



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***COI-BASED QUANTITATIVE FREQUENCY SECURITY ASSESSMENT  
OF POWER SYSTEM IN ESTIMATING MAXIMUM PENETRATION  
LEVEL OF WIND POWER***

**ATHRAA IEISSA SHAABAN AL MENTEFIK**

**FK 2017 2**



**COI-BASED QUANTITATIVE FREQUENCY SECURITY ASSESSMENT  
OF POWER SYSTEM IN ESTIMATING MAXIMUM PENETRATION  
LEVEL OF WIND POWER**

**By**

**ATHRAA IEISSA SHAABAN AL MENTEFIK**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,  
in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science**

**January 2017**



© COPYRIGHT UPM

## **COPYRIGHT**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



## DEDICATION

**This thesis is dedicated to my mom for her endless love, to my family for their support, and my friends for their encouragement.**



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**COI-BASED QUANTITATIVE FREQUENCY SECURITY ASSESSMENT  
OF POWER SYSTEM IN ESTIMATING MAXIMUM PENETRATION  
LEVEL OF WIND POWER**

By

**ATHRAA IEISSA SHAABAN AL MENTEFIK**

**January 2017**

**Chairman : Noor Izzri Abdul Wahab, PhD**  
**Faculty : Engineering**

Due to the increase in sustainable energy resource integration, the operation and control of power system have become very complicated. This has resulted in a significant challenge faced by system operators to maintain a stable power system operation. With the widespread integration of wind power to the system, the frequency security assessment (FSA) has become essential due to the impact of less inertia and variable nature brought by this power on system frequency.

Many studies have been conducted assessing the frequency security level of power system. However, these methods can only measure the severity of disturbance at one point in time. On top of that, most assessment methods depend on a unit frequency that may differ according to disturbance location or unit status. Therefore, there is a need to find a comprehensive method for assessing frequency security covering the time of frequency deviation based on equivalent system frequency. Thus, the present study was conducted to explore and address a new method to evaluate frequency security, identify system's weakest frequency bus and estimate the maximum wind penetration level using transient frequency deviation index (TFDI) based on the Centre of Inertia frequency ( $f_{COI}$ ).

The first objective of this study is to use  $f_{COI}$  together with TFDI to quantitatively assess system's security level. Thus, a methodology evaluating the frequency security level is presented in this study. The second objective of this thesis seeks to identify the weakest frequency bus using the ability of TFDI to evaluate the security level of individual buses (consumers). The final purpose of this study is to introduce a method to estimate the maximum level of wind power that can be integrated into the grid by maintaining acceptable operation frequency.

The first contribution of this study is represented by the development of a new and particular method for FSA to enhance security assessment. By using  $f_{COI}$  features, the security level of system disturbances can be easily achieved without identifying all system bus frequencies. Additionally, this method is able to evaluate whole system security levels irrespective of affected generator or disturbance location. Previously, TFDI was not used to identify system's weakest bus. Therefore, the second contribution of this study is the introduction of an approach that can identify system's weakest bus frequency using the ability of TFDI to evaluate the security level of individual bus and use it as a wind power integrated bus to enhance the accuracy in estimating maximum wind power penetration level. Finally, the third contribution of the study is that it provides a simple method to estimate the maximum allowable amount of wind power that can be added to the grid without affecting system frequency security. This new method is simple as it does not require complicated calculations or historical data.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**PENILAIAN KUANTITATIF KEKERAPAN KESELAMATAN SISTEM  
KUASA BERASASKAN COI DALAM MENGANGGAR TAHAP  
PENEMBUSAN MAKSIMUM KUASA ANGIN**

**ATHRAA IEISSA SHAABAN AL MENTEFIK**

**Januari 2017**

**Pengerusi : Noor Izzri Abdul Wahab, PhD**  
**Fakulti : Kejuruteraan**

Peningkatan dalam integrasi sumber tenaga lestari mengakibatkan operasi dan kawalan sistem kuasa menjadi sangat rumit. Ia seterusnya menjadi satu cabaran besar bagi pengendali sistem untuk mengekalkan operasi sistem kuasa yang stabil. Dengan meluasnya integrasi kuasa angin kepada sistem kuasa, penilaian keselamatan kekerapan (FSA) menjadi penting disebabkan oleh kurangnya kesan inersia dan sifat berubah-ubah yang dibawa oleh kuasa ini kepada kekerapan sistem.

Banyak kajian dijalankan bagi menilai tahap keselamatan frekuensi sistem kuasa. Walau bagaimanapun, kaedah-kaedah ini hanya mampu mengukur tahap gangguan pada satu ketika dalam satu masa. Selain itu, sebahagian besar kaedah penilaian bergantung kepada kekerapan unit yang mungkin berbeza mengikut lokasi gangguan atau status unit. Oleh itu, wujud kepentingan untuk mencari kaedah yang komprehensif bagi menilai keselamatan kekerapan meliputi masa sisihan frekuensi berdasarkan kekerapan sistem setara. Oleh itu, kajian ini dijalankan untuk meneroka dan memperkenalkan satu kaedah baru yang menilai keselamatan kekerapan, mengenal pasti bas kekerapan paling lemah dalam sistem dan menganggarkan tahap penembusan angin maksimum menggunakan fana indeks sisihan frekuensi (TFDI) berdasarkan pusat inerti kekerapan  $f_{COI}$ .

Objektif pertama kajian ini adalah untuk menggunakan  $f_{COI}$  bersama-sama dengan TFDI bagi menilai tahap keselamatan sistem secara kuantitatif. Oleh itu, kaedah menilai tahap keselamatan kekerapan itu dikemukakan dalam kajian ini. Objektif kedua kajian ini bertujuan mengenal pasti bas kekerapan yang paling lemah menggunakan keupayaan TFDI untuk menilai tahap keselamatan bas individu (pengguna). Tujuan akhir kajian ini adalah untuk memperkenalkan satu kaedah menganggarkan tahap maksimum kuasa angin yang boleh disepadukan ke dalam grid dengan mengekalkan kekerapan operasi yang sesuai.



Sumbangan pertama kajian ini diwakili oleh pembangunan kaedah baru dan tertentu untuk FSA meningkatkan penilaian keselamatan. Dengan menggunakan ciri-ciri fCOI, tahap keselamatan gangguan sistem boleh dicapai dengan mudah tanpa mengenal pasti semua frekuensi bas sistem. Selain itu, kaedah ini dapat menilai tahap keselamatan sistem keseluruhan tanpa mengambil kira penjana yang terjejas atau lokasi gangguan. Sebelum ini, TFDI tidak digunakan untuk mengenal pasti bas paling lemah dalam sesuatu sistem. Oleh itu, sumbangan kedua kajian ini adalah dengan memperkenalkan pendekatan yang boleh mengenal pasti kekerapan bas paling lemah sistem menggunakan keupayaan TFDI untuk menilai tahap keselamatan bas individu dan menggunakannya sebagai bas kuasa angin bersepadu bagi meningkatkan ketepatan dalam menganggar tahap penembusan kuasa angin maksimum. Akhir sekali, sumbangan ketiga kajian ini adalah ia menyediakan satu kaedah yang mudah untuk menganggar jumlah penambahan maksimum kuasa angin yang dibenarkan ke grid tanpa menjejaskan keselamatan kekerapan sistem. Kaedah baru ini adalah mudah kerana ia tidak memerlukan pengiraan yang rumit atau data sejarah.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My genuine gratitude goes to Allah (s.w.t) for giving me a long life, health, and the capacity to carry out this study. I would also like to thank my mother, sister, and brothers for their love and concern throughout this study.

My genuine appreciation goes to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Noor Izzri Abdul Wahab for his patience, support, and encouragement throughout the success of my study. It is not often that one finds an advisor and colleague that always make the time to listen to little problems and obstructions that unavoidably present in the course of carrying out the research. His technical and editorial advices are of essential to the completion of this dissertation and academic career in terms of research, conferences, journals and workshops. My appreciation also goes to my co-supervisors Prof. Norman Mariun and Assoc. Prof Hashim Hizam who have enormously contributed towards the success of my research and the selection of appropriate tools from which I learned a lot.

I also wish to appreciate the grace given to me by the General Company of Iraqi Ports, Ministry of Transportation, and the Universiti Putra Malaysia through the Centre of Advance Power and Energy Research (CAPER) for the convenient research environment that is well recognised.

On top of that, I also thank my uncles, aunts and colleagues for their pray, encouragement and supports throughout the completion of this study.

Last but not least, my appreciation goes to all my research colleagues (CAPER members); their company, shared knowledge and ideas are all important for me.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 19 January 2017 to conduct the final examination of Athraa Iessa Shaaban Al Mentefik on his thesis entitled "COI-Based Quantitative Frequency Security Assessment of Power System in Estimating Maximum Penetration Level of Wind Power" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Mohd Khair bin Hassan, PhD**

Associate Professor Ir.  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Mohammad Lutfi bin Othman, PhD**

Ir. Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Jagadeesh Pasupuleti, PhD**

Associate Professor  
University Tenaga Nasional  
Malaysia  
(External Examiner)



---

**NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD**

Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 28 February 2017

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Noor Izzri bin Abdul Wahab, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Norman Mariun, PhD**

Professor, Ir  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Hashim Hizam, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the Office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and Matric No. : Athraa Iessa Shaaban Al Mentefik, GS42356

## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of  
Chairman of  
Supervisory  
Committee: Associate Professor Dr. Noor Izzri bin Abdul Wahab

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of  
Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee: Associate Professor Dr. Hashim Hizam

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of  
Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee: Professor Dr. Norman Maruin

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>		i
<b>ABSTRAK</b>		iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>		v
<b>APPROVAL</b>		vi
<b>DECLARATION</b>		viii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>		xii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>		xiii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>		xv
<b>CHAPTER</b>		
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 General Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Objectives of study	4
	1.4 Scope and Limitation of Research	4
	1.5 Organization of Thesis	4
	1.6 Summary	5
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>6</b>
	2.1 Introduction	6
	2.2 Concept of Power System Security	6
	2.3 Frequency Security Assessment (FSA)	9
	2.3.1 Definition of Frequency Security	9
	2.3.2 Methods of Frequency Security Assessment	10
	2.3.3 Frequency Security indices	11
	2.4 Centre of Inertia Frequency ( $f_{COI}$ )	16
	2.5 Weakest bus frequency Identification	17
	2.6 Integration of wind power into power system	18
	2.6.1 Impact of wind power on system frequency	18
	2.6.2 Estimation of wind power maximum penetration level	19
	2.7 Summary	21
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>22</b>
	3.1 Introduction	22
	3.2 Overall Work Flowchart	22
	3.3 Time Domain Simulation	24
	3.4 Calculation of TFDI	24
	3.5 Wind Generation Model	27
	3.6 Implementation of Procedures in Quantitative Frequency Security Assessment for Multi-Machine Power System	28
	3.7 Implementation of Procedures for Power System's Weakest Frequency Bus Identification	30
	3.8 Implementation Procedures of Estimation the Maximum	31

	Penetration Level of Wind Power	
3.9	Summary	33
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>34</b>
4.1	Introduction	34
4.2	Test systems	34
	4.2.1 WSCC 9-bus test system	34
	4.2.2 New England 39- bus test system	35
4.3	Quantitative security assessment based on $f_{COI}$	36
	4.3.1 $f_{COI}$ Trajectory	36
	4.3.2 Numerical verification of using TFDI based on $f_{COI}$	38
	4.3.3 Identification of system contingencies Status using TFDI	41
4.4	Weakest Bus Frequency Identification Using TFDI	42
	4.4.1 Weakest frequency bus identification of 9-Bus Test System	42
	4.4.2 Weakest frequency bus identification of 39-Bus Test System	45
4.5	Estimation of Maximum Wind penetration Level using TFDI index based on $f_{COI}$	47
	4.5.1 Maximum wind penetration level of 9-Bus Test System	47
	4.5.2 Maximum wind penetration level of 39-Bus Test System	50
4.6	Key findings of results	52
4.7	Summary	52
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>53</b>
5.1	Conclusions	53
5.2	Thesis Contributions	53
5.3	Future works and Recommendations	54
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>55</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>56</b>
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	<b>77</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>78</b>



## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
4.1	Samples of system's contingencies secure status	42
4.2	Wind penetration level of 9-bus test system wind at bus 5	49
4.3	Wind penetration level of 9-bus test system wind at bus (2,5, and 7)	50



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Power system operation states	7
2.2	DSA criteria	8
2.3	Line fcr intersects frequency response curve	14
2.4	Line fcr does not intersect frequency response curve	14
2.5	Frequency deviations against acceptable frequency	21
3.1	Overall flowchart of the work	23
3.2	Calculation of TFDI	
	(a) $S_d$ when $t_b = 0$	25
	(b) $S_d$ when $0 < t_b \leq t_{cr}$	26
	(c) $S_d$ when $t_b > t_{cr}$	26
3.3	Classification of wind generation system	27
3.4	Variable speed DFIG	27
3.5	Flowchart of quantitative frequency security assessment of multi- machine power system	29
3.6	Flowchart of system weakest bus frequency identification	30
3.7	Flowchart of estimation of maximum level of wind penetration	32
4.1	9-bus test system	35
4.2	39-bus test system	36
4.3	$f_{COI}$ with generators under frequency response of 39-bus test system	37
4.4	$f_{COI}$ with generators over frequency response of 39-bus test system	37
4.5	39 –Bus $f_{COI}$ response for reduce generation	38
4.6	TFDI index with power reducing amount	39
	(a) For $f_{COI}$	
	(b) G10 frequency	
4.7	TFDI index with load shedding amount	40
	(a) For $f_{COI}$	
	(b) For G10 frequency	
4.8	TFDI with different $t_{cr}$	41
4.9	The frequency response of 9- bus system for a 3-phase fault at bus 2	43

4.10	TFDI with bus number of 9-bus test system	44
	(a) For fault at bus 2	
	(b) For a fault at bus line (4-6)	
	(c) For a fault at bus 7	
4.11	The frequency response of 39- bus system for a 3-phase fault at bus 39.	45
4.12	TFDI with bus number of 39-bus test system	46
	(a) For fault at line (4-5)	
	(b) For a fault at bus 17	
	(c) For a fault at bus 39	
4.13	9- bus $f_{COI}$ response for different wind penetration level	48
4.14	TFDI for different wind penetration level at bus 5	48
4.15	TFDI for different wind penetration level at bus 2	49
4.16	TFDI for different wind penetration level at bus 7	49
4.17	39- bus $f_{COI}$ response for different wind penetration level	50
4.18	Maximum wind penetration level of the 39-bus test system	51

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANN	Artificial Neural Network
$\beta$	Sensitivity Index
$\beta_{sys}$	Frequency Probabilistic Index
COI	Center of Inertia
DFIGs	Doubly Fed Induction Generators
DSA	Dynamic Security Assessment
DT	Decision ion Tree
DI	Digital Input
EEAC	Extended Equal Area Criterion
FDI	Total Frequency Deviation Index
$f_{COI}$	Center of inertia frequency
$f_{COI (dev.)}$	Center of Inertia Frequency Deviation
FSA	Frequency Security Assessment
FSI	Frequency security Index
f	Frequency response
$f_{cr}$	Critical Frequency
$f_N$	Normal Frequency
H	Inertia Constant
FSIGs	Fixed Speed Induction Generators
MDFI	Maximum Frequency Deviation Index
ROCOF	Rate of Change of Frequency
SSA	Static Security Assessment
$t_b$	Break time
$t_{cr}$	Critical time
TDS	Time Domain Simulation
WAMs	Wide Area Measurements
WECC	Western Electricity Coordinating Council
WGNC	Doubly fed induction generators model in DSA tools
WGNCE	Wind turbine electrical control model in DSA tools
WGNCT	Wind turbine pitch control model in DSA tools

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

This chapter demonstrates the background of research, objectives, and targets. It further highlights an overview of the thesis on the research findings and expectations. The chapter aims at enhancing the reader's knowledge towards understanding the research expected results, and why the research was conducted.

### 1.1 Background

Recently, most of the electrical power systems are working under a huge pressure due to the escalated demand in electricity for both industrial and service sectors. This situation had caused the power system to operate exceeding their stability limits. Consequently, this weakness has led to the widespread of outage around the world such as the blackout in Malaysia on 1996 (Horne, et al, 2004), European interconnected grid blackout in November 2006 (Chunyan,et al, 2007), Australia's major interruption on January 2007(Refinery, 1999), as well as India's power grid blackout on July 2012 (Desismartgrid., 2012).

Generally, these blackouts and cascading failures occur by a series of event where a system exceeds its acceptable security limits, thus making it to become unable to force multiple contingencies. However, these failures can be avoided if the system's emergency control takes appropriate actions including load shedding and islanding controlling (Ahmed, el al, 2003) (Adibi, Kafka, et al, 2006). To remove the weaknesses of a system, it is important to ensure whether or not the power system can withstand all credible contingencies and meet specified security criteria. This evaluation is known as the Dynamic security assessment (DSA), which is defined as the ability of a power system to withstand any credible contingency and propose proper actions to remove its weaknesses (Wehenkel, 1997). DSA includes rotor angle security, voltage security, and frequency security.

Frequency security refers to the ability of a power system to maintain steady frequency following a severe system upset, resulting in a significant imbalance between the generation and demand without interrupting consumer service (Morison, Lei, & Kundur, 2004). Frequency is an important operating parameter compulsory in maintaining the acceptable limit of a power system as it reflects the conditions of the power system. Furthermore, the frequency behaviour is able to demonstrate any mismatch found between generation and demand. Ideally, frequency should be kept at its nominal value. However, the use of nonlinear loads, sudden load-generation imbalance and integration of variable energy resources has resulted in an unstable frequency of operating system. Therefore, it is essential to evaluate the system frequency security and estimate the ability of the system to withstand any change in system condition that may be occurred due to disturbance.

The power system frequency protection schemes including over/under frequency (response-driven and event-driven) are always set to specific limits and duration of frequency deviation. These limits and duration are usually displayed in two element tables ( $f_{cr}$ ,  $t_{cr}$ ). Consequently, the stability of the power system will break if the frequency deviation exceeds these limits ( Zhuang,et al, 2012). There are several indices that can evaluate the frequency security introduced due to the relationship between critical and minimum frequencies (Doherty et al., 2010) (Castro, et al, 2012)(Zhang & Liu, 2010). However, these indices cannot measure the severity of contingency along with its affecting duration. Nevertheless, they are able to reflect deviation trajectory details. Therefore, the transient frequency deviation index (TFDI) was proposed to quantitatively assess the frequency security of the power system (Zhang, & Liu, 2010). TFDI depends on the minimum area surrounded by frequency deviation trajectory and critical frequency.

Many studies have been implemented to present the behaviour of system frequency and suggest that initial system frequency vary according to different locations (Ørum, Laasonen, et al, 2015). These studies also state that the disturbance location has a major impact on the frequency behaviour by the fact that the closer generator response is more severe (Terzija, 2006). Therefore, it is crucial to find the centre of inertia  $f_{COI}$  to represent the whole frequencies of the system.  $F_{COI}$  is a mathematically derived variable that describes the average network frequency during electromechanical transients when local generator frequencies are not similar (Terzija, 2006). The  $f_{COI}$  will provide more information on frequency security level of a power system compared to the single generator frequency. In addition, the inertia constant of  $f_{COI}$  is larger since it includes the inertia constant of all generators in the power system than single generator inertia constant. Moreover, the oscillation of  $F_{COI}$  is also smaller (Nedic, 2003). To assess the security level of multi-machines power system regardless disturbance locations as well as to take advantage on quantitative assessment of system security using TFDI, a new method evaluating the frequency security of multi-machines power system was validated in this research.

Wind power, a renewable energy resource, has the potential to become one of the crucial energy resources in many countries, since it is pollution free and powered by the abundant availability of wind. However, wind power cannot be randomly integrated into the grid, due to its fluctuating nature and less inertia of wind turbine. Besides, wind fluctuation leads to the decrease in efficiency of the power system to maintain the balance between generation and demand (Ramirez & Ipn, 2015). The wind turbine is permanently equipped with converters, which decouple the turbine from the grid. Consequently, no inertial response will be provided by these turbines during frequency events (Tielens & van Hertem, 2012). Therefore, the estimation of allowable wind level that maintains system frequency becomes a serious issue. Thus, the proposed approach of using TFDI based on  $F_{COI}$  in evaluating system security was used to estimate the maximum allowable wind integration level through a very simple and effective method.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

Off normal frequency deviation may significantly affect the power system behaviour by damaging system equipment, overloading transmission lines and triggering the protection devices leading to a system collapse (Zhang, et al, 2010). Frequency should be kept at its nominal value. However, the utilisation of nonlinear loads, the sudden load–generation imbalance and the integration of variable energy resources have resulted in the unstable frequency of operating system. Therefore, it is essential to evaluate the system frequency security and estimate the ability of the system to withstand any change in system condition that might happen due to any disturbance, due to that, the assessment of the system’s ability to maintain a nominal frequency has become a serious issue. Frequency deviation is a good indicator of system stability. Most frequency security assessment studies have utilised the frequency deviation indices such as maximum frequency deviation index (MFDI), total frequency deviation index (FDI), and frequency security index (FSI) (Dai et al., 2012) (Xu Taishan, 2002). Nonetheless, these indices can only measure the rigorosity of disturbance at one time, which means that they are incapable of measuring the effect of frequency deviation during this period. Moreover, most assessment methods depend on unit frequency that may differ according to disturbance location or unit statuses. Therefore, there is a need to find a comprehensive method for frequency security assessment covering the time of deviation and based on equivalent system frequency (Zhang, Li, & Liu, 2015).

Monitoring the frequency deviation at several critical points of power system including bus or substation would help in deciding whether or not the loss of load or generator would affect the system security. It is also important to find the weak frequency points of network to select the wind turbine integration bus to accurately estimate the maximum allowable wind power level according to the impact of turbine on system frequency. Therefore, finding a method that is able to select critical points of the system (the bus with weakest frequency) is essential.

The FSA is very important for the power system with increasing the trend of wind power penetration, which significantly changes the power system frequency behaviour. There is a principle difference between a wind turbine and the conventional turbine of power plants in the world such as steam, gas or hydraulic turbines. By utilising conventional turbines, the rotation speed can be almost constant and locked to the system frequency. However, the speed of a wind turbine is not synchronous with the network and is controlled to maximise the production energy. Therefore, the production of wind power plant is not inherently coupled to the system frequency, and historically, the wind power stations are not vital to participate in the regulation of system frequency (Nicholas & Elahi, 2011). Large disturbances may cause serious frequency deviations that further lead to stability problems due to the impact of less inertia and variable nature of this power on system frequency. Since wind turbine is usually connected to distribution network, the subject of voltage and frequency behaviour is very essential for consumers at the receiving end of the electrical network. This is because a good quality of power from the network, (acceptable voltage and frequency) is what demanded by the consumers.



Though the two elements cannot be kept constant in practice all the time, they are however kept within allowable limits. The wind turbine is permanently equipped with converters that decouple the turbine from the grid. Consequently, no inertial response will be provided from these turbines during frequency events (Tielens & Hertem, 2012). Therefore, estimating the allowable wind level that maintains system frequency becomes is of important.

### 1.3 Thesis objectives

The specific objectives of this study are summarised as below:

- To identify a comprehensive method for frequency security assessment that covers the effective duration of frequency deviation using TFDI index based on equivalent system frequency  $f_{COI}$ .
- To use the ability of TFDI in assessing the security level of individual bus in identifying system weakest bus frequency.
- To evaluate a simple, efficient and accurate method in estimating the maximum penetration level of wind power using TFDI index that is based on  $f_{COI}$ .

### 1.4 Scope of work

This study was focuses on evaluating the multi-machine power system frequency security using TFDI based on  $F_{COI}$ . The New England 39-bus and WSCC 9-bus test systems were used to obtain the study results. The study is also concentrating on the estimation of maximum wind penetration level by considering the frequency security. However, there is also limitation in this study as wind speed was not taken into account. This is due to the use of the most recent and most popular wind turbine (variable speed DFIG) that connects with the grid through rotor side convertor and has the ability to work at variance wind speed to provide large level of generation power (Vittal, 2008) (Miao, et al, 2009). Therefore, this study has only considered the inertia response and capacity of the units.

### 1.5 Thesis organisation

This thesis is organised as follows:

Chapter 1 focuses on giving a brief background, problem statement, research hypothesis, objectives, and contribution of the study. Meanwhile, Chapter 2 reviews the previous works related to the current study. This review begins with the fundamental concept of dynamic security of a power system. Frequency security definition, assessment methods and indices are also addressed in this chapter as well as the participants of  $F_{COI}$  in the power control system and protection. Moreover, the weakest bus frequency, impact of wind integration and the estimation of maximum wind power, which are among the important research outlines, are reviewed.



Furthermore, Chapter 3 describes the methodologies of numerical verification using the  $F_{COI}$  in system security evaluating, which also include the main steps in the methodology of selecting the weakest bus frequency and the estimation of maximum wind penetration level. Furthermore, the modeling and test system details are also included in this chapter.

Chapter 4 presents the case studies and detailed results. The results presented were obtained based on test cases. These include the  $F_{COI}$  numerical verification, weakest bus selection, and maximum wind power level results. Besides, a brief discussion and summary of significant results are also highlighted in this chapter.

Lastly, Chapter 5 demonstrates and concludes the major findings of this study as well as the discussion on the possible future works. The contribution of this study is also provided in this chapter.

## **1.6 Summary**

In summary, this chapter considered the important outlines of this study. It began by introducing the importance of DSA and FSA. After that, the explanation on problem statement is presented. This was followed by demonstrating the objectives and scopes of research work in this chapter. The organisation of the study is also provided in this chapter.

## REFERENCES

- Adibi, M. M., & Fink, L. H. (2006). Restoration from cascading failures. *IEEE Power and Energy Magazine*, 4(5), 68–77. <http://doi.org/10.1109/MPAE.2006.1687819>
- Adibi, M. M., Kafka, R. J., Maram, S., & Mili, L. M. (2006). On power system controlled separation. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 21(4), 1894–1902. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2006.881139>
- Aggoune, M. E., Atlas, L. E., Cohn, D. a., Damborg, M. J., El-Sharkawi, M. a., & Marks, R. J. . I. (1989). Artificial neural networks for power system static security\assessment. *IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems*, (217). <http://doi.org/10.1109/ISCAS.1989.100397>
- Ahmadi, H., & Ghasemi, H. (2012). Maximum penetration level of wind generation considering power system security limits. *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution*, 6(11), 1164–1170. <http://doi.org/10.1049/iet-gtd.2012.0015>
- Ahmed, S. S., Sarker, N. C., Khairuddin, A. B., Abd Ghani, M. R. B., & Ahmad, H. (2003). A scheme for controlled islanding to prevent subsequent blackout. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 18(1), 136–143. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2002.807043>
- Anderson, P. M., & Bose, A. (1983). A Probabilistic Approach to Power System Stability Analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, (8), 2430–2439., 53(9), 2430–2439 A. <http://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Anderson, P. M., & Fouad, A. A. (2008). Power system control and stability. *John Wiley & Sons., 3rd editio.*
- Andersson, G., Donalek, P., Farmer, R., Hatziargyriou, N., Kamwa, I., Kundur, P., ... Vittal, V. (2005). Causes of the 2003 major grid blackouts in North America Europe, and recommended means to improve system dynamic performance. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 20(4), 1922–1928. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2005.857942>
- Bevrani, H., & Tikdari, A. G. (2010). Bevrani, H., Hiyama, T., & Tikdari, A. G. On the necessity of considering both voltage and frequency in effective load shedding schemes. In *Proceedings of IEE J Technical Meeting, PSE-10 (Vol. 2, Pp. 7-11).*, (In IEEJ Technical Meeting 2009).
- Cai, Z. X. (2000). A Direct Method for Frequency Stability Assessment of Power Systems. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Advances in Power System Control, Operation and Management, APSCOM 2000, Hong Kong, October 2000* (pp. 285–289).
- Castro, L. M., Fuerte-esquivel, C. R., Member, S., & Tovar-hernández, J. H. (2012). Solution of Power Flow With Auto- matic Load-Frequency Control Devices Including Wind Farms, 27(4), 2186–2195. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2012.2195231>
- Chamorro, H., Ghandhari, M., & Eriksson, R. (2013). Wind power impact on power system frequency response. *North American Power Symposium (NAPS), 2013. IEEE.* <http://doi.org/10.1109/NAPS.2013.6666880>
- Chen, Y. (1996). Weak Bus-Oriented Optimal Multi-objective VAR Planning. *IEEE*

*Transactions on Power Systems*, 11(4), 1885–1890.

- Chunyan. (2007). Analysis of the blackout in Europe on November 4, 2006. *2007 International Power Engineering Conference (IPEC 2007)*, 939–944.
- Dai, Y., Xu, Y., Dong, Z. Y., Wong, K. P., & Zhuang, L. (2012). Real-time prediction of event-driven load shedding for frequency stability enhancement of power systems. *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution*, 6(9), 914. <http://doi.org/10.1049/iet-gtd.2011.0810>
- Department of standard Malaysia. (2014). Guidelines for power system steady state, transient stability and reliability studies.
- Desismartgrid. (2012). *India Power Grid Blackouts*. [desismartgrid.com/2012/08/indian-power-grid-blackout-reasons-and-future-requirements/India](http://desismartgrid.com/2012/08/indian-power-grid-blackout-reasons-and-future-requirements/India).
- Djukanovic, M. B., Popovic, D. P., Sobajic, D. J., & Pao, Y.-H. (1993). Prediction of power system frequency response after generator outages using neural nets. *IEE Proceedings C Generation, Transmission and Distribution*, 140(5), 389. <http://doi.org/10.1049/ip-c.1993.0057>
- Doherty, R., Mullane, a., Nolan, G., Burke, D. J., Bryson, a., & O'Malley, M. (2010). An Assessment of the Impact of Wind Generation on System Frequency Control. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 25(1), 452–460. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2009.2030348>
- Dy Liacco, T. E. (n.d.). Control of power systems via the multi-level concept, (Case Western Reserve University System Research Center. Report no SRC-68-19.).
- EL-Shimy, M., Badr, M. a L., & Rassem, O. M. (2008). Impact of large scale wind power on power system stability. *2008 12th International MiddleEast Power System Conference*, 630–636. <http://doi.org/10.1109/MEPCON.2008.4562365>
- Farrokhsersht, N., Oróstica, H. C., & Hesamzadeh, M. R. (2014). Determination of acceptable inertia limit for ensuring adequacy under high levels of wind integration. *International Conference on the European Energy Market, EEM*, 8–12. <http://doi.org/10.1109/EEM.2014.6861300>
- Fink, L. H., & Carlsen, K. (1978). Operating under stress and strain. *IEEE Spectrum*, 15(3), 48–53. <http://doi.org/10.1109/MSPEC.1978.6369445>
- Force, C. T. (n.d.). Analysis and Modeling Needs of Power Systems Under Major Frequency Disturbances.
- Fouad, a a. (1988). Dynamic Security Assessment Practices in North America. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, Vol. 3, No. 3, August 1988 DYNAMIC*, 3(3), 1310–1321.
- Gau, H. (1994). weakest bus / area in power systems. *IEE*, 1994, 305–309.
- Gautam, D., Vittal, V., & Harbour, T. (2009). Impact of Increased Penetration of DFIG-Based Wind Turbine Generators on Transient and Small Signal Stability of Power Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 24(3), 1426–1434. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2009.2021234>
- Global Wind Energy Council -. (2016). Wind in Number. Retrieved from <http://www.gwec.net/global-figures/wind-in-numbers/>

- Grigsby, L. L. (2001). *The electric power engineering handbook. The electrical engineering handbook series*. <http://doi.org/10.1109/MPER.2001.920962>
- Gu, W., Milano, F., Jiang, P., & Zheng, J. (2008). Improving large-disturbance stability through optimal bifurcation control and time domain simulations. *Electric Power Systems Research*, 78(3), 337–345. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2007.03.002>
- Han Kang, B. S. (2011). *Forecasting congestion in transmission line and voltage stability with wind integration*. THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN August 2011.
- Hariharan, T., & Gopalakrishnan, M. (2013). Weak Bus Identification in Power System Using Contingency Ranking, 1(1), 1–3.
- Horne, J., Flynn, D., & Littler, T. (2004). Frequency stability issues for islanded power systems. *IEEE PES Power Systems Conference and Exposition, 2004.*, 1–8. <http://doi.org/10.1109/PSCE.2004.1397455>
- Inc., P. L. (2011). Transient Security Assessment Tool (TSAT) user manual, Powertech Labs Inc. Retrieved from [www.powertechlabs.com](http://www.powertechlabs.com), [www.DSATools.com](http://www.DSATools.com)
- Inc., P. L. User Manual Transient Security Assessment Tool (2011). Powertech Labs Inc. Surrey, British Columbia Canada. Retrieved from [www.powertechlabs.com](http://www.powertechlabs.com), [www.DSATools.com](http://www.DSATools.com)
- Ingleson, J. W., & Allen, E. (2010). Tracking the eastern interconnection frequency governing characteristic. *IEEE PES General Meeting, PES 2010*, 1–6. <http://doi.org/10.1109/PES.2010.5589872>
- Iswadi, H. R., Best, R. J., & Morrow, D. J. (2015). Irish power system primary frequency response metrics during different system non synchronous penetration. *2015 IEEE Eindhoven PowerTech, PowerTech 2015*, (November 2016). <http://doi.org/10.1109/PTC.2015.7232425>
- Karapidakis, E.S., and Hatziargyriou, N. D. (2002). Online Preventive Dynamic Security of Isolated Power Systems Using Decision Trees. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 17(2), 297–304. Retrieved from <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=1007896>
- Khalil, A. M., Member, G. S., & Iravani, R. (2016). A Dynamic Coherency Identification Method Based on Frequency Deviation Signals, 31(3), 1779–1787.
- Kuenzel, S., Kunjumammed, L. P., Pal, B. C., & Erlich, I. (2014). Impact of wakes on wind farm inertial response. *IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy*, 5(1), 237–245. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TSTE.2013.2280664>
- Kundur, P. (1994). *Power system stability and control*. McGraw-Hill. <http://doi.org/10.1049/ep.1977.0418>
- Kundur, P., Paserba, J., Ajarapu, V., Andersson, G., Bose, A., Canizares, C., ... Vittal, V. (2004). Definition and Classification of Power System Stability. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 19(2), 1387–1401. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2004.825981>
- Kundur, P., Rogers, G. J., Wong, D. Y., Wang, L., & Lauby, M. G. (1990). A comprehensive computer program package for small signal stability analysis of power systems. *Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, 5(4), 1076–1083. <http://doi.org/10.1109/59.99355>

- Lakkaraju, T., & Feliachi, A. (2006). Selection of pilot buses for VAR support considering N-1 contingency criteria. *2006 IEEE PES Power Systems Conference and Exposition, PSCE 2006 - Proceedings*, 8602(304), 1513–1517. <http://doi.org/10.1109/PSCE.2006.296524>
- Lalor, G. R. (2005). *Frequency Control on an Island Power System with Evolving Plant Mix*. School of Electrical, Electronic and Mechanical Engineering University College Dublin.
- Lalor, G., Ritchie, J., Rourke, S., Flynn, D., & O'Malley, M. J. (2004). Dynamic frequency control with increasing wind generation. *IEEE Power Engineering Society General Meeting, 2004.*, 1–6. <http://doi.org/10.1109/PES.2004.1373170>
- Li, A. (2008). A Method for Frequency Dynamics Analysis and Load Shedding Assessment Based on the Trajectory of Power System Simulation. *System*, (April), 1335–1339.
- Liu, Y., Du, W., Xiao, L., Wang, H., & Cao, J. (2015). A Method for Sizing Energy Storage System to Increase Wind Penetration as Limited by Grid Frequency Deviations, 1–9.
- Luo, C. (2005). *Fluctuating Wind Power Penetration as Limited by Frequency Standard*.
- Luo, C., Far, H. G., Banakar, H., Keung, P. K., & Ooi, B. T. (2007). Estimation of wind penetration as limited by frequency deviation. *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, 22(3), 783–791. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TEC.2006.881082>
- M. K. Jalboub, H. S. Rajamani, R. A. A.-A. and A. M. I. (1998). Weakest Bus Identification Based on Modal Analysis and Singular Value Decomposition Techniques, 39(6), 0–22. <http://doi.org/10.1093/bjsw/bcs140>.
- Machowski, J., Bialek, J. W., & Bumby, J. R. (2008). *Power System Dynamics: Stability and Control*. <http://doi.org/6>
- Mansour, Y., Vaahedi, E., Member, S., & El-sharkawi, M. A. (1997). Dynamic Security Contingency Screening and Ranking Using Neural Networks, 8(4), 942–950.
- Manuel, J., Alvarez, G., Mercado, P. E., & Member, S. (2007). Online Inference of the Dynamic Security Level of Power Systems Using Fuzzy Techniques, 22(2), 717–726.
- McCalley, J., Asgarpoor, S., Bertling, L., Billinton, R., Chao, H., Chen, J., ... Singh, C. (2004). Probabilistic security assessment for power system operations. *IEEE Power Engineering Society General Meeting, 2004.*, 2, 212–220. <http://doi.org/10.1109/PES.2004.1372788>
- Meegahapola, L., & Flynn, D. (2010). Impact on transient and frequency stability for a power system at very high wind penetration. *IEEE PES General Meeting*, 1–8. <http://doi.org/10.1109/PES.2010.5589908>
- Member, S., Yan, R., Saha, T. K., & Member, S. (2014). Frequency Response with Significant Wind Power Penetration : Case Study of a Realistic Power System, 0–4.
- Miao, Z., Fan, L., Member, S., & Osborn, D. (2009). Control of DFIG-Based Wind Generation to Improve Interarea Oscillation Damping, 24(2), 415–422.
- Michel, A., Fouad, A., & Vittal, V. (1983). Power system transient stability using individual machine energy functions. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, 30(5), 266–276. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TCS.1983.1085360>



- Miller, N. W., Clark, K., Shao, M., & Energy, G. E. (2011). Frequency Responsive Wind Plant Controls : Impacts on Grid Performance. *IEEE*, 1–8.
- Mitchell, M. a., Lopes, J. a. P., Fidalgo, J. N., & McCalley, J. D. (2000). Using a neural network to predict the dynamic frequency response of a power system to an under-frequency load shedding scenario. *Power Engineering Society Summer Meeting, 2000, 1(c)*, 6–11. <http://doi.org/10.1109/PESS.2000.867608>
- Mokhlis, H., Laghari, J. a., Bakar, a. H. a., & Karimi, M. (2012). A fuzzy based under-frequency load shedding scheme for islanded distribution network connected with DG. *International Review of Electrical Engineering*, 7(4), 4992–5000. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2012.03.017>
- Mori, H. (2006). State-of-the-Art Overview on Data Mining in Power Systems. *Power Systems Conference and Exposition, 2006. PSCE '06. 2006 IEEE PES*, 33–34. <http://doi.org/10.1109/PSCE.2006.296245>
- Morison, K., Lei, W., & Kundur, P. (2004). Power system security assessment. *IEEE Power and Energy Magazine*, 2(5), 30–39. Retrieved from 10.1109/MPAE.2004.1338120
- Morison, K., Wang, L., & Kundur, P. (2004). Power system security assessment. *IEEE Power and Energy Magazine*, 2(october), 30–39. <http://doi.org/10.1109/MPAE.2004.1338120>
- Ms.J.S.Bhonsle, S.B.Deshpande, M.M.Renge, M. R. H. (2004). A New Approach for Determining Weakest Bus and Voltage Stability Margine in A Power System. National Power System Conference, NPSC.
- Nahid-Al-Masood, Yan, R., & Saha, T. K. (2015). A new tool to estimate maximum wind power penetration level: In perspective of frequency response adequacy. *Applied Energy*, 154, 209–220. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.04.085>
- Narendra, K. S., & Parthasarathy, K. (1990). Identification and control of dynamical systems using neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks / a Publication of the IEEE Neural Networks Council*, 1(1), 4–27. <http://doi.org/10.1109/72.80202>
- National Electricity Code Administrator. (2001). Frequency operating standards Determination, (September), 1–23.
- Nedic, D. P. (2003). *Simulation Of Large System Disturbances. Electrical engineering and electronics*. he University of Manchester Institute for Science and Technology.
- Nicholas W Miller , Hamid Elahi, M. S. G. E. C. (2011). Grid Frequency Response with High Levels of Wind Power. *CIGRE-AORC 2011*, 1–14. Retrieved from [www.cigre-aorc.com](http://www.cigre-aorc.com)
- Ørum, E., Laasonen, M., & et al. (2015). Future system inertia, 1–58. Retrieved from [info@entsoe.eu](mailto:info@entsoe.eu) , [www.entsoe.eu](http://www.entsoe.eu)%0Da.pdf
- Pai, M. A. (1989). *Energy Function Analysis For Power System Stability*. (T. A. Lipo & U. of W.-M. Other, Eds.). KLUWER ACADEMIC PUBLISHERS Boston/Dordrecht/London. <http://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-1635-0>
- Peter W. Sauer, M. A. P. (1998). *Power system dynamics and stability*. 1998 hy Prentice-Hall Inc. Simon & Schuster I A Viacom Company Upper Saddle Ri ver, New Jersey 07458.

- Poller, M., & Achilles, S. (2003). Aggregated Wind Park Models for Analyzing Power System Dynamics. *4th International Workshop on Large-Scale Integration of Wind Power and Transmission Networks for Offshore Wind Farms*, 1–10.
- Pourbeik, P. (2014). Proposed Changes to the WECC WT3 Generic Model for Type 3 Wind Turbine Generators, (03/26/12 (revised 6/11/12, 7/3/12, 8/16/12, 8/17/12, 8/29/12, 1/15/13, 1/23/13)). Retrieved from [askepri@epri.com](mailto:askepri@epri.com) , [www.epri.com](http://www.epri.com)
- Pouyan Pourbeik. (n.d.). Proposed Changes to the WECC WT4 Generic Model for Type 4 Wind Turbine Generators. *2013*, (12/16/11 (revised 3/21/12, 4/13/12, 6/19/12, 7/3/12, 8/16/12, 8/17/12, 8/29/12, 1/15/13, 1/23/13)). <http://doi.org/askepri@epri.com> , [www.epri.com](http://www.epri.com)
- Q. B. Chow, P. Kundur, P. N. Acchione, and B. L. (1989). Improving nuclear generating station response for electrical grid islanding. *IEEE Trans. Energy Conversion, Vol. EC-4, Pp. 406–413*,.
- Ramirez, J. M., & Ipn, C. (2015). Inertial Frequency Response Estimation in a Power System With High Wind Energy Penetration. *PowerTech, 2015 IEEE Eindhoven (Pp. 1-6). IEEE*.
- Refinery, T. A. (1999). *Investigation Report*. Retrieved from Australian Competition and Consumer Commission, PO Box 1199, Dickson ACT 2602
- Rudez, U., & Mihalic, R. (2011). Monitoring the First Frequency Derivative to Improve Adaptive Underfrequency Load-Shedding Schemes. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 26(2), 839–846. <http://doi.org/10.1109/tpwrs.2010.2059715>
- Ruhle, O., & Lerch, E. (2010). Ranking of system contingencies in DSA systems - First experiences. *IEEE PES General Meeting, PES 2010*. <http://doi.org/10.1109/PES.2010.5588088>
- Savulescu, S. C. (2008). *Real-Time Stability Assessment in Modern Power System Control Centers. Real-Time Stability Assessment in Modern Power System Control Centers*. <http://doi.org/10.1002/9780470423912>
- Shaahid, S. M., & El-Amin, I. (2009). Techno-economic evaluation of off-grid hybrid photovoltaic-diesel-battery power systems for rural electrification in Saudi Arabia-A way forward for sustainable development. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 13(3), 625–633. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2007.11.017>
- SUN, H. D., Tang, Y., & MA, S. Y. (2006). A commentary on definition and classification of power system stability [j]. *Power System Technology*, 17, 006.
- Sun, K., Likhate, S., Vittal, V., Kolluri, V. S., & Mandal, S. (2007). An online dynamic security assessment scheme using phasor measurements and decision trees. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 22(4), 1935–1943. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2007.908476>
- Swarup, K. S. (2008). Artificial neural network using pattern recognition for security assessment and analysis. *Neurocomputing*, 71(4–6), 983–998. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2007.02.017>
- Tamimi, A. a., Pahwa, A., Starrett, S., & Williams, N. (2010). Maximizing wind penetration using voltage stability based methods for sizing and locating new wind farms in power system. *IEEE PES General Meeting, PES 2010*, 1–7. <http://doi.org/10.1109/PES.2010.5590059>

- Terzija, V. V. (2006). Adaptive underfrequency load shedding based on the magnitude of the disturbance estimation. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 21(3), 1260–1266. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2006.879315>
- Tielens, P., & van Hertem, D. (2012). Grid Inertia and Frequency Control in Power Systems with High Penetration of Renewables. *Status: Published*, (2), 1–6. Retrieved from <https://lirias.kuleuven.be/handle/123456789/345286>
- Vittal, E. (2008). *A static analysis of the maximum wind penetration level in Iowa and a dynamics assessment of frequency response in wind turbine types*.
- Wehenkel, L. (1997). Machine learning approaches to power-system security assessment. *IEEE Expert*, 12(5). <http://doi.org/10.1109/64.621229>
- Wiik, J., Gjefde, J. O., & Gjengedal, T. (2000). Impacts from large scale integration of wind energy farms into weakpower systems. *PowerCon 2000. 2000 International Conference on Power System Technology. Proceedings (Cat. No.00EX409)*, 1, 49–54. <http://doi.org/10.1109/ICPST.2000.900030>
- Wu, F., Zhang, X., & Ju, P. (2008). *Impact of Wind Turbines on Power System Stability*. Aalborg University Department of Energy Technology Pontoppidanstræde 101 DK-9220 Aalborg.
- Xu, X., Zhang, H., Chai, Y., Shi, F., Li, Z., & Li, W. (2015). Trajectory Sensitivity -Based Emergency Load Shedding Optimal Algorithm. *Preprint of 5th International Conference on Electric Utility Deregulation and Restructuring and Power Technologies, November 2015, Changsh, China*.
- Xu Taishan, X. Y. (2002). Quantitative Assessment of Transient frequency Deviation Acceptability. *China Academid Journal Electronic Publishing House*, 1–4.
- Xue, Y., & Pavella, M. (1989). Extended equal-area criterion: an analytical ultra-fast method for transient stability assessment and preventive control of power systems. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, 11(2), 131–149. [http://doi.org/10.1016/0142-0615\(89\)90021-5](http://doi.org/10.1016/0142-0615(89)90021-5)
- Xue, Y., Van Cutsem, T., & Ribbens-Pavella, M. (1989). Extended equal area criterion justifications, generalizations, applications. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 4(1), 44–52. <http://doi.org/10.1109/59.32456>
- Yan, R., Kumar, T., Modi, N., Masood, N., & Mosadeghy, M. (2015). The combined effects of high penetration of wind and PV on power system frequency response. *Applied Energy*, 145, 320–330. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.02.044>
- Yan, R., & Saha, T. K. (2014a). A Probabilistic Index for Estimating Frequency Response of a Power System with High Wind Power Penetration. In *8th International Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering 20-22 December, 2014, Dhaka, Bangladesh* (pp. 583–586).
- Yan, R., & Saha, T. K. (2014b). Estimation of Maximum Wind Power Penetration Level to Maintain an Adequate Frequency Response in a Power System. In *8th International Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering 20-22 December, 2014, Dhaka, Bangladesh* (pp. 587–590).
- Ye, H., & Liu, Y. (2013). Design of model predictive controllers for adaptive damping of inter-area oscillations. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, 45(1), 509–518. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2012.09.023>



- Yu, H. Y., Bansal, R. C., & Dong, Z. Y. (2014). Fast computation of the maximum wind penetration based on frequency response in small isolated power systems. *Applied Energy*, 113, 648–659. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.08.006>
- Yuanzhang, S. U. N., Member, S., Lixin, W., Guojie, L. I., & Jin, L. I. N. (2010). A Review on Analysis and Control of Small Signal Stability of Power Systems with Large Scale Integration of Wind Power. *2010 International Conference on Power System Technology*, 1–6.
- Zhang, Hengxu, LIU Yutian, X. Y. (2010). Quantitative Assessment of Transient Frequency Deviation Security Considering Cumulative Effect. *Chines Journal*.
- Zhang, H., Hou, Z., & Liu, Y. (2012). Online Security Assessment of Power System Frequency Deviation. *2012 Asia-Pacific Power and Energy Engineering Conference*, 1–4. <http://doi.org/10.1109/APPEEC.2012.6307428>
- Zhang, H., Li, C., & Liu, Y. (2015). Quantitative frequency security assessment method considering cumulative effect and its applications in frequency control. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 65, 12–20. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2014.09.027>
- Zhang, H., & Liu, Y. (2010). New index for frequency deviation security assessment. *2010 9th International Power and Energy Conference, IPEC 2010*, 1031–1034. <http://doi.org/10.1109/IPECON.2010.5696966>