

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

ANATOMICAL AND RADIOLOGICAL STUDIES OF HUMAN CORACOID PROCESS IN SELANGOR, MALAYSIA

MANAL FATHI A.M. TAHER

FPSK(M) 2017 9



## ANATOMICAL AND RADIOLOGICAL STUDIES OF HUMAN CORACOID PROCESS IN SELANGOR, MALAYSIA



MANAL FATHI A.M. TAHER

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

March 2017



## COPYRIGHT

All materials contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia, unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior written permission of the Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright© Universiti Putra Malaysia





The work is especially dedicated to: Allah SWT and His Messenger, Prophet Muhammad (SAW) My supervisor Prof. Dr. Fauziah Othman My beloved parents (Fathi and Amina) My dear husband Dr. Ismail Abuzid My wonderful siblings (Marwan, Nizar, Dr. Nissreen, Dhyaa, and Muhamad) My sweet children (Abdurrahman, Sarah, Dhyaa, and Ahmad) My friends, who shared their happiness and experiences with me. Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

## ANATOMICAL AND RADIOLOGICAL STUDIES OF HUMAN CORACOID PROCESS IN SELANGOR, MALAYSIA

By

## MANAL FATHI A.M. TAHER

## March 2017

Chairman : Fauziah Othman, PhD Faculty : Medicine and Health Sciences

There is scarcity in the literature concerning the anatomy and radiology study of human coracoid process in the diverse Asian population. This research was undertaken to investigate the anatomy of the coracoid process through developing a new dissection approach to access the coracoid process of the human scapula and quantifying the coracoid process morphometry among Indian, Chinese, and Myanmar subjects. This research further investigates the radiology of the coracoid process via quantifying the morphometry and the regional bone mineral density of the coracoid process among Malay and Chinese subjects.

The dissection approach was carried out on 52 cadaveric shoulders; the cadavers were placed in supine position, and both arms were abducted at an angle of ninety degrees, 90°. Then, the skin incisions were made and separated from the subcutaneous tissues. The deltopectoral groove was located and the deltoid muscle was dissected to expose the coracoid process with all attachments. After the coracoid process was dissected from all attachments, the morphometric measurements were taken using a digital calliper and the ethnical differences were analyzed. The results of this study showed that the average value for the length of the coracoid process (LCP) was  $41.97 \pm 2.20$ mm. The average values for the tip thickness (TTCP) and tip width (TWCP) of the coracoid process were  $10.05 \pm 1.52$  mm and  $13.34 \pm 1.06$  mm, respectively. While, the average values for the base height (BHCP) and base width (BWCP) were 15.45  $\pm$ 1.26 mm and  $24.34 \pm 1.61$  mm, respectively. Among the three races, the Myanmar subjects had a significantly smaller LCP compared to both the Chinese and Indian subjects (p < 0.05). However, there was no significant difference in LCP between the Indian and Chinese subjects. In TTCP, both the Myanmar subjects and Chinese subjects were significantly smaller than the Indian subjects (p < 0.05). However, there was no significant difference between the Chinese and Myanmar subjects. Among the three ethnic groups, there was no significant difference in TWCP. The Myanmar subjects also had a significantly shorter BHCP than the Indian subjects (p < 0.05). In contrast, there was no significant difference between the Myanmar and Chinese

subjects (*p*>0.05).

The morphometric measurements were also measured digitally using e-Film (version 2.1.2, Merge Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI) in 66 shoulders from 33 computerized tomographic scans. Similarly, the radiological study found that there were significant (p<0.05) differences between the Malay and Chinese subjects in all measurements of the coracoid process except the base thickness (BTCP). The Chinese subjects were found to have a bigger coracoid process than the Malay subjects. Non-significant differences were found between the measurements on the right and left sides coracoid process of all populations (p>0.05). There were significant differences between males and females for all measurements (p<0.05).

In addition, the same shoulders were also measured digitally using Hounsfield units to quantify the regional bone mineral density of the coracoid process. The BMD for the average tip of the coracoid process and the base of the coracoid process were  $281.9 \pm 27.10$  HU and  $370.7 \pm 46.66$  HU, respectively; which is significantly (p<0.05) higher in base than the tip of the coracoid process. Among the ethnic groups, Malay subjects had numerically higher BMD in the tip and base of the coracoid process than the Chinese subjects, however, statistically, these differences were not significantly different (p>0.05). Thus, it can be concluded that the morphometry and BMD of the coracoid process are attributed to the ethnicity and the gender.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

## KAJIAN ANATOMI DAN RADIOLOGI KE ATAS CUARAN KORAKOID MANUSIA DI SELANGOR, MALAYSIA

Oleh

## MANAL FATHI A.M. TAHER

## Mac 2017

# Pengerusi:Fauziah Othman, PhDFakulti:Perubatan dan Sains Kesihatan

Terdapat kurangnya kajian anatomi dan radiologi terhadap cuaran korakoid di kalangan pelbagai populasi Asian. Kajian penyelidikan ini telah dijalankan untuk menyiasat anatomi cuaran korakoid melalui penghasilan dengan pendekatan diseksi baharu untuk mengakses cuaran korakoid daripada skapula manusia; mengukur morfometri cuaran korakoid di kalangan subjek India, Cina dan Myanmar. Kajian ini turut menyiasat cuaran korakoid dengan mengukur morfometri dan bahagian ketumpatan mineral tulang cuaran korakoid di kalangan subjek Melayu dan Cina menggunakan radiologi.

Pendekatan diseksi telah dijalankan ke atas 52 bahu-bahu kadaver; yang mana mayat telah diletakkan dalam posisi terlentang horizontal dan kedua-dua tangan telah di posisikan pada sudut 90 darjah dari kedudukan bahu. Kemudian, insisi kulit telah dilakukan untuk memisahkannya daripada tisu-tisu subkutaneus. Alur deltopectoral telah di cari dan otot deltoid telah dibedah untuk mendedahkan cuaran korakoid bersama semua tisu yang terlampir dengannya. Selepas cuaran korakoid telah diasingkan dari semua tisu lampiran, ukuran morfometrik diambil dengan menggunakan angkup digital, kemudian perbezaan etnik dari rekod telah dianalisis. Keputusan kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa nilai purata bagi panjang cuaran korakoid (LCP) adalah 41.97  $\pm$  2.20 mm. Nilai purata bagi ketebalan hujung (TTCP) dan lebar hujung (TWCP) pada cuaran korakoid adalah  $10.05 \pm 1.52$  mm dan  $13.34 \pm 1.06$  mm masing-masing, manakala nilai purata bagi ketinggian pangkal (BHCP) dan lebar pangkal (BWCP) adalah  $15.45 \pm 1.26$  mm dan  $24.34 \pm 1.61$  mm, masing-masing. Di antara tiga kaum tersebut, subjek Myanmar mempunyai LCP yang lebih kecil berbanding dengan kedua-dua etnik Cina dan India secara signifikan (p < 0.05). Walau bagaimanapun, tiada perbezaan yang signifikan dalam LCP antara subjek India dan Cina. Kedua-dua subjek Myanmar dan Cina secara signifikannya mempunyai TTCP yang lebih kecil berbanding subjek India (p<0.05). Walau bagaimanapun, tiada perbezaan signifikan di antara subjek Cina dan Myanmar. Antara ketiga-tiga kumpulan etnik tiada perbezaan yang signifikan pada TWCP. Subjek Myanmar juga mempunyai



BHCP yang ketara lebih pendek daripada subjek India (p<0.05). Sebaliknya, tiada perbezaan yang signifikan di antara subjek Myanmar dan Cina (p>0.05).

Ukuran morfometrik juga diukur secara digital dengan menggunakan e-Film pada 66 bahu dari 33 imbasan tomografik berkomputer. Begitu juga, kajian radiologi mendapati bahawa terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan (p<0.05) di antara subjek Melayu dan Cina dalam semua ukuran koracoid kecuali ketebalan pangkal (BTCP). Subjek Cina mempunyai cuaran korakoid yang lebih besar daripada subjek Melayu. Perbezaan yang tidak signifikan ditemui antara ukuran cuaran korakoid di bahagian kanan dan kiri semua populasi (p> 0.05). Terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan antara cuaran korakoid lelaki dan perempuan dalam semua ukuran (p<0.05).

Di samping itu, bahu yang sama juga diukur secara digital dengan menggunakan unit Hounsfield untuk mengukur ketumpatan mineral tulang (BMD) pada bahagian di cuaran korakoid. Nilai puncak BMD pada purata hujung cuaran korakoid dan pangkal cuaran korakoid adalah  $281.9 \pm 27.10$  dan  $370.7 \pm 46.66$  HU, masing-masing, dan pangkal cuaran adalah lebih tinggi secara signifikan daripada hujung cuaran korakoid (p<0.05). Antara kumpulan etnik tersebut, subjek Melayu mempunyai BMD yang lebih tinggi di hujung and pangkal cuaran korakoid berbanding dengan subjeck Cina, walaupun perbezaannya tidak signifikan secara statistik (p>0.05). Dengan ini, kesimpulan boleh dibuat bahawa morfometri dan BMD cuaran korakoid boleh dipengaruhi oleh ciri etnik dan jantina.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of ALLAH, the most gracious, the most merciful. My gratitude to ALLAH S.W.T who always gives me patience and health to carry on the work until the end. After ALLAH S.W.T, I have to thank my parents for their endless love and support throughout my life. Thank you both for giving me the strength and motivation to achieve my dream.

The success of this project involves the contributions and support of many people. I am deeply indebted to all of them, especially to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Fauziah Othman for her continual advice, guidance and encouragement in an effort to complete this study. I am very grateful to my co-supervisors; Assoc. Prof. Dr. Cheah Pike See, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Nizlan Mohd Nasir, and Dr. Paisal Hussin for their interest and encouragement. I wish to thank all the lecturers and the staff of human anatomy department, Universiti Putra Malaysia, for their friendship and care. I am also indebted to Mrs. Siti Aisha, Mr. Shahidan Sulaiman, and Mr. Zainal Abidin Shaari for their kindness and continual assistance. I am also grateful to Dr. Aye Aye San for her kind help in dissecting the cadavers. I wish to thank all my lab mates, past and presence, especially to my best friends; Dr. Nurul Huda Mohd Nor, Dr. Teybah Saed, Sara Ansari, Parastoo, and Dr. Bello thank you for always being supportive. Special thanks goes to Umar Ahmad for his constant brotherly help, and advice through my entire master journey. Without their help and friendship, the years I spent in the laboratory would have been more challenging. I therefore, extend my thanks and gratefulness for their kindness and support.

I would also like to acknowledge all the doctors and staff members at Golden Horses Health Sanctuary (GHHS) especially Prof. Datin Dr. Rozi Mahmud and Dr. Ezamin Abdul Rahim for their guidance and help during my visit to GHHS. I would like to extend my thanks to my friends and colleagues from Libya especially Dr. Sarah, Dr. Eman, Dr. Fathia Shakhtor, Dr. Fathia Alaswad, Dr. Hanaa, Dr. Najwa, Dr. Areeg, Dr. Rema, Dr. Nadia, Dr. Fatima and Dr. Mariam for their friendship and generous encouragement.

Above of all, I am grateful to my husband Dr. Ismail Abuzid and my kids (Abdurrahman, Sarah, Dhyaa, and Ahmed) for their patience and extreme encouragement to accomplish my study. I extend special thanks to my sister Dr. Nisreen, my brothers Marwan, Nizar, Dhyaa, and Muhamed, my father and mother in law, my sisters and brothers in law, aunties, uncles, grandparents, and friends who have patiently waited for the completion of this work. And, again, I owe special thanks to my parents Fathi and Amina for their lifelong support and for their endless prayers.

Once more, I thank ALLAH (S.W.T) for everything. I therefore dedicate this work to Him (ALLAH).

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 15 March 2017 to conduct the final examination of Manal Fathi A.M. Taher on her thesis entitled "Anatomical and Radiological Studies of Human Coracoid Process in Selangor, Malaysia " in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

#### Maizaton Atmadini binti Abdullah, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Medicine and Health Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

#### Intan Shameha binti Abdul Razak, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Azian Abdul Latiff, PhD Professor Universiti Teknologi MARA Malaysia (External Examiner)

NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 28 April 2017

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

## Fauziah Othman, PhD

Professor Faculty of Medicine and Health Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairperson)

## Cheah Pike See, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Medicine and Health Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

## Mohd Nizlan Mohd Nasir, MS (Orth)

Associate Professor Faculty of Medicine and Health Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

## Paisal Hussin, MS (Orth)

Medical Lecturer Faculty of Medicine and Health Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

# ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## **Declaration by graduate student**

I hereby confirm that:

- This thesis is my original work;
- Quotations, illustrations and citations have been dully referenced;
- This thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- Intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- Written permission must obtained from Supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- There is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:	Date:	

Name and Matric Number: Manal Fathi A.M. Taher, GS30785

## **Declaration by Members of the Supervisory Committee**

This is to confirm that:

- The research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- Supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature:	
Chairman of	
Supervisory	
Committee:	Prof. Dr. Fauziah Othman
Signature	
Member of	
Supervisory	
Committaay	Asson Prof. Dr. Chash Dilya San
Committee.	Assoc. FIOL DI. Chean Fike See
Signature:	
Member of	
Supervisory	
Committee:	Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Nizlan Mohd Nasir
Signature:	
Member of	
Supervisory	
Committee:	Dr. Paisal Hussin

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		Page
ABSTRAC	T	1
ABSTRAK		111
ACKNOW	LEDGEMENTS	V
APPROVA		V1
DECLARA	TION	viii
LIST OF T	ABLES	xiii
LIST OF F	IGURES	xiv
LIST OF A	BBREVIATIONS	xvi
СНАРТЕ		
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of the study	1
	1.2 Problem statements	2
	1.3 Significance of the study	2
	1.4 Research hypothesis	3
	1.5 Research objectives	3
	1.5.1 General objective	3
	1.5.2 Specific objectives	3
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	4
	2.1 Shoulder joint	4
	2.2 Scapula	4
	2.3 Coracoid process	5
	2.3.1 Gross anatomy	5
	2.3.2 Muscular and ligamentous attachments	s 7
	2.3.3 Clinical relevance	7
	2.3.4 Coracoid morphometric parameter	8
	2.3.5 Morphometry of coracoid process	9
	2.3.5.1 Dry osteology studies	10
	2.3.5.2 Direct cadaveric studies	13
	2.3.5.3 Plain radiography study	16
	2.3.5.4 Computed tomography studies	16
	2.4 Bone mineral density	19
	2.4.1 Bone structure	19
	2.4.2 In vivo bone mineral density	19
	2.4.2.1 Dual energy X-ray absorption	erry 20
	2.4.2 Quantitative computed tomogr	apity 20
	2.4.5 Gender differences in BMD	21 21
	2.4.4 Ethnic differences in BMD	21
	2.4.5 Kegional differences in BMD	22
	$\angle$ .4). I SCADULA DIVID	

2.4.5.1 Scapula BMD

3	DISSECTION METHOD TO APPROACH THE	24
	HUMAN CORACOID PROCESS OF THE SCAPULA	
	3.1 Introduction	24
	3.2 Materials	25
	3.2.1 Instruments	25
	3.2.2 Cadavers	25
	3.3 Methods	25
	3.3.1 Dissection protocol	25
	3.3.1.1 Ethical approval and ethical considerations	25
	3.3.1.2 Position and orientation	25
	3.3.1.3 Exposure of upper chest wall	26
	3.3.1.4 Dissection and locating the coracoid process	27
	3.3.1.5 Exposure of the coracoid process	29
	3.4 Results	29
	3.5 Discussion and conclusion	30
4	MORPHOMETRIC STUDY OF CORACOID PROCESS OF HUMAN SCAPULA IN CADEVERS AND	31
	COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHIC DATA	31
	4.1 Introduction	21
	4.2 Materials and methods	32
	4.2.1 Study design	32
	4.2.2 Anatomical study of formalin-fixed cadaveric shoulders	52
	4.2.2.1 Study subjects	32
	4.2.2.2 Sample size	32
	4.2.2.3 Measurement method	33
	4.2.3 Radiological study	36
	4.2.3.1 Study subjects	36
	4.2.3.2 Sample size	36
	4.2.3.3 Measurements method	37
	4.2.4 Statistical analysis	37
	4.3 Results	39
	4.3.1 Anatomical study of formalin-fixed cadaveric shoulders	39
	4.3.1.1 Study subjects	39
	4.3.1.2 Coracoid process measurements	40
	4.3.2 Radiological study	44
	4.3.2.1 Study subjects	44
	4.3.2.2 Coracoid process measurements	45
	4.4 Discussion and conclusion	51
	4.4.1 Cadaveric study	52
	4.4.2 Computed tomographic study	53
5	IN-VIVO BONE MINERAL DENSITY	55
	MEASUREMENTS OF CORACOID PROCESS	
	5.1 Introduction	55

# xi

	5.2 Materials and methods	56
	5.2.1 Study subjects	56
	5.2.2 Measurements of bone mineral density	56
	5.2.3 Statistical analysis	57
	5.3 Results	57
	5.3.1 Regional BMD variations between tip and base of the coracoid process	57
	5.3.2 Gender variations in BMD of the coracoid process	58
	5.3.3 Ethnic variations in BMD of the coracoid process	59
	5.3.4 Side variations in BMD of the coracoid process	60
	5.4 Discussion and conclusion	61
6	GENERAL DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION,	63
6	LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	$\sim$
	6.1 General discussion	03 64
	6.2 General Conclusion	04
	6.3 Limitations and recommendations	04
REFERENC	CES	66
APPENDIC	ES	80
BIODATA (	DF STUDENT	97
LIST OF PU	UBLICATIONS	98

C

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Dry osteology studies	12
2.2	Cadaveric studies	15
2.3	Radiographic studies	18
2.4	Computed tomography studies	18
4.1	Distribution of the cadavers according to socio-demographic characteristics (n=26)	39
4.2	Total coracoid measurements	40
4.3	Distribution of subjects according to socio-demographic characteristics stratified by sex	44
4.4	Distribution of subjects according to socio-demographic characteristics stratified by ethnicity	45

5

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Front view of the left human scapula showing various parts. Superior view of the left human scapula showing the coracoid	5 6
2.2	process.	Ŭ
2.3	Superior view of the coracoid process and its ligamentous and	7
2.4	tendinous attachments sites	0
2.4	Hoursfield Scale (In this scale, sir has the value, 1000 HU water	8 21
2.3	0. compact human bone ranges from 250 to 1400)	21
3.1	A: A simplified diagram showing incisions lines to access	26
	coracoids process (JN, jugular notch; 1st SI, 1st skin incision; 2nd SI, 2nd skin incision; 3rd SI, 3rd skin incision; LSI; last skin incision). B: The photograph is showing the skin incisions with reflection of the skin and fascia prior to access the coracoid process (JN, jugular notch; CB, clavicle bone; 1st SI, 1st skin incision; 3rd SI, 3rd skin incision	
3.2	A: The photograph showing the course of the cephalic vein between pectorals major muscle and the deltoid muscle. B: An atlas of the pectoral region showing the course of the cephalic vein (DM, deltoid muscle; CV, cephalic vein; PMM, pectoralis major muscle).	27
3.3	A: The photograph showing the deltoid muscle with a small incision (DM, deltoid muscle; CB, clavicle bone; PMM, pectoralis major muscle; SIDM, the small incision in deltoid muscle). B: The photograph showing the deltoid branch of the thoracoacromial artery (CP, coracoid process; DBT, deltoid branch of thoracoacromial artery).	28
3.4	A: The photograph showing the muscular attachment of coracoid process (CP, coracoid process; PM, pectoralis minor; SHB, short head of biceps; CB, coracobrachialis). B: An atlas is showing the muscular attachment of the coracoid process (CP, coracoid process; PM, pectoralis minor; SHB, short head of biceps; CB, coracobrachialis).	28
3.5	The photograph showing the coracoid process (CP, coracoid process)	29
4.1	The photographs showing digital calliper measuring the tip thickness of the coracoid process. B. The photographs showing digital calliper measuring the length of the coracoid process.	34
4.2	A: Front view of the right scapula illustrated the measurements taken: (1) A-B line the length of CP. (2) C-D line the base width. B: Superior view of the right scapula illustrated the measurements taken: (1) A-B line the length of CP. (2) C-D line of the base width. (3) I-J line the tip width of CP. C: Lateral view of the right scapula illustrated the measurements taken: (1) G-H the tip thickness of CP. (2) E-F line the base width of CP.	35

- 4.3 Axial CT scan images showing the measurements of the tip of the 38 coracoid process.
- 4.4 Axial CT scan images showing the measurements of the base of 38 the coracoid process.
- 4.5 Morphometric measurements of the coracoid process of the 41 scapula among Chinese, Indian, and Myanmar. Data shown are means from three races.
- 4.6 Morphometric measurements of the coracoid process of the 43 scapula between right and left side. Data shown are means from right and left coracoid process.
- 4.7 Morphometric measurements of the length of the coracoid process 46 for both ethnic groups stratified by sex. Data shown are means  $\pm$  SE.
- 4.8 Morphometric measurements of the tip thickness of the coracoid 47 process for both ethnic groups stratified by sex. Data shown are means  $\pm$  SE.
- 4.9 Morphometric measurements of the base height of the coracoid 48 process for both ethnic groups stratified by sex. Data shown are means  $\pm$  SE.
- 4.10 Morphometric measurements of the base thickness of the coracoid 49 process for both ethnic groups stratified by sex. Data shown are means  $\pm$  SE.
- 4.11 Morphometric measurements of the coracoid process of the 50 scapula stratified by gender. Data shown are means from males and females coracoid process.
- 4.12 Morphometric measurements of the right and left coracoid process 51 of the scapula. Data shown are means from right and left coracoid process.
- 5.1 Computed tomography scans illustrating the technique for 56 calculating coracoid BMD with HUs. A&B. Axial images showing HU values generated by the imaging software program. A. The distance between the most prominent part of the tip and the point of interest. B. The distance between the most prominent part of the base and the point of interest.
- 5.2 Bone mineral densities in the tip and base of the coracoid process. 58 Data shown are mean  $\pm$  SE from the tip and the base of coracoid process.
- 5.3 BMD measurements of the coracoid process stratified by gender. 59 Data shown are mean ± SE from male and female coracoid process.
- 5.4 BMD measurements of the coracoid process stratified by ethnicity. 60 Data shown are mean  $\pm$  SE from Malay and Chinese coracoid process.
- 5.5 BMD measurements of the right and left coracoid process. Data 61 shown are mean  $\pm$  SE from right and left coracoid process.

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

1st SI	First Skin Incision
2nd SI	Second Skin Incision
3rd SI	Third Skin Incision
ACR	American College of Radiology
ADH	Anatomy Dissection Hall
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
В	Black
BHCP	Base Height of Coracoid Process
BMD	Bone Mineral Density
BMI	Body Mass Index
BTCP	Base Thickness of Coracoid Process
BWCP	Base Width of Coracoid Process
CB	Clavicle Bone
CBM	Coracobrachialis Muscle
Cm	Centimetre
CP	Coracoid Process
СТ	Computed Tomography
CV	Cephalic Vein
DBT	Deltoid Branch of Thoracoacromial artery
DEXA	Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry
DICOM	Digital Imaging and Communication in Medicine
DM	Deltoid Muscle
DPA	Dual Photon Absorptiometry
DXR	Digital X-ray Radiogrammetry
F	Female
GHHS	Golden Horses Health Sanctuary
HU	Hounsfield Unit
JN	Jugular Notch
Kg	Kilogram
Kg/m <sup>2</sup>	kilogram / square meter
LCP	Length of Coracoid Process
М	Mean
М	Male
mm	Millimetre
Ν	Sample Size
Р	Significance
PBM	Peak Bone Mass
PhD	Doctor of philosophy
PM	Pectorals minor
PMM	Pectorals Major Muscle
QCT	Quantitative Computed Tomography
QUS	Quantitative Ultrasound
ROI	Region of Interest
SAW	Sallallahu alaihi wasallam
SD	Standard Deviation
SEXA	Single Energy X-ray Absorptiometry
SHB	Short Head of Biceps

6

SIDM	Small Incision in Deltoid Muscle
SPA	Single Photon Absorptiometry
SWT	Subhanahu Wata'alah
TTCP	Tip Thickness of Coracoid process
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia
USA	United States of America
W	White



#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

## 1.1 Background of the study

The scapula presents a unique anatomical shape and function, which has made the anatomical study of the scapula a subject of extensive investigation (Torrens et al., 2009; Polguj et al., 2011; Oladipo et al., 2015). Furthermore, this anatomical structure has several important osseous features including the scapular spine, acromion, and coracoid process (Frank et al., 2013; Singh et al., 2013). The major concern is referred to the anatomy of coracoid process due to the peculiar anatomical shape of this scapular process (Bhatia et al., 2007). In addition, in clinical practice, the coracoid process contributes to the many surgical and pathological conditions of the shoulder joints (Higgins et al., 2012). The anatomy of the coracoid process can be understood in a better manner by studying the morphometry of this anatomical structure. Morphometric analysis of the coracoid can be very valuable to anatomists, radiologists, forensic pathologists, and orthopaedics (Cabezas et al., 2016). Despite the enormous effort taken by anatomists and researchers in studying the anatomic morphometry of the coracoid process, successful dissection method to access the coracoid process appear to be limited. Dissecting human cadavers is necessary when attempting to study the anatomy of a human skeleton (McLachlan, 2004). One of the most fundamental principles for studying the anatomy of the coracoid process is how to approach and access the coracoid process (Fathi et al., 2015).

Currently, a deltopectoral approach is the standard method for dissecting and studying the anatomy of the coracoid process. However, this approach does not allow unobstructed visualization of the entire coracoid process (Fathi *et al.*, 2015). Traditionally, in dissection books, to access any part of the scapula one has to dissect the entire pectoral region (Tank, 2008), that is time consuming and renders the pectoral regions unfit to continue studying. Therefore, this study developed an alternative approach to access the coracoid process in human cadavers.

The morphometry of the coracoid process has been a topic of interest to various researchers (Gumina *et al.*, 1999; Piyawinijwong *et al.*, 2004; Lian *et al.*, 2016). Early researchers noted the variability of coracoid morphometry, since the morphometry of the coracoid process showed differences (Schulz *et al.*, 2005). Numerous studies on Caucasian subjects, especially in Europe have provided a reference value for the morphometry of the coracoid process (Rios *et al.*, 2007; Salzmann *et al.*, 2008; Dolan *et al.*, 2011). However, only a few studies have been conducted on the Asian populations (Piyawinijwong *et al.*, 2004; Kavita and Singh, 2013). Although, some research was done on interethnic variation in European populations (Rios *et al.*, 2007; Ljungquist *et al.*, 2012), until now, there is limited about the interethnic variation in Asian populations. Moreover, very little has been

reported on the morphometry of the coracoid process in relation to gender in our environment.

On the other hand, measurement of the bone mineral density (BMD) is necessary to determine the amount of bone and bone loss at the scanning site and to predict the risk of bone fracture or therapeutic efficacy (Knowles, 2015). It is well known that the BMD is influenced by site, gender, and ethnicity (Henry and Eastell, 2000; Goh *et al.*, 2004). Recently, researchers in clinical and medical field have shown an increased interest in scapular BMD (Daalder *et al.*, 2016). Several researchers demonstrate that the BMD of the scapular is different from one part to other (Schulz *et al.*, 2002; Daalder *et al.*, 2016). Although some research has been carried out to determine the BMD in the scapula, only one study have attempted to investigate the BMD in the coracoid process (Beranger *et al.*, 2014). Beranger's analysis does not take account of the tip of the coracoid process, nor does it examine the ethnic differences in the coracoid BMD. Similarly, the above-mentioned study was carried out in an European population, specifically on French people. To the best of our knowledge, there is a scarcity of literature concerning differences in the coracoid process BMD in different regions, genders, and ethnicities.

## **1.2 Problem statement**

In the clinical anatomy and orthopaedic community, there is a great need to understand the morphometry and the bone mineral density of the coracoid process and the factors that determine its form. It is well known that the Asian population has smaller bones and lower BMD than their western counterparts (Zengin et al., 2015; Cabezas et al., 2016). Because of the comparatively smaller stature of Asians, several anatomists, surgeons, and researchers believe that it is important to establish data for the Asian population. Although morphometric measurements of the coracoid process from some Asian countries are presently available – India (Kavita and Singh, 2013) and Thailand (Piyawinijwong et al., 2004) – the measurements of the majority of the Asian population and comparative data between Asian populations have not been previously described in the literature. In addition, only one study has been conducted to measure the BMD of the coracoid process; this study was carried out in France and was restricted to one region and correlates the BMD to the age (Beranger et al., 2014). Currently, the deltopectoral approach has been used as a reliable approach and has been used as a reliable dissection method to access the coracoid process. However, it has a number of disadvantages (Fathi et al., 2015). Hence, developing a new dissection method to access the coracoid process that is faster, easier, and reliable, is needed in medical research.

## **1.3** Significance of the study

This study seeks to extend the knowledge concerning the anatomy and radiology of the coracoid process of the human scapula. The study investigates the morphometry and BMD of the coracoid process in a diverse Asian population. To date, no studies conducted that seek to determine the morphometry and BMD of the coracoid process in Malaysia. Furthermore, the dissection method to access the coracoid process is not described in the literature. Therefore, the knowledge from this study can raise awareness of the importance of ethnic differences in the morphometry and BMD of the coracoid process within the Asian population. Moreover, this knowledge can be beneficial in clinical anatomy, orthopaedic surgery, and forensic medicine.

In addition, the present study has developed a new dissection method that will help to access the coracoid process faster and easier in medical research and academic study. Indeed, the information obtained from the current study to determine the ethnic differences in the morphometry and BMD can be applied at some point in the future to prevent certain shoulder surgery complications especially in Malaysian populations.

## 1.4 Research hypothesis

- 1. There is an association between the differences in the morphometric measurements and bone mineral density of the coracoid process and differences in ethnicity.
- 2. The deltopectoral approach is not reliable for fully exposing the coracoid process for research and academic study.

## 1.5 Research objectives

## 1.5.1 General objective

To study the anatomy and radiology of the human coracoid process of the scapula in cadavers available at the Anatomy Dissection Hall (ADH), Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), and CT scans from normal subjects at the Golden Horses Health Sanctuary (GHHS).

## **1.5.2** Specific objectives

- 1. To develop the easy, fast and accessible dissection method to access the coracoid process
- 2. To determine the anatomical morphometric measurements of the coracoid process in cadavers and computed tomographic data, and determine ethnic, gender, and side differences.
- 3. To measure the bone mineral density of the coracoid process, and determine regional, ethnic, gender, and side differences.

#### REFERENCES

- Adams, J. E. (2009). Quantitative computed tomography. *European journal of radiology*, 71(3), 415-424.
- Agarwal, J., Arora, N. K., & Agarwal, A. (2016). Variation in the insertion of biceps brachii muscle: a case report. *Journal of Medical Sciences*, *1*(1), 40-43.
- Alobaidy, M. A., & Soames, R. W. (2016). Evaluation of the coracoid and coracoacromial arch geometry on Thiel-embalmed cadavers using the threedimensional MicroScribe digitizer. *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery*, 25(1), 136-141.
- American College of Radiology. (2008). ACR Practice Guideline for the Performance of Quantitative Computed Tomography (QCT) Bone Densitometry (Resolution 33). Reston, USA.
- Armitage, M. S., Elkinson, I., Giles, J. W., & Athwal, G. S. (2011). An anatomic, computed tomographic assessment of the coracoid process with special reference to the congruent-arc Latarjet procedure. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery*, 27(11), 1485-1489
- Ashby, M. F. (2005). *Materials selection in mechanical design. MRS Bull*, Oxford: Butterworth & Heinemann.
- Bachrach, L. K., Hastie, T., Wang, M. C., Narasimhan, B., & Marcus, R. (1999).
  Bone Mineral Acquisition in Healthy Asian, Hispanic, Black, and Caucasian Youth: A Longitudinal Study. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 84(12), 4702-4712.
- Bachy, M., Lapner, P. L., Goutallier, D., Allain, J., Hernigou, P., Bénichou, J., & Zilber, S. (2013). Coracoid process x-ray investigation before Latarjet procedure: a radioanatomic study. *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery*, 22(12), e10-e14.
- Barrett-Connor, E., Siris, E. S., Wehren, L. E., Miller, P. D., Abbott, T. A., Berger, M. L., & Sherwood, L. M. (2005). Osteoporosis and fracture risk in women of different ethnic groups. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, 20(2), 185-194.
- Bell, I. C. (2013). Estimating Sex from the Human Scapula: A Validation Study of the Five-and Two-variable models and FORDISC 3.0 in two White European populations. MSc Applied Science Thesis, Saint Mary's University, Canada.
- Benazzi, S., Stansfield, E., Kullmer, O., Fiorenza, L., & Gruppioni, G. (2009). Geometric morphometric methods for bone reconstruction: the mandibular condylar process of Pico della Mirandola. *The Anatomical Record*, 292(8), 1088-1097.

- Beranger, J. S., Maqdes, A., Pujol, N., Desmoineaux, P., & Beaufils, P. (2014). Bone mineral density of the coracoid process decreases with age. *Knee Surgery*, *Sports Traumatology*, *Arthroscopy*, 24(2), 502-506.
- Bhatia, D. N., De Beer, J. F., & Du Toit, D. F. (2007). Coracoid process anatomy: implications in radiographic imaging and surgery. *Clinical Anatomy*, 20(7), 774-784.
- Bhudhikanok, G. S., Wang, M. C., Eckert, K., Matkin, C., Marcus, R., & Bachrach, L. K. (1996). Differences in bone mineral in young Asian and Caucasian Americans may reflect differences in bone size. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, 11(10), 1545-1556.
- Bocquet, J. D., N'takpe, N., Draganescu, C., Ridarch, A., & Jullien, Y. R. (2005). The coracoid block: demonstration of a simple approach using the pectoralis minor as landmark. *Canadian journal of anesthesia*, 52(10), 1040-1046.
- Bonnick, S. L. (2010). Skeletal anatomy in densitometry. In *Bone Densitometry in Clinical Practice* (pp. 35-78). Humana Press.
- Bonnin, M. P., Saffarini, M., Bossard, N., Dantony, E., & Victor, J. (2016). Morphometric analysis of the distal femur in total knee knees. *Bone Joint J*, 98(1), 49-57.
- Botchu, R., Lee, K. J., & Bianchi, S. (2012). Radiographically undetected coracoid fractures diagnosed by sonography. Report of seven cases. *Skeletal radiology*, 41(6), 693-698.
- Brenner, E., & Pais, D. (2014). The philosophy and ethics of anatomy teaching. *European Journal of Anatomy*, 18(4), 353-360.
- Bueno, R. S., Ikemoto, R. Y., Nascimento, L. G. P., de Oliveira Almeida, L. H., Strose, E., & Murachovsky, J. (2012). Correlation of Coracoid Thickness and Glenoid Width an Anatomic Morphometric Analysis. *The American journal of sports medicine*, 40(7), 1664-1667.
- Burke, R. M. (2008). Can we estimate stature from the scapula? A test considering sex and ancestry .Doctoral dissertation, Louisiana State University, United state.
- Cabezas, A. F., Krebes, K., Hussey, M. M., Santoni, B. G., Kim, H. S., Frankle, M. A., & Oh, J. H. (2015). Morphologic variability of the shoulder between the populations of the Republic of Korea and United States. *Clinics in Orthopedic Surgery*, 8(3), 280-287.
- Castro-Aragon, O., Vallurupalli, S., Warner, M., Panchbhavi, V., & Trevino, S. (2009). Ethnic radiographic foot differences. *Foot & ankle international*, *30*(1), 57-61.
- Celenk, C., & Celenk, P. (2012). Bone density measurement using computed tomography. INTECH Open Access Publisher.

- Champney, T. H. (2011). A proposal for a policy on the ethical care and use of cadavers and their tissues. *Anatomical sciences education*, 4(1), 49-52.
- Chirchir, H. (2016). Limited Trabecular Bone Density Heterogeneity in the Human Skeleton. *Anatomy research international*, 2016.
- Coale, R. M., Hollister, S. J., Dines, J. S., Allen, A. A., & Bedi, A. (2013). Anatomic considerations of transclavicular-transcoracoid drilling for coracoclavicular ligament reconstruction. *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery*, 22(1), 137-144.
- Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. Psychological bulletin, 112(1), 155.
- Cooper, C., Cole, Z. A., Holroyd, C. R., Earl, S. C., Harvey, N. C., Dennison, E. M., & IOF CSA Working Group on Fracture Epidemiology. (2011). Secular trends in the incidence of hip and other osteoporotic fractures. Osteoporosis International, 22(5), 1277-1288.
- Coskun, N., Karaali, K., Cevikol, C., Demirel, B. M., & Sindel, M. (2006). Anatomical basics and variations of the scapula in *medical journal*, 27(9), 1320-1325.
- Costic, R. S. (2003). Functional Evaluation of the Intact, Injured and Reconstructed Acromioclavicular Joint. Doctoral dissertation, University of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
- Cummings, S. R., Browner, W., Black, D. M., Nevitt, M. C., Genant, H. K., Cauley, J., & Vogt, T. M. (1993). Bone density at various sites for prediction of hip fractures. *The Lancet*, 341(8837), 72-75.
- Currey, J. D. (2002). *Bones: Structure and Mechanics*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Daalder, M., Venne, G., Rainbow, M., Bryant, T., Bicknell, R. T., & MacKenzie, L. (2016). An Anatomical Study of the Trabecular Bone Density Distribution in the Scapula Adjacent to the Glenoid Fossa. *The FASEB Journal*, 30(1 Supplement), 1037-1.
- Dai, Y., & Bischoff, J. E. (2013). Comprehensive assessment of tibial plateau morphology in total knee arthroplasty: influence of shape and size on anthropometric variability. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*, *31*(10), 1643-1652.
- De Oliveira, R. C. G., Leles, C. R., Normanha, L. M., Lindh, C., & Ribeiro-Rotta, R. F. (2008). Assessments of trabecular bone density at implant sites on CT images. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontology, 105(2), 231-238.

- De Franco, M. J., & Cole, B. J. (2009). Current perspectives on rotator cuff anatomy. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery*, 25(3), 305-320.
- Degen, R. (2013). Complex Shoulder Instability: The Role of the Latarjet Coracoid Transfer. Doctoral dissertation, The University of Western Ontario, Canada.
- Dolan, C. M., Hariri, S., Hart, N. D., & McAdams, T. R. (2011). An anatomic study of the coracoid process as it relates to bone transfer procedures. *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery*, 20(3), 497-501.
- Dwivedi, A. K., Airan, N., & Das, A. R. (2016). An unusual variation of Pectoralis minor muscle and its clinical significance. *International Journal of Biomedical Research*, 7(8), 618-620.
- Ebbesen, E. N., Thomsen, J. S., & Mosekilde, L. (1997). Nondestructive determination of iliac crest cancellous bone strength by pQCT. *Bone*, 21(6), 535-540.
- Ebbesen, E. N., Thomsen, J. S., BeckNielsen, H., Nepper Rasmussen, H. J., & Mosekilde, L. (1999). Age and Gender Related Differences in Vertebral Bone Mass, Density, and Strength. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, 14(8), 1394-1403.
- Eisma, R., & Wilkinson, T. (2014). From "Silent Teachers" to Models. *PLoS Biology*, 12(10), 1–5.
- Ejnisman, B., Terra, B. B., & Costantini, A. (2015). Coracoid Process. In Normal and Pathological Anatomy of the Shoulder (pp. 47-55). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- El-Din, W. A. N., & Ali, M. H. M. (2015). A Morphometric Study of the Patterns and Variations of the Acromion and Glenoid Cavity of the Scapulae in Egyptian Population. *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research*, 9(8), AC08–AC11.
- Ellis, K. J. (2000). Human body composition: in vivo methods. *Physiological reviews*, 80(2), 649-680.
- Elwany, S., Medanni, A., Eid, M., Aly, A., El-Daly, A., & Ammar, S. R. (2010). Radiological observations on the olfactory fossa and ethmoid roof. *The Journal* of Laryngology & Otology, 124(12), 1251-1256.
- Fathi, M., Hussin, P., Nizlan, N. M., See, C. P., Ahmad, U., San, A. A., Rahem, E., Othman, F. (2015). Easy, Fast and Accessible Dissecting Approach to Coracoid Process of Human Scapula. *Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological* and Chemical Sciences. 6(2), 1279–1283.
- Ferreira Neto, A. A., Almeida, A. M. D., Maiorino, R., Zoppi Filho, A., & Benegas, E. (2006). An anatomical study of the subcoracoid space. *Clinics*, 61(5), 467-472.

- Finkelstein, J. S., Lee, M. L. T., Sowers, M., Ettinger, B., Neer, R. M., Kelsey, J. L., & Greendale, G. A. (2002). Ethnic variation in bone density in premenopausal and early perimenopausal women: effects of anthropometric and lifestyle factors. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 87(7), 3057-3067.
- Frank, R. M., Ramirez, J., Chalmers, P. N., McCormick, F. M., & Romeo, A. A. (2013). Scapulothoracic anatomy and snapping scapula syndrome. *Anatomy research international*, 2013.
- Freehill, M. T., Srikumaran, U., Archer, K. R., McFarland, E. G., & Petersen, S. A. (2013). The Latarjet coracoid process transfer procedure: alterations in the neurovascular structures. *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery*, 22(5), 695-700.
- Gallino, M., Santamaria, E., & Doro, T. (1998). Anthropometry of the scapula: clinical and surgical considerations. *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery*, 7(3), 284-291.
- Gerhardt, D. C., Van Der Werf, J. D., Rylander, L. S., & McCarty, E. C. (2011). Postoperative coracoid fracture after transcoracoid acromioclavicular joint reconstruction. *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery*, 20(5), 6-10.
- Ghosh, S. K. (2015). Human cadaveric dissection: a historical account from ancient Greece to the modern era. *Anatomy & cell biology*, 48(3), 153-169.
- Gillespie, R. J., Levine, A., Fitzgerald, S. J., Kolaczko, J., DeMaio, M., Marcus, R. E., & Cooperman, D. R. (2011). Gender differences in the anatomy of the distal femur. J Bone Joint Surg Br, 93(3), 357-363.
- Giurazza, F., Del Vescovo, R., Schena, E., Cazzato, R. L., D'Agostino, F., Grasso, R.
  F., & Zobel, B. B. (2013). Stature estimation from scapular measurements by CT scan evaluation in an Italian population. *Legal Medicine*, 15(4), 202-208.
- Goh, J. C., Low, S. L., & Das De, S. (2005). Bone mineral density and hip axis length in Singapore's multiracial population. *Journal of Clinical Densitometry*, 7(4), 406-412.
- Goldstein, B. (2004). Shoulder anatomy and biomechanics. *Physical medicine and rehabilitation clinics of North America*, 15(2), 313-349.
- Goske, M. J., Applegate, K. E., Boylan, J., Butler, P. F., Callahan, M. J., Coley, B. D., & Johnson, N. D. (2008). The 'Image Gently'campaign: increasing CT radiation dose awareness through a national education and awareness program. *Pediatric radiology*, 38(3), 265-269.
- Gostner, P., Bonelli, M., Pernter, P., Graefen, A., & Zink, A. (2013). New radiological approach for analysis and identification of foreign objects in ancient and historic mummies. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 40(2), 1003-1011.

- Grampp, S., Genant, H. K., Mathur, A., Lang, P., Jergas, M., Takada, M., & Chavez, M. (1997). Comparisons of noninvasive bone mineral measurements in assessing age-related loss, fracture discrimination, and diagnostic classification. *Journal of bone and mineral research*, 12(5), 697-711.
- Groll, O., Lochmüller, E. M., Bachmeier, M., Willnecker, J., & Eckstein, F. (1999). Precision and intersite correlation of bone densitometry at the radius, tibia and femur with peripheral quantitative CT. *Skeletal radiology*, 28(12), 696-702.
- Guglielmi, G. (2013). Osteoporosis and bone densitometry measurements. Berlin: Springer.
- Guglielmi, G., Ferrari, F., & Bazzocchi, A. (2015). Bone Mineral Density and Quantitative Imaging. In *Pitfalls in Diagnostic Radiology* (pp. 109-132). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Gumina, S., Postacchini, F., Orsina, L., & Cinotti, G. (1999). The morphometry of the coracoid process–its aetiologic role in subcoracoid impingement syndrome. *International orthopaedics*, 23(4), 198-201.
- Güvençer, M., Naderi, S., Men, S., Sayhan, S., & Tetik, S. (2015). Morphometric evaluation of the uncinate process and its importance in surgical approaches to the cervical spine: a cadaveric study. *Singapore medical journal*, *57*(10): 570–577.
- Halder, A. M., Itoi, E., & An, K. N. (2000). Anatomy and biomechanics of the shoulder. *Orthopedic Clinics of North America*, 31(2), 159-176.
- Hamel, A., Hamel, O., Ploteau, S., Robert, R., Rogez, J. M., & Malinge, M. (2012). The arterial supply of the coracoid process. *Surgical and radiologic anatomy*, 34(7), 599-607.
- Hayashi, D., Guermazi, A., & Roemer, F. W. (2016). *Radiography and computed tomography imaging of osteoarthritis*. Oxford Textbook of Osteoarthritis and Crystal Arthropathy.
- Henry, Y. M., & Eastell, R. (2000). Ethnic and gender differences in bone mineral density and bone turnover in young adults: effect of bone size. *Osteoporosis International*, 11(6), 512-517.
- Heaney, R. P., Abrams, S., Dawson-Hughes, B., Looker, A., Looker, A., Marcus, R., & Weaver, C. (2000). Peak bone mass. *Osteoporosis international*, 11(12), 985-1009.
- Higgins, M. A., & Tambe, A. (2012). Aberrant pectoralis minor tendon and surgery around the coracoid process. *Shoulder & Elbow*, 4(2), 117-118.
- Hill, D. D. (2005). *Ethnic and Gender Differences in the Correlates of Bone Mineral Density*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Pittsburgh, Pinnsylvania.

- Hussain, F., Abdul Kadir, M. R., Zulkifly, A. H., Sa'at, A., Aziz, A. A., Hossain, M. G., & Syahrom, A. (2013). Anthropometric measurements of the human distal femur: a study of the adult Malay population. *BioMed research international*, 2013(175056).
- Ilayperuma, I., Nanayakkara, B. G., Hasan, R., Uluwitiya, S. M., & Palahepitiya, K. N. (2016). Coracobrachialis muscle: morphology, morphometry and gender differences. *Surgical and Radiologic Anatomy*, 38(3), 335-340.
- Kalender, W. A. (2011). Computed tomography: fundamentals, system technology, image quality, applications. John Wiley & Sons.
- Karantanas, A. H., Kalef-Ezra, J. A., & Glaros, D. C. (1991). Quantitative computed tomography for bone mineral measurement: technical aspects, dosimetry, normal data and clinical applications. *The British journal of radiology*, 64(760), 298-304.
- Kavita, P., & Singh, J. (2013). Morphology of Coracoid process and Glenoid cavity in adult human Scapulae. *International Journal of Analytical, Pharmaceutical* and Biomedical Sciences, 2(2), 19-22.
- Kierszenbaum, A. L., & Tres, L. L. (2012). *Histology and cell biology: An introduction to pathology*, 3rd ed. Philadelphia, PA: Saunders.
- Kimmerle, E. H., Jantz, R. L., Konigsberg, L. W., & Baraybar, J. P. (2008). Skeletal estimation and identification in American and East European populations. *Journal of forensic sciences*, *53*(3), 524-532.
- Kleist, K. D., Freehill, M. Q., Hamilton, L., Buss, D. D., & Fritts, H. (2007). Computed tomography analysis of the coracoid process and anatomic structures of the shoulder after arthroscopic coracoid decompression: A cadaveric study. *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery*, 16(2), 245-250.
- Knowles, N. K. (2015). Osteoarthritis Induced Glenoid Morphology and Bone Quality: An Evaluation of Augmented Glenoid Components. Master dissertation. The University of Western Ontario London, Ontario, Canada.
- Lee, S., Chung, C. K., Oh, S. H., & Park, S. B. (2013). Correlation between bone mineral density measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry and Hounsfield units measured by diagnostic CT in lumbar spine. *Journal of Korean Neurosurgical Society*, 54(5), 384-389.
- Lehtinen, J. T., Tingart, M. J., Apreleva, M., & Warner, J. J. (2004). Total, trabecular, and cortical bone mineral density in different regions of the glenoid. *Journal of shoulder and elbow surgery*, *13*(3), 344-348.
- Lemeshow, S., Hosmer, D. W., Klar, J., Lwanga, S. K. (1990). Adequacy of sample size in health studies. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, England.

- Leslie, W. D. (2012). Ethnic differences in bone mass—clinical implications. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 97(12), 4329-4340.
- Lian, J., Dong, L., Zhao, Y., Sun, J., Zhang, W., & Gao, C. (2016). Anatomical study of the coracoid process in Mongolian male cadavers using the Latarjet procedure. *Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research*, 11(1), 126.
- Ljungquist, K. L., Butler, R. B., Griesser, M. J., & Bishop, J. Y. (2012). Prediction of coracoid thickness using a glenoid width–based model: implications for bone reconstruction procedures in chronic anterior shoulder instability. *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery*, 21(6), 815-821.
- Lo, I. K., Burkhart, S. S., & Parten, P. M. (2004). Surgery about the coracoid: neurovascular structures at risk. Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery, 20(6), 591-595.
- Loftus, G.R., & Masson, M. E. (1994). Using confidance intervals in wtin –subject designs. *Psychonomic bulletin & review*, 1(4), 476-490.
- Looker, A. C., Beck, T. J., & Orwoll, E. S. (2001). Does body size account for gender differences in femur bone density and geometry?. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, *16*(7), 1291-1299.
- Mahfouz, M., Fatah, E. E. A., Bowers, L. S., & Scuderi, G. (2012). Threedimensional morphology of the knee reveals ethnic differences. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, 470(1), 172-185.
- Mahto, A. K., & Omar, S. (2015). Dimensions of Glenoid Fossa of Scapula: Implications in the Biomechanics of an Implant Design. *International Journal* of Scientific Study, 13(4), 146-148.
- Mansat, P., Barea, C., Hobatho, M. C., Darmana, R., & Mansat, M. (1998). Anatomic variation of the mechanical properties of the glenoid. *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery*, 7(2), 109-115.
- Mazaheri, P., Fayad, L. M., Fishman, E. K., & Demehri, S. (2016). Advanced Imaging of the Scapula: What Every Radiologist Needs to Know. *Journal of computer assisted tomography*, 40(4), 567-575.
- McLachlan, J. C. (2004). New path for teaching anatomy: living anatomy and medical imaging vs. dissection. *The Anatomical Record Part B: The New Anatomist*, 281(1), 4-5.
- McLachlan, J. C., & Patten, D. (2006). Anatomy teaching: ghosts of the past, present and future. *Medical Education*, 40(3), 243-253.
- Mehta, G., Taylor, P., Petley, G., Dennison, E., Cooper, C., & Walker-Bone, K. (2004). Bone mineral status in immigrant Indo-Asian women. *QJM : An International Journal of Medicine*, 97(2), 95-99.

- Menéndez Garmendia, A., Gómez-Valdés, J. A., Hernández, F., Wesp, J. K., & Sánchez-Mejorada, G. (2014). Long bone (humerus, femur, tibia) measuring procedure in cadavers. *Journal of forensic sciences*, 59(5), 1325-1329.
- Milewski, M. D., Tompkins, M., Giugale, J. M., Carson, E. W., Miller, M. D., & Diduch, D. R. (2012). Complications related to anatomic reconstruction of the coracoclavicular ligaments. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 40(7), 1628-1634
- Mohammed, H., Skalski, M. R., Patel, D. B., Tomasian, A., Schein, A. J., White, E. A. & Matcuk Jr, G. R. (2016). Coracoid Process: The Lighthouse of the Shoulder. *RadioGraphics*, 160039.
- Moore KL, Dalley AF, Agur AM. (2012). *Clinically Oriented Anatomy*. 7th Ed. Baltimore, MD: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Morton, D. A. (2003). *Dissection guide for human anatomy*. Doctoral dissertation, The University of Utah, United state.
- Mosekilde, L., & Mosekilde, L. (1990). Sex differences in age-related changes in vertebral body size, density and biomechanical competence in normal individuals. *Bone*, *11*(2), 67-73.
- Mwachaka, P. M., Mandela, P., & Saidi, H. (2016). Repeated Exposure to Dissection Does Not Influence Students' Attitudes towards Human Body Donation for Anatomy Teaching. *Anatomy research international*, 2016.
- Naganathan, V., & Sambrook, P. (2003). Gender differences in volumetric bone density: a study of opposite-sex twins. *Osteoporosis international*, 14(7), 564-569.
- Ndou, R. (2015). Morphological characteristics of humeri and ulnae relating to supratrochlear aperture expression. Doctoral dissertation, University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa.
- Neu, C. M., Manz, F., Rauch, F., Merkel, A., & Schoenau, E. (2001). Bone densities and bone size at the distal radius in healthy children and adolescents: a study using peripheral quantitative computed tomography.*Bone*, 28(2), 227-232.
- Ocakoglu, G., & Ercan, I. (2013). Traditional and Modern Morphometrics: Review. *Turkiye Klinikleri Journal of Biostatistics*, 5(1), 37-41.
- Okamura, K., & Ozaki, J. (1999). Bone mineral density of the shoulder joint in frozen shoulder. Archives of orthopaedic and trauma surgery, 119(7-8), 363-367.
- Oladipo, G. S., Aigbogun, E. O., & Akani, G. L. (2015). Angle at the Medial Border: The Spinovertebra Angle and Its Significance. *Anatomy research international*, 2015.

- Parker, L. M. (2002). Anatomical dissection: Why are we cutting it out? Dissection in undergraduate teaching. *ANZ journal of surgery*, 72(12), 910-912.
- Piyawinijwong, S., Sirisathira, N., & Chuncharunee, A. (2004). The scapula: Osseous dimensions and gender dimorphism in Thais.
- Polguj, M., Jędrzejewski, K. S., Podgórski, M., & Topol, M. (2011). Correlation between morphometry of the suprascapular notch and anthropometric measurements of the scapula. *Folia Morphol*, 70(2), 109-15
- Polguj, M., Majos, A., Waszczykowski, M., Fabiś, J., Stefańczyk, K., Podgórski, M., & Topol, M. (2015). A computed tomography study on the correlation between the morphometry of the suprascapular notch and anthropometric measurements of the scapula. *Folia Morphologica*, 75(1), 87-92.
- Posten, H. O. (1984). Robustness of the two-sample t-test. In *Robustness of statistical methods and nonparametric statistics* (pp. 92-99). Springer Netherlands.
- Prescher, A. (2000). Anatomical basics, variations, and degenerative changes of the shoulder joint and shoulder girdle. *European journal of radiology*, 35(2), 88-102.
- Rabbani, G. R., Cooper, S. M., & Escobedo, E. M. (2012). An Isolated Coracoid Fracture. *Current problems in diagnostic radiology*, 41(4), 120-121.
- Rios, C. G., Arciero, R. A., & Mazzocca, A. D. (2007). Anatomy of the clavicle and coracoid process for reconstruction of the coracoclavicular ligaments. *The American journal of sports medicine*, 35(5), 811-817.
- Rockwood, C. A., & Matsen, F. A. (2009). The Shoulder. Philadelphia: Saunders.
- Romanes, G. J. (1986). Cunningham's Manual of Practical Anatomy, Vol. 1: Upper and Lower Limbs. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ross, P. D., He, Y. F., Yates, A. J., Coupland, C., Ravn, P., McClung, M., ... & RD Wasnich for the EPIC Study Group. (1996). Body size accounts for most differences in bone density between Asian and Caucasian women. *Calcified tissue international*, 59(5), 339-343.
- Ruffing, J. A. (2011). *The Association between Bone Mineral Density, Lifestyle Factors, and Body Composition in a Fit College Population.* Doctoral dissertation, Columbia University, United State.
- Ruffing, J. A., Cosman, F., Zion, M., Tendy, S., Garrett, P., Lindsay, R., & Nieves, J. W. (2006). Determinants of bone mass and bone size in a large cohort of physically active young adult men. *Nutrition & metabolism*, 3(1), 1-10.

- Salzmann, G. M., Paul, J., Sandmann, G. H., Imhoff, A. B., & Schöttle, P. B. (2008). The Coracoidal Insertion of the Coracoclavicular Ligaments an Anatomic Study. *The American journal of sports medicine*, 36(12), 2392-2397.
- Sanders, T. G., & Jersey, S. L. (2005). Conventional radiography of the shoulder. In Seminars in roentgenology, 40(3), 207-222.
- Sandrock, A. R. (1975). Another Sports Fatigue Fracture: Stress Fracture of the Coracoid Process of the Scapula 1. *Radiology*, *117*(2), 274-274.
- Scheuer, L. (2002). Application of osteology to forensic medicine. *Clinical Anatomy*, *15*(4), 297-312.
- Schlemmer, B., Dosch, J. C., Gicquel, P., Boutemy, P., Wolfram, R., Kempf, J. F., & Sick, H. (2002). Computed tomographic analysis of humeral retrotorsion and glenoid retroversion. *Revue de chirurgie orthopedique et reparatrice de l'appareil moteur*, 88(6), 553-560.
- Schmider, E., Ziegler, M., Danay, E., Beyer, L., & Bühner, M. (2010). Is it really robust?. *Methodology*.
- Schreiber, J. J., Anderson, P. A., Rosas, H. G., Buchholz, A. L., & Au, A. G. (2011). Hounsfield units for assessing bone mineral density and strength: a tool for osteoporosis management. *The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery*, 93(11), 1057-1063.
- Schulz, C. U., Anetzberger, H., & Glaser, C. (2005). Coracoid tip position on frontal radiographs of the shoulder: a predictor of common shoulder pathologies? *The British Journal of Radiology*, 78(935), 1005–1008.
- Schulz, C. U., Pfahler, M., Anetzberger, H. M., Becker, C. R., Müller-Gerbl, M., & Refior, H. J. (2002). The mineralization patterns at the subchondral bone plate of the glenoid cavity in healthy shoulders. *Journal of shoulder and elbow surgery*, 11(2), 174-181.
- Shaikh, A. B., Sarim, M., Raffat, S. K., Khan, M., & Chinoy, A. (2013). Bone Mineral Density Correlation against Bone Radiograph Texture Analysis: An Alternative Approach. *Research Journal of Recent Sciences*, 2(3), 87-91.
- Shapurian, T., Damoulis, P. D., Reiser, G. M., Griffin, T. J., & Rand, W. M. (2006). Quantitative evaluation of bone density using the Hounsfield index. *International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants*, 21(2), 290-298.
- Sharma, G. B. (2007). *Structural analysis driven shoulder arthroplasty*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
- Sheng, Z. F., Dai, R. C., Wu, X. P., Fang, L. N., Fan, H. J., & Liao, E. Y. (2007). Regionally specific compensation for bone loss in the tibial trabeculae of estrogen-deficient rats. *Acta Radiologica*, 48(5), 531-539.

- Silva, I. M. D. C. C., Freitas, D. Q. D., Ambrosano, G. M. B., Bóscolo, F. N., & Almeida, S. M. (2012). Bone density: comparative evaluation of Hounsfield units in multislice and cone-beam computed tomography. *Brazilian oral research*, 26(6), 550-556.
- Singal, G., Rathod, H., Patel, A., Modi, P., Prajapati, S., & Parmar, R. A. (2013). Study on measurements and indices of human scapula at jamnagar medical college. *International Journal of Research in Medicine*, 2(1), 65-68.
- Singh, J., Pahuja, K., & Agarwal, R. (2013). Morphometric parameters of the acromion process in adult human scapulae. , *Indian Journal of Basic & Applied Medical Research* 2(8), 1165-1170.
- Singh, R., Tubbs, R. S., Gupta, K., Singh, M., Jones, D. G., & Kumar, R. (2015). Is the decline of human anatomy hazardous to medical education/profession?—A review. *Surgical and Radiologic Anatomy*, *37*(10), 1257-1265.
- Snow, F. J. (2004). Geometric Morphometry Analysis of the Scapula: Implications for the Determination of Sex and Ancestry. Doctoral. dissertation, University of Tennessee, Knoxville
- Spoor, F., Jeffery, N., & Zonneveld, F. (2000). Using diagnostic radiology in human evolutionary studies. *Journal of anatomy*, *197*(01), 61-76.
- Squire, M., Donahue, L. R., Rubin, C., & Judex, S. (2004). Genetic variations that regulate bone morphology in the male mouse skeleton do not define its susceptibility to mechanical unloading. *Bone*, *35*(6), 1353-1360.
- Stiehl, J. B., Jacobson, D., & Carrera, G. (2007). Morphological analysis of the proximal femur using quantitative computed tomography. *International orthopaedics*, *31*(3), 287-292.
- Stull, K. E. (2014). An osteometric evaluation of age and sex differences in the long bones of South African children from the Western Cape. Doctoral dissertation, University of Pretoria, South Africa.
- Stull, K. E., Tise, M. L., Ali, Z., & Fowler, D. R. (2014). Accuracy and reliability of measurements obtained from computed tomography 3D volume rendered images. *Forensic science international*, 238, 133-140.
- Tang, Z. H., Yeoh, C. S., Meng, G., & Tan, J. (2016). Radiographic study of the proximal femur morphology of elderly patients with femoral neck fractures: is there a difference among ethnic groups? *Singapore medical journal*. 2016, 1-11.
- Tank PW (2008) *Grant's Dissector*. 14th Ed. Baltimore, MD: Lippincott Wiliams & Wilkins. 43,45 p.
- Teo, A. E., Ng, A. C., Venkataraman, K., Tai, E. S., Lee, Y. S., Khoo, E. Y., & Chong, Y. S. (2012). Ethnic differences in the association of fat and lean mass

with bone mineral density in the Singapore population. In *BMC Proceedings* (Vol 6, No. Suppl 4, p. P43). BioMed Central Ltd.

- Terra, B. B., Ejnisman, B., de Figueiredo, E. A., Cohen, C., Monteiro, G. C., de Castro Pochini, A., & Cohen, M. (2013). Anatomic study of the coracoid process: safety margin and practical implications. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery*, 29(1), 25-30.
- Tham, A., Purchase, R., & Kelly, J. D. (2009). The relation of the coracoid process to the glenoid: an anatomic study. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery*, 25(8), 846-848.
- Thoo, F. L., Chng, S. M., Lam, K. S., Lee, J. B., Tan, M. C., Teh, H. S., & Khoo, T. K. (2002). To establish the normal bone mineral density reference database for the Singapore male. *Annals of the Academy of Medicine, Singapore*, 31(1), 21-25.
- Tobias, J. H., Cook, D. G., Chambers, T. J., & Dalzell, N. (1994). A comparison of bone mineral density between Caucasian, Asian and Afro-Caribbean women. *Clinical Science*, 87(5), 587-591.
- Tomaszewska, I. M., Kmiotek, E. K., Pena, I. Z., Średniawa, M., Czyżowska, K., Chrzan, R., & Walocha, J. A. (2015). Computed tomography morphometric analysis of the greater palatine canal: A study of 1,500 head CT scans and a systematic review of literature. *Anatomical science international*, 90(4), 287-297.
- Torimitsu, S., Makino, Y., Saitoh, H., Sakuma, A., Ishii, N., Hayakawa, M., & Iwase, H. (2015). Stature estimation in Japanese cadavers based on scapular measurements using multidetector computed tomography. *International journal* of legal medicine, 129(1), 211-218.
- Torrens, C., Corrales, M., Gonzalez, G., Solano, A., & Caceres, E. (2009). Morphology of the scapula relative to the reverse shoulder prosthesis. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery, 17(2), 146.
- Utkualp, N., & Ercan, I. (2015). Anthropometric Measurements Usage in Medical Sciences. *BioMed Research International*, 2015.
- Von Schroeder, H. P., Kuiper, S. D., & Botte, M. J. (2001). Osseous anatomy of the scapula. *Clinical orthopaedics and related research*, 383, 131-139.
- Van de Bunt, F., Pearl, M. L., Lee, E. K., Peng, L., & Didomenico, P. (2015). Glenoid version by CT scan: an analysis of clinical measurement error and introduction of a protocol to reduce variability. *Skeletal radiology*, 44(11), 1627-1635.
- Waters, J. R. (2008). Cat dissection and human cadaver prosection versus sculpting human structures from clay: A comparison of alternate approaches to human

anatomy laboratory education. Doctoral dissertation, The Pennsylvania State University, Pennsylvania.

- Webb, M., & Funk, L. (2006). An anterosuperior approach for proximal humeral fractures. *Techniques in Shoulder & Elbow Surgery*, 7(2), 77-81.
- Weber, G. W. (2001). Virtual anthropology (VA): a call for glasnost in paleoanthropology. *The Anatomical Record*, 265(4), 193-201.
- White TD, Black MT, Folkens PA. 2012. *Human Osteology*, 3rd ed. San Diego: Elsevier Academic Press.
- Yang, P. L. S., Lu, Y., Khoo, C. M., Leow, M. K. S., Khoo, E. Y. H., Teo, A., & Tai, E. S. (2013). Associations between ethnicity, body composition, and bone mineral density in a Southeast Asian population. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 98(11), 4516-4523.
- Zengin, A., Prentice, A., & Ward, K. A. (2015). Ethnic differences in bone health. *Frontiers in endocrinology*, 6 (24), 1-6.