COI-BASED QUANTITATIVE FREQUENCY SECURITY ASSESSMENT OF POWER SYSTEM IN ESTIMATING MAXIMUM PENETRATION LEVEL OF WIND POWER

ATHRAA IESSA SHAABAN AL MENTEFIK

FK 2017 2
COI-BASED QUANTITATIVE FREQUENCY SECURITY ASSESSMENT OF POWER SYSTEM IN ESTIMATING MAXIMUM PENETRATION LEVEL OF WIND POWER

By

ATHRAA IESSA SHAABAN AL MENTEFIK

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science

January 2017
COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia
DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my mom for her endless love, to my family for their support, and my friends for their encouragement.
Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

COI-BASED QUANTITATIVE FREQUENCY SECURITY ASSESSMENT OF POWER SYSTEM IN ESTIMATING MAXIMUM PENETRATION LEVEL OF WIND POWER

By

ATHRAA IESSA SHAABAN AL MENTEFIK

January 2017

Chairman : Noor Izzri Abdul Wahab, PhD
Faculty : Engineering

Due to the increase in sustainable energy resource integration, the operation and control of power system have become very complicated. This has resulted in a significant challenge faced by system operators to maintain a stable power system operation. With the widespread integration of wind power to the system, the frequency security assessment (FSA) has become essential due to the impact of less inertia and variable nature brought by this power on system frequency.

Many studies have been conducted assessing the frequency security level of power system. However, these methods can only measure the severity of disturbance at one point in time. On top of that, most assessment methods depend on a unit frequency that may differ according to disturbance location or unit status. Therefore, there is a need to find a comprehensive method for assessing frequency security covering the time of frequency deviation based on equivalent system frequency. Thus, the present study was conducted to explore and address a new method to evaluate frequency security, identify system’s weakest frequency bus and estimate the maximum wind penetration level using transient frequency deviation index (TFDI) based on the Centre of Inertia frequency ($f_{COI}$).

The first objective of this study is to use $f_{COI}$ together with TFDI to quantitatively assess system’s security level. Thus, a methodology evaluating the frequency security level is presented in this study. The second objective of this thesis seeks to identify the weakest frequency bus using the ability of TFDI to evaluate the security level of individual buses (consumers). The final purpose of this study is to introduce a method to estimate the maximum level of wind power that can be integrated into the grid by maintaining acceptable operation frequency.
The first contribution of this study is represented by the development of a new and particular method for FSA to enhance security assessment. By using $f_{COI}$ features, the security level of system disturbances can be easily achieved without identifying all system bus frequencies. Additionally, this method is able to evaluate whole system security levels irrespective of affected generator or disturbance location. Previously, TFDI was not used to identify system’s weakest bus. Therefore, the second contribution of this study is the introduction of an approach that can identify system’s weakest bus frequency using the ability of TFDI to evaluate the security level of individual bus and use it as a wind power integrated bus to enhance the accuracy in estimating maximum wind power penetration level. Finally, the third contribution of the study is that it provides a simple method to estimate the maximum allowable amount of wind power that can be added to the grid without affecting system frequency security. This new method is simple as it does not require complicated calculations or historical data.
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Peningkatan dalam integrasi sumber tenaga lestari mengakibatkan operasi dan kawalan sistem kuasa menjadi sangat rumit. Ia seterusnya menjadi satu cabaran besar bagi pengendali sistem untuk mengekalkan operasi sistem kuasa yang stabil. Dengan meluasnya integrasi kuasa angin kepada sistem kuasa, penilaian keselamatan kekerapan (FSA) menjadi penting disebabkan oleh kurangnya kesan inersia dan sifat berubah-ubah yang dibawa oleh kuasa ini kepada kekerapan sistem.

Banyak kajian dijalankan bagi menilai tahap keselamatan frekuensi sistem kuasa. Walau bagaimanapun, kaedah-kaedah ini hanya mampu mengukur tahap gangguan pada satu ketika dalam satu masa. Selain itu, sebahagian besar kaedah penilaian bergantung kepada kekerapan unit yang mungkin berbeza mengikut lokasi gangguan atau status unit. Oleh itu, wujud kepentingan untuk mencari kaedah yang komprehensif bagi menilai keselamatan kekerapan meliputi masa sisihan frekuensi berdasarkan kekerapan sistem setara. Oleh itu, kajian ini dijalankan untuk meneroka dan memperkenalkan satu kaedah baru yang menilai keselamatan kekerapan, mengenal pasti bas kekerapan paling lemah dalam sistem dan menganggarkan tahap penembusan angin maksimum menggunakan fana indeks sisihan frekuensi (TFDI) berdasarkan pusat inertia kekerapan f_{COI}.

Objektif pertama kajian ini adalah untuk menggunakan fCOI bersama-sama dengan TFDI bagi menilai tahap keselamatan sistem secara kuantitatif. Oleh itu, kaedah menilai tahap keselamatan kekerapan itu dikemukakan dalam kajian ini. Objektif kedua kajian ini bertujuan mengenal pasti bas kekerapan yang paling lemah menggunakan keupayaan TFDI untuk menilai tahap keselamatan bas individu (pengguna). Tujuan akhir kajian ini adalah untuk memperkenalkan satu kaedah menganggarkan tahap maksimum kuasa angin yang boleh disepadukan ke dalam grid dengan mengekalkan kekerapan operasi yang sesuai.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My genuine gratitude goes to Allah (s.w.t) for giving me a long life, health, and the capacity to carry out this study. I would also like to thank my mother, sister, and brothers for their love and concern throughout this study.

My genuine appreciation goes to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Noor Izzri Abdul Wahab for his patience, support, and encouragement throughout the success of my study. It is not often that one finds an advisor and colleague that always make the time to listen to little problems and obstructions that unavoidably present in the course of carrying out the research. His technical and editorial advices are of essential to the completion of this dissertation and academic career in terms of research, conferences, journals and workshops. My appreciation also goes to my co-supervisors Prof. Norman Mariun and Assoc. Prof Hashim Hizam who have enormously contributed towards the success of my research and the selection of appropriate tools from which I learned a lot.

I also wish to appreciate the grace given to me by the General Company of Iraqi Ports, Ministry of Transportation, and the Universiti Putra Malaysia through the Centre of Advance Power and Energy Research (CAPER) for the convenient research environment that is well recognised.

On top of that, I also thank my uncles, aunts and colleagues for their pray, encouragement and supports throughout the completion of this study.

Last but not least, my appreciation goes to all my research colleagues (CAPER members); their company, shared knowledge and ideas are all important for me.
I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 19 January 2017 to conduct the final examination of Athraa Iessa Shaaban Al Menteflik on his thesis entitled "COI-Based Quantitative Frequency Security Assessment of Power System in Estimating Maximum Penetration Level of Wind Power" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Mohd Khair bin Hassan, PhD**
Associate Professor Ir.
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

**Mohammad Lutfi bin Othman, PhD**
Ir. Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

**Jagadeesh Pasupuleti, PhD**
Associate Professor
University Tenaga Nasional
Malaysia
(External Examiner)

\[Signature\]

**NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD**
Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 28 February 2017
This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Noor Izzri bin Abdul Wahab, PhD**  
Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Norman Mariun, PhD**  
Professor, Ir  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Hashim Hizam, PhD**  
Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD**  
Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:
Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the Office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: _________________________

Name and Matric No.: Athraa Iessa Shaaban Al Mentefik, GS42356
Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: 
Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee:  
Associate Professor Dr. Noor Izzri bin Abdul Wahab

Signature: 
Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:  
Associate Professor Dr. Hashim Hizam

Signature: 
Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:  
Professor Dr. Norman Maruin
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABSTRACT</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABSTRAK</td>
<td>iii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPROVAL</td>
<td>vi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECLARATION</td>
<td>viii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF TABLES</td>
<td>xii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF FIGURES</td>
<td>xiii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</td>
<td>xv</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## CHAPTER

1 **INTRODUCTION**

1.1 General Background 1
1.2 Problem Statement 3
1.3 Objectives of study 4
1.4 Scope and Limitation of Research 4
1.5 Organization of Thesis 4
1.6 Summary 5

2 **LITERATURE REVIEW**

2.1 Introduction 6
2.2 Concept of Power System Security 6
2.3 Frequency Security Assessment (FSA) 9
   2.3.1 Definition of Frequency Security 9
   2.3.2 Methods of Frequency Security Assessment 10
   2.3.3 Frequency Security indices 11
2.4 Centre of Inertia Frequency (f_{COI}) 16
2.5 Weakest bus frequency Identification 17
2.6 Integration of wind power into power system 18
   2.6.1 Impact of wind power on system frequency 18
   2.6.2 Estimation of wind power maximum penetration level 19
2.7 Summary 21

3 **METHODOLOGY**

3.1 Introduction 22
3.2 Overall Work Flowchart 22
3.3 Time Domain Simulation 24
3.4 Calculation of TFDI 24
3.5 Wind Generation Model 27
3.7 Implementation of Procedures for Power System’s Weakest Frequency Bus Identification 30
3.8 Implementation Procedures of Estimation the Maximum 31
# LIST OF TABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Samples of system’s contingencies secure status</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Wind penetration level of 9-bus test system wind at bus 5</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Wind penetration level of 9-bus test system wind at bus (2, 5, and 7)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# LIST OF FIGURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Power system operation states</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>DSA criteria</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Line fcr intersects frequency response curve</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Line fcr does not intersect frequency response curve</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Frequency deviations against acceptable frequency</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Overall flowchart of the work</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Calculation of TFDI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) $S_d$ when $t_b = 0$</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) $S_d$ when $0 &lt; t_b \leq t_{cr}$</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c) $S_d$ when $t_b &gt; t_{cr}$</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Classification of wind generation system</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Variable speed DFIG</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Flowchart of quantitative frequency security assessment of multi-machine power system</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Flowchart of system weakest bus frequency identification</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Flowchart of estimation of maximum level of wind penetration</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>9-bus test system</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>39-bus test system</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>$f_{COI}$ with generators under frequency response of 39-bus test system</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>$f_{COI}$ with generators over frequency response of 39-bus test system</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>39-Bus $f_{COI}$ response for reduce generation</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>TFDI index with power reducing amount</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) For $f_{COI}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) G10 frequency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>TFDI index with load shedding amount</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) For $f_{COI}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) For G10 frequency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>TFDI with different $t_{cr}$</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>The frequency response of 9-bus system for a 3-phase fault at bus 2</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.10 TFDI with bus number of 9-bus test system
(a) For fault at bus 2
(b) For a fault at bus line (4-6)
(c) For a fault at bus 7
4.11 The frequency response of 39-bus system for a 3-phase fault at bus 39.
4.12 TFDI with bus number of 39-bus test system
(a) For fault at line (4-5)
(b) For a fault at bus 17
(c) For a fault at bus 39
4.13 9-bus $f_{COI}$ response for different wind penetration level
4.14 TFDI for different wind penetration level at bus 5
4.15 TFDI for different wind penetration level at bus 2
4.16 TFDI for different wind penetration level at bus 7
4.17 39-bus $f_{COI}$ response for different wind penetration level
4.18 Maximum wind penetration level of the 39-bus test system
## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANN</td>
<td>Artificial Neural Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>Sensitivity Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{sys}$</td>
<td>Frequency Probabilistic Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COI</td>
<td>Center of Inertia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFIGs</td>
<td>Doubly Fed Induction Generators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSA</td>
<td>Dynamic Security Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>Decision Tree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DI</td>
<td>Digital Input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEAC</td>
<td>Extended Equal Area Criterion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDI</td>
<td>Total Frequency Deviation Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{COI}$</td>
<td>Center of Inertia frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{COI,(dev.)}$</td>
<td>Center of Inertia Frequency Deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSA</td>
<td>Frequency Security Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSI</td>
<td>Frequency security Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>Frequency response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{cr}$</td>
<td>Critical Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_N$</td>
<td>Normal Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Inertia Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSIGs</td>
<td>Fixed Speed Induction Generators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDFI</td>
<td>Maximum Frequency Deviation Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROCOF</td>
<td>Rate of Change of Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA</td>
<td>Static Security Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_b$</td>
<td>Break time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{cr}$</td>
<td>Critical time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>Time Domain Simulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAMS</td>
<td>Wide Area Measurements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WECC</td>
<td>Western Electricity Coordinating Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WGNC</td>
<td>Doubly fed induction generators model in DSA tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WGNCE</td>
<td>Wind turbine electrical control model in DSA tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WGNCT</td>
<td>Wind turbine pitch control model in DSA tools</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter demonstrates the background of research, objectives, and targets. It further highlights an overview of the thesis on the research findings and expectations. The chapter aims at enhancing the reader’s knowledge towards understanding the research expected results, and why the research was conducted.

1.1 Background

Recently, most of the electrical power systems are working under a huge pressure due to the escalated demand in electricity for both industrial and service sectors. This situation had caused the power system to operate exceeding their stability limits. Consequently, this weakness has led to the widespread of outage around the world such as the blackout in Malaysia on 1996 (Horne, et al, 2004), European interconnected grid blackout in November 2006 (Chunyan, et al, 2007), Australia’s major interruption on January 2007 (Refinery, 1999), as well as India’s power grid blackout on July 2012 (Desismartgrid., 2012).

Generally, these blackouts and cascading failures occur by a series of event where a system exceeds its acceptable security limits, thus making it to become unable to force multiple contingencies. However, these failures can be avoided if the system’s emergency control takes appropriate actions including load shedding and islanding controlling (Ahmed, et al, 2003) (Adibi, Kafka, et al, 2006). To remove the weaknesses of a system, it is important to ensure whether or not the power system can withstand all credible contingencies and meet specified security criteria. This evaluation is known as the Dynamic security assessment (DSA), which is defined as the ability of a power system to withstand any credible contingency and propose proper actions to remove its weaknesses (Wehenkel, 1997). DSA includes rotor angle security, voltage security, and frequency security.

Frequency security refers to the ability of a power system to maintain steady frequency following a severe system upset, resulting in a significant imbalance between the generation and demand without interrupting consumer service (Morison, Lei, & Kundur, 2004). Frequency is an important operating parameter compulsory in maintaining the acceptable limit of a power system as it reflects the conditions of the power system. Furthermore, the frequency behaviour is able to demonstrate any mismatch found between generation and demand. Ideally, frequency should be kept at its nominal value. However, the use of nonlinear loads, sudden load–generation imbalance and integration of variable energy resources has resulted in an unstable frequency of operating system. Therefore, it is essential to evaluate the system frequency security and estimate the ability of the system to withstand any change in system condition that may be occurred due to disturbance.
The power system frequency protection schemes including over/under frequency (response-driven and event-driven) are always set to specific limits and duration of frequency deviation. These limits and duration are usually displayed in two element tables ($f_{cr}$, $t_{cr}$). Consequently, the stability of the power system will break if the frequency deviation exceeds these limits (Zhuang, et al, 2012). There are several indices that can evaluate the frequency security introduced due to the relationship between critical and minimum frequencies (Doherty et al., 2010) (Castro, et al, 2012)(Zhang & Liu, 2010). However, these indices cannot measure the severity of contingency along with its affecting duration. Nevertheless, they are able to reflect deviation trajectory details. Therefore, the transient frequency deviation index (TFDI) was proposed to quantitatively assess the frequency security of the power system (Zhang, & Liu, 2010). TFDI depends on the minimum area surrounded by frequency deviation trajectory and critical frequency.

Many studies have been implemented to present the behaviour of system frequency and suggest that initial system frequency vary according to different locations (Ørum, Laasonen, et al, 2015). These studies also state that the disturbance location has a major impact on the frequency behaviour by the fact that the closer generator response is more severe (Terzija, 2006). Therefore, it is crucial to find the centre of inertia $f_{COI}$ to represent the whole frequencies of the system. $f_{COI}$ is a mathematically derived variable that describes the average network frequency during electromechanical transients when local generator frequencies are not similar (Terzija, 2006). The $f_{COI}$ will provide more information on frequency security level of a power system compared to the single generator frequency. In addition, the inertia constant of $f_{COI}$ is larger since it includes the inertia constant of all generators in the power system than single generator inertia constant. Moreover, the oscillation of $f_{COI}$ is also smaller (Nedic, 2003). To assess the security level of multi-machines power system regardless disturbance locations as well as to take advantage on quantitative assessment of system security using TFDI, a new method evaluating the frequency security of multi-machines power system was validated in this research.

Wind power, a renewable energy resource, has the potential to become one of the crucial energy resources in many countries, since it is pollution free and powered by the abundant availability of wind. However, wind power cannot be randomly integrated into the grid, due to its fluctuating nature and less inertia of wind turbine. Besides, wind fluctuation leads to the decrease in efficiency of the power system to maintain the balance between generation and demand (Ramirez & Ipn, 2015). The wind turbine is permanently equipped with converters, which decouple the turbine from the grid. Consequently, no inertial response will be provided by these turbines during frequency events (Tielens & van Hertem, 2012). Therefore, the estimation of allowable wind level that maintains system frequency becomes a serious issue. Thus, the proposed approach of using TFDI based on $f_{COI}$ in evaluating system security was used to estimate the maximum allowable wind integration level through a very simple and effective method.
1.2 Problem Statement

Off normal frequency deviation may significantly affect the power system behaviour by damaging system equipment, overloading transmission lines and triggering the protection devices leading to a system collapse (Zhang, et al, 2010). Frequency should be kept at its nominal value. However, the utilisation of nonlinear loads, the sudden load-generation imbalance and the integration of variable energy resources have resulted in the unstable frequency of operating system. Therefore, it is essential to evaluate the system frequency security and estimate the ability of the system to withstand any change in system condition that might happen due to any disturbance, due to that, the assessment of the system’s ability to maintain a nominal frequency has become a serious issue. Frequency deviation is a good indicator of system stability. Most frequency security assessment studies have utilised the frequency deviation indices such as maximum frequency deviation index (MFDI), total frequency deviation index (FDI), and frequency security index (FSI) (Dai et al., 2012) (Xu Taishan, 2002). Nonetheless, these indices can only measure the rigorousness of disturbance at one time, which means that they are incapable of measuring the effect of frequency deviation during this period. Moreover, most assessment methods depend on unit frequency that may differ according to disturbance location or unit statuses. Therefore, there is a need to find a comprehensive method for frequency security assessment covering the time of deviation and based on equivalent system frequency (Zhang, Li, & Liu, 2015).

Monitoring the frequency deviation at several critical points of power system including bus or substation would help in deciding whether or not the loss of load or generator would affect the system security. It is also important to find the weak frequency points of network to select the wind turbine integration bus to accurately estimate the maximum allowable wind power level according to the impact of turbine on system frequency. Therefore, finding a method that is able to select critical points of the system (the bus with weakest frequency) is essential.

The FSA is very important for the power system with increasing the trend of wind power penetration, which significantly changes the power system frequency behaviour. There is a principle difference between a wind turbine and the conventional turbine of power plants in the world such as steam, gas or hydraulic turbines. By utilising conventional turbines, the rotation speed can be almost constant and locked to the system frequency. However, the speed of a wind turbine is not synchronous with the network and is controlled to maximise the production energy. Therefore, the production of wind power plant is not inherently coupled to the system frequency, and historically, the wind power stations are not vital to participate in the regulation of system frequency (Nicholas & Elahi, 2011). Large disturbances may cause serious frequency deviations that further lead to stability problems due to the impact of less inertia and variable nature of this power on system frequency. Since wind turbine is usually connected to distribution network, the subject of voltage and frequency behaviour is very essential for consumers at the receiving end of the electrical network. This is because a good quality of power from the network, (acceptable voltage and frequency) is what demanded by the consumers.
Though the two elements cannot be kept constant in practice all the time, they are however kept within allowable limits. The wind turbine is permanently equipped with converters that decouple the turbine from the grid. Consequently, no inertial response will be provided from these turbines during frequency events (Tielens & Hertem, 2012). Therefore, estimating the allowable wind level that maintains system frequency becomes is of important.

1.3 Thesis objectives

The specific objectives of this study are summarised as below:
- To identify a comprehensive method for frequency security assessment that covers the effective duration of frequency deviation using TFDI index based on equivalent system frequency $f_{COI}$.
- To use the ability of TFDI in assessing the security level of individual bus in identifying system weakest bus frequency.
- To evaluate a simple, efficient and accurate method in estimating the maximum penetration level of wind power using TFDI index that is based on $f_{COI}$.

1.4 Scope of work

This study was focuses on evaluating the multi-machine power system frequency security using TFDI based on $F_{COI}$. The New England 39-bus and WSCC 9-bus test systems were used to obtain the study results. The study is also concentrating on the estimation of maximum wind penetration level by considering the frequency security. However, there is also limitation in this study as wind speed was not taken into account. This is due to the use of the most recent and most popular wind turbine (variable speed DFIG) that connects with the grid through rotor side convertor and has the ability to work at variance wind speed to provide large level of generation power (Vittal, 2008) (Miao, et al, 2009). Therefore, this study has only considered the inertia response and capacity of the units.

1.5 Thesis organisation

This thesis is organised as follows:

Chapter 1 focuses on giving a brief background, problem statement, research hypothesis, objectives, and contribution of the study. Meanwhile, Chapter 2 reviews the previous works related to the current study. This review begins with the fundamental concept of dynamic security of a power system. Frequency security definition, assessment methods and indices are also addressed in this chapter as well as the participants of $F_{COI}$ in the power control system and protection. Moreover, the weakest bus frequency, impact of wind integration and the estimation of maximum wind power, which are among the important research outlines, are reviewed.
Furthermore, Chapter 3 describes the methodologies of numerical verification using the FCOI in system security evaluating, which also include the main steps in the methodology of selecting the weakest bus frequency and the estimation of maximum wind penetration level. Furthermore, the modeling and test system details are also included in this chapter.

Chapter 4 presents the case studies and detailed results. The results presented were obtained based on test cases. These include the FCOI numerical verification, weakest bus selection, and maximum wind power level results. Besides, a brief discussion and summary of significant results are also highlighted in this chapter.

Lastly, Chapter 5 demonstrates and concludes the major findings of this study as well as the discussion on the possible future works. The contribution of this study is also provided in this chapter.

1.6 Summary

In summary, this chapter considered the important outlines of this study. It began by introducing the importance of DSA and FSA. After that, the explanation on problem statement is presented. This was followed by demonstrating the objectives and scopes of research work in this chapter. The organisation of the study is also provided in this chapter.
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