diterbitkan dalam sorotan kajian untuk lima lokasi impak, iaitu; bahagian hadapan, kanan dan kiri parietals, verteks



dan oksipital. Perbezaan antara model FE kepala kanak-kanak dan data kadaver terskala ialah lebih kurang 3% hingga 24%. Respon leher dan toraks juga telah disahkan terhadap koridor pensijilan tiga tahun, data kadaver dan melalui perbandingan dengan tindak balas model dami kanak-kanak tiga tahun lain yang terdapat dalam sorotan kajian. Respon dami 3YO NC didapati hampir dengan koridor pensijilan daripada dami 3YO HIII yang sedia ada dalam beberapa parameter. Bahagian tubuh lain telah dimorfkan dan ciri bahan dimodelkan supaya berat diselarasuaikan dengan 3YO NC. Perbandingan antara 3YO NC yang dimorfkan dan 3YO HIII yang dimorfkan dalam pecutan dada dan momen leher atas dan tenaga menunjukkan perbezaan lebih kurang 6.5% hingga 41% antara kedua-dua dami tersebut.

Model unsur terhingga kerusi penahan kanak-kanak (CRS) juga telah dihasilkan dan disahkan bagi menyesuaikan model kanak-kanak dalam simulasi nahas. Analisis nahas telah dijalankan menggunakan perisian LS-DYNA dengan dami kanak-kanak Nigeria yang baru dibangunkan dalam CRS menggunakan model FE Ford Taurus 1992 bagi menilai kebolehan perlindungan laganya dengan merujuk kepada penumpang kanak-kanak tiga tahun. Parameter kecederaan kanak-kanak tiga tahun: Kriteria Kecederaan Kepala (HIC_{15} , HIC_{36}) dan momen leher (NM) yang didapati ialah 47%, 49% dan 85% atas ambang NHTSA, manakala tenaga leher atas dan pemesongan dada didapati dalam lingkungan julat yang boleh diterima.

Teknik yang dibangunkan dalam kajian ini boleh diguna pakai untuk membangunkan model FE dami pelbagai saiz daripada model dami nahas yang sedia ada. Model kepala biofidelik boleh digunakan untuk menganggar kecederaan daripada nahas kenderaan disebabkan kontak kepala dengan bahagian dalam kenderaan dan ketinggian terjatuhnya kemalangan untuk pelbagai lokasi kepala.

AKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to express gratitude to almighty Allah the most beneficent and most merciful who created and blessed mankind with his wisdom, for assisting and protecting me throughout the study period.

My profound thanks go to my supervisor Prof. Ir. Dr. Barkawi Bin Sahari for the inspiration, encouragement and guidance given to me throughout the duration of this research project. He has been always available to advice me and provide assistance in many ways.

I wish to also extend special gratitude to the co-supervisors Associate Prof. Dr. Nuraini bint Abdul Aziz and Prof. Dr. Manohar Arumugam for the valuable advice and guidance in varied ways while carrying out this work.

I would also like to thank my family for their support, prayers and encouragement throughout my life. Special thanks to my wife and children for giving me joy and happiness.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Barkawi Bin Sahari, PhD

Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Nuraini Bint Abdul Aziz, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Manohar A/L Arumugam, PhD

Professor Faculty of medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee:	Professor Dr. Barkawi Bin Sahari
Signature:	
Name of Member	
of Supervisory	
Committee:	Associate Professor Dr. Nuraini Bint Abdul Aziz
Signature:	
Name of Member	
of Supervisory	
Committee:	Professor Dr. Manohar A/L Arumugam

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

AB AB AK AP DE LIS LIS	STRACT STRAK NOWLED PROVAL CLARATI ST OF TAB ST OF FIG ST OF ABB	GEMENTS ON BLES URES BREBIATIONS AND NOTATIONS	i iii v vi viii xiv xvi xxiii
СН	APTER		
1	INTR 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6	ODUCTION Background of study Problem statement Objectives Scope and limitation of work Highlight of work contribution Thesis layout	1 5 6 7 7
2	LITE 2.1 2.2 2.3	RATURE REVIEW Introduction Human anatomy related to automotive design 2.2.1 Child human body construction 2.2.1.1 Head FE modelling 2.2.1.2 Neck FE modelling 2.2.1.3 Thorax FE modelling 2.2.1.3 Thorax FE modelling 2.2.1.3 Thorax FE modelling 2.3.1 Physical Anthropometric Testing Device (ATD) 2.3.2 Child ATD finite element models 2.3.3 Crash dummy modelling and testing methods 2.3.4 Application of morphing technique in dummy FE modelling 2.3.5 Anthropometric data in child dummy design 2.3.6 Implementing cadaver experimental data in ATD FE models	8 8 9 11 13 14 15 16 17 18 23 25 28
	2.4	Automotive crash, safety and injury assessment 2.4.1 Vehicle design for safety 2.4.2 Injury criterion 2.4.2.1 Head injury criteria (HIC) 2.4.2.2 Neck injury criteria 2.4.2.3 Chest severity index (CSI) 2.4.4 Crashworthiness assessment of vehicles	29 30 31 31 32 33 34 34 34
	2.3	2.5.1 Implicit dynamic analysis	30 37

		2.5.2 H	Explicit	dynamic analysis	38
		2.5.3 I	Finite el	ement simulation tools	39
	2.6	Material	behavio	our models	39
		2.6.1 I	Linear e	lastic isotropic	39
		2.6.2 I	Linear v	riscoelastic	40
		2.6.3 H	Foam M	laterial	40
		2.6.4 N	Materia	fabric	42
	2.7	Summary	/		42
3	МАТ	TERIALS	AND N	IETHODS	44
	3.1	Introduct	ion		44
	3.2	Anthropo	ometric	data collection	46
	3.3	Reference	e dumn	ıy	48
	3.4	Morphing	g		49
		3.4.1 N	Morphi1	ng in LS-PrePost	50
		3	3.4.1.1	Morphing box construction	50
		- 3	3.4.1.2	Constraining 6YO HIII dummy head-	51
				neck model in constraining solid element	
		3	3.4.1.3	Scaling the morphing box in a given direction	52
			8414	Unconstraining the constraining solid	53
				element and nodes to be morphed	00
		342 H	Head-ne	eck modelling	56
	3.5	Body seg	ment w	reight	56
	3.6	Weight a	diustme	ent	57
	37	Model as	sembly		58
	5.7	371 N	Mornhe	d model description	58
	38	Sled test	validati	on of DHIII	58
	5.0	3.8.1	Sled tes	t experiment	59
		3.8.2	Assessn	ent of correlation between experimental	61
		5.0.2 1	and sim	ulation results	01
		383	Materia	optimization and model validation	62
	3.9	Compone	ent testi	ng and evaluation	63
	5.7	3 Q 1 F	Head dr	on test	63
		3.7.1		Cadaver head drop test experimental	63
				work	05
			3.9.1.2	Convergence study	65
		3	3.9.1.3	6YO HIII head model improvement	66
		3.9.2 N	Neck pe	ndulum test	67
		3	3.9.2.1	Pendulum modelling	67
		3	3.9.2.2	Pendulum test setup	68
		3.9.3	Thorax	impact test	70
	3.10	Biomech	anical r	esponse comparison of DHIII and DNig	71
	3.11	Child res	traint sy	/stem modelling	71
	3.12	Crashwor	rthiness	simulation	75
		3.12.1 V	Vehicle	model description and model development	75
		3.12.2 V	Vehicle	material modelling	77
	3.13	Summary	/		78

4.1Introduction794.2Anthropometric data of three year old Nigerian children794.2.1Comparison of Nigerian child at with other81anthropometric studies4.2.2Anthropometric comparison of 3YO NC and USdata from (Snyder et al. 1977)4.2.3Anthropometric comparison of 3YO NC, and 3YOHIII and Q3s dummics4.3The 3YO HIII dummy (D_{HIII}) model validation (Morphingverification)4.3.1Head X-acceleration4.3.3Upper neck moment884.3.4Upper neck force884.4Nigerian child dummy model development validation89esuits4.4.1.1Biomechanical response scaling904.4.1.2Head model validation964.4.2.1Biomechanical response scaling904.4.1.2Head model validation964.4.2.3Matrial properties modification101modification for lateral impact assessment1044.4.2.1Biomechanical response scaling101advitied GYO HIII dummy head model101advitied GYO HIII dummy head model1044.4.3.1Pendulum validation1084.4.3.2Pendulum test1084.4.3.3Material modelling1124.4.3.4Neck flexion test of 3YO dummy1284.4.3Neck flexion test of 3YO dummy113exatter4.3.3Naterial modelling113exatter4.3.4Neck flexion test validation113exatter117 <th>4</th> <th>NIG</th> <th></th> <th>CHILD I</th> <th>DUMMY MODEL DEVELOPMENT</th> <th>79</th>	4	NIG		CHILD I	DUMMY MODEL DEVELOPMENT	79
4.1Introduction1794.2Anthropometric data of three year old Nigerian child en794.2.1Comparison of Nigerian child data with other anthropometric comparison of 3YO NC and US data from (Snyder et al. 1977)814.2.3Anthropometric comparison of 3YO NC, and 3YO HII and QSs dumnies824.3The 3YO HIII dumny (D_{HIII}) model validation (Morphing verification)844.3.1Head x-acceleration864.3.2Chest x-acceleration874.3.3Upper neck moment 4.3.4884.4Nigerian child dummy model development validation results904.4.1.1Biomechanical response scaling 4.4.1.2904.4.1.3Head model validation964.4.2Hybrid III 6 year old dummy head model validation1014.4.3Head model validation1024.4.3Neck pendulum test1084.4.3Neck flexion and extension test of 3YO dummy1244.4.3Neck flexion and extension test validation results1034.4.3Neck flexion and extension test validation results1084.4.3Thorax Impact Test speed1174.4.4.4Charax impact test at 6 m/s impactor speed1214.4.4Comparison of thorax response with cadver data1224.5Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model (D_{Nig}) and morphed three year old Nigerian child FE model (D_{Nig}) and morphed three year old Nigerian child FE model (D_{Nig}) and morphed three year old Nigerian child FE </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>VALIDA</th> <th>ATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</th> <th>70</th>				VALIDA	ATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	70
4.2 Anthropometric data of three year old Nigerian child data if 12 (Comparison of Nigerian child data with other anthropometric studies 4.2.1 Anthropometric comparison of 3YO NC and US data from (Snyder et al. 1977) 4.2.3 Anthropometric comparison of 3YO NC, and 3YO Hill dummy (D_{HII}) model validation (Morphing verification) 4.3.1 Head x-acceleration 86 4.3.2 Upper neck moment 88 4.3.3 Upper neck force 88 4.4 Nigerian child dummy model development validation 96 4.4.1 Head drop test 90 4.4.1.2 Head model validation 96 4.4.1 Head drop test 90 4.4.1.2 Head model validation 96 4.4.2 Hybrid III 6 year old dummy head model 101 modification for lateral impact assessment 4.4.2.1 Biomechanical response scaling 101 4.4.2.2 Material properties modification 102 4.4.3.3 Neck pendulum test 108 4.4.3 Neck pendulum test 108 4.4.3.4 Neck flexion and extension validation 108 4.4.3.2 Neck extension test of 3YO dummy 112 4.4.3.4 Neck flexion and extension test validation 113 and extension test of 3YO dummy 112 4.4.3.4 Neck flexion and extension validation 114 4.4.3.4 Neck flexion and extension validation 113 4.4.3.4 Neck flexion test validation 114 4.4.3.4 Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor speed 4.4.4.3 Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor speed 4.4.4.4 Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor speed 4.4.4.4 Comparison of thorax response with cadaver data 4.5 Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model (D_{NIR}) and morphed three year old Nigerian child FE model (D_{MIII}) and morphed three year old Nigerian child FE model (D_{MIII}) and morphed three year old Nigerian child FE model (D_{MIII}) and morphed three year old Nigerian child FE model (D_{MIII})		4.1	Introdu		1 4 6 4 11 11	79
anthropometric comparison of 3YO NC and US data from (Snyder et al. 1977) 4.2.3 Anthropometric comparison of 3YO NC, and 3YO HIII and Q3s dummics 4.3 The 3YO HIII dummy (D_{HIII}) model validation (Morphing verification) 4.3.1 Head x-acceleration 4.3.2 Chest x-acceleration 4.3.3 Upper neck moment 4.3.4 Upper neck force 4.4 Nigerian child dummy model development validation results 4.4.1 Biomechanical response scaling 90 4.4.1.2 Head material properties 94 4.4.1.3 Head model validation 96 4.4.2 Mybrid III 6 year old dummy head model 101 4.4.2.1 Biomechanical response scaling 91 4.4.2.1 Biomechanical response scaling 91 4.4.2.1 Biomechanical response scaling 91 4.4.2.2 Material properties 94 4.4.3 Nodified 6YO HIII dummy head model 94 4.4.3 Nodified 6YO HIII dummy head model 94 4.4.3 Nodified 6YO HIII dummy head model 94 4.4.3 Neck pendulum test 91 4.4.3 Neck pendulum test 91 4.4.3 Neck flexion and extension validation 93 4.4.3 Neck flexion and extension validation 94 4.4.3 Neck flexion and extension validation 94 4.4.3 Neck flexion test validation 94 4.4.3 Nodek flexion test validation 95 4.4.3 Nodek flexion test validation 96 4.4.3 Nodek flexion test validation 97 4.4.3 Norek flexion test validation 98 4.4.3 Norek flexion test validation 99 4.4.3 Norek flexion test validation 90 4.4.4 Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor 90 4.4.4.4 Comparison of thorax response with 90 4.4.4.4 Comparison of thorax response with 91 92 4.5 Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model 92 4.6 Summary 92 82		4.2	Anthro 4.2.1	Compar	ison of Nigerian child data with other	79 81
data from (Snyder et al. 1977) 4.2.3 Anthropometric comparison of 3YO NC, and 3YO HIII and Q3s dummics 4.3 The 3YO HIII dummy (D_{HIII}) model validation (Morphing verification) 4.3.1 Head x-acceleration 4.3.2 Chest x-acceleration 4.3.4 Upper neck moment 4.3.4 Upper neck force 4.3.4 Upper neck force 4.3.4 Upper neck force 4.4.1 Head drop test 4.4.1 Head drop test 4.4.1 Head drop test 4.4.1 Head material properties 4.4.1 Head material properties 4.4.1 Head material properties 4.4.2 Hybrid III 6 year old dummy head model modification for lateral impact assessment 4.4.2.3 Modified 6YO HIII dummy head model 101 4.4.2.3 Modified 6YO HIII dummy head model 102 4.4.2.3 Modified 6YO HIII dummy head model 104 4.4.3.1 Pendulum test 4.4.3.1 Pendulum validation 4.4.3 Neck pendulum test 4.4.3.4 Neck flexion nest of 3YO dummy 4.4.3.4 Neck flexion nest of 3YO dummy 4.4.3.4 Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor 3.4.4.4 Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor 3.9eed 4.4.4.4 Comparison of thorax response with cadaver data 4.5 Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model (D_{Nig}) and morphed three year old Nigerian child FE model (D_{Nig}) and morphed three year old Nigerian child FE model (D_{Nig}) and morphed three year old Nigerian child FE model (D_{Nig}) and morphed three year old Nigerian child FE model (D_{IIIII)			4.2.2	anthrop Anthrop	ometric studies cometric comparison of 3YO NC and US	81
HIII and Q3s dummies4.3The 3YO HIII dummy (D_{HIII}) model validation (Morphing84verification)4.3.1Head x-acceleration864.3.2Chest x-acceleration874.3.3Upper neck moment884.4.1Wigerian child dummy model development validation89results4.4.1Biomechanical response scaling904.4.1.1Biomechanical response scaling904.4.1.2Head material properties944.4.1.3Head material properties944.4.1.3Head material properties sealing101modification for lateral impact assessment4.4.2.14.4.2.1Biomechanical response scaling101addified GYO HIII dummy head model101modified for VO HIII dummy head model1024.4.2.3Modified GYO HIII dummy head model1024.4.3.1Pendulum validation1084.4.3.2Pendulum validation1084.4.3.3Material modelling1124.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation1144.4.3.4Neck flexion test validation1144.4.4.4Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor speed1194.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response with cadaver data1234.5Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model (D _{Nig)} and morphed three year old Nigerian child FE model125(A.4.4Comparison of thorax response with cadaver data1234.5Comparison of three year old Nigerian child			4.2.3	data fro Anthrop	m (Snyder et al. 1977) pometric comparison of 3YO NC, and 3YO	82
4.3The 3YO HIII dummy (D_{HIII}) model validation (Morphing verification)84 verification)86 4.3.287 4.3.387 4.3.387 4.3.387 4.3.490 4.3.44.3.490 4.3.44.3.490 4.4.14.3.490 4.4.1.188 88 84.490 4.4.1.24.4.1Biomechanical response scaling 90 4.4.1.290 4.4.1.34.4.1Biomechanical response scaling 90 4.4.1.390 4.4.1.34.4.1Biomechanical response scaling 90 4.4.2.190 4.4.1.34.4.1.3Head model validation 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 97 96 96 96 96 97 96 97 98 96 96 96 97 98 96 96 96 97 98 96 96 97 98 98 99 96 96 96 97 98 96 96 97 98 98 99 96 96 96 97 98 99 98 99 96 96 96 97 98 99 96 96 97 98 98 99 99 96 96 96 96 97 98 99 99 99 91 <br< td=""><th></th><td></td><td></td><td>HIII and</td><td>l Q3s dummies</td><td></td></br<>				HIII and	l Q3s dummies	
$\begin{array}{c cccc} \text{vertification} & 86 \\ 4.3.2 & \text{Chest x-acceleration} & 87 \\ 4.3.3 & \text{Upper neck moment} & 88 \\ 4.3 & \text{Nigerian child dummy model development validation} & 89 \\ \text{results} & 4.4.1 & \text{Head drop test} & 90 \\ 4.4.1.2 & \text{Head material properties} & 94 \\ 4.4.1.3 & \text{Head model validation} & 96 \\ 4.4.1.4 & \text{Head model validation} & 96 \\ 4.4.1.2 & \text{Head material properties} & 94 \\ 4.4.1.3 & \text{Head model validation} & 96 \\ 4.4.2.2 & \text{Hybrid III 6 year old dummy head model} & 101 \\ \text{modification for lateral impact assessment} & 4.4.2.1 & Biomechanical response scaling & 101 \\ 4.4.2.2 & \text{Material properties} & model & 104 \\ \text{walidation} & 4.4.2.3 & Modified 6YO HIII dummy head model} & 104 \\ \text{validation} & 4.4.3.1 & \text{Pendulum test} & 108 \\ 4.4.3.2 & \text{Pendulum test} & 108 \\ 4.4.3.2 & \text{Pendulum test} & 108 \\ 4.4.3.4 & \text{Neck flexion and extension of neck flexion} & 110 \\ and extension test of 3YO dummy \\ 4.4.3.4 & \text{Neck flexion and extension validation} & 113 \\ \text{results} & 4.4.3.4 & \text{Neck flexion test validation} & 114 \\ 4.4.3.4 & \text{Thorax Impact Test} & 117 \\ 4.4.4.1 & \text{Material modelling} & 118 \\ 4.4.4.2 & \text{Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor} & speed \\ 4.4.4.4 & \text{Comparison of thorax response with} & 123 \\ \text{cadaver data} \\ 4.5 & \text{Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model} & 105 \\ \text{(D_{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE \\ model (D_{HIII}) \\ 4.6 & \text{Summary} & 128 \\ \end{array}$		4.3	The 3Y	YO HIII d	ummy (D_{HIII}) model validation (Morphing	84
4.3.1Head x-acceleration864.3.2Chest x-acceleration874.3.3Upper neck moment884.4Vigerian child dummy model development validation89results4.4.1Head drop test904.4.1.1Biomechanical response scaling904.4.1.2Head material properties944.4.1.3Head model validation964.4.1.4Head material properties944.4.1.3Head model validation964.4.2Hybrid III 6 year old dummy head model101modification for lateral impact assessment4.4.2.14.4.2.1Biomechanical response scaling1014.4.2.2Material properties modification1024.4.2.3Modified 6YO HIII dummy head model104validation1084.4.3.1Pendulum test4.4.3Neck pendulum test1084.4.3.2Pendulum calibration for neck flexion110and extension test of 3YO dummy4.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation1134.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation1144.4.3.4Thorax Impact Test1174.4.4.1Material modelling1184.4.4.2Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor speed1214.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response with cadaver data1234.5Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model (D _{NiR}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE model (D _{MIII})128			verifica	ation)		
4.3.2 Chest x-acceleration 87 4.3.3 Upper neck moment 88 4.4 Nigerian child dummy model development validation 89 results 90 4.4.1.1 Biomechanical response scaling 90 4.4.1.2 Head material properties 94 4.4.1.3 Head model validation 96 4.4.2 Hybrid III 6 year old dummy head model 101 modification for lateral impact assessment 4.4.2.1 Biomechanical response scaling 101 4.4.2.2 Material properties modification 102 4.4.2.3 Modified 6YO HIII dummy head model 104 validation 108 4.4.3 Neck pendulum test 108 4.4.3 Neck pendulum test 108 4.4.3.1 Pendulum validation 108 4.4.3.2 Pendulum calibration for neck flexion 110 and extension test of 3YO dummy 112 4.4.3.4 Neck flexion and extension validation 113 results 4.4.3.4.1 Neck flexion test validation 113 results 4.4.3.4.1 Neck flexion test validation 114 4.4.3.4 Neck flexion and extension validation 114 4.4.3.4 Neck flexion and extension validation 114 4.4.3.4 Nock flexion test validation 116 4.4.4 Thorax Impact Test 117 4.4.4.1 Material modelling 118 4.4.4.2 Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor 119 speed 14.4.4 Comparison of thorax response with 123 cadaver data 125 (D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Nigerian child FE model (D _{NIII}) 4.6 Summary 128			4.3.1	Head x-	acceleration	86
4.3.3Upper neck moment884.4Nigerian child dummy model development validation894.4Head drop test904.4.1.1Biomechanical response scaling904.4.1.2Head material properties944.4.1.3Head model validation964.4.2Hybrid III 6 year old dummy head model101modification for lateral impact assessment4.4.2.14.4.2.1Biomechanical response scaling1014.4.2.2Material properties modification1024.4.2.3Modified 6YO HIII dummy head model104validation1084.4.3.1Pendulum validation4.4.3Neck pendulum test1084.4.3.1Pendulum calibration for neck flexion110and extension test of 3YO dummy4.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation4.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension test validation113results4.4.3.4.1Neck flexion test validation4.4.4.1Material modelling1184.4.3.2Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor119speed4.4.4.3Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor121speed4.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response with123cadver data4.5Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model125(D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FEmodel (D _{HIII})128			4.3.2	Chest x-	acceleration	87
4.3.4Upper neck force884.4Nigerian child dummy model development validation89results4.4.1Head drop test904.4.1.1Biomechanical response scaling904.4.1.2Head material properties944.4.1.3Head model validation964.4.2Hybrid III 6 year old dummy head model101modification for lateral impact assessment4.4.2.14.4.2.1Biomechanical response scaling1014.4.2.3Modified GYO HII dummy head model104validation1024.4.2.3Modified GYO HII dummy head model4.4.3.1Pendulum test1084.4.3.2Pendulum calibration for neck flexion110a.4.3.3Material modelling1124.4.3.4Pendulum calibration for neck flexion110a.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation1184.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation1184.4.4Thorax impact Test1174.4.4.2Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor119speed4.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response with123cadaver data4.5Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model125(D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FEmodel (D _{NIII})128			4.3.3	Upper n	eck moment	88
4.4Nigerian child dummy model development validation results894.4.1Head drop test (4.4.1.2904.4.1.1Biomechanical response scaling (4.4.1.2904.4.1.2Head model validation964.4.1.3Head model validation964.4.2Hybrid III 6 year old dummy head model modification for lateral impact assessment (4.4.2.11014.4.2.1Biomechanical response scaling validation1014.4.2.2Material properties modification validation1024.4.3.3Modified GYO HIII dummy head model validation1084.4.3.4Pendulum test1084.4.3.2Pendulum calibration for neck flexion and extension test of 3YO dummy 4.4.3.41124.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation results 4.4.3.4.11134.4.4Thorax Impact Test speed1174.4.4.1Material modelling 4.4.4.31184.4.4.2Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor speed1214.4.4.4Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model (D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE model (D _{NIIII})128			4.3.4	Upper n	eck force	88
4.4.1Head drop test904.4.1.1Biomechanical response scaling904.4.1.2Head material properties944.4.1.3Head model validation964.4.2Hybrid III 6 year old dummy head model101modification for lateral impact assessment4.4.2.14.4.2.1Biomechanical response scaling1014.4.2.2Material properties modification1024.4.2.3Modified GYO HIII dummy head model104validationvalidation1084.4.3.1Pendulum test1084.4.3.2Pendulum calibration for neck flexion110and extension test of 3YO dummy4.3.3Material modelling1124.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation113results4.4.3.1Neck flexion test validation1164.4.4.1Material modelling1184.4.4.2Thorax impact Test1174.4.4.3Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor119speed4.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response with123cadaver data4.5Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model125(D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FEmodel (D _{HIII})1284.6Summary128128		4.4	Nigeria results	an child d	ummy model development validation	89
4.4.1.1Biomechanical response scaling904.4.1.2Head material properties944.4.1.3Head model validation964.4.2Hybrid III 6 year old dummy head model101modification for lateral impact assessment4.4.2.14.4.2.1Biomechanical response scaling1014.4.2.2Material properties modification1024.4.2.3Modified 6YO HIII dummy head model104validation1084.4.3.1Pendulum test1084.4.3.2Pendulum calibration for neck flexion110and extension test of 3YO dummy4.4.3.3Material modelling1124.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation113results4.4.4.1Material modelling1144.4.3.4.1Neck flexion test validation4.4.4Thorax Impact Test1171.4.4.1Material modelling1184.4.4.2Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor121speed4.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response with cadaver data1251254.5Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model (D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE model (D _{HIII})128			4 4 1	Head dr	on test	90
4.4.1.2Head material properties944.4.1.3Head model validation964.4.2Hybrid III 6 year old dummy head model101modification for lateral impact assessment4.4.2.14.4.2.1Biomechanical response scaling1014.4.2.2Material properties modification1024.4.3Modified 6YO HIII dummy head model104validation1044.4.3Pendulum calibration for neck flexion4.4.3.1Pendulum validation1084.4.3.2Pendulum validation110and extension test of 3YO dummy1124.4.3.3Material modelling1124.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation113results4.4.3.4.1Neck flexion test validation1144.4.3.4.2Neck extension test validation1144.4.3.4.1Material modelling1184.4.4.2Thorax impact Test1174.4.4.3Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor121speed4.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response with123cadaver data4.5Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model125(D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FEmodel (D _{HIII})128				4411	Biomechanical response scaling	90
4.4.1.3Head model validation964.4.2Hybrid III 6 year old dummy head model101modification for lateral impact assessment4.4.2.1Biomechanical response scaling1014.4.2.2Material properties modification1024.4.2.3Modified 6YO HIII dummy head model104validation1084.4.3Neck pendulum test1084.4.3.1Pendulum calibration for neck flexion110and extension test of 3YO dummy1124.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation113results4.4.3.4.1Neck flexion test validation1144.4.3.4.1Neck flexion test validation1164.4.4Thorax Impact Test1174.4.4.1Material modelling1184.4.4.2Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor119speed4.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response with123cadaver data4.5Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model125(D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FEmodel (D _{HIII})128				4412	Head material properties	94
4.4.2Hybrid III 6 year old dummy head model modification for lateral impact assessment 4.4.2.1101 modification for lateral impact assessment 4.4.2.2101 modification4.4.2.1Biomechanical response scaling 4.4.2.3101 Modified 6YO HIII dummy head model validation102 4.4.2.34.4.3Neck pendulum test108 validation108 validation108 validation4.4.3Neck pendulum validation108 validation4.4.3Pendulum calibration for neck flexion and extension test of 3YO dummy112 4.4.3.44.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation results 4.4.3.4.1113 results 4.4.3.4.24.4.4.4Thorax Impact Test117 4.4.4.14.4.4.2Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor speed118 adaver data4.5Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model (D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE model (D _{HIII})128				4413	Head model validation	96
1.1.2Hybrid into y lateral impact assessment modification for lateral impact assessment 4.4.2.1101 modification for lateral impact assessment 4.4.2.24.4.2.1Biomechanical response scaling validation101 4.4.2.34.4.2.3Modified 6YO HIII dummy head model validation102 4.4.2.34.4.3Neck pendulum test108 4.4.3.14.4.3.1Pendulum calibration for neck flexion and extension test of 3YO dummy4.4.3.2Pendulum calibration for neck flexion and extension test of 3YO dummy4.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation results 4.4.3.4.14.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation results 4.4.3.4.24.4.4Thorax Impact Test speed4.4.4.3Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor speed4.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response with cadaver data4.5Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model (D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE model (D _{Nig})4.6Summary28			442	Hybrid	III 6 year old dummy head model	101
4.4.2.1Biomechanical response scaling1014.4.2.2Material properties modification1024.4.2.3Modified 6YO HIII dummy head model104validationvalidation1084.4.3Neck pendulum test1084.4.3.1Pendulum calibration for neck flexion110and extension test of 3YO dummy1124.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation113results4.4.3.4.1Neck flexion test validation1144.4.3.4.1Neck flexion test validation1164.4.4Thorax Impact Test1174.4.4.1Material modelling1184.4.4.2Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor119speed4.4.4.3Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor121speed4.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response with123cadaver data4.5Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model125(D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FEmodel (D _{HIII})128			7.7.2	modific	ation for lateral impact assessment	101
4.4.2.1Material properties modification1014.4.2.2Material properties modification1024.4.2.3Modified GYO HIII dummy head model104validation1084.4.3Neck pendulum test1084.4.3.1Pendulum calibration for neck flexion110and extension test of 3YO dummy1124.4.3.4Neck flexion test of 3YO dummy4.4.3.4Neck flexion test of 3YO dummy4.4.3.4.1Neck flexion test validationresults4.4.3.4.14.4.3.4.1Neck flexion test validation4.4.31164.4.4Thorax Impact Test4.4.4.1Material modelling4.4.4.2Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactorspeed4.4.4.34.4.4.3Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactorspeed4.4.4.44.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response withcadaver data4.5Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model(D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FEmodel (D _{HIII})4.64.6Summary128					Biomechanical response scaling	101
4.4.2.2Material population for another autom1024.4.2.3Modified 6YO HIII dummy head model104validation1084.4.3Neck pendulum test1084.4.3.1Pendulum calibration for neck flexion100and extension test of 3YO dummy1124.4.3.3Material modelling1124.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation113results4.4.3.4.1Neck flexion test validation1164.4.4Thorax Impact Test1174.4.4.1Material modelling1184.4.4.2Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor119speed4.4.4.3Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor121speed4.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response with123cadaver data4.5Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model125(D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE128model (D _{HIII})128				4.4.2.2	Material properties modification	101
4.4.2.5Wodified OTO Hill dufnity field filoder104validation1084.4.3Neck pendulum test1084.4.3.1Pendulum calibration for neck flexion110and extension test of 3YO dummy1224.4.3.3Material modelling1124.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation113results4.4.3.4.1Neck flexion test validation1164.4.4Thorax Impact Test1174.4.4.1Material modelling1184.4.4.2Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor119speed4.4.4.3Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor121speed4.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response with123cadaver data4.5Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model125(D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE128Material Model (D _{HIII})128				4.4.2.2	Madified GVO HILL duranty head model	102
4.4.3Neck pendulum test1084.4.3Neck pendulum validation1084.4.3.1Pendulum calibration for neck flexion110and extension test of 3YO dummy4.4.3.2Pendulum calibration for neck flexion4.4.3.4Material modelling1124.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation113results4.4.3.4.1Neck flexion test validation1144.4.3.4.2Neck flexion test validation1164.4.4Thorax Impact Test1174.4.4.1Material modelling1184.4.4.2Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor119speed4.4.4.3Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor121speed4.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response with123cadaver data125Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model125(D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE126Model (D _{HIII})4.6Summary128				4.4.2.3	validation	104
4.4.3Neck pendulum validation1084.4.3.1Pendulum calibration for neck flexion and extension test of 3YO dummy1104.4.3.2Pendulum calibration for neck flexion and extension test of 3YO dummy1124.4.3.3Material modelling1124.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation results 4.4.3.4.21144.4.3.4.2Neck flexion test validation1144.4.3.4.2Neck flexion test validation1164.4.4Thorax Impact Test1174.4.4.1Material modelling1184.4.4.2Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor speed1194.4.4.3Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor speed1214.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response with cadaver data1234.5Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model (D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE model (D _{HIII})1284.6Summary128			112	Naaltan	validation	100
4.4.3.1Pendulum validation1084.4.3.2Pendulum calibration for neck flexion and extension test of 3YO dummy1104.4.3.3Material modelling1124.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation113results4.4.3.4.1Neck flexion test validation1144.4.3.4.2Neck flexion test validation1164.4.4Thorax Impact Test1174.4.4.1Material modelling1184.4.2Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor119speed4.4.4.3Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor121speed4.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response with123cadaver data125(D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Nigerian child FE model125(D _{Nig})and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE128model (D _{HIII})128			4.4.3	Neck pe	Den derhans validation	108
4.4.3.2 Pendulum canoration for neck flexion 110 and extension test of 3YO dummy 4.4.3.3 Material modelling 112 4.4.3.4 Neck flexion and extension validation 113 results 4.4.3.4.1 Neck flexion test validation 114 4.4.3.4.2 Neck extension test validation 116 4.4.4 Thorax Impact Test 117 4.4.4.1 Material modelling 118 4.4.4.2 Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor 119 speed 4.4.4.3 Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor 121 speed 4.4.4.4 Comparison of thorax response with 123 cadaver data 4.5 Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model 125 (D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE model (D _{HIII}) 4.6 Summary 128				4.4.3.1	Pendulum validation	108
4.4.3.3Material modelling1124.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation113results4.4.3.4.1Neck flexion test validation1144.4.3.4.2Neck extension test validation1164.4.4Thorax Impact Test1174.4.4.1Material modelling1184.4.2Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor119speed4.4.4.3Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor121speed4.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response with123cadaver data125(D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Nigerian child FE model125(D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE126Material (D _{HIII})128				4.4.3.2	and extension test of 3YO dummy	110
4.4.3.4Neck flexion and extension validation113results4.4.3.4.1Neck flexion test validation1144.4.3.4.2Neck extension test validation1164.4.4Thorax Impact Test1174.4.4.1Material modelling1184.4.4.2Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor119speed4.4.4.3Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor121speed4.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response with123cadaver data125(D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Nigerian child FE model125Model (D _{HIII})4.6Summary128				4.4.3.3	Material modelling	112
4.4.3.4.1Neck flexion test validation1144.4.3.4.2Neck extension test validation1164.4.4Thorax Impact Test1174.4.4.1Material modelling1184.4.4.2Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor119speed4.4.4.3Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor121speed4.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response with123cadaver data125(D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Nigerian child FE model125Model (D _{HIII})4.6Summary128				4.4.3.4	Neck flexion and extension validation results	113
4.4.3.4.2 Neck extension test validation 116 4.4.4 Thorax Impact Test 117 4.4.4.1 Material modelling 118 4.4.4.2 Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor 119 speed 4.4.4.3 Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor 121 speed 4.4.4.4 Comparison of thorax response with 123 cadaver data 4.5 Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model 125 (D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE model (D_{HIII}) 4.6 Summary 128					44341 Neck flexion test validation	114
4.4.4Thorax Impact Test1174.4.4.1Material modelling1184.4.4.2Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor119speed4.4.4.3Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor121speed4.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response with123cadaver data125(D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Nigerian child FE model125Model (D_{HIII})4.6Summary128					44342 Neck extension test validation	116
4.4.4.1Material modelling1174.4.4.1Material modelling1184.4.4.2Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor119speed4.4.4.3Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor121speed4.4.4.4Comparison of thorax response with123cadaver data125(D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Nigerian child FE model125Model (D_{HIII})4.6Summary128			444	Thorax	Impact Test	117
4.4.4.2Thorax impact test at 6 m/s impactor119 $4.4.4.2$ Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor121 $4.4.4.3$ Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor121 $4.4.4.4$ Comparison of thorax response with123 $cadaver data$ 125 (D_{Nig}) and morphed three year old Nigerian child FE model125 (D_{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE128 4.6 Summary128			1. 1. 1	1 IIO1uX 4 4 4 1	Material modelling	118
4.4.4.2 Thotax impact test at 0 h/s impactor 115 speed 4.4.4.3 Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor 121 speed 4.4.4.4 Comparison of thorax response with 123 cadaver data 4.5 Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model 125 (D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE model (D _{HIII}) 4.6 Summary 128				л.т.т.1 ЛЛЛЛ	Thoray impact test at 6 m/s impactor	110
4.4.4.3 Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor 121 speed 4.4.4.4 Comparison of thorax response with 123 cadaver data 4.5 Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model 125 (D_{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE model (D_{HIII}) 4.6 Summary 128				7.7.7.2	speed	11)
4.4.4.4 Comparison of thorax response with cadaver data 4.5 Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model 125 (D _{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE model (D _{HIII}) 4.6 Summary 128				4.4.4.3	Thorax impact test at 6.7 m/s impactor	121
 4.5 Comparison of three year old Nigerian child FE model 125 (D_{Nig}) and morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE model (D_{HIII}) 4.6 Summary 128 				4.4.4.4	Comparison of thorax response with	123
$model (D_{HIII})$ 4.6 Summary 128		4.5	Compa (Daria)	arison of and more	three year old Nigerian child FE model ohed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE	125
$4.6 \text{Summary} \qquad 128$			model	$(\Pi \cap \Lambda)$	shed shee year ord rigoria in duning TE	
		4.6	Summa	(D _{HIII}) ary		128

4

xii

5	APP	LICATION OF 3YO NC IN CRASH ANALYSIS OF	130
	CAR	R FINITE ELEMENT MODEL	
	5.1	Introduction	130
	5.2	Child seat development and validation	130
	5.3	Application of 3YO Nigerian child finite element model	133
		(D _{Nig}) in crashworthiness test of vehicle	
		5.3.1 Child occupant biodynamic	137
	5.4	Summary	143
6	CON	ICLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION FOR	144
	FUK		1 4 4
	6.1	Introduction	144
	6.2	Recommendations for future work	146
REFEI	RENC		147
APPEN	NDICE	CS CS	158
BIODA	TA O	OF STUDENT	165
LIST C	OF PU	BLICATIONS	166

C

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Injuries pattern of AIS2+ for children body segments with impact direction adapted from (Arbogast & Durbin 2013)	12
2.2	Twelve-month CRABI corrector multiplier values for head acceleration and HIC that can be used to scale its response to match the human response (Loyd 2011)	13
2.3	NHTSA recommended injury criteria adapted from (Eppinger et al. 2000)	36
3.1	Definition of child body dimensions	47
3.2	Anthropometric dimensions used in the determination of scaling factors (all dimensions are in mm)	55
3.3	Size scale factors used to scale 6YO HIII dummy model to 3YO HIII and 3YO Nigerian chid dummy	55
3.4	Determination of body segment weight of 3YO NC	57
3.5	Body mass distribution of morphed 3YO HIII <i>DHIII</i> and morphed 3YO NC model <i>DNig</i>	57
3.6	Element quality assessment of morphed models (DHIII and DNig)	58
3.7	Subjective assessment of percentage difference between experimental and simulation results of dummy biomechanical response	62
3.8	CRS dimensions in mm	72
3.9	Material properties of child seat and belt (Kapoor et al. 2006)	73
3.10	Mesh quality of child seat FE model	74
3.11	Vehicle finite element model summary	76
4.1	Anthropometric dimensions of three year old Nigerian child (weight in kg, others in cm)	80
4.2	Comparison of anthropometric data of three year old Nigerian child with data reported in other studies	81
4.3	Comparison of three year old Nigerian child dimensions with US data from Snyder, 1977	82

4.4	Comparison of 3YO Nigerian child with 3YO HIII and Q3s- dummy sizes. All dimensions are in cm, while weight is in kg.	83
4.5	Comparison of <i>DHII1</i> biomechanical response with experimental and simulation results of 3YO HIII	87
4.6	Summary of scaling factors	93
4.7	Scaled cadaver experimental data	94
4.8	Head material Properties from the literature	95
4.9	Material properties of the head model and impacting plate	95
4.10	Comparison of acceleration values for scaled cadaver and simulation results	97
4.11	Summary of scaling factors applied in scaling 9YO child cadaver head response to six year old response	101
4.12	Scaled 6YO experimental data	102
4.13	6YO HIII head model skin material properties	103
4.14	Modified 6YO HIII head simulation results in comparison with scaled cadaver data	105
4.15	Neck pendulum response calibration	111
4.16	Neck model material properties	113
4.17	Thorax modified material properties	118
4.18	Comparison of DNig thorax response with specifications of 3YO HIII dummy (NHTSA 2011b)	121
4.19	Comparison of DNig and 3YO HIII thorax response with certification corridors	122
4.20	Comparison of DNig and 3YO HIII thorax response with certification corridors at 4.3 m/s	123
4.21	Comparison of DNig with cadaver thorax response	124
4.22	Comparison of DNig thorax response with 3YO cadaver	125
5.1	Comparison of injury parameters of 3YO NC with NHTSA recommended values	142

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Distribution of global child injuries for 0-17 years old world wide for 2004 (Peden & Oyegbite 2008)	2
1.2	Weight at 2 year of 30 countries in comparison with MGRS scale mean (Natale & Rajagopalan 2014)	3
1.3	Percentage of Passenger Vehicle Occupant AIS 3 - 6 Injuries, Age 0 - 8 Years Old, by Injured Body Region (Starnes & Eigen 2002)	4
2.1	Human body parts (Open Stax College 2013)	9
2.2	Three year old child human model (Mizuno et al. 2005)	10
2.3	Comparison of human head FE model (a) (Roth et al. 2009) and crash dummy head FE model (b) (FTSS 2008)	11
2.4	Human and crash dummy neck comparison	14
2.5	Human and crash dummy rib cage comparison	15
2.6	Crash dummies family (Humanetics 2015)	16
2.7	Hybrid III 3YO Child Dummy FE Model (a) (FTSS 2008) and Q3s-dummy FE Model (b) (Fu et al. 2011)	18
2.8	Crash dummy finite element modelling methodology (Mohan et al. 2007)	19
2.9	Head frontal impact test configuration (Tabiei et al. 2009)	20
2.10	Typical head resultant acceleration comparison with biofidelity corridor (Saul et al. 1998)	20
2.11	A typical calibration test for neck flexion (a) and extension test (b) (Tabiei et al. 2009)	21
2.12	Typical neck response with certification corridors (Saul et al. 1998)	21
2.13	Thorax impact test configuration (Tabiei et al. 2009)	22
2.14	Typical thorax impact response with biofidelity corridor (Saul et al. 1998)	22

2.15	FE dummy model (a) response in comparison with crash dummy (b) (Kapoor et al. 2006)	23
2.16	Comparison of 3YO physical and FE dummy model for head and chest acceleration (Kapoor et al. 2006)	23
2.17	Morphing the skull of the human model (DEP 2015)	24
2.18	Body region proportion changing with age (Burdi et al. 1969)	25
2.19	Head circumference at 2 year of 30 countries in comparison with MGRS scale mean (Natale & Rajagopalan 2014)	27
2.20	Schematic diagram of 6YO HIII dummy (a) and cadaver (b) in three point belt. The T1 to mid lumbar is highlighted (Sherwood et al. 2003)	29
2.21	Automotive crush zone (Brown 2002)	30
2.22	Percentage of crash occurrence with respect to impact direction (ANCAP 2016)	30
2.23	Acceleration-time graph for HIC determination (Henn 1998)	32
2.24	Free body diagram of moments and forces in human head-neck (Giraut 2010)	33
2.25	Child restraint seats (Patil 2003)	34
2.26	The physics of frontal automotive crash	35
2.27	Hysteretic behaviour of low density urethane foam (Hallquist 2006)	42
3.1	Methodology flow chart of the study	45
3.2	Measured child body dimensions (as defined in Table 3.1)	47
3.3	Hybrid III 6YO child dummy FE model (LSTC 2011)	49
3.4	Head-neck FE model in morphing box	51
3.5	Constraining element selected	51
3.6	Nodes to be morphed (head-neck model) selected	52
3.7	Morphing box scaling	53
3.8	Unconstraining the head-neck FE model (a) and deleting morphing box (b)	53

3.9	Dummy body segments in constraining element (morphing box)	54
3.10	Head-neck assembly	56
3.11	Sled test apparatus used in Turchi study (Turchi et al. 2004)	59
3.12	Acceleration pulse for FMVSS 213 sled test (Turchi et al. 2004)	60
3.13	3YO Child dummy FE model (DHIII) in CRS	60
3.14	Schematic diagram of cadaver head drop test by Loyd (2011)	64
3.15	Head drop test orientation for five impact locations	64
3.16	Peak resultant acceleration of 3YO dummy head model vs number of element	66
3.17	Simulation configuration for 6YO HIII head frontal impact test	67
3.18	Neck pendulum modelling	68
3.19	Pendulum test configuration for extension (a) and flexion (b) tests	69
3.20	Head accelerometer and upper neck load cell location	69
3.21	Thorax impact test set up	70
3.22	History nodes located in the dummy thorax	70
3.23	Bebe confort child restraint seat (Dorel 2014)	71
3.24	Child seat CAD model	72
3.25	Assembled meshed child seat	73
3.26	Stress-strain curve for CRS foam pad (Wang et al. 2007)	74
3.27	Ford Taurus finite element model (NCAC 2015)	75
3.28	Accelerometer locations on the car FE model	76
3.29	Child FE model restrained in CRS involved in frontal impact test in car FE model	77
3.30	Stress-effective plastic strain curve of Steel material model used in vehicle FE analysis	78
4.1	Qualitative comparison of <i>DHIII</i> and 3YO HIII crash dummy from Turchi et al. (2004) at some stages of sled test simulation	85

	4.2	Comparison of head x-acceleration of <i>DHIII</i> with experimental and simulation results from Turchi et al 2004	86
	4.3	Comparison of chest x-acceleration of <i>DHIII</i> with experimental and simulation results from Turchi et al. (2004)	87
	4.4	Comparison of resultant upper neck moment of <i>DHIII</i> with simulation results from Altenhof and Turchi (2004)	88
	4.5	Comparison of resultant upper neck force of <i>DHIII</i> with simulation results from Altenhof and Turchi (2004)	89
	4.6	Axis orientations for scaling factors	91
	4.7	Skull Young's modulus as a function of age as established by Mertz & Irwin (1997)	92
	4.8	DNig head response at some stages of simulation	96
	4.9	Acceleration-time pulse graph for frontal impact test for <i>DNig</i> head model in comparison with scaled cadaver head data of Loyd (2011)	97
	4.10	Acceleration-time pulse graph of left parietal impact test for <i>DNig</i> head model in comparison with scaled cadaver head data	98
	4.11	Acceleration-time pulse graph of right parietal impact test for <i>DNig</i> head model in comparison with scaled cadaver head data	98
	4.12	Acceleration-time pulse graph of vertex impact test for <i>DNig</i> head model in comparison with scaled cadaver head data	99
	4.13	Acceleration-time pulse graph of occipital impact test for <i>DNig</i> head model in comparison with scaled cadaver head data	100
	4.14	Comparison of 6YO HIII dummy head model with 6YO scaled cadaver peak resultant acceleration	103
	4.15	Resultant acceleration-time history of modified 6YO HIII dummy head model for frontal impact in comparison with scaled cadaver data	104
	4.16	Resultant acceleration-time history of modified 6YO HIII dummy head model for right parietal impact in comparison with scaled cadaver data	106
	4.17	Resultant acceleration-time history of modified 6YO HIII dummy head model for left parietal impact in comparison with scaled cadaver data	107

	4.18	Comparison of neck pendulum velocity in x- direction with LSTC simulation results	108
	4.19	Comparison of neck pendulum dummy head rotation with LSTC simulation results	109
	4.20	Comparison of neck pendulum moment about occipital condyle with LSTC simulation results	109
	4.21	Pendulum velocity-time graph for flexion test	110
	4.22	Pendulum velocity-time graph for extension test	111
	4.23	Free body diagram of moment and forces acting at the dummy upper neck	112
	4.24	Head-neck kinematics for flexion test	114
	4.25	Head-neck kinematics for extension test	114
	4.26	Neck moment about occipital condyle versus head angle of rotation of DNigin comparison with certification corridors and 3YO child human model from Mizuno et al. (2005)	115
	4.27	Neck moment about occipital condyle versus head angle of rotation of DNig in comparison with certification corridors and 3YO HIII dummy	116
	4.28	Neck moment about occipital condyle versus head angle of rotation response of DNig in comparison with certification corridors and 3YO HIII dummy	117
	4.29	Kinematics of DNig at various points of impact in the simulation	118
	4.30	Impactor force-time graph for DNig at 6 m/s	119
	4.31	Thorax deflection-time graph for DNig at 6 m/s impactor speed	120
	4.32	Force-chest deflection curve of DNig with respect to three year old certification corridor at 6 m/s impactor speed	120
	4.33	Comparison of force-chest deflection curve of DNig with three year old certification corridor, 3YO human model from Koizumi et al. (2005)and 3YO HIII dummy at 6.7 m/s impactor speed	122
	4.34	Comparison of force-chest deflection curve of DNigwith three year old certification corridor, 3YO human model from Koizumi et al. (2005)and 3YO HIII dummy at 4.3 m/s impactor speed	123

4.35		Comparison of DNig response with cadaver response from Ouyang et al., (2006)	124
	4.36	Figure 4.36 Comparison of head x-acceleration of <i>DHIII</i> and <i>DNig</i>	126
	4.37	Comparison of chest x-acceleration of DHIII and DNig	126
	4.38	Comparison of upper neck moment of DHIII and DNig	127
	4.39	Comparison of upper neck force of <i>DHIII</i> and <i>DNig</i>	128
	5.1	Child dummy (DNig) response at some instances of sled test in comparison with 3YO HIII child dummy from Turchi et al. (2004)	131
	5.2	Head acceleration of DNig in CRS in comparison with experimental results	132
	5.3	Chest acceleration of DNig in CRS in comparison with experimental results	132
	5.4	Frontal impact test showing bumper beam as first component deforming and absorbing energy	133
	5.5	Comparison of vehicle CoG acceleration with corridors of FMVSS 213	134
	5.6	Energy balance of the crash scene	135
	5.7	Vehicle front structure deformation and child dummy response at 70 ms simulation time	136
	5.8	Internal energy of steel bumper	136
	5.9	Deflection-time graph of steel bumper	137
	5.10	Crush force-time graph of 1992 Ford Taurus FE model	137
	5.11	Vehicle acceleration of 3YO NC	138
	5.12	HIC15 of 3YO NC in Taurus FE model	138
	5.13	Velocity-time graph of vehicle during crash	139
	5.14	HIC36 for 3YO NC in Taurus FE model	139
	5.15	Chest acceleration of 3YO NC	140

5.16	Neck moment of 3YO NC	140
5.17	Response of 3YO NC at maximum neck moment	141
5.18	Neck force of 3YO NC	141
5.19	Chest deflection of 3YO NC	142



LIST OF ABBREBIATIONS AND NOTATIONS

ATD	Anthropometric Test Device
3YO NC	Three year old Nigerian child
3YO HIII	Three year old Hybrid III
6YO HIII	Six year old Hybrid III
LSTC	Livermore Software Technology Corporation
NM	Neck Moment
NHTSA	National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
AIS	Abbreviated Injury Scale
CoG	Centre of Gravity
CRS	Chid Restraint System
FE	Finite Element
MGRS	Multicenter Growth Reference Study
UMTRI	University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute
ніс	Head Injury Criteria
СТ	Computer Tomography
CRABI	Child Restraint Air Bag Interaction
OC	Occipital Condyle
FMVSS	Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard
FTSS	First Technology Safety System
TBI	Traumatic Brain Injury
THUMS	Total Human Body for Safety
CAD	Computer Aided Design
PMHS	Post Mortem Human Subjects
LATCH	Lower Anchors and Tethers for Children
	ATD3YO NC3YO HIIIGYO HIIIGYO HIIILSTCNMNHTSAOGCRSFEMGRSUMTRIHICCTCRABIOCFMVSSFTSSTBITHUMSCADPMHSLATCH

	SAE	Society of Automotive Engineers
	NCAC	National Crash Analysis Centre
	RSM	Response Surface Method
	HIC ₃₆	Head Injury Criteria in 36 ms windows
	HIC ₁₅	Head Injury Criteria in 15 ms windows
	HD	Head depth
	HH	Head height
	HB	Head breadth
	CL	Characteristic length
	CFC	Channel Frequency Class
	N _{ij}	Neck Injury Criteria
	M _{oc}	Moment about Occipital Condyle
	My	Neck moment about y-axis
	D _{HIII}	Morphed three year old Hybrid III dummy FE model
	D _{Nig}	Morphed three year old Nigerian child dummy FE model
	Е	Elastic modulus
	θ	Poisson's ratio
	ρ	Mass density
	G _o	Short term shear modulus
	G ₁	Long term shear modulus
	К	Bulk modulus
	β	Decay constant parameter

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of study

Road traffic accident claimed about 1.2 million lives annually worldwide; hence it is considered to have high impact on the health and development of any society (WHO 2013). It is high in developing countries because of weak safety regulations and poor infrastructures. As the developing nations build more roads and get more access to motor vehicles, road traffic accident will keep increasing in the future (Rivara 2009). It has been forecast that traffic fatalities will increase by 55% by the year 2020. Great attention has been given to road safety recently by international community in taking into account 2030 agenda for sustainable development, in which road traffic accident was set to reduce by 50% in 2020. This fact shows the recognition of the contribution of road safety to health. Economically however, it was shown that road traffic accident in low and middle income countries causes a loss of about 5% of GDP (WHO 2013).

As the number of cars used on the road increased, the number of children involved in road traffic accident has also increased. Today, road traffic accidents are considered to be a major public health problem worldwide. In developed countries it was reported to be the most common cause of fatalities to children (Bauer & Stelner 2009).

Figure illustrates that road traffic accident is the major cause of injuries to children aged 0 to 17 years worldwide.



Figure 1.1 : Distribution of global child injuries for 0-17 years old world wide for 2004 (Peden & Oyegbite 2008)

Child vehicle safety has been given much concern recently by vehicle developers and researchers with particular attention to design of biofidelic child dummies and Child Restraint Systems (CRS). Despite the occupant safety systems available, child protection in vehicle crashes still remains unfavourable.

Crash dummies, also known as anthropometric test device (ATD), are tools used to test the safety performance of vehicle and also to predict the effectiveness of safety systems such as seat belt, CRS and air bag in protecting the occupant in the event of crash. ATDs are available representing adult and children of various ages. These crash dummies are designed for 50th percentiles of some ages, thus leaving out small and bigger percentiles. For the ATD to become reliable tool in injury assessment it must be designed based on the real human being anthropometry and biomechanical response. Current ATDs are designed to represent United States, Europe and Japan children population. It was learnt that variability in anthropometry of various population around the world has not been considered in crash dummy development. Recent anthropometric study by Natale & Rajagopalan, (2014) compares weight, height and head circumference of infants for 55 countries around the world. Weight for example, was found to vary among different national and ethnic groups for 2 years old child as shown in Figure 1.2. Children of some countries are out of the WHO's Multicenter Growth Reference Study (MGRS). It is therefore important to consider anthropometric variations in crash dummy and CRS design.





Figure 1.2 : Weight at 2 year of 30 countries in comparison with MGRS scale mean (Natale & Rajagopalan 2014)

Nigeria being the African most populous country, has been reported to have the highest road traffic accident rate in Africa, and second in the world (Atubi 2010; Ukoji 2014). Developing child dummy to represent this population in vehicle crash test is therefore important considering the possible mismatch between the child and the current crash dummies due to differences in anthropometries. Average three year old Nigerian child weight for instance was reported to be below WHO standard (Aina & Morakinyo 2001). Thus their biomechanical response is expected to be different from the traditional child crash dummies.

For a given vehicle design, the severity of injury in vehicle occupant is highly dependent on physical sizes, age and weight of occupant. In the effort to keeping vulnerable population safe in vehicular crashes, research on crash dummy development was recently focused on children especially obese, elderly, and pregnant women, with little attention to the variation in children anthropometry.

Finite element (FE) models of crash dummies have recently become the tool in vehicle crash test and safety systems evaluation because of their economic, technical and

ethical advantages. Analysis of crash injuries is now done with finite element methods due to its affordability and accuracy. Human body models are modelled with their sizes, weight and material properties to represent the real human being. Though, there are FE models of three year old (3YO) child developed by Livermore Software Technology Corporation (LSTC) and Humanetics, these models were developed based on anthropometry of some specific populations and for only 50th percentiles.

Furthermore, child dummy head is the most important body segment in injury prediction, because it is the body region that needs extra protection for children of all ages (Andersson 2012). Figure 1.3 indicates that head has the highest percentage of injury in motor vehicle crashes. About 50% of the injuries sustained by child occupants in crash events are on the head. This signifies the importance of accurate biofidelic head model that will measure the response of the head for various impact directions for use in the vehicle safety systems assessment, playground designs as well as forensic applications.



Figure 1.3 : Percentage of Passenger Vehicle Occupant AIS 3 - 6 Injuries, Age 0 - 8 Years Old, by Injured Body Region (Starnes & Eigen 2002)

Head injury is a calamity that causes death of vehicle occupants of all age groups. The trauma is more destructive to children, whose centre of gravity (CoG) is higher relative to their body height making them susceptible to head-first fall. Motor vehicle crash, pedestrian collision, falling on playgrounds and shaking-impact syndrome are some of the causes of traumatic brain injury (TBI) to children. Thus to investigate the injuries severity of child's head on impact a biofidelic head model capable of predicting biomechanical response is necessary. Lack of paediatric cadaver data

because of ethical reasons makes it very hard to validate the head models for various impact locations.

1.2 Problem statement

Current vehicle design relies on the crash tests for the assessment of vehicles crashworthiness and occupant protection systems. Unfortunately, the crash test uses ATDs that represent few sizes, mainly 50th percentiles, thereby leaving obese and slim children, like those of most African countries, out of coverage. Poor representation of this population by current crash dummies in anthropometric and biomechanical variation, made the ability of safety systems to effectively protect the vulnerable population very difficult to assess. Three year old Hybrid III (3YO HIII) dummy was developed using the only recent comprehensive anthropometric data of children which was collected in 1970's by University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute (UMTRI). This form the basis of most United States current child body dimensions (Crandall et al. 2012). On the other hand, Q-dummies series, are designed by utilizing the combined anthropometry of United States, Europe and Japanese (CANDAT DATABASE) (Wismans et al. 2008). These crash dummies represent only the 50th percentiles of the population they represent. Non standard child dummies such as 5th and 95th percentiles are equally needed for crash analysis, since they cannot be represented by 50th percentile dummy. Efforts made by researchers in developing dummies to represent a given population is mainly on adult (Happee et al. 1998; Kim & Son 2003) with little attention to children, despite the studies reported differences in anthropometry between children of different population and crash dummies (Serre et al. 2006). Injuries severity in vehicular crashes were shown to depend on the child anthropometry (Kim et al. 2015). Hence there is a need to create a method of developing FE dummy models for various populations from the existing ones.

Furthermore, the main cause of head injury during impact is contact with the car interior such as front seat, back seat and doors panel and windows (Giordano & Kleiven 2016). It therefore important for crash dummies to estimate injuries for various head locations. The current crash dummies were developed with their heads validated using scaled adult cadaver data and mostly for side and frontal impact applications only. Crash dummy FE models are developed to represent physical crash dummies: hence their responses are usually validated against respected certification corridors. While these corridors were developed based on human cadaver data for adults, children models have normally been validated against scaled adult biomechanical response, despite the differences in size and material properties, because of lack of child cadaver data for ethical reasons. Lack of data of children also restricts design of dummies that can measure injuries of various head locations. Limited effort was made in validating the crash dummy heads against child cadaver data. Until recently, by Loyd, (2011), in the Hybrid III family, only 50th percentile adult was validated against cadaveric data from Hodgson & Thomas, (1971) for frontal impact but none of child ATDs was compared against human data (Loyd et al. 2012a). Despite the need to predict injuries for various child head locations, current crash dummies are only capable of estimating frontal and side impacts. To the best of the author's knowledge, no study was carried out on extending the application of current ATD heads to measure impacts from heads locations such as occipital and vertex for the estimation of injuries due to contact with vehicle interior during crash

Research on injury mitigation for child occupants is currently focused on the improvement of child seat and anchorages used to fix the seat to the vehicle (Hu et al. 2008; Hu & Mizuno 2009; Kapoor et al. 2008; Loyd et al. 2012a). A child seat FE model developed for child of given size and weight is important. FE model of Bebe Comfort seat was modelled and validated for application in FE crash test using 3YO NC dummy.

1.3 Objectives

The purpose of this work is to develop a three year old Nigerian child (3YO NC) dummy FE model using 6YO HIII child dummy FE model as a reference. The technique described in the work can simplify development of FE dummy models of various anthropometries, hence enable other non-standard size dummies to be modelled. Biofidelity of head FE model was improved to enable a more accurate injury prediction of various head impact locations. Crash test analysis was conducted using 3YO NC dummy in 1992 Ford Taurus FE model. The main objectives of the study are:

- To develop method of scaling child ATD finite element model to a specific target anthropometric dimension and apply the method in developing and validating three year old Nigerian child dummy FE model.
- To develop 3YO Nigerian child dummy head model material properties to predict injuries of various head locations
- To determine the crashworthiness characteristics of car FE model using the developed 3YO child FE dummy model, and assess its safety performance in reference to NHTSA recommended limits.

1.4 Scope and limitation of work

The scope of this work includes development and validation of 3YO NC crash dummy model by morphing a 6YO HIII dummy model, and validation of head model against scaled child cadaver data for various head impact locations. The work also considered improvement of 6YO HIII dummy head model for lateral impact assessment, design of CRS FE model for three year olds and evaluation of vehicle crashworthiness with developed child model. The limitations of this study are as follows:

- A comprehensive anthropometry data of three year old Nigerian child was not obtainable because of diversity of tribe and living status. The data used in the study was obtained by preliminary survey taken from a government hospital in Nigeria; a small size sample was used.
- Unavailability of Nigerian child cadaver test data to validate the model at component and full dummy levels. Hence, the dummy model was validated by



comparing its response with cadaver data from literature, certification corridors and other three years old child physical and FE dummy models.

1.5 Highlight of work contribution

The contribution of this work to the body of knowledge includes:

- A new method of scaling child dummy finite element model to specific anthropometry. This technique reduces the time and cost of developing child dummy FE model from the physical crash dummies and allow for developing dummy of various sizes.
- Determination of 3YO NC anthropometric data for use in child dummy modelling and other ergonomics applications.
- Development and validation of 3YO child dummy FE model for Nigerian population. This is first attempt to create dummy model with size suitable for African population.
- Development of three year old child dummy head model for the prediction of head injuries in various impact locations.
- Upgrading the 6YO Hybrid III dummy head model for side impact application. The improved head model could serve as free motion head form for 6 year olds since it is not available in LSTC website.
- Development of child restraint seat to accommodate Nigerian child FE model for finite element crash analysis
- Evaluation of safety performance of 1992 Ford Taurus FE model with respect to child vehicle occupant at 48 km/h.

1.6 Thesis layout

This thesis is made up of six chapters. It starts with chapter 1 which describes the problem and the need for the project as well as mentioning the objectives outline. Chapter 2 presents the literature review on the human anatomy as related to automotive design, crash dummy FE modelling and testing methods, morphing technique in dummy modelling, anthropometry in crash dummy design, crashworthiness of cars with respect to child occupant injuries, as well as theoretical overview of finite element method and LS-DYNA material models. Chapter 3 contains the methodology of research work, anthropometric data collection, child dummy modelling and validation as well as design of CRS and crash analysis of car finite element model using developed child model. The simulation results for the validation of dummy FE model at segment and complete dummy level was presented in chapter 4. Chapter 5 presents the results of CRS validation and application of child dummy in vehicle crashworthiness test. Finally, the thesis ends with conclusions and recommendations for future works in Chapter 6.

 \bigcirc

REFERENCES

- Aina, O.F. & Morakinyo, O., 2001. Anthropometric assessments in nigerian children. *East African Medical Journal*, 78(6), pp.312–316.
- Altenhof, W. & Turchi, R., 2004. A Numerical Investigation into HIC and N ij of Children for Forward and Rearward Facing Configurations in a Child Restraint System. In *8th International LS-DYNA Users Conference*. pp. 69–86.
- ANCAP, 2016. ANCAP Safety Ratings. Available at: http://www.ancap.com.au [Accessed July 12, 2016].
- Anderson, R., Streeter, L. & Mclean, J., 2000. Estimation of impact severity in pedestrian accidents using accident investigation, computer simulation and physical reconstruction. In *Road Safety Research, Policing and Education Conference*. pp. 285–290.
- Andersson, M., 2012. Child Safety in Car Crashes : A Modeling Approach for Safety System Improvements. Chalmers University of Technology.
- Arbogast, K.B. & Durbin, D.R., 2013. Epidemiology of child motor vehicle crash injuries and fatalities. In *Pediatric Injury Biomechanics*. pp. 33–86.
- Ash, J. et al., 2009. Comparison of anthropomorphic test dummies with a pediatric cadaver restrained by a three-point belt in frontal sled tests. In 21st International Technical Conference on the Enhanced Safety of Vehicles. pp. 1–14.
- Atubi, A., 2010. Road Traffic Accident Variations in Lagos State, Nigeria: A Synopsis of Variance Spectra. *African Research Review*, 4(2), pp.197–218.
- Baranowski, P. et al., 2015. A child Seat Numerical Model Validation in the Static and Dynamic Work Conditions. *Archives of Civil and Mechanical Engineering*, 15(2), pp.361–375.
- Bathe, K.-J., 2008. On Finite Element Methods for Nonlinear Dynamic Response. In *7th European Conference on Structural Dynamics*. Southampton.
- Bathe, K.-J., Ramm, E. & Wilson, E., 1975. Finite Element Formulations for Large Deformation Dynamic Analysus. *International Journal for Numerican Methods in Engineering*, 9, pp.353–386.
- Bauer, R. & Stelner, M., 2009. Injuries in the European Union: Statistics summary 2005-2007,
- Bois, P. Du et al., 2004. *Vehicle and Occupant Protection* P. Prasad & J. E. Belwafa, eds., American Iron and Steel Institute.

- Bondy, M. et al., 2016. Finite element modeling of a novel cutting deformation mode of AA6061-T6 tubes employing higher order Lagrangian element formulations. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 000, pp.1–11.
- Borysiak, M. et al., 2011. CUDA Accelerated Finite Element Mesh Morpher. *Electrical Review*, LXXXVII(5), pp.176–178.
- Brown, J.C., 2002. Introduction to the Basic Principles of Crashworthiness, Cranfield University.
- Burdi, A.R. et al., 1969. Infants and children in the adult world of automobile safety design: pediatric and anatomical considerations for design of child restraints. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 2(3), pp.267–280.
- Cao, L. et al., 2015. Comparison of Current ATDs with Chinese Adults in Anthropometry. *Traffic Injury Prevention*, 9588(February), pp.00–00.
- Cao, L. et al., 2013. Development and validation of three-year-old child head FE model. *Qiche Gongcheng/Automotive Engineering*, 35(1), pp.56–59+65.
- Crandall, J.R., Myers, B.S. & Meaney, D.F., 2012. Pediatric Injury Biomechanics: Archive & Textbook. , p.357.
- Dagdeviren, S. et al., 2016. Structural crashworthiness analysis of a ladder frame chassis subjected to full frontal and pole side impacts. *International Journal of Crashworthiness*, 21(5), pp.477–493.
- Deck, C. & Willinger, R., 2008. Improved head injury criteria based on head FE model. *International Journal of Crashworthiness*, 13(6), pp.667–678.
- DEP, 2015. Bio medical detroit engineered products. Available at: http://www.depusa.com/ [Accessed July 1, 2016].
- Dibb, A.T., 2011. Pediatric Head and Neck Dynamic Response : A Computational Study. Duke University.
- Dong, L. et al., 2013. Development and validation of a 10-year-old child ligamentous cervical spine finite element model. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*, 41(12), pp.2538–2552.
- Dorel, J., 2014. Bebe confort trianos combination booster car seat. Available at: http://www.bebeconfort.com/car-seats/trianos.aspx [Accessed July 1, 2016].
- Dupuis, R., Meyer, F. & Willinger, R., 2005. Three Years Old Child Neck Finite Element Modelisation. *Enhanced Safety Vehicle*, pp.1–9.
- Ebomoyi, E., 2012. Ethnic Differences in the Nutritional Status of Nigerian Rural Hausa and Yoruba School-Age Children and the Role of Bio-Fortification to Alleviate Protein Energy Malnutrition. *International Journal of Social Health Information Management*, 4(9), pp.1–10.

- Eleraky, M. et al., 2000. Pediatric cervical spine injuries: report of 102 cases and review of the literature. *of Neurosurgery: Spine*, 92(1), pp.12–17.
- Elmarakbi, A. et al., 2013. Crashworthiness of vehicle-to-pole collisions using a hybrid III three-year-old child dummy. *International Journal of Vehicle Systems Modelling and Testing*, 8(1), pp.1–37.
- Eppinger, R. et al., 2000. Suppliment: Development of Improved Injury Criteria for the Assessment of Advanced Automotive Restraint Systems - II,
- FTSS, 2008. FTSS Hybrid III 3 Year Old Dummy Model LS-DYNA,
- FTSS, 2009. LS-DYNA Model of the Hybrid III 3-Year Old Child Dummy User Manual,
- Fu, S. et al., 2011. Finite Element Models of Q Child Crash Test Dummies. In 8th European LS-DYNA Users Conference. Strasbourg.
- Geers, T.L., 1984. An objective error measure for the comparison of calculated and measured transient response histories. *Shock and Vibration Bulletin*, 54(2), pp.99–107.
- Gehre, C. & Schindler, P.V., 2005. Development of the Numerical Model of the Newborn Child Dummy Q0. In *LS-DYNA Anwenderforum*. Bamberg, pp. 35–46.
- Giordano, C. & Kleiven, S., 2016. Development of a 3-Year-Old Child FE Head Model, Continuously Scalable from 1.5 to 6-Year-Old. In *IRCOBI Conference*. pp. 352–353.
- Giraut, L.V., 2010. *Test method Upper neck force and moment*. Chalmers University of Technology.

Gras, L. & Brolin, K., 2012. Active Child Models for Traffic Safety Research, Sweden.

Hallquist, J.O., 2006. Theory manual, Livermore Software Technology Corporation.

- Han, Y. et al., 2016. Analysis of Chest Injuries to Child Occupants Seated in Impact Shield CRS based on Dummy tests, FE simulations and Animal Tests. In *IRCOBI Conference*. pp. 339–349.
- Happee, R. et al., 1998. Optimisation of Vehicle Passive Safety for Occupants With Varying Anthropometry. In *Methods*. pp. 1919–1924.
- Henn, H., 1998. Crash Tests and the Head Injury Criterion. *Teaching Mathematics and its Applications*, 17(4), pp.162–170.
- Hodgson, V. & Thomas, L., 1971. Comparison of head acceleration injury indices in cadaver skull fracture. *SAE Technical Paper*.

Hollowell, W.T. et al., 1999. Review of Potential Test Procedures for FMVSS No. 208,

- Hu, J. et al., 2008. Occupant responses in child restraint systems in side impact tests. International Journal of Vehicle Safety, 3(1), pp.14–31.
- Hu, J. & Mizuno, K., 2009. The kinematic behaviour and responses of Hybrid III 3YO dummy and child human FE model in ISOFIX CRS in frontal impact. *International Journal of Crashworthiness*, 14(4), pp.391–404.
- Hubbard, R.P., 1971. Flexure of layered cranial bone. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 4(4), pp.251–263.
- Huelke, D.F., 1998. An overview of anatomical considerations of infants and children in the adult world of automobile safety design. *Annual Proceedings of the Association for the advancement of automotive medicine*, 42, pp.93–113.
- Humanetics, 2015. Crash test dummies. Available at: http://www.humaneticsatd.com/crash-test-dummies [Accessed July 1, 2016].
- Hutton, D. V, 2004. Fundamentals of Finite Element Analysis, McGraw-Hill.
- Hyncik, L. et al., 2007. On scaling of human body models. *Applied and Computational Mechanics*, 1, pp.63–76.
- Irwin, A.L. et al., 2002. Guidelines for assessing the biofidelity of side impact dummies of various sizes and ages. *Stapp car crash journal*, 46, pp.297–319.
- Isa, M.H. et al., 2016. An Anthropometric Comparison of Current Anthropometric Test Devices (ATDs) WITH Malaysia Adults. *Malaysian Journal of Public Health Medicine*, Special Vo(1), pp.15–21.
- Jeong, B.Y. & Park, K.S., 1990. Sex differences in anthropometry for school furniture design. *Ergonomics*, 33(12), pp.1511–1521.
- Ji, J., 2015. Lightweight design of vehicle side door. Politecnico di Torino.
- Jiang, B. et al., 2012. Development of a 10-year-old paediatric thorax finite element model validated against cardiopulmonary resuscitation data. *Computer methods in biomechanics and biomedical engineering*, (February 2013), pp.37–41.
- Kapoor, T. et al., 2006. Injury potential of a three-year-old Hybrid III dummy in forward and rearward facing positions under CMVSS 208 testing conditions. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 38, pp.786–800.
- Kapoor, T. et al., 2008. Methods to mitigate injury to toddlers in near-side impact crashes. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 40, pp.1880–1892.
- Karwowski, W., 2006. International Encyclopedia of Ergonomics and Human Factors, Second Edition., p.3728.

- Kent, R. et al., 2009. Pediatric thoracoabdominal biomechanics. *Stapp car crash journal*, 53(November), pp.373–401.
- Kim, J. et al., 2015. Validation of Abdominal Characteristics under Lapbelt Loadings Using Human Body Model Morphed to an Obese Female. In *IRCOBI Conference Proceedings*.
- Kim, J.-E. et al., 2015. Risk and injury severity of obese child passengers in motor vehicle crashes. *Obesity*, 23(3), pp.644–652.
- Kim, S. & Son, K., 2003. Construction and Evaluation of Scaled Korean Side Impact Dummies. *KSME International Journal*, 17(12), pp.1894–1903.
- Klinich, K. et al., 1996. *Techniques for developing child dummy protection reference values*,
- Koizumi, T. et al., 2007. Development and Impact Analysis of 3-Year-Old Child FE Human Model. In Conference Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Mechanics Series, 25th Conference and Exposition on Structural Dynamics 2007, IMAC-XXV, Orlando, FL, USA. Orlando, pp. 1–3.
- Koizumi, T., Tsujiuchi, N. & Uchida, Y., 2005. Impact injury rating of child FE human model for 3-year-old. In *IMAC-XXIII: Conference and Exposition on Structural Dynamics*.
- Lai, X., Lin, Z. & Culiere, P., 2011a. Development of a Finite Element Pam-Crash Model of Hybrid III Anthropomorphic Test Device with High Fidelity. In *Conference on the* pp. 1–10.
- Lai, X., Lin, Z. & Culiere, P., 2011b. Development of a finite element PAM-CRASH model of Hybrid III anthropomorphic test device with high fidelity. In *The* 22nd International Conference on the Enhanced Safety Vehicles. Washington, DC., pp. 1–10.
- Li, X.G., Gao, X.L. & Kleiven, S., 2016. Behind helmet blunt trauma induced by ballistic impact: A computational model. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 91, pp.56–67.
- Li, Z., Luo, X. & Zhang, J., 2013. Development/global validation of a 6-month-old pediatric head finite element model and application in investigation of dropinduced infant head injury. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, 112(3), pp.309–319.
- Liu, B. et al., 2016. Energy absorption mechanism of polyvinyl butyral laminated windshield subjected to head impact: Experiment and numerical simulations. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 90, pp.26–36.
- Liu, X.J. & Yang, J.K., 2002. Development of Child Pedestrian Mathematical Models and Evaluation with Accident Reconstruction. *Traffic Injury Prevention*, 3(4), pp.321–329.

- Loyd, A.M. et al., 2012a. Impact Properties of Adult and ATD Heads. In *IRCOBI* Conference Proceedings. pp. 552–564.
- Loyd, A.M. et al., 2012b. Impact Properties of Adult and ATD Heads. In *IRCOBI* Conference Proceedings. pp. 552–564.
- Loyd, A.M., 2011. Studies of the Human Head from Neonate to Adult: An Inertial, Geometrical and Structural Analysis with Comparisons to the ATD Head. Duke University.
- LSTC, 2011. Download LSTC Dummy and Barrier Models for LS-DYNA. Available at: http://www.lstc.com/download/dummy_and_barrier_models [Accessed July 2, 2016].
- LSTC, 2012. LS-PrePost Online Documentation. Available at: http://www.lstc.com/lspp/content/tutorials.shtml [Accessed July 3, 2016].
- LSTC, 2013. LSTC Hybrid III 6 year old Finite Element Model Documentation,
- Margulies, S.S. & Thibault, K.L., 2000. Infant skull and suture properties: measurements and implications for mechanisms of pediatric brain injury. *Journal of biomechanical engineering*, 122(4), pp.364–371.
- Marzougui, D., Kan, C.-D. & Bedewi, N.E., 1997. Development and validation of an NCAP simulation using LS-DYNA3D. *NCAC paper*, 12(3), pp.23–29.
- Maurath, C.A., 2007. Development and Validation of a Finite Element Model of the Q3 Anthropormorphic Testing Device. George Washington University.
- McPherson, G.K. & Kriewall, T.J., 1980. The elastic modulus of fetal cranial bone: a first step towards an understanding of the biomechanics of fetal head molding. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 13(4), pp.9–16.
- Meijer, R. et al., 2010. Scaling head-neck response data and derivation of 5th percentile female side-impact dummy head-neck response requirements in NBDL test conditions. *International Journal of Crashworthiness*, 15(1), pp.115–115.
- Mertz, H.J. & Irwin, A., 1997. Biomechanical basis for the CRABI and Hybrid III child dummiesNo Title. *SAE Technical Paper*.
- Meyer, F. et al., 2014. Development of a 3-year-old child head-neck finite element model and derivation of novel head injury criterion. *International Journal of Crashworthiness*, 19(3), pp.233–243.
- Meyer, F. & Willinger, R., 2009. Three-year-old child head-neck finite element modelling: simulation of the interaction with airbag in frontal and side impact. *International journal of vehicle safety*, 4(4), pp.285–299.

- Miyazaki, Y. et al., 2009. Head Injury Analysis in Case of Fall From Playground Equipment Using Child Fall Simulator. *The impact of Technology on Sport*, 3, pp.417–421.
- Mizuno, K. et al., 2009. Comparison of human FE model and crash dummy responses in various child restraint systems. *International Journal of Crashworthiness*, 14(2), pp.139–149.
- Mizuno, K. et al., 2005. Development of a three-year-old child FE model. *Traffic injury prevention*, 6(May 2014), pp.361–371.
- Mizuno, K. & Namikiri, T., 2007. Analysis of child responses in CRS using child human FE model. *Proceedings of 20th ESV conference*, ..., 50(07).
- Mizuno, K., Nezaki, S. & Ito, D., 2017. Comparison of chest injury measures of hybrid III dummy. *International Journal of Crashworthiness*, 22(1), pp.38–48.
- Mohan, P. et al., 2007. Development of Detailed Finite Element Dummy Models. In 6th LS-DYNA Forum, Frankenthal, pp. 13–22.
- Monclus-gonzalez, J. & Eskandarian, A., 2001. Development of Detailed Finite Element Models of Child Restraint Systems for Occupant Protection. In 17th ESV Conference Paper. pp. 1–10.
- Mongiardini, M. et al., 2009. Development of a Software for the Comparison of Curves During the Verification and Validation of Numerical Models. In ... of the 7th European LS-DYNA
- Natale, V. & Rajagopalan, A., 2014. Worldwide variation in human growth and the World Health Organization growth standards: a systematic review. *BMJ open*, 4(1), pp.1–11.
- NCAC, 2015. Application: Finite element model archive. Available at: http://www.ncac.gwu.edu/vml/models.html.
- NHTSA, 2016. HYBRID III 3-year old physical data. Available at: http://www.nhtsa.gov/Research/HYBRID+III+3-Year+Old+Physical+Data [Accessed June 2, 2016].
- NHTSA, 2011a. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration-part 572.143subpart P-3-year-old child crash test dummy, alpha version,
- NHTSA, 2011b. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration-part 572.144subpart P-3-year-old child crash test dummy, alpha version,
- NHTSA, 2011c. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration-part 572.146subpart P-3-year-old child crash test dummy, alpha version,
- NHTSA, 2011d. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration-part 572.33-subpart E-Hybrid III test dummy, alpha version,

- NHTSA, 2011e. National Higway Traffic Safety Administration-part 572.33-subpart E-hybrid III test dummy,
- Ning, L., 2014. Finite Element Modeling and Simulation of occupant response in Highway Crashes. University of North Carolina.
- Okamoto, M. et al., 2003. Development of finite element model for child pedestrian protection. In *Experimental Safety Vehicles Conference*. pp. 1–9.
- Oladipo, G.S., Yorkum, L. & Peter, O., 2013. Measurements of Head Circumference , Intercanthal Distances , Canthal Index and Circumference Interorbital Index of Ikwerre School Children in Nigeria. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research*, 3(4), pp.16–21.
- Open Stax College, 2013. Anatomy & Physiology, Houston, Texas: Rice University.
- Oshita, F. et al., 2002. Development of a finite element model of the human body. In *The 7th International LS-DYNA Users Conference*. pp. 37–48.
- Osunwoke, E. & Didia, B., 2012. A study on the normal values of inner canthal, outer canthal, interpupillary distance and head circumference of 3-21 years ijaws. *American Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research*, 3, pp.441–445.
- Ouyang, J. et al., 2006. Thoracic impact testing of pediatric cadaveric subjects. *The* Journal of trauma, 61(6), pp.1492–500.
- Park, D.W. & Yoo, W.S., 2010. A study on the design of a child seat system with mutipoint restraints to enhance safety. *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 23, pp.3316–3322.
- Patil, A., 2003. Modeling and Evaluation of Child Safety Seat and Restraint System for Aerospace Application. Wichita State University.
- Peden, M. & Oyegbite, K., 2008. World report on child injury prevention World report on child injury prevention,
- Poulard, D. et al., 2015. Component level Biofidelity Assessment of Morphed Pedestrian Finite Element Models. In *IRCOBI Conference Proceedings (No. IRC-15-65)*.
- Prange, M.T. et al., 2004. Mechanical properties and anthropometry of the human infant head. *Stapp car crash journal*, 48, pp.279–299.
- Prüggler, A., Rieser, A. & Kirschbichler, S., 2011. Implementation of Reactive Human Behavior in a Numerical Human Body Model Using Controlled Beam Elements As Muscle Element Substitutes. In *Proceedings of the 22 nd International Technical Conference On Enhanced Safety of Vehicles*. pp. 1–7.

- van Ratingen, M.. & Wismans, J., 1999. Modelling Pediatric Kinematics. In Annual Proceedings/Association for the Advancement of Automotive Medicine. pp. 5– 7.
- van Ratingen, M.R. et al., 1997. Biomechanically based design and performance targets for a 3-year old child crash dummy for frontal and side impact. *SAE Technical Paper*, (973316).
- Reed, M.P. & Rupp, J.D., 2013. An anthropomorphic comparison of current ATDs with the U.S adult population. *Traffic injury prevention*, 14(7), pp.703–705.
- Rivara, F.P., 2009. The global problem of injuries to children and adolescents. *Pediatrics*, 123(1), pp.168–9.
- Rodarius, C., Rooij, L. van & Ronald, de L., 2007. SCALABILITY OF HUMAN MODELS. In *The 20th ESV Conference Proceedings*. pp. 1–6.
- Roth, S. et al., 2009. Child head injury criteria investigation through numerical simulation of real world trauma. *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine*, 93, pp.32–45.
- Roth, S., Raul, J.-S. & Willinger, R., 2010. Finite element modelling of paediatric head impact: global validation against experimental data. *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine*, 99(1), pp.25–33.
- Saul, R.A. et al., 1998. Description and performance of the hybrid III three year old, six year old and small female test dummies in restraint system and out-ofposition air bag environments. In *Proceedings: International Technical Conference on the Enhanced Safety of Vehicles.* pp. 1513–1531.
- Schmitt, K.-U. et al., 2014. Trauma Biomechanics: An Introduction to Injury Biomechanics, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- Schoell, S.L. et al., 2014. Development of Age and Sex Specific Thorax Finite Element Models. In *Ohio State University Injury Biomechanics Symposium*. pp. 1–13.
- Schwer, L.E., 2007. Validation metrics for response histories: Perspectives and case studies. *Engineering with Computers*, 23(4), pp.295–309.
- Seacrist, T. et al., 2013. Evaluation of the hybrid III and Q-series pediatric ATD upper neck loads as compared to pediatric volunteers in low-speed frontal crashes. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*, 41(11), pp.2381–2390.
- Senbanjo, I.O. et al., 2013. Body fat distribution of children and adolescents in Abeokuta, Southwest Nigeria. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 150(February), pp.647–654.
- Serre, T. et al., 2006. 3 and 6 Years Old Child Anthropometry and Comparison with Crash Dummies. *SAE Technical Paper*.

- Serre, T., Lalys, L. & Lecoz, S., 2005. Anthropometric data of the 3 and 6 year-old child regarding the position of the safety belt. *Digital Human modeling*, pp.1–8.
- Sherwood, C.P. et al., 2003. Prediction of cervical spine injury risk for the 6-year-old child in frontal crashes. *Traffic injury prevention*, 4(3), pp.206–213.
- Shorr, R.M. et al., 1987. Blunt thoracic trauma. Analysis of 515 patients. *Annals of surgery*, 206(2), pp.200–5.
- Siruvole, S.K., 2007. Evaluation of the Occupant Response and Structural Damage According to the New Proposed Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard Side Impact Regulation. Wachita State University.
- Snyder, R.G. et al., 1977. Anthopometry of Infants, Children and Youth To Age 18 For Product Safety Design,
- Starnes, M. & Eigen, A.M., 2002. Fatalities and Injuries to 0-8 Year Old Passenger Vehicle Occupants based on Impact Attributes,
- Stephen, P., 2005. Anthropometry, ergonomics and the design of work, CRC Press, Guildford.
- Tabiei, A., Lawrence, C. & Fasanella, E.L., 2009. Validation of Finite Element Crash Test Dummy Models ofr the Prediction of Orion Crew Member Injruies during a Simulated Vehicle Landing. *10th LS-DYNA users conference*, (March).
- Thakur, S. & Rao, S.N., 2014. Use of Morphing Tool to Build Parametric Finite Element Vehicle Model. *Igarss 2014*, 4(1), pp.1–5.
- TNO Automotive, 2013. *MADYMO MANUAL Version* 7.5, Tass International, Delft, Netherlands,
- Tot, M. et al., 2008. Implementation of child biomechanical neck behaviour into the hybrid III crash test dummy. *SAE Technical Paper*.
- Turchi, R. et al., 2004. An investigation into the head and neck injury potential of three-year-old children in forward and rearward facing child safety seats. *International Journal of Crashworthiness*, 9(4), pp.419–431.
- Ukoji, V.N., 2014. Trends and patterns of fatal road accidents in Nigeria (2006-2014),
- Varadappa, S., Shyo, S.C. & Mani, A., 1993. Development of Passenger Vehicle Finite Element Model, Washington, DC.
- Wang, Q. et al., 2007. Child restraint seat design considerations to mitigate injuries to three-year-old children in side impact crashes. *International Journal of Crashworthiness*, 12(6), pp.629–644.

- Wang, Q. & Gabler, H.C., 2008. Review of correlation methods for evaluating finite element simulations of impact injury risk. *Biomedical sciences instrumentation*, 44, pp.268–273.
- WHO, 2013. Global status report on road safety 2013. WHO, 1, p.310. Available at: http://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/road_safety_status/2013/en/ [Accessed July 13, 2016].
- Wisgerhof, R.P., 2007. Scaling head-neck response data for biofidelity assessment of the small female WorldSID. Eindhoven University of Technology.
- Wismans, J. et al., 2008. Q-dummies Report,
- Wu, S. et al., 2016. On design of multi-cell thin-wall structures for crashworthiness. International Journal of Impact Engineering, 88, pp.102–117.
- Yoganandan, N., Derosia, J. & Humm, J., 2013. An improved method to calculate paediatric skull fracture threshold. In 23rd International Technical Conference on the Enhanced Safety of Vehicles (ESV). pp. 1–8.
- Youn, Y. et al., 2009. A Study of Thoracic Injury Criteria for Elderly Korean Occupant. In International Technical Conference on the Enhanced Safety of Vehicles 21st Proceedings.
- Young, J.W. et al., 1976. Development and evaluation of masterbody forms for 3-and 6-year-old-child dummies, Washington, DC.: Federal Aviation Administration Washington DC Office of Aviation Medicine.
- Zhang, W. et al., 2009. Implementation of Child Biomechanical Neck Behaviour into a Child FE Model. In *SAE International Conference*.